

## Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

---

**To:** Debbie Bryan  
**Cc:** Grayson, Terry L (DXT Services)  
**Subject:** RE: COP #0018 and COP #5781 RO 243 and RO253

Debbie,

Thanks for the information on those sites. Can you please upload the data for RO253 to our ftp site. The link is provided below. I am wondering if you are going to prepare a report of the data for that and if so, you can wait to include that data with the report and upload it at that time.

For the Claremont at College Conoco Phillips site RO243, in your review, be sure to evaluate the groundwater flow direction, in your SCM. Since you say that Shell's upgradient well does not have MTBE, my remaining concern is that groundwater is not flowing toward the Shell but toward the bank that is on the opposite side of College Avenue. Please feel free to call if you have any questions or if you need clarifications.

Regards,

Barbara Jakub, P.G.  
Alameda County Environmental Health  
(510) 639-1287 (direct)  
(510) 337-9335 (fax)  
[barbara.jakub@acgov.org](mailto:barbara.jakub@acgov.org)

Online case files are available at the website below  
<http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm>

---

**From:** Debbie Bryan [mailto:DBryan@deltaenv.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 15, 2008 2:34 PM  
**To:** Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health  
**Cc:** Terry.L.Grayson@contractor.conocophillips.com  
**Subject:** COP #0018 and COP #5781

Hi Barbara,

I have recently assumed the Project Manager role for Sites 0018 and 5781 from Daniel Davis.

Delta is currently preparing *Site Conceptual Model* reports for both sites, with anticipated submittal dates no later than mid-September.

Per the emails below, your concerns for each site will be addressed in the context of the SCM reports.

Meanwhile, I have attached the WOT soil sampling data for 5781 and below are my notes so far on the downgradient plume issue at 0081.

### 0018

- Shell has an MTBE plume dating back to 1996 (max concentration = 78,000 ppb in 1997); current concentrations range from ND to 300 ppb.
- Shell's upgradient well (closest to COP site) has never had an MTBE detection.
- Max MTBE detected in gw in our COP site wells was 150 ppb in 2001. No MTBE was detected in gw or soil samples collected from UST pit in 1997, and only 1.4 ppm of MTBE detected beneath dispensers.

\* Conclusion: COP MTBE soil mass and plume are "minor", and appears not to have migrated to Shell site - which historically has had it's own large MTBE plume (now mainly remediated/attenuated).

Please contact me with any questions.

**Debbie Bryan**  
Project Manager

Delta Consultants  
Ph: 408-826-1873  
Fax: 408-225-8506  
dbryan@deltaenv.com

*Confidentiality Notice: If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please delete it. Thank you.*

---

**From:** Grayson, Terry L (DXT Services) [mailto:Terry.L.Grayson@contractor.conocophillips.com]  
**Sent:** Thu 8/7/2008 5:57 PM  
**To:** Ken Thomas  
**Cc:** Debbie Bryan  
**Subject:** FW: Agency status

Hi Ken,

As a follow-up to a few items--could you please have Debbie Bryan do a quick status if possible by tomorrow to me on Site(s) 0018 & 5781

Thanks,

Terry

---

**From:** Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health [mailto:barbara.jakub@acgov.org]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 04, 2008 11:59 AM  
**To:** Grayson, Terry L (DXT Services); Chan, Barney, Env. Health  
**Cc:** Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health  
**Subject:** RE: Agency status

Terry,

Barney is no longer in the LOP. I have all of those sites except the Dublin site. My comments on the sites are below. Please discuss this with Bill Borgh to clarify any remaining issues.

Regards

---

**From:** Grayson, Terry L (DXT Services) [mailto:Terry.L.Grayson@contractor.conocophillips.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 04, 2008 11:36 AM  
**To:** Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health; Chan, Barney, Env. Health  
**Subject:** Agency status

Hi Barbara and Barney,

As I have discussed with Barbara I know you are both very busy. I have met recently with the consultants responsible for several of the sites in ACEH and if possible would like a quick update on your internal review status. My understanding is that you (your group) is reviewing the latest information sent in and we are waiting on a response. Any help in determining the timing for the response would be appreciated and help us with our planning through year end.

Barbara.

Unocal 0018--RO # 243 6201 Claremont, Oakland \*\*\* At our April Mtg., I requested that Delta review the data from the nearby Shell to determine if MTBE has migrated off-site. I am waiting for their response.

Unocal 3135 --RO # 408 6535 San Leandro, " I mailed a letter on July 15<sup>th</sup> requesting a work plan.

Unocal 4625--RO # 298 3070 Fruitvale Ave, " I mailed a letter on July 25<sup>th</sup> requesting a work plan and preferential pathway eval. This was mailed to Bill so you may have not received it.

Unocal 5781--RO # 253 3535 Pierson St, " \*\*\* At the meeting, Daniel told me that your construction folks were planning on some upgrades and that they would be there to sample and that I should be receiving some analytical data. This site has one well on the opposite side of a sewer easement from the dispensers, UST, waste-oil tank.

Unocal 3737--RO # 67 1400 Powell St, Emeryville At our meeting in April, I requested that they review TRC's work plan and resubmit one which includes more borings and all of the analytes originally requested. I have been waiting for them.

Unocal 5748--RO # ? 6401 Dublin Blvd, Dublin I will pass this along to the caseworker.

\*\*\* Of higher concern are both 0018 and 5781 since again my understanding is both of these are just waiting upon your group final notice of No Further Action and Pending Closure.

Both of your help on the above sites would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,

Terry L. Grayson  
DXT SERVICES  
Approved Services Provider of ConocoPhillips

Client Services Contact Information  
76 Broadway Street  
Sacramento, CA 95818  
916-558-7666 o  
916-558-7639 f