### **Harding Lawson Associates**



#### Transmittal/Memorandum

To:

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health

80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621

Attention: Mr. Lowell Miller

From:

David F. Leland

Date:

March 16, 1990

Subject:

February 1990 Ground-Water Treatment System NPDES Monitoring Report

Job No.:

09382,040.02

Remarks:

Please find attached a copy of the Report of System Monitoring: February 1990, Dewatering Effluent Treatment System, Pacific Renaissance Plaza, Oakland, California, describing the operations and monitoring of the ground-water treatment system located at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza site in Oakland.

DFL/dc/dfl033#1

CC:

### A Report Prepared for

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1800 Harrison Street, Suite 700 Oakland, California 94607

REPORT OF SYSTEM MONITORING FEBRUARY 1990 DEWATERING EFFLUENT TREATMENT SYSTEM CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

HLA Job No. 09382,040.02

Submitted on behalf of:

City of Oakland Redevelopment Agency One City Hall Plaza Oakland, California 94612

by

Laura O. Hollingsworth

Staff Engineer

David F. Leland Associate Hydrologist

Harding Lawson Associates 7655 Redwood Boulevard P.O. Box 578 Novato, California 94948 415/892-0821

March 15, 1990

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF TABLES	ii
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	TREATMENT SYSTEM OPERATION	2
III	TREATMENT SYSTEM MONITORING	4
IV	RESULTS	5
TABLES		
Appendix	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR TREATMENT SYSTEM SAMPLES	
DISTRIBUT	ION	

### LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Influent Samples
Table 2	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Intermediate Samples
Table 3	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Effluent Samples

### I INTRODUCTION

This report discusses the operation and monitoring of the groundwater treatment system at 10th and Webster streets, Oakland, California for February 1990. The system is treating groundwater produced from extraction wells located in the area bounded by 9th, 11th, Webster and Franklin streets. Groundwater extraction is being conducted in conjunction with in situ biological treatment of soil at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza (PRP) site bounded by 9th, Franklin, and Webster streets and the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) property line approximately 100 feet north of the centerline of 10th Street.

This report has been prepared by Harding Lawson Associates (HLA) on behalf of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (Agency) and is submitted in compliance with NPDES Permit CA 0029394, adopted on July 20, 1988, by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (RWQCB). Under the NPDES permit, treatment system discharge limits are 50 parts per billion (ppb) for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) identified as gasoline; 5.6 ppb for lead; 5.0 ppb each for chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloropropane, trichloroethylene, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, benzene, xylenes, and ethylbenzene; 0.5 ppb for toluene; 0.01 ppb for ethylene dibromide; and 0.0 ppb for total residual chlorine. The treatment system is designed to reduce concentrations of hydrocarbons in groundwater to less than discharge limits specified in the NPDES permit.

LOH750-R 1 of 5

### II TREATMENT SYSTEM OPERATION

The groundwater treatment system was installed March 8, 1988, and has been in operation since March 14, 1988. Water is treated by pumping it through four carbon contactors arranged in pairs. Organic compounds in the influent are adsorbed onto the carbon. Each pair of contactors is arranged in parallel, and together constitute a module; the two modules are arranged in series. The system is configured so that water from the groundwater extraction wells may be pumped through either module first. The system also includes a holding tank for influent water, pumps, filters, piping, and instrumentation. Four water sampling ports -- one influent, two intermediate, and one effluent -- enable water samples to be collected throughout the treatment process. The intermediate ports are located between the two modules so the effectiveness of the first pair of contactors in reducing influent concentrations can be monitored. Depending on the configuration of modules, only one of these ports is intermediate in the system at any one time.

Treated effluent is either recycled to the PRP biological treatment system or discharged to the storm drain. From February 1, 1990 to March 1, 1990, total effluent discharged from the system was 988,780 gallons, based on readings of the flowmeters located on each extraction well. Average flow through the treatment system for the month was 24.5 gallons per minute (gpm). Of the 988,780 gallons of treatment system effluent, 92 percent, or 908,700 gallons, were recycled to the PRP biotreatment injection system and 80,080 gallons, or 8 percent, were discharged to the storm drain.

LOH750-R 2 of 5

Bag filters were replaced approximately every 2 to 5 days. The sand filter was backwashed with fresh water twice a day on the days there were site visits, i.e., approximately every other day. The sand in the sand filter was replaced on February 2. Cartridge filters were changed on February 18. The carbon vessels were not backwashed in February.

LOH750-R 3 of 5

### III TREATMENT SYSTEM MONITORING

February treatment system samples were collected on January 31 from the influent, intermediate, and effluent sampling ports. A duplicate effluent sample was also collected and submitted for analysis.

All samples were analyzed by Pace Laboratories, Novato, California, a California-certified laboratory. All samples were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes by EPA Test Method 8020, and for TPH as gasoline by EPA Test Method 8015. Influent and effluent samples were analyzed for halogenated organics by EPA Test Method 8010, for ethylene dibromide by EPA Test Method 504, for residual chlorine by Standard Method 408E, and for dissolved oxygen by EPA Test Method 360.2.

Results of analyses of samples collected August 1, 1989 through January 31, 1990 are summarized in Tables 1 through 3. Analytical results for samples collected January 31 are discussed in this report.

LOH750-R

#### IV RESULTS

Results of treatment system water sample analyses for TPH and for the EPA Test Method 8010, 8020, and 504 compounds analyzed indicate that on the sampling date (January 31, 1990), the carbon treatment system removed most but not all constituents to nondetectable levels; NPDES discharge limits were exceeded for four constituents.

In all, five individual constituents and TPH as gasoline were detected in at least one of two effluent samples. Benzene and chloroform were detected in one sample at concentrations of 1.3 ppb and 3.9 ppb, respectively. Benzene was not detected in the duplicate effluent sample, which was not analyzed by EPA Test Method 8010. Xylenes were detected in both effluent samples at identical concentrations of 0.6 ppb. These concentrations do not exceed discharge limits.

Toluene, 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), and ethylene dibromide (EDB) were detected in one effluent sample at 1.5 ppb, 5.1 ppb, and 0.4 ppb, respectively. In the duplicate sample, TPH as gasoline was measured at 70 ppb, and toluene was detected at 0.2 ppb. Concentrations of toluene and TPH in excess of discharge limits in one effluent sample were not confirmed by duplicate sample results. Concentrations of 1,2-DCA and EDB exceed the associated discharge limits.

The results of the treatment system water sample analyses indicate that the carbon beds are not removing all constituents to discharge limits. The carbon is scheduled for exchange on Wednesday, March 14.

LOH750-R

TABLE 1. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: INFLUENT SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # DATE	8930CS1 08/01/8		90741 97/89		OCSIN 05/89		51124 02/89	89490017 12/05/89	90010 <b>311</b> 01/03/90	90013109 01/31/90
TEST METHOD/ COMPOUNDS	22-626222				ZZZZZŹ		======		FEE282222222222	
EPA 8020										
Benzen <del>e</del>	71		6.3		2.2	ND <	0.2	3.7	2	7.0
Toluene	61		0.7		1.7	ND <	0.2	0.7	0.4	4.1
Ethylbenzene	4		2.0	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	0.6
Xylenes	110		39		38		12	25	10	20
Chlorobenzene	ND < 2.			NT		NT		NT	NT	NT
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND < 2.			NT		NT		NT	NT	NT
All other 8020 compounds	ND < 2.	) NT		NT		NT		NT	NT	NT
EPA 8015										
TPH (Gasoline)	620	) ND <	50		120	ND <	50	50	ND < 50	70
EPA 8010										
1,1-dichloroethene	ND < 5.		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Methylene chloride	ND < 5.		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
1,1-dichloroethane	ND < 5.		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Chloroform	ND < 5.		4.3	ND <	0.5		5.5	3.3	ND < 0.5	2.7
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND < 5.4		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
1,2-dichloroethane	ND < 5.		7.6		6.6		10	7.1	6. <u>7</u>	6
Trichloroethene	ND < 5.		14	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
1,2-dichloropropane	ND < 5.		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Bromodichloromethane	ND < 5.1		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	ND < 5.1		0,5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Tetrachloroethene Chlorobenzene	ND < 5.4		0.5 0.5	ND <	0.5 0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Bromoform			0.5 0.5	ND <	0.5 0.5		0.5 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	ND < 5.4		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Dibromochloromethane	ND < 5.1		0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	0.63	0.69	ND < 0.5
All other 8010 compounds	ND S.	ND ND	0.5	ND \	0.5	ND \	0.5	ND V.63	ND U.69	ND V 0.3
EPA 504										
Ethylene dibromide	0.0	ND <	0.02		1.6		2.8	LT 4.0	2.1	0.9 (*)
Standard Method 408E Residual chlorine (mg/l)	ND < 0.0	;	0.5	ND <	0.05		0.1	ND < 0.05	ND < 0.05	ND < 0.05
EPA 360.2										
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	2	)	6.8		5.6		3.4	5.6	8.4	9.4

LT - Detected but not quantified at a concentration less than indicated value. ND - Not detected at stated detection limit. NT - Not Tested.

All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

(\*) Analysis conducted in excess of EPA holding time.

TABLE 2. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: INTERMEDIATE SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # DATE	8930CSIM 08/01/89	89090742 09/07/89	8910CSIT 10/05/89	89451126 11/02/89	89490018 12/05/89	90010312 01/03/90	90013110 01/31/90
TEST METHOD/COMPOUNDS  EPA 8020 Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes Chlorobenzene	79 61 2.6 10.0 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 NT	1.7 NO < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	NT NT NT NT NT	ND < 0.2 1.8 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 NT	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 NT	ND < 0.2 4.0 ND < 0.2 2.6 NT
1,3-Dichlorobenzene All other 8020 compounds EPA 8015 TPH (Gasoline)	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	NT NT	NT ND < 50	NT NT	NT ND < 50	NT ND < 50	NT
Methylene chloride 1,1-dichloroethane Chloroform 1,1,1-trichloroethane 1,2-dichloroethane Trichloroethene Tetrachloroethene Chlorobenzene Bromoform 1,3-dichlorobenzene All other 8010 compounds	MD < 0.5 ND < 0.5 5.6 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 4.7 ND < 0.5 6.2 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 3.8 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 3.6 ND < 0.5 6.3 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	NT NT NT NT NT NT NT NT NT

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit.

NT - Not Tested. All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

TABLE 3. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: EFFLUENT SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # DATE TOTAL FLOW (THOUSAND GALLONS		08/0	OCSEF 01/89 120.6		09/	90740 07/89 566.4		10/	0CSEF '05/89 424.0			51127 /02/89			90019 05/89			10313 03/90			10314 03/90			13111 31/90		13112 31/90
AVERAGE FLOW (GPM)		-	30.0			27.1		•	21.3			-			•			-			•			•		-
TEST METHOD/COMPOUNDS	====	===	=====	====	ZZZ	======	====	222	********		===		22222	==	======	2222	22:	======		222	======	2222	===	======		=====
EPA 8020																										
8enzene	ND	~	0.2	ND	<	0.2	ML	<	0,2	ND		0.2	ND	•	0.2	ND		0.2	MD	· <	0.2			1.3	ND <	0.2
Toluene	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	146	-	0.7	ND		0.2	NO	•	1.2			0.2		· <	0.2			1.5	י טוו	0.2
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	МГ	<	0.2	ND		0.2	ND	<	0.2	ND		0.2		· ~	0.2	ND	<	0.2	ND <	0.2
Xvlenes	ND		0.2	ND		0.2		· <	0.2	ND		0.2		<	0.2	ND	<	0.2		· <	0.2		_	0.6		0.6
Diphenylhydrazine	ND	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2	NE		0.2	NT		*	NT			NT			NT			NT			NT	
All other 8020 compounds	ND	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2	NE		0.2	NT			NT			NT			NT			NT			NT	
EPA 8015																										
TPH (Gasoline)	ND	<	50	ND	<	50	NC	<	50	ND	<	50	ND	<	50	ND	<	50	ND	<	50	ND	<	50		70
EPA 8010																										
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	NT	
1,1-dichlorethene	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND		0.5	ND		Ö.5	ND		0.5	ND		0.5		•	0.5	ND		0.5	NT	
Methylene chloride	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	-		0.53	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	NT	
1,1-dichloroethane	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	NT	
Chloroform	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5		<	0.5			1.5			1.6			0.5		<	0.5			3.9	NT	
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	≺	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	NT	
1,2-dichloroethane			0.7			1.1			1.7			2.8			3.0			3.3			3.5			5.1	NT	
Trichloroethene	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5		<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND		0.5	ND	<	0.5		<	0.5	ND		0.5	NT	
Tetrachloroethene	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND	<	0.5		<	0.5	ND	<	0.5		<	0.5	ND		0.5	NT	
All other 8010 compounds	ND			ND			ND			ND			ND			ND			ND	l		ND			NT	
EPA 360.2																								_		
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)			1.0			1.9			1.3			1.8			5.3			2.6	NT					7	NT	
EPA 504																										
Ethylene dibromide	ND	<	0.02	ND	<	0.02	NO	<	0.02	ND	<	0.01	ND	<	0.02			0.04			0.05		- 1	0.4(*)	NT	
Standard Method 408E																										
Residual chlorine (mg/l)	ND	<	0.05	ND	<	0.05	NO	<	0.05	ND	<	0.05	ND	<	0.05	ND	<	0.05	NT			ND	<	0.05	NT	

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit.

NT - Not Tested.
All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.
(\*) Analysis conducted in excess of EPA holding times.

### Appendix

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR TREATMENT SYSTEM SAMPLES

### PACE. laboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945 February 28, 1990

PACE Project

Number: 400131501B

PACE WP Number: WPPLAB 1227

Attn: Mr. David Leland

	PRP HLA#09382,039.02			In fluent	Inter
	PACE Sample Number:			712470	712480
	Date Collected:			01/31/90	
	Date Received:	Unda.	MEST	01/31/90 90013109	01/31/90 90013110
	Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MDL	90013109	90013110
	INORGANIC ANALYSIS				•
	INDIVIDUAL PARAMETERS				
	Chlorine, Total Residual	mg/L	0.05	ND O 4	-
	Oxygen, Dissolved	mg/L	0.1	9.4	-
	ORGANIC ANALYSIS				
	PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS				
	TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):			-	-
	Total Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):	mg/L	0.05	0.07	ND _
	Benzene	mg/L	0.0002	0.0070	- ND
-	Ethylbenzene	mg/L	0.0002		ND
	Toluene	mg/L	0.0002	0.0041	0.0040
	Xylenes, Total	mg/L	0.0002	0.020	0.0026
	HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010				
	Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	_
	Chloromethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	**
	Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	2.0	ND	-
	Bromomethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	-
	Chloroethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	-
	Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	ug/L	2.0	ND	-
	1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
	Methylene Chloride	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
	1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	0.5	ND 2.7	-
	Chloroform	ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5	ND	_
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	uy/ L	0.5	110	_
	Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
	1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L	0.5	6.0	-
	Trichloroethene (TCE)	ug/L	0.5	ND	-

MDL ND Method Detection Limit

Not detected at or above the MDL.

# PACE. laboratories.inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California

Asheboro, North Carolina

Mr. David Leland Page 3 February 28, 1990 PACE Project

Number: 400131501B

PRP HLA#09382.039.02

PRP HLA#U9382,U39.U2				
PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MDL	712470 01/31/90 01/31/90 90013109	712480 01/31/90 01/31/90 90013110
ORGANIC ANALYSIS				
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND ND	-
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L ug/L	0.5	ND	-
Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethane Chlorobenzene Bromoform 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND ND	- - - -
1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene Bromochloromethane (Surrogate Recovery) 1,4-Dichlorobutane (Surrogate Recovery)	ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5	ND ND 95% 106%	- - -
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB) EPA METHOD 504 1,2-Dibromoethane Date Extracted	ug/L	0.01	0.9 2/20/90(*)	<u>-</u>

MDL Method Detection Limit

ND Not detected at or above the MDL.

(\*) Analysis conducted in excess of EPA holding times.

# PACE. laboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

Mr. David Leland Page

February 28, 1990

PACE Project

Number: 400131501B

PRP HLA#09382,039.02

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MDL	712490 01/31/90 01/31/90 90013111	712500 01/31/90 01/31/90 90013112
ORGANIC ANALYSIS				
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010				
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	0.5	ND	_
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND .	-
Bromoform	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	<b>-</b>
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	_ `.
Bromochloromethane (Surrogate Recovery)	~5, <u> </u>		106%	_
1,4-Dichlorobutane (Surrogate Recovery)			110%	-
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB) EPA METHOD 504				
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L	0.01	0.4	_
Date Extracted	ug/ L	J. 01	2/20/90(*)	_
Dute Endiuoted			_,, _ (	

Method Detection Limit MDL

Not detected at or above the MDL. ND

Analysis conducted in excess of EPA holding times. (\*)

## **PACE**, laboratories, nc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

Mr. David Leland Page 5

PRP HLA#09382,039.02

February 28, 1990 PACE Project Number: 400131501B

The data contained in this report were obtained using EPA or other approved methodologies. All analyses were performed by me or under my direct supervision.

Xtephen Nackuf Stephen F. Nackord

Director, Sampling and Analytical Services

Stee Macked for Douglas E. Oram, Ph.D.

Organic Chemistry Manager

## PACC. aboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:
Minneapolis, Minnesota
Tampa, Florida
Coralville, Iowa
Novato, California
Leawood, Kansas
Irvine, California
Asheboro, North Carolina

February 28, 1990

Mr. David Leland Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945

RE: PACE Project No. 400131.501B

PRP HLA#09382,039.02

Dear Mr. Leland:

Enclosed is the report of laboratory analyses for samples received January 31, 1990.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Stephen Nackord
Stephen F. Nackord

Director, Sampling and Analytical Services

**Enclosures** 

ا ا N	ob am roj	Ni e/	P.C No. 41! Tel	D. Bo evato, 5/89: lecop <b>b</b> 6	x 611 , Cali 2-082 by: 41 ) F: .	07 forni 21 15/8(	949 92-15	148 186 13	_	A,c	0 34	_						<b>)</b>	- -	Sa 	mţ	ole 	rs	: <i>E</i>	2:1	STODY FORM  Il Felto, Pac Escay  Val 1 La  reture Required)	<del>-</del>	Lat. M-Q⊗	STYE		AI	NAL		2	(FOR) SIR-VIO		TED		
20100	CODE		Water W	TR Soil	ix Oil	Î	T	HNO.	HCL	RV.		۲r	, }.	OF LA JMI	BER			Yr	T.	Лo	D <sub>A</sub>		Ťi	me		STATION DESCRIPTION/ NOTES		EPA 601/6010>	EPA 602/6020	EPA 624/8240	Priority Plitnt. Metals	Benzene/Toluene/Xylene	Total Petrol. Hydrocarb	FAR BOYC	30%	horine		C15/	
	3 - 3		X X X				3				9 9	0	0 0 0 0	i .	3131	1	0	90	0	1	3 3 3	1/	666	14	6/3/7	712.47 (GH ZGL) 48 49 (GT ZGL) 50			XXXX			7		X	V				
	Yr		LAE JME	BER	eq			PT IN EE1		CO MTI CD	D	CC	A DE		-		M	SCE	LL.	AN	EOL	ıs	_			CHAIN Ö	F C	UST	OD		1.1.1.			<i>y</i>				1	

ļ	L NU	.AB MBE	R			EPT IN		MT	D		DA ODE	:	MISCELLANEOUS	CHAIN O	F CUST	ODY RECORD		•
Yr	Wk		Seq		1	EE	T T	C		<u> </u>	T	,		RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	ŖECEI	VED BY: (Signature)	DATE/	TIME
	-	-			+	-	+	H	+	,	+	H		RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	BECEL	VED BY: (Signature)	DATE/	TIME
		$\Box$					Ţ				1			RELINGUISHED BY. Josyllacules	MECE.	V C.D. D. T. (O/gractore)		
	$\vdash$		+	H	$\dashv$	•	+	H	$\dashv$	- -	+	H		RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEI	VED BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME
							1			1				RELINQUISHED BY: (Signatura)	RECEI	VED BY: (Signature)	DATE/	TIME
			<u> </u>		;	-	$\frac{1}{1}$		$\dashv$	$\frac{1}{1}$	+			DISPATCHED BY: (Signature) DATE	/TIME	RECEIVED FOR LAB BY:	DATE	
				$\square$		_	1		$\downarrow$	7	$\bot$			METHOD OF SHIPMENT		Michelle Care	1-31	6:25
Ų,				ليلإ			<u> Т</u>				┸	<u>L</u>		Code of Ble ice				Č. C.

### **DISTRIBUTION**

# REPORT OF SYSTEM MONITORING FEBRUARY 1990 DEWATERING EFFLUENT TREATMENT SYSTEM CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA March 15, 1990

Copy No. 4

		Copy No.
l copy:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1800 Harrison Street, Suite 700 Oakland, California 94607	1
	Attention: Mr. Don Dalke	
2 copies:	City of Oakland Redevelopment Agency One City Hall Plaza Oakland, California 94612	2-3
	Attention: Mr. Peter Chen	
1 copy:	Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621	4
	Attention: Mr. Lowell Miller	
l copy:	Job File	5
1 copy:	QC/Bound Report File	6
* OTT/PET /	THE OTTER D	

LOH/DFL/ld/LOH750-R

QUALITY CONTROL REVIEWER

Jamara L. Williams Geologist - 3954