

(510) 632-3467 • Fax (510) 632-8035

5th QUIR 9/30/94

Mr. Barney Chan ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH AGENCY Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Park Way Alameda, CA 94502

Dear Mr. Chan:

Please find enclosed the Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report written by BSK & Associates. The reports reflect results from water sampling taken on September 9, 1994.

If you have questions or comments regarding the enclosed information, please feel free in contacting me at (510) 632-

Sincerely:

Dave Robinson

Environmental Engineering Manager

cc: Eddy So, CRWQCB

ALCO HAZMAT 94 OCT 12 MIII: 53

BSK & ASSOCIATES

GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

BSK JOB NO. P92270.3

FIFTH QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER
MONITORING REPORT
SEPTEMBER 1994
AMERICAN BRASS & IRON FOUNDRY
7825 SAN LEANDRO STREET
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA





1181 Quarry Lane Building 300 Pleasanton, CA 94566 (510) 462-4000 (510) 462-6283 FAX

September 30, 1994

BSK Job No. P92270.3

American Brass and Iron Foundry 7825 San Leandro Street Oakland, CA 94621

Attention:

Mr. David Robinson

Environmental Engineer

Subject:

Fifth Quarterly Groundwater

Monitoring Report - September 1994 American Brass and Iron Foundry

7825 San Leandro Street Oakland, California

As requested and authorized, BSK & Associates has performed the fifth quarterly monitoring of four shallow groundwater monitoring wells, MW-1 through MW-4, at American Brass and Iron Foundry (AB & I), located at 7825 San Leandro Street, Oakland, California (Site). This report presents the project background, groundwater data obtained during this sampling event as well as previous data, conclusions based on this quarter's data, and recommendations for further action, as appropriate. The Site location is shown on the Vicinity Map, Figure 1.

BSK appreciates this opportunity to continue to be of service to American Brass & Iron. If there are questions or comments regarding this report, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, BSK & Associates

Tim W. Berger, C.E.G. 1828

Project Geologist

Alex Y. Eskandari, C.E. 38101

Project Manager

AYE\TWB:ndp (ENV\P92270Q.394)

Distribution: American Brass & Iron (3 copies)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TEXT		<u>PAGE</u>
		1
General Field Work .	RTERLY MONITORING ACTIVITIES - SEPTEMBER 1994	. 2 2 2 2
Regional Hyd	RTERLY MONITORING OBSERVATIONS - SEPTEMBER 1994 irology	. 9 9 9
Conclusions.	DNS AND RECOMMENDATIONStions	9 9 10
	<u>NS</u>	10 10
TABLES		
Table 1 Table 2	Water Results	& 8
FIGURES		
Figure 1 Figure 2 Figures 3 - 6 Figure 7	Vicinity Map Site Plan Well Field Logs Potentiometric Surface Map	
APPENDICE	ES	
Appendix "A'	" —	
Figures A-1 through A-7 Figure A-8	Chemical Test Data Sheets Chain-of-Custody Record	



FIFTH QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT - SEPTEMBER 1994 AMERICAN BRASS & IRON FOUNDRY 7825 SAN LEANDRO STREET OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Introduction

This quarterly monitoring report has been prepared to meet Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) concerns regarding the status of groundwater at the Site following the removal of four UST during 1991 and 1992, as initially presented in their letter of October 2, 1992, to David Robinson of American Brass & Iron Foundry (AB & I).

Background

American Brass & Iron Foundry has been operating at its present location for more than eighty years. AB & I's current activities include the manufacture of cast iron pipe and fittings. The facility accepts scrap iron and steel, which it stockpiles on-site, and utilizes in its processes.

AB & I maintained three USTs to store petroleum products and one UST to store solvent. AB & I removed the four USTs between August 1991 and June 1992. Removal and disposal of two of the USTs (the 8,000-gallon capacity gasoline tank and the 550-gallon capacity leaded gasoline tank) were described in two consultant's (Levine-Fricke) reports. Documentation of the removal and disposal of the two remaining USTs (the 8,000-gallon capacity 1,1,1-TCA UST and the 10,000-gallon capacity diesel UST) was reported by AB & I.

In general, analytical results for the soil and groundwater samples collected adjacent to the tanks during the tank removal projects showed detectable concentrations of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd), 1,1-DCA, Chloroethane, and 1,1,1-TCA. Affected soil at each former tank location was excavated until confirmation samples indicated the chemicals of concern were at relatively low concentrations, or to where an obstruction made further excavation impossible or hazardous.

BSK & Associates installed four shallow groundwater monitoring wells at the Site in February 1993, one well per former tank location. Soil and groundwater samples revealed soil contamination in the vicinities of the former 500-gallon gasoline tank and the TCA solvent tank, and contaminated groundwater at these two locations as well as the former diesel tank location. The well installation and sampling findings are presented in BSK Report, P92270.3, dated April 30, 1993.



FIFTH QUARTERLY MONITORING ACTIVITIES - SEPTEMBER 1994

General

Fifth quarterly monitoring of groundwater monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 was performed by BSK personnel on September 9, 1994, in accordance with the Groundwater Well Monitoring portion of our Proposal PR93204.3 of July 29, 1993. Field procedures and observations are provided in the following text and figures.

Field Work

Water samples from site wells were obtained after purging each well of approximately four casing volumes, and allowing eighty percent recovery. Observation of water level, and for immiscible product, was performed using an electric sounder and clear point-source bailer prior to purging. The water level was recorded to the nearest 1/100th of a foot. During purging, the water parameters: pH, temperature and conductivity were monitored and recorded at regular intervals on Well Field Logs to assess the influx of fresh formation water. The Well Field Logs are presented in Figures 3 through 6. Water samples for analytical testing were obtained in the order of most to least volatility. Samples were obtained via point-source bailer (Teflon¤ or polyethylene disposable), and transferred to the appropriate sample containers, with preservative as needed. Metals samples were field-filtered using a high-capacity in-line 0.45 micron filter prior to preservation. The samples were labeled, and refrigerated to 4°C on-site using water-ice or blue ice for delivery to our State-certified analytical laboratory.

Sampling, purging and decontamination waste water was contained on-site in 55-gallon DOT drums provided by AB & I. Each container was labeled according to the wastewater source, date of accumulation and owner.

Analytical Testing

Analytical testing of soil and water samples obtained from the site were performed by BSK Analytical laboratories in Fresno, California.

The analyses performed for each contaminant type are those specified by the Tri-Regional Water Board Staff Recommendations of August 10, 1992. The analyses are:

Well MW-1 TPHdiesel by GCFID-3510 BTEX by Method 602



Well MW-2

Chlorinated Solvent by EPA Method 601 Oil and Grease by Methods 5520 C&F TPHgasoline by GCFID-5030 BTEX by EPA Method 602

Well MW-3

TPHgasoline by GCFID-5030 BTEX by Method 602

Well MW-4

TPHgasoline by GCFID-5030 BTEX by Method 602 Total Lead

Samples were submitted to the analytical laboratory utilizing Chain-Of-Custody documentation and procedure.

The results of the chemical analyses of groundwater for this quarter, and previous quarterly test results, are summarized in the following tables; water analyses results and related Action Levels are reported in Parts Per Billion-PPB (ug/l). The Chemical Test Data Sheets and project Chain-Of-Custody documentation are presented in Appendix "A" of this report.



BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, AND XYLENES (BTEX)

		CONSTI'	TUENTS	
Sample Location (Action Level)	Benzene (1),	Toluene (100) ₂	Ethylbenzene (680),	Xylenes (1750),
SAMPLE DATE: 09/09/	94 (Fifth Quarter)			
MW-1	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	0.4	ND	0.7	1.3
SAMPLE DATE: 06/10/9	94 (Fourth Quarter)			
MW-1	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	4.3	ND	1.8	4.3
SAMPLE DATE: 03/04/9	94 (Third Quarter)			
MW-1	1.1	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	ND	ND	ND	3.6
MW-3	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	ND	0.9	ND	1.1
SAMPLE DATE: 12/03/9	3 (Second Quarter)			
MW-1	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	ND	250	19	5.1
MW-3	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	ND	ND	1.4	2.8
SAMPLE DATE: 08/20/9	93 (First Quarter)			
MW-1	2.2	3.7	4.5	17
MW-2	2.9	4.2	6.3	25
MW-3	7.2	9.3	8.6	31
MW-4	5.6	4.9	7.5	22



	FABLE 1 - V	WATER RESULTS (Co	ntinued)	<u> </u>
SAMPLE DATE: 03/10)/93 (Initial Well Installa	tion Sampling)		
MW-1	. 0.6	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	ND	0.8	ND	ND
MW-3	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	1.0	2.0	7.6	19

ND - None Detected

- California Department Of Health Services Drinking Water Standard, Revised 10/23/91

2 - California DOHS Action Level, 7/1/92



unts us 12

TABLE 2 - WATER RESULTS

TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (TPH) AS GASOLINE AND DIESEL, TOTAL AND HYDROCARBON OIL AND GREASE, TOTAL LEAD, AND VOLATILE HALOCARBONS

	· Wilderstein in i			CONSTITUE	NTS	The state of the s
Sample Location (Action Level)	TPH Gasoline (NA)	TPH Diesel (100) _i	Total Oil & Grease (NA)	Hydrocarbon Oil & Grease (NA)	Total Lead (50)	Volatile Halocarbons
SAMPLE DAT	TE: 09/09/30 (Fifth Quarte	er)			
MW-1	M=	ND				***
MW-2	830(2)	••	2	2	*	Chloroethane - 1.4(NA) 1,1-Dichloroethane - 0.8(0.5)
MW-3	ND		648			••
MW-4	150(2)				ND	a tu
SAMPLE DAT	E: 06/10/94 (F	ourth Quarte	r)			
MW-1		490	-			
MW-2	920		2,000	2,000		Chloroethane - 4.2(NA) 1,1-Dichloroethane - 0.6(0.5) ₃ 1,1,1-Trichloroethane - 0.8(200) ₃
MW-3	ND					
MW-4	460				ND	
SAMPLE DAT	E: 03/04/94 (1	hird Quarter)			
MW-1	,	710				
MW-2	420		ND	ND		Chloroethane - 3.7(NA)
MW-3	ND	•				••
MW-4	50				ND	
SAMPLE DAT	E: 12/03/93 (S	Second Quarte	er)			
MW-1		3200(3)				
MW-2	900		ND	ND	-	Chloroethane - 3.8(NA)
MW-3	80					
MW-4	1100				ND	



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	07	a.	TABLE 2: WA	TER RESULTS (C	ontinued)	<i>0</i> 1-
SAMPLE DA	ΓE: 08/20/93 (I	First Quarter)	10G	He too	ontinued)	
MW-1		2100 ₍₁₎				
MW-2	720(2)		ND	ND		Chloroethane - 4.7(NA)
MW-3	190					
MW-4	350				ND	
SAMPLE DA	TE: 03/10/93	(Initial Well	Installation Sam	pling)		
MW-1		830				
MW-2	920		1.0	ND		Bromoform - $0.6(100)_2$ Chloroethane - $5.0(NA)$ 1,1-Dichloroethane - $1.7(0.5)_3$ 1,1,1-Trichloroethane - $6.7(200)_3$
MW-3	ND	77 48		••		***
MW-4	1800				58.O	

ND - None Detected
NA - Not Applicable
-- Not Tested

1 - 1980 EPA 10-Day Suggested No Adverse Response Level (SNARL)

2 - EPA Drinking Water Standard, Revised 7/1/92

3 - California Department of Health Services Drinking Water Standards, Revised 10/23/91.

(1) - "Not Diesel-Like", as reported by analytical laboratory

(2) - "Not Gasoline-Like", as reported by analytical laboratory

(3) - "Appears to be heavier than diesel," as reported by analytical laboratory



FIFTH QUARTERLY MONITORING OBSERVATIONS - SEPTEMBER 1994

Regional Hydrogeology

The American Brass & Iron facility is located on the San Leandro alluvial cone of the East Bay Plain. The upper 400 feet of the San Leandro Cone comprises discontinuous beds of sand and gravel which extend westward under San Francisco Bay, and are capped by confining clay layers. Groundwater in this area is used mainly for industrial and irrigation purposes, but is suitable in quality for most uses. Shallow aquifers of limited extent located throughout the Bay Plain, are often perched and unconfined, and typically yield less than 35 gallons per minute from silty sands. These aquifers are often tapped by wells less than 50 feet in depth and used for local irrigation. These minor aquifers are most susceptible to groundwater pollution (Maslonowski, 1984).

Site Hydrogeology

Groundwater was initially encountered in borings for well installations from 8 to 12 feet in depth at the site. Water levels stabilized at a depth below present grade of 5 to 7 feet. Some water from saturated fill material may have contributed to the stabilized levels. Clayey deposits were typically damp to moist, with wet fractures and pores, if present. Sand horizons were wet to saturated.

Two three-point problems were used to assess the groundwater flow direction at the site for this sampling event. The solution utilizing wells MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 indicates flow to the northeast at a gradient of 0.8 percent. The solution utilizing wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 indicates water flow to the north-northwest, at a gradient of 0.2 percent.

The groundwater flow directions and gradients indicated this quarter are similar to those of June 1994. Groundwater levels at the site compared to June 1994 are 0.35 feet to 0.39 lower. Figure 7, Groundwater Flow Direction and Gradient, illustrates groundwater flow direction and gradient determined from data obtained from the Site on Spetember 9, 1994.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

Based on chemical analyses of water samples, field observation and measurement during this quarterly water sampling of groundwater monitoring wells, MW-1 through MW-4, degradation of water quality is apparent at well locations MW-2 and MW-4.

Diesel fuel weight hydrocarbons (TPHd) were not detected this quarter in water at Well MW-1.

Gasoline weight hydrocarbons (TPHg), Oil and Grease, and two volatile halocarbons were detected in water from Well MW-2; no Trichloroethane was detected this quarter. One volatile halocarbon concentration, 1,1-dichloroethane, exceeded drinking water standards. The analytical laboratory reports that the TPHg chromatograph is not indicative of Gasoline, unless severely weathered (see Figure A-3, Chemical Test Data Sheets).



TPHg and BEX were detected at Well location MW-4. The Benzene concentration does not exceed the State drinking water standard. No lead was detected this sampling event. According to analytical laboratory personnel, the TPHg chromatograph is atypical for gasoline in that most early and central peaks are absent; however the existing peaks are lighter than diesel indices. The chromatograph does not appear to be analogous with the TPHg chromatograph of well MW-2.

Groundwater flow is similar to that of the previous two quarters in direction and gradient. Groundwater levels have fallen approximately one-third foot.

Recommendations

Assessment of the lateral extent of shallow groundwater contamination should be considered in the vicinity of Wells MW-2 and MW-4. The ACDEH has indicated that quarterly monitoring of Well MW-1 would be sufficient at this time (ACDEH letter to AB & I of June 18, 1993).

Quarterly monitoring of the four wells installed should continue to be performed to assess contaminant concentration fluctuation with respect to groundwater level, gradient and flow direction. We recommend that total lead testing be discontinued, as there has been no detectable concentration encountered for five consecutive quarters.

LIMITATIONS

This groundwater monitoring well report has been prepared for the exclusive use of American Brass & Iron Foundry Company. Unauthorized use of or reliance on the information contained in this report by others, unless given express written consent by BSK & Associates, is strictly prohibited.

The findings and conclusions presented in this report are based on field observations, and on data obtained from the sources listed in this report. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted methodologies and standards of practice for the area. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made as to the findings or conclusions included in this report.


The findings of this report are valid as of the present. The passage of time, natural processes or human intervention on the property or adjacent properties, and changes in the regulations can cause changed conditions which can invalidate the findings and conclusions in this report.


This report is neither certification nor guarantee that the property is free of, or contains hazardous substance contamination, other than that mentioned in the report.

DISTRIBUTION

A copy of this report should be forwarded by the client to the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health for their review. An extra copy of this report has presented to American Brass and Iron Foundry for this purpose.







BSK Job No.: P92270.3

Date:

September 1994

Figure No.: 3

WELL FIELD LOG

Well Observation: x

Date: 09/09/94

Sample Collection:

x Date: 09/09/94

Date: UNUNNA

Project Name:

American Brass & Iron Oakland, CA

Location: Personnel:

FRG

Weather:

Clear, ± 65° F.

WELL INFORMATION:

Well Number	MW-1	Date Purged	09/09/94
Depth to Water - feet(TOC)	7.38	Purge Method	Clear Point-Source
Well Depth (feet)	20		Bailer
Water Volume (gallons)	2.0	Purge Begin	09:54
Reference Elevation - feet(TOC)	+9.52	Purge End	10:06
Groundwater Elevation (feet)	+2.14	Purge Rate	0.7 GPM
Measurement Technique	Solinst Electric Water Sounder		

IMMISCIBLE LAYERS:

Top:

None

Bottom:

Not observed, clay colloids

Detection Method:

Visual

Collection Method:

Point-Source Bailer

WELL DEVELOPMENT/PURGE DATA:

TIME	VOLUME REMOVED (gallons)	ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY (Micromhos)		TEMP, (°F)	COLOR/COMMENTS
09:57	2.0	2500	7.61	66.0	Clay colloids
10:00	4.0	2660	7.41	64.0	*
10:03	6.0	2680	7.35	64.0	Clearing .
10:06	8.0	2680	7.34	64.0	H
10:10	Depth to water:	7.48 feet			

SAMPLE COLLECTION DATA:

Sampling Equipment: Teflon Point-Source Bailer

TIME	Analysis	AMOUNT/CONTAINER USED	SAMPLE INTERVAL
10:15	TPHd	1-250 ml amber glass bottles with H ₂ SO ₄	15-17'
н	BTEX	2-40 ml glass vials with Hcl	19

BSK Job No.: P92270.3

Date: September 1994

Figure No.:

WELL FIELD LOG

Well Observation: x Date: 09/09/94 Sample Collection: x Date: 09/09/94

Project Name:

American Brass & Iron

Location:

Oakland, CA

Personnel:

FRG

Weather:

Clear, ±85° F.

WELL INFORMATION:

Well Number	MW-2	Date Purged	09/09/94
Depth to Water - feet(TOC)	5.22	Purge Method	Bailer
Well Depth (feet)	17		
Water Volume (gallons)	7.8	Purge Begin	12:26
Reference Elevation - feet(TOC)	+7.60	Purge End	12:42
Groundwater Elevation (feet)	+2.38	Purge Rate	0.5 GPM
Measurement Technique	Solinst Electric Water Sounder		

IMMISCIBLE LAYERS:

Top:

Bottom: -Detection Method: Visual

Collection Method:

Point-Source Bailer

WELL DEVELOPMENT/PURGE DATA:

TIME	VOLUME REMOVED (gallons)	ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY (Micrombios)	рн	TEMP.	COLOR/COMMENTS
12:30	8.0	2090	6.88	72.0	Gray, clayey
12:34	16.0	2090	6.88	72.0	Clearing
12:38	24.0	2070	6.91	72.0	n
12:42	32.0	2070	6.90	72.0	4
12:50	Depth to water:	5.55 feet			

SAMPLE COLLECTION DATA:

Sampling Equipment: Teflon Point-Source Bailer

TIME	ANALYSIS	AMOUNT/CONTAINER USED	SAMPLE INTERVAL
13:00	EPA 601	2-40 ml glass vials with HCl	±10'
π	TPH-G and BTEX	2-40 ml glass vials with HCl	н
н	Total and Hydrocarbon Oil & Grease	1-liter amber glass bottle with H ₂ SO ₄	*

BSK Job No.:P92270.3

September 1994 Date:

Figure No.: 5

WELL FIELD LOG

Date: 09/09/94 Well Observation: X Date: 09/09/94 Sample Collection: X

Project Name:

American Brass & Iron

Location:

Oakland, CA.

Personnel:

FRG

Weather:

Clear, ±85° F.

WELL INFORMATION:

Well Number	MW-3	Date Purged	09/09/94
Depth to Water - feet(TOC)	8.09	Purge Method	Point-Source
Well Depth (feet)	19		Bailer
Water Volume (gallons)	1.8	Purge Begin	08:30
Reference Elevation - feet(TOC)	+9.83	Purge End	08:42
Groundwater Elevation (feet)	+1.74	Purge Rate	0.7 GPM
Measurement Technique	Solinst Electric Water Sounder		

IMMISCIBLE LAYERS:

None Top: Bottom: None Detection Method: Visual

Clear Point-Source Bailer Collection Method:

WELL DEVELOPMENT/PURGE DATA:

TIME	VOLUME REMOVED (gallons)	ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY (Micromhos)	pH	TEMP. (°F)	COLOR/COMMENTS
08:32	2.0	2620	7.03	73.0	**
08:35	4.0	2600	7.02	72.0	
08:38	6.0	2590	7.02	71.0	
08:42	8.0	2590	7.02	71.0	
08:45	Depth to water:	8.30 feet			

SAMPLE COLLECTION DATA:

Sampling Equipment: Teflon Point-Source Bailer

TIME	ANALYSIS	AMOUNT/CONTAINER USED	SAMPLE INTERVAL
08:50	TPH-G & BTEX	2-40 ml glass vials with HCl	± 17'

BSK Job No.:

Date:

P92270.3

Figure No.:

September 1994

WELL FIELD LOG

Well Observation:

Date: 09/09/94

Sample Collection:

Date: 09/09/94

Project Name:

American Brass & Iron

Location:

Oakland, CA

Personnel:

FRG

X

X

Weather:

Clear, ±75° F.

WELL INFORMATION:

Well Number	MW-4	Date Purged	09/09/94	
Depth to Water > feet(TOC)	8.09	Purge Method	Point-Source	
Well Depth (feet)	26.5		Bailer	
Water Volume (gallons)	3.0	Purge Begin	11:00	
Reference Elevation - feet(TOC)	9.52	Purge End	11:15	
Groundwater Elevation (feet)	+1.43	Purge Rate	0.8 GPM	
Messurement Technique		Solinst Electric Water Sounder		

IMMISCIBLE LAYERS:

Top:

None observed

Bottom:

Clay colloids at bottom

Detection Method:

Visual

Collection Method:

d: Clear Point-Source Bailer


WELL DEVELOPMENT/PURGE DATA:

TIME	VOLUME REMOVED (gallons)	ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY (Micromhos)		TEMP. (°F)	COLOR/COMMENTS
11:04	3.0	1060	7.12	73.0	49
11:07	6.0	1030	7.15	72.0	
11:11	9.0	1090	7.13	72.0	
11:15	12.0	1090	7.12	72.0	
11:23	Depth to Water: 8	3.12 feet		<u></u>	

SAMPLE COLLECTION DATA:

Sampling Equipment: Teflon Point-Source Bailer

TIME	ANALYSIS	AMOUNT/CONTAINER USED	SAMPLE INTERVAL
11:30	трн-с & втех	2-40 ml glass vials with HCl	±10'
*	Total Lead	1-16 oz. plastic bottle with HNO ₃	



APPENDIX "A"

CHEMICAL TEST DATA SHEETS
CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTS



BSK-Pleasanton

AB & I

Date Sampled : 09/09/94

Time Sampled : 1015

Date Received : 09/12/94 Report Issue Date: 09/22/94

Case Number : Ch942696 Lab ID Number : 2696-1

Project Number : P92270.3

Sample Description: MW-1

Sample Type: LIQUID

Analyses for BTEX by EPA Method 602M/8020M Prepared by EPA Method 5030

Results Reported in Micrograms per Liter (µg/L)

Date of Analysis: 09/14/94

Compound	Results	DLR
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylene	ND ND . ND ND	0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3

Sample DLR = DLR x DLR Multiplier,

DLR Multiplier = 1

Analyses for TPH (Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons) as Diesel by Method DHS_GC/FID.

Results Reported in Micrograms per Liter ($\mu g/L$)

Date of Analysis : 09/17/94

Analyte	Results	DLR
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (D)	ND	50

Sample DLR = DLR x DLR Multiplier,

DLR Multiplier = 3

Hydrocarbons in the diesel boiling point range are reported, in accordance with the method, as diesel.

LEGEND:

DLR: Detection Limit for the Purposes of Reporting.

Exceptional sample conditions or matrix interferences

may result in higher detection limits.

ND: None Detected

Cynthia Pigman, QA/QC Supervisor Jeffrey Creager, Organics Manager

R940721 BTXTPHDL.T /

BSK-Pleasanton

AB & I

Date Sampled : 09/09/94 Time Sampled : 1300

Date Received : 09/12/94 Date of Analysis: 09/14/94

Report Issue Date: 09/22/94

Case Number

: Ch942696

Lab ID Number

: 2696-2

Project Number

: P92270.3

Sample Description: MW-2

Sample Type: LIQUID

Analyses for BTEX by EPA Method 8020 and TPH(G) by EPA Method 8015 Prepared by Method 5030

Results Reported in Micrograms per Liter (ug/L)

Compound	Results	DLR
Benzene	ND ND ND ND 830	0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3

Sample DLR = DLR x DLR Multiplier,

DLR Multiplier = 1

NOTE:

Hydrocarbons in the gasoline boiling point range are reported, in accordance with the method, as gasoline.

Chromatography for this sample is described as inconsistent with the gasoline standard because early (light) boiling point range is missing or significantly decreased.

LEGEND:

DLR: Detection Limit for the Purposes of Reporting.

Exceptional sample conditions or matrix interferences

may result in higher detection limits.

ND: None Detected

Cynthia Pigmán, QA/QC Supervisor

0721 BTXTPHGL.T

BSK-Pleasanton

AB & I

Date Sampled : 09/09/94 Time Sampled : 1300

Date Received : 09/12/94 Date of Analysis: 09/15/94

Report Issue Date: 09/22/94

Case Number : Ch942696 Lab ID Number : 2696-2

Project Number : P92270.3

Sample Description: MW-2

Sample Type: LIQUID

Analyses for Volatile Halocarbons by EPA Method 601 Prepared by EPA Method 5030

Results Reported in Micrograms per Liter $(\mu g/L)$

Compounds	Results	DLR	Compound	Results	DLR
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.5	1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5
Bromoform	ND	0.5	1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.5
Bromomethane	מיִי	1.0	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ИD	0.5
Carbon tetrachloride	⊒D	0.5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.5
Chlorobenzene	ŅD	0.5	1,2-Dichloropropane	מא	0.5
Chloroethane	1.4	0.5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.5
Chloroform	ND	0.5	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ИD	0.5
Chloromethane	ND	0.5	Methylene chloride	ND	2.0
Dibromochloromethane	ממ	0.5	1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	ND	0.5
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	DN	0.5	Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.5
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	מא	0.5	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.5
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.5
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	2.0	Trichloroethene	ND	0.5
_1,1-Dichloroethane	0.8	0.5	Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.5
	<u> </u>		Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0

Sample DLR = DLR x DLR Multiplier,

DLR Multiplier = 1

DLR: Detection Limit for the Purposes of Reporting.

Exceptional sample conditions or matrix interferences

may result in higher detection limits.

ND: None Detected -: Not Analyzed

921 601.T

Cynthia Pigman, QA/QC Supervisor Jeffrey Creager, Organics Manager

BSK LABORATORIES

BSK-Pleasanton AB & I

Date Sampled : 09/09/94
Time Sampled : 1300

Date Received : 09/12/94 Date of Analysis : 09/14/94 Report Issue Date: 09/22/94

Case Number : Ch942696 Lab ID Number : 2696-2 Project Number : P92270.3

Sample Type: LIQUID

Sample Description: MW-2

Analyses For Total & Hydrocarbon Oil & Grease By EPA Methods 413.2 & 418.1

Results Reported in Milligrams Per Liter (mg/L)

Analyte	Results	DLR
Total Oil and Grease Hydrocarbon Oil and Grease	. 2 2	1 1

Sample DLR = DLR x DLR Multiplier,

DLR Multiplier = 1

DLR: Detection Limit for the Purposes of Reporting.

Exceptional sample conditions or matrix interferences may result in higher detection limits.

ND: None Detected

Cynthia Pigman Q

QA/QC Supervisor

BSK LABORATORIES

BSK-Pleasanton

AB & I

Date Sampled : 09/09/94 Time Sampled : 0850 Date Received : 09/12/94

Date of Analysis: 09/14/94 Report Issue Date: 09/22/94

Case Number : Ch942696
Lab ID Number : 2696-3

Project Number : P92270.3

Sample Description: MW-3

Sample Type: LIQUID

Analyses for BTEX by EPA Method 8020 and TPH(G) by EPA Method 8015 Prepared by Method 5030

Results Reported in Micrograms per Liter (ug/L)

Compound Re	sults DLR	
Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Isomers	ND 0.3 ND 0.3 ND 0.3 ND 0.3 ND 0.3	=

Sample DLR = DLR x DLR Multiplier,

DLR Multiplier = 1

NOTE:

Hydrocarbons in the gasoline boiling point range are reported, in accordance with the method, <u>as gasoline</u>.

LEGEND:

DLR: Detection Limit for the Purposes of Reporting.

Exceptional sample conditions or matrix interferences

may result in higher detection limits.

ND: None Detected

Cynthia Pigman, QA/QC Supervisor

BSK LABORATORIES

BSK-Pleasanton

AB & I

Date Sampled : 09/09/94 Time Sampled : 1130 Date Received : 09/12/94 Date of Analysis : 09/14/94 Report Issue Date: 09/22/94

Case Number : Ch942696 Lab ID Number : 2696-4

Project Number : P92270.3

Sample Description: MW-4

Sample Type: LIQUID

Analyses for BTEX by EPA Method 8020 and TPH(G) by EPA Method 8015 Prepared by Method 5030

Results Reported in Micrograms per Liter (ug/L)

Compound	Results	DLR
Benzene	0.4 ND 0.7 1.3	0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3
		1

Sample DLR = DLR x DLR Multiplier,

DLR Multiplier = 1

NOTE:

Hydrocarbons in the gasoline boiling point range are reported, in accordance with the method, <u>as qasoline</u>.

Chromatography for this sample is described as inconsistent with the gasoline standard.

LEGEND:

DLR: Detection Limit for the Purposes of Reporting.

Exceptional sample conditions or matrix interferences
may result in higher detection limits.

ND: None Detected

Cynthia Pigman, QA/QC Supervisor



BSK-Pleasanton

AB & I

Date Sampled : 09/09/94 Time Sampled : 1130 Date Received : 09/12/94 Report Issue Date: 09/22/94

Case Number : Ch942696 Lab ID Number : 2696-4 Project Number : P9227C.3

Sample Description: MW-4

Sample Type: LIQUID

General Chemical Analyses

Analyte	Units	Results	DLR
Lead (Pb)	mg/L	ND	0.005

ND: None Detected --: Not analyzed

mg/L: Milligrams per Liter µg/L: Micrograms per Liter DLR: Detection Limit for the Purposes of Reporting.

Exceptional sample conditions or matrix interferences
may result in higher detection limits.

Cynthia Pigwan/QA/QC Supervisor

Debu Becker for MB
Michael Brechmann, Inorganics Manager

Environmental Services

Fresno, CA 93706 (209) 485-8310 (800) 877-8310 (209) 485-6935 FAX

Analyses Request / Chain of Custody

Shaded areas for LAB use only

BSK Log Number:

Analytical Due Das

Requested Analyses

Client Name A B	*I	40 F	35K	Report Attention: Tim Berger	÷	Phone * (\$0)462-4000		\neg	\setminus $ $	1	2	1		
Address 81	Quarr	y Cn -	#300	Project, Quote or PO# P9ZZ70.3		(50) 46Z-6Z83	0	\wedge	0	00	2	શુ		
City, State, Zm	inton .	C4	94566	Сору to:		System#	9	1	U	1	I	7		
LAB use only	Date	Time	Sampled by:	-RG-		Comment or	PH	PF	H	ΡÀ	10	相		
Sangle Type # Cont	Sampled	Sampled		Sample Description/Location		Station Code	1-	-	Ŋ	Ш	10	12		
1 4	9/9/94	10:15	MW.	-1				X	X					
2 \ 5	9/9/94	13:00	Mω				Χ	·	χ	χ	X			
3 2	9/9/94	8:50	Mu	7-3			X		Х					
4-3	9/9/94	11:30	Mu	7-4			χ		X			X		

Matrix Type: L - Liquid S - Solid	G - Gas
Type of Hazards Associated wi	th Samples:

Additional Services:

Rush Priority: []-2 Day []-5 Day [] - Formal Chain of Custody [] - QC Data package Additional Services Authorized by:

Recard To an assivol

Payment Received with Delivery Amount: \$

Check # Initials Recient#

[] - For	rmal Chain of Custody [] - QC Data package		Check# Initials	
		(Signature)	Reciept #	
Signature	Print Name	Сотрапу	Date	Time
Requested / Relinquished by:	F. Robert Greguras	BSK-P	9/12/94	11:30
Received/Relinquished by: Security				
Received/Relinquished by:	•			
Received / Relinquished by:	1			
Resident for Laboratory, by: J. U. V.C.	7.A. VOLA	1 KK	9/2/94	1720