ENVINCAMENTAL PROTECTION

98 MAY 28 VIP Service Station 385 Century Cir. Danville, Ca 94526 May 26, 1998

Alameda County Health Care Services Dept. Of Env. Health 1131Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA. 94502-6577

Attn: Scott Seery

Site: VIP Service Station

3889 Castro Valley Blvd. Castro Valley, CA 94546

Subject: Potential Receptor Evaluation Report

Gentlemen:

We are submitting subject report prepared by P & D Environmental for your review and further direction. This work was performed in accordance with a request set forth in a letter from Mr. Scott Seery of ACDEH dated February 2, 1998.

Please advise us for next step. Also, please write us if we have to continue monitoring three wells. This was asked earlier but we have not heard from you.

We are also enclosing two Quarterly Ground monitoring reports (Reports # 0047.R19 and R20, dated Dec. 12, 1997, March 9, 1998) for your information.

Should you have any questions regarding above subject, please contact us.

Sincerely,

CBP attl

Attachments: P & D Environmental Report #0047.R21

Dated May 20, 1998.

Two reports as noted above.

P & D Environmental

A Division of Paul H. King, Inc. 4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611 (510) 658-6916

> March 9, 1998 Report 0047.R20

Mr. L.B. Patel Mr. P. Gupta VIP Service 385 Century Circle Danville, CA 94526

SUBJECT: QUARTERBY GROUNDWATER MONTTORING AND SAMPLING REPORT

VIP Service

3889 Castro Valley Blvd.

Castro Valley, CA

Gentlemen:

P&D Environmental a division of Paul H. King, Inc. (P&D) is pleased to present this report documenting the results of the quarterly monitoring and sampling of groundwater monitoring wells MW1, MW2, and MW3 at the subject site. This work was performed in accordance with P&D's proposal 121297.P1 dated December 12, 1997 and requirements set forth in a letter from Mr. Scott Seery of the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) dated March 18, 1994 for the subject site. Based upon a telephone conversation with Mr. Seery on July 31, 1995, the sampling of monitoring wells MW1 and MW2 was reduced to semi-annually. In addition, no further analysis for TPH-D will be performed for well MW3. All three wells were monitored and sampled during this quarter.

The monitoring and sampling was performed on February 24, 1998. The reporting period is for December, 1997 through February, 1998. A Site Location Map (Figure 1) and Site Plan (Figure 2) are attached with this report.

BACKGROUND

It is P&D's understanding that the site was purchased by VIP Service in December, 1984. Prior to purchase of the property by VIP Service, the site was operated as a retail gasoline station for an undetermined period of time. The site was operated as a retail gasoline station from the time of purchase by VIP Service until the tanks were removed by Accutite on April 26, 1993. The underground tank system consisted of three 10,000 gallon capacity gasoline tanks, two dispenser islands, and one 550 gallon waste oil tank. It is P&D's understanding that the fuel tanks contained leaded and unleaded gasoline while in use by VIP Service. In addition, VIP Service reported that diesel fuel was not stored at the site at any time.

It is P&D's understanding that at the time of tank removal, eight soil samples were collected from the sidewalls of the fuel tank pit, and one soil sample was collected from the waste oil tank pit. Groundwater was reported to have been encountered in the fuel tank pit at a depth of approximately 11 feet. One water sample was collected from the water in the fuel tank pit. On April 28, 1993 Accutite returned to the site and collected seven soil samples from beneath the dispenser islands.

All of the samples were analyzed at Sequoia Analytical in Redwood City, California for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline (TPH-G); Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes (BTEX); and for Total Lead. In addition, the samples from the waste oil tank were analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel (TPH-D); Total Oil and Grease (TOG); Halogenated Volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8010; Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8270; and for the metals Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, Nickel and Zinc.

The results of the soil samples collected from the fuel tank pit showed TPH-G concentrations ranging from 120 to 6,200 parts per million (ppm), and total

lead results ranging from not detected to 13 ppm. The results of the water sample from the fuel tank pit showed 140 ppm TPH-G, and 0.095 ppm total lead.

The results of the soil samples collected from beneath the fuel dispensers showed TPH-G values ranging from not detected to 4.7 ppm, and total lead values ranging from not detected to 7.6 ppm.

The results of the sample collected from the waste oil tank pit showed 670 ppm TPH-G, 410 ppm TPH-D; 1,300 ppm TOG; 0.023 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane and 0.0094 ppm Tetrachloroethylene in the EPA Method 8010 analysis; 2.7 ppm 2-Methylnapthalene and 3.8 ppm Naphthalene in the EPA Method 8270 analysis; and various metals concentrations, none of which exceeded ten times their respective STLC values. The laboratory identified the TPH-D results as being a "non-diesel mix," and indicated that the compounds reported as diesel were diesel-range gasoline and diesel-range oil compounds.

Between August 27 and November 1, 1993 P&D personnel collected stockpiled soil samples for stockpiled soil disposal characterization and oversaw the excavation of approximately 680 cubic yards of soil from the vicinity of the fuel tank pit in an effort to remove petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted soil. In addition, during this time the soil which was stockpiled by Accutite during the tank removal activities and during the subsequent soil excavation activities was disposed of at an appropriate disposal facility, and the tank pit backfilled and compacted. A total of eight confirmation soil samples were collected from the sidewalls of the tank pit on November 19, 1993 at a depth of 10 feet after over-excavation and prior to backfilling. The analytical results of the samples ranged from 33 to 3,200 ppm TPH-G. The sample collection locations are shown on the attached Site Plan, Figure 3. Documentation of excavation, stockpiled soil characterization and disposal, and backfilling of the pit are provided in P&D's report 0047.R1 dated January 24, 1994. The samples results associated with the removal of the tanks by Accutite are also summarized in P&D's report 0047.R1.

On November 10, 1993 P&D personnel oversaw the installation of three groundwater monitoring wells, designated as MW1 through MW3, and one exploratory soil boring, designated as B1, at the subject site. The wells were developed on November 12 and sampled on November 16, 1993. The results of the water samples showed that TPH-G was not detected in wells MW1 and MW2, and that BTEX was not detected in MW2. In well MW1, 0.0022 ppm of benzene was detected. In well MW3, TPH-G was detected at 12 ppm; BTEX was detected with benzene detected at 3.3 ppm; TRPH was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.027 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.009 ppm Phenol, 0.006 ppm Benzyl Alcohol, 0.006 2-Methylphenol, 0.007 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.088 ppm Benzoic Acid, 0.042 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.015 2-Methylnapthalene.

Documentation of the monitoring well and soil boring installation and associated sample results are presented in P&D's report 0047.R2 dated January 24, 1994. The locations of the monitoring wells are shown in Figure 2.

In response to a letter dated March 18, 1994 from Mr. Scott Seery ACDEH which commented upon the results of the initial groundwater sampling associated with the installation of the monitoring wells at the subject site, a quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling program was initiated.

FIELD ACTIVITIES

On February 24, 1998 all three of the monitoring wells at the site were monitored and sampled by P&D personnel. The wells were monitored for depth to water and the presence of free product or sheen. Depth to water was measured to the nearest 0.01 foot using an electric water level indicator. The presence of free product or sheen was evaluated using a transparent bailer. No free product

or sheen was observed in any of the wells. However, petroleum hydrocarbon odors were detected in well MW3. Depth to water level measurements are presented in Table 1.

Prior to sampling, the monitoring wells were purged of a minimum of three casing volumes of water. During purging operations, the field parameters of electrical conductivity, temperature and pH were monitored. Once the field parameters were observed to stabilize, and a minimum of three casing volumes had been purged, a water sample was collected using a clean Teflon bailer.

The water samples were transferred to 40-milliliter glass Volatile Organic Analysis (VOA) vials and 1-liter amber glass bottles, as appropriate, which were sealed with Teflon-lined screw caps. The VOA vials were overturned and tapped to assure that no air bubbles were present.

The VOA vials and bottles were labeled and then transferred to a cooler with ice, until they were transported to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. in Pacheco, California. McCampbell Analytical, Inc. is a State-certified hazardous waste testing laboratory. Chain of custody documentation accompanied the samples to the laboratory. Records of the field parameters measured during well purging are attached with this report. Water purged from the wells during purging operations was stored in a DOT-approved 55-gallon drum at the site pending appropriate disposal.

HYDROGEOLOGY

Water levels were measured in the monitoring wells once during the quarter. The measured depth to water at the site on February 24, 1998 ranged from 6.20 to 6.61 feet. Groundwater levels have increased in wells MW1, MW2, and MW3 by 3.10, 3.06 and 2.42 feet, respectively, since the previous monitoring on November 18, 1997. The calculated groundwater flow direction at the site on February 24, 1998 was to the northwest with a gradient of 0.015. The groundwater gradient has increased, and the groundwater flow direction has shifted towards the north since the previous quarterly monitoring on November 18, 1997.

Groundwater level data collected during the quarter are presented in Table 1. The groundwater flow direction at the site on February 24, 1998 is shown on Figure 2.

LABORATORY RESULTS

The groundwater samples from monitoring wells MW1, MW2, and MW3 were analyzed for TPH-G using EPA Method 5030 in conjunction with Modified EPA Method 8015 (GCFID), BTEX and MTBE using EPA Method 8020. In addition, the groundwater sample from MW3 (near the waste oil tank) was analyzed for Halogenated Volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8010 and for Semi-volatile Organic Compounds using EPA Method 8270.

The laboratory analytical results of the groundwater samples collected from wells MW1 and MW2 show that TPH-G, BTEX and MTBE were not detected. The laboratory analytical results of the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW3 show that TPH-G was detected at a concentration of 19 ppm; benzene was detected at a concentration of 4.6 ppm; MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Naphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene and Phenol which were detected at concentrations of 0.083, 0.019, and 0.023 ppm, respectively.

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the laboratory analytical results of the water samples collected from the monitoring wells, P&D recommends that the quarterly groundwater monitoring of wells MW1, MW2, and MW3, the quarterly sampling of well MW3 and the semi-annual sampling of wells MW1 and MW2 be continued. During the next quarter, all of the wells should be monitored and well MW3 should be sampled.

DISTRIBUTION

Copies of this report should be distributed to Mr. Scott Seery at the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health, and to Mr. Kevin Graves at the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. Copies of the report should be accompanied by a transmittal letter signed by the principal executive officer of VIP Service.

LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared solely for the use of VIP Service. The content and conclusions provided by P&D in this assessment are based on information collected during our investigation, which may include, but not be limited to, visual site inspections; interviews with site owner, regulatory agencies and other pertinent individuals; review of available public documents; subsurface exploration and our professional judgement based on said information at the time of preparation of this document. Any subsurface sample results and observations presented herein are considered to be representative of the area of investigation; however, geological conditions may vary between borings and may not necessarily apply to the general site as a whole. If future subsurface or other conditions are revealed which vary from these findings, the newly-revealed conditions must be evaluated and may invalidate the findings of this report.

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner, or his representative, to ensure that the information contained herein is brought to the attention of the appropriate regulatory agencies, where required by law. Additionally, it is the sole responsibility of the owner to properly dispose of any hazardous materials or hazardous wastes left onsite, in accordance with existing laws and regulations.

This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted practices using standards of care and diligence normally practiced by recognized consulting firms performing services of a similar nature. P&D is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of information provided by other individuals or entities which is used in this report. This report presents our professional judgement based upon data and findings identified in this report and interpretation of such data based upon our experience and background, and no warranty, either express or implied, is made. The conclusions presented are based upon the current regulatory climate and may require revision if future regulatory changes occur.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us at (510) 658-6916.

Sincerely,

P&D Environmental

Paul H. King Hydrogeologist

Don R. Braun

Certified Engineering Geologist

Registration No.: 1310 Expiration Date: 6/30/98

PHK 0047.R20

Attachments: Tables 1 & 2

Site Location Map (Figure 1)

Site Plan (Figure 2)

Groundwater Monitoring/Well Purging Data Sheets

Laboratory Analytical Reports Chain of Custody Documentation

TABLE 1
WELL MONITORING DATA

| Well No. | Date Monitored | Top of Casing Elev. (ft.) | Depth to Water (ft.) | Water Table Elev. (ft.) |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| MW1 | 02/24/98 | 180.83 | 6.61 | 174.22 |
| | 11/18/97 | | 9.71 | 171.12 |
| | 08/12/97 | | 9.39 | 171.44 |
| | 04/25/97 | | 8.37 | 172.46 |
| | 01/31/97 | | 7.62 | 173.21 |
| | 07/19/96 | | 8.81 | 172.02 |
| | 04/23/96 | | 8.17 | 172.66 |
| | 01/17/96 | | 9.66 | 171.17 |
| | 10/26/95 | | 10.00 | 170.83 |
| | 08/15/95 | | 9.23 | 171.60 |
| | 05/02/95 | | 8.56 | 172.27 |
| | 01/30/95 | | 9.50 | 171.33 |
| | 10/31/94 | | 11.55 | 169.28 |
| | 07/29/94 | | 10.86 | 169.97 |
| | 04/25/94 | | 10.70 | 170.13 |
| | 11/16/93 | | 11.63 | 169.20 |
| | 11/12/93* | | 11.53 | 169.30 |
| MW2 | 02/24/98 | 179.70 | 6.20 | 173.50 |
| | 11/18/97 | | 9.26 | 170.44 |
| | 08/12/97 | | 9.06 | 170.64 |
| | 04/25/97 | | 8.10 | 171.60 |
| | 01/31/97 | | 7.22 | 172.48 |
| | 07/19/96 | | 8.57 | 171.13 |
| | 04/23/96 | | 7.85 | 171.85 |
| | 01/17/96 | | 8.94 | 170.76 |
| | 10/26/95 | | 9.68 | 170.02 |
| | 08/15/95 | | 8.91 | 170.79 |
| | 05/02/95 | | 8.17 | 171.53 |
| | 01/30/95 | | 8.68 | 171.02 |
| | 10/31/94 | | 10.99 | 168.71 |
| | 07/29/94 | | 10.34 | 169.36 |
| | 04/25/94 | | 10.04 | 169.66 |
| | 11/16/93 | | 11.10 | 168.60 |
| | 11/12/93* | | 10.95 | 168.75 |

NOTES:

March 9, 1998

Report 0047.R20

Elevations are in feet Mean Sea Level.
ft. = Feet.

^{* =} Depth to water measurements prior to groundwater monitoring well development.

TABLE 1
WELL MONITORING DATA
(Continued)

| Well No. | Date Monitored | Top of Casing Elev. (ft.) | Depth to Water (ft.) | Water Table Elev. (ft.) |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| MW3 | 02/24/98 11/18/97 08/12/97 04/25/97 01/31/97 07/19/96 04/23/96 01/17/96 10/26/95 08/15/95 05/02/95 01/30/95 10/31/94 07/29/94 04/25/94 11/16/93 | 178.98 | 6.55 8.97 8.85 7.99 7.30 8.42 7.76 8.61 9.39 8.62 8.04 8.46 10.58 10.03 9.64 10.63 10.66 | 172.43 170.01 170.13 170.99 171.68 170.56 171.22 170.37 169.59 170.36 170.94 170.94 170.94 170.94 170.94 170.94 |
| | 11/12/93* | | 20.00 | = |

NOTES:

Elevations are in feet Mean Sea Level.

ft. = Feet.
* = Depth to water measurements prior to groundwater monitoring well
 development.

TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Sample Location | TPH-D | TPH-G | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl- benzene | Xylenes |
|--------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | | | oles Collected | | | |
| MW1 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3+ | NA | 19 | 4.6 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 1.8 |
| | | | oles Collected ovember 18, 19 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW3++ | NA | 2.1 | 0.48 | 0.052 | 0.071 | 0.19 |

NOTES:

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected. NA = Not Analyzed.

- + = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Naphthalene, 2-Methylnaphthalene and Phenol which were detected at concentrations of 0.083, 0.019, and 0.023 ppm, respectively.
- ++ = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0021 ppm 1,2 Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Naphthalene and 2-Methylnaphthalene which were detected at concentrations of 0.058 and 0.026 ppm, respectively.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

| Sample Location | TPH-D | TPH-G | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl- benzene | Xylenes |
|--------------------|-------|-------|------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | | | s Collected ust 12, 1997 | | | |
| WMI | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| +++ EWM | NA | 16 | 4.2 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 1.9 |
| | | | s Collected ail 25, 1997 | on | | |
| MW1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| +++ EWM | NA | 30 | 5.3 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 3.0 |
| | | | s Collected pary 31, 1997 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NA | NTD | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3++++ | NA | 5.5 | 1.6 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.41 |

NOTES:

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel.

TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

+++ = In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0091 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate, Naphthalene, and 2-Methylnaphthalene which were detected at concentrations of 0.021, 0.087, and 0.024 ppm, respectively.

++++ =In MW3, MTBE was not detected; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.012 ppm 1,2 Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Phenol, 4-Methylphenol, 2,4-Dimethylphenol, Naphthalene, and 2-Methylphenol which were detected at concentrations of 0.0028, 0.0024, 0.0028, 0.066 ppm, and 0.015 ppm, respectively.

+++++ =In MW3, MTBE was detected at a concentration of 0.063 ppm; EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.014 ppm 1,2 Dichloroethane; and EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for Phenol, 2,4-Dimethylphenol, Naphthalene, and 2-Methylnaphthalene which were detected at concentrations of 0.0094, 0.0028, 0.031, and 0.0048 ppm, respectively.

TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS (Continued)

| Sample Location | TPH-D | TPH-G | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl- benzene | Xylenes |
|--------------------|-------|-------|------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|---------|
| | | | s Collected ly 19, 1996 | on | | |
| MW1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | AK |
| MW 3@ | NA | | 4.8 s Collected cil 23, 1996 | 0.61 on | 0.76 | 2.8 |
| MW1 | NA | ИD | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NА | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3@@ | NA | 9.7 | 2.9 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.68 |
| | | | s Collected ary 17, 1996 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW2 | NA | NA | NA | AK | NA | NA |
| MW3@@@ | NA | 21 | 4.1 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 1.5 |

NOTES:

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel. TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

@ =In MW3, EPA Method 8010 compounds were not detected; EPA Method 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.0022 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.1 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.022 ppm 2-Methylnaphthalene. The EPA Method 8020 showed that MTBE was detected in MW3 at a concentration of 0.21 ppm.

@@ = In MW3, EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0051 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for Naphthalene and Phenol which were detected at concentrations of 0.056 and 0.025 ppm, respectively. The EPA Method 8020 results showed that MTBE was not detected in MW1 or MW2, and was detected in MW3 at a concentration of 0.15 ppm.

@@@ = In MW3, EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2 Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.0022 ppm Phenol, 0.0051 ppm 4-Methylphenol, 0.0029 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.032 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.010 ppm 2-Methylnaphthalene. Results are in parts per million (ppm), unless otherwise specified.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

| Sample Location | TPH-D | TPH-G | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl- benzene | Xylenes |
|--------------------|-------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | | | s Collected ber 26, 1995 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NA | ND | ND | ND | N D | ND |
| MW3@@@@ | NA | 19 | 4.0 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 1.8 |
| | | | s Collected ust 15, 1995 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| MW2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NА | NA |
| MW3@@@@@ | NA | 7.0 | 2.4 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.73 |
| | | Sample M | s Collected ay 2, 1995 | on | | |
| MW1 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3# | 0.84 | 18 | 5.4 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 1.7 |

NOTES:

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel. TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

@@@@ =In MW3, EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.011 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.043 ppm Naphthalene. The EPA Method 8020 results showed that MTBE was not detected in MW1 or MW2, and was detected in MW3 at a concentration of 0.24 ppm.

@@@@@ = EPA 8010 compounds were not detected except for 0.0091 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.003 ppm 4-Methylphenol, 0.005 ppm 2,4-Dimethyl Phenol, 0.019 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.003 ppm 2-Methylnaphthalene.

#= Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.014 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.010 ppm 2-Methyl naphthalene and 0.062 ppm Naphthalene.

TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS (Continued)

| Sample Location | TPH-D | TPH-G | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl- benzene | Xylenes |
|--------------------|-------|-------|------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | | | s Collected ary 30, 1995 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | ND | N D | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3## | 0.70 | 24 | 7.6 | 0.35 | 0.90 | 2.2 |
| | | | s Collected bber 31, 1994 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| ### EWM | 0.60 | 8.7 | 2.6 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.92 |
| | | | s Collected ly 29, 1994 | on | | |
| MW1 | NA | ND | 0.0012 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| ###EWM | 0.67 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.52 |

NOTES:

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel. TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

= Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory
indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds.
EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.018 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA
8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.014 ppm 2-Methyl naphthalene
and 0.11 ppm Naphthalene.

= Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory
indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range
compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.019 ppm 1,2Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds were not detected except for 0.008 ppm
2-Methyl naphthalene, 0.047 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.002 ppm Bis(2Ethylhexyl) Phthalate.

= Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.0077 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds not detected except for 0.008 ppm 2-Methylnaphthalene and 0.044 ppm Naphthalene.

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(Continued)

| Sample Location | TPH-D | TPH-G | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyl- benzene | Xylenes |
|--------------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | | | s Collected | on | | |
| MW1 | ND | N D | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | ND | ND | ИD | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3#### | 2.1 | 17 | 4.8 | 0.47 | 0.29 | 1.6 |
| | | | s Collected mber 16, 199 | | | |
| MW1 | NA | ND | 0.0022 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2 | NA | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3 ^ | NA | 12 | 3.3 | 0.66 | 0.24 | 1.6 |

NOTES:

TPH-D = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel. TPH-G = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline.

ND = Not Detected.

NA = Not Analyzed.

= Review of the laboratory report and discussions with the laboratory indicate that the results reported as TPH-D are gasoline-range compounds. EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.28 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds not detected except for 0.013 ppm 2-Methylpapthalene and 0.084 ppm Naphthalene.

2-Methylnapthalene and 0.084 ppm Naphthalene.

= TRPH not detected; EPA 8010 compounds not detected except for 0.027 ppm 1,2-Dichloroethane; EPA 8270 compounds not detected except for 0.009 ppm Phenol, 0.006 ppm Benzyl Alcohol, 0.006 2-Methylphenol, 0.007 ppm 2,4-Dimethylphenol, 0.088 ppm Benzoic Acid, 0.042 ppm Naphthalene, and 0.015 ppm 2-Methylnapthalene.

P & D Environmental

A Division of Paul H. King, Inc. 4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611 (510) 658-6916



Base Map From U.S. Geological Survey Hayward, Calif. 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Photorevised 1980





Figure 1 SITE LOCATION MAP VIP Service 3889 Castro Valley Blvd. Castro Valley, California

P & D Environmental

A Division of Paul H. King, Inc. 4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611 (510) 658-6916

Castro Valley Boulevard



P&D ENVIRONMENTAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING/WELL PURGING DATA SHEET

| Site Name _ | シエト | _ | Well No | MWI |
|-------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Job No | 0047 | _ | Date | 2124198 |
| TOC to Wate | r (ft.) 6.61 | _ | Sheen | None |
| | (ft.) 19.1 | _ | Free Produ | ct Thickness |
| | er Z * | _ | Sample Col | lection Method |
| Gal./Casing | Vol. 7.0 | _ | | eflor Bailer |
| TIME_ | GAL. PURGED | _Hq | TEMPERATURE (F | ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY (MS/CM |
| 7:25 | 0.1. | 7,77 | 64.2 | 15.80 ×100 |
| 2:26 | | 7,58 | 66,4 | 17.56 |
| 7 2 7 | | 7.38 | 66.9 | 18.75 |
| 7.28 | 3. | 7.35 | 67.5 | 17.99 |
| 2:29 | 4 | 7,28 | 67.6 | 16.06 |
| 2:30 | 5 | 7.24 | 67.6 | 16.74 |
| 2:31 | | 7,19 | 67.7 | 16.46 |
| 2:35 | _ Collect S | ample | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | · · |
| | **** | | · | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| NOTES: V | HK. Puraed | w. Hon | da pump à | - portvolve. |
| Well. | under positive | pressur | when well | plus removed. |
| PURGE 10.92 | water sta | reled turk | il, but lea | slug removed. |
| | purging | 1 | , | |

P&D ENVIRONMENTAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING/WELL PURGING DATA SHEET

| Site Name | Well No. MWZ |
|---|--|
| Job No. 0047 | Date 2/24/98 |
| TOC to Water (ft.) 6.70 | Sheen Nont |
| Well Depth (ft.) 19.4 | Free Product Thickness 3 |
| Well Diameter 2" | Sample Collection Method |
| Gal./Casing Vol. Z.Z | Teflon Bailet |
| | (%) ELECTRICAL |
| TIME GAL. PURGED | ph temperature Conductivity (cm) |
| 5:00 0.1 | 7.22 646 16.91X100 |
| 5:01 | 7.11 66.1 18.40 |
| 5:02 | 7.05 66.6 193×1000 |
| <u>5,03 3 </u> | 7.02 66.8 1.92 |
| 5:04 4 | 6.99 67.1 1.92 |
| 5:05 5 | 6.97 67.3 1.94 |
| 5:06 | 6.97 67.7 1.92 |
| 5:07 7 | 6.96 68.0 1.91 |
| 5:10 Callet s | |
| | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | · |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| NOTES: THIN | ALL HALL SIZE |
| | two pressure when well plug remarked the twibid, but cleared by end of |
| I New under poor | - 1 - 1 . 1 0 + 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| PURGE10.92 Water star | eled livelies, but cleared by end of |
| puralna | ‹ ٠ |

P&D ENVIRONMENTAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING/WELL PURGING DATA SHEET

| | | J V. | | | |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Site Name | VIP 9 | | Well No | MWZ | |
| Job No | | · | | 124/98 | |
| | r (ft.) 6,55 | | Sheen | | |
| Well Depth | (ft.) 18.9 | ·· | | act Thickness 🕜 | |
| Well Diamete | - 41 | | | llection Method | |
| Gal./Casing | vol. ک،ن | | 7-4 | Van Bailer | |
| _ | | | 65 | ELECTRICAL / | / (|
| | GAL. PURGED | pH | TEMPERATURE (C) | CONDUCTIVITY (| cm) |
| 5:25 | <u> </u> | 7.16 | 61.9 | 1,74 x 1000 | |
| 5:26 | | 6.90 | 64.2 | 1.50 | |
| 5:27 | <u></u> | 6.76 | 64.7 | 1,40 | |
| 5.58 | 3 | 6.69 | 64.8 | 1.51 | |
| 5:29 | | 6,66 | 64.9 | 1,57 | |
| 5:30 | | 6.64 | 64.9 | 1,52 | |
| 5:31 | 6 | 6.63 | 64.9 | 1,52 | |
| \$135 | Collect | Sunple | | | |
| | | | - | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | * | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | - | | | |
| NOTES: TO | IK. Durand | with Ha | ada Kunta | nd postvalue. | |
| well | les The | no to | The brossie | of grandent. | ma. de d |
| PURCEIO 92 | T- | T. II. I | - Luis L | our mer pung see | ************************************** |
| 122 | Water and | . SL: | 1. H/2. T. 1 | when well plug re cleared by end sheen an purge. | of |
| | pri agena | 6. 1 1 | parks s | meen on purge. | walts |
| | in bu | whet | | | |

110 Second Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Telephone 5:0-795-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com/ E-mail/main@mccampbell.com

| P&D Environmental 4020 Panama Court Oakland, CA 94611 | Client Project ID: #0047; VIP | Date Sampled: 02/24/98 |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Service-Castro Valley | Date Received: 02/26/98 |
| | Client Contact: Paul King | Date Extracted: 02/26-02/27/98 |
| | Client P.O: | Date Analyzed: 02/26-02/27/98 |

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline*, with Methyl tert-Butyl Ether* & BTEX*

| Lab ID | ds 5030, modific | Matrix | TPH(g)* | MTBE | Benzene | Toluene | Ethyiben- zene | Xylenes | % Recovery Surrogate |
|-----------|---|--------|------------|--------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| 86132 | MWI | w | ND | מא | ND | ND | ND | ND | 99 |
| 86133 | MW2 | W | ND | ND | מא | ND | ND | ND | 94 |
| 86134 | MW3 | W | 19,000,a,h | ND<200 | 46(H) | 330 | 650 | 1800 | 104 |
| | | | | | | : | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | ! i | | <u> </u> | |
| | <u></u> - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ì ! | | | | - | |
| | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | ı | | |
| | Limit unless c stated; ND | w | 50 vg/L | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | · |
| means not | detected above orting limit | S | 1.0 mg/kg | 0.05 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | |

^{*} water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in ug/wipe, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP and SPLP extracts in ug/L

The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline"); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; a) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?). () one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline of diesel range compounds are aignificant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~5 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern

[&]quot;cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coclutes with surrogate peak

110 Second Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553
Telephone: 510-798-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622
http://www.mccamphell.com/E-mail: main@mecampbell.com/

| DAD C. | Client Project ID: #0047; VIP Service- | Date Sampled: 02/24/98 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| P&D Environmental 4020 Panama Court | Castro Valley | Date Received: 02/26/98 |
| Oakland, CA 94611 | Client Contact: Paul King | Date Entracted: 02/26/98 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Client P.O: | Date Analyzed: 02/26/98 |

Volatile Halocarbons

| Lab ID | 86134 | |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Client ID | MW3 | |
| Matrix | W | |
| Compound | | Concentration |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND I | |
| Bromoform ¹⁵ | ND | |
| Bromomethans | ND | |
| Curbon Tetrachloride® | ND | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | |
| Ciploroethane | ND | |
| 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Etheria | סא | |
| Chloroform." | ND | |
| Chloromethane | ND | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND I | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | טא | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | |
| 1.4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | |
| 1,2-Dichloroothane | 11 | |
| 1.1-Dichloroethene | ND | |
| eis 1,2-Dichioroethene | ND | |
| trans 1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | |
| 1.2-Dichloropropane | ND | |
| eis 1,3-Dictiompropene | ND | |
| nans 1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | |
| 1.1.2.2-Tetrachionoethane | ND | |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | |
| 1.1.1-Trichloroethane | ND | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND ; | |
| Trichloroethone | ND . | |
| Trichiorofluoromethane | ND | |
| Vinyl Chloride * | ND | |
| % Recovery Surrogate | 104 | |
| Comments | Ь | |

^{*} water and vapor samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in ug/l., soil and sludge samples in ug/kg, wipe samples in ug/wipe Reporting limit unless otherwise stated: water/TCLP/SPLP extracts, ND-0.5ug/L; soils and sludges, ND-5ug/kg; wipes, ND-0.2ug/wipe ND means not detected above the reporting limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

⁽b) tribromomethane; (c) tetrachloromethane; (d) (2-chloroethoxy) ethene; (e) trichloromethane; (f) dichloromethane; (g) chloroethene; (h) a lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; (i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~5 vol. % sediment; (j) sample diluted due to high organic content.

MCCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL

SAMPLE ID: MW3 AEN LAB NO: 9802325-01 AEN WORK ORDER: 9802325 CLIENT PROJ. ID: 10564 DATE SAMPLED: 02/26/98 DATE RECEIVED: 02/26/98 REPORT DATE: 03/05/98

| analyte | METHOD/ CAS# | RESULT | REPORTING LIMIT UNITS | DATE ANALYZED |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Extraction for BNAs | EPA 3520 | - | Extrn Date | 63/03/98 |
| EPA 8270B - Water Matrix | EPA 82708 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Acenaohthene | 83-32-9 208-96-8 | ALD ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| .Acenaphthylene | 120-12-7 | NO NO | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Anthracene | 92-87-5 | ND | 50 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Benzidine | 55-85-0 | NĎ | 50 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Benzoic Acid Benzo(a)anthracere | 65-85-0 56-55-3 | NO | 10 60/1 | 03/05/98 |
| Benzo(b) fluoranthene | 205 99 2 | СИ | 10 ug/L | - 03/05/98 |
| Benzo(K)Tluoranthene | 207-08-9 | CN | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Benze(g,h,1)perylene | 191-24-2 | ND. | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Benza(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 100-51-5 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Benzyl Alcohol | 100-51-5 | ND | 20 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane | 111-91-1 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Bis(2-choroethy)) Ether | 111-44-4 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Bis(2-chloroisopropy)) Ethe | r 108-50-1 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate | 117-81-7 | ND | 10 vg/L | 03/05/98 |
| 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether | 101-55-3 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Butylbenzyl Pnthalate | 8 5-68-7 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| 4-Chlorcaniline | 106-47-8 | ND | 20 lg/L 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 03/05/98 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 91-58-7 | NO | -0 Ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| 4-Chiorophonyi Phenyi Ether | 7005-72-3 | ND | 10 vg/L | 03/05/98 |
| Chrysene | 218-01-9 | ND | 10 ug/L 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | ND ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Dibenzofuran | 132-64-9 | NO. | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Bran-butyl Phthalate | 84 74 2 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/96 |
| 1.2-Dichlorobenzene | 95-50-1 541-73-1 | ND | iù ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 106-46-7 | cи cu | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| 3.3'-Dichlorobenzidine | 91-94-1 | ND | 20 ug/L | 03/05/90 |
| Diethyl Phthalate | 84-66-2 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/90 |
| Dimethyl Phthalate | 131-11-3 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 121-14-2 | ND | 10 ug/t | 03/05/9 |
| 2.6-Dinitrotoluene | 606-20-2 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/98 |
| Di-n-octyl Phthalate | 117-84-U | N'D | 10 00/1 | 03/05/90 |
| Fluuranthene | 20 6-44 -0 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/94 |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | HD | 10 ug/L | 03/05/9 |
| Hexach]orobenzene | 118-74-1 | ND | 10 ug/L | 03/05/90 03/05/90 |
| HexachTorobutadiene | 8 7 68-3 | ,ND | 10 ug/L | 03/15/9/ |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene Hexachloroethane | 77 -4 7-4 67-72-1 | ND ND | 10 ug/L 10 ug/L | 03/05/96 |

MCCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL

SAMPLE ID: MW3 AEN LAB NO: 9802325-01 AEN WORK ORDER: 9802325 CLIENT PROJ. ID: 10564 DATE SAMPLED: 02/26/98 DATE RECEIVED: 02/26/98 REPORT DATE: 03/05/98

| ANALYTE | METHOD/ CAS# | RESULT | REPORTING LIMIT | UNITS | DATE |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Isophorone 2-Methylnaphthalene Naphthalene 2-Nitroaniline 3 Nitroaniline 4-Nitroaniline Nitrobenzene N-Nitrosodiphenylamine N-Nitrosodiphenylamine Phenanthrene Pyrene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 2,4-Dichlorophenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol 2,4-Dinitrophenol 2,4-Dinitrophenol 2-Methylphenol 4-Metnylphenol 4-Nitrophenol 7-Nitrophenol 7-Nitrophenol 7-Nitrophenol 7-Nitrophenol 7-Nitrophenol 7-Nitrophenol 7-Nitrophenol | 193-39-5 78-59-1 91-57-6 91-57-6 91-20-3 88-74-4 99-09-1-6 98-95-3 86-30-6 621-64-7 85-01-8 129-83-2 105-67-9 534-52-1 51-28-5 105-44-5 88-75-5 108-95-2 95-95-4 88-96-2 | *************************************** | 16 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | たれた人では、 1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の1000年の100年の10 | 03/05/98 |

ND = Not detected at or above the reporting limit * = Value at or above reporting limit

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Tele: 510-798-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622

QC REPORT FOR EPA 8010/8020/EDB

Date: 02

02/26/98

Matrix: WATER

| Conce | entrati | on (ug/L) | } | * Reco | very | |
|---------------------|---|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| Sample #(85901) | MS | MSD | Amount Spiked | MS | MSD | RPD |
| 0.0 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 107 | 108 | 0.9 |
| 0.0 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 93 | 98 | 5.0 |
| 0.0 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 88 | 108 | 20.5 |
| 0.0 | 9.6 | 11.1 | .10.0 | 96 | 111 | 14.5 |
| N/A | A/N | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | $A \setminus R$ | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | Sample #(85901) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 N/A N/A | Sample #(85901) MS 0.0 10.7 0.0 9.3 0.0 8.8 0.0 9.6 N/A N/A | Sample | Sample | Sample | Sample |

* Red = (ME - Sample) / amount spiked x 100

RPD = (M8 - MSD) / (M6 + MSD) x 2 x 100

QC REPORT FOR HYDROCARBON ANALYSES

Date: 02/26/98

Matrix: WATER

| _ | Concent | ration | (mg/L) | | * Reco | very | 222 |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------|------------------|--------|------|-----|
| Analyte | Sample (#86102) | MS | MSD | Amount Spiked | MS | MSD | RPD |
| TPH (gas) | 0.0 | 95.0 | 89.4 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 89.4 | 6.1 |
| Benzene | 0.0 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 99.0 | 92.0 | 7.3 |
| Toluene | 0.0 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 101.0 | 94.0 | 7.2 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.0 | 10.2 | 9 .5 | 10.0 | 102.0 | 95.0 | 7.1 |
| Xylenes | 0.0 | 30.7 | 28.7 | 30.0 | 102.3 | 95.7 | 6.7 |
| TPH(diesel) | ٥ | 153 | 147 | 150 | 102 | 98 | 3.5 |
| TRPH (oil & grease) | . 0 | 33500 | 31700 | 30000 | 112 | 106 | 5.5 |

% Rec = (MS - Sample) / amount spiked x 100

RPD = [MS - MSD) / (MS - MSD) x 2 x 100 - -

QC REPORT FOR HYDROCARBON ANALYSES

Date: 02/27/98

Matrix: WATER

| • | Concent | ration | (mg/L) | | & Reco | very | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|------------------|------------|-------|-----|
| Analyte | Sample (#86133) | MS | MSD | Amount Spiked | ms | MŞD | RPD |
| TPH (gas) | 0.0 | 100.6 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 100.6 | 98.4 | 2.2 |
| Benzene | 0.0 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 101.0 | 97.0 | 4.0 |
| Toluene | 0.0 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 105.0 | 101.0 | 3.9 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.0 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 103.0 | 100.0 | 3.0 |
| Xylenes | 0.0 | 32.1 | 30.5 | 30.0 | 107.0 | 101.7 | 5.1 |
| TPH(diesel) | 0 | 136 | 142 | 150 | 9 0 | 95 | 4.5 |
| TRPH (cil & grease) | 0 | 20800 | 20800 | 23700 | 88 | 88 | 0.0 |

% Rec. - MS - Sample) / amount spiked x 100

RFD = (M\$ - MSD) / (MS - MSD) x 2 x 100

P&D ENVIRONMENTAL
A Division of Paul H. King, Inc.
4020 Panama Court
Oakland, CA 94611
(510) 658-6916

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

| · 사용 : 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | PROJECT NAME: | ree-Castro Valley | | SES | | | II | 77 | <u>y</u> |
|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----|-------------------------------------|----------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| SAMPLED BY: (PRINTED AND SIGNATURE) | | | | THE PSECON | | | // | PRESE | REMARKS |
| AMPLE MUMBER DATE TIME | TYPE | SAMPLE LOCATION | NUMBER OF CONTAINERS | | | " | | 1 8 | |
| mwl: Zzylgg | Water | | ک | 1 | | | | ٩٤ | Normal Two Area |
| MwZ**** | e galace seeds | | ٦ | × | | | | ti . | A constant Section |
| MW3 | A Property of | | 6 | XX | 丛 | | | 15. | editorija (tilo) |
| e Ballie Barrier de la | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| No Address of the Control of the Con | | | | | L | | | | 86132 |
| | | | | | | | | | 86133 |
| | | | | | | | | | 00100 |
| | Transport of the S | | | | | | | | 86134 |
| | * | | VUAS O | G M IA | 301 | EH | | | |
| | ICEN. | PRESERVATION APPROPRIATE | | | - | | | | |
| the state of the s | | PACE ARSENT CONTAINERS | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ا. تابا | | | |
| | 7 | ~ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | | | | • |
| | | Λ. | | | T | П | T | | |
| SCHOULSHED BY: (SIGNATURE) | DATE TIME | RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE) | | TOTAL M | - | EMPLES WEITY CONTAINE WOIT | <u> </u> | | ioratory: 1cCampbell |
| EUNIUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE) V | DATE TIME 7,05 | FAREFIELD BY: (SIGNATURE | 601 | LABO | RAT | DRY C | | | ORATORY PHONE HUMBE 10) 798-1626 |
| LINGUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE) | DATE TIME | RECEIVED FOR LABORATOR (SIGNATURE) | Y ØY: | | S | | | | request sheet s (X)no |