

Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health

From: Dennis Parfitt [dparfitt@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 02, 2009 8:24 AM
To: Jakub, Barbara, Env. Health
Subject: Fwd: Modified GW Monitoring, Cost Pre-Approval - Nady, Claim 17432
Attachments: Copy of 521000 Mod 2009-1-28 Cost Estimate - Imp 2008 GW Mntrg Work Plan .xls

>>> Dennis Parfitt 1/28/2009 2:39 PM >>>

The the scope of work proposed and approved for the Nady site (USTCUF claim #17432) includes costs for three additional quarters of analyte analyses that are unnecessary, e.g., general minerals, BOD, COD, TDS, isotopes, etc. In the CUF pre-approval process, these analyses were questioned and have caused a delay in the approval.

My intention was that these analytes were to be analyzed once in order to understand the source and nature of the groundwater that we are attempting to protect. I've discussed this with Mark Jonas and he has amended the cost estimate (attached) to reflect dropping these from the proposal.

The data from these one-time analyses should be readily incorporated into the developing conceptual site model and, if they raise substantial questions as to origin and nature of the groundwater, be used to focus the scope of additional corrective actions at the site.

If you have any questions, please call me at 916-341-5751

Dennis Parfitt
Senior Engineering Geologist
DWQ-UST Program
SWRCB
Sacto

>>> "Jonas, Mark" <mjonas@croworld.com> 1/28/2009 12:47 PM >>>

Dear Dennis:

I noticed some extraneous information on the previous spreadsheet.
Please use this version.

Sincerely,

Mark Jonas

Mark Jonas, P.G.

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, Inc.

510/420-3307 direct

www.CRAworld.com <<http://www.CRAworld.com>>

From: Jonas, Mark
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 12:45 PM
To: Dennis Parfitt (dparfitt@waterboards.ca.gov)
Cc: Frederic Schrag (Schrag@nady.com)
Subject: Modified CE GW Monitoring, Cost Pre-Approval - Nady 521000, Claim 17432

Dear Dennis:

As discussed, attached is a modified cost estimate to perform four quarterly monitoring events at the Nady site (Claim #17432). The cost for the first event does not change. The following monitoring events revert back to the previous protocol. Please note that the client-submitted cost pre-approval originally only asked for approval of one quarter. We look forward to the State Board's cost approval, so we can proceed with the required work.

We'll submit a letter to Barbara Jakub modifying the original Work Plan, per our discussion. We will also adjust our 18-month budget projection.

As always, thank you for your support.

Sincerely,

Mark Jonas

Mark Jonas, P.G.

Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, Inc.

5900 Hollis Street, Suite A

Emeryville, California 94608

510/420-3307 direct

510/420-9170 fax

[www.CRAworld.com](http://www.craworld.com) <<http://www.craworld.com/>>