Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc.

108 Cutting Boulevard Richmond CA 94804

25 1......

January 28, 1992

Mr. Ariu Levi Alameda County Health Care Services Department of Environmental Health Hazardous Materials Program 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 95621

Dear Mr. Levi:

Enclosed is a copy of our Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Fourth Quarter 1991, dated January 8, 1991 for our former Texaco Service Station located at 1127 Lincoln Avenue in Alameda, California.

If you have any questions I can be contacted at (510) 236-1770.

Best Regards,

Ronald R. Wielinski

Area Supervisor

RRZ: kep

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Tom Callaghan

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region

2101 Webster Street. Suite 500

Oakland, CA 94612

pr: 6PJ

HR/P






3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118

Phone: (408) 264-7723 Fax: (408) 264-2435

REPORT
QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING
Fourth Quarter 1991
at
Former Texaco Station
1127 Lincoln Avenue
Alameda, California

61006.01



Fax: (408) 264-2435



3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Phone: (408) 264-7723

> January 8, 1992 1227RZIE 61006.01

Mr. Ron Zielinski Texaco Environmental Services 108 Cutting Boulevard Richmond, California 94804

Subject:

Fourth Quarter 1991 Groundwater Monitoring Report at former Bay Street

Station, 1127 Lincoln Avenue, Alameda, California.

Mr. Zielinski:

As requested by Texaco Environmental Services (TES), this report summarizes the methods and results of the fourth quarter 1991 groundwater monitoring and sampling performed by RESNA Industries, Inc. (RESNA) at the subject site. TES has contracted RESNA to perform quarterly groundwater depth measurements, sampling, and laboratory analyses to monitor trends in the groundwater flow direction, gradient, and gasoline hydrocarbon concentrations over time.

The subject site is located on the northwest corner of Lincoln Avenue and Bay Street in the City and county of Alameda, California, as shown on the Site Vicinity Map (Plate 1). The former Bay Street Texaco Station is presently an operating auto repair shop utilizing the buildings and facilities of the former service station. Residential properties border the site to the north, Lincoln Avenue and other commercial properties border the site to the south, Bay Street and commercial property border the site to the east, and a plant nursery borders the site to the west. The site is nearly flat and paved with asphalt.

Previous Work

Prior to the present monitoring episode, McLaren/Hart performed an environmental investigation and subsequent limited subsurface investigations related to the removal of four underground gasoline-storage tanks and one waste-oil tank from the site in September 1989 (McLaren/Hart, 88705-001, January 1990). Laboratory analysis of the soil samples collected from the former gasoline-storage tank excavation detected total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) at concentrations ranging from 3.7 to 6,200 parts per million (ppm).

Additionally, one soil sample was collected from the bottom of the waste-oil storage tank excavation and analyzed at the laboratory for TPHg, the gasoline constituents benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd), total oil and grease (TOG), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and semi-VOCs. These analyses did not detect any TPHg, BTEX, TPHd, TOG, or semi-VOCs. VOC's were not detected in this sample with the exception of acetone, at a concentration of 0.61 ppm.

In March 1991, RESNA performed an Initial Subsurface Investigation (RESNA, 61006.01, August 1991) which included the installation of three groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3), and five vapor wells (VW-1 through VW-5). Quarterly groundwater monitoring was initiated by RESNA at the request of TES in May 1991. Results of these investigations are presented in the reports listed in the references attached to this report. The locations of the groundwater monitoring and vapor extraction wells and pertinent site features are shown on the Generalized Site Plan (Plate 2).

Groundwater Sampling and Gradient Evaluation

RESNA personnel performed quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling at the site on November 14, 1991. Field work during this episode consisted of measuring depth-to-water (DTW) levels in all wells (MW-1 through MW-3 and VW-1 through VW-5), subjectively analyzing the groundwater from monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-3 for the presence of a hydrocarbon sheen or floating product, purging, and subsequently sampling the groundwater from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 for laboratory analysis. Vapor wells VW-1 through VW-5 contained no water.

Groundwater elevations were calculated for each well by subtracting the measured DTW from the previously surveyed wellhead elevations. The measured DTW levels for this and previous monitoring episodes are shown in Table 1, Cumulative Groundwater Monitoring Data. The apparent gradient magnitude and flow direction interpreted from the November 14, 1991 groundwater elevation data is approximately 0.01 towards the north-northwest. The Groundwater Surface Contour Map (Plate 3) is a graphic presentation of the groundwater surface from the November 14, 1991 groundwater monitoring data. The present groundwater gradient is generally consistent with the previous gradients interpreted from prior groundwater monitoring data. In general, the groundwater elevations in the monitoring wells at the site have decreased approximately two feet since first measured in March 1991.

Groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3, for subjective analysis before the monitoring wells were purged and sampled. No evidence of



measurable floating product or sheen was observed in the groundwater samples collected from these wells, as reported in Table 1.

Monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 were purged and sampled in accordance with the enclosed groundwater sampling protocol (Appendix A). Monitoring well purge data sheets and stabilization graphs for the parameters monitored are included in Appendix B.

Laboratory Methods and Results

Groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-3 were analyzed for BTEX and TPHg, by modified Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods 5030/8015/8020. The Chain of Custody Record and Laboratory Analysis Reports are attached as Appendix C. The results of these and previous analyses are summarized in Table 2, Cumulative Results of Laboratory Analyses of Water Samples.

This quarter's laboratory analyses of water samples from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 reported:

- o No TPHg or BTEX was detected in the groundwater samples collected from well MW-1.
- o TPHg was detected in the groundwater samples collected from wells MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 870 parts per billion (ppb) in both wells. Interpreted concentration contours for TPHg are shown on Plate 4.
- Benzene was detected in the groundwater samples collected from wells MW-2 and MW-3, at levels of 56 and 89 ppb, respectively. These concentrations exceed the California Department of Health Services maximum contaminant level for drinking water of 1.0 ppb. Interpreted concentration contours for benzene are shown on Plate 5.
- Concentrations of the other purgeable gasoline constituents detected (toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes) are below the California Department of Health Services maximum contaminant levels or recommended action levels for drinking water.



January 8, 1992 61006.01

It is recommended that copies of this report be forwarded to:

Mr. Ariu Levi
Alameda County Health Care Services
Department of Environmental Health
Hazardous Materials Program
80 Swan Way, Room 200
Oakland, California 95621

Mr. Tom Callaghan
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay Region
2101 Webster Street, Suite 500
Oakland, California 94612

If you have any questions or comments regarding the information contained in this letter report, please call us at (408) 264-7723.

Sincerely, RESNA

Patrick B. Lamb Ag Geological Technician

Oli-tra Menber

Philip J. Mayberry

Project Geologist

Lawrence D. Pavlak C.E.G. No. 1187

Senior Program Geologist

CERTIFIED

ENGINEERING

SEOLOGIST

OF CALVEDRAGE

CERTIFIED

ENGINEERING

SEOLOGIST

OF CALVEDRAGE

OF CALVEDRA

Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Former Texaco Station, 1127 Lincoln Avenue, Alameda, California

January 8, 1992 61006.01

Enclosures: References:

Plate 1: Site Vicinity Map Plate 2: Generalized Site Plan

Plate 3: Groundwater Surface Contour Map Plate 4: TPHg Concentrations in Groundwater Plate 5: Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater Table 1: Cumulative Groundwater Monitoring Data

Table 2: Cumulative Laboratory Analyses of Groundwater Samples

Appendix A: Groundwater Sampling Protocol

Appendix B: Well Purge Data Sheets and Stabilization Graphs

Appendix C: Chain of Custody Record and Laboratory Analysis Reports




January 8, 1992 61006.01

REFERENCES

- McLaren/Hart, November 29, 1990, <u>Texaco-Alameda Site Safety and Health Plan</u>, Project 88705-001.
- McLaren/Hart, January 23, 1991, Work Plan for Phase I Investigation, Lewis Bay Street Service Station, Alameda, California, Project 88705-001.
- RESNA, May 7, 1991, <u>Initial Subsurface Environmental Investigation at Former Bay Street Station</u>, 1127 <u>Lincoln Avenue</u>, <u>Alameda</u>, <u>California</u>. RESNA Report No. 61006.01
- RESNA, September 24, 1991, <u>Letter Report, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring, Third Quarter 1991 at Former Bay Street Station, 1127 Lincoln Avenue, Alameda, California.</u> RESNA Report No. 61006.01






PROJECT

61006.01

1127 Lincoln Avenue Alameda, California



RESNA


PROJECT


61006.01

GENERALIZED SITE PLAN
Former Bay Street Texaco Station
1127 Lincoln Avenue
Alameda, California

PLATE

2








TABLE I CUMULATIVE GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA Former Bay Street Texaco Station Alameda, California

Monitoring Well	Date	Elevation of Well Casing	Depth to Water	Elevation of Ground water	Floating Product
MW-1	03-22-91	16.49	7.23	9.26	none
	04-04- 9 1		6.68	9.81	none
	08-13-91		8.59	7.90	none
	11-14 -9 1		9.38	7.11	none
MW-2	03-22-91	17.14	7.60	9.54	none
	04-04-91		7.07	10.07	none
	08-13-91		8.85	8.29	none
	11-14 -9 1		9.60	7.54	none
MW-3	03-22-91	16.91	7.43	9.48	none
	04-04-91		6.80	10.11	none
	08-13 -9 1		8.88	8.03	none
	11-14 -9 1		9.68	7.23	none
VW-1	03-22-91	16.83	dry	dry	none
	04-04-91		6.89	9.92	none
	08-13-91		dry	dry	none
	11 - 14 -9 1		dry	dry	none
VW-2	03-22-91	17.00	7.59	9.41	none
	04-04-91		7.04	9.96	none
	08-13 -9 1		dry	dry	попе
	11-14 -9 1		dry	dry	none
VW-3	03-22-91	16.94	7.71	9.23	none
	04-04-91		6.92	10.02	none
	08-13-91		8.45	8.49	none
	11-14-91		dry	dry	none
VW-4	03-22-91	16.81	7.66	9.15	sheen
	04-04-91		inaccessible		-
	08-13- 9 1		8.40	8.41	попе
	11-14-91		dry	dry	none
VW-5	03-22-91	17.20	7.67	9.53	sheen
	04 - 04-91		inaccessible	_	-
	08-13- 9 1		dry	dry	лопе
	11-14-91		dry	dry	none

Lievations above mean sea level

Depin to water measured in feet below top of casing



TABLE 2 CUMULATIVE LABORATORY ANALYSES OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES Former Bay Street Texaco Station Alameda, California

Well Number Date	TPHg	В	Т	E	Х	TPHd*	VOCs & Semi-VOCs
<u>MW-1</u>							
03-22-91	4,500	1,300	670	180	770	1,100	ND
08-13 -9 1	850	260	51	13	48	NA.	NA.
11-14 -9 1	<30	<0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	NA	NA
<u>MW-2</u>							
03-22-91	1,100	100	20	63	220	140	ND
08 - 13-91	1,100	270	4.7	16	49	NA.	NA.
11-14 -9 1	870	56	8.9	21	46	NA	NA
MW-3							
03-22-91	2,500	390	27	240	780	770	ND
08-13-91	1,300	180	3.8	79	200	NA	NA.
11/14/91	870	89	9	30	82	NA	NA
Jan. 1990							
MCLs	_	1.0		680	1,750		
DWALs		-,-	100		1,750		

Results in parts per billion (ppb)

MCLs = Adopted Maximum Contaminant Levels in Drinking Water, DHS (July 1989)

DWALs = Recommended Drinking Water Action Levels, DHS (January 1990)

ND = Below laboratory detection limit.

NA = Not Analyzed

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (analyzed by EPA Method 5030).

TPHd = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (analyzed by EPA Method 3510).

* Anametrix states: "The concentrations reported as diesel for samples W-9-MW1, W-9-MW2, and W-9-MW3 are primarily due to the presence of a lighter petroleum product, possibly gasoline."

B = benzene, T = toluene, E = ethylbenzene, X = total xylene isomers.

BTEX = Measured by EPA Method 602/(624).

VOCs = Volatile organic compounds (analyzed by EPA Method 624/8240).

Semi-VOCs = Semi-volatile organic compounds (analyzed by EPA Method 8270)

RESHA

APPENDIX A GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROTOCOL

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROTOCOL

The static water level in each well was measured with a Solinst® water level indicator; this instrument is accurate to the nearest 0.01 foot. These groundwater depths were subtracted from wellhead elevations to calculate the differences in water-level elevations.

Water samples collected for subjective evaluation were collected by gently lowering approximately half the length of a clean Teflon® bailer past the air-water interface (if possible) and collecting a sample from near the surface of the water in the well. The samples were checked for measurable floating hydrocarbon product.

Before groundwater samples were collected from the groundwater monitoring wells, the wells were purged of approximately six well casing volumes until stabilization of the temperature, pH, and conductivity was obtained. Turbidity measurements were also taken on the purged well water. The quantity of water purged from each well was calculated as follows:

1 well casing volume = $\pi r^2 h(7.48)$ where:

r = radius of the well casing in feet.

h = column of water in the well in feet (depth to bottom - depth to water).

7.48 = conversion constant from cubic feet to gallons

gallons removed/1 well casing volume = number of well casing volumes removed from the well.

After purging, each well was allowed to recharge to at least 80 percent of the approximate initial water level. Water samples were collected with a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved Teflon® bailer subsequent to being cleaned with Alconox® and deionized water. The water samples were poured into 40-milliliter (ml) glass vials, which were filled so as to produce a positive meniscus. Each vial was preserved with hydrochloric acid, sealed with a cap containing a Teflon® septum, and subsequently examined for air bubbles to avoid headspace which would allow volatilization to occur. The samples were transported in iced storage in a thermally insulated ice chest, accompanied by a Chain of Custody form, to a California-certified laboratory.



APPENDIX B

WELL PURGE DATA SHEETS AND STABILIZATION GRAPHS

WELL PURGE DATA SHEET

Project Name: Texaco Alameda Job No. 61006.01

Date: November 14, 1991 Page 1 of 1

Well No. MW-1 Time Started 12:30

Time (hr)	Gallons (cum.)	Temp.	рН	Conduct.	Turbidity (NTU)
12:30	Start	purging M	W-1		
12:32	0	65.7	6.90	6.76	11.6
12:42	5	67.9	7.16	8.94	5.7
12:46	10	67.6	6.93	8.55	4.1
12:51	15	67.5	7.19	8.15	4.2
12:55	20	68.3	7.21	7.54	1.9
01:01	25	68.5	7.19	7.51	1.4
01:06	30	67.9	6.93	7.45	0.9
01:11	35	68.0	6.74	7.42	0.7
01:16	40	68.4	6.73	7.39	1.2
	Stop	purging MW	-1		
Notes:	I	Depth to War Depth to War Depth to War	pth to Bo ter - ini ter - fin T Well Cas: Gall	er (inches): ttom (feet): tial (feet): al (feet): % recovery: ime Sampled: ing Volume: ons Purged: mes Purged:	19.72 9.38 9.54 98.3% 01:25 6.56
				Rate (gpm):	



WELL PURGE DATA SHEET

Project Name: <u>Texaco Alameda</u> Job No. <u>61006.01</u>

Date: November 14, 1991 Page 1 of 1

Well No. MW-2 Time Started 11:30

Time (hr)	Gallons (cum.)	Temp. (F)	Нq	Conduct.	Turbidity (NTU)
11:30	Start	: purging M	∏−2		
11:37	0	65.9	7.09	9.30	14.2
11:41	5	68.3	6.74	9.51	4.3
11:45	10	67.7	6.85	9.02	2.9
11:48	15	67.1	6.78	8.78	2.3
11:52	20	66.0	7.02	7.66	10.2
11:57	25	65.2	6.89	7.74	6.1
12:03	30	65.5	7.12	7.23	5.7
12:17	35	66.1	7.14	7.01	5.1
12:27	40	65.8	7.08	6.97	3.4
	Stop	purging MW-	-2		
Notes:		Dej	oth to Bot	er (inches) : ttom (feet) :	19.45
				tial (feet) : al (feet) :	
	1	eptn to war		ar (reet):	
				ime Sampled :	
	G	allons per		ing Volume :	
	_			ons Purged :	
				mes Purged :	
				Rate (gpm):	



WELL PURGE DATA SHEET


Project Name: <u>Texaco Alameda</u> Job No. <u>61006.01</u>


Date: November 14, 1991 Page 1 of 1


Well No. MW-3 Time Started 10:35


Time (hr)	Gallons (cum.)	Temp.	рĦ	Conduct. (micromoh)	Turbidity (NTU)
10:35	Start	purging M	₩-3		
10:48	0	67.3	7.96	9.56	36.7
10:53	5	67.4	7.58	8.83	10.5
10:56	10	66.4	7.42	8.16	9.3
10:59	15	65.6	7.28	7.62	4.6
11:04	20	65.2	7.09	7.29	1.7
11:09	25	67.4	6.89	7.61	1.9
11:14	30	66.6	6.73	7.42	2.7
11:18	35	67.1	6.78	7.51	2.3
11:23	40	66.9	6.75	7.59	2.1
	Stop	purging MW	-3		
Notes:	r	Depth to Wasepth to Wasepth to Wasepth to Wasepth to Wasepth to Per	pth to Bo ter - ini ter - fin T Well Cas Gall	er (inches): ttom (feet): tial (feet): al (feet): % recovery: ime Sampled: ing Volume: ons Purged: mes Purged:	19.40 9.68 9.99 96.9% 11:25 6.54
				Rate (gpm):	

















APPENDIX C

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORTS

RECEIVED



1 FC -4 1991

RESNA SANJOSE

RESNA

3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34

San Jose, CA 95112

Attention: Patrick Lamb i kanadisa mereka kanadisa dalah kenalah melekakan kenalah dari kenalah berasaran berasaran berasaran berasara

Client Project ID: Matrix Descript:

61006.01, Texaco, Alameda Sampled: Nov 14, 1991

Water

Analysis Method: EPA 5030/8015/8020

First Sample #: 111-3390

Nov 14, 1991

Received: Nov 14, 1991: Analyzed: Nov 20-22, 1991 ;

Nov 27, 1991 Reported:

TOTAL PETROLEUM FUEL HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION (EPA 8015/8020)

Sample Number	Sample Description	Low/Medium B.P. Hydrocarbons μg/L (ppb)	Benzene μg/L (ppb)	Toluene μg/L (ppb)	Ethyl Benzene μg/L (ppb)	Xylenes μg/L (ppb)
111-3390	W-9-MW1	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
111-3391	W-9-MW1R	N.D.	N.D.	0.32	N.D.	0.49

|--|

Low to Medium Boiling Point Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a gasoline fuel standard Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Maria Lee Project Manager

11 holders

1113390 RRR <1>



RESNA

3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34

San Jose, CA 95112 Attention: Patrick Lamb Client Project ID Matrix Descript

61006 01, Texaco, Alameda

Water

Analysis Method: First Sample #

EPA 5030/8015/8020

111-3392

Sampled:

Nov 14, 1991

Received:

Nov 14, 1991 Nov 25, 1991

Analyzed: Reported:

Nov 27, 1991

TOTAL PETROLEUM FUEL HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION (EPA 8015/8020)

Sample Number	Sample Description	Low/Medium B.P. Hydrocarbons μg/L (ppb)	Benzene µg/L (ppb)	Toluene µg/L (ppb)	Ethyl Benzene µg/L (ppb)	Xylenes μg/L (ppb)
111-3392	W-9 MW2	870	53	8.9	21	46

Detection Limits: 60 0 60 0.60 0.60 0.60

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Maria Lee Project Manager



RESNA

3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34

San Jose, CA 95112 Attention: Patrick Lamb

Client Project ID: 61006 01 Texaco, Alameda

Water

Matrix Descript: Analysis Method First Sample #:

EPA 5030/8015/8020

111-3393

Sampled:

Nov 14, 1991

Received:

Nov 14, 1991 Nov 25, 1991

Analyzed: Reported:

Nov 27, 1991

TOTAL PETROLEUM FUEL HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION (EPA 8015/8020)

Sample Number	Sample Description	Low/Medium B.P. Hydrocarbons µg/L (ppb)	Benzene μg/L (ppb)	Toluene µg/L (ppb)	Ethyl Benzene µg/L (ppb)	Xylenes μg/L (ppb)
111-3393	W-9 MW3	870	89	9.0	30	82

Detection Limits: 300 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0

Low to Medium. Boring Point House calbors are as into street, i.g., or Analytes reported as $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ D, were not present above the sicket in those above the sicket in the constant of the second or other factors. required additional santheid by or cored on the con-

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Project Manager

1113390 RRR <3>



RESNA 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95112 Attention: Patrick Lamb Client Project ID 61006.01, Texaco, Alameda

QC Sample Group: 111-3391

Reported: N

Nov 27, 1991

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020
Analyst:	C. Donohue	C. Donohue	C Donohue	C. Donohue
Reporting Units:	μ g/L	μg/L	μ g/L	μg/L
Date Analyzed:	Nov 20, 1991	Nov 20, 1991	Nov 20 1991	
QC Sample #:	GBLK112091	GBLK112091	GBLK112091	GBLK112091
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	ND	ИD	N D.
Spike Conc.	_			
Added:	10	10	10	30
Conc. Matrix	_			
Spike:	99	10	10	30
Matrix Spike				
% Recovery:	99	100	100	100
Conc. Matrix				
Spike Dup.:	9.2	9 2	92	28
Matrix Spike				
Duplicate				
% Recovery:	92	92	92	93
Relative				
% Difference:	7.3	3 3	;	39

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Matid Le-Project Manager

- Pesa e .	und in its light of sumple	< 100
1	Ling Culid Added	
20 € 5 °	10 10 0 0 0 1 1 3 0 0 0 1 1 3 0 0 0 1 1 3 0 0 0 0	. 00

1113390 PPR <4>



RESNA

3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34

San Jose, CA 95112 Attention: Patrick Lamb Client Project ID, 61006 01, Texaco, Alameda

QC Sample Group 1113392-93

Reported: Nov 27, 1991

1 1 2 2 3 2 2 2 2

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes
Method: Analyst: Reporting Units:	EPA 8020 L. Laikhtman µg/L	EPA 8020 L. Laikhtman µg/L	EPA 8020 L Laikhtman μg/L	EPA 8020 L. Laikhtman μg/L
Date Analyzed: QC Sample #:	Nov 25, 1991 GBLK112591 MS/MSD	Nov 25, 1991 GBLK112591 MS/MSD	Nov 25 1991 GBLK112591 MS/MSD	Nov 25, 1991 GBLK112591 MS/MSD
Sample Conc.:	ND	N D.	ND	N D.
Spike Conc. Added:	10	10	10	30
Conc. Matrix Spike:	10	10	10	31
Matrix Spike % Recovery:	100	100	100	103
Conc. Matrix Spike Dup.:	11	11	11	33
Matrix Spike Duplicate % Recovery:	110	110	110	110
Relative % Difference:	95	9.5	e 5	6 3

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Mana Lee Project Manager

P23372	0 1.008 Q# + C	
	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Appendiction (1)	jako se dojiž	

113390 RPR | 15>



RESNA 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95112 Client Project ID: 61006.01, Texaco, Alameda

Attention: Patrick Lamb QC

QC Sample Group. 111-3390

Reported: Nov

Nov 27, 1991

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			 			
	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	 	
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020		
Analyst:	J Jencks	J Jencks	J Jencks	J Jencks		
Reporting Units:	µg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L		
Date Analyzed:	Nov 22. 1991	Nov 22, 1991	Nov 22 1991			
QC Sample #:	GBLK112291	GBLK112291	GBLK112291	GBLK112291		
Sample Conc.:	N D.	N D.	N.D	ND		
Spike Conc. Added:	10	10	10	30		
Added.	10	10	10	00		
Conc. Matrix						
Spike:	9.1	9.3	92	27		
Matrix Spike						
% Recovery:	91	93	92	90		
Conc. Matrix						
Spike Dup.:	9.2	9.5	9.4	28		
Matrix Spike						
Duplicate % Recovery:	92	95	94	93		
12 11000 101 31	-		•			
Relative						
% Difference		2 *	2.0	3-8		

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

A Republic A Company of the Barrier A Company

Maria Lee Project Manager PISHA

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

PROJECT NO	PROJECT NAM	IE/SITE	FOA ALAMEDA, CA.							ANALYSIS REQUESTED P.O. #:									P.O. #:			
61006.01	TEXAL	HUMBOR	Ara		.]			/	7	7	7	7	7	//		7 /	7	///				
SAMPLEAS	(0)01			<u> </u>			CONTAINERS	TYPE) [2]					//		//			
SAMPLE IDENTI		DATE	TIME	COMF	GRAB	PRES. USED	CED	NO. CO	SAMPLE TYPE			\$ \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \	(\$108) a. 10 20 (\$108) a. 10 2					A B	Y	//	/ _{	REMARKS
W-9-7W1		11/14/91				Her	1	1	Ŋ					$ \bot $		_	_	4	_		_	520
W-9. MW 1		<u> </u>	1340	 		<u> </u>	 	3		/	\checkmark			_		_			4	_}	_	1113391
W-9-MWZ			1345	-			 	3		/	/								-	-	-	1113390
W-9-NWZ		-}	1350	-			\vdash	1		<u> </u>	$ \cdot $		-					1	+	+	-	111 3392
W-9-MW3			1355	-		<u></u>	\vdash	3		7		,		\dashv	{		\dashv	$\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle Y}{}$	_		\dashv	1113393
			1 120	+			\top			_		,		_							_	
																	[_ -			_	
	····		ļ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			ļ				_	_		{		_	_		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>		 							_	_						_	
			 		<u> </u>			<u> </u>			-					{					-{	
		_	 	 	 	<u></u>	┼─-	}—	-	<u> </u>	-		-					{	+	-		<u></u>
RELINQUISHED BY	DA	TE TII	NE	RECE	I <u>J</u>	<u></u> ВУ; , ,		<u> </u>	LA	L BOR	ATOF	L RY:				1		-	PLE	EASE :	I SEN	ND RESULTS TO:
1 ta	-14-91 10	SO	Sin follow					SEQUOLA									RESMA					
RELINDUISHED BY ,	TE TI	WE	RECEIVED BY:													3315 Auranow Genorium						
RELINGUISHED BY	///	14.91 10	:55																			
RELINQUISHED BY	DA	TE TI	ME	RECE	IVED	BY:			REQUESTED TURNAROUND TIME: SAN JOIL , CA.							1316, CA. 95716						
RELINQUISHED BY	RELINQUISHED BY DATE TIME RECEIVED BY LABORAT					DRAT	ORY:	Y: RECEIPT CONDITION:									PROJECT MANAGER:					
		1/14/1	35	PK(CLE					\sim	$\supset C$	1/	CC	\propto	1			Pa	かし	4	ng dan Hichmy