

Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

Subject: RO208_Palace_Garage
Entry Type: Phone call
Start: Fri 6/3/2011 4:58 PM
End: Fri 6/3/2011 4:58 PM
Duration: 0 hours

Receive VM from Kate Waldo @ 312; return call at 4:30 – 4:55

Discuss site and recent letter. Discuss need for another well downgradient, or a grab gw sample appropriately timed within annual cycle I noted. She is in belief that all of the soil samples were collected submerged; collected at the cap fringe above actual water, found largely in a sand unit at 15 – 16 ft. It appears release may have used sandy material above water, but below UST to spread laterally. One concern is that adjacent landowner will need to approve of residual contamination in place; that can affect property values; may affect County's approach as well. She has done Man-Kendall analysis and it shows declining trends, as I would expect, but would need to determine time line to reach goals, "within reasonable time period", what's reasonable? Good question; I've not got a quick answer for that.

June 6, 2011

Place phone call to Kate Waldo in followup to our conversation of Friday. Ask for reports uploaded to Geotracker, also be uploaded to ACEH website; can't review until that point. This includes the "Notice to Proceed" which we have not previously received (from May 2010).

In followup to our conversation, I realized that all of our soil samples are at the cap fringe, and there is a fair amount of green, discolored soil beginning at about 10 ft, with no samples and no PID notes, so we don't know how bad this stuff might be. Because soil is reported as sandy in the source area, this may explain wide spread contamination, and may offer ideas for remedial actions; even the USTCF thought some remedial action was appropriate in their 5-year review (should be posted online). OK, thanks for my thoughts.