Missing 7/8/12

A Report Prepared for

Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland 1333 Broadway, 9th Floor Oakland, California 94612

01-1126

REPORT OF GROUNDWATER MONITORING DECEMBER 1991 CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

HLA Job No. 9382,040.02

Submitted to:

Pacific Renaissance Plaza. 9th + webster

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 2101 Webster Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612

by

Mark T. Egbert

Project Geologist

David F. Leland, P.E. Associate Engineer

Harding Lawson Associates 7655 Redwood Boulevard P.O. Box 578 Novato, California 94948 415/892-0821

May 6, 1992

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		,	
LIST OF TA	BLES		iii
LIST OF ILI	LUSTRA	ATIONS	iii
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2.0	QUA	RTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING	2
3.0	RESU	JLTS	3
	3.1 3.2	Groundwater Elevations and Potentiometric Contours Analytical Results - Groundwater Monitoring Wells	3
4.0	DISC	USSION	5
5.0	REFE	ERENCES	6
TABLES			
ILLUSTRAT	ΓΙΟΝ		
Appendix			
RESU	JLTS OF	F LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF	

GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS

ii

DISTRIBUTION

D21738-H

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1 Water-Level Elevations August 1990 through December 1991
- Table 2 Results of Organic Chemical Analyses of Groundwater Samples from Monitoring Wells

ILLUSTRATION

Plate 1 Plan of Sites and Vicinity and Water-Level Contour Map - December 1991

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of quarterly groundwater monitoring in the Chinatown Redevelopment Project Area (Project Area) of Oakland, California (Plate 1), for December 1991. Dewatering activities at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza (PRP) site were completed on July 1, 1991. Quarterly groundwater monitoring was recommended through June 1992 in Harding Lawson Associates' report titled Groundwater Monitoring and Dewatering Effluent Treatment System, Operation and Monitoring, April through July 1991 (HLA, 1991).

Groundwater monitoring in December 1991 consisted of sampling four monitoring wells and measuring water levels in 11 wells. Groundwater flow directions were evaluated in the vicinity of the PRP site; the presence and distribution of gasoline and gasoline constituents also were evaluated in groundwater at the PRP site.

D21738-H 1 of 6

2.0 QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Water levels were measured in 11 wells and groundwater samples were collected from Monitoring Wells MW-7, MW-18, and MW-19, and MW-23 on December 20, 1991. Samples were taken to monitor hydraulic conditions in the Project Area and to monitor groundwater chemistry in the 4 wells. A field blank was also poured and kept with the groundwater samples.

Standard HLA decontamination protocol was followed prior to sampling. HLA employees performing field work were trained in safety procedures and used Level D personal protective equipment.

At least three well volumes were purged from the wells prior to sampling; the purge water was collected in 55-gallon drums onsite. Groundwater samples were collected with a stainless steel bailer. After being decanted into 40-milliliter sample bottles, samples were labeled and stored on ice until delivery under chain of custody to Pace Laboratories, Inc. (PACE), of Novato, California, for chemical analysis. The groundwater samples collected from Wells MW-7 and MW-19 were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) using EPA Test Method 8020 and for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline using EPA Test Method 8015. The groundwater samples collected from Wells MW-18 and MW-23 and the field blank sample were analyzed using EPA Test Method 8020.

D21738-H

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Groundwater Elevations and Potentiometric Contours

Depths to groundwater and calculated water levels for December 1991 are presented in Table 1; potentiometric contours interpreted from the water-level data are shown on Plate 1. The data indicate groundwater flow is to the northwest in the northern portions of the Project Area and generally west to south in the southern portions of the Project Area. Potentiometric data are not interpreted in the area bounded by 9th, 11th, Franklin, and Webster streets, the area occupied by the PRP and East Bay Municipal Utility District buildings.

Water levels increased in 10 of 11 wells between September 19 and December 20, 1991, with increases ranging from 0.42 foot at MW-18 to 1.18 feet at MW-8. The water level decreased in Monitoring Well MW-23 by 0.11 feet.

3.2 Analytical Results - Groundwater Monitoring Wells

Results of chemical analyses of the groundwater samples collected on December 20, 1991, are presented in Table 2 along with historical groundwater chemistry data for BTEX and TPH as gasoline for all monitoring wells. Laboratory reports for groundwater samples are presented in the Appendix.

BTEX compounds were detected in the groundwater samples collected from Monitoring Well MW-19; BTEX concentrations have increased since September 1991 but are generally lower than concentrations measured before dewatering activities began in November 1990. Benzene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes were detected at concentrations similar to pre-dewatering concentrations in the sample from Monitoring Well MW-7. Benzene was detected at a concentration of 0.0004 milligrams/liter (mg/l) in the sample

D21738-H 3 of 6

from Well MW-18. No other compounds were detected at MW-18. BTEX compounds were not detected at Well MW-23 or in the field blank sample.

In Monitoring Well MW-19, TPH as gasoline was detected in December 1991 at a concentration of 9.6 mg/l, similar to the concentration of 11 mg/l measured prior to dewatering in May 1990. TPH as gasoline was detected in Well MW-7 at a concentration of 0.32 mg/l; similar concentrations were measured prior to dewatering.

D21738-H

4.0 DISCUSSION

Results of analysis of water samples collected in December 1991 indicate that concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons and BTEX compounds in MW-19 are similar to pre-dewatering concentrations for those constituents. In addition, the chemical concentrations in MW-7 are similar to pre-dewatering concentrations and appear to originate from a source in the current upgradient direction. This is suggested by the absence of BTEX constituents in samples from this location when flow directions were generally south to east (HLA, 1991).

On the basis of water levels measured in March 1988 (HLA, 1989), before the initiation of dewatering activities in the Project Area, HLA estimated groundwater flow to be generally to the west. The December 1991 measurements, the second round of water-level data collected since dewatering activities ceased, show some influence of the buildings constructed in the Project Area. The potentiometric contours indicate that the PRP building and shoring system (including the shoring wall installed along Webster, Ninth, and Franklin Streets) act as barriers to groundwater flow, which is directed around the building. The pattern of potentiometric contours interpreted from the December water-level measurements is similar to the pattern interpreted from September measurements and indicates that the effects of dewatering have dissipated and are no longer evident. In the vicinity of Well MW-19, groundwater flow is estimated to be west to south. At MW-7, the flow direction is estimated to be generally to the west.

D21738-H 5 of 6

5.0 REFERENCES

Harding Lawson Associates, 1989. A-Aquifer Monitoring Report, Chinatown Redevelopment Project Area, Oakland, California. January 31.

_______, 1991. Groundwater Monitoring and Dewatering Effluent Treatment System, Operation and Monitoring, April through July 1991, Chinatown Redevelopment Project Area, Oakland, California. August 16.

LARGE MAP REMOVED

Table 1. WATER-LEVEL ELEVATIONS - AUGUST 1990 THROUGH DECEMBER 1991

Well No.	MW	-2	MW	-3	MW	-6	MW	-7	MW-	8	MW-	-12
	GROUND SURFACE 40.05	TOP OF CASING 39.55	GROUND SURFACE 39.02	TOP OF CASING 38,35	GROUND SURFACE 39.95	TOP OF CASING 39.59	GROUND SURFACE 39,35	TOP OF CASING 39.10	GROUND SURFACE 40.63	TOP OF CASING 40.47	GROUND SURFACE 37.70	TOP OF CASING 37.00
DATE	Depth to Water	Ele- vation										
3-Aug-90	25.59	13.96	25.33	13.02	25.37	14.22	25.38	13.72	27.02	13.45	21.15	15.85
27-Aug-90	-	-	-	-	•	•	-	-	•	•	•	-
12-Sep-90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.08	12.92
13-Sep-90	-	-	-	-	-	•	25.15	13.95	-	-	•	-
14-Nov-90	25.38	14.17	23.91	14.44	25.25	14.34	24.97	14.13	26.72	13.75	23.37	13.63
3-Dec-90	26.12	13.43	24.69	13.66	25.44	14.15	27.66	11.44	27,28	13.19	25.45	11.55
11-Jan-91	28.60	10.95	28.97	9.38	27.50	12.09	29.82	9.28	29.04	11.43	•	•
11-Feb-91	32.39	7.16	32.37	5.98	29.43	10.16	32,35	6.75	30,88	9.59	*	*
8-Mar-91	33.57	5.98	32.29	6.06	30.41	9.18	32.04	7.06	31.98	8.49	•	*
12-Apr-91	32.67	6.88	31.89	6.46	30.25	9.34	31.37	7.73	32.01	8.46	*	*
10-May-91	31.90	7.65	31.29	7.06	29.94	9.65	30.94	8.16	31,66	8.81	*	*
6-Jun-91	32.56	6.99	30.94	7.41	30.27	9.32	31.06	8.04	31.94	8.53	•	•
19-Sep-91	26.94	12.61	25.28	13.07	26.58	13.01	26,96	12.14	28.65	11.82	•	•
20-Dec-91	25.94	13.61	24.23	14.12	25.74	13.85	25.83	13.27	27.47	13.00	•	•

NOTES:

Elevations are in feet above mean sea level (MSL).

Depth to water measured in feet from top of casing.

Well MW-12 was damaged during excavation and construction activities and can no longer be monitored.

Table 1. WATER-LEVEL ELEVATIONS - AUGUST 1990 THROUGH DECEMBER 1991

Well No.	MW-	18	MW-	19	MW-	20	MW-	21	MW-	22	MW-	-23
	GROUND SURFACE 36.52	TOP OF CASING 35.88	GROUND SURFACE 37,15	TOP OF CASING 36.62	GROUND SURFACE 38.32	TOP OF CASING 37.86	GROUND SURFACE 38.67	TOP OF CASING 38.08	GROUND SURFACE 37.70	TOP OF CASING 37.34	GROUND SURFACE 34.68	TOP OF CASING 34.23
DATE	Depth to Water	Ele- vation										
3-Aug-90	24.41	11.47	25.32	11.30	25.01	12.85	27.60	10.48	-	-	•	-
27-Aug-90	-	-	-	•	-	-	27.52	10.56	22.93	14.41	22.45	11.78
12-Sep-90	•	•	-	-	24.06	13.80	27.38	10.70	•	-	-	-
13-Sep-90	24.33	11.55	22.44	14.18	-	•	•	-	22.78	14.56	21.27	12.96
14-Nov-90	24.13	11.75	21.97	14.65	24.47	13.39	27.32	10.76	22.65	14.69	21.80	12.43
3-Dec-90	24.81	11.07	22.16	14.46	26.29	11.57	27.39	10.69	22.78	14.56	22.00	12.23
11-Jan-91	25.90	9.98	25,33	11,29	28.38	9.48	28.03	10.05	24.98	12.36	22.51	11.72
11-Feb-91	26.40	9.48	26.55	10.07	29.55	8.31	28.08	10.00	26.05	11.29	22.69	11.54
8-Mar-91	26.44	9.44	26,56	10.06	29.95	7.91	28.33	9.75	26.63	10.71	22.77	11.46
12-Apr-91	26.31	9.57	25.92	10.70	29.62	8.24	28.52	9.56	26.22	11.12	22.36	11.87
10-May-91	25.48	10.40	24.90	11.72	29.01	8.85	28.34	9.74	25.84	11.50	22.14	12.09
6-Jun-91	25.61	10.27	24.75	11.87	29.06	8.80	28.21	9.87	25.69	11.65	22.17	12.06
19-Sep-91	25.23	10.65	23.12	13.50	26.46	11.40	27.81	10.27	23.73	13.61	22.35	11.88
20-Dec-91	24.81	11.07	22.37	14.25	25.56	12.30	27.33	10.75	23.11	14.23	22.46	11.77

NOTES:

Elevations are in feet above mean sea level (MSL).

Depth to water measured in feet from top of casing.

Table 2. RESULTS OF ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS

Purgeable Aromatics (EPA Method 8020) Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8015)

WELL	DATE	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ethyl Benzene	XYLENES, TOTAL	TPH AS GASOLINE	
LOD	(mg/l)	0.0005/0.000	2 *	0.0005/0.0002 *		0.25/0.05 * *	
MW-3	10-Mar-88	ND	ND	ND	NiD	ND	
	18-Mar-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	25-Mar-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	1-Apr-88	0.7	0.4	ND	1.2	ND	
	15-Apr-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	28-Apr-88 @	ND/ND (0,4)	ND/ND (0.4)	ND/ND (0.4)	ND/ND (0.4)	ND/ND	
	11-May-88	ND	ND	ND	ND ND	ND	
	27-May-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	16-Jun-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	27-Jul-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	26-Aug-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	30-Sep-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	2-Nov-88	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND	
	2-Dec-88	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	4-Jan-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	3-Feb-89	ND	0.0009	ND	ND	ND	
	3-Dec-90	ND	0.0002	ND	ND	ND	
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND .	ND	ND	ND	
	6-Jun-91	ND	ND	ND	ND a	NT	
MW-7	4-Apr-89	ND	0.0007	0.0010	0.0012	ND	
	3-May-89	ND	0.0012	0.0018	0.0048	0.27	
	6-Jun-89	0.0010	0.001	0.0022	0.0011	0.4	
	7-Jul-89	0.0002	0.001	0.00034	0.0059	0.56	
	2-Aug-89	ND	0.0015	0.0054	0.0059	0.7	
	7-Sep-89	ND	NID	ND	0.0015	0.59	
	5-Oct-89	ND	0.0011	0.0006	0.0013	0.73	
	2-Nov-89	0.0002	0.001	0.0055	0.0036	0.63	
	6-Dec-89	0.0006	0.0087	0.0059	0.0036	0.32	
	3-Jan-90	0.0007	0.0007	0.0006	0.0013	0.18	
	1-Feb-90	ND	0.0009	ND	0.0003	ND	
	28-Feb-90	ND	0.0006	0.0004	0.0052	0.09	
	11-Apr-90	ND	0.0007	0.0033	0.0029	0.130	
	18-May-90	ND	0.0008	0.0014	0.0008	0.43	
	13-Sep-90	ND	0.0019	ND	ND	NT	
	3-Dec-90	0.0002	0.0024	0.0019	0.0012	0.32	
	11-Feb-91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	6-Jun-91	ND	ND	ND	ND a	ND	
	20-Dec-91	0.0002	ND	0.0029	0.0078	0.32	
MW-12	15-Feb-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	3-Mar-89	NT	NT	NT	NT	ND	
	5-Apr-89	0.0014	0.0023	NID	0.0054	ND	
	2-May-89	0.026	0.0033	NID	0.0063	0.10	
	7-Jun-89	0.034	0.0037	ND	0.012	0.18	
	6-Jul-89	0.029	0.0025	NID	0.0059	0.12	
	2-Aug-89	0.023	0.002	ND ND ND	0.005	ND	
	7-Sep-89 @	0.051/0.059	0.0016/0.0022	ND/ND	0.0049/0.0058	ND/ND	
	5-Oct-89 @	0.037/0.040	0.0032/0.0031	ND/ND	0.0086/0.0094	ND/ND	
	2-Nov-89	0.0056	0.0011	ND	0,0019	0.071	
	6-Dec-89	0.0062	0.0012	ND	0.0017	0.06	
	3-Jan-90	0.0086	0.0010	ND ND ND	0.0012	0.09	
	1-Feb-90 @	0.0018/0.0024	0.0010/0.0004	ND/ND	0.0005/0.0004	ND/ND	
	1-Mar-90	0.0016	0.0014	ND	0.0003	ND	
	11-Apr-90	0.0066	0.0174	0.0015	0.0116	0.147	
	18-May-90 12-Sep-90	ND ND	0.0009 ND	ND	ND 0.0002	ND NT	
		TALL &		ND			

Table 2. RESULTS OF ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS

Purgeable Aromatics (EPA Method 8020) Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8015)

WELL DATE		BENZENE	TOLUENE	ethyl Benzene	XYLENES, TOTAL	TPH AS GASOLINE		
LOD	(mg/l)	0.0005/0.0		0.0005/0.0002 *		0.25/0.05 * *		
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
MW-18	15-Feb-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	3-Mar-89	NT	NT	NT	NT	ND		
	5-Apr-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	2-May-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	7-Jun-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	6-Jul-89	ND	ND ND	ND	ND	ND		
	2-Aug-89	ND	ND	ND	ND NO	ND ND		
	6-Sep-89	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND		
	5-Oct-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	1-Nov-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	6-Dec-89	ND	0.0009	ND	0.0013	ND		
	2-Jan-90	0.016	0.0080	0.0014	0.0098	0.10		
	1-Feb-90	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND		
	1-Mar-90	0.0003	ND	ND	0.0002	ND		
	11-Apr-90	0.0004	0.0006	0.0005	0.0003	ND		
	18-May-90	ND	ND	ND	ND	МĎ		
	13-Sep-90	0.0027	ND	ND	ND	NT		
	4-Dec-90	0.0029	0.0002 †	ND	0.0003 †	ND		
	8-Mar-91	0.0009	0.0003	ND	ND	ND		
	6-Jun-91	ND	ND	ND	ND a	NT		
	19-Sep-91	ND b	NO b	ND b	ND b	ND		
	20-Dec-91	0.0004	ND	ND b	ND b	NT		
MW-19	15-Dec-89	5.0	0.30	0.078	0.61	12		
	3-Jan-90	3.0	0.46	0.12	1.1	13		
	1-Feb-90	1.1		LT 0.0040	0.032	1.9		
	1-Mar-90	4.2	0.92	0.24	0.82	9.2		
	11-Apr-90	3,8	1.1	0.82	0.34	10		
	18-May-90	5.6	0.75	0.70	0.78	11		
	13-Sep-90	1.4	1.2	0.35	1.6	NT		
	4-Dec-90	2.1	1,5	0.42	1.6	12		
						2.7		
	11-Feb-91	0.45	0.12	0.086	0.21			
	8-Mar-91	0.52	0.057	0.020	0.083	1.40		
	10-May-91	0.32	0.088	0.055	0.160	1.80		
	6-Jun-91 @	0.38/0.46	0.027/0.038	0.023/0.030	0.092/0.15	3.40/NT		
	19-Sep-91	0.21	0.023	0.094	0.15	3.50		
	20-Dec-91	1.0 (0.005)	0.24 (0.005)	0.5 (0.005)	1.2 (0.005)	9.60 (0.50)		
W-20	15-Dec-89	NO	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	3-Jan-90	0.0004	0.0004	ND	0.0008	ND		
	1-Feb-90	ND	0.0014	ND	0.0005	ND		
	28-Feb-90	ND ND	ND	ND ND	0.0005	ND		
						ND ND		
	11-Apr-90	0.0028	0.0110	0.0011	0.0066			
	18-May-90	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	12-Sep-90	ND	ND	ND	ND	NT		
	3-Dec-90	ND	0.0002 †	ND	ND	ND		
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	6-Jun-91	ND	ND	ND	ND a	NT		
MW-21	27-Aug-90	ND	ND	ND	ND	NT		
	12-Sep-90	ND	ND	NED	ND	NT		
	3-Dec-90	ND	0.0005 †	NED NED	0.0011	ND		
	8-Mar-91	ND ND	ND	NED NED	ND	ND		
	6-Jun-91	ND ND	ND ND	NED NED	ND a	NT		

Table 2. RESULTS OF ORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS

Purgeable Aromatics (EPA Method 8020) Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPA Method 8015)

WELL DATE BENZEN		BENZENE	TOLUENE	ethyl Benzene	XYLENES, TOTAL	TPH AS GASOLINE
LCD	(mg/l)	0.0005/	0.0002 *	0.0005/0.0	0002 *	0.25/0.05 * *
MW-22	27-Aug-90	ND	ND	ND	ND	NT
	13-Sep-90	ND	ND	ND	ND	NT
	4-Dec-90	ND	0.0002 🕇	ND	0.0002	† ND
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	6-Jun-91	ND	ND	ND	ND a	NT
MW-23	27-Aug-90	ND	NID	ND	ND	NT
	13-Sep-90	ND	NID	ND	ND	NT
	4-Dec-90	ND	0.0002 🕇	ND	ND	ND
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	6-Jun-91	ND	0.0004	ND	ND a	NT
	20-Dec-91	ND	ND	ND b	ND b	NT
BLANK	5-Apr-89	0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND
	1-May-89	ND	NED	ND	ND	ND
	6-Jun-89 @	ND/ND	ND/ND	ND/ND	ND/ND	ND/ND
	1-Aug-89	ND	NEO	ND	ND	ND
	2-Aug-89	ND	NO	ND	ND	ND
	3-Aug-89	ND	N£O	ND	ND	ND
	6-Sep-89	ND	NED	ND	ND	ND
	7-Sep-89	ND	NO	ND	ND	ND
	4-Oct-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	2-Nov-89	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	5-Dec-89	ND	NID	ND	ND	ND
	3-Jan-90	ND	0.0006	ND	0.0017	ND
	13-Sep-90	ND	NiD	ND	ND	NT
	11-Feb-91	ND	NED	ND	ND	NT
	8-Mar-91	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	19-Sep-91	ND b	ND b	ND b	ND b	ND
	20-Dec-91	ND	ND	ND b	ND b	NT

NOTES:

Results reported in milligrams per liter (mg/l); equivalent to parts per million. Analyses performed by PACE Laboratories, Inc., Novato, California.

LOD: Limit of Detection.

ND: Not detected at or above LOD.

NT: Not tested.

(0.4): Numbers in parentheses are limits of detection.
**: LOD Changed to 0.0002 on 01-May-89, unless otherwise noted.

**: LOD Changed to 0.05 on 01-May-89, unless otherwise noted.

†: PACE laboratory reported toluene and total xylenes in the method blanks analyzed along with the samples.

@: Two values indicate results of duplicate analyses.

LT: Less than the concentration indicated.

a: Method detection limit is 0.0004 mg/l.

b: Method detection limit is 0.0005 mg/l.

APPENDIX

RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS



EUN 52 9: 10

January 07, 1992

Mr. David Leland Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945

RE: PACE Project No. 411220.504

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

Dear Mr. Leland:

Enclosed is the report of laboratory analyses for samples received December 20, 1991.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Lisá J. Petersen Project Manager

Enclosures



Mr. David Leland Page

January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

70 0139732 12/20/91 12/20/91

Well MW-7

Date Collected: Date Received: Client Sample ID: Parameter

PACE Sample Number:

Units

91122005

MDL

DATE ANALYZED

ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT): Total Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020): Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	50 0.2 0.2 0.5	- 320 - 0.2 ND 2.9	01/02/92 01/02/92 01/02/92 01/02/92 01/02/92 01/02/92
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	7.8	01/02/92

MDL

Method Detection Limit

Not detected at or above the MDL.

These data have been reviewed and are approved for release.

Mark A. Valentini, Ph.D.

Regional Director



Mr. David Leland

Page 3

January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Well

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

70 0139716 12/20/91

MW-18

Date Collected: Date Received: Client Sample ID:

Parameter

Benzene

Toluene

PACE Sample Number:

12/20/91 91122003 Units MDL ____

DATE ANALYZED

ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):

z manni za (anne an em anea).

Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total - 01/02/92 ug/L 0.2 0.4 01/02/92 ug/L 0.2 ND 01/02/92

ug/L 0.2 ND ug/L 0.5 ND ug/L 0.5 ND

01/02/92 01/02/92 01/02/92

MDL

Method Detection Limit

ND Not detected at or above the MDL.



Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945 January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Attn: Mr. David Leland

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

Well

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Client Sample ID: 70 0139694 12/20/91 12/20/91 91122001 MW-19

Units MDL DATE ANALYZED

ORGANIC ANALYSIS

Parameter

PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS 01/02/92 TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT): 01/02/92 9600 500 Total Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline ug/L 01/02/92 PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020): 1000 01/02/92 Benzene ug/L 5.0 01/02/92 5.0 240 Toluene uq/L Ethylbenzene 5.0 01/02/92 500 ug/L 01/02/92 5.0 1200 Xylenes, Total ug/L

MDL Method Detection Limit

Los Angeles, California



Mr. David Leland

Page 2

Parameter

Benzene

January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

Well

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: 70 0139708 12/20/91 12/20/91

91122002

MW-23

Date Received: Client Sample ID:

Units MDL

0.5

0.5

DATE ANALYZED

ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):

ug/L ug/L 0.2 ND 0.2 ND 01/03/92 01/03/92 01/03/92

Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total

ug/L ug/L ug/L

ND ND

01/03/92 01/03/92

MDL ND Method Detection Limit

Not detected at or above the MDL.



Mr. David Leland

Page 4

January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected:

Date Received: Client Sample ID:

Parameter

70 0139724 12/20/91

12/20/91

Field Blank

91122004 DATE ANALYZED

ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020): Benzene

Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total - 01/02/92 ug/L 0.2 ND 01/02/92 ug/L 0.2 ND 01/02/92

MDL

0.5

0.5

Units

ug/L

ug/L

ND 01/02/92 ND 01/02/92 ND 01/02/92

MDL

Method Detection Limit

ND

Not detected at or above the MDL.



Mr. David Leland

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Page

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

TPH GASOLINE/BTEX Batch: 70 08916

Samples: 70 0139694

METHOD BLANK:

Parameter TOTAL FIEL HYDROCARRONS (LICHT).	<u>Units</u>	MDL	Method Blank
TOTAL FÜEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT): Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):	ug/L	50	ND -
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5	ND - ND ND
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	ND

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE AND CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE:

ENDONMONT CONTINCE STATE ET PRID CONTINCE ST		21011121	Reference		Dupl	
Parameter	Units	MDL	Value	Recv	Recv F	
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015)	ug/L	50	326	88%	108%	20%
Benzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	81%	97%	17%
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	83%	98%	16%
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	86%	100%	15%
	uq/L	0.5	80.0	80%	98%	20%

MDL Method Detection Limit Relative Percent Difference RPD

Los Angeles, California



Mr. David Leland Page

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

TPH GASOLINE/BTEX Batch: 70 08934 Samples: 70 0139708

METHOD BLANK:

1124	MOI	Method · Blank
Units	MUL	BIAIK
		_
ug/L	50	ND
		_
ug/L	0.5	ND .
-	0.5	ND
.	0.5	ND
-3/-		
ug/L	0.5	ND
	Units ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	ug/L 50 ug/L 0.5 ug/L 0.5 ug/L 0.5 ug/L 0.5

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE AND CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE:

	22 23.		Reference		Dupl	
Parameter	Units	MDL	Value	Recv	Recv R	
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015)	uq/L	50	349	102%	104%	1%
Benzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	103%	98%	4%
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	101%	97%	4%
Ethylbenzene	uq/L	0.5	40.0	101%	99%	2%
Xylenes, Total	uq/L	0.5	80.0	100%	96%	4%

Method Detection Limit MDL Relative Percent Difference **RPD**



Mr. David Leland

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

January 07, 1992

PACE Project Number: 411220504

Page 8

Client Reference: 09382.039.02/PRP

TPH GASOLINE/BTEX

Batch: 70 08965

Samples: 70 0139716, 70 0139724, 70 0139732

METHOD BLANK:

Parameter	Units	MDL	Method Blank	
TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):			-	
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015)	ug/L	50	ND	
PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):			-	
Benzene	ug/L	0.2	ND ,	
Toluene	ug/L	0.2	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.3	ND	
Xylenes, Total	ug/L	0.5	ND	

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE AND CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE:

EADOIGHTORY CONTINCE SAIN EE AND CONTINCE S	5,41, 22 50.	2.0	Reference		Dupl	
Parameter	Units	MDL	Value F	Recv	Recv RF	2D_
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) ug/L	50	273	96%	100%	4%
Benzene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	93%	102%	9%
Toluene	ug/L	0.5	40.0	93%	102%	9%
Ethylbenzene	-ug/L	0.5	40.0	95%	104%	9%
Xvlenes. Total	ug/L	0.5	80.0	94%	102%	8%

MDL RPD Method Detection Limit

Relative Percent Difference

Los Angeles, California

Harding Lawson Associates P.O. Box 6107 Novalo, California 94948	1 1 2 2 (Lab: 727)
Novalo, California 94948 415/892-0821 Telecopy: 415/892-1586 Samplers: David MEssays	ANALYSIS REQUESTED
Job Number: 09382,037,02 Name/Location: PRP Project Manager: Dwe Letwick Recorder: (Signature Required)	Metals Metals drocarb.
MATRIX #CONTAINERS & PRESERV. SOURCE OR LAB NUMBER OR LAB NUMBER Yr Wk Seq Yr Mo Dy Time STATION DESCRIPT OR LAB NUMBER	
23 / 13969.4 3 911222014112200610	
33 x 1 70.8 3 911220009112200650 10 not 14n	per 4 QX BYEX only
23 X 716 3 9 (1 22039 11 220750 Mark Espert	67 67 674 614
33 4 124 3 911220049112200800 W 42/23/91	
23 X 1 73 2 3 9 4 12 2005 4 1 12 200 2 5 0 1 3 1 9 4 12 200 5 6 1 1 2 2 0 0 2 5 0 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1	───┨┠┧┧╏╟╏╚╠ ┼┼┼┼┼┼┼
┡┼╣╬╎┼┼┼╟╟┼┼┼┼┩┛┾┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼ ┩ ╒┈┈┈┈	╶┈┈ ┩┠╂┼╁┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼
┣ ┩┫┩╎┩╃╇┫╀╏╎╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇	╶
<u> </u>	╸
	
SI LAB DEPTH COL QA MISCELLANEOUS CODE MISCELLANEOUS	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

NUMBER IN		IN		OT.				MISCELLANEOUS	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD					
Yr	Wk	S	eq		FEI	EI		D L				Standowal	HELINQUISHEP, BY - (Signature)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME
	+-	-	+	+			+		H	+	-	TAT	RELINQUISHED BY: (Signatura)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME
		_	-	1			1			-			RELINQUISHED BY: (Signatura)	RECEIVED BY: (Sugnature) DATE/TIME
				\perp		-	‡			_	-		RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME
													METHOD OF SHIBMENTS	DATE/TIME RECEIVED FOR LAB BY DA DATE/TIME (Signature) We veel in cooler wice 68

Laboratory Copy Project Office Copy Field or Office Copy Pink White Yellow

DISTRIBUTION

REPORT OF GROUNDWATER MONITORING DECEMBER 1991 CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA May 5, 1992

Copy No. ___

		Copy No.
1 copy:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 2101 Webster Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612	1
	Attention: Mr. Donald Dalke	
1 copy:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 2101 Webster Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612	2
	Attention: Mr. Rich Hiett	
1 copy:	Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621	3
	Attention: Mr. Paul Smith	
2 copies:	Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland 1333 Broadway, 9th Floor Oakland, California 94612	4-5
	Attention: Mr. Peter Chen	

DISTRIBUTION (continued)

l copy	HLA Master File	6
1 copy	Project Chronological File	7

MTE/DFL/yml/D21738-H

QUALITY CONTROL REVIEWER

Tamara L. Williams Geologist - 3954

Tomara L. Williams