

Phone: (925) 283-6000

Fax: (925) 283-6121

September 9, 2002

Mr. Don Hwang Alameda County Health Care Services Agency 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577



Subject:

Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report

1075 40th Street Oakland, California AEI Project No. 3119

Dear Mr. Upshaw:

Enclosed is a copy of the quarterly groundwater report for the sixteenth episode of sampling. We are now converting our files to pdf format for storage. Let me know if you would like to receive future reports electronically or if the current paper copy is sufficient.

Please call either Peter McIntyre or myself at (925) 283-6000 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Nathan Garfield Staff Geologist

AEI CONSULTANTS Environmental & Civil Engineering Services

NATHAN GARFIELD

Staff Geologist

3210 Old Tunnel Road, Ste. B Lafayette, CA 94549-4157 Email: ngarfield@aeiconsultants.com

(925) 283-6000 ext. 108 Fax: (925) 283-6121

Alameda County

SEP 1 2 2002

Environmental Health

September 9, 2002

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

1075 40TH Street Oakland, California

Project No. 3119

Prepared For

Fidelity Roof Company 1075 40th Street Oakland, CA 94608

Prepared By

All Environmental, Inc. 3210 Old Tunnel Road, Suite B Lafayette, CA 94549 (925) 283-6000





Phone: (925) 283-6000

Fax: (925) 283-6121

September 9, 2002

Mr. Monte Upshaw Fidelity Roof Company 1075 40th Street Oakland, CA 94608

RE: Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Report

Sixteenth Episode 1075 40th Street Oakland, California Project No. 3119

Dear Mr. Upshaw:

On your behalf, AEI Consultants (AEI) has prepared this report to document the groundwater investigation at the above referenced site (Figure 1: Site Location Map). The purpose of this activity was to monitor groundwater quality in the vicinity of previous underground storage tanks (USTs). The work was performed in compliance with requirements of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA). This report presents the findings of the sixteenth episode of groundwater monitoring and sampling.

Site Description and Background

The site currently supports the operation of Fidelity Roof Company and is located in a mixed residential and commercial area of Oakland at 1075 40th Street.

On December 19, 1995, Tank Protect Engineering, Inc. removed one (1) 1,000 gallon diesel underground storage tank (UST) and one (1) 500 gallon gasoline UST from the southeast corner of the property. The removal of the tanks produced a single excavation. Analysis of the soil samples indicated that soil beneath the 1,000 gallon UST had been impacted by minor concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g), TPH as diesel (TPH-d), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX) and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE).

On September 12, 1996, AEI advanced four soil borings in the vicinity of the former UST excavation¹. Analytical results from the subsurface investigation revealed significant levels of gasoline and diesel petroleum hydrocarbons present in soil to the south and to the west of the open excavation. The contamination was thought to extend beneath the existing pump island. Due to the high concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons within the groundwater, the ACHCSA required further investigation of the extent and magnitude of the groundwater contaminant plume.

AEI Consultants Project No. 3119 September 9, 2002 Page 2

During the drilling investigation, AEI collected a four-point composite soil sample from the stockpile. Approval was granted by Ms. Hugo of the ACHCSA to reuse the stockpiled soil as backfill material.

On October 25, 1996, AEI extended the excavation laterally 7 feet to the south and 12 feet to the west². Soil was removed to a depth of 9 feet below ground surface (bgs). The dispenser island and associated piping were also removed. Groundwater was not encountered during the excavation activities. Analyses of the soil samples collected from the excavation sidewalls indicated that up to 150 mg/kg of TPH-g, 16 mg/kg of benzene, and 300 mg/kg of TPH-d remained within the western sidewall of the excavation.

On March 6, 1997, AEI installed three groundwater monitoring wells³. At the request of the ACHCSA, six additional soil borings were drilled south and west of the well locations on November 4, 1998⁴. The locations of these borings were chosen to assess the lateral extent of impacted groundwater at the site. TPH-d was detected at 2,400 µg/L in groundwater to the south of the former excavation. No significant concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were detected from the other borings.

Based on the results of these six soil borings, the ACHCSA requested the installation of a fourth groundwater monitoring well at the site, located south of the former tank locations along Yerba Buena Avenue. Monitoring well MW-4 was installed on July 15, 1999⁵. No detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons were found in the soil samples taken during installation.

The analytical results of prior groundwater sampling episodes are included in Table 2. This report describes the results of the sixteenth groundwater monitoring event that took place on August 15, 2002.

Summary of Activities

AEI measured the depth to groundwater in the four wells on August 15, 2002. Prior to sampling, the depth to water from the top of the well casings was measured with an electric water level indicator. The wells were purged and sampled using disposable plastic bailers. Temperature, pH, and specific conductivity were measured during the purging of the wells. AEI removed at least 3 well volumes from each well while purging. Once the temperature, pH, and specific conductivity stabilized, a water sample was collected. Well locations are shown in Figure 2.

Water was poured from the bailers into 1-liter amber glass bottles and 40 ml glass VOA vials and capped so neither headspace nor air bubbles were visible within the sample containers. Samples were shipped on ice under proper chain of custody protocol to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. of Pacheco, California (State Certification #1644).

AEI Consultants Project No. 3119 September 9, 2002 Page 3

Groundwater samples were submitted for chemical analysis for TPH-g (EPA Method 5030/8015), MTBE (EPA Method 8020/602), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) (EPA Method 8020/602), and (TPH-d) (EPA Method 3510/8015).

Field Results

A faint hydrocarbon odor was detected during the sampling of monitoring well MW-1. During the purging of MW-3 a strong hydrocarbon odor and a sheen on the surface of the purge water were noted. Groundwater levels for the current monitoring episode ranged from 32.65 to 35.10 feet above mean sea level (msl). These groundwater elevations were an average of 1.38 feet lower than the previous monitoring episode. The most recent calculated groundwater gradient was 0.046 foot per foot (ft/ft), and the direction of flow was towards the west. This represents an approximately 90-degree shift to the west in the direction of flow, and a slight increase in gradient. These fluctuations were consistent with previous sampling episodes.

Groundwater elevation data are summarized in Table 1. The groundwater elevation contours and the groundwater flow direction are shown on Figure 2. Refer to Appendix B for Groundwater Monitoring Well Field Sampling Forms.

Groundwater Quality

Significant concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons still remain in the groundwater. Slight fluctuations in concentrations of TPH-g, TPH-d, MTBE and BTEX were observed in the four wells. Well MW-4, which contained elevated concentrations of TPH-g (26 μ g/L), and TPH-d (140 μ g/L) during the previous sampling episode, contained no detectable concentrations of TPH-g or TPH-d and showed a slight decrease in MTBE concentration. Concentrations of TPH-g, TPH-d and BTEX remained highest in well MW-3 while concentrations of MTBE remained highest in well MW-2. The fluctuations in contaminant concentrations have occurred since the onset of sampling in 1997.

A summary of groundwater quality data is presented in Table 2. Laboratory results and chain of custody documents are included in Appendix B.

Conclusions

Groundwater analytical results from the current sampling episode indicated that elevated levels of petroleum hydrocarbons remained in the groundwater. Groundwater elevations were lower (-1.38 feet) than the previous sampling episode and groundwater flow direction was to the west. Groundwater flow direction has varied between northwest and westerly flow directions.

A corrective action plan (CAP)¹³ discussing available remedial technologies available to this site was submitted to the ACHCSA for their review and has been approved. AEI anticipates beginning the approved scope of work once given authorization. Quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling of the wells will continue at the site and the next monitoring and sampling episode is scheduled for November 2002.

References

- 1. Phase II Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, October 7, 1996, prepared by AEI.
- 2. Excavation and Disposal of Contaminated Soil Report, January 7, 1997, prepared by AEI.
- 3. Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Report, dated May 30, 1997, prepared by AEI.
- 4. Phase II Subsurface Investigation Report, December 9, 1998, prepared by AEI.
- 5. Groundwater Monitoring Well and Sampling report, September 3, 1999, prepared by AEI.
- **6.** Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Report (QGMSR), March 21, 2000, prepared by AEI.
- 7. QGMSR, July 28, 2000, prepared by AEI.
- 8. QGMSR, November 6, 2000, prepared by AEI.
- 9. QGMSR, January 29, 2001, prepared by AEI.
- 10. QGMSR, May 8, 2001, prepared by AEI.
- 11. QGMSR, August 14, 2001, prepared by AEI.
- 12. QGMSR, December 11, 2001, prepared by AEI.
- 13. Corrective Action Plan, July 31, 2001, prepared by AEI.
- 14. QGMSR, May 31, 2002, prepared by AEI.
- 15. QGMSR, June 4, 2002, prepared by AEI.

Report Limitations and Signatures

This report presents a summary of work completed by AEI Consultants including observations and descriptions of site conditions. Where appropriate, it includes analytical results for samples taken during the course of the work. The number and location of samples are chosen to provide required information, but it cannot be assumed that they are entirely representative of all areas not sampled. All conclusions and recommendations are based on these analyses, observations, and the governing regulations. Conclusions beyond those stated and reported herein should not be inferred from this document.

These services were performed in accordance with generally accepted practices in the environmental engineering and construction field that existed at the time and location of the work.

Sincerely,

AEI Consultants

Nathan Garfield Staff Geologist

J. P. Derhake, PE Senior Author, Principal

Figures

Figure 1 Site Location Map

Figure 2 Dissolved Hydrocarbon Map Figure 3 Groundwater Gradient Map

Tables

Table 1 Groundwater Elevation Data


Table 2 Groundwater Sample Analytical Data

Appendices

Appendix A Groundwater Monitoring Well Field Sampling Forms

Appendix B Laboratory Analyses With Chain of Custody Documentation


cc: Mr. Don Hwang, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250, Alameda, CA 94502-6577



AEI CONSULTANTS 3210 OLD TUNNEL RD, STE B, LAFAYETTE, CA

SITE LOCATION MAP

1075 44 TH STREET OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA FIGURE 1 PROJECT No. 3119






Table 1 Groundwater Elevation Data

Well ID	Date	Elevation	Depth to Water	Groundwate Elevation
		(ft msl)	(ft)	(ft msl)
MW-1	03/19/97	45.41	8.25	37.16
	06/20/97	45.41	9.1	36.31
	10/08/97	45.41	9.95	35.46
	01/16/98	45.41	7.57	37.84
	08/05/99	45.49	10.16	35.33
	11/18/99	45,49	8.52	36.97
	02/24/00	45.49	7.65	
				37.84
	05/24/00	45.49	8.47	37.02
	08/29/00	45.49	10.28	35.21
	01/12/01	45.49	8.5	36.99
	04/18/01	45.49	8.77	36.72
	07/27/01	45.49	10.5	34.99
	11/06/01	45.49	10.28	35.21
	02/13/02	45.49	8.47	37.02
	05/14/02	45.49	9.50	35.99
	08/15/02	45.49	10.39	35.10
MW-2	03/19/97	44.94	8.4	36.54
	06/20/97	44.94	8.85	36.09
	10/08/97	44.94	9.8	35.14
	01/16/98	44.94	5.28	39.66
			9.32	
	08/05/99	44.98		35.66
	11/18/99	44.98	10.2	34.78
	02/24/00	44.98	7.03	37.95
	05/24/00	44.98	10.8	36.97
	08/29/00	44.98	11.07	33.91
	01/12/01	44.98	8.6	36.38
	04/18/01	44.98	8.8	36.18
	07/27/01	44.98	11.1	33.88
	11/06/01	44.98	12.21	32.77
	02/13/02	44.98	7.98	37.00
	05/14/02	44.98	10.48	34.50
	08/15/02	44.98	10.64	34.34
MW-3	03/19/97	44.32	7.59	36.73
2.217	10/08/97	44.32	9.98	34.34
	06/20/97	44.32	8.36	35.96
	01/16/98	44.32	9.18	35.14
	08/05/99	44.37	10.56	33.81
	11/18/99	44.37	10.92	33.45
	02/24/00	44.37	8.49	35.88
	05/24/00	44.37	8.42	35.95
	08/29/00	44.37	12	32.37
	01/12/01	44.37	10.5	33.87
	04/18/01	44.37	9.5	35.22
	07/27/01	44.37	11.61	32.76
	11/06/01	44.37	11.73	32.64
	02/13/02	44.37	9.36	35.01
	05/14/02	44.37 44.37	9.00	
	08/15/02	44.37	11.72	35.37 32.65
MW-4	08/05/99	43.48	8.79	34.69
141 44				
	11/18/99	43.48	8.11	35.37
	02/24/00	43.48	5.19	38.29
	05/24/00	43.48	7.23	36.25
	08/29/00	43.48	9.04	34.44
	01/12/01	43.48	6.4	37.08
	04/18/01	43.48	7.3	36.18
	07/27/01	43.48	9.16	34.32
	11/06/01	43.48	9.03	34.45
	02/13/02	43,48	6.60	36.88
	~~~~~~		0.00	50.00
	05/14/02	17.49	710	36.30
	05/14/02 <b>08/15/02</b>	43.48 43.48	7.19 <b>8.97</b>	36.29 <b>34.51</b>

Notes:
All well elevations are measured from the top of the easing and not from the ground ft msl = feet above mean sea level

Table 2 Groundwater Sample Analytical Data

Well ID	Date	Consultant/ Lab	TPHg	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	TPHd
			(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
MW - 1	03/19/97	AEI/MAI	<50	23	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	06/23/97	AEI/MAI	1,300	14	150	2.1	12	19	420
	10/08/97	AEI/MA1	56	5.8	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	66
	01/16/98	AEI/MAI	1,500	<33	95	0.72	69	8.4	910
	08/05/99	AEI/MAI	160	<15	1.6	<0.5	0.56	1.1	63
	11/18/99	AEI/MAI	79	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	02/24/00	AEI/MAI	300	<5.0	14	0.82	3.5	1.6	160
	05/24/00	AEI/MAI	1,300	ND<10	93	<0.5	17	1.6	480
	08/29/00	<b>AEI/MAI</b>	120	<5.0	0.93	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5
	01/12/01	AEI/MAI	360	<5.0	16	<0.5	9.3	0.69	170
	04/18/01	AEI/MAI	1,100	2,800	63	<0.5	34	0.73	410
	07/27/01	AEI/MAI	130	<5.0	1.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	66
	11/06/01	AEI/MAI	<50	<5.0	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<50
	02/13/02	AEI/MAI	430	<5.0	17	0.51	11	0.64	270
	05/14/02	AEI/MAI	340	<5.0	21	<0.5	5.3	0.67	170
	08/15/02	AEVMAI	96	<5.0	0.66	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	53
MW - 2	03/19/97	AEI/MAI	<50	65	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	06/23/97	AEI/MAI	<50	70	3.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	10/08/97	AEI/MAI	<00	90	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	01/16/98	AEI/MAI	<50	65	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	08/05/99	AEI/MAI	<50	600	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	11/18/99	AEI/MAI	<50	370	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	02/24/00	AEI/MAI	<50	880	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	05/24/00	AEI/MAI	ND<250	2.200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	62
	08/29/00	AEI/MAI	ND<200	1,900	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	01/12/01	AEI/MAI	470	2,000	8.7	3.L	16	73	70
	04/18/01	AEI/MAI	<50	2,800	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	07/27/01	AEI/MAI	ND<100	3,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	11/06/01	AEI/MAI	ND<100	3,000	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	02/13/02	AEI/MAI	54	3,200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50
	05/14/02 <b>08/15/02</b>	AEI/MAI AEI/MAI	ND<150 <50	3.800 <b>2,900</b>	4.8 <0.5	ND<1.0 <0.5	ND<1.0 <0.5	ND<1.0 < <b>0.5</b>	<50 <b>&lt;50</b>
MW -3	03/19/97	AEI/MAI	26,000	230	3,000	530	340	2 200	£ 000
14144 -3	06/23/97		25,000	270	4,400	120	540	2,300	5,000
	10/08/97	AEI/MAI				47		1,500	7,000
		AEI/MAI	17,000	ND<280	4,400		280	410	5,100
	01/16/98	AEI/MAI	29,000	ND<360	5,600	740	950	3,500	7,300
	08/05/99	AEI/MAI	31,000	ND<200	5,400	150	1100	2,300	5,100
	11/18/99	AEI/MAI	74,000	ND<1,000	8,100	5,000	2,100	8,100	490,000
	02/24/00	AEI/MAI	110,000	ND<200	12,000	1,400	2,900	14,000	6,300
	05/24/00	AEI/MAI	87,000	ND<200	13,000	1,900	2,900	14,000	26,000
	08/29/00	AEI/MAI	49,000	ND<200	7,400	800	1,800	7,400	9,400
	01/12/01	AEI/MAI	69,000	ND<300	8,600	980	2,600	11,000	21,000
	04/18/01	AEI/MAI	75,000	ND<500	9,200	1,200	2,500	12,000	13,000
	07/27/01	AEI/MAI	75,000	ND<650	8,700	1,100	2,600	12,000	85,000
	11/06/01	AEI/MAI	89,000	ND<200	7,900	910	2,800	12,000	86,000
	02/13/02	AEI/MAI	85,000	ND<2000	8,500	830	2,600	11,000	13,000
	05/14/02 <b>08/15/02</b>	AEI/MAI AEI/MAI	94,000 <b>37,000</b>	ND<1000 ND<1200	9,700 <b>5,200</b>	1,100 <b>430</b>	3,400 1 <b>,800</b>	15,000 <b>5,900</b>	35,000 <b>9,700</b>
MW.A	08/05/00	ARTMAAT	~50	27	-0.5	-n 5	~0.5	-0 E	-E0
MW-4	08/05/99 11/18/99	AEI/MAI AEI/MAI	<50 <50	37 20	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<0.5	<50 <50
	02/24/00	AEI/MAI	ব্য ব্য	20	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 <0.5	<50
	05/24/00	AEI/MAI	120	31	1.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	140
	08/29/00	AEI/MAI	<50	22	1.5 <0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	01/12/01	AEI/MAI	<u>ජ</u> 0	. 25	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 <0.5	₹0.5 81
	04/18/01	AEI/MAI	30	35	2.4	1.1	0.66	4.2	170
	07/27/01	AEI/MAI	30 87	26	1.8	<0.5	2	10	110
	11/06/01	AEI/MAI	200	21	4.5	1	5.2	24	59
	02/13/02				4.5 <0.5	<0.5	3.2 <0.5		91
	05/14/02	AEI/MAI AEI/MAI	<50 260	15 26	<0.5 12	2.7	<0.5 11	<0.5 49	
	08/15/02	AEI/MAI	<50	12	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	140 <b>&lt;50</b>
	300 13/02	VERMINT.	~30	14	~v.	~13	~0.0	<u.5< td=""><td>-30</td></u.5<>	-30

Notes:
ug/L= micrograms per liter
ND= Not detected
MTBE= Methyl Tertiary Bulyl Ether
TPHg= Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline
TPHd= Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel

AEI = AEI Consultants

MAI = McCampbell Analytical Inc., Pacheco, California

# AEI CONSULTANTS GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-1

Project Name:	Fidelity Roof Company	Date of Sampling: 8/15/2002
Job Number:	3119	Name of Sampler: N. Garfield
Project Address:	1075 40th Street, Oakland	

MONITORING	GWELLDATA TE WILLIAM THE STATE OF				
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2				
Wellhead Condition	•				
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	45.49				
Depth of Well	21.00				
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	10.39				
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	35.10				
Well Volumes Purged	3				
Gallons Purged: formula valid only for casing sizes of 2" (.16 gal/ft), 4" (.65 gal/ft), and 6" (1.44 gal/ft)	5.1				
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5.0				
Appearance of Purge Water	clear				
Free Product Present?	No Thickness (ft):				

<b>经股票公共</b> 中间制制		PROPERTY C	TE (DIEINIEIWA)	WESESAME	(ES) = DL III	化多色激素化	
Number of Sampl	Number of Samples/Container Size 2 40mL VOA, 1 1L						
Time	Vol Removed (gal)	Temperature (deg C)	pН	Conductivity (μ sec/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
11:04	1.5	21.5	7.15	967			
11:06	3	20.2	6.94	984			
11:07	4	19.8	6.93	1007			
11:08	5	19.7	6.94	985			

faint hydrocarbon odor		

# AEI CONSULTANTS GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

**Monitoring Well Number:** 

MW-2

Project Name:	Fidelity Roof Company	Date of Sampling: 8/15/2002
Job Number:	3119	Name of Sampler: N. Garfield
Project Address:	1075 40th Street, Oakland	

MONITORING	WELL DATA TO SEE THE BUILDING TO SEE
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2
Wellhead Condition o	ok -
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	44.98
Depth of Well	21.00
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	10.64
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	34.34
Well Volumes Purged	3
Gallons Purged: formula valid only for casing sizes of 2" (.16 gal/ft), 4" (.65 gal/ft), and 6" (1.44 gal/ft)	5.0
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5.0
Appearance of Purge Water	clear
Free Product Present?	No Thickness (ft):

lumber of Samp			Francis Landers against	2 40mL VOA	i i i <del></del> Iamiki jelo basi insles	Tall out like ou martaus oparreins	the second secon
Time	Vol Removed (gal)	Temperature (deg C)	pΗ	Conductivity (μ sec/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
10:57	1.5	25.4	7.03	1469			
10:58	3	22.8	6.94	1429			
10:59	4	21.6	7.00	1444			
11:01	5	21,4	6.92	1435			

no odor				
	<del></del>			

# <u>AEI CONSULTANTS</u> GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring Well Number: MW-3

Project Name:	Fidelity Roof Company	Date of Sampling: 8/15/2002
Job Number:	3119	Name of Sampler: N. Garfield
Project Address:	1075 40th Street, Oakland	

The Manager Ma	NEWELL DATA CONTROL STANDS OF THE STANDS
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2
Wellhead Condition	OK -
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	44.37
Depth of Well	21.00
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	11.72
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	32.65
Well Volumes Purged	3
Gallons Purged: formula valid only for casing sizes of 2" (.16 gal/ft), 4" (.65 gal/ft), and 6" (1.44 gal/ft)	4.5
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	4.5
Appearance of Purge Water	clear, heavy sheen
Free Product Present?	t? No Thickness (ft):

Number of Sample	es/Container S		4±10)81818)MAV,	TER SAMPL 2 40mL VOA		
Time	Vol Removed (gal)	Temperature (deg C)	рН	Conductivity (μ sec/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP Comments
11:26	1.5	22.6	6.74	1520	<u> </u>	well dry at 1.5
11:30	3	21.7	6.71	1614		well dry at 2.5
11:34	4.5	21.1	6.89	1627		well dry at 4
<u> </u>						

heavy sheen, strong hydrocarbon odor		
slow recharge	_	

# AEI CONSULTANTS GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM


Monitoring Well Number: MW-4

Project Name:	Fidelity Roof Company	Date of Sampling: 8/15/2002
Job Number:	3119	Name of Sampler: N. Garfield
Project Address:	1075 40th Street, Oakland	

MINORIA DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMP	NG WELLIDATA FIRE REPORTS OF THE STATE OF TH
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2
Wellhead Condition	ok ▼
Elevation of Top of Casing (feet above msl)	43.48
Depth of Well	20.00
Depth to Water (from top of casing)	8.97
Water Elevation (feet above msl)	34.51
Well Volumes Purged	3
Gallons Purged: formula valid only for casing sizes of 2" (.16 gal/ft), 4" (.65 gal/ft), and 6" (1.44 gal/ft)	5.3
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	6.0
Appearance of Purge Water	clear
Free Product Present?	No Thickness (ft):

	METALL TO					innestra espera	e interes en la companya de la comp En la companya de la
Number of Sample	es/Container s Vol Removed			2 40mL VOA			
Time	(gal)	Temperature (deg C)	рН	Conductivity (μ sec/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (meV)	Comments
11:16	2	21.8	6.85	1056		National Care State Ca	
11:17	4	21.6	6.93	1079			
11:19	6	21.1	6.91	1121			
			w	·			

no odor					
	1				



All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #311; Fidelity Roof	Date Sampled: 08/15/02
3210 Old Tunnel Rd., Ste. B		Date Received: 08/15/02
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Nathan Garfield	Date Reported: 08/26/02
Durayotto, OII 54545 4157	Client P.O.: (Nathan Garfield)	Date Completed: 08/26/02

August 26, 2002

Dear Nathan:

Enclosed are:

- 1). the results of 4 samples from your #311; Fidelity Roof project,
- 2). a QC report for the above samples
- 3). a copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits. If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #311; Fidelity Roof	Date Sampled: 08/15/02
3210 Old Tunnel Rd., Ste. B		Date Received: 08/15/02
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Nathan Garfield	Date Extracted: 08/21/02-08/22/02
	Client P.O.: (Nathan Garfield)	Date Analyzed: 08/21/02-08/22/02

### Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE*

Extraction method: SW5030B Analytical methods: SW8021B/8015Cm Work Order: 0208268

Extraction	method: SW5030B			Analytical:	methods: SW8021	B/8015Cm		Work O	rder: 0	208268
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)	MTBE	Веплепе	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	DF	% SS
001A	MW-1	w	96,a	ND	0.66	ND	ND	ND	1	112
002A	MW-2	w	ND	2900	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	111
003A	MW-3	w	37,000,a,h	ND<1200	5200	430	1800	5900	250	100
004A	MW-4	w	ND	12	ND	ND	ND	ND	l	98.9
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
							:			
						,				
	<del>-</del>									
					<u> </u>					
Reporting	Limit for DF =1;	W	50	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	14 m/T
ND means	not detected at or ne reporting limit	S	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	μg/L mg/Kg

*water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in ug/wipe, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and TCLP extracts in ug/L.

⁺The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (stoddard solvent); f) one to a few isolated non-target peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~2 vol. % sediment; j) reporting limit raised due to high MTBE content; k) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (aviation gas). m) no recognizable pattern.



[#] cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

d	McCampbell	Analytical	Inc
- The second		-	

All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #311; Fidelity Roof	Date Sampled: 08/15/02		
3210 Old Tunnel Rd., Ste. B		Date Received: 08/15/02		
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Nathan Garfield	Date Extracted: 08/15/02		
Datayotto, CII 74347-4137	Client P.O.: (Nathan Garfield)			

#### Diesel Range (C10-C23) Extractable Hydrocarbons as Diesel*

Extraction method: SW	73510C	A	nalytical methods: SW8015C	Work Order:	020826
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(d)	DF	% S
0208268-001B	MW-1	w	53,d	1	93.4
0208268-002B	MW-2	w	ND	ī	93.9
0208268-003B	MW-3	w	9700,d,h	1	106
0208268-004B	MW-4	w	ND	1	94.8
					<del></del>
Reporting Li ND means no	imit for DF =1; of detected at or	w	50		g/L
	reporting limit	S	NA	N	A

^{*} water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in ug/wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and all TCLP / STLC / SPLP extracts in ug/L

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified diesel is significant; b) diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern; c) aged diesel? is significant); d) gasoline range compounds are significant; e) unknown medium boiling point pattern that does not appear to be derived from diesel; f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) oil range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~2 vol. % sediment; k) kerosene/kerosene range; l) bunker oil; m) fuel oil; n) stoddard solvent.



[#] cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

Matrix: W

WorkOrder:	0208268
------------	---------

EPA Method:	SW8021B/8015Cr	n E	extraction:	SW5030E	3	BatchID:	3497	S	piked Samp	le ID: 02082	68-004A
Compound	Sa	ample	Spiked	MS*	MSD*	MS-MSD*	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance	Criteria (%)
Compound	-	ıg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	Low	High
TPH(gas)		ND	60	94.7	99	4.36	102	111	8.06	80	120
МТВЕ	1	2.33	10	102	98.9	1.26	87.5	86.4	1.28	80	120
Benzene		ND	10	100	102	1.45	103	100	2.67	80	120
Toluene		ND	10	110	110	0.0134	114	113	0.863	80	120
Ethylbenzene		ND	10	109	112	2.39	109	109	0.258	80	120
Xylenes		ND	30	110	113	2.99	110	110	0	80	120
%SS:	!	98.9	100	107	105	2.35	107	107	0.316	80	120

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

% Recovery ≈ 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS – MSD) / (MS + MSD) * 2.

* MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.

## QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015C

Matrix: W

WorkOrder: 0208268

EPA Method: SW8015C	E	xtraction:	SW35100		BatchID:	3498	s	piked Sampl	e ID: N/A	
Compound	Sample	Spiked	MS*	MSD*	MS-MSD*	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance	Criteria (%)
Compound	μg/L	μg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	Low	High
TPH(d)	N/A	7500	N/A	N/A	N/A	98	107	8.41	70	130
%\$\$:	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	115	126	8.49	70	130

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) * 2.

^{*} MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery.

Mater Soli Adirection Date Lice Containers.    Containers   Containers	MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4	Tele: (925) 283-6000 Project #: 3/19	und C		Projec	et Nat	ne: 1	-, d	elit	y 19	00	£		-  3	TELIN YCLUS TV	( <u>v</u>	2) Suor		8020)		4LY			25 / 82			(010						
	MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4	Project Location: 1075	40 5		م <i>ن الم</i>	WIAN-	-							-   8	7007	96	carb		27 / 8		S N			A 62			2/60			1			
	MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4	Sampler Signature:				Т	Т	ኒ/ ለ ′	TRT	Y	.N	ET.	HOD		5	\   & :::	l l g		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		CB's	8260		ద	ĺ		239						
	MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4	4	SAI	METHAR		lers	<u> </u>	14162	-	1.	PR	ESE	RVE					2	<b>B</b>	8	80 P	40/	8	, d		als	421						
	MW-1 MW-2 MW-3 MW-4	SAMPLE ID LOCATIO		Time	ntainer	Contair	탢		o.	2 13			ڻ ا	בונ	as Diese	l Perole	l Petrole.	601/80	X ONE.	08 / 809 \	A 608 / 80	\$ 624 / 82	A 625 / 82	H's/PNA	M-17 Me	FT 5 Met	rd (7240/7						
	$MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-2}$ $MW^{-3}$ $MW^{-4}$ $MW^{-4}$ $MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-2}$ $MW^{-3}$ $MW^{-4}$ $MW^{-4}$ $MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-2}$ $MW^{-3}$ $MW^{-4}$ $MW^{$			-	Į ŏ	Į,	Wai	Soli	7 6	붕	je	HC	图		首	Tot	Tot	E	E	自	日	品		P.	5	급	Ş	12					
$MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-2}$ $MW^{-4}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-2}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-2}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-2}$ $W^{-1}$ $W^{-2}$ $W^{-3}$ $W^{-4}$	$MW^{-1}$ $MW^{-2}$ $MW^{-3}$ $MW^{-4}$		1/1/20	,						-	<b>~</b> ─-	*	-		(X	+-	1	1	-														 
$MW^{-2}$ $MW^{-4}$ $MW^{$		MW-1	18/18/	P2.	1-	VOA	+-	+	- -		$\div$	71	-	1	1	+-		<del> </del>			_	1					_						
MW-4		MW-C			-	<del> </del>	$\left  \cdot \right $				++	$\dagger \dagger$	+	- -	+	+	+	$\vdash$			$\dashv$	$\neg \uparrow$	$\dashv$	7	1	$\neg$							
		MW-3			1	<del> </del>	╀╂╂			+	-{-}	+		1	+	-	+				-	$\dashv$	-†		_	_			1				 
		MW-4	11/	_	<b> </b>	ļ	1		+	┼┤	*	4		+	+						$\dashv$		+	$\dashv$	-	-		-					 
					ļ	ļ		_ _		-		-		+			-	-		-			$\dashv$			$\dashv$		ļ	$\vdash$			+	 _
					ļ	<u> </u>		-			-		_	-	+	┼	╁		$\dashv$					+		+	$\dashv$		-				 
					<u> </u>	ļ		$\bot$		$\sqcup$	_	$\dashv$		_	<del> </del> -					$\dashv$		+			+			$\vdash$		<del>   </del>			 
						<u></u>			<u> </u>	Ш		4		-	-	┼	-		-			-				-+	$\dashv$				_	+	 
				T	<u> </u>							_		_ _	-	<u> </u>	Ŀ			-	-	+	-		-				-	$\vdash$	-		 
						<u> </u>						_	$\perp$	_		<u> </u>	ļ					_	-			$\dashv$		<u></u>	$\vdash$			- -	 —
																<u> </u>	<u> </u>		$\perp$	-		_		_	_ -	-	4			$\rightarrow$		-	 
														-					_	_ _	_			_		$\dashv$	_			$\dashv$		+	 
				1										<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						_ _	1			1	_			_			 
				- <del> </del>			$\Box$		T		_									$\cdot$		ŀ					$\perp$						 
				<del> </del>				+	1-	$\Box$		_	7	十						.					-	1		ļ				1	
ellinquished By: Date: Time: Received By: Remarks: 9/5 R. 5			19/5	12.55		ved By	$\mathcal{N}$	16	1			7 '. 	10	ļÇ							<b>F</b>												

LSV

# McCampbell Analytical Inc.

110 Second Avenue South, #D7 Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 (925) 798-1620

# **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD**

Page 1 of 1

WorkOrder: 0208268

Client:

All Environmental, Inc. 3210 Old Tunnel Rd., Ste. B Lafayette, CA 94549-4157 TEL:

(925) 283-6000

FAX:

ProjectNo:

(925) 283-6121

PO:

#311; Fidelity Ro

								Requested Te	sts		
Sample ID	ClientSampID	Matrix	Collection Date	Bottle	SW8015C	8021B/8015					
0208268-001	MW-1	Water	8/15/02		В	A		<u> </u>	]	1	Ţ
0208268-002	MW-2	Water	8/15/02		В	Α	V				
0208268-003	MW-3	Water	8/15/02	1	В	Α					
0208268-004	MW-4	Water	8/15/02		В	Α					

#### Comments:

Date/Time	Date/Time
Relinquished by:	Received by:
Relinquished by:	Received by:
Relinquished by:	Received by:

NOTE: Samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made. Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.