


ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION  
96 NOV 32 AM 10:46



**LUSH GEOSCIENCES**  
I N C O R P O R A T E D  

---

GEOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

---

@ 12/6/96 ★ MTBE reporting req'd!

- MW-1, MW-2, & MW-4 had low levels of TPH-G + BTEX which is higher or similar to past events.
- MW-3 is consistent w/ historical levels.
- Could consider reducing sampling frequency to 2x/yr (one wet + one dry event/yr) & concentrate on defining to the N-NW.
- Schedule meeting to get Tier 1 RBCA underway!

**LUSH GEOSCIENCES**  
**I N C O R P O R A T E D**  
GEOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

**QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT  
BECK ROOFING  
HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**

**LUSH GEOSCIENCES JOB NO. 423-001**


**NOVEMBER 26, 1996**



F. William Welter  
Project Manager



Andrew P. Lush  
RG 4421



**LUSH**  
**GEOSCIENCES, INC.**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0 SITE BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 PREVIOUS WORK .....	1
<b>3.0 QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER SAMPLING.....</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1 FIELD PROCEDURES .....	2
3.2 GROUNDWATER ANALYSES .....	4
3.3 GROUNDWATER GRADIENT.....	7
3.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL.....	10
3.4.1 LABORATORY QA/QC .....	10
<b>4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6.0 LIMITATIONS.....</b>	<b>11</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE 1 - PURGED WATER PARAMETERS, GROUNDWATER WELLS.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TABLE 2 - RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES, .....</b>	<b>5</b>
GROUNDWATER SAMPLES	
<b>TABLE 3 -GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA .....</b>	<b>7</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE 1 - SITE LOCATION MAP</b>	
<b>FIGURE 2 - GROUNDWATER POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAP (11/5/96)</b>	

## APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX A - REPORTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES</b>	
--	--

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

---

This report was prepared to summarize quarterly monitoring work performed in the investigation of contamination associated with one former 1,000-gallon underground gasoline storage tank at the Beck Roofing Facility in Hayward, California (site). The report describes methods and procedures used to evaluate groundwater quality near the former tank. The methods and procedures used during this phase of investigation included:

- Collecting groundwater samples from the four previously installed wells;
- Analyzing the groundwater samples; and,
- Preparing this report.

This report summarizes the field and laboratory operations conducted, the methods and procedures used, the data obtained, and presents conclusions and recommendations.

## 2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

---

The site is an operating roofing company. One wooden structure located on the northwest side of the site contains office and warehouse space. The remainder of the site is used for equipment and materials storage. In May of 1990, a 1,000-gallon underground fuel tank, used to store gasoline, was removed. When the tank was removed, evidence of leakage was noted in soil adjacent to the tank.

We have attached a Generalized Site Plan (Figure 2), showing the site configuration.

### 2.1 Previous Work

Previous work, performed by other consultants, includes excavation of approximately 350 cubic yards of contaminated soil, drilling and sampling 20 soil borings, installation of four groundwater monitoring wells, excavation of an additional 400 cu yd of contaminated soil, and quarterly monitoring of the wells. Previous analyses have shown variable contaminant concentrations in one well (MW3), and slight to non-detectable levels in the remaining wells.

### 3.0 QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

---

#### 3.1 Field Procedures

Groundwater samples were collected from each well on November 5, 1996. Sampling activities were conducted as follows:

- Water and product levels were determined using an electronic water sensitive measuring device. Depth to water or product was measured to an accuracy of 0.01 ft. No free product was encountered.
- Prior to sampling, each well was purged with a submersible pump until at least 3 well volumes of water were removed. The purged water was monitored for temperature, pH, and electrical conductivity (Table 1). Purging continued until these parameters stabilized. The well was allowed to recover until at least 80% of the initial water level had been reached.
- After each well stabilized, a sample was collected with an unused, clean, disposable polyethylene bailer. The collected sample was transferred from the bailer to appropriate 40-ml glass sample vials. All sample containers were filled completely with a convex meniscus to eliminate any trapped air or headspace. Each sample container cap was fitted with a Teflon septum.
- After sampling, the samples were labeled, showing the sample number, well number, date, time, samplers name, and preservation. The samples were refrigerated in a cooler containing ice until delivery to the laboratory to perform the specified analyses. Chain-of-custody documentation was maintained from the sampling location to the laboratory. The chain-of-custody was signed by the sampler and placed in the container holding the samples.

**TABLE 1**  
**PURGED WATER PARAMETERS**  
**GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**

Page 1 of 2

Well Number	Date	Subjective Evidence	T(°F)	pH	K	3WV	Volume Purged	
MW1	10/25/94	No Odor	64.7	6.98	1930	27	30	
MW2	10/25/94	No Odor	63.8	6.92	2600	27	30	
MW3	10/25/94	No Odor	66.5	6.90	2600	27	30	
MW4	10/25/94	No Odor	64.5	8.61	2400	27	30	
MW1	1/20/95	No Odor	62.9	7.37	570	27	30	
MW2	1/20/95	No Odor	62.1	7.20	775	27	30	
MW3	1/20/95	No Odor	63.6	7.10	870	27	30	
MW4	1/20/95	No Odor	63.3	7.26	728	27	30	
MW1	4/11/95	No Odor	65.9	6.66	637	30	35	
MW2	4/11/95	No Odor	72.9	6.63	926	30	35	
MW3	4/11/95	Odor	70.8	6.62	873	30	35	
MW4	4/11/95	No Odor	69.2	6.68	791	30	35	
MW1	7/13/95		INACCESSIBLE					
MW2	7/13/95	No Odor	73.6	6.30	819	30	35	
MW3	7/13/95	Odor	75.0	6.60	800	30	35	
MW4	7/13/95	No Odor	75.0	7.0	739	30	35	
MW1	10/10/95	No Odor	68.7	7.2	544	30	30	
MW2	10/10/95	No Odor	68.4	7.05	732	30	30	
MW3	10/10/95	Odor	68.0	7.79	704	30	30	
MW4	10/10/95	No Odor	68.1	7.01	693	30	30	

Continued on Next Page

K = Conductivity in micromhos  
T = Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit  
pH = Hydrogen ion concentration  
3WV = Calculated three well volumes in gallons

**TABLE 1**  
**PURGED WATER PARAMETERS**  
**GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**

Page 2 of 2

Well Number	Date	Subjective Evidence	T(°F)	pH	K	3WV	Volume Purged
MW1	1/11/96	No Odor	67.0	6.81	565	30	30
MW2	1/11/96	No Odor	65.8	6.43	734	30	30
MW3	1/11/96	No Odor	63.1	7.59	690	30	30
MW4	1/11/96	No Odor	63.2	7.59	644	30	30
MW1	4/23/96	No Odor	67.3	6.54	1187	30	30
MW2	4/23/96	No Odor	67.9	6.51	1613	30	30
MW3	4/23/96	No Odor	66.5	6.87	980	30	30
MW4	4/23/96	No Odor	66.4	6.52	1416	30	30
MW1	7/30/96	No Odor	68.2	6.74	511	30	30
MW2	7/30/96	No Odor	68.0	6.60	686	30	30
MW3	7/30/96	Sl. Odor	70.8	6.90	664	30	30
MW4	7/30/96	No Odor	69.0	6.62	626	30	30
MW1	11/5/96	No Odor	64.6	6.6	940	30	30
MW2	11/5/96	No Odor	65.7	6.7	1155	30	30
MW3	11/5/96	Sl. Odor	65.5	6.6	1155	30	30
MW4	11/5/96	No Odor	65.1	6.6	1100	30	30

K = Conductivity in micromhos  
T = Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit  
pH = Hydrogen ion concentration  
3WV = Calculated three well volumes in gallons

### 3.2 Groundwater Analyses

Groundwater samples from each accessible well were analyzed for TPHg using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8015 (modified for gasoline) with purge and trap EPA Method 5030, and for the associated volatile constituents BTEX using EPA Method 602 with purge and trap EPA Method 5030. Results of the analyses are summarized in Table 2; copies of laboratory reports are attached as Appendix A. All analyses were conducted by

Excelchem Environmental Laboratories of Roseville, California, which is certified by the State of California for the requested analyses.

**TABLE 2**  
**RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES**  
**GROUNDWATER SAMPLES**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**  
Page 1 of 2

Well Number and Date	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-benzene	Total Xylenes
<b>MW1</b>					
8/4/94	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0005
10/25/94	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
1/20/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
4/11/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
7/13/95	INACCESSIBLE				
10/10/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<b>0.0012</b>
1/11/96	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
4/23/96	<b>0.53</b>	<0.0003	<b>0.00064</b>	<0.0003	<b>0.00082</b>
7/30/96	<0.05	<b>0.0013</b>	<b>0.0021</b>	<b>0.00064</b>	<b>0.003</b>
11/5/96	<b>0.139</b>	<b>0.0022</b>	<b>0.0073</b>	<b>0.0022</b>	<b>0.0231</b>
<b>MW2</b>					
8/4/94	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0005
10/25/94	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
1/20/95	<0.05	<b>0.0010</b>	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
4/11/95	<0.05	<b>0.0012</b>	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
7/13/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
10/10/95	<0.05	<b>0.00069</b>	<0.0003	<0.0003	<b>0.052</b>
1/11/96	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<b>0.00067</b>
4/23/96	<b>0.039</b>	<b>0.00029</b>	<b>0.00068</b>	<0.0003	<b>0.00066</b>
7/30/96	<0.05	<b>0.0034</b>	<b>0.0056</b>	<b>0.0017</b>	<b>0.0093</b>
11/5/96	<b>0.292</b>	<b>0.0093</b>	<b>0.0293</b>	<b>0.0057</b>	<b>0.057</b>

Continued on Next Page

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons  
Results given in milligrams per liter (parts per million)  
< = Less than laboratory minimum detection limits  
MW1 = Monitoring well number



**TABLE 2**  
**RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES**  
**GROUNDWATER SAMPLES**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**  
Page 2 of 2

Well Number and Date	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes
<b>MW3</b>					
8/4/94	4.2	0.45	<0.003	0.18	0.16
10/25/94	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
1/20/95	4.4	0.58 ←	0.002	0.130	0.160
4/11/95	1.8	0.088	0.0014	0.033	0.027
7/13/95	3.4	0.5	<0.0003	0.130	0.094
10/10/95	4.2	0.360	0.0024	0.190	0.096
1/11/96	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
4/23/96	0.079	0.0012	0.00033	0.00045	0.00048
7/30/96	3.8	0.24	0.0082	0.014	0.091
11/5/96	3.09	0.242	0.036	0.070	0.116
<i>↖ 26.72' bgs</i>					
<b>MW4</b>					
8/4/94	<0.05	<0.003	0.0005	<0.0003	<0.0005
10/25/94	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
1/20/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
4/11/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
7/13/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
10/10/95	<0.05	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
1/11/96	<0.05	0.0021	0.004	<0.0003	0.00079
4/23/96	0.043	0.00042	0.0011	0.00039	0.00079
7/23/96	<0.05	0.00097	0.0017	0.00067	0.003
11/5/96	0.090	0.0022	0.0067	0.002	0.0112
TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons					
Results given in milligrams per liter (parts per million)					
< = Less than laboratory minimum detection limits					
MW1 = Monitoring well number					

### 3.3 Groundwater Gradient

The groundwater gradient was approximated from calculations made using surveyed wellhead elevations and locations in combination with depth to groundwater measurements made on November 5, 1996, (Table 3) (Figures 3 and 4). The data indicate that groundwater flow direction was oriented S66°W. The gradient data is very consistent with data generated during the preceding twelve months indicating a southwesterly flow across the site.

<b>TABLE 3</b> <b>GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA</b> <b>BECK ROOFING FACILITY</b> <b>HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA</b> Page 1 of 4				
Well Number	Elevation of Top of Casing (ft. above MSL)	Depth to Water (ft. below top of casing)	Water-level Elevation (ft. above MSL)	Gradient and Direction
<b>8/4/94</b>				
MW1	58.55	29.96	29.29	
MW2	58.65	29.35	29.30	
MW3	58.52	29.27	29.25	
MW4	58.01	28.80	29.21	
<b>10/25/94</b>				
MW1	58.55	30.10	28.45	
MW2	58.65	30.15	28.50	0.0009 ft/ft
MW3	58.52	30.10	28.42	S22°W
MW4	58.01	29.60	28.41	
<b>1/20/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	26.57	31.98	
MW2	58.65	26.65	32.00	0.0002 ft/ft
MW3	58.52	26.54	31.98	S0°W
MW4	58.01	26.03	31.98	
<b>4/11/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	23.87	34.68	
MW2	58.65	23.92	34.73	0.0009 ft/ft
MW3	58.52	23.87	34.65	S24°W
MW4	58.01	23.38	34.63	
Continued on Next Page				
TOC = Top of the well casing (elevation in ft. above mean sea level- AMSL)				
Gradient = groundwater gradient in ft per ft				
Direction = groundwater flow direction				

**TABLE 3**  
**GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**

Page 2 of 4

Well Number	Elevation of Top of Casing (ft. above MSL)	Depth to Water (ft. below top of casing)	Water-level Elevation (ft. above MSL)	Gradient and Direction
<b>5/09/95</b>				
MW2	58.55	24.65	33.90	
MW2	58.65	24.735	33.915	0.00125ft/ft
MW3	58.52	24.66	33.86	S65°W
MW4	58.01	24.20	33.81	
<b>6/09/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	25.39	33.16	
MW2	58.65	25.47	33.18	0.0008ft/ft
MW3	58.52	25.40	33.12	S59°W
MW4	58.01	24.92	33.10	
<b>7/13/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	INACCESSIBLE		
MW2	58.65	26.0	32.65	
MW3	58.52	25.95	32.57	
MW4	58.01	25.5	32.51	
<b>8/10/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	26.33	32.16	
MW2	58.65	26.48	32.17	
MW3	58.52	26.43	32.09	
MW4	58.01	25.97	32.04	
<b>9/14/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	26.84	31.71	
MW2	58.65	26.92	31.73	
MW3	58.52	26.87	31.65	
MW4	58.01	26.42	31.30	
<b>10/10/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	27.18	31.37	
MW2	58.65	27.27	31.38	
MW3	58.52	27.22	31.30	
MW4	58.01	26.76	31.25	
TOC = Top of the well casing (elevation in ft. above mean sea level- AMSL)				
Gradient = groundwater gradient in ft per ft				
Direction = groundwater flow direction				

**TABLE 3**  
**GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**  
**Page 3 of 4**

Well Number	Elevation of Top of Casing (ft. above MSL)	Depth to Water (ft. below top of casing)	Water-level Elevation (ft. above MSL)	Gradient and Direction
<b>11/7/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	27.52	31.03	
MW2	58.65	27.60	31.05	0.001ft/ft
MW3	58.52	27.55	30.97	S65°W
MW4	58.01	27.08	30.93	
<b>12/6/95</b>				
MW1	58.55	27.80	30.75	
MW2	58.65	27.88	30.77	0.001ft/ft
MW3	58.52	27.83	30.65	S63°W
MW4	58.01	27.37	30.64	
<b>1/11/96</b>				
MW1	58.55	26.76	31.79	
MW2	58.65	26.84	31.81	0.001ft/ft
MW3	58.52	26.77	31.75	S67°W
MW4	58.01	26.30	31.71	
<b>2/7/96</b>				
MW1	58.55	24.24	34.31	
MW2	58.65	24.32	34.33	0.0007ft/ft
MW3	58.52	24.26	34.26	S57°W
MW4	58.01	23.76	34.25	
<b>4/23/96</b>				
MW1	58.55	23.02	35.53	
MW2	58.65	23.09	35.56	0.0014ft/ft
MW3	58.52	23.06	35.46	S63°W
MW4	58.01	22.60	35.41	
TOC = Top of the well casing (elevation in ft. above mean sea level- AMSL) Gradient = groundwater gradient in ft per ft Direction = groundwater flow direction				

**TABLE 3**  
**GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**  
**Page 4 of 4**

Well Number	Elevation of Top of Casing (ft. above MSL)	Depth to Water (ft. below top of casing)	Water-level Elevation (ft. above MSL)	Gradient and Direction
<b>7/30/96</b>				
MW1	58.55	25.18	33.37	
MW2	58.65	25.25	33.40	0.0015ft/ft
MW3	58.52	25.23	33.29	S69°W
MW4	58.01	24.79	33.22	
<b>11/5/96</b>				
MW1	58.55	26.69	31.86	
MW2	58.65	26.76	31.89	0.0012
MW3	58.52	<u>26.72</u>	31.80	S66°W
MW4	58.01	26.27	31.74	
TOC = Top of the well casing (elevation in ft. above mean sea level- AMSL) Gradient = groundwater gradient in ft per ft Direction = groundwater flow direction				

### 3.4 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

All field equipment was cleaned and decontaminated prior to being introduced into the sampling environment. Each sample was collected using a dedicated, disposable bailer. Care was taken to prevent the bailer from becoming contaminated prior to being introduced into the sampling environment.

#### 3.4.1 Laboratory QA/QC

Excelchem is certified by the CalEPA Hazardous Waste Testing Laboratory Certification Program to conduct the analyses requested. The methods used by the laboratory are published and approved analytical methods which have built-in QA/QC practices. Other QA/QC practices are part of CalEPA's certification program. The laboratory provided pertinent QA/QC documents pertaining to the analytical protocol. These QA/QC documents include surrogate recovery data and analytical charts including those of the spikes and matrix spike duplicates. Copies of these documents were incorporated into the laboratory reports of analyses (Appendix A).

## 4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

---

Gasoline in was detected in MW3 at a concentration of 3.09 ppm with proportionate concentrations of all of the volatile constituents. Generally, the concentrations are consistent with earlier historic data for that well. Gasoline was detected in wells MW1, MW2, and MW4 at concentrations of 0.139, 0.292, and 0.090 ppm respectively. All of the volatile constituents were also detected in those wells at proportionate concentrations. The detection of petroleum hydrocarbons in wells MW1, MW2, and MW3 during this sampling event, and recent previous events, may still be indicative the plume is migrating.

Monthly groundwater elevation data indicates that the groundwater has been receding since April 1996. The groundwater elevation measured during this event is roughly 1.5 ft lower than was measured during the last regular quarterly monitoring event in July 1996.

## 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

---

The present data continues to suggest the groundwater contamination plume may be beginning to migrate. It is our understanding that Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) is considering closure of the site. Consequently, further quarterly monitoring, or additional evaluation should be undertaken as directed by ACDEH.

## 6.0 LIMITATIONS

---


The above conclusions are based on our assessment of conditions indicated to exist as of the dates of our field work. Our assessment included review of previous documents and interviews with state or local regulatory persons familiar with the area. This assessment was conducted in accordance with generally accepted standards of environmental geological practice at the time it was performed. The results of this assessment do not preclude the possibility that substances that are currently, or which in the future may be defined as hazardous, may be present on the property because of activities that we could not identify, or in locations which were not sampled.

Our conclusions are based on groundwater sample analyses representative of contaminant concentrations at the locations sampled. These results are considered indicative of site conditions, but such conditions may vary away from the points sampled. Further investigation, including additional subsurface exploration and laboratory testing of soil and groundwater



Beck Roofing  
Hayward, California

November 26, 1996  
Quarterly Monitoring Report

samples can reduce the uncertainties inherent in this type of limited environmental assessment.  
No soil engineering or geotechnical references are made, nor should they be inferred.




Reference: USGS 7.5'- series topographic map of the Hayward Quadrangle (photorevised 1980)



**SITE LOCATION MAP  
BECK ROOFING FACILITY  
21123 MEEKLAND AVENUE**

**HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**





**GENERALIZED SITE PLAN**

**BECK ROOFING FACILITY  
21123 MEEKLAND AVENUE  
HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA**

LUSH GEOSCIENCES



North

⊕ Monitoring Well Location





FIGURE 2




**POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAP**  
**NOVEMBER 5, 1996**  
**BECK ROOFING FACILITY**  
**21123 MEEKLAND AVENUE**



North

⊕ Monitoring Well Location



LUSH GEOSCIENCES

FIGURE 3

**Excelchem**  
Environmental Labs

4946 Watt Avenue, #38  
North Highlands, CA 95660  
(916)334-8661

### CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

Project Manager: *Bill Walker* Phone #: *737-9298*

#### ANALYSIS REQUEST

*1196024*

TAT

Company/Address: *LUSH GEOSCIENCE* FAX #: *737-9298*

Project Number: *H23-001* P.O.#: Project Name: *Beck Roofing*

Project Location: *HAYWARD* Sampler Signature: *Bill Walker*

Sample ID	Sampling		Container		Method Preserved				Matrix		BTEX (602/8020)	BTEX/TPH as Gasoline (602/8020/8015)	TPH as Diesel (8015)	TPH as Oil (8015)	Total Oil & Grease (5520 B/E,F)	Total Oil & Grease IR (5520 B/E,F,C)	96 - Hour Fish Bioassay	EPA 601/8010	EPA 602/8020	EPA 615/8150	EPA 608/8080 - Pesticides	EPA 608/8080-PCBs	EPA 624/8240	EPA 625/8270	ORGANIC LEAD	Reactivity, Corrosivity, Ignitibility	CAMS - 17 Metals	EPA - Priority Pollutant Metals	LEAD(7420/7421/239.2)	Cd, Cr, Pb, Zn, Ni							RUSH SERVICE (12 hr) or (24 hr)	EXPEDITED SERVICE (48 hr) or (1 wk)	STANDARD SERVICE (2wk)					
	DATE	TIME	VOA	SLEEVE	1L GLASS	1L PLASTIC	HCl	HNO3	ICE	NONE																														WATER	SOIL			
MW-1	11- <del>5</del>	1300	✓							Y		Y																																
MW-2	✓	1245	✓							Y		Y																																
MW-3	✓	1335	✓							Y		Y																																
MW-4	✓	1315	✓							Y		Y																																

Relinquished by: <i>Bill Walker</i>	Date Time <i>11/4/16 3:00</i>	Received by: <i>[Signature]</i>
Relinquished by: <i>[Signature]</i>	Date Time	Received by:
Relinquished by: <i>[Signature]</i>	Date Time <i>11/5/16 3:00</i>	Received by Laboratory: <i>[Signature]</i>

Remarks:  
  
  
Bill To:

**EXCELCHEM  
ENVIRONMENTAL LABS**

500 Giuseppe Court, Suite 9  
Roseville, CA 95678  
Phone#: (916) 773-3664 Fax#: (916) 773-4784



**ANALYSIS REPORT**

Attention:	Mr. Bill Welter LUSH GEOSCIENCES 3560 Business Drive, Suite 120 Sacramento, CA 95618	Date Sampled :	11-05-96
		Date Received:	11-05-96
		BTEX Analyzed:	11-07-96
		TPHg Analyzed:	11-07-96
Project:	423-001/Beck Roofing	Matrix:	Water

	Benzene <u>PPB</u>	Toluene <u>PPB</u>	Ethyl- benzene <u>PPB</u>	Total Xylenes <u>PPB</u>	TPHg <u>PPB</u>
Reporting Limit:	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	50

**SAMPLE  
Laboratory Identification:**

MW-1 ✓ W1196076	2.2	7.3	2.2	23.1	139
MW-2 ✓ W1196077	9.3	29.3	5.7	57.0	292
MW-4 ✓ W1196079	2.2	6.7	2.0	11.2	90

ppb= Parts per billion = ug/L = micrograms per liter  
ND = Not detected. Compound(s) may be present at concentrations below the reporting limit.

**ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES**

BTEX-- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylene isomers (BTEX) are analyzed by using EPA Method 602 which utilizes a gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a photoionization detector (PID).


TPHg--Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (low-to-medium boiling points) are analyzed by using modified EPA Method 8015, which utilizes a GC equipped with an FID.

  
Laboratory Representative

11-12-96  
Date Reported

**EXCELICHEM  
ENVIRONMENTAL LABS**

500 Giuseppe Court, Suite 9  
Roseville, CA 95678  
Phone#: (916) 773-3664 Fax#: (916) 773-4784



**ANALYSIS REPORT**

Attention: Mr. Bill Welter Date Sampled: 11-05-96  
LUSH GEOSCIENCES Date Received: 11-05-96  
3560 Business Drive, Suite 120 BTEX Analyzed: 11-07-96  
Sacramento, CA 95618 TPHg Analyzed: 11-07-96

Project: 423-001/Beck Roofing Matrix: Water

	Benzene <u>PPB</u>	Toluene <u>PPB</u>	Ethyl- benzene <u>PPB</u>	Total Xylenes <u>PPB</u>	TPHg <u>PPB</u>
Reporting Limit:	10	10	10	10	1000
<b>SAMPLE</b>					
Laboratory Identification:					
MW-3 ✓ W1196078	242	36	70	116	3090

ppb= Parts per billion = ug/L = micrograms per liter

ND = Not detected. Compound(s) may be present at concentrations below the reporting limit.

**ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES**

**BTEX--** Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylene isomers (BTEX) are analyzed by using EPA Method 602 which utilizes a gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a photoionization detector (PID).

**TPHg--** Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (low-to-medium boiling points) are analyzed by using modified EPA Method 8015, which utilizes a GC equipped with an FID.


  
Laboratory Representative

11-12-96  
Date Reported

**EXCELCHEM  
ENVIRONMENTAL LABS**

500 Giuseppe Court, Suite 9  
Roseville, CA 95678

Phone#: (916) 773-3664 Fax#: (916) 773-4784



**QA/QC REPORT**

Attention: Mr. Bill Welter  
LUSH GEOSCIENCES  
3560 Business Drive, Suite 120  
Sacramento, CA 95618

BTEX Analyzed: 11-07-96  
Matrix: Water

Project: 423-001/Beck Roofing

	Benzene <u>PPB</u>	Toluene <u>PPB</u>	Ethyl- benzene <u>PPB</u>	Total Xylenes <u>PPB</u>
Reporting Limit:	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

**QA/QC PARAMETER**

Matrix Blank	ND	ND	ND	ND
--------------	----	----	----	----

**PERCENT RECOVERIES**

Matrix Spike	105%	105%	104%	108%
Matrix Spike Duplicate	99%	98%	98%	102%

ppb = parts per billion = ug/L = microgram per liter

ND = Not detected. Compound(s) may be present at concentrations below the reporting limit.

All surrogate recoveries were within 30% of target values.

Spikes & Spike Duplicates were each spiked with 250 ng BTEX standard.

**ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES**

BTEX-- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylene isomers (BTEX) are measured by extraction using EPA Method 5030 followed by analysis using EPA Method 602 which utilizes a gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a photoionization detector (PID).

  
Laboratory Representative

11-12-96  
Date Reported

**LUSH GEOSCIENCES**  
**I N C O R P O R A T E D**  
GEOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION  
96 NOV 32 AM 10:46

November 26, 1996  
423-001

Ms. ~~Juliet Shin~~ *Amy Leech*  
Alameda County Health Services  
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway  
Alameda, California 94502

Subject: Transmittal of the Quarterly Monitoring Report  
for Beck Roofing Facility  
21123 Meekland Avenue, Hayward, California

Dear Ms. ~~Shin~~ *Leech*

Enclosed, please find one copy of the Quarterly Monitoring Report for Beck Roofing Facility located at 21123 Meekland Avenue in Hayward, California. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other aspect of this project, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely

**LUSH GEOSCIENCES**



Andrew P. Lush  
President