Certified Mail # 7099 3400 0014 9713 8894

VIP Service Station C/O Patel L. B. 385 Century Circle Danville, CA 94526 April 26, 2004

Mr. Scott Seery Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbour Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

Subject: Submittal of Semi-Annual Ground Monitoring Reports

Dear Mr. Seery,

This letter is being written to submit the Semi-Annual Ground Monitoring Reports (0047.R30, R31 and R32) for the subject site at 3889 Castro Valley Blvd, Castro Valley, CA 94547. These reports are for you review and information for potentially closure of the site.

In addition, we have submitted an action plan in response your request of September 4, 2002 on December 4, 2002. We are anxiously waiting for your response.

Should you have any questions, please write us or call me at 925-838-0768.

Sincerely,

L.B. Patel

Attachiment (Reports)

RAPALLE

### P & D ENVIRONMENTAL

A Division of Paul H. King, Inc. 4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611 (510) 658-6916

January 13, 2003 Report 0047.R30

Mr. L.B. Patel Mr. P. Gupta VIP Service 385 Century Circle Danville, CA 94526



SUBJECT:

SEMI-ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING

REPORT VIP Service

3889 Castro Valley Blvd.

Castro Valley, CA

#### Gentlemen:

P&D Environmental, a division of Paul H. King, Inc. (P&D) is pleased to present this report documenting the results of the semi-annual monitoring and sampling of groundwater monitoring wells MW1, MW2, and MW3 at the subject site. This work was performed in accordance with P&D's proposal 033099.P1 dated March 30, 1999 and requirements set forth in a letter from Mr. Scott Seery of the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) dated March 18, 1994 for the subject site. Based upon a telephone conversation with Mr. Seery on July 31, 1995, the sampling of monitoring wells MW1 and MW2 was reduced to semi-annually. Based upon subsequent conversations, the sampling and monitoring of well MW3 has also been reduced to semi-annually. In addition, it was agreed that no further analysis for TPH-D will be performed for well MW3.

The monitoring and sampling was performed on December 21, 2002. The reporting period is for May through December, 2002. A Site Location Map (Figure 1) and Site Plan (Figure 2) are attached with this report.

#### BACKGROUND

It is P&D's understanding that the site was purchased by VIP Service in December, 1984. Prior to purchase of the property by VIP Service, the site was operated as a retail gasoline station for an undetermined period of time. The site was operated as a retail gasoline station from the time of purchase by VIP Service until the tanks were removed by Accutite on April 26, 1993. The underground tank system consisted of three 10,000 gallon capacity gasoline tanks, two dispenser islands, and one 550 gallon waste oil tank. It is P&D's understanding that the fuel tanks contained leaded and unleaded gasoline while in use by VIP Service. In addition, VIP Service reported that diesel fuel was not stored at the site at any time.

It is P&D's understanding that at the time of tank removal, eight soil samples were collected from the sidewalls of the fuel tank pit, and one soil sample was collected from the waste oil tank pit. Groundwater was reported to have been encountered in the fuel tank pit at a depth of approximately 11 feet. One water sample was collected from the water in the fuel tank pit. On April 28, 1993 Accutite returned to the site and collected seven soil samples from beneath the dispenser islands.

All of the samples were analyzed at Sequoia Analytical in Redwood City, California for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline (TPH-G); Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes (BTEX); and for Total Lead. In addition, the samples from the waste oil tank were analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel (TPH-D); Total Oil and Grease (TOG); Halogenated Volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8010; Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8270; and for the metals Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, Nickel and Zinc.

The results of the soil samples collected from the fuel tank pit showed TPH-G concentrations ranging from 120 to 6,200 parts per million (ppm), and total lead results ranging from not detected to 13 ppm. The results of the water sample from the fuel tank pit showed 140 ppm TPH-G, and 0.095 ppm total lead.

The results of the soil samples collected from beneath the fuel dispensers showed TPH-G values ranging from not detected to 4.7 ppm, and total lead values ranging from not detected to 7.6 ppm.

The results of the sample collected from the waste oil tank pit showed 670 ppm TPH-G; 410 ppm TPH-D; 1,300 ppm TOG; 0.023 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane and 0.0094 ppm Tetrachloroethylene in the EPA Method 8010 analysis; 2.7 ppm 2-Methylnapthalene and 3.8 ppm Naphthalene in the EPA Method 8270 analysis; and various metals concentrations, none of which exceeded ten times their respective STLC values. The laboratory identified the TPH-D results as being a "non-diesel mix," and indicated that the compounds reported as diesel were diesel-range gasoline and diesel-range oil compounds.

Between August 27 and November 1, 1993 P&D personnel collected stockpiled soil samples for stockpiled soil disposal characterization and oversaw the excavation of approximately 680 cubic yards of soil from the vicinity of the fuel tank pit in an effort to remove petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted soil. In addition, during this time the soil which was stockpiled by Accutite during the tank removal activities and during the subsequent soil excavation activities was disposed of at an appropriate disposal facility, and the tank pit backfilled and compacted. A total of eight confirmation soil samples were collected from the sidewalls of the tank pit on November 19, 1993 at a depth of 10 feet after over-excavation and prior to backfilling. The analytical results of the samples ranged from 33 to 3,200 ppm TPH-G. Documentation of excavation, stockpiled soil characterization and disposal, and backfilling of the pit are provided in P&D's report 0047.R1 dated January 24, 1994. The samples results associated with the removal of the tanks by Accutite are also summarized in P&D's report 0047.R1.

On November 10, 1993 P&D personnel oversaw the installation of three groundwater monitoring wells, designated as MW1 through MW3, and one exploratory soil boring, designated as B1, at the subject site. The wells were developed on November 12 and sampled on November 16, 1993. The results of the water samples showed that TPH-G was not detected in wells MW1 and MW2, and that BTEX was not detected in MW2. In well MW1, 0.0022 ppm of benzene was detected. In well MW3, TPH-G was detected at 12 ppm; BTEX was detected with benzene detected at 3.3 ppm; TRPH was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.027 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.009

ppm Phenol, 0.006 ppm Benzyl Alcohol, 0.006 2-Methylphenol, 0.007 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.088 ppm Benzoic Acid, 0.042 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.015 2-Methylnapthalene.

Documentation of the monitoring well and soil boring installation and associated sample results are presented in P&D's report 0047.R2 dated January 24, 1994. The locations of the monitoring wells are shown in Figure 2.

In response to a letter dated March 18, 1994 from Mr. Scott Seery ACDEH which commented upon the results of the initial groundwater sampling associated with the installation of the monitoring wells at the subject site, a quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling program was initiated.

#### **EIELD ACTIVITIES**

On December 21, 2002, all three of the monitoring wells at the site were monitored and sampled by P&D personnel. The wells were monitored for depth to water and the presence of free product or sheen. Depth to water was measured to the nearest 0.01 foot using an electric water level indicator. The presence of free product or sheen was evaluated using a transparent bailer. No free product or sheen were observed in any of the wells. However, strong petroleum hydrocarbon odors were noted in the purge water from well MW3. Depth to water level measurements are presented in Table 1.

Prior to sampling, the monitoring wells were purged of a minimum of three casing volumes of water. During purging operations, the field parameters of electrical conductivity, temperature and pH were monitored. Once the field parameters were observed to stabilize, and a minimum of three casing volumes had been purged, a water sample was collected using a clean Teflon bailer.

The water samples were transferred to 40-milliliter glass Volatile Organic Analysis (VOA) vials and 1-liter amber glass bottles, as appropriate, which were sealed with Teflon-lined screw caps. The VOA vials were overturned and tapped to assure that no air bubbles were present.

The VOA vials and bottles were labeled and then transferred to a cooler with ice, until they were transported to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. in Pacheco, California. McCampbell Analytical, Inc. is a State-Certified hazardous waste testing laboratory. Chain of custody documentation accompanied the samples to the laboratory. Records of the field parameters measured during well purging are attached with this report. Water purged from the wells during purging operations was stored in a DOT-approved 55-gallon drum at the site pending appropriate disposal.

#### **HYDROGEOLOGY**

Water levels were measured in the monitoring wells once during the report period. The measured depth to water at the site on December 21, 2002 ranged from 7.88 to 9.09 feet. The groundwater level decreased by 0.06 feet in MW1, and increased in wells MW2 and MW3 by 0.81 and 0.68 feet, respectively, since the previous monitoring on April 30, 2002. The calculated groundwater flow direction at the site on December 21, 2002 was to the northwest with a gradient of 0.0084.

The groundwater flow direction has shifted towards the north, and the gradient has decreased since the previous semi-annual monitoring on August 30, 2002.

Groundwater level data collected during the monitoring period are presented in Table 1. The groundwater flow direction at the site on December 21, 2002 is shown on Figure 2.

#### LABORATORY RESULTS

The groundwater samples from monitoring wells MW1, MW2, and MW3 were analyzed for TPH-G using EPA Method 5030 in conjunction with Modified EPA Method 8015 (GCFID), and for BTEX and MTBE using EPA Method 8021B. In addition, the groundwater sample from MW3 (near the former waste oil tank) was analyzed for Halogenated Volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8021B and for Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8270D.

The laboratory analytical results of the groundwater samples collected from wells MW1 and MW2 show that TPH-G, MTBE, and BTEX were not detected. The laboratory analytical results of the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW3 show that TPH-G was detected at a concentration of 15 ppm; benzene was detected at a concentration of 3.3 ppm, and that MTBE was not detected. In addition, EPA Method 8021B and EPA Method 8270D compounds were not detected with the exception of 0.011 ppm 1,2-dichloroethane by EPA Method 8021B and 0.035 ppm naphthalene and 0.014 ppm 2-methyl naphthalene by EPA Method 8270D.

#### DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the sample results, P&D recommends that the semi-annual monitoring and sampling be continued. Continuation of the monitoring and sampling program should be re-evaluated upon regulatory agency review of corrective action plan implementation results.

#### DISTRIBUTION

Copies of this report should be distributed to Mr. Scott Seery at the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, and to Mr. Kevin Graves at the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. Copies of the report should be accompanied by a transmittal letter signed by the principal executive officer of VIP Service.

#### LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared solely for the use of VIP Service. The content and conclusions provided by P&D in this assessment are based on information collected during our investigation, which may include, but not be limited to, visual site inspections; interviews with site owner, regulatory agencies and other pertinent individuals; review of available public documents; subsurface exploration and our professional judgment based on said information at the time of preparation of this document. Any subsurface sample results and observations presented herein are considered to be representative of the area of investigation; however, geological conditions may vary between borings and may not necessarily apply to the general site as a whole. If future

subsurface or other conditions are revealed which vary from these findings, the newly-revealed conditions must be evaluated and may invalidate the findings of this report.

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner, or his representative, to ensure that the information contained herein is brought to the attention of the appropriate regulatory agencies, where required by law. Additionally, it is the sole responsibility of the owner to properly dispose of any hazardous materials or hazardous wastes left onsite, in accordance with existing laws and regulations.

This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted practices using standards of care and diligence normally practiced by recognized consulting firms performing services of a similar nature. P&D is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of information provided by other individuals or entities which is used in this report. This report presents our professional judgment based upon data and findings identified in this report and interpretation of such data based upon our experience and background, and no warranty, either express or implied, is made. The conclusions presented are based upon the current regulatory climate and may require revision if future regulatory changes occur.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us at (510) 658-6916.

Sincerely,

P&D Environmental

Paul H. King President

California Registered Geologist

Youl H. King

Registration No.: 5901

Expires: 12/31/03

Attachments: Tables 1 & 2 Site Location Map (Figure 1)

Site Plan (Figure 2)

Groundwater Monitoring/Well Purging Data Sheets

Laboratory Analytical Reports
Chain of Custody Documentation

PHK/wrw 0047.R30

TABLE I WELL MONITORING DATA

Well	Date	Top of Casing	Depth to	Water Table
No.	Monitored	Elev. (ft.)	Water (ft.)	Elev. (ft.)
MW1	12/21/02	180.83	9.09	171.74
	04/30/02		9.03	171.80
	10/16/01		9.33	171.50
	11/08/00		9.04	171.79
	05/24/00		7.97	172,86
	09/10/99		8.79	172.04
	02/10/99		7.72	173,11
	02/24/98		6.61	174.22
	11/18/97		9.71	171.12
	08/12/97		9.39	171.44
	04/25/97		8.37	172,46
	01/31/97		7.62	173.21
	07/19/96		8.81	172.02
	04/23/96		8.17	172.66
	01/17/96		9.66	171.17
	10/26/95		10,00	170.83
	08/15/95		9.23	171.60
	05/02/95		8,56	172,27
	01/30/95		9.50	171.33
	10/31/94		11.55	169.28
	07/29/94		10.86	169.97
	04/25/94		10.70	170.13
	11/16/93		11.63	169.20
	11/12/93*		11,53	169.30

Elevations are in feet Mean Sea Level.

ft. = Feet.

<sup>\* =</sup> Depth to water measurements prior to groundwater monitoring well development.

TABLE 1
WELL MONITORING DATA
(Continued)

Well	Date	Top of Casing	Depth to	Water Table
No.	Monitored	Elev. (ft.)	Water (ft.)	Elev. (ft.)
MW2	12/21/02	179.70	7.95	171.75
	04/30/02		8.76	170.94
	10/16/01		9.76	169.94
	11/08/00		8.63	171.07
	05/24/00		7.65	172.05
	09/10/99		8.48	171.22
	02/10/99		7.05	172.65
	02/24/98		6.20	173.50
	11/18/97		9.26	170.44
	08/12/97		9.06	170.64
	04/25/97		8.10	171,60
	01/31/97		7.22	172.48
	07/19/96		8.57	171.13
	04/23/96		7.85	171.85
	01/17/96		8.94	170.76
	10/26/95		9.68	170.02
	08/15/95		8.91	170.79
	05/02/95		8.17	171.53
	01/30/95		8.68	171.02
	10/31/94		10.99	168.71
	07/29/94		10.34	169.36
	04/25/94		10.04	169,66
	11/16/93		11.10	168,60
	11/12/93*		10.95	168.75

Elevations are in feet Mean Sea Level.

ft. = Feet.

<sup>\* =</sup> Depth to water measurements prior to groundwater monitoring well development.

TABLE 1
WELL MONITORING DATA
(Continued)

Well	Date	Top of Casing	Depth to	Water Table
No.	Monitored	Elev. (ft.)	Water (ft.)	Elev. (ft.)
MW3	12/21/02	178.98	7.88	171.10
	04/30/02		8.56	170,42
	10/16/01		10.14	168.84
	11/08/00		8.45	170.53
	05/24/00		7.62	171.36
	09/10/99		8.34	170.64
	02/10/99		7.12	171.86
	02/24/98		6.55	172.43
	11/18/97		8.97	170.01
	08/12/97		8.85	170.13
	04/25/97		7.99	170.99
	01/31/97		7.30	171.68
	07/19/96		8.42	170.56
	04/23/96		7.76	171.22
	01/17/96		8.61	170.37
	10/26/95		9.39	169.59
	08/15/95		8.62	170.36
	05/02/95		8.04	170.94
	01/30/95		8.46	170.52
	10/31/94		10.58	168,40
	07/29/94		10.03	168.95
	04/25/94		9.64	169.34
	11/16/93		10.63	168.35
	11/12/93*		10.66	168.32

Elevations are in feet Mean Sea Level.

ft. = Feet.

<sup>\* =</sup> Depth to water measurements prior to groundwater monitoring well development.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Sample Location	TPH-G	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	
			ples Collected on cember 21, 2002				
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
MW3 <sup>a</sup>	15	ND<0.45	3.3	0.18	0.48	1.0	
	Samples Collected on April 30, 2002						
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
MW3 <sup>b</sup>	11	ND<200	2.2	0.12	0.37	0.59	
			ples Collected on ctober 16, 2001				
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
MW3°	2.1	ND	0.52	0.030	0.077	0.130	

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

MTBE = Methyl tert-Butyl Ether.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

a = In MW3 EPA Method 8021B compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2-dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270D compounds were not detected except for 0.035 ppm naphthalene and 0.014 ppm 2-methyl naphthalene.

b = In MW3, EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.053 ppm naphthalene.

c = In MW3 EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0013 ppm 1,2-dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

Sample Location	TPH-G	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes
			ples Collected on vember 8, 2000			
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3**	0.54		0.15 ples Collected on May 24, 2000	0.0069 0.018	0.029	
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3***	2.1	0.032	0.47	0.027	0.062	0.13
			ples Collected on tember 10, 1999			
MW1	ND	0.049	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3****	0.39	ND	0.098	0.0073 0.012	0.028	

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

MTBE = Methyl tert-Butyl Ether.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

- \*\* = In MW3 EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0013 ppm 1,2-dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected.
- \*\*\* = In MW3 EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0017 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected.
- \*\*\*\* = In MW3 EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.002 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

Sample Location	TPH-D	TPH-G	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes
			ples Collected on bruary 10, 1999			
MWI	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3****	NA	4.1	1.7	0.96	0.27	0.42
Samples Collected on February 24, 1998						
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3+	NA	19	4.6	0.33	0.65	1.8
			ples Collected on vember 18, 1997			
MW1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW3++	NA	2.1	0.48	0.052	0.071	0.19

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

- \*\*\*\*\* = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0028 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.021 ppm Naphthalene.
- + = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Naphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene and Phenol which were detected at concentrations of 0.083, 0.019, and 0.023 ppm, respectively.
- ++ = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0021 ppm 1,2 Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Naphthalene and 2-Methylnaphthalene which were detected at concentrations of 0.058 and 0.026 ppm, respectively.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

Sample Location	TPH-D	TPH-G	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes
			ples Collected on igust 12, 1997			
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3+++	NA	16	4.2	0,45	0.54	1.9
			ples Collected on april 25, 1997			
MW1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW3++++	NA	30	5.3	0.52	0.95	3.0
			ples Collected on nuary 31, 1997			
MW1	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3+++++	NA	5.5	1.6	0.10	0.19	0.41

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

+++ = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0091 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, Naphthalene, and 2-Methylnaphthalene which were detected at concentrations of 0.021, 0.087, and 0.024 ppm, respectively.

++++ = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.012 ppm 1,2 Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Phenol, 4-Methylphenol, 2,4-Dimethylphenol, Naphthalene, and 2-Methylnaphthalene which were detected at concentrations of 0.0028, 0.0024, 0.0028, 0.066 ppm, and 0.015 ppm, respectively.

+++++ = In MW3, MTBE was detected at a concentration of 0.063 ppm; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.014 ppm 1,2 Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Phenol, 2,4-Dimethylphenol, Naphthalene, and 2-Methylnaphthalene which were detected at concentrations of 0.0094, 0.0028, 0.031, and 0.0048 ppm, respectively.

# TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS (Continued)

Sample Location	TPH-D	ТРН-С	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes
			ples Collected on July 19, 1996			
MW1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW3@	NA		4.8 ples Collected on pril 23, 1996	0.61	0.76	2.8
MW1	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3@@	NA	9.7	2.9 ·	0.17	0.38	0.68
			ples Collected on nuary 17, 1996			
MWI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW3@@@	NA	21	4.1	0.37	0.52	1.5

#### NOTES:

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

- = In MW3, EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected; EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.0022 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.1 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.022 ppm 2-Methylnaphthalene. The EPA Method 8020 showed that MTBE was detected in MW3 at a concentration of 0.21 ppm.
- @@ = In MW3, EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0051 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for Naphthalene and Phenol which were detected at concentrations of 0.056 and 0.025 ppm, respectively. The EPA Method 8020 results showed that MTBE was not detected in MW1 or MW2, and was detected in MW3 at a concentration of 0.15 ppm.
- @@@ = In MW3, EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.0022 ppm Phenol, 0.0051 ppm 4-Methylphenol, 0.0029 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.032 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.010 ppm 2-Methylphenol.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

Sample Location	TPH-D	ТРН-G	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes
			ples Collected on tober 26, 1995			
MW1	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3@@@@	NA	19	4.0	0.48	0.64	1.8
			oles Collected on igust 15, 1995			
MW1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MW3@@@@@	) NA	7.0	2.4	0.23	0.26	0.73
		_	oles Collected on May 2, 1995			
MW1	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3#	0.84	18	5.4	0.39	0.65	1.7

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

- @@@@ = In MW3, EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.043 ppm Naphthalene. The EPA Method 8020 results showed that MTBE was not detected in MW1 or MW2, and was detected in MW3 at a concentration of 0.24 ppm.
- @@@@@ = EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0091 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.003 ppm 4-Methylphenol, 0.005 ppm 2,4-Dimethyl Phenol, 0.019 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.003 ppm 2-Methylnaphthalene.
- # = Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.014 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.010 ppm 2-Methyl naphthalene and 0.062 ppm Naphthalene.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

Sample Location	TPH-D	ТРН-G	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes
			ples Collected on nuary 30, 1995			
MW1	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3##	0.70	24	7.6	0.35	0.90	2.2
Samples Collected on October 31, 1994						
MW1	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3###	0.60	8.7	2.6	0.26	0.32	0.92
			ples Collected on July 29, 1994			
MW1	NA	ND	0.0012	ND	ND	ND
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3####	0.67	6.3	2.0	0.13	0.22	0.52

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

## = Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.018 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.014 ppm 2-Methyl naphthalene and 0.11 ppm Naphthalene.

### = Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.019 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.008 ppm 2-Methyl naphthalene, 0.047 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.002 ppm Bis(2-Ethylhexyl) Phthalate.

#### = Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.0077 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds not detected except for 0.008 ppm 2-Methylnaphthalene and 0.044 ppm Naphthalene.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

Sample Location	TPH-D	TPH-G	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes
			ples Collected on April 25, 1994			
MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3####	2.1	17	4.8	0.47	0.29	1,6
			ples Collected on vember 16, 1993			
MWl	NA	ND	0.0022 ND	ND	ND	
MW2	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3^	NA	12	3.3	0.66	0,24	1.6

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.


NA = Not Analyzed.

##### = Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.28 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds not detected except for 0.013 ppm 2-Methylnapthalene and 0.084 ppm Naphthalene.

^ = TRPH not detected; EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.027 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds not detected except for 0.009 ppm Phenol, 0.006 ppm Benzyl Alcohol, 0.006 2-Methylphenol, 0.007 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.088 ppm Benzoic Acid, 0.042 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.015 ppm 2-Methylnapthalene.

## $P \ \underset{\textit{A Division of Paul H. King, Inc.}}{\textbf{D}} Environmental}$

A Division of Paul H. King, Inc. 4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611 (510) 658-6916



Site Name	VIP Service	<u>_</u> e	Well No	MWI
Job No	0047	_		121/02
TOC to Water	(ft.) <u>9.09</u>	<i>'</i>	Sheen	
Well Depth (	ft.) 20 '		Free Produc	t Thickness
Well Diamete	r Z"	_	Sample Coll	ection Method
	vol. 1,8	_	· Teg	flon Bailer
TIME	$\xi = 5.4$ GAL. PURGED	<u> </u>	TEMPERATURE (F)	ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY (US/CM)
2:50	0.5	<u> </u>	65.9	
252	1.0	7.53	67.3	14.10
<b>2</b> :54	₹.0	7,43	68.3	15.33
2:5%	3,0	7,42	68.9	15.61
2:58	4.0	7.41	69.3	16,19
3:00	5,0	7,38	69.4	16.33
3:02	6.0	7,41	69.4	15.97
3:05	Collect.	7,41 sunple		
		<del></del>		
******	<del></del>			
		<del></del>		
NOTES:	Christy &	od bull	of water	
			1	

Site Name _	VIP Servi	<u>८</u> ९	Well No	MWZ
Job No	0047		Date	20/15/51
TOC to Water	(ft.) 7.95	<u></u>	Sheen	None
	(ft.) <b>て</b> の		Free Produ	act Thickness &
Well Diamete	er <b>Z</b> '(		Sample Col	lection Method
Gal./Casing		<u>.</u>	_ Tef	ton Bailer
TIME	S=6 GAL. PURGED	<u>pH</u>	TEMPERATURE (F)	ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY CONDUCTIVITY
3:43	0.5	7,66	62.7	14,34 × 100
3:44	1.0	7.54	66.0	15.07
3:45	7.0	7,52	67.2	15.10
3:46	3.0	7,47	<u> 57.9</u>	15.04
3:47	4.0	7,47	<u>88.2</u>	14.79
3:48	\$.0	7,47	<u> </u>	15.31
3:50	6.0	7,50	67.1	14.91
<u>3:55</u>	Collect	Sumple		
<del></del>				
		<del></del>		
<del></del>				-
	<del></del>			
	<u> </u>			
		<del></del>	-	
		<del></del>		
NOTES:				
	·····			

Site Name VIP Service	<u>9</u>	Well No	MWS
Job No. 0047	_	Date	12/21/02
TOC to Water (ft.) 7.88	_	Sheen	None
Well Depth (ft.) 20	<del>-</del>	Free Product	Thickness_
Well Diameter Z <sup>r</sup>	_	Sample Colle	ection Method
Gal./Casing Vol. 7.0	_	Teflon	Bailer
TIME GAL. PURGED	<u>pH</u>	TEMPERATURE (5 F)	ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY SCM
4:25 0.5	7.59	61.0	14.40 × 100
4:26 1.0	7,49	65.5	15.13
4.27 7.0	7,38	66.4	15,42
4:28 3.0	7.30	66,8	15,25
48 40	7,25	66.7	15.85
4:30 5.0	7.26	67.0	15.76
4:31 6.0	7,25	67.5	16.06
4:35 collect s	imple		
		, <u></u> ,,	
	<u></u>	<u></u>	
NOTES: Strong Oily	Pe frolens	n odor in p	ourge water

Bicc Mame _			Well No	<u> </u>
TOC to Wate	er (ft.)			
Well Depth	(ft.)	<del></del>	Free Prod	luct Thickness_
Well Diamet	er		Sample Co	ollection Method
	y Vol		•	<del>-</del>
		700		ELECTRICAL
TIME 님기 / 2	GAL. PURGED	MY WYO I	EMPERATURE	CONDUCTIVITY
MWI	2:22 DM	909		
	1815	9.09		
<u>SWM</u>	2:33 PM	7.94		
	3:3)	7.95		
MWB	3:41	7.88		-
	4:22	7.88		-
				<del></del>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>		
			<del></del>	
	ž.	<del></del>		
		<del></del> <del>(</del>		
			<u> </u>	
				<del></del>
·	<del></del>			
NOTES:				•

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone: 925-798-1620 Fax: 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

P & D Environmental	Client Project ID: #0047; VIP Service	Date Sampled: 12/21/02
4020 Panama Court		Date Received: 12/23/02
Oakland, CA 94611-4931	Client Contact: Paul King	Date Extracted: 12/26/02
Ounidade, 671 94011-4931	Client P.O.:	Date Analyzed: 12/26/02

Lab ID Client	ID Matrix	TPH(g)	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	DF	% SS
001A MW	1 W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	106
<del></del>								+	•
002A MW	2 W	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	106
003A MW	3 . W	15,000,a,h	ND<450	3300	180	480	1000	50	113
		! :		!					-
: 	·	· ·		!				-	-
		!		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-
	····			<u> </u>					÷
1			:  :						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				:			<u></u>	-	
· <del></del> .	. :								
	: 	!							
		<u> </u>		: 		!			:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: 							
		:		 					· : :
		·				ļ		<u>:</u>	: : :
: 								!	
Reporting Limit for DI		50	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	μg/
above the reporting I		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	mg/i

<sup>\*</sup>water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, and TCLP extracts in µg/L.

<sup>+</sup>The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (stoddard solvent / mineral spirit?); f) one to a few isolated non-target peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~2 vol. % sediment; j) reporting limit raised due to high MTBE content; k) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (aviation gas). m) no recognizable pattern.



<sup>#</sup> cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560
Telephone: 925-798-1620 Fax: 925-798-1622
http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

P & D Environmental	Client Project ID: #0047; VIP Service	Date Sampled: 12/21/02
4020 Panama Court		Date Received: 12/23/02
Oakland, CA 94611-4931	Client Contact: Paul King	Date Extracted: 12/24/02
Oukland, CA 94011-4951	Client P.O.:	Date Analyzed: 12/24/02

#### Halogenated Volatile Organics by P&T and GC-ELCD (8010 Basic Target List)\*

		1 Method: SW8021B	Work Orde	
Lab ID	0212397-003B		Reporting	Limit for
Client ID	MW 3		DF	
Matrix	W			
DF	5		S	W
Compound		Concentration	μg/kg	μg/L
Bromodichloromethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Bromoform	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Bromomethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND<2.5		. NA	0.5
Chlorobenzene	ND<2.5		NA NA	0.5
Chloroethane	ND<2.5		NA NA	0.5
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Chloroform	ND<2.5		NA NA	0.5
Chloromethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND<2.5		NA NA	0.5
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
1,2-Dichloroethane	11		NA NA	0.5
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND<2.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NA	0.5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND<2.5	t	NA	0.5
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND<2.5	i	NA	0.5
Methylene chloride	ND<2.5		NA NA	0.5
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND<2.5		NA NA	0.5
Tetrachloroethene	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Trichloroethene	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
Vinyl Chloride	ND<2.5		NA	0.5
	Surrogate	Recoveries (%)		
%SS:	90.5			
Comments	h	,		

\* water and vapor samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in µg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~2 vol. % sediment; j) sample diluted due to high organic content.



110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone: 925-798-1620 Fax: 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

P & D Environmental	Client Project ID: #0047; VIP Service	Date Sampled: 12/21/02
4020 Panama Court		Date Received: 12/23/02
Oakland, CA 94611-4931	Client Contact: Paul King	Date Extracted: 12/23/02
- Canada, C. 17 (011 4751	Client P.O.:	Date Analyzed: 12/27/02

#### Semi-Volatile Organics by GC/MS (Basic Target List)\*

Extraction Method: SW3510C Analytical Method: SW8270D Work Order: 0212397

0212397-003C Lab ID Client ID MW<sub>3</sub> Matrix Water

Matrix	Matrix Water							
Compound	Concentration *	DF	Reporting Limit	Compound	Concentration *	DF	Reporting Limit	
Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	10	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	10	
Anthracene	ND	1.0	10	Benzidine	ND	1.0	50	
Benzoic Acid	ND	1.0	50	Benz(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	10	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	10	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	10	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	10	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	10	
Benzyl Alcohol	ND	1.0	20	Bis (2-chloroethoxy) Methane	ND	1.0	10	
Bis (2-chloroethyl) Ether	ND	1.0	10	Bis (2-chloroisopropyl) Ether	ND	1.0	10	
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	ND	1.0	10	4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether	ND	1.0	10	
Butylbenzyl Phthalate	ND	1.0	10	4-Chloroaniline	: ND	1.0	20	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND	1.0	10	2-Chloronaphthalene	: ND	1.0	10	
2-Chlorophenol	ND	1.0	10	4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether	ND	1.0	10	
Chrysene	ND	1.0	10	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	10	
Dibenzofuran	ND	1.0	10	Di-n-butyl Phthalate	ND	1.0	10	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	10	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	10	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	10	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	1.0	20	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	1.0	10	Diethyl Phthalate	, ND	1.0	: 10	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	1.0	10	Dimethyl Phthalate	: ND	1.0	10	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ND	1.0	50	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	1.0	50	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	10	2.6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	0.1	10	
Di-n-octyl Phthalate	ND	1.0	10	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	: ND	1.0	10	
Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	10	Fluorene	ND	1.0	10	
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	10	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	10	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	1.0	. 50	Hexachloroethane	ND	1.0	10	
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	ND	1.0	10	Isophorone	, ND	1.0	10	
2-Methylnaphthalene	14	1.0	10	2-Methylphenol (o-Cresol)	ND	1.0	10	
3 &/or 4-Methylphenol (m,p-Cresol)	ND	1.0	10	Naphthalene	35	1.0	10	
2-Nitroaniline	ND	1.0	50	3-Nitroaniline	ND	1.0	50	
4-Nitroaniline	ND	1.0	50	2-Nitrophenol	ND	1.0	50	
4-Nitrophenol	ND	1.0	50	Nitrobenzene	ND	1.0	10	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	1.0	10	N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	ND	1.0	10	
Pentachlorophenol	ND	1.0	50	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	10	
Phenol	ND	1.0	10	Рутепе	ND	1.0	10	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	10	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	1.0	10	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	1.0	10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		Sur	rogate Re	coveries (%)				
%SS1:	50			%SS2:	50			
%SS3:	46			%SS4:	45			
%SS5:	44			%SS6:	48			

Comments: h

ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

# surrogate diluted out of range.

h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~2 vol. % sediment; j) sample diluted due to high organic content.



<sup>\*</sup> water and vapor samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in µg/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L.

### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

Matrix: W

WorkOrder: 0212397

EPA Method: SW802	21B/8015Cm E	extraction:	SW5030E	3	BatchID:	5438	S	piked Sample	e ID: 02123	88-004A
Compound	Sample	Spiked	MS*	MSD*	MS-MSD*	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance	Criteria (%)
Сопрошка	µg/L	μg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD Low		High
TPH(gas)	ND	60	102	92	10.8	108	99	8.72	80	120
МТВЕ	ND	10	93.1	93.4	0.252	107	104	2.86	80	120
Benzene	0.572	10	87.7	79.5 ,F1	9.20	109	105	3.57	80	120
Toluene	ND	10	90.8	87.8	3.41	105	101	4.31	80	120
Ethylbenzene	0.6829	10	82.8	77.3 ,F1	6.22	103	101	2.09	80	120
Xylenes	ND	30	91.3	86	6.02	96.7	93.3	3.51	80	120
%SS:	92.8	100	88.7	88.6	0.124	114	106	7.11	80	120

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

F1 = MS / MSD exceed acceptance criteria. LCS - LCSD validate prep batch.

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) \* 2.

\* MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.

#### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B

Matrix: W

WorkOrder: 0212397

EPA Method: SW8021B	E	xtraction:	SW5030B	3	BatchID: 5428 Spiked Sample ID: N				e ID: N/A	/A	
Compound	Sample	Spiked	MS*	MSD*	MS-MSD*	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance	Criteria (%)	
Compound	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	Low	High	
Chlorobenzene	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	99.7	95.6	4.21	70	130	
1,1-Dichloroethene	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	91.3	90.1	1.32	70	130	
Trichloroethene	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	85.9	85.4	0.572	70	130	
%SS:	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.4	96.6	6.70	70	130	

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) \* 2.

\* MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.

NONE

#### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8270D

Matrix: W

WorkOrder: 0212397

EPA Method: SW8270D	E	Extraction: SW			BatchID: 5420		Spiked Sample ID: N/A			
Compound	Sample	Spiked	MS*	MSD*	MS-MSD*	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance	: Criteria (%)
Compound	μg/L	μg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	Low	High
Acenaphthene	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	41	39.9	2.75	30	130
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	43	42.4	1.62	30	130
2-Chlorophenol	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	40.9	41.9	2.28	30	130
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	43.4	42.1	2.88	30	130
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	48.4	47.8	1.14	30	130
4-Nitrophenol	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	43.9	43.3	1,41	30	130
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	54.6	53.4	2.32	30	130
Pentachlorophenol	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	40.9	41.3	1,16	30	130
Phenol	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.3	34.9	4.11	30	130
Pyrene	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	40.2	39.8	1.12	30	130
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	43.6	43.3	0.713	30	130
%SS5:	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.5	48.5	1.99	30	130

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

<sup>%</sup> Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) \* 2.


<sup>&</sup>quot; MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.

P & D ENVIRONMENTAL
A Division of Paul H. King, Inc.
4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611 (510) 658-6916

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

					•	<u>z</u>				PAGE		·[
PROJECT NUMBER:	PROJECT			ĩ	:/ <b>L</b>	7 /	7	7	77	7		
0047		VIP Service		SCE	10	0/:	9/		/ /	Z /		
SAMPLED BY: (PRINTED AND SIG		0 :	9.8	[3]	· .)/		·/	/ /	/ / 🤅	र /	REMARK	'C
Paul H. King		Vaul H. Kring		ANAL YSIS(EC)	TEX TEXT	/ <del>*</del> /	′ /		PRESERVA	/ '	VEWVIVO	
SAMPLE NUMBER DATE TO	ME TYPE	SAMPLE LOCATION	NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	$/\hat{\mathcal{I}}$	1 A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		/					
MWI Izlzilae	wito		5	×					TCE	Normal	Twn	Arean
mwe "	11		5	×			<del> </del>		11	17	ŧĪ	11
in wis	21		7	人	<b>人</b> /				pt	+1	,1	₽.
,												
1												
						<del>                                     </del>	-	1				
					1	1	1					
				1			1					
			<del></del> -	1-1	_	-		†	<del></del>			<del></del>
				1			<del> </del>	<del> </del>				
				1 1				<u> </u>				
VC /	7	EVADION THAT OLG METALS CHER	<del>                                     </del>	1			-	-				
e - C SMACE AREE T	APPRO	FRIATE INERS	†	1	$\neg$		1	·				
Description of the second of t	PRES	MARD IN IAB	ļ	1-1		- -	$\dagger$	+-	ļ		-	
				1	$\dashv$	+	-					
			ļ	+		+	┧	+				
RELINOUSHED BY: (SIGNATURE)	DATE	TIME - RECEIVER BYA: (SIGNATURE)	<u> </u>			IF SAME		<del> </del>	LAB	L ORATORY:		
- and H. Kine	2300		THE STATE WEST TO			_1	1 McCampbell Analytical					
RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)									LABORATORY PHONE NUMBER:			
POW ETHEREDOS	1145			1 (	Rylelius (925) 798-1620							
RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)		RECEIVED FOR LABORATORY BY:			SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST SHEET							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(CICNATUREY)				ATTACHED: ( )YES (人)NO							
		REMARKS: NOAs P.	ويوردن	ed	ا اس	h t	<del>1</del> Ci	l				

### McCampbell Analytical Inc.



### **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD**

Page 1 of 1

WorkOrder: 0212397

Client:

P & D Environmental 4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611-4931 TEL:

(510) 658-6916

FAX:

(510) 658-9074

ProjectNo:

#0047; VIP Service

PO:

Date Received:

12/23/02

Date Printed:

12/23/02

Sample ID	ClientSampID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold SW8021B	8021B/8015	R SW8270D	equested Tests	1
.0212397-001 0212397-002 0212397-003	MW1 MW 2 MW 3	Water Water Water	12/21/02 12/21/02 12/21/02	B	A A	С	<u>:</u>	

Prepared by: Sonia Valles

#### **Comments:**

NOTE: Samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made. Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.