

Chan, Barney, Env. Health

From: Bob Clark-Riddell [briddell@cambria-env.com]
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2003 5:00 PM
To: Barney Chan (ACHCSA) (E-mail)
Cc: Fred Schrag (Nady) (E-mail); Jason Olson (E-mail)

Ro 82

Barney,

This email confirms our discussion today and requests a follow up letter from your agency. Cambria has requested and your agency has granted a workplan deadline extension of August 8, 2003 on behalf of our client, John Nady, for the above-referenced site. This extension is required to conduct a geophysical survey and prepare geologic cross sections for incorporation into the investigation workplan requested by your agency.

As we discussed, Cambria attempted to conduct a geophysical survey on June 16, 2003, but our subcontractor (Norcal) had most of their equipment stolen from their truck. The survey is rescheduled for July 7, 2003 (and July 9, if needed). The geophysical report will be provided to Cambria by July 21, 2003.

To respond to your request for proposed well screen intervals, Cambria will prepare geologic cross sections. The site geology and hydrogeology is complex and apparently consists of perched and possibly confined water-bearing units.

Please issue a letter extending the workplan submittal deadline to August 8, 2003. We appreciate your understanding on this matter and our client looks forward to completing the investigation in a timely manner. Please contact me at (510) 420-3303 if you have any questions.

Bob

Ross

Chan, Barney, Env. Health

To: briddell@cambria-env.com
Subject: RE: Nady - Site groundwater considered a potential drinking water source

Bob:
Emeryville has been designated as a non drinking water source by the Water Board. I believe this site is in on the border of Emeryville and Oakland and is technically in Oakland. I think that you could test the chemical parameters, conductivity, TDS and possibly designate the water as non potable and realistically, I would not think drinking water standards would apply.
Barney

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob Clark-Riddell [mailto:briddell@cambria-env.com]
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2003 5:05 PM
To: Barney Chan (ACHCSA) (E-mail)
Cc: 'Jason Olson'
Subject: Nady - Site groundwater considered a potential drinking water source

We wish to confirm which RBSLs are appropriate to use at the Nady site in Emeryville. Has the RWQCB dedesignated groundwater as a potential beneficial use beneath the site? Would site groundwater considered a potential drinking water source? Or should we compare site concentrations to the RBSL for not a potential drinking water source?