

Beck Roofing, 21123 Meekland Ave, Hayward, CA  
StId 3030  
Meeting on 8/10/95 on-site

Met w/Mr. Beck and Bill Welter with Lush Geosciences on 08/10/95 at the site. Mr. Beck was present briefly at the beginning of the visit. This visit was an opportunity for me to see the site and to discuss with Mr. Welter and Mr. Beck their plans for future work. Mr. Welter provided me with the 3rd QMR for 1995.

Currently, about 1/3 of the site is covered with soil that was excavated from last years oe activities of the tank pit. This soil is being aerated and will be sampled next month. If soil results indicate ND, then the soil will be reused during future overexcavation activities that are planned to occur, possibly, in October. The second phase of oe activities will include removing soil from the north and west sides of the excavation. Mr. Welter suspects that they will excavate north out to the concrete entrance of the office and east to the entrance gates and north to south on the west side (north from the office entrance on south).

Mr. Welter and I discussed other remedial alternatives for the site. He does not believe the SVE or AS are viable alternatives since the sediments are so tight and sand lenses would allow for preferential pathways.

I, also, explained to both Mr. Welter and Mr. Beck that source removal is very important, but another issue that needs attention is delineating the extent of groundwater contamination at the site. Because the gradient is very flat at the site and diffusional forces are at work, groundwater will need to be delineated to the north in order to determine the proper corrective action for gw, if any and, also, in order to apply for closure or NAA. Mr. Welter was not aware of the groundwater data collected north of the tank pit by Louis A. Richardson in 7/93. He told me he suspects this data is not correct. I indicated that if that is true, we need to verify that via further investigation.

I asked him what he thought about completing a soil and groundwater study using hydropunch or geoprobe to help delineate contamination in soil and gw to assist in determining what needs to be done so the site can be directed toward closure as soon as possible. He said he thought the Becks may be more conducive to an investigation like this once they can get funding from the State. He thought hydropunch would work better than a geoprobe. *why?*

I told him I would look into whether ~~would~~<sup>would</sup> could accept a hydropunch study in lieu of a permanent monitoring well north of the former tank pit.