

2-26-99

Met with Kent O'Brien of Arcadis Geraghty & Miller. His company is the consultant for the Port of Oakland. The Port owns the properties at 2225-7th St and 2277-7th St. Oak.

The Port is going to take back these two properties within a year or two and use it for parking and storage of containers. The Port wants to know what our office is going to require to get case closed for these two sites.

The Port wants to negotiate a payoff amount the tenants may have to pay if the site is not cleaned up before they leave. I told O'Brien, at a minimum, the floors at the two sites must be degreased & no floating products.

If ~~any~~ contamination still exist in the soil and/or groundwater, a risk assessment using Tier I or Tier II ~~will~~ be required.

ARCADIS GERAGHTY & MILLER



KENT O'BRIEN, RG
Senior Scientist

ARCADIS Geraghty & Miller, Inc.
1050 Marina Way South
Richmond, California 94804
Tel 510.233.3200 Fax 510.233.3204
Direct/Voice 510.918.1977
Email kobrien@gmgw.com

940

12/26/95 Sent fax to Jaff

1/3/96 Received fax fm Jaff. Answers my questions satisfactorily.

2/27/96 Reviewed 1/19/96 "Fourth Q 95 GW Mon Report" by GTI. GW sampled on 12/27/95 flowed NW at 0.001 ft/ft. Two drums of purged water are now stored onsite. ND BTEX, TPHd, and TPHmo. Only 220 and 55 ppb Tphg. These wells are clearly outside of the plume.

6/3/96 Reviewed 4/22/96 "First Quarter 1996" QR by GTI. GW sampled on 3/25/96 flowed N at 0.001 ft/ft (very very flat). THE PORTS WELLS WERE NOT SAMPLED ON SAME DAY, DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICT W/CONSULTANT. Results were all ND for TPHd, TPHo, and BTEX. Only 53 ppb and 200 ppb Tphg in two wells.

Is the Port up to date on its QS program? Check STID 3899. Yes, their latest report is dated 3/29/96, for the 1/8/96 event.

10/7/96 Reviewed 7/22/96 QR by Fluor Daniel GTI. New consultant? No, just new name of company. GW sampled on 6/26/96 flowed N at 0.007 ft/ft. GW was ND for TPHg, TPHd, MTBE and BTEX in all 3 Mws, except 77 ppb TPHg in MW2 (not typical gasoline).

WROTE LETTER, ALLOWING BIANNUAL SAMPLING

3/21/97 Reviewed 11/4/96 QR by Flour Daniel GTI. GW sampled 10/14/96 flowed West at 0.0005 ft/ft. Results were all ND in all wells. We can go to semi-annual here. I already wrote them a letter allowing it. They have "3 full barrels onsite" they "need to dispose of near MW1." See Att. 3.

- 4/27/95 mess fm and to Jaff: re possible DPD (field work) dates.
- 5/5/95 phoned Jaff: drilling date is 5/23. Then they'll leave the temp wells in place, and sample them on 5/25.
- 5/19/95 Reviewed 4/26/95 QR by GTI. GW sampled on 3/29/95 flowed N-NE at 0.003 ft/ft. This was based solely on Dongary's 3 wells, even tho the Port's 3 wells were monitored for DTW at the same time (by Port's consultants). **Apparently, the Port's data was not available to GTI. Why not?** GWs were approx 1ft higher in all 3 wells this Q. Concs were ND, <benzene MCL, or insignificant (75 ppb TPHd). **MW3 was obstructed; looks like sand is the problem. Let's clear it out!**
- 5/25/95 Site visit approx 4:27 pm, but crews already left. Saw the DPD points.
- 8/4/95 Reviewed 7/26/95 "Soil and GW Assmt Report" by GTI. Five well points had FP, ranging from 0.23 to 1.22 feet (see Fig 5 & 6, and Table 2). Even though GP-8 had only 0.18 feet FP (5/25/95), the Port's MW3 had 6.46 feet FP (5/23/95). Strange. Only 2 of the 8 soil borings were completely ND (at both depths) for HCs: GP-3 and GP-6. These borings are both north of the "sand bed," as per page 5 of the report. [But the gw in GP3 and GP6 had hits, although hits in GP3 were negligible, so as to ALMOST be able to say that we defined the gw plume extent in the NE direction.] The FP samples were analyzed and characterized as typical of diesel fuel; no gasoline or motor oil was noted in the samples. GW monitored on 6/21 and 6/23/95 flowed N-NE at 0.001 ft/ft (page 6). MW3 still has an obstruction in its screened section; this is preventing a full purging of the well. They say that the N limit of dissolved TPHd in gw may be affected by off-site sources. Come on now! (Pg 8)
- 12/26/95 Reviewed 11/29/95 "Third Quarter 1995" report by GTI. **GW flowed W-SW on 11/20/95 (using just the 3 Dongary wells). GTI does not have any info re location of MW4 to MW8 installed by the Port in the spring and fall of 1995. There is still an obstruction in MW3, which inhibits the purging of this well. GW sampled on 9/28/95**

DATA IS NOT TABULATED. ALSO MISSING SECOND QUARTER 95 REPORT.
GW last sampled in March 95? That's my last QR.

phoned GTI: no answer

Sent fax

3/14/95 Reviewed 3/13 fax fm GTI w/proposed modifications to wp. How about one more line of DPD in the NW direction? Discussed w/SOS. He agrees w/my recommendation for another line in the NW direction. He pointed out Sect 2655 of 23CCR, re FP removal. It's Dongary's responsibility to remove the FP in a timely manner, etc. So, once they do the field work, I want them to begin a wp for getting some hardware in the ground to do FP removal. We're talking RWs w/pumps, although the heavy truck activity may preclude permanent/continuous pumping; still, we could do weekly pumping of FP, as the Port is doing in MW3. They should start this wp as soon as they have their field data, and submit it here within 30 days of the field work. **This has gone on long enough. FP was noted during TR in 7/92!!!** It's been almost 3 years, and they have not done any FP removal! It's not our responsibility to spell out the regulations. They should have been proactively working on this problem!

left mess Jaff. I asked for two more DPD points, in the NW direction from the Dongary UST pit. Received fax fm Jaff. They propose just one more DPD point. What is this? A haggling game? I must require 2 more points, if they don't agree. They placed the one extra point close to the Port's site, not their own pit. This will only help them point the finger at the Port. If they put the point near THEIR pit, and got FP, they'd have to keep going out from there until the FP is gonzo. We ultimately agreed on one extra (8th) point. Also, I must specify the well casings for gw recharge prior to gw sample collection. Jaff will do that in his wp addendum.

Wrote letter to Dongary accepting this DPD approach. Faxed it to him and to GTI for timeliness.

4/12/95 Received 4/7/95 "Amended WP for Soil and GW Assessment," by GTI.

4/13/95 Reviewed 4/7/95 "Amended WP for Soil and GW Assessment," by GTI. Total of 8 Geoprobe points to a total depth of 14'bgs. Their placement looks good; see Fig 2. Continuous coring, sample collection every 5', analyze soil for TPHg, TPHd, and BTEX. Temporary wells, screened from 4-14'bgs. GW will be allowed to stabilize for 24 hours prior to sampling. Water will be analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, and BTEX. **If water contains FP, two VOAs will be collected and analyzed for full HC scan.** spoke w/Jaff: That will say whether it's gas and/or diesel. So we'll have a distribution. If there's co-mingling of plumes, we may be able to discern.

Clean it out! How did it get there?

3/8/95 Reviewed 2/24/95 "Work Plan for Soil and GW Assessment," by GTI. They propose only two more MWs. One is 25' N of former UST pit, and one is 150' NE of former UST pit. Is this really enough wells?

Discussed wp w/SOS. We need to confirm change in soil lithology from coarser grained (BH11) to finer grained sediments (BH10). See SB logs. This was coincidentally defined as the property line. They can and should use a rapid assessment tool to take soil and gw samples in the N and NE directions. They could use a CPT or continuous core (I like it better) for the soil samples. It would be more cost effective in the long run. THEN, let's sink the wells; maybe build a RW in the area w/most FP.

lm Jaff

3/9/95 spoke w/Jaff: told him this idea. Port has these moles (dikes) built to refill areas. He thinks they were built of rocks. They think that the area where he got refusal was a mole. He doesn't have any maps of these moles. There's still a change in sediments, but it may be due to the materials retained with, or something else. Thinks there's a change bet BH4 and 6. He put MW5, hoping it will be ND for dissolved. Discussed w/SOS. He still thinks we need to revise the wp. Maybe the consultant doesn't make \$\$ doing HP or CPT, instead of MWs. Uribe couldn't determine if FP was present when they did their invest. for the PORT (excluding the MWs), bec they used DPD (direct penetration drilling) method. If there's a matter of feet of FP in MW4, then he can better extrapolate limit of FP. Should we sample FP to determine if it's diesel or gasoline? Or just measure thickness, after leaving boreholes open until they recharge? At least do that. Starting 20' N from pit, he put boreholes every 40', and every 40' in NE direction, starting 40' NE of BH4. For a total of 8 borehole points. **Maybe also do a line NW from pit to BH11, for the purpose of FP thickness.** I should specify "direct penetration drilling" (DPD) methods. This is a good site for "whole or continuous core." He'll talk w/JP and his RG, and get back to me.

2655

1/11/95 Phoned Jaff of GTI: He hasn't followed up on SPacific contact/adjacent site. QR is coming out; it combines wells at both sites. . . . Discussed site w/Kevin Graves; he thinks we should write a ltr to Dongary (since it's his sublease) to characterize FP in gw by installing more wells (since they indicated FP in soil).

1/17/95 Phoned Jaff: There's a 2' drop in GWE from Dongary's MW2 to the Port's MW2. Explanation? Refusal in BH10 at about 5.' BH6 and BH12 different lithology: less sandy. He has a hand-drawn map showing SPs wells as well. He'll send. We could put in more wells, but that doesn't preclude the possibility of an historic above-ground release of diesel from C401 area. It's a long history of industrial use. Or one overflow of USTs. MW1 10,000ppb to 2800 TPHd, MW2 ND to 81 TPHd and MW3 ND to 150 TPHd. 11/30/94 QS: Taber's original survey appears to be wrong. They used an arbitrary datum point. They're not a licensed surveying co. They made an error somewhere. So it was resurveyed by the Port, and Dongary has adopted this resurvey. This resurvey showed the gradient varies fm N to NW, including historic GWEs.

WRote letter to Dongary, requesting MWS to determine how badly gw has been affected.

2/3/95 Reviewed 1/17/95 QR by GTI. GW monitored DTW jointly by Uribe and GTI on 11/30/94 in both Dongary's and the Port's wells, for a total of six wells. GW sampled in Dongary's 3 wells on 11/30/94 flowed NW at 0.0016 ft/ft (based only on Dongary's 3 wells), had no FP, and low or ND concs in MW2 and MW3; MW1 had 2,800 ppb TPHd (nice decrease) and ND BTEX.

The Port surveyed all 6 wells the first week of 12/94. There was a relative difference in this survey vs the 1/8/93 Taber survey. **The new survey datum were adopted, and used to calculate new gw gradients for current and previous events.** This showed NW gradient for 1/15/93 (different) and 11/30/94, and SW gradient for 9/12/94 (the same).

They calculated gradients from various specific gravities of diesel (p.4). Don't understand this. They conclude that since the specific gravity of the FP in Port's wells is unknown, the gradient for this area is also unknown. OK; I can buy that.

MW3 had an obstruction in its screened section, and therefore was only purged to 9.25' below TOC. Hmmm

Clean it out. How'd it get there?

Site Summary STID 940
Nations Way Transport fka ANR Freight
2225-7th St.
oakland 94607

continued fm handwritten notes:

- 9/6/94 spoke w/Don Ringsby (303-320-3960). Said ok to 2-wk ext. of QR submittal (new deadline 9/23). Asked him to fax 1-pg letter requesting extension. His consultant, ERM-West, switched allegiances to the Port; therefore cannot represent him anymore. He found out about 10 days ago. Told him I have not received SP disposal doc. DR said that EF of ERM promised that to him. Phoned Eric Floyd, Pres. of ERM, and left mess re this. Bob Katin is no longer w/ERM. Told him about the bidding requirements for USt CleanUp Fund monies. Changed name of site from ANR Freight to NW Transport.
- 9/22/94 Reviewed 9/12 letter fm ERM w/attached non-haz manifests for soil disposal--approx 870 yd3 soil disposed.
- 9/26/94 Reviewed 9/20/94 QR by GTI. (The previous gw mon/smplg was on 1/15/93). GW sampled on 9/12/94 flowed South 27 degrees West (not consistent) at 0.004 ft/ft, and had 10,000 ppb TPHd in DG MW1, but strangely ND in MW2&3. MW3 had an obstruction in its screened section, and therefore was only purged to a depth of 9.6' below TOC.
- 10/19/94 Jaff Auchterlonie of GTI phoned (916-372-4700). Spoke w/him. He wants to have a mtg on 10/20.
- 10/20/94 mtg w/Jaff. He reviewed this file 1:25-3:00. Then reviewed STID 3899 3:00-4:30. Then STID 3681 (SP) 4:30-5:10.
- 10/25/94 Jaff phoned. Wants to know if there are any professional publications re gw gradient. Referred him to Zone 7 publications in Susan's office.
- 12/2/94 Jaff phoned. They monitored all the MWs on 11/30. Both Uribe and GTI present. He'll contact Greg Shepherd of Southern Pacific re the wastewater impoundments. Was there any treatment (FP recovery) prior to closure?
- 12/28/94 Discussed the apparent comingled plume w/SOS. Must meet w/KGraves.

6-2-94 sp w/Bob Katin. Almost done w/
backfilling now. It's clean fill for
the port. Dirty SP is still there,
awaiting lab results.

They're waiting for results of Shipper's
site (3 MWs). Dongary may not have
installed the USTs.

Sample wells again. He sampled in
8-93: MW comp. ND BTEX, ^{100ug/L} TPH-d
ND pit water.

Dongary is willing to cleanup plume
on his property. Wow! What a guy!

Discussed w/K. Graves of RWQCB.

6-17 Revy 6-16 fax fm ERM.
They "don't blo" my 6-7 ltr "was directed
to Dongary."

7-26 wr ltr to RP, req'g QS.

3-2-94 sp w/Dan S. They have not come to any agreement w/ANK re remed.

Port will go ahead & characterize the plume more. Wp will be included w/tank rep for ^{stid} 3899.

5-31 Dan S. phoned. They ~~is~~ installed 3 MWS at Shipper's, + got ~ 7' FP in MW-3. Said Dongay filled their tank pit in w/clean fill. The Port provided the fill + told Dongay they could analyze the fill to protect themselves. Port rec'd a written report re the sample results + their activities incl. disposal. Fill came from Berth 30 - didn't go to Bio Site - it was sampled on a "gross basis" + assumed clean. Port ~~is~~ told ANR that they could not use this contam. SP as backfill, even tho the RB okayed it in their 10-7-93. Port thinks ANR's Remed. wp gd b modified bec. the plume h n b defined. Thinks MW-3 is UG.

12-7-93 Phoned B. Katin. ~~He~~ Don Ringsby went on a one-month trip around the world. ∴ the cost estimate was not approved by RP. for backfilling the pit.

Sp w/ Dan S. Part sp w/ ANR people (Yeutter), to see if they could take some samples from their pit, to fingerprint contaminants. They sampled! ~ 2 wks ago. No results yet. Doesn't think the plume is adequately characterized.

See 3-18-93 Ramson report.

Note B10

12-30 Sp w/ Dan S. Shipper's ⁽³⁸⁹⁹⁾ tank pull info is info I didn't have when I approved remed. wp. (?) Based on this, it appears that ANR plume is not fully defined.

ND TPHd, ~ 20ppm TPHg. Sampled at surface of ^{skinned} soil in soil; not water.

He'll meet w/ Dongary next Wed. (Jan 5.)

Write letter to ANR Freight. cc Dan

At that point, I'll write a letter to RP. RP thinks he's done w/cleanup. Oh boy.

9-21 Revy Revised w/ by FLA

9-27 Sp w/Rick H.

MW's were sampled on 9-19.
Results gd to in today. He'll send via FLA.

10-7 Sp w/Rick H. MW's were not sampled

George ^{W Bentley} is a contract ~~with Bentley~~ to do the sample today.
to FLA

12-2 Reviewed 10-22 letter by Frank Lee.

Gw sampled

9-24 cont. Wants to take 1st soil sample
non gw is < 200 ppb. or plateaued.
No initial soil samples by system
startup.

System will address w.o. pit. (Fig C in up).

(Std
3899)

Told him about the 4 USTs removed
at 2277-7th St. on 9-23. Bob said
maybe we can extend the bio system out
there.

10-8 Reviewed 10.7 ltr fm RB to Bob Katin
RB wants different soil samplg method.

10-12 sp w/D. Schoenholz. faxed him the
RB letter.

10-15 Mtg w/M. James of Port to review file.
He's got information that shows one of the
7 fuel tanks was used for gasoline.
We looked in tank removal report, + found
that ~~it~~ TPHg was not analyzed.

10-25 Mess. fm Bob Katin. sent cost est. to
RP to backfill pit. soil samplgⁱⁿ last
issue.

9-3 RH ~~22~~:
Bob Katin shouldn't use the mod. TCLP. Either TCLP or test 311 (Synthetic Rainwater) in SW846.

9-24-93
The confirmatory soil sample (#3 of 8-16-93 letter) must conform to federal guidance doc. (ie SW846) ... and should not be composited.

Also, be more specific as to where within the contam. zone the conf. samples are to be taken (need to be consistent).

* what about the w.o. pit? Will this bro address it? there's ~~for~~ waste oil liquid in pit now.

9-24 spoke w/Bob Katin. RH is satisfied w/ contingency plan. RH said they could use the soil sample as a screening tool only. If they get >1000 ppm in composite sample, then they're not done. This sample plan needs to be fine-tuned. He sp w/RH on 9-13. RH said he'd have an approval ^{letter} for w/p & contingency plan w/in 2 wks.

3-22-93 Rec'd 20-pg fax from Ramcon.
"Soil + GW Assmt" minus App. 2, 3, 4.
dated 3-18-93.

3-23-93 Mtg w/Susan Hugo, JE, Bob Katin of
ERM-West, Don Kingsby of Dongary,
& Germ Baumgartner of CH2M Hill.
See notes on yellow paper.

3-3-93

Q: proposes to backfill contam. Soil + then treat it in situ, along w/ rest of - Aite

A: need a waste discharge permit. He already spoke w/ Rich H.

Q: do they need to notify nearby residents + businesses of their remed? - NO -

A: NO

Q: do we need to see data on the wetlands system before we'd approve it?

A: we'd like to see the data.

3-11 got mess. fm Bern Baumgartner of CH2M Hill 251-2888 x 2118.

3-15-93 Sp w/ Bern Baumgartner of CH2M Hill. As comparing remed. bids of Dongary (Don Ringsby). (+ helping them evaluate) Can we set up a mtg for 3-22 or 3-23?

include { time sched. clean up levels? }
{ h+s plan

3-18-93 Sp w/ Jaff fm Ramcon no HCs in mws. (I don't have data yet). He'll fax that data.

There's TCE in ^{one} mws (23 ppb highest) 12 page
He'll fax map w/ delineation of gw plume.

Rcv'd fax.

2-26 got mess. fm Bill Bymaster of
Delta Geotech. 801-487-7754.

I fm 4:20

3-1 He's bidding for remed. wp.
VOCs in gw (?) he's not sure.
3 phases. Wants to install more mws,
do pump test. Must we approve
additional site characteriz?
Pump + treat w/Pack column
w/air sparging + gw injection or EBMUD
permit.

3-2 sp w/ Ted Thatcher of Delta Geotech.
re cascading wetlands remed. system.
Effluent wd be clean. You pump contam.
water thru mini-wetlands. Dongary
told him the gw is fresh, not saline.
FP wd be removed 1st by plant stems
Some ~5% FP polymerizes (makes a large molecule, like
long chain O+G). becomes relatively inert.

801-487-7754

3-3 where was it tested? studies? (cd be theirs)
what becomes of the plants? + soil? to the dump.
plastic-lined pond used as a container.
2 towns in CA for sewage treatment

2-23-93 (cont)

940

gas chromatograms had definite peaks
So ∴ the lab ran a test for solvents.
(694)

I asked him to include chrom. in report.

How to proceed? Maybe do a HP survey at
prop. border to determine if it's UG or DG.

223

JE Ap w/ Don Ringsby of ~~the~~ Dongary

4 diff. contractors preparing bids.

- 1) Hydro Environmental Tech ← Alameda - only bid in yet.
(Scott-Kellstedt)
- 2) ERM - West
- 3) Delta GeoTech. - Salt Lake City
- 4) Erickson

2-23 Wrote letter to RP, asking for remedial
wp + site activity report by 3-23.

- 12-29-92 Rec'd 12-23 letter + 12-28 fax from Ramcon.
- 1-4-93 Spoke w/ Dan Schoenhely.
He spoke w/ Gaff ^{today}. They want to treat gw somehow. ANR has their own consultant who's negotiating w/ Ramcon.
- 1-5-93 Spoke w/ Gaff. MWS to be developed + sampled this wk. v. He thinks gw flows NW. This work is being subcontracted ~~by~~ w/ Taber.
- 1-6-93 Spoke w/ Gaff. Taber should be out here today to develop the mws.
- 1-28-93 Sp w/ Bob Smith of WEST (916-753-6400) re clean up lol'. They may prepare remed. plan for Ramcon.
- 2-23-93 Spoke w/ Gaff. ~~Donzary~~ ^{Donzary} asked Gaff to send out 6 bids for subcontractors to write remedial wps. Ramcon is not overseeing the work. Don Kingsby is Donzary contact.
303-320-3960
- Gaff will write a work summary of what he did. Should be to me soon.
MWS have ND for HCs, but there are solvents in all 3 mws.

- 12-4-92 D. Schoenholz of Port phoned.
 Concern abt storm drain, altho wti level
 is a cpl ft below " , which is broken.
 Maybe they could fix it + plug it, to prevent
 migration of contaminants to bay.
 He wants to be cc'd.
 " " tank removal report + wps.
- 12-10-92 mess. fm Bobbie of Ramcon that ~~the~~ site
 work will begin 12-15
 Spoke w/m. Ramos. They have mw apps.
- 12-15-92 Site visit to observe SB install.
 Spoke w/ (met) Jaff Duchterlonie. They
 rerouted ~~repaired~~ the storm drain which was previously damaged.
- 12-21-92 Got mess. fm Jaff that they finished
 15 ~~SB~~ holes, 3 of which are
 now mws. The mws are located
outside the limits of FP.
- 12-24-92 Got mess. fm Dan Schoenholz of Port.
 Did I get results for SBs?
 I lm D.S.
- 12-28-92 called Ramcon. Jaff out all week.
 John Pile - spoke w/. I should get
 report 1st wk of Jan.
- Spoke w/ Mick Ramos - surveying mws this wk
 + samplg mws (this wk). Mtg w/ RP on
 Mon. 1-4. He'll fax me a map of
 SBs. There's 5' clean overburden + 1-2'
 contain. soil at gw interface.

11-23-92 Rev'd. "Soil + GW Assessment Wp"
by Ramcon. No mention of FP removal
continued + immediate

need safety plan
Gaff spoke w/Dongary, who must discuss
it w/ANR also. Coastal Remediation is
ANR's ~~the~~ consultant.

Fax new letter to ~~Dongary~~: Ramcon:
916-372-4209
mw locations not shown
on Plate 6 in wp bec. they may Δ,
depending on overex. limits

11-25-92 wrote letter to RP accepting wp
+ req'g FP removal

~~11-30~~ 11-30 Lm J. Auchterloine
12-2 spoke w/
Mike Ramos of Ramcon. RP wants to assess
site + then do free product recovery.
(RP put \$400,000 into escrow for clean up).
mw permit apps. [should take 2 wks
to implement wp]
(by 12-16)

Dongary wanted Ramcon to send up to Coastal Env. in East Coast, for review.

" " represents ANR Freight, who is partially responsible for cleanup.

Don Kingsby of Dongary liked FP recovery 1st.
Coastal Env. did NOT want " " "

~~#1-92~~ 11-12-92 Gaff A. phoned. Vacuumed FP on 11-11 got ~ 5,000 gallons total holiday
got ~ 1,500 gal FP

11-12-92 Initial site visit to observe FP in pit water.

11-20-92 Spoke w/ G. A. of Ramcon
Site Assmt Report fed exed today.
Client wants to delineate contam. 1st,
instead of removing FP 1st.
5 MWS, 10 SBS in proposal.

I said FP was #1 concern, + should be addressed 1st. He'll call RP + get back to me.

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR DB

DATE 5/14/91 TIME 11: A.M.
P.M.

M Debbie Moore

OF ANR

PHONE 303 273-4521
AREA CODE NUMBER EXTENSION

TELEPHONED		PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU		WILL CALL AGAIN	
WANTS TO SEE YOU		RUSH	
RETURNED YOUR CALL		SPECIAL ATTENTION	

MESSAGE 94607 2225 7th

10

SIGNED _____
LITHO IN U.S.A.

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR H Dennis

DATE 4-26 TIME 3:17 A.M.
P.M.

M Don McClenanon

OF SCS

PHONE 829 0661
AREA CODE NUMBER EXTENSION

TELEPHONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU		WILL CALL AGAIN	
WANTS TO SEE YOU		RUSH	
RETURNED YOUR CALL		SPECIAL ATTENTION	

MESSAGE _____

SIGNED TN
LITHO IN U.S.A.

Dennis, 2/23 11:15 AM

A Bert McCutchan
of Natl Env. Serv.

Texas

called & wants to

meet w/ you Wed 2/28/90
10 AM?

re tank pull / closure plan
failed P-test

at A/R Truck lines

2225 7th St

he said he will call you

today at 3pm our time
5pm his time to try to make
arrangements Paul

ps If I can help, let me know

NESCO

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE COMPANY

BERT McCUTCHAN
PROJECT MANAGER

(918) 622-4533
(800) 328-8335
(918) 622-6235 FAX

4107 SOUTH 72nd EAST AVENUE
TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74145

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR DB

DATE 4/13 TIME 8:05 ^{A.M.} P.M.

M Don McClanigan

OF _____

PHONE 829-0661

AREA CODE NUMBER EXTENSION

TELEPHONED		PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU		WILL CALL AGAIN	
WANTS TO SEE YOU		RUSH	
RETURNED YOUR CALL		SPECIAL ATTENTION	

MESSAGE _____

SITE:
7th St.
Oakland

- contaminated site
run by Marshall
Ryan of NESCO

SIGNED _____
LITHO IN U.S.A.

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR DB

DATE 11-21-89 TIME 8:40 ^{A.M.} P.M.

M Kelly Ross

OF Nesco

PHONE 918 622-4533

AREA CODE NUMBER EXTENSION

TELEPHONED		PLEASE CALL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CAME TO SEE YOU		WILL CALL AGAIN	
WANTS TO SEE YOU		RUSH	
RETURNED YOUR CALL		SPECIAL ATTENTION	

MESSAGE category 3 situation

2225 West 7th
part of Oakland
A + R Freight systems

SIGNED mnc
LITHO IN U.S.A.