

## Khatri, Paresh, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** RO0000036 VEND MART PROPERTY  
**Entry Type:** Phone call

**Start:** Wed 4/2/2008 3:02 PM  
**End:** Wed 4/2/2008 3:32 PM  
**Duration:** 30 minutes

**Categories:** Phone Calls

I received a call from Robert Moody regarding our most recent correspondence. He was under the impression that no further work was necessary and that a closure letter should be forthcoming. He also wanted to convey that, in his opinion, he does not qualify as an RP for the site. Mr. Moody stated that the former owners Mr. & Mrs. Leo Macias owned the property when the UST was removed and the monitoring well was installed and that they are the RPs. The Macias also took legal responsibility for USTs in an escrow agreement, according to Mr. Moody. I informed him that by owning the property, he is also a legal RP.

Mr. Moody also stated that he is very upset with the letter and does not wish to "spend another penny" for the UST investigation. I explained that the most recent submittal to ACEH was not a report, but a work plan to conduct site assessment. He said that all Gribi said was that he will need another \$500 for a submittal the County requested. I explained that Gribi had submitted a work plan and my letter was a conditional letter approving the work. I explained that we could not issue a closure letter since the extent of the contamination was not defined. Once the site is characterized, site closure may be considered.

I also provided Mr. Moody with information regarding the UST Cleanup Fund. I informed him that he should try to apply to the Fund to obtain reimbursement for costs incurred to date. If he doesn't qualify for the fund, the Macias' may qualify. I mentioned to him that he may attempt to recover cleanup costs by pursuing civil action against the RP.

Mr. Moody said he would keep apprised of the situation.