

**Drogos, Donna, Env. Health**

---

**Subject:** RO163 - , 6235 seminary ave,  
**Entry Type:** Phone call

**Start:** Wed 4/13/2005 3:30 PM  
**End:** Wed 4/13/2005 3:30 PM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

RO163

04/13/05, 248p, helen sateri, 6235 seminary ave, bank, retrieve env report, want most recent phase 1  
--> fwd to roseanna for file review

CONTACT LOG (6235 Seminary)

5/14/97 Left message for Rob Davis, EMCON, 408-453-7300, requesting info on the DTW for MW-7 sampling on 1/27/97. This data should've been included in the April 15, 97 So Well Installation Report unless this info will be included in a future report. Also, (Also, asked whether Mike Whelan is still ARCO contact)

5/21/97 Ray Kaminsky, EMCON, called to inquire whether they should collect more g-w. data before doing a risk assessment for off-site residential. I stated that since they only recently obtained any water from Well MW-7 for sampling, that at least two more quarters of monitoring data would be more representative. Paul Supple is the new ARCO contact. Mr. Kaminsky will call me back w/ phone # & address for Mr. Supple.

# Contact Log (6235 Seminary)

8/12/96 John Young, EMCN, called to say that they installed well on property south of the site, but had difficulties w/ installation of well on the property west of the site. They had to watch out for a sewer line located at ~7' bgs so they had to hand auger. They hit refusal at 14.5' bgs and did not observe any groundwater. No other equipment other than a hand auger could be accessed into this confined area. Refusal was probably the result of large gravel intermixed w/ the clay & silt. They went ahead & set a well anyways to see whether it will slowly recharge. They will go out to site next week to check for water. If no water, they may have to go all the way out to Ivendale Ave. to install well.

3/21/97 Maude Edwards, (510)632-7808, (property owner for property containing Well MW-7), called to say that ARCO hasn't let them know the results of sampling of the well on their property. I told Ms. Edwards that I would call EMCN & request they copy the Edwards on all reports. Explained the intent of ARCO's investigation both on and off the ARCO site and ARCO's intentions of conducting an RA for off-site residents.

3/21/97 Called John Young, EMCN, (408) 453-7300 & requested that EMCN copy the Edwards on all reports. Mr. Young said he would do that. Monitoring report will be going out today, showing 29ppb benzene in Well MW-7. I requested that he submit a report documenting the installation of Wells 7 & 8 & mention in a letter when they will address risk as well. Also, Mr. Young proposed that they measure vapors on site & I requested that he submit a workplan proposing this testing.

Phone Log (6235 Seminary)

- 5/8/96 Peter Whelan called & left message.
- 5/10/96 I returned his call & left message that County is anticipating RA shortly & discussed items from March 96 mtg.
- 5/13/96 Peter Whelan called to say that RA report almost finalized and will be submitted to County next week. UW-1 and UW-4 are being incorporated into gully monitoring events in place of Wells 1 & 2 that were destroyed during overexcavation. ARCO is hoping to get a letter stating no additional corrective action work will be required for the sites after reviewing RA. They are hoping to receive this letter before the escrow deadline of June 3 '96.
- 6/11/96 Ray Kamenishy, EMCON, called & I discussed my questions w/ him on RA. He said that he would get back to me soon w/ answers. The clayey sand soil type was used because people who saw soil said that gravel pieces were encapsulated by fine silty sandy material. John will talk to Peter Whelan about exact age & thickness of floor slabs of building.
- 6/12/96 During my conversation w/ John Young & Ray Kamenishy re: the RA, Mr. Young stated that the off-site investigations will begin ~~at the~~ in late June or early July '96. Mr. Young will notify me prior to implementing work. Refer to typed notes for RA discussion.
- 6/13/96 John Young → the initial beneath bldg. - put in <sup>late</sup> in 1972
- 7/8/96 John Young - off-site wells will be drilled on July 16 & 17 at 6209 Seminary & 6267 Seminary. The wells will be hand-cored due to limited access.

3/28/96

Meeting at Mike Wheland, John Young & Ray Kowalski  
Area - 6235 Seminary Ave.

- buying the property & transferring  
other

(MW-2) (GW-1) →

GW-1 (down 5 to 15 ft) →

3 feet - in door air ↗

GW to in door air ↗ → commercial/industrial  
↳ deed notification

Excluded #3 E, #5

30 ft away - ambient air

Industrial Control 5°

→ no digging → until case closure  
→

2 weeks - offsite wells

Property transfer - by April

## PHONE LOG

- 2/16/96 John-Tom Schomstein - want over additional needed info for closure plan
- 2/16/96 John Young, EMCON, suggested that he submit a letter summarizing how they will determine what to excavate & frequency of confirmatory samples for tank removal. Also requested that 48 hrs notice be given to me before tank removal so that I may be out at site to witness sampling.
- 2/16/96 Spoke to Carl McGivin, attorney for Mendel & Russell Edwards, re access agreement. Mr. McGivin is concerned the method of well installation will cause irreparable damage.
- 2/20/96 Tom Schomstein, TAIT, → tank removal will probably be on 3/5/96.
- 2/27/96 John Young, EMCON, called to say wells be pumping USTs & putting up fence around site on March 1, '96, will begin excavating out UST on March 4, '96 & will pull tanks on March 6, '96.
- 3/20/96 Conference call: John Young & Ray, EMCON, and Madeline Logan & ~~John~~ <sup>John</sup> Gilead Stein → For onsite, they will use  $10^{-5}$  Risk & consider g.w to indoor & ambient, and soil to ambient, due to no documented vadose zone soil contain. under on-site bldg. They will determine cleanup levels & compare w/ most recent containment concentrations, due to the fact that tanks are attenuating. They just received an access agreement from neighboring residential property & will be drilling there in a couple of weeks.

PHONE LOG

1/23/96

Conference call w/ Mike Whelan, ARCO, & John Young, ENCON, to discuss options for site. Went over ASTM's revised RBCA and compared them to most recent one in Well MW-1 at site. The conc. in soil & g.w. exceed the guidelines for D-5 risk. I told ARCO that worst case conc. currently existing on site would need to be used, however, since the range of conc. in soil for benzene were so low, .005 to .066 ppm and are so close to the threshold level given in ASTM as 0.032 ppm, & considering the room for error, I stated that it might be possible to argue taking an average of soil conc. throughout site. ARCO will proceed to try Tech 2 of RBCA w/ more site specific info, but in worst case, it may be possible to overprotect tank area as planned & watch to see whether levels in g.w. will attenuate. Also, in the interim, it would have to be shown that vapors in bldg were not harmful by collecting real data (Need to chk w/ ML to obtain specific requirements/protocol for collecting indoor vapor samples). Will have another message for Russell Edwards - if they don't respond w/in our wk, will write Mr.

1/23/96

left Mr. Edwards a message re access agreement (510) 632-7808

1/30/96

Maurie Edwards, 510-632-7808 - Did receive Jan '96 letter from ARCO proposing \$2000 to pay for attorney oversight. Will have attorney look it over & handle it over ASAP.

1/30/96

Relayed Maurie Edwards' message to Mike Whelan.

PHONE URG

4/5/95 Peter Christensen, ENCON, (408)463-7380

Mr. Christensen stated that his clients have not yet decided whether they wish to pursue installing wells in the downgradient adjacent sites. He said that they will respond to County's Feb 95 request by April 17 95. I stated that it was a matter of choice, but a requirement based on Article 6, if possible.

4/17/95 Peter Christensen - Mr. Christensen stated that his clients <sup>now</sup> will print could be submitted for your review. I responded that there's no wait consideration. A single permanent well can't be installed & face these charges while awaiting the permanent order.

5/31/95 Airman Heng, Encon, stated that the "temporary" well prints shall have a cement grout seal from the surface down to 2' bgs, surrounding the casing or piping. This will be in addition to the required chloride box. Permits for permanent wells will be required since these temporary wells will be in for one year. Also a permit destroying these wells will be required.

12/10/95 John Fung, ENCON - will remove tanks in Feb 96 - Report work will soon be submitted

1/16/96 Meeting w/ ARCO - refer to notes

1/16/96 Left message for Russell Edwards re status of access agreement.

94605

5/6/94

Juliette,

Fy I re: stid 3942 which is an Arco - Sominar Arco  
They've been fairly consistently having problems with  
failure in inventory reconciliation of their Electronic tank monitor.

Courtland Holman & Judy Mason called me this AM to tell me  
that they failed a precision test on their unleaded tanks a  
4000 and a 6000 which are manifolded together.

Today & through this weekend they plan to dig to the top of  
the tanks and disconnect the pipes & just test the tanks.

While they have it apart they will repair an air activator/air eliminator  
valve and also check to see if they have any bung leaks (at top  
of tanks). I noticed that this was an Loper & wanted  
to let you in on this

Pete

The reason I'm working for is cause Kevin was working  
VIT permits for Arco and is out on leave.

## Phone Log

12/14/93 I spoke to Eric Krueger, RESNA, and she stated that the waste plan is being implemented because of a failed tank test. Following the failed test, the site followed the procedures outlined in Title 23, such as inventory reconciliation to confirm the tank. They subsequently filed a UER form w/ County. Eric stated that the tanks that failed the test were unlined gas tanks.