

## **Drogos, Donna, Env. Health**

---

**Subject:** RO197 - 50 MLK  
**Entry Type:** Phone call

**Start:** Mon 2/19/2007 10:00 AM  
**End:** Mon 2/19/2007 10:00 AM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

2/19/07, 953p, Betsy Brunswick, PG&E, 415-973-1642  
Oakland Power plant site,  
Under oversight with DTSC  
Report submitted 2004, req closure, did not hear from county  
Get closure from county, consolidate all issues w/DTSC working with them since 2002 on the site

3/15/07, 927a  
2004 to ACEH  
Review report, no response

3/15/07, 935a, lft msg, CW is Barney

Std 64

3/11/97 Ron Kino of PG&E phoned: He is Env Director, Power Generation 415-973-1259 Told him I haven't got any report yet. He doesn't know if info is ready yet, in terms of analytical data. They took thousands of samples at a total of four power plants. He doesn't know how many samples at this site. Fleur Daniel GTI is their consultant. They looked at old drawings. Found a circulating water system. . .Converted to gas turbine plant in mid 1970s. Converted those tunnels. Street drains on Jeff St. are/were tied into circ water conduit. Investigating that now. Using cameras to investigate it. Concern is whether they had a conduit to Bay for the FP. See some sheen in one manhole on Jeff, but they attribute that to contam runoff. Sampled catch basins/storm drains and got some slightly above DL inorganics (metals) in one. No other inorganics, or HCs. No sheen in 2 catch basins they think lead to the circ tunnel. Letter fm PG&E to City in the 1970s saying they were tying circ tunnel/aka conduit into street drains. There was flooding on Jeff St back then. **When can I expect a report? They can give one on this issue (thru PG&E).** Who is their contact at the RWQCB? Judy someone. They got ltr fm DTSC saying they wanted to be the lead. Could I get a copy of that? B Cook's office: Dennis Tugee. Yes; site mit program; voluntary cleanup program. He will fax it to me. (I never did get it) Jim Pappas from DTSC will take lead on permitted RCRA facilities: Moss Landing and Morro Bay. He recommended that DTSC Site Mit be lead for Oakland and Hunter's Pt. Who will want to buy these sites? Big players in energy mkt nationwide; maybe some foreign companies. Should know in May or June. Oak Power Plant is used for standby fuel; supports local region for peaking power. It is a Jetfuel-run gas turbine.

Denise Tsuji

7/31/97 Jeff Bricker from PG&E phoned: 415-972-5892 DTSC is the lead agency; Denise Tsuji is his contact (540-3824). Flour Daniel will be publishing a huge Phase II report (six 6" binders). He will send me a summary report in a few days. They also want a mtg the week of 8/18 in the daytime. Have they sold the site yet? It will not be put out to bid til October.

- 1/11/96 Reviewed Oct 95 QR by EMCON (new consultant). GW sampled on 10/12/95 flowed N at 0.005 ft/ft. They request to delete BTEX from well MW-2-3, bec they have four Qs ND. OK.
- Wrote letter.
- 3/29/96 Reviewed Jan 96 QR by Emcon. GW sampled on 1/18/96 flowed N-NW. Concs were similar to last Q. This is the first ANNUAL SAMPLING. Next sampling should be 1/97. This site could be evaluated for closure in the meantime. Who has time?
- 10/11/96 Reviewed March 1996 Annual Report by Emcon. GW sampled on 2/19/96 flowed NW at 0.005 ft/ft. GW had up to 670 ppb TPHd. BTEX has been discontinued. They are sampling annually. This case should be reviewed for closure.
- 10/22/96 mess fm Terry Morfer (?) Of PG&E; 415-695-2200 there will be a press release today re intent to sell this site. Will take about 1 year. Is committed to env protection. See <http://www.pge.com> or call Avtar Virdee at 415-695-2205.
- 12/30/96 Reviwed 12/17 ltr. Wait for Phase II, then do UST closure.
- 2/4/97 Reviewed 1/24/97 ltr to Tom Peacock fm Alynn Delisle. Says they did invest and found 4" FP "at southern boundary of Jefferson St." No site map attached. Discussed w/Tom: they said they "verbally reported it to him on 12/24/96. He vaguely remembers a telecon, and asked them to send him something in writing. It took them a month.
- phoned Ron Kino of PG&E at 415-973-1259. In emergency, contact him at 415-708-9649 pager. Left message: Does he have a rpt on this invest?

If Ron Kino does not call me back, I can call Avtar Virdee.

Spoke w/Ron Kino They took many samples. Data not all ready. Did not find product anywhere else. Corner of Embarcadero and Jefferson has Mws. Product was heavy oil, not diesel, located in street, not sidewalk. Report should be ready when? They want to do more samplg on Port property. They have also been in contact w/RWQCB. Who will be the lead? Dunno. They are selling these 4 fossil fuel plants. Part of deregulation/getting rid of the monopoly.

7/21/93 Subsurface Invest. by Weiss Associates. They got hits in the new wells (up to 1,500 ppb TPH-d and 3.1 ppb benzene).

10/19/93 QR by Alisto. GW was at 5'bgs and flowed NE on 9/22/93.

1/24/94 QR by Alisto. GW was at 5'bgs and flowed NW on 12/28/93.

April 94 QR by Alisto. GW flowed NW on 4/11/94.

6/14/94 3 messages fm G. Nulty. Wants to discuss APC and wants RWQCB to be at mtg. Spoke w/Kevin G. He cannot attend. Im Gary N.

6/16/94 mtg w/Gary Nulty, Avtar Virdee and Rex BELL of PG&E, and moi.

6/17/94 Tom said ok to send latest draft APC. Sent it.

8/1/94 Reviewed 7/8 letter fm J. Fusco. Wrote letter to RP.

9/19/94 Received 1991 "Tank Removal" report by RGA (from RWQCB files). Did not review it.

9/22/94 Reviewed July 94 QR by Alisto. They've stopped analyzing BTEX in all 3 wells due to 4 quarters ND. GW sampled 6/29/94 flowed NW . . .up to 920 ppb TPHd (MW2-3), which signifies an increase, even tho GWE decreased in that well. MW2-3 was UG during that event.

1/13/95 Reviewed Oct 94 QR by Alisto. GW sampled 10/7/94 flowed SW at 0.01 ft/ft. 590 ppb TPHd (in UG MW-1-2), and ND TPHd in the other 2 wells. BTEX was inadvertently analyzed in MW-2-3, and was detected at 16, 13, 5.9, and 24 ppb. BTEX analysis will continue in this well.

5/11/95 Reviewed 2/2/95 ltr fm PG&E. This letter does not fit with the attached report. Reviewed Jan 95 QR by Alisto. GW sampled on 1/3/95 flowed NW at 0.007 ft/ft. NW means away from the Inner Harbor; counterintuitive. TPHd was ND in all 3 wells, and BTEX was also ND in the one well thus tested (MW-2-3). However, unknown compounds in the diesel range (up to 650 ppb) were detected in all 3 wells; see lab report.

7/6/95 Reviewed April 95 QR by Alisto. **GW sampled on 3/24/95 flowed NW at 0.006 ft/ft (very flat).** GW was ND for BTEX and TPHd (compared to diesel standard), but had up to 740 ppb of TPH in the diesel range (atypical diesel).

**Wrote ltr to RP.**

Aug 92 WP for the Soil and Shallow GW Invest. by TES

8/28/92 letter fm PG&E to AlCo. Includes manifests for SP.

9/2/92 letter fm AlCo to PG&E; approves wp.

9/14/92 Letter fm PG&E to AlCo. ULR included.

10/6/92 site visit by JE for SBs.

10/14/92 tel con JE w/Gary Nulty of TES. He had problems w/the HP (lack of recharge in HP), so he did piezometers instead, both 1" and 2". Can he leave them til next wk to sample? Yes.

11/3/92 tel con JE w/Rex Bell of PG&E. Can they leave some borings open in case they need to convert to MWS? They're open holes which have been capped to prevent surf. water infiltration. OK.

Jan 93 Shallow Soil and GW Invest. by TES. Soil hits as high as 4,100 ppm TPH-d. GW hits as high as 160,000 ppb.

2/11/93 Wrote letter to RP requesting remediation wp due to high concs.

2/24/93 letter fm PG&E to AlCo. includes HW manifests for IDWs.

3/4/93 tel con JE w/Rex BELL. Can they use the 1" MWS to collect samples for QRs? They want to monitor gw for a yr before implementing gw remediation. Also wants extension for remed. wp to 4/9. OK.

3/5/93 tel con JE w/G. Nulty. Haven't defined gw plume on N edge. He doesn't think gw plume is going offsite. He thinks that if pH/cond. parameters are stable, then gw sample wd be representative in the 1" wells. June one 2" well. . . . left mess. GN saying that the CA Well Standards Bulletin 74-90 (p.20) requires a minimum 2" annular seal bet. casing and borehole.

3/11/93 tel con JE w/GN. Told him they cannot use the 1" wells for sampling. Can he replace 1" wells with 2" wells? Is MW3E close enough to tank #3?

3/17/93 letter fm AlCo to PG&E. Cannot use the 1" wells for sampling. Requests wp for retrofitting of these wells.

April 93 Work Plan for Additional GW Assessment by TES. Proposes 3 (new) MWS and the abandonment of the temp. wells.

4/23/93 letter fm AlCo to PG&E. Accepts wp

Site Summary STID 64  
PG&E Power Plant  
Oakland CA 94607

- Dec. 90 Preliminary Soil Invest. Report by TES. . .SBs were drilled near the 3 diesel dump tanks to determine the presence of spills. They got hits in all 3 USTs, highest near tank 3 and 2, low hits near tank 1.
- 1/16/91 letter fm PG&E to AlCo (SH), erroneously dated 1/16/90. They plan to remove the 3 tanks.
- July 91 Shallow GW Invest. Report by TES. They got TPH-d hits in gw as high as 204,000 ppb. **Free product was observed during purging procedures in this well (nr tank 2).** Highest benzene was 3.4 ppb.
- 10/15/91 tank closure plan, approved by DB of AlCo
- 10/16/91 letter fm PG&E to AlCo (SH). Says this site was previously a gas manufacturing plant, and this issue would be studied in their PEA.
- 11/14/91 Removal of the 3 70-gallon diesel dump tanks. DB present.
- 12/5/91 Date we received the (undated) Tank Removal report by RGA. Tank 1 was ND. Tank 3 had 7999 ppm TPH-d in the pit. Tank 2 had 4901 ppm TPH-d in the pit. No benzene, toluene or xylenes. Only up to 0.4 ppm ethylbenzene.
- 3/9/92 Case transferred to LOP. NOR sent.
- 5/4/92 letter fm AlCo (JE) to PG&E. PG&E claims that the pits were overexed to 7'bgs; confirmatory samples were NOT taken. New USTs were installed in the old vaults in the same excavations. Approves their verbal proposal to conduct SBs at the bottom of the prior tank pit to make up for this data gap.
- June 92 Confirmation Soil Sampling Surrounding Diesel Tanks 2 and 3, by TES. They got hits in the SBs done ADJACENT to tanks 2 and 3. They cannot excavate any further. "We do not believe that the remaining levels of diesel in the soil present a signif. threat to human hh or the env. For these reasons, PG&E requests this project be considered complete."
- 7/17/92 letter fm AlCo to PG&E. We do not concur with this conclusion. Requests a wp to define the extent of the soil contam. Also requests wp for gw invest. Requests doc. of SP disposal.

64

5-16-94 Reov April 94 QR by Alisto  
Gw sampled 4-11 + 20-94 flowed SW  
And NW on those 2 dates.  
600 ppb TPH-d in MW-1-2 (nr diesel dump  
tank #2)

TPH-d ND in MW-1-3 + MW-2-3.

They want to arrange a mtg.

Sp w/ Artar Virdee. Mtg on 6-16 10am  
meet w/ Gary Nulty, Artar Virdee + maybe Rex Bell.

6-9 Phoned Artar Virdee to confirm mtg.

8-5 spoke w/Rex Bell. He wants to preliminary gw invest. prior to install. mws  
soil contam - if its confined, they may remove it  
type of hydro-punch

12  
20  
10  
15  
20  
---  
77 yd<sup>3</sup>

4-19-93 Questions I have for Apr 93 Wp:

p. 10 Will existing 1" mws be destroyed 1st? or just retrofitted into 4" mws?  
clean out hole + install larger casing

p. 10 we don't know gw flow dir/j yet. ok  
he had trouble getting w/in 10' of tank 3.  
3J is ~ 25' from ~~HSTs~~ " "  
+ 3E is ~ 18' from tank 3.  
mw diagrams

p. 12 dist. of 3J and/or 3E from tank 3?

3J was ND



7-17-92 cont (Rex Bell) 4

USTs removed.

Soil was excavated on tanks.

New tanks put in.

Historical contam. in area, dating back to turn of century.

It was a mfr gas plant site.

V. Gill out of picture.

Address to Kim Sloat, attn: Rex Bell.

TES works only on PG+E sites, he blo'.

They were Dept. Engrs Research - 1970s.

~1989 or 90 - name Δ to TES.

RGA was ~~probably~~ a subcontractor to

Quamar, Inc., who did the tank removal.

Im R. Miett - he's out til 7-20

L. Feldman - re validity of RP doing own report. He says it's OK - they've been getting PG+E reports by TES in the past.

Ed may want to know abt this site due to involvmt w/RGA.

Rex sez - RGA may have subcontracted to Tom Daniels for their backhoe.

Stamco was just the hauler.

Chem. Waste Mgmt. in Kett. Hills

64

5-27-92 phoned V. Gill for status of 5-4-92  
l.m. 415-695-2205  
re SBs.

7-14 SH: ① They must  
do gw invest. ② must  
define vert. & lat.  
extent of contam.  
③ soil remed. plan

**IMPORTANT MESSAGE**

FOR Jennifer

DATE 5-29-92 TIME 1:10 AM  
P.M.

M. Valerie Gill

OF PG & E

PHONE 415 695 2205  
AREA CODE NUMBER EXTENSION

TELEPHONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	WILL CALL AGAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>
WANTS TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	RUISH	<input type="checkbox"/>
RETURNED YOUR CALL	<input type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL ATTENTION	<input type="checkbox"/>

MESSAGE will be done  
at Oakland Power  
Plant Wed. June 3  
@ 8:00am.

SIGNED [Signature]

LITHO IN USA

TOPS FORM 300ES

PG&E's own ppl  
prepared report. ★  
Conflict of int?

✓ = conclusion "no threat" - is on cover letter

7-17-92 call TES - PG&E entity? or 415-695-2200  
Beal - [unclear] (415) 695-2205  
yes - TES is a div. of PG&E.  
Scientists - TES  
Fred [unclear] 510  
Darrell [unclear] 510-866-5883

(510-676-4498)

4-29-92 spoke w/ Steve Mitchell of Quaman Inc. He said stockpiles w removed by Stamco & disposed at Kettleman. PG+E contact is Valerie Gill  
415-695-2205

lm.

4-30-92 Ms. ~~Gill~~ phoned. New <sup>double-walled</sup> USTs were installed in old vaults in same pits.

9-10' { 5000 ppm D  
Nov. 91 { 8000 ppm D  
11-14-91

The new tanks were permitted. 11 or 12-91

GW is 15-18' bgs

Tanks in operation.

She'll phone Quaman & see what happened. She says she just got the lab report last month.

They must propose ~~to~~ a way to remove contam.

DB says overex wd be near impossible due to nearby bldgs. DB approved permits to install. Tanks are constructed as ASTs, b. are actually USTs.

They may need a waste discharge permit from RWQCB for in-place disp. of cont. soil.

RH or LF

Phoned V. Gill re site visit (to determine if ~~any~~ adequate space exists for exc.)

#64

9-90 Sbs drilled near <sup>3</sup>D. "dump tanks"

tank #1: up to 70 ppm TPH-d  
ND BTEX

tank #2: up to 10,000 ppm TPH-d  
ND BTEX

tank #3: up to 12,000 ppm TPH-d  
" 1.7 " B

gw at 5' bgs.

12-90 "Prelim. Soil Invest. Report" by TES.  
(indicates above info)

9-19-91 City of Oak permit to remove  
3 USTs + 6 ASTs.

10-15-91 Closure plan accepted  
to remove 3-70 gal D tanks.

11-14-91 Removal of " " " "  
no holes; no H<sub>2</sub>O.

12-5-91 "Tank Removal" Report by Robert Gils Ass.  
up to 7999 ppm TPH-d (T3-A)  
need gw invest; soil > 1000 ppm must  
be overex.

4-28-92 Spoke w/ Chris Nwabuzoh. No further work done.  
what hpn to stockpile? Was it backfilled?

Site Summary STID 64  
PG&E Power Plant  
Oakland CA 94607

- Dec. 90 Preliminary Soil Invest. Report by TES. . .SBS were drilled near the 3 diesel dump tanks to determine the presence of spills. They got hits in all 3 USTs, highest near tank 3 and 2, low hits near tank 1.
- 1/16/91 letter fm PG&E to AlCo (SH), erroneously dated 1/16/90. They plan to remove the 3 tanks.
- July 91 Shallow GW Invest. Report by TES. They got TPH-d hits in gw as high as 204,000 ppb. **Free product was observed during purging procedures in this well (nr tank 2).** Highest benzene was 3.4 ppb.
- 10/15/91 tank closure plan, approved by DB of AlCo
- 10/16/91 letter fm PG&E to AlCo (SH). Says this site was previously a gas manufacturing plant, and this issue would be studied in their PEA.
- 11/14/91 Removal of the 3 70-gallon diesel dump tanks. DB present.
- 12/5/91 Date we received the (undated) Tank Removal report by RGA. Tank 1 was ND. Tank 3 had 7999 ppm TPH-d in the pit. Tank 2 had 4901 ppm TPH-d in the pit. No benzene, toluene or xylenes. Only up to 0.4 ppm ethylbenzene.
- 3/9/92 Case transferred to LOP. NOR sent.
- 5/4/92 letter fm AlCo (JE) to PG&E. PG&E claims that the pits were overexed to 7'bgs; confirmatory samples were NOT taken. New USTs were installed in the old vaults in the same excavations. Approves their verbal proposal to conduct SBS at the bottom of the prior tank pit to make up for this data gap.
- June 92 Confirmation Soil Sampling Surrounding Diesel Tanks 2 and 3, by TES. They got hits in the SBS done ADJACENT to tanks 2 and 3. They cannot excavate any further.

"We do not believe that the remaining levels of diesel in the soil present a signif. threat to human hh or the env. For these reasons, PG&E requests this project be considered complete."

- 7/17/92 letter fm AlCo to PG&E. We do not concur with this conclusion. Requests a wp to define the extent of the soil contam. Also requests wp for gw invest. Requests doc. of SP disposal.
- Aug 92 WP for the Soil and Shallow GW Invest. by TES
- 8/28/92 letter fm PG&E to AlCo. Includes manifests for SP.
- 9/2/92 letter fm AlCo to PG&E; approves wp.
- 9/14/92 Letter fm PG&E to AlCo. ULR included.
- 10/6/92 site visit by JE for SBs.
- 10/14/92 tel con JE w/Gary Nulty of TES. He had problems w/the HP (lack of recharge in HP), so he did piezometers instead, both 1" and 2". Can he leave them til next wk to sample? Yes.
- 11/3/92 tel con JE w/Rex Bell of PG&E. Can they leave some borings open in case they need to convert to MWS? They're open holes which have been capped to prevent surf. water infiltration. OK.
- Jan 93 Shallow Soil and GW Invest. by TES. Soil hits as high as 4,100 ppm TPH-d. GW hits as high as 160,000 ppb.
- 2/11/93 Wrote letter to RP requesting remediation wp due to high concs.
- 2/24/93 letter fm PG&E to AlCo. includes HW manifests for IDWs.
- 3/4/93 tel con JE w/Rex BELL. Can they use the 1" MWS to collect samples for QRs? They want to monitor gw for a yr before implementing gw remediation. Also wants extension for remed. wp to 4/9. OK.

3/5/93 tel con JE w/G. Nulty. Haven't defined gw plume on N edge. He doesn't think gw plume is going offsite. He thinks that if pH/cond. parameters are stable, then gw sample wd be representative in the 1" wells. June one 2" well. . . . left mess. GN saying that the CA Well Standards Bulletin 74-90 (p.20) requires a minimum 2" annular seal bet. casing and borehole.

3/11/93 tel con JE w/GN. Told him they cannot use the 1" wells for sampling. Can he replace 1" wells with 2" wells? Is MW3E close enough to tank #3?

3/17/93 letter fm AlCo to PG&E. Cannot use the 1" wells for sampling. Requests wp for retrofitting of these wells.

April 93 Work Plan for Additional GW Assessment by TES. Proposes 3 (new) MWS and the abandonment of the temp. wells.

4/23/93 letter fm AlCo to PG&E. Accepts wp

7/21/93 Subsurface Invest. by Weiss Associates. They got hits in the new wells (up to 1,500 ppb TPH-d and 3.1 ppb benzene).

10/19/93 QR by Alisto. GW was at 5'bgs and flowed NE on 9/22/93.

1/24/94 QR by Alisto. GW was at 5'bgs and flowed NW on 12/28/93.

April 94 QR by Alisto. GW flowed NW on 4/11/94.

6/14/94 3 messages fm G. Nulty. Wants to discuss APC and wants RWQCB to be at mtg. Spoke w/Kevin G. He cannot attend. Im Gary N.

6/16/94 mtg w/Gary Nulty, Avtar Virdee and Rex BELL of PG&E, and moi.

6/17/94 Tom said ok to send latest draft APC. Sent it.

8/1/94 Reviewed 7/8 letter fm J. Fusco. Wrote letter to RP.

9/19/94 Received 1991 "Tank Removal" report by RGA (from RWQCB

files). Did not review it.

- 9/22/94 Reviewed July 94 QR by Alisto. They've stopped analyzing BTEX in all 3 wells due to 4 quarters ND. GW sampled 6/29/94 flowed NW . . . up to 920 ppb TPHd (MW2-3), which signifies an increase, even tho GWE decreased in that well. MW2-3 was UG during that event.
- 1/13/95 Reviewed Oct 94 QR by Alisto. GW sampled 10/7/94 flowed SW at 0.01 ft/ft. 590 ppb TPHd (in UG MW-1-2), and ND TPHd in the other 2 wells. BTEX was inadvertently analyzed in MW-2-3, and was detected at 16, 13, 5.9, and 24 ppb. BTEX analysis will continue in this well.
- 5/11/95 Reviewed 2/2/95 ltr fm PG&E. This letter does not fit with the attached report. Reviewed Jan 95 QR by Alisto. GW sampled on 1/3/95 flowed NW at 0.007 ft/ft. NW means away from the Inner Harbor; counterintuitive. TPHd was ND in all 3 wells, and BTEX was also ND in the one well thus tested (MW-2-3). However, unknown compounds in the diesel range (up to 650 ppb) were detected in all 3 wells; see lab report.
- 7/6/95 Reviewed April 95 QR by Alisto. **GW sampled on 3/24/95 flowed NW at 0.006 ft/ft (very flat)**. GW was ND for BTEX and TPHd (compared to diesel standard), but had up to 740 ppb of TPH in the diesel range (atypical diesel).

**Wrote ltr to RP.**

- 1/11/96 Reviewed Oct 95 QR by EMCON (new consultant). **GW sampled on 10/12/95 flowed N at 0.005 ft/ft. They request to delete BTEX from well MW-2-3, bec they have four Qs ND. OK.**

**Wrote letter.**

- 3/29/96 Reviewed Jan 96 QR by Emcon. **GW sampled on 1/18/96 flowed N-NW.** Concs were similar to last Q. This is the first ANNUAL SAMPLING. Next sampling should be 1/97. This site could be evaluated for closure in the meantime. Who has time?



10/11/96 Reviewed March 1996 Annual Report by Emcon. **GW sampled on 2/19/96 flowed NW at 0.005 ft/ft.** GW had up to 670 ppb TPHd. BTEX has been discontinued. They are sampling annually. This case should be reviewed for closure.

10/22/96 mess fm Terry Morfer (?) Of PG&E: 415-695-2200 there will be a press release today re intent to sell this site. Will take about 1 year. Is committed to env protection. See <http://www.pge.com> or call Avtar Virdee at 415-695-2205.

12/30/96 Reviwed 12/17 ltr. Wait for Phase II, then do UST closure.

2/4/97 Reviewed 1/24/97 ltr to Tom Peacock fm Alyn Delisle. Says they did invest and **found 4" FP "at southern boundary of Jefferson St."** No site map attached. Discussed w/Tom: they said they "verbally reported it to him on 12/24/96. He vaguely remembers a telecon, and asked them to send him something in writing. It took them a month.

phoned Ron Kino of PG&E at 415-973-1259. In emergency, contact him at 415-708-9649 pager. Left message: Does he have a rpt on this invest?

If Ron Kino does not call me back, I can call Avtar Virdee.

Spoke w/Ron Kino They took many samples. Data not all ready. Did not find product anywhere else. Corner of Embarcadero and Jefferson has Mws. Product was heavy oil, not diesel, located in street, not sidewalk. Report should be ready when? They want to do more samplg on Port property. They have also been in contact w/RWQCB. Who will be the lead? Dunno. They are selling these 4 fossil fuel plants. Part of deregulation/getting rid of the monopoly.

3/11/97 Ron Kino of PG&E phoned: He is Env Director, Power Generation 415-973-1259 Told him I haven't got any

report yet. He doesn't know if info is ready yet, in terms of analytical data. They took thousands of samples at a total of four power plants. He doesn't know how many samples at this site. Fleur Daniel GTI is their consultant. They looked at old drawings. Found a circulating water system. . .Converted to gas turbine plant in mid 1970s. Converted those tunnels. Street drains on Jeff St. are/were tied into circ water conduit. Investigating that now. Using cameras to investigate it. Concern is whether they had a conduit to Bay for the FP. See some sheen in one manhole on Jeff, but they attribute that to contam runoff. Sampled catch basins/storm drains and got some slightly above DL inorganics (metals) in one. No other inorganics, or HCs. No sheen in 2 catch basins they think lead to the circ tunnel. Letter fm PG&E to City in the 1970s saying they were tying circ tunnel/aka conduit into street drains. There was flooding on Jeff St back then. **When can I expect a report? They can give one on this issue (thru PG&E).** Who is their contact at the RWQCB? Judy someone. **They got ltr fm DTSC saying they wanted to be the lead. Could I get a copy of that?** B Cook's office: Dennis Tugee. **Yes; site mit program; voluntary cleanup program. He will fax it to me.** (I never did get it) Jim Pappas from DTSC will take lead on permitted RCRA facilities: Moss Landing and Morro Bay. He recommended that DTSC Site Mit be lead for Oakland and Hunter's Pt. Who will want to buy these sites? Big players in energy mkt nationwide; maybe some foreign companies. Should know in May or June. Oak Power Plant is used for standby fuel; supports local region for peaking power. It is a Jetfuel-run gas turbine.

7/31/97 Jeff Bricker from PG&E phoned: 415-972-5892 DTSC is the lead agency; Denise Tsuji is his contact (540-3824). Flour Daniel will be publishing a huge Phase II report (six 6" binders). He will send me a summary report in a few days. They also want a mtg the week of 8/18 in the daytime. Have they sold the site yet? It will not be put out to bid til October.

8/27/97 Reviewed April 97 Annual Rpt by Emcon. GW sampled on 2/28/97 flowed NW at 0.001 ft/ft. Max concs were 1,800 ppb TPHd.

8-27 mtg 2 pm onsite w/PG&E, DTSC, Flour Daniel GTI.

- PG&E is retaining liability of env. remed.
- Prop. must be used for industrial use, as per draft purchase agreement.
- 35' deep seawall - concrete on top
- used  $1 \times 10^5$  for risk assmt  
+ 1.0 HQ
- ? Port of Oakland - denied access to prop.  
(proposed SIBs) # data gap
- Future use -



**Jeff D. Bricker**  
Environmental Engineer  
Power Generation Services  
415/972-5892  
Internal 222-5892  
Pager 415/201-6730  
Fax 415/973-7668

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

245 Market Street, Room 1217C-N12C  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
*Mailing Address*  
Mail Code N12C  
P.O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, CA 94177



**Korky Creek**  
Registered Civil Engineer  
Technical and Ecological Services  
510/866-5882  
Fax 510/866-5681  
Pager 510/539-1567  
Internet kdc1@can02.pge.com

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

3400 Crow Canyon Road  
San Ramon, CA 94583

slow recharge in wells.

So put in 1" piezometers  
(temporary). How long  
can he leave them in?

Put in piezometers on 10-8.

+ some more today 10-14.

Can he come back next  
wk + sample them all  
at once?

screened

sand pack

bentonite

cement seal - some

cherty - not in small ones

- will put in 2"

2" ones may stay. We'll discuss.  
Will try to purge.