

Friday, August 24, 2018

Karel Detterman, PG Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

Re: Updated Site Summary Report

Lennar Multifamily Communities (LMC) 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California Site Cleanup Program Case RO0003229 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099

Dear Ms. Detterman:

You will find enclosed one copy of the following document prepared by Apex Companies, LLC for the subject site:

• Updated Site Summary Report dated August 24, 2018

I have read and acknowledge the content, recommendations and/or conclusions contained in the attached document or report submitted on my behalf to the State Water Board's GeoTracker website. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 975-4991.

Sincerely:

DocuSigned by: Tyler Wood

Tyler Wood Development Director Lennar Multifamily Communities

cc: Electronic File, GeoTrackerMs. Dilan Roe, ACDEH (Sent via E-mail to: dilan.roe@acgov.org)Ms. Ivy Inouye, Apex Companies (Sent via E-mail to: Ivy.Inouye@apexcos.com)

MAKE IT YOURS

UPDATED SITE SUMMARY REPORT

Proposed Multifamily Development 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, California

APNs 008-625-016, 008-625-017; 008-625-018; and 008-625-002-1

> VRAP Case No. RO0003229 SCP No. RO0002672

> > 093-LMC-001

Prepared For:

Lennar Multifamily Communities, LLC 492 9th Street, Suite 300 Oakland, California 94607

Prepared By:



3478 Buskirk Avenue, Suite 100 Pleasant Hill, California 94523

August 24, 2018

stelyn

Katelyn Lazar Staff Geologişt

Casev Huff Senior State Geologist

PAISH. Ivy Inouve Senior Toxicologist No. 7806 Exp. 5/31 Paisha Jorgensen, P.G. OFCAN **Principal Geologist**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

					PAGE
LIST	OF FIG	URES			iv
LIST	OF TAE	BLES			iv
LIST	OF APP	PENDIC	ES		iv
ACR	ONYMS				v
4.0					
1.0					
	1.1	-			
	1.2	•	•	ework	
	1.3	Organ	ization of th	e Report	1-2
2.0	SITE	DESCR	IPTION AN	D ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	2-1
-	2.1				
		2.1.1		Site Land Use	
		2.1.2		nd Future Site Land Use	
		2.1.3		and Current Land Use in Site Vicinity	
			2.1.3.1	1721 Webster Street, Douglas Parking Company Site	
			2.1.3.2	1700-1710 Webster Street (APN 008-625-014-1),	
				Mixed-Use Redevelopment Site	2-3
			2.1.3.3	1633 Harrison Street, Former Chevron Service	
				Station 9-0020	2-4
	2.2	Topog	raphy, Surf	ace Water, and Drainage	2-4
	2.3			al Geology and Hydrogeology	
	2.4			eficial Use	
3.0				TICATIONS	0.4
3.0	3.1			TIGATIONS	3-1
	5.1			UST Closure Report, 1833 Harrison Street (currently 19 th Street)	2.1
	3.2	Site C	loopup Prov	gram (SCP) Number RO0002672	۱-د د د
	5.2	3.2.1		93, Geophysical Survey and Soil and Groundwater	
		J.Z. I	Investigati	ion, 1750 Webster Street and 301 19 th Street	3_2
		3.2.2	May 1003	, Geophysical Survey and Soil Investigation, 1750	
		5.2.2		Street	3_3
		3.2.3		1998, Geophysical Survey and Soil and Groundwater	
		0.2.0		ion, 1750 Webster Street	3-3
		3.2.4	0	1998, Risk-Based Corrective Action Assessment, 1750	0-0
		0.2.4		Street	3-4
		3.2.5	Anril and	August 1998, Groundwater Well Installation and	
		0.2.0		g Report, 1750 Webster Street	3-4
		3.2.6		r 1998, Groundwater Monitoring Report, 1750 Webster	
		0.2.0			3-5



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		3.2.7	February 1999, Groundwater Monitoring Report, 1750 Webster Street	25
		3.2.8	February 2000, ACHCSA Summary of File Review, 1750 Webster	
			Street	3-6
	3.3	Volunta	ary Remediation Action Program (VRAP) Number RO0003229	3-6
		3.3.1	October 2015, Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site	
			Assessment, 1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street	3-7
		3.3.2	December 2015, Additional Phase II Environmental Site	
			Assessment, 1750 Webster Street.	3-8
		3.3.3	February 2016, Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, 1810	2.0
		224	Webster Street February 2016, Soil Gas Survey, 1750 Webster Street, 1810	3-9
		3.3.4	Webster Street, and 301 19 th Street	3_10
		3.3.5	July 2016, Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment,	
		0.0.0	301 19 th Street	
		3.3.6	August 2016, Additional Soil Gas Survey, 1750 Webster Street,	
			1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street	3-10
		3.3.7	October 2016, Groundwater Monitoring Report, 1700-1710	
			Webster Street, 1721 Webster Street, and 1750 Webster Street	3-11
		3.3.8	February 2017, Soil Management Plan, 1750 Webster Street, 1810	
			Webster Street, and 301 19 th Street	3-12
		3.3.9	May 2017, Additional Soil Gas Survey, 1750 Webster Street, 1810	0.40
		0 0 4 0	Webster Street, and 301 19 th Street.	3-13
		3.3.10	May 2017, Evaluation of Lead Impacts in Soil 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19 th Street	2 1 2
		3311	October 2017, Construction Soil and Groundwater Management	
		5.5.11	Plan, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19 th	
			Street	
		3.3.12	January 2018, Groundwater Sampling and Well Destruction	
			Report, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19 th	
			Street	3-14
		3.3.13	April 2018, Tank Closure Report, 1750 Webster Street, 1810	
			Webster Street, and 301 19th Street	3-15
4.0				
4.0			PTUAL MODEL ure Setting and Land Use	
	4.1		eology and Hydrogeology	
	4.3		ial Sources	
	4.4		and Extent of COPCs	
	-	4.4.1	Soil	
		4.4.2	Soil Vapor	
		4.4.3	Groundwater	4-5



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	4.5	Chemical Release Mechanisms and Identification of Transport Media4.5.1 Volatilization of Chemical Vapors4.5.2 Emission of Fugitive Dust	4-8 4-8
		4.5.3 Lateral Migration of Groundwater into Offsite Surface Water	
		4.5.4 Stormwater Runoff	
	4.6	Potential Human Receptors	
	4.7	Potential Exposure Points	
	4.8	Exposure Pathways Considered Potentially Complete and Significant	4-10
		4.8.1 Hypothetical Onsite Construction Worker Receptor	4-10
		4.8.2 Hypothetical Onsite Commercial/Retail Worker Receptor	4-10
		4.8.3 Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor	4-10
5.0	SUMM	ARY AND CONCLUSIONS	5-1
6.0	REFER	RENCES	6-1
7.0	LIMITA	TIONS	7-1



LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1-1 Site Location Map
- Figure 1-2 Site Vicinity Map
- Figure 1-3 Site Plan
- Figure 2-1 Cross-Section A-A'
- Figure 2-2 Cross-Section B-B'
- Figure 2-3 Groundwater Elevation Map October 2016
- Figure 3-1 TPHg Concentrations in Groundwater
- Figure 3-2 Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater
- Figure 3-3 Ethylbenzene Concentrations in Groundwater
- Figure 3-4 PCE Concentrations in Groundwater

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2-1
 Summary of Historical Depth to Water and Groundwater Elevation Data
- Table 2-2
 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results for Metals
- Table 2-3
 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results for TPH and VOCs
- Table 2-4
 Summary of Historical Soil Vapor Sample Analytical Results for TPHg and VOCs
- Table 2-5
 Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results for Metals
- Table 2-6
 Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results for TPH and VOCs

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A ACDEH Letter Titled: Site Cleanup Program Case RO0003229 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099, Lennar Multifamily Communities, 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, CA 94612, Dated October 24, 2017
- Appendix B Site Conceptual Model
- Appendix C Lead Soil Boring Locations
- Appendix D GrafCon and Apex Letter Titled: Sufficiency of Vapor Mitigation, Alameda County Cleanup Case No. RO0003229; 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, CA, Dated March 9, 2018



ACRONYMS

%	percent
mg/kg	milligram per kilogram
μg/L	microgram per liter
μg/m³	microgram per cubic meter
ACDEH	Alameda County Department of Environmental Health
ACHCSA	Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
ACM	asbestos-containing material
AGI	Applied Geosciences, Inc.
Apex	Apex Companies, LLC
APN	Assessor's Parcel Number
ATC	ATC Associates Inc.
bgs	below ground surface
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
COPC	chemical of potential concern
CRA	Conestoga-Rovers & Associates
CSM	conceptual site model
CUPA	Certified Unified Program Agency
DCA	dichlorothane
DCE	dichloroethene
DRO	diesel range organics
EBMUD	East Bay Municipal Utility District
ESA	Environmental Site Assessment
ESL	Environmental Screening Level
GeoDesign	GeoDesign Inc.
GeoSolve	GeoSolve, Inc.
GPR	ground penetrating radar
GRO	gasoline range organics
HVOC	halogenated volatile organic compound
JMM	James M. Montgomery, Consulting Engineers, Inc.
LMC	Lennar Multifamily Communities, LLC
LOP	Local Oversight Program
LTCP	Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Closure Policy
LUC	Land Use Covenant
LUST	Leaking Underground Storage Tank
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MSL	mean sea level
MTBE	methyl tert-butyl ether



ACRONYMS

OFD	Oakland Fire Department
PAH	polyaromatic hydrocarbon
Pangea	Pangea Environmental Services, Inc.
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCE	tetrachloroethene
Q1	first quarter
Q2	second quarter
Q3	third quarter
Q4	fourth quarter
RBCA	Risk Based Corrective Action
REC	Recognized Environmental Condition
RL	reporting limit
RRO	residual range organics
RWQCB-SF	Regional Water Quality Control Board-San Francisco Bay Region
SCM	Site Conceptual Model
SCP	Site Cleanup Program
SGMP	Soil and Groundwater Management Plan
SMP	Site Management Plan
SSTL	Site Specific Target Levels
STLC	soluble threshold limit concentration
SVE	soil vapor extraction
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TCE TCLP TEPHd TEPHmo TMB TPH TPHd TPHd TPHg TRPH	trichloroethene toxicity characteristic leaching procedure total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil trimethylbenzene total petroleum hydrocarbons total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
UST	underground storage tank
VCAA	Voluntary Cleanup Action Agreement
Versar	Versar Inc
VOC	volatile organic compound
VRAP	Voluntary Remediation Action Program



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Apex Companies, LLC (Apex) has prepared this *Updated Site Summary Report* (Report) for the Lennar Multifamily Communities, LLC (LMC) redevelopment project located at 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street in Oakland, California (the Project Site or Site; Figure 1-1). This Project Site is comprised of four contiguous parcels: Alameda County Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) 008-625-016, 008-625-017, 008-625-018, and 008-625-002-1 (Figures 1-2 and 1-3).

Previous investigations, which have been conducted at the Site since 1991, have reported the presence of lead in soil and petroleum-related compounds and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater. Therefore, prior to development, the following Site corrective actions were planned at the Site:

- Capping lead-impacted soil on Site beneath the building foundation;
- Installation of a vapor mitigation barrier in the subgrade portion of the elevator shafts; and
- Installation of trench plugs in utility trenches, where required, to prevent vapor migration.

These corrective actions will be documented in the Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan Compliance Report, Vapor Barrier and Utility Trench Dam Record Report of Construction, Long-Term Site Management Plan (SMP), and Land Use Covenant (LUC). Prior to building occupancy, these reports will be prepared and submitted to Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) for review and approval.

1.1 Objective

The objective of this Report is to summarize previous Site investigations and describe current environmental conditions at the Site to support Site closure activities and corrective actions.

1.2 Regulatory Framework

ACDEH Local Oversight Program for Hazardous Materials Releases (LOP) is the lead regulatory oversight agency for the environmental investigation and cleanup actions at the Site. A Site Cleanup Program (SCP) Number RO0002672 was historically associated with the Site in conjunction with a previously proposed redevelopment project as an aboveground, open-air parking structure which lasted from 1993 to 2000, but was not constructed. In a letter dated February 16, 2000, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA), reviewed the file for the property at 1750 Webster Street in Oakland, California and determined "...groundwater pollution detected beneath the subject property [1750 Webster Street] is likely the result of the migration of pollutants in groundwater from upgradient sites." In this letter ACHCSA closed the case with specific conditions, which are described in Section 3.2.8.



In October 2016, LMC proposed a multifamily redevelopment plan for 1750 Webster Street (APN 008-625-016 and APN 008-625-017), 1801 Webster Street (APN 008-625-018), and 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1) for mixed commercial and residential land use. Due to the closure conditions specified by ACHCSA (Section 3.2.8), Site conditions must be re-evaluated for land use changes. Therefore, LMC entered into a Voluntary Cleanup Action Agreement (VCAA) with ACDEH under Voluntary Remediation Action Program (VRAP) Number RO0003229.

Under this VCAA, additional Site investigations of soil, soil vapor, and groundwater were conducted. In a letter dated October 24, 2017, ACDEH conditionally approved the corrective actions and soil and groundwater management activities presented in the *Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan* (SGMP; GrafCon, 2017). According to the ACDEH letter dated October 24, 2017 (Appendix A), "case closure will be granted following completion and [ACDEH] approval of the technical reports and completion of corrective actions."

A record of environmental conditions at the Site (i.e., regulatory directives and correspondence, Site documents, and analytical data) may be obtained through a review of the case files for RO0002672 at ACDEH's website <u>http://www.acgov.org/aceh/index.htm</u> and RO0003229 at State Water Resources Control Board's (SWRCB's) GeoTracker website <u>https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov</u> (GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099).

1.3 Organization of the Report

This Report, which summarizes site background, site conditions, and findings of previous activities, consists of the following sections:

- Section 1.0 Provides the objective of this Report, the regulatory framework, and the organization of this Report.
- Section 2.0 Presents a description of the Site, historical, current, and future land use, surface features, regional geology and hydrogeology, and surrounding area land use.
- Section 3.0 Presents a summary of previous soil, soil vapor, and groundwater investigation activities.
- Section 4.0 Presents an interpretation of the hydrogeologic conditions and distribution of contaminants in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater beneath the Site (i.e., site conceptual model).
- Section 5.0 Presents a brief summary of the report and conclusions.

References and limitations are provided in Sections 6.0 and 7.0, respectively.



2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.1 Site Description

LMC is redeveloping four contiguous parcels within downtown Oakland, California (Figures 1-2 and 1-3), at the following addresses:

- 1750 Webster Street (APN 008-625-016 and APN 008-625-017);
- 1810 Webster Street (APN 008-625-018); and
- 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1).

The Site is bounded by 19th Street to the northeast, Harrison Street to the southeast, commercial property to the southwest, and Webster Street to the northwest. The LMC redevelopment project includes: (1) demolition of the existing asphalt parking lot; (2) grading and soil excavation for utilities, elevator shafts, and foundations; and (3) construction of a seven-story mixed-use commercial/retail and multi-family residential building, with the ground level consisting of building lobby, parking, retail, commercial, and mechanical/utility spaces (Figure 1-3). Multi-family residential use will be limited to upper levels of the building.

2.1.1 Historical Site Land Use

Based on available aerial photographs from 1982 to 2012 and Sanborn maps from 1889 to 1969, as presented in the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I ESA; GeoSolve, Inc.[GeoSolve], 2015a), several uses were identified at the properties which comprise the Site.

- 1750 Webster Street (APN 008-625-016 and APN 008-625-017)
 - Year 1889: both APNs vacant lot
 - Year 1903: APN 008-625-016 vacant lot, APN 008-625-017 single-family residence
 - Year 1911: both APNs single-family residences
 - o Year 1950: APN 008-625-016 single-family residence, APN 008-625-017 parking
 - Year 1964: both APNs parking
- 1810 Webster Street (APN 008-625-018)
 - Year 1889: single-family residence
 - Year 1950: parking
- 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1)
 - Year 1889: single-family residences
 - o Year 1939: a three-pointed star shaped structure/building
 - Year 1946: a circular structure/building
 - o Year 1964: parking



According to the Phase I ESA (GeoSolve, 2015a), in 1943, a former gasoline service station (Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station) was located at 1839 Harrison Street (APN 008-625-004). This address is located on the adjacent parcel, southeast of 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1). In addition, the facility at 19th Street and Harrison Street was listed on the SWEEPS UST, HIST UST, and CA FID UST databases for the former presence of a 5,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank (UST) and a 550-gallon waste oil UST. In 1991, the 5,000-gallon UST and a two stage wash-rack water clarifier were removed. A second UST (possibly a 550-gallon waste oil UST) was not discovered at the Site. The facility at 19th Street and Harrison Street was likely associated with the Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station listed at 1839 Harrison Street (GeoSolve, 2015a).

Years listed above indicate when land use changed based on historical aerial photograph or Sanborn map (GeoSolve, 2015a).

2.1.2 Current and Future Site Land Use

LMC is redeveloping the Site to include a seven-story mixed-use commercial/retail and multi-family residential building. In the future, the entire Site will be covered by the proposed building foundation. The ground level of the building will include building lobby, parking, retail, commercial, and mechanical/utility areas (Figure 1-3). Upper levels of the building will include residential use. Two elevators will be constructed to service the building occupants (Figure 1-3).

2.1.3 Historical and Current Land Use in Site Vicinity

Historical and current land use in the Site vicinity are mixed-use commercial/retail and multi-family residential (Figure 1-2). The Site is bounded to the northeast by 19th Street and commercial/retail beyond which is a 17-story commercial building (1901 Harrison Street), to the southeast by Harrison Street beyond which is a 25-story commercial building (1800 Harrison Street), to the southwest by a parking lot and multi-level building of multi-family residential and commercial/retail beyond which is a property undergoing redevelopment into a multi-level building of multi-family residential and commercial (1700-1710 Webster Street), and to the northwest by Webster Street beyond which is a parking lot and commercial/retail (1721 Webster Street). A neighborhood park, Snow Park, is located east of the Site. Beyond the park, in the downgradient direction from the Site, is Lake Merritt.

Based on a review of historical documents available on the SWRCB GeoTracker website, a Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Cleanup Site and two Cleanup Program Sites are located upgradient of the Site. The Site and general locations of the three nearby cleanup sites are shown on Figure 1-2 and described in the following sections.

2.1.3.1 1721 Webster Street, Douglas Parking Company Site

The Douglas Parking Company site is located upgradient of the Project Site towards the southwest, across Webster Street (Figure 1-2). The Douglas Parking Company site was used as an automotive fueling facility from approximately 1925 through 1992. In 1992, three gasoline USTs (one 1,000-gallon and two 500-gallon) and associated dispensers and piping were removed from the



Douglas Parking Company site. Elevated concentrations of gasoline-range hydrocarbons and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) were identified in soil and groundwater beneath the former USTs. Currently, the Douglas Parking Company site is being redeveloped.

Under ACDEH oversight, Pangea Environmental Services, Inc. (Pangea) performed site investigations, installed groundwater monitoring wells and soil vapor probes, and conducted groundwater and soil vapor monitoring. According to Pangea's *Soil Gas Sampling Report and Updated Conceptual Site Model (CSM)*, dated June 19, 2017, depth to groundwater has ranged from approximately 14 to 24 feet below ground surface (bgs) and groundwater generally flows north to northeast (Pangea, 2017a). Groundwater beneath the Douglas Parking Company site flows toward the Project Site. On October 26, 2017, Pangea destroyed 12 groundwater monitoring, air sparge, and soil gas wells at the Douglas Parking Company site (Pangea, 2017b). According to GeoTracker, the Douglas Parking Company is a LUST Cleanup Site, with a status of "completed – case closed as of 5/10/2018." Holland Partner Group (Holland) has entered into a VRAA (RO0003268) with ACDEH to provide regulatory oversight of redevelopment of the former Douglas Parking Garage.

2.1.3.2 1700-1710 Webster Street (APN 008-625-014-1), Mixed-Use Redevelopment Site

The property at 1700-1710 Webster Street is located upgradient of the Project Site towards the southwest (Figure 1-2). The property is approximately 0.56 acre in size. Historically, 1700-1710 Webster Street were first developed as residential property. By 1933, an automobile service station operated in the southwest corner of the property. By 1957, a car wash operated in the northeast corner of the property. By 1965, a two-story commercial building covered the entire property. In January 2017, this building was demolished and construction began on a multi-level mixed-use (commercial/residential) building.

Under Regional Water Quality Control Board-San Francisco Bay Region (RWQCB-SF) oversight, GeoDesign Inc. (GeoDesign) performed site investigations to assess the soil, soil vapor, and groundwater impacts. Elevated levels of petroleum hydrocarbons were identified in soil and groundwater and halogenated volatile organic compounds (HVOCs) were identified in groundwater and soil vapor. According to GeoDesign's *Groundwater Monitoring Report: October 2016*, dated November 14, 2016, depth to groundwater has ranged from approximately 20 to 23 feet bgs and groundwater generally flows to the north with occasional northwesterly and northeasterly fluctuations (GeoDesign, 2016). Groundwater beneath 1700-1710 Webster Street property flows toward the Project Site. Based on GeoDesign's response to comments on the *Groundwater Monitoring Report: October 2016* (GeoDesign, 2016), the four groundwater monitoring wells at 1700-1710 Webster Street were proposed for decommissioning prior to construction activities. According to GeoTracker, the 1700-1710 Webster Street property is a Cleanup Program Site, with a status of "open – remediation as of 4/15/2017."



2.1.3.3 1633 Harrison Street, Former Chevron Service Station 9-0020

The former Chevron Service Station is located upgradient of the Project Site to the south across 17th Street, on the southwest corner of the intersection of Harrison Street and 17th Street (Figure 1-2). Chevron operated a service station on the property until 1972. Between 1972 and 1975, the station building, two dispenser islands, one waste oil UST, and two gasoline USTs were removed. After December 1, 1975, it was used as a parking lot. In 2012, the property was redeveloped as a multi-level senior housing facility.

Since 1988, various consultants have performed site investigations to assess the soil, soil vapor, and groundwater. Elevated levels of petroleum hydrocarbons were identified in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater. Limited soil excavation was conducted in January 1992. A soil vapor extraction (SVE) system operated onsite in 1993, but showed minimal effectiveness. Between 1992 and 2011, approximately 1,414 cubic yards of hydrocarbon impacted soil were removed during remedial excavations and site redevelopment. Prior to decommissioning the groundwater monitoring wells at the former Chevron Service Station, depth to groundwater ranged from approximately 16 to 22 feet bgs and groundwater generally flowed to the east-northeast (Conestoga-Rovers & Associates [CRA], 2014). The former Chevron Service Station was evaluated for closure consistent with the SWRCB Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Closure Policy (LTCP). On January 27, 2015, ACDEH issued a case closure letter. According to GeoTracker, the former Chevron Service Station is a LUST Cleanup Site, with a status of "completed – case closed as of 1/27/2015."

2.2 Topography, Surface Water, and Drainage

Topography at the Site slopes gradually to the northeast toward Lake Merritt (nearest surface water body), which is located approximately 800 feet northeast of the Site (Figure 1-1). The Site is relatively flat. The distance to the Oakland Inner Harbor, which leads into the San Francisco Bay, is approximately one mile southwest of the Site at its nearest point.

2.3 Regional and Local Geology and Hydrogeology

The Site is located within the Coast Range Geomorphic Province, an area characterized by northnorthwest-trending mountain ranges and valleys formed by oblique compression along the San Andreas fault and associated strike-slip faults. The active trace of the right-lateral Hayward Fault is situated approximately 3.4 miles northeast of the Site.

The Site is primarily underlain by surficial fill materials, beach and dune sand deposits of the Late Pleistocene Merritt Sand, and sand-silt-clay Bay Mud deposits (Helley and Lajoie, 1979). Surficial fill materials are observed intermittently from the surface to approximately 3 feet bgs. Loose, well-sorted to poorly-sorted sands with silt are interbedded with discontinuous, fine-grained silty clays that pinch out towards the west. Schematic geologic cross-sections, the locations of which are shown on Figure 1-3, are provided in Figures 2-1 and 2-2 and are based on logged soil data from previous Site investigations. These cross-section figures include ground-level development plans,



building foundations and footings, elevator shaft locations, subsurface utility locations, and select soil, soil vapor, and groundwater data.

Groundwater historically has been encountered at the Site at depths ranging from 18.81 to 21.65 feet bgs (Table 2-1). The most recent groundwater monitoring event coordinated for onsite and offsite wells was in October 2016 (GeoDesign, 2016). Subsequent to this monitoring event, the offsite wells were destroyed (Section 3.3.7). Onsite wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 were gauged and sampled in October 2017, prior to destruction in preparation for redevelopment of the Site (Section 3.3.12).

Since the October 2016 monitoring event provides the most recent groundwater monitoring event, where data for LMC's property at 1750 Webster Street and the offsite upgradient properties at 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street were collected on the same day, the October 2016 data were used in the preparation of figures illustrating the potentiometric surface (Figure 2-3). Groundwater elevations measured during the October 2016 groundwater monitoring event ranged from 5.88 feet above mean sea level (feet msl; well A-1) to 6.70 feet msl (well A-3) in Site monitoring wells with an onsite hydraulic gradient of 0.006 feet per foot (ft/ft). Local regional groundwater flow direction, based on October 2016 Site monitoring wells and nearby offsite monitoring wells associated with 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street, is to the northeast with a slightly steeper hydraulic gradient of 0.01 ft/ft. The October 2016 groundwater elevation data for onsite and offsite groundwater monitoring wells are provided in the *Groundwater Monitoring Report: October 2016* (GeoDesign, 2016). Figure 2-3 depicts the potentiometric surface map based on the October 2016 data.

2.4 Groundwater Beneficial Use

According to the *Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin* (Basin Plan), groundwater beneath the Site is part of the East Bay Plain Subbasin of the Santa Clara Valley Basin. The existing beneficial uses of this basin include municipal and domestic water supply, industrial process water supply, industrial service water supply, and agricultural water supply. East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) provides water for these uses to the Site and vicinity from Sierra Nevada-fed surface-water sources.



3.0 PREVIOUS SITE INVESTIGATIONS

This section describes the previous Site investigations, which have been conducted at one or more of the following addresses and APNs:

- 1750 Webster Street (APN 008-625-016 and APN 008-625-017);
- 1810 Webster Street (APN 008-625-018); and
- 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1).

The contiguous addresses and APNs referenced above constitute the Site (Figure 1-3). The Site investigation summaries are presented in chronological order and reference the address(es) included in the investigation. Based on available data, each summary includes date(s) of investigation, reason for sampling, analyses performed, and conclusions on status and source of residual chemicals. The soil, soil vapor, and groundwater sample locations, former UST locations, and ground level redevelopment plan are shown on Figure 1-3, with the analytical data presented in Tables 2-2 through 2-6.

3.1 September 1991, UST Closure Report, 1833 Harrison Street (currently referred to as 301 19th Street)

According to James M. Montgomery, Consulting Engineers, Inc. (JMM), in September 1991, a 5,000-gallon UST and a two-stage wash-rack water clarifier were removed from 1833 Harrison Street in Oakland, California (JMM, 1991). The UST, wash-rack water clarifier, and tank piping were observed to be in good structural condition. At the time, the property at 1833 Harrison Street operated as a daily/hourly parking facility with no active hydrocarbon or hazardous material storage. According to the Phase I ESA (GeoSolve, 2015a), in 1943, a former gasoline service station (Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station) was located on the adjacent parcel toward the southwest at 1839 Harrison Street (APN 008-625-004). The facility at 19th Street and Harrison Street was likely associated with the Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station and Oil Service Station listed at 1839 Harrison Street (GeoSolve, 2015a).

The soil surrounding the 5,000-gallon UST and clarifier did not appear to be affected by subsurface release of hydrocarbons. Select soil samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd) by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8015, BTEX by USEPA Method 8020, total lead by USEPA Method 7420, total oil and grease and total hydrocarbons by USEPA Method 5520D+F, and acid and base/neutral compounds (semivolatile organic compounds [SVOCs]) by USEPA Method 8270. Soil sample JM-01 was collected approximately 1 foot beneath the bottom of the southeast corner of the clarifier (approximately 5 feet bgs). No hydrocarbons or oil and grease were detected in the sample. Two soil samples (JM-04 and JM-05) were collected from the UST excavation, including one beneath each end of the former UST tank at approximately 15 feet bgs. One soil sample JM-06 was collected approximately 1-foot bgs at the location of the tank piping and gasoline dispenser



connections. A composite sample of the clarifier contents, JM-02, detected oil and grease, TPHd, and TPHg. The composite sample of the stockpile soil (JM-03) revealed no detectable concentrations of TPHd or BTEX. Lead was reported in the soil samples JM-04, JM-05, and JM-06 at concentrations of 4.4 milligram per kilogram (mg/kg), 10.6 mg/kg, and 8.8 mg/kg, respectively. These lead concentrations are representative of regional background concentrations of lead within the soil (JMM, 1991). Groundwater was not encountered at the Site during UST removal activities to an explored depth of approximately 18 feet bgs. No evidence of a subsurface release of hydrocarbons was found during the field activities; therefore, closure was recommended for the property at 1833 Harrison Street (JMM, 1991). This report also mentions that another smaller UST (possibly a 550-gallon waste oil tank) may be present on site. However, the smaller UST was not encountered during their field activities.

3.2 Site Cleanup Program (SCP) Number RO0002672

Site Cleanup Program (SCP) Number RO0002672 was opened in 1993 for the development of an aboveground, open-air parking structure. The parking garage was not constructed, and in February 2000 ACHCSA closed the case with specific conditions (Section 3.2.8). The site investigations described below were conducted at 1750 Webster Street (APN 008-625-016 and APN 008-625-017) and 301 19th Street, formerly known as 1833 Harrison Street (APN 008-625-002-1).

3.2.1 March 1993, Geophysical Survey and Soil and Groundwater Investigation, 1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street

Based on recommendations presented in the Environmental Assessment for Three Parcels Located in Oakland, California prepared by Applied Geosciences, Inc. (AGI, 1993a), a geophysical survey was conducted at the property located at 301 19th Street in March 1993. No USTs were identified by the geophysical survey. The presence of elevated gasoline concentrations beneath the offsite facility located at 1721 Webster Street and perceived groundwater flow direction indicated that groundwater beneath the property at 1750 Webster Street may have been impacted by the release at 1721 Webster Street (AGI, 1993a). Consequently, two grab groundwater samples (HP-1 and HP-2) were collected using a Hydropunch groundwater sampling device, from screened intervals of approximately 20.5 to 24.5 feet bgs (AGI, 1993b). The groundwater sample locations were located along the eastern and southeastern portions of 1750 Webster Street. Groundwater was encountered at approximately 19 feet bgs. The groundwater samples were analyzed for TPHg and BTEX by USEPA Method 8015. TPHg concentrations of up to 200,000 microgram per liter (µg/L) were Maximum detected concentrations of BTEX were 18,000 µg/L, detected in groundwater. 24,000 µg/L, 2,900 µg/L, and 13,000 µg/L, respectively. These maximum concentrations were all detected in the groundwater sample collected at HP-1. Lower concentrations were detected in the groundwater sample collected at HP-2. AGI recommended further subsurface investigations to evaluate the source of the gasoline and petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater (AGI, 1993b).



3.2.2 May 1993, Geophysical Survey and Soil Investigation, 1750 Webster Street

In May 1993, AGI conducted a follow-up geophysical survey and advanced four soil borings at the property located at 1750 Webster Street to further evaluate the likelihood that a source for petroleum hydrocarbons was present at the Site (AGI, 1993c). No USTs were identified by the geophysical survey. Four soil borings were advanced using a truck-mounted drill rig equipped with a 6-inch hollow-stem auger to a depth of approximately 20 feet bgs. Boring SB-1 was located near elevated gasoline concentrations detected in groundwater sample HP-1. Boring SB-2 was located in the area of a suspected former UST, in the vicinity of an asphalt patch that was interpreted to have been a potential location for a UST. Boring SB-3 was located along the southern boundary of 1750 Webster Street and boring SB-4 was located near the center of 1750 Webster Street. Based on observations during drilling activities, soil samples were collected from approximately 10 and 20 feet bgs and were analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, and BTEX by USEPA Method 8015. One soil sample from each boring was analyzed for total lead by USEPA Method 6010. Only xylenes were detected in SB3-4 at 20 feet bgs, SB4-2 at 10 feet bgs, SB4-4 at 20 feet bgs at concentrations ranging from 0.020 mg/kg to 0.057 mg/kg. AGI concluded that there was a low likelihood that USTs were present at the property located at 1750 Webster Street and that the gasoline detected in groundwater during the March 1993 investigation was likely from an offsite upgradient source (AGI, 1993c).

3.2.3 February 1998, Geophysical Survey and Soil and Groundwater Investigation, 1750 Webster Street

In February 1998, ATC Associates Inc. (ATC) performed a soil and groundwater investigation at the property located at 1750 Webster Street (ATC, 1998a). ATC conducted a more extensive geophysical survey and advanced 12 soil borings. A magnetometer geophysical survey identified Subsequently, a ground penetrating radar (GPR) geophysical survey was four anomalies. conducted and was unable to confirm the previously identified anomalies and did not detected the presence of USTs. Twelve soil borings were advanced using a Geoprobe sampling rig. Two soil samples were collected from each boring and analyzed for TPHg by USEPA Method 8015M and BTEX and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) by USEPA Method 8020. One groundwater sample was collected from each boring and analyzed for TPHg by USEPA Method 8015M, and BTEX and MTBE by USEPA Method 8020. From five borings, a groundwater sample was collected and analyzed for HVOCs by USEPA Method 8010. Groundwater was encountered at approximately 20 feet bgs. TPHg, MTBE, and BTEX were not detected in the soil samples collected above the water table. TPHg, MTBE, and BTEX were detected in one or more soil samples collected from depths below the water table. All of the groundwater samples had detectable concentrations of TPHg and BTEX. MTBE was also detected in all groundwater samples with the exception of boring G-9. HVOCs were detected in the groundwater samples from borings G-3, G-4, and G-5. Maximum detected concentrations in groundwater were 760,000 µg/L of TPHg, 10,000 µg/L of benzene, 29,000 µg/L of toluene, 5,800 µg/L of ethylbenzene, 17,500 µg/L of xylenes, and 2,900 µg/L of MTBE. In addition,



the maximum detected concentrations of cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE), tetrachloroethene (PCE), and trichloroethene (TCE) were 8.2 μ g/L, 1.2 μ g/L, and 13 μ g/L, respectively. ATC concluded that the source(s) of groundwater impacts beneath the property located at 1750 Webster Street is located offsite and upgradient, possibly to the south and/or southwest including the Douglas Parking site located at 1721 Webster Street and the former Chevron site located at the southwest corner of 17th Street and Harrison which had the same detected HVOCs as the Site (ATC, 1998a).

3.2.4 February 1998, Risk-Based Corrective Action Assessment, 1750 Webster Street

A *Risk Based Corrective Action (RBCA) Assessment* for the property located at 1750 Webster Street was prepared by Versar Inc. (Versar, 1998). This RBCA assessment was prepared in response to a request from the ACHCSA in a letter dated February 19, 1998. Based on previous investigations and planned development as an above-ground, non-enclosed parking structure at the property located at 1750 Webster Street, a RBCA assessment was conducted. Site Specific Target Levels (SSTLs) for chemicals detected in groundwater were developed and compared to concentrations of chemicals detected in groundwater to determine if existing groundwater impacts pose a threat to potential future human receptors. Versar concluded that the presence of petroleum constituents in groundwater does not represent a health concern to potential human receptors under future land use as an above-ground, non-enclosed parking structure, where the primary exposure scenario includes outdoor exposures (Versar, 1998).

3.2.5 April and August 1998, Groundwater Well Installation and Monitoring Report, 1750 Webster Street

On April 26, 1998, ATC installed three groundwater monitoring wells at 1750 Webster Street. The purpose of the groundwater monitoring wells was to determine the groundwater gradient and perform groundwater monitoring (ATC, 1998b). Well A-1 was located in the northeastern portion of the property located at 1750 Webster Street. Wells A-2 and A-3 were located along the southwestern boundary of the property located at 1750 Webster Street. Borings A-1 and A-2 were advanced to 31.5 feet bgs and boring A-3 was advanced to 30 feet bgs.

During Quarter 2 (Q2) 1998, groundwater elevation ranged from 7.75 feet msl (well A-1) to 8.90 feet msl (well A-3). During Quarter 3 (Q3) 1998, groundwater elevation ranged from 7.40 feet msl (well A-2) to 8.66 feet MSL (well A-3). The groundwater flow direction was generally towards the northeast, with a gradient of approximately 0.008 ft/ft.

Two soil samples were collected from each boring and analyzed for TPHg by USEPA Method 8015M and BTEX and MTBE by USEPA Method 8020. TPHg, MTBE, and BTEX were not detected in the soil samples collected from borings A-1, A-2, and A-3 (ATC, 1998b).

Groundwater samples were collected from the three wells on April 28, 1998 (Q2 1998) and August 4, 1998 (Q3 1998), and analyzed for TPHg by USEPA Method 8015M, BTEX and MTBE by USEPA



Method 8020, and HVOCs by USEPA Method 8010. Based on the Q2 2018 and Q3 2018 groundwater monitoring results, TPHg, BTEX, and HVOCs were detected in the groundwater samples collected from wells A-1, A-2, and A-3. MTBE was not detected in groundwater in any of the wells. Maximum detected concentrations in groundwater were 84,000 μ g/L of TPHg (well A-2), 12,000 μ g/L of benzene (well A-1), 20,000 μ g/L of toluene (well A-2), 1,700 μ g/L of ethylbenzene (well A-1), and 8,400 μ g/L of xylenes (well A-1). In addition, the maximum detected concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE, 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), PCE, and TCE were 22 μ g/L (well A-2), 13 μ g/L (well A-1), 4.8 μ g/L (well A-1), and 52 μ g/L (well A-2), respectively. ATC concluded that there was no direct evidence of a surface spill of gasoline at the Site and the groundwater impacts beneath the property located at 1750 Webster Street are likely related to an offsite upgradient source in groundwater that flows in a northeasterly direction toward the property located at 1750 Webster Street (ATC, 1998b).

3.2.6 November 1998, Groundwater Monitoring Report, 1750 Webster Street

Groundwater samples were collected from the three groundwater monitoring wells, A-1, A-2, and A-3, on November 18, 1998 (fourth guarter 1998 [Q4 1998]), and analyzed for TPHg by USEPA Method 8015M, BTEX and MTBE by USEPA Method 8020, and HVOCs by USEPA Method 8010. During Q4 1998, groundwater elevation ranged from 9.81 feet msl (well A-1) to 11.05 feet msl (well A-3). The groundwater flow direction was generally towards the northeast, with a gradient of approximately 0.0076 ft/ft (ATC, 1999a). Based on the Q4 1998 groundwater monitoring results, TPHg, BTEX, and HVOCs were detected in the groundwater samples collected from wells A-1, A-2, and A-3. MTBE was not detected in groundwater in any of the wells. Maximum detected concentrations in groundwater were 110,000 µg/L of TPHg (well A-2), 12,000 µg/L of benzene (well A-1), 25,000 µg/L of toluene (well A-2), 2,000 µg/L of ethylbenzene (well A-2), and 10,300 µg/L of xylenes (well A-2). In addition, the maximum detected concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE, 1,2-DCA, and TCE were 21 µg/L (well A-1), 13 µg/L (well A-1), and 6.7 µg/L (well A-3), respectively. PCE and TCE were not detected in groundwater samples collected from wells A-1 and A-2, while A-3 only had TCE detected. ATC concluded that there was no direct evidence of a surface spill of gasoline at the Site due to non-detectable to low concentrations of TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE in vadose zone soils. ATC further concluded that the groundwater impacts beneath the property located at 1750 Webster Street are likely related to an offsite upgradient source in groundwater that flows in a northeasterly direction toward the property located at 1750 Webster Street (ATC, 1999a).

3.2.7 February 1999, Groundwater Monitoring Report, 1750 Webster Street

Groundwater samples were collected from the three wells, A-1, A-2, and A-3, on February 26, 1999 (first quarter 1999 [Q1 1999]), and analyzed for TPHg by USEPA Method 8015M, BTEX and MTBE by USEPA Method 8020, and HVOCs by USEPA Method 8010. During Q1 1999, groundwater elevation ranged from 10.38 feet msl (well A-1) to 11.39 feet msl (well A-3). The groundwater flow



direction was generally towards the northeast, with a gradient of approximately 0.0076 ft/ft (ATC, 1999b). Based on the Q1 1999 groundwater monitoring results, TPHg, BTEX, and HVOCs were detected in the groundwater samples collected from wells A-1, A-2, and A-3. MTBE was not detected in groundwater in any of the wells. Maximum detected concentrations in groundwater were 89,000 μ g/L of TPHg (well A-2), 14,000 μ g/L of benzene (well A-1), 22,000 μ g/L of toluene (well A-2), 2,000 μ g/L of ethylbenzene (well A-1), and 9,300 μ g/L of xylenes (well A-1). In addition, the maximum detected concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE, 1,2-DCA, and TCE were 16 μ g/L (well A-1), 10 μ g/L (well A-1), and 11 μ g/L (well A-3), respectively. PCE was detected in all groundwater samples collected from wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 with concentrations of 3.5 μ g/L, 4.8 μ g/L, and 3.1 μ g/L, respectively. ATC concluded that after a full year of monitoring, the groundwater gradient consistently flowed in a northeasterly direction and contaminants originated from an upgradient source due to non-detectable to low concentrations of TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE in vadose zone soils. Furthermore, ATC recommended site closure to be issued (ATC, 1999b).

3.2.8 February 2000, ACHCSA Summary of File Review, 1750 Webster Street

In a letter dated February 16, 2000, ACHCSA reviewed the Site file for the property at 1750 Webster Street in Oakland, California and determined "...groundwater pollution detected beneath the subject property [1750 Webster Street] is likely the result of the migration of pollutants in groundwater from upgradient sites" (ACHCSA, 2000). In this letter ACHCSA approved site closure with the following conditions:

- Property owner must provide reasonable access to an upgradient discharger attempting to investigate and cleanup off-site groundwater pollution;
- A risk assessment evaluating the indoor exposure pathway must be submitted to the local implementing agency for review and approval if any enclosed structure is proposed for the Site;
- A deed restriction will be recorded to ensure the Site is re-evaluated if site use changes; and
- Three groundwater monitoring wells, A-1, A-2, and A-3 will not be destroyed, well covers will be locked to prevent vandalism, and the responsible party for the plume beneath the Site can use these wells for future monitoring.

3.3 Voluntary Remediation Action Program (VRAP) Number RO0003229

A VCAA under VRAP Number RO0003229 was opened with the ACDEH in 2016 for LMC's redevelopment plan for 1750 Webster Street (APNs 008-625-016 and 008-625-017), 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1), and 1801 Webster Street (APN 008-625-018) for mixed commercial and residential land use. Case closure conditions of the previous case (SCP RO0002672) required re-evaluation of Site conditions for any land use changes for the properties located at 1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street. As a result, under the oversight of ACDEH, LMC conducted additional Site investigations summarized below.



Since previous Site investigations were conducted from 1991 to 1999, the RWQCB-SF established Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) for soil, groundwater, soil gas, and indoor air that can be compared to environmental sample data. The most current version of the RWQCB-SF ESLs were published in February 2016 (RWQCB-SF, 2016) and are used to discuss the data collected at the Site. Consistent with the anticipated land use and risk pathway summary in the Site Conceptual Model (SCM; Appendix B), the following screening levels were compared with Site data:

- Soil Soil data were compared with soil ESLs for direct contact for the construction exposure scenario to be protective of onsite construction worker receptors during redevelopment. Current development plans include a building foundation across the entire Site; therefore, direct contact with soil exposure pathway (i.e., incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and dust inhalation) is considered incomplete for future resident or commercial worker receptors.
- Soil Vapor Soil Vapor data were compared with soil gas ESLs for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. The ground level of the future building will include parking, retail, commercial, and utility use. No residential receptors will occupy the ground level.
- Groundwater Groundwater data were compared with groundwater ESLs for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. For metals, which are considered non-volatile, groundwater data were compared with maximum contaminant levels (MCLs). Groundwater at the Site is serviced by a public water supply for beneficial use; therefore, direct contact with groundwater exposure pathway is considered incomplete for receptors at the Site.

3.3.1 October 2015, Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, 1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street

The Phase I ESA (GeoSolve, 2015a) identified the following Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs): 1) elevated concentrations of TPHg, BTEX, and TCE in groundwater beneath the 1750 Webster Street property; 2) the Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station located at 1839 Harrison Street and/or 19th Street and Harrison Street; 3) possible elevated lead concentrations and/or asbestos-containing materials (ACMs) in the surficial soil; 4) a historical printing facility located at 1817 Harrison Street and potential residual metals in soil; and 5) possible upgradient sources of TPHg, BTEX, and other VOCs from historical uses as gasoline service stations and dry cleaner facilities.

Based on the results of the Phase I ESA conducted by GeoSolve (2015a), a Phase II ESA was performed in October 2015. During the Phase II ESA, soil and groundwater samples were collected from three soil borings (B-1, B-2, and B-3; GeoSolve, 2015b). Groundwater was encountered in boring B-1 at 22 feet bgs and in borings B-2 and B-3 at 17 feet bgs. At boring B-1, seven soil samples were collected at 5, 10, 15, 17.5, 22, 25, and 30 feet bgs. At borings B-2 and B-3, five soil samples



were collected at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 feet bgs. A duplicate soil sample was collected at boring B-3 at 20 feet bgs. Soil samples were analyzed for lead by USEPA Method 6010B and TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B. Lead was detected in soil at concentrations ranging from 5.3 mg/kg to 170 mg/kg. Lead was only detected in one soil sample (B1-5) at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-2). Of the VOCs, only xylene was detected in one soil sample (B1-25) at a low concentration of 0.016 mg/kg, which is well below the RWQCB-SF soil ESL for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-3).

Groundwater samples were analyzed for lead (dissolved) by USEPA Method E200.8 and TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B. Only the groundwater sample from boring B-1 contained detectable concentrations of TPHg, BTEX, and dissolved lead. The dissolved lead concentrations in borings B-1, B-2, and B-3 ranged from not detected above 0.50 μ g/L to 0.54 μ g/L, which are well below the USEPA action level for lead of 15 μ g/L. In boring B-1, concentrations of benzene of 140 μ g/L and ethylbenzene of 1,100 μ g/L exceeded the RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario (Table 2-6). GeoSolve concluded the elevated concentrations are likely due to the impacted groundwater from the offsite upgradient property at 1721 Webster Street (GeoSolve, 2015b).

3.3.2 December 2015, Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, 1750 Webster Street

During the additional Phase II ESA in December 2015, soil and groundwater samples were collected from three soil borings (B-4, B-5, and B-6) to further evaluate conditions in the subsurface soil and groundwater. At all three borings, eight soil samples were collected at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 20, 25 feet bgs. Groundwater was encountered at 21 feet bgs in borings B-4 and B-5 and at 22 feet bgs in boring B-6. Soil samples collected at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 feet bgs in borings B-4, B-5, and B-6 and at 10, 20, and 25 feet bgs in borings B-5 and B-6 were analyzed for lead by USEPA Method 6010B. Soil samples collected at 10, 20, and 25 feet bgs were analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B and VOC by USEPA Method 8260B. Lead was not detected at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-2). TPHg, BTEX, naphthalene, n-propylbenzene, n-butylbenzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (1,2,4-TMB), and 1,3,5-TMB were detected in soil samples collected from 25 feet bgs, which are located at a sample depth likely influenced by groundwater. No compound was detected in soil at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESLs for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-2 and 2-3).

Groundwater samples were analyzed for lead (total) by USEPA Method E200.8, TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B, and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B. In borings B-4, B-5, and B-6, total lead concentrations ranged from 430 μ g/L to 3,500 μ g/L. Although the total lead concentrations exceed the USEPA action level for lead in drinking water of 15 μ g/L, the total lead concentrations in groundwater includes both lead dissolved in groundwater and lead in the



particulates in groundwater. During the October 2015 Phase II ESA (Section 3.3.2), dissolved lead concentrations were well below the USEPA action level. Since the dissolved lead concentration would be lower than total lead concentration and dissolved metals are generally considered more mobile and biologically available, lead in groundwater is not considered a chemical of concern. Benzene, ethylbenzene, vinyl chloride, and naphthalene were detected in groundwater at concentrations exceeding the RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario (Table 2-6). GeoSolve concluded that the soil impacts near the water table and groundwater impacts are likely due to the impacted groundwater from the offsite upgradient property at 1721 Webster Street (GeoSolve, 2015c).

3.3.3 February 2016, Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, 1810 Webster Street

The Phase II ESA in February 2016 advanced three borings (B-1, B-2, and B-3) to groundwater. Four soil samples were collected at 1, 15, 20, 22.5 feet bgs for each boring. Groundwater was encountered at 20.5 feet bgs in borings B-1 and B-3 and at 19 feet bgs in boring B-2. Soil samples were analyzed for lead by USEPA Method 6010B. Soil samples collected at 15, 20, and 22.5 feet bgs were analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B. Lead was not detected at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-2). The remaining detections in soil were from samples collected at 20 feet bgs and 22.5 feet bgs which were likely influenced by groundwater. TPHg, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, naphthalene, n-propylbenzene, n-butylbenzene, 1,2,4-TMB, 1,3,5-TMB, tert-butylbenzene, sec-butylbenzene, and isopropylbenzene were detected in soil samples collected from 20 to 22.5 feet bgs, which are located at sample depths likely influenced by groundwater. No compound was detected in soil at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESLs for the construction exposure scenario (Tables 2-2).

Groundwater samples were analyzed for lead (total) by USEPA Method E200.8 and TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B. In borings B-1, B-2, and B-3, total lead concentrations ranged from 5.9 μ g/L to 21 μ g/L with the maximum detected concentration exceeding the USEPA action level of 15 μ g/L. Since the dissolved lead concentration would be lower than total lead concentration and dissolved metals are generally considered more mobile and biologically available, lead in groundwater is not considered a chemical of concern. Benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene were detected in groundwater at concentrations exceeding the RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario (Table 2-6). GeoSolve concluded that the soil impacts near the water table and groundwater impacts are likely due to the impacted groundwater from the offsite upgradient property at 1721 Webster Street (GeoSolve, 2016a).



3.3.4 February 2016, Soil Gas Survey, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

In February 2016, six borings (SG-1 through SG-6) were advanced to a depth of 15 feet bgs for the purpose of collecting soil vapor samples. At each boring location, three nested soil vapor probes were installed at approximately 5, 10, and 15 feet bgs. After a two-hour equilibration period, soil vapor samples were collected and analyzed in a mobile laboratory for VOCs using USEPA Method SW8260B. Two duplicate soil vapor samples were collected at SG1-15 and SG5-15. As shown in Table 2-4, benzene was detected at SG-5 at 5 feet bgs (sample SG5-5) and PCE was detected at SG-5 at 15 feet bgs (SG5-15 and SG5-15 dup). Benzene and PCE concentrations were below the RWQCB-SF soil gas ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. GeoSolve noted that boring SG-5 was located near a surface drain, which could have potentially impacted soil vapor results at this location (GeoSolve, 2016b).

3.3.5 July 2016, Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, 301 19th Street

In July 2016, three soil borings (B-7, B-8, and B-9) were advanced to groundwater to evaluate the subsurface conditions in soil and groundwater around the former gasoline service station identified in the Phase I ESA (GeoSolve, 2015a) and the southern portion of the 301 19th Street property. At each boring, three soil samples were collected at 1, 10, and 15 feet bgs and were analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B. Groundwater was encountered at 17.5 feet bgs in borings B-7 and B-9 and at 15 feet bgs in boring B-8. All soil samples except B9-1 were analyzed for lead by USEPA Method 6010B. Lead was not detected at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-2). TPHg, BTEX and MTBE were not detected above laboratory reporting limits (RLs).

Groundwater samples were analyzed for lead (total) by USEPA Method E200.8 and TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B. In borings B-7, B-8, and B-9, total lead concentrations ranged from $34 \mu g/L$ to $440 \mu g/L$ (Table 2-5). Since the dissolved lead concentration would be lower than total lead concentration and dissolved metals are generally considered more mobile and biologically available, lead in groundwater is not considered a chemical of concern. TPHg, BTEX and MTBE were not detected above laboratory RLs (Table 2-6). GeoSolve concluded that the former gasoline service station did not significantly impact the Site and the existing petroleum-hydrocarbon groundwater plume detected on 1750 Webster Street has not impacted the southern portion of the 301 19th Street property (GeoSolve, 2016c).

3.3.6 August 2016, Additional Soil Gas Survey, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

A follow-up soil gas survey was conducted in August 2016, to assess temporal variability in soil vapor concentrations since the February 2016 soil gas survey. Six borings were advanced to a depth of 10 feet bgs near the February 2016 soil vapor sample locations (GeoSolve, 2016b). Dual-nested



soil vapor probes were installed at approximately 5 and 10 feet bgs. After a two-hour equilibration period, soil vapor samples were collected and analyzed in mobile laboratory for VOCs using USEPA Method SW8260B. One duplicate soil vapor sample was collected at SG1-10. As shown in Table 2-4, benzene was detected at SG-5 at 5 and 10 feet bgs (samples SG5-5 and SG5-10) and PCE was detected at SG-6 at 10 feet bgs (SG6-10). Benzene and PCE concentrations were below the RWQCB-SF soil gas ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario (GeoSolve, 2016d).

3.3.7 October 2016, Groundwater Monitoring Report, 1700-1710 Webster Street, 1721 Webster Street, and 1750 Webster Street

In October 2016, a groundwater monitoring event was coordinated by GeoDesign (2016) to determine the extent of groundwater contamination and flow direction at three properties located at 1700-1710 Webster Street (mixed-use redevelopment site), 1721 Webster Street (former Douglas Parking Company Site), and 1750 Webster Street. On behalf of 1700 Webster, LLC and at the request of the RWQCB-SF, GeoDesign conducted this groundwater monitoring event at 10 wells (wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 at 1750 Webster Street, wells MW-01 through MW-04 at 1700-1710 Webster Street, and wells MW-2, MW-3, and MW-6 at 1721 Webster Street). Depth to groundwater in these wells ranged from approximately 20 to 23 feet bgs. Groundwater flow direction was to the north with northwesterly and northeasterly fluctuations (GeoDesign, 2016). Ten groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for gasoline range organics (GRO) by USEPA Method 8015, diesel range organics (DRO) and residual range organics (RRO) by USEPA Methods 3511/8015, and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B. GeoDesign concluded that based on a comparison of the October 2016 groundwater data to historical maximum detected concentrations, the petroleum hydrocarbon and VOC concentrations in groundwater have diminished significantly over the last 25 years and the plume is stable (GeoDesign, 2016). Additionally, GeoDesign states that based on the existing infrastructure (municipal water supply), the shallow groundwater table, and the highly urban area, it is unlikely the shallow groundwater will be used for beneficial use (i.e., drinking water) before water quality objectives are restored by natural attenuation (GeoDesign, 2016).

Subsequently, the groundwater monitoring wells at 1750 Webster Street, 1700-1710 Webster Street, and 1721 Webster Street were abandoned. On October 27, 2017, GeoSolve coordinated the destruction of wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 at the property at 1750 Webster Street (Apex, 2018a; Section 3.3.12). According to documents available on GeoTracker, prior to the commencement of earthwork activities for redevelopment of the property at 1700-1710 Webster Street, wells MW-01 through MW-04 were destroyed. On October 26, 2017, Pangea coordinated the destruction of wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, AS-1, AS-2, AS-3, SG-1, and SG-2 at the property located at 1721 Webster Street (Pangea, 2017b).

Since this report provides results from the most recent groundwater monitoring event, where data for LMC's property at 1750 Webster Street (wells A-1, A-2, and A-3) and the offsite upgradient properties at 1700-1710 Webster Street (wells MW-01 through MW-04) and 1721 Webster Street



(wells MW-2, MW-3, and MW-6) were collected on the same day, the October 2016 data were used in the preparation of figures illustrating the potentiometric surface (Figure 2-3) and isoconcentration contours for chemicals of interest (Figures 3-1 through 3-4).

3.3.8 February 2017, Soil Management Plan, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

This SMP was created to provide analytical results of composited soil samples collected beneath the Site to facilitate pre-landfill approval for disposal activities (GeoSolve, 2017a). On February 22, 2017, four soil borings (SPB-1 through SPB-4) were advanced to approximately 10 feet bgs. At each boring soil samples were collected at 2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10 feet bgs. The four discrete soil samples from each boring were submitted to the laboratory. Prior to analysis, the discrete soil samples at each boring were composited into one soil sample per boring by the laboratory. The composite soil samples were analyzed for analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8021B/modified 8015B, total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons (TRPH) by USEPA Method E418.1, total extractable petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel and motor oil (TEPHd and TEPHmo) using silica gel cleanup by USEPA Method 8015m, VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B, SVOCs by USEPA Method 8270C, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and pesticides by USEPA Method 8081A/80826020B, and CAM 17 metals by USEPA 6020B. BTEX, MTBE, pesticides, PCBs, VOCs, and SVOCs were not detected above the laboratory RLs. Lead was only detected in one composite soil sample (SPB3-A/B/C/D) at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-2). As a result, the four discrete soil samples from boring SPB-3 were analyzed for lead. Lead was only detected in one discrete soil sample (SPB3-A collected at 2.5 feet bgs) at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario (Table 2-2).

The four composite soil samples from borings SPB-1 through SPB-4 were analyzed for soluble threshold limit concentration (STLC) for chromium and one composite soil sample from boring SPB-3 was analyzed for STLC for lead. STLC for chromium was detected at concentrations of not detected above the laboratory RL of 0.10 milligram per liter (mg/L) to 0.21 mg/L and STLC for lead was detected at 16 mg/L, which exceeds the California hazardous waste criteria for lead. The one composite soil sample from boring SPB-3 was also analyzed for toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) for lead, which was 0.82 mg/L and below the Federal hazardous waste criteria for lead. The total lead, STLC, and TCLP results are presented in Table 2-2.

Based on the data presented in this report by GeoSolve (2017a), the report concluded that a 10-foot by 10-foot area to a depth of 5 feet bgs around boring SPB-3 was determined to be California hazardous waste. The remainder of the property is considered Class II to Class III material, dependent on landfill requirements, and/or can be used as offsite fill material. The remainder of this report provided details of a soil management plan for the Site.



3.3.9 May 2017, Additional Soil Gas Survey, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

At the request of ACDEH, an additional soil gas survey was conducted to evaluate the soil vapor concentrations near the bottom of the proposed elevator shafts and evaluate oxygen, methane, and carbon dioxide concentrations along Webster Street and on the western portion of Site. On May 13, 2017, borings SG-7, SG-8, and SG-9 were advanced to a depth of 5 feet bgs and boring SG-10 was advanced to a depth of 6 feet bgs (the proposed elevator shaft depth). Soil vapor probes were installed at approximately 5 feet bgs in borings SG-7, SG-8, and SG-9, and 6 feet bgs in boring SG-10. On May 15, 2017, soil vapor samples were collected in Summa canisters and analyzed in a fixed laboratory for total oxygen, total methane, and total carbon dioxide using Method ASTM D 1946-90 and VOCs using USEPA Method TO-15. As shown in Table 2-4, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and 1,4-dichlorobenzene were detected at SG-8 at 5 feet bgs, chloroethane was detected at SG-9 at 5 feet bgs, 1,3-dichlorobenzene was detected at SG-8 at 5 feet bgs and SG-10 at 6 feet bgs, and dichlorodifluoromethane was detected at SG-7 and SG-8 at 5 feet bgs and SG-10 at 6 feet bgs. Ethylbenzene, xylenes, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, and chloroethane concentrations were below the RWQCB-SF soil gas ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario (GeoSolve, RWQCB-SF soil gas ESLs were not available for 1,3-dichlorobenzene and 2017b). dichlorofluoromethane. Methane was not detected above laboratory RLs, oxygen concentrations ranged from 16 to 17 percent (%), and carbon dioxide concentrations ranged from 0.036 to 0.039%.

3.3.10 May 2017, Evaluation of Lead Impacts in Soil 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

Previous Site investigations identified three areas of elevated lead concentrations that exceeded the RWQCB-SF soil ESL for the residential exposure scenario of 80 mg/kg. On May 15, 2017, GeoSolve coordinated the collection of 186 discrete soil samples at 29 locations, at depths ranging from 1 foot bgs to 30 feet bgs to further evaluate the elevated lead concentrations in shallow soil. The lead soil boring locations (L-1 through L-29) and the three areas of elevated lead concentrations are shown on GeoSolve's Figure A in Appendix C. Of the 186 soil samples collected in May 2017, lead was only detected in one soil sample (L6-2) at a concentration greater than the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 80 mg/kg for the residential exposure scenario (Table 2-2).

Prior to construction activities, GeoSolve identified the following areas in soil of elevated lead concentrations for removal.

Locations on area of Site formerly referred to as 301 19th Street

- Lead was detected at 170 mg/kg in sample B1-5 collected at 5 feet bgs in October 2015.
- Lead was detected at 760 mg/kg in sample SPB3-A collected at 2.5 feet bgs in February 2017.



Locations on area of Site formerly referred to as 1810 Webster Street

- Lead was detected at 130 mg/kg in sample B2-1 collected at 1 foot bgs in February 2016.
- Lead was detected at 86 mg/kg in sample L6-2 collected at 2 feet bgs in May 2017.

The removal of lead impacted soil was not documented, and it is unknown if the lead impacted areas were removed from the Site. Based on a conference call with ACDEH on February 14, 2018, it was agreed that since documentation of the soil removal actions and characterization of excavated soil was not available, it would be assumed that the lead impacted soil was not removed from the Site and remains in-place as depicted on GeoSolve's Figure A in Appendix C.

3.3.11 October 2017, Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

The Construction SGMP was prepared by GrafCon for earthwork activities associated with LMC's redevelopment project. The purpose of the SGMP was to provide LMC and the construction team with guidance for the proper handling and management of contaminated soil and groundwater during redevelopment activities. This SGMP provided a summary of known environmental conditions at the Site and established a decision-making structure to assist the construction team in the identification and management of contaminated media, when and if they were encountered (GrafCon, 2017).

3.3.12 January 2018, Groundwater Sampling and Well Destruction Report, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

On behalf of LMC, the coordination and oversight of the sampling of groundwater and destruction of three groundwater monitoring wells at the Site were conducted by GeoSolve. Apex prepared the report based on information provided by LMC and GeoSolve (Apex, 2018a). On October 10, 2017, groundwater samples were collected from the area of the former gasoline service station (boring WO-1) and from the three existing on-site groundwater monitoring wells A-1, A-2, and A-3. One soil boring WO-1 was advanced to a maximum depth of approximately 20 feet bgs and a grab groundwater sample was collected. Three on-site groundwater monitoring wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 were gauged and sampled. Depth to water in wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 were 20.50, 19.75, and 19.45 feet bgs, respectively. Each groundwater sample was analyzed for TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE by USEPA Method 8015B modified, TPHd and TPHmo by USEPA Method 8015B, and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B. In grab groundwater sample WO-1, TPHd was detected at a concentration of 98 μ g/L, PCE was detected at a concentration of 0.89 μ g/L, and acetone was detected at a concentration of 11 μ g/L. In groundwater wells A-1, A-2, and A-3, TPHg, TPHd, TPHmo, BTEX, and other VOCs were detected at concentrations consistent with previous monitoring events. The October 10, 2017 groundwater results are presented in Table 2-6.

On October 27, 2017, GeoSolve coordinated the destruction of onsite groundwater monitoring wells A-1, A-2, and A-3.



3.3.13 April 2018, Tank Closure Report, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street

The *Tank Closure Report* (Apex, 2018c) documents the removal of one 300-gallon UST that was discovered on December 27, 2017, on the portion of the Site located at 301 19th Street. This report was prepared in accordance with the ACDEH Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) tank removal permit No. SR0034334 and Oakland Fire Department (OFD) Operational Fire Permit No. FP18SKIS-00011.

At the request of ACDEH, a *Work Plan for Assessing Impacts of Unauthorized Release* (Apex, 2018b) was prepared. It was approved by ACDEH in an email correspondence dated February 20, 2018. Sample collection and analysis was conducted in accordance with this Work Plan and ACDEH CUPA tank removal permit No. SR0034334. The sampling methods and procedures are provided in the *Tank Closure Report* (Apex, 2018c) and a brief summary is provided below:

- On February 20, 2018, the UST discovery area was re-excavated (approximately 8 feet by 5 feet and 7 feet in depth) and three sidewall soil samples and one floor soil sample were collected from the UST discovery area. A soil sample was not collected from the western sidewall of the UST discovery area due to the presence of an existing building footing. No groundwater was observed in the excavation pit, nor was stained or odor-bearing soil, or free product or sheen.
- Two near-surface soil samples were collected from the UST relocation area and three sidewall soil samples were collected from the UST spill area. A soil sample was not collected from the southern sidewall of the UST spill area due to the presence of an existing building footing. Due to the presence of oily mud and water in the bottom of the foundation footing excavation, the floor soil sample was not collected on this day.

Soil samples were analyzed for TPHd and TPHmo by USEPA Method 8015M without silica gel cleanup, TPHg, BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B, metals by USEPA Method 6010, and PCBs by USEPA Method 8082. At the request of ACDEH CUPA, the floor soil sample from the re-excavation of the UST discovery area was also analyzed for SVOCs including polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), pentachlorophenol, and creosote by USEPA Method 8270.

 On February 23, 2018, the oily mud and water were removed from the foundation footing excavation in the UST spill area and placed into drums. One floor soil sample was collected in the foundation footing excavation of the UST spill area. The soil sample analyzed for TPHd and TPHmo without silica gel cleanup by USEPA Method 8015M, TPHg, BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B, metals by USEPA Method 6010, PCBs by USEPA Method 8082, and SVOCs including PAHs, pentachlorophenol, and creosote by USEPA Method 8270.



On March 1, 2018, two soil borings were advanced and grab-groundwater samples were collected from the UST discovery area (boring SB-5) and the UST spill area (boring SB-6). At boring SB-5, two soil samples were collected at 8 and 16 feet bgs. A grab-groundwater sample was collected from approximately 17 feet bgs. At boring SB-6, two soil samples were collected at 6 and 16 feet bgs. A grab groundwater sample was collected from approximately 17 feet bgs. Groundwater samples were analyzed for TPHd and TPHmo without silica gel cleanup by USEPA Method 8015M, TPHg, BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs by USEPA Method 8260B, metals by USEPA Method 6010. PCBs were not detected above decision objectives in soil samples; therefore, in accordance with the Work Plan, grab groundwater samples were not analyzed for PCBs.

Apex recommended that the Site receive closure of the UST tank removal permit No. SR0034334 with no further action (Apex, 2018c), based on an evaluation of the data and decision objectives as summarized in the following bullets:

- The UST was visually inspected and corrosion and pitting of the steel tank was observed. Soil with evidence of petroleum hydrocarbon-type impacts immediately adjacent and immediately underneath the UST were removed to the extent practical to a depth of approximately 7 feet bgs, where visual/odor and evidence of over-lying impact was lacking. Significant discoloration/contamination of soil was not noted or observed along the sidewalls of the excavation. No further action is warranted with regards to the UST.
- Shallow and deep soil data for the UST discovery area, UST relocation area, and UST spill area were compared to RWQCB-SF ESLs for Construction Worker Shallow and Deep Soil Exposure Scenario. Metals, TPH, VOCs, PCBs, and SVOCs were not detected above decision objectives. No further action is warranted with regards to shallow and deep soil.
- Groundwater data for the UST discovery area and UST spill area were compared with historical groundwater data from the Site and offsite upgradient areas to determine if groundwater concentrations are consistent with regional concentrations. Only three VOCs (PCE, TCE, and chloroform) were detected above the laboratory RL in grab-groundwater samples. These VOCs were not detected above the RWQCB-SF groundwater ESLs for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. Additionally, PCE and TCE in groundwater are associated with regional groundwater impacts in the area upgradient of the Site. No further action is warranted with regards to groundwater.



4.0 SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL

This section provides a scientifically defensible basis for the selection of potentially exposed hypothetical receptors and the most likely ways they might be exposed to chemicals at the Site. To develop a conceptual understanding of the Site, information regarding potential chemical source, chemical release and transport mechanisms, locations of potentially exposed human receptors, and potential exposure routes were assessed. This information is outlined in a site conceptual model (SCM) table in Appendix B. The SCM associates source of chemicals with potentially exposed human receptors and associated complete exposure pathways. In this way, the SCM assists in quantifying potential impacts to human health.

As defined by USEPA (1989), all of the following four components are necessary for a chemical exposure pathway to be considered complete and for chemical exposure to occur:

- A chemical source and a mechanism of chemical release to the environment;
- An environmental transport medium (e.g., soil) for the released chemical;
- A point of contact between the contaminated medium and the receptor (i.e., the exposure point); and
- An exposure route (e.g., dermal contact with chemically-impacted soils) at the exposure point.

Sections 4.1 through 4.4 provide a summary of conditions used to conceptualize the geology and hydrogeology and the distribution of COPCs beneath the Site. Sections 4.5 through 4.8 describe the four components for a complete exposure pathway and provide a basis for the SCM.

4.1 Exposure Setting and Land Use

The Site is located in a mixed commercial and residential use area. LMC is redeveloping the Site for a seven-story mixed-use commercial/retail and multi-family residential building. In the future, the entire Site will be covered by the proposed building foundation. The ground level of the building will include building lobby, parking, retail, commercial, and mechanical/utility areas (Figure 1-3). Upper levels of the building will include residential use. Two elevators will be constructed to service the building occupants (Figure 1-3).

4.2 Site Geology and Hydrogeology

The vadose zone comprises unsaturated soils extending from ground surface to the water table at 18.81 to 21.65 feet bgs. The materials underlying the Site are mapped as the Late Pleistocene Merritt Sand (Qps) by Helley and Lajoie (1979), which consists of beach and eolian (dune) sand deposits, which are loose, well-sorted, fine- to medium-grained sand with silt. The Merritt Sand is approximately 50 feet in thickness. The Merritt Sand was deposited by wind eroding and transporting steam sediments during the lower stands of sea level, which occurred approximately 40,000 years



ago and may have been reworked by shoreline processes as sea levels rose. The Late Pleistocene Merritt Sand is underlain by Bay Mud and alternating layers of older alluvial deposits to approximately 1 to 2 kilometers (km). The older alluvial deposits are underlain by Cenozoic marine bedrock units.

Surficial fill materials are observed intermittently from the surface to approximately 3 feet bgs. Loose, well-sorted to poorly-sorted sands with silt are interbedded with discontinuous, fine-grained silty clays that pinch out towards the west. Schematic geologic cross-sections, the locations of which are shown on Figure 1-3, are provided in Figures 2-1 and 2-2 and are based on logged soil data from previous investigations. Subsurface geology consists of highly permeable, Merritt sand and discontinues silty clay pockets with low permeability.

Groundwater is historically encountered at the Site at depths ranging from 18.81 to 21.65 feet bgs. Groundwater elevations measured in the October 2016 groundwater monitoring event ranged from 5.88 feet msl (well A-1) to 6.70 feet msl (well A-3) to in Site monitoring wells (GeoDesign, 2016). Groundwater flow direction, based on October 2016 Site monitoring wells and nearby groundwater monitoring data from 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street, is to the northeast with a hydraulic gradient of 0.01 ft/ft. Figure 2-3 depicts the potentiometric surface map based on the October 2016 data.

4.3 Potential Sources

The sources of potential contamination at a Site are related to exposure setting (site characteristics and past and current site operations) and land and groundwater uses at the Site and surrounding area. The primary sources for potential contamination at the Site are related to former Site operations as a gasoline service station and offsite upgradient sources of TPH, BTEX, and other VOCs from historical uses as gasoline service stations and dry cleaner facilities. Following a release to soil, secondary sources may include ambient air, fugitive dust, groundwater, and surface water.

4.4 Nature and Extent of COPCs

Soil and groundwater have been impacted at the Site from historic Site use and offsite upgradient sources. Based on former Site land use and previous Site investigations, the chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) are TPH, BTEX, and VOCs. The lateral distribution of chemicals of interest in groundwater is illustrated by isoconcentration contours (Figures 3-1 through 3-4). Tabulated results of analytical data are presented in Tables 2-2 through 2-6. A summary of known environmental conditions in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater is provided below.

4.4.1 Soil

During previous Site investigations and UST removal activities, numerous soil samples have been collected at the Site. Soil samples have been analyzed for metals, TPHg, TPHd, TPHmo, BTEX, MTBE, VOCs, PCBs, and SVOCs including PAHs. SVOCs and PCBs were not detected in soil above the laboratory RLs. Of the compounds detected in soil, with the exception of arsenic and lead, no concentrations exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF ESL for direct contact with soil for the



construction exposure scenario to be protective of onsite construction worker receptors during redevelopment. Soil analytical results are summarized on Tables 2-2 and 2-3. A brief summary of the soil data is provided in the following bullets.

Metals

- Arsenic was detected in soil at concentrations ranging from 1.8 mg/kg to 5.9 mg/kg. The maximum detected concentration of 5.9 mg/kg is well below the regional background arsenic concentration of 11 mg/kg for San Francisco Bay Region (Duvergé, 2011).
- Lead exists randomly at the Site in the shallow fill layer and has been detected at concentrations that exceed residential human health risk-based screening levels at four locations in the upper 5 feet of soil. At two of these four locations, lead was detected at 170 mg/kg and 760 mg/kg, which exceed the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario. No soil removal actions were documented and lead impacted soil will be capped in-place beneath the building foundation.
- All other metals were either not detected above the laboratory RL or were detected at concentrations below their respective RWQCB-SF ESLs for direct contact with soil for the residential, commercial, and construction exposure scenarios.

<u> TPH</u>

- TPHg has been detected in soil at depths of 20 to 25 feet bgs, which is typically below first encountered groundwater. Based on the results of previous investigations, it is not anticipated that petroleum-related impacted soil will be encountered in near-surface soil during any potential earthwork activities.
- On February 22, 2017, four soil borings (SPB-1 through SPB-4) were advanced to approximately 10 feet bgs to facilitate pre-landfill approval for disposal activities (GeoSolve, 2017a). Soil samples were collected at 2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10 feet bgs from each boring, then the laboratory composited the soil samples for each boring for analysis. The maximum detected concentrations of TPHd and TPHmo were 2.5 mg/kg and 33 mg/kg, respectively. These concentrations are well below their respective RWQCB-SF ESLs for direct contact with soil for the residential, commercial, and construction exposure scenarios.
- During the UST removal activities in February and March 2018, the maximum detected concentration of TPHg, TPHd, and TPHmo were 4.2 mg/kg, 240 mg/kg, and 1,100 mg/kg, respectively. The TPHg and TPHmo concentrations are well below their respective RWQCB-SF ESLs for direct contact with soil for the residential, commercial, and construction exposure scenarios. The maximum detected concentration of TPHd of 240 mg/kg is below the RWQCB-SF ESLs for direct contact with soil for the commercial and construction exposure scenarios, but only slightly above the ESL for the residential exposure scenario.



BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs

 BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs were predominately detected in soil at depths of 20 to 25 feet bgs, which is typically below first encountered groundwater. Based on the results of previous investigations, it is not anticipated that VOC impacted soil will be encountered in near-surface soil during any potential earthwork activities. Any vapor intrusion impacts in indoor air are being evaluated with soil vapor and groundwater data.

4.4.2 Soil Vapor

During previous Site investigations, soil vapor samples have been collected at 5, 10, and 15 feet bgs. Soil vapor samples have been analyzed for TPHg and VOCs. Of the compounds detected in soil vapor, no concentrations exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF soil gas ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. Soil vapor analytical results are summarized on Table 2-4. A brief summary of the soil vapor data is provided in the following bullets.

<u>TPHg</u>

• TPHg was not detected above laboratory RLs.

BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs

- Benzene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, dichlorodifluoromethane, PCE, chloroethane, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, and 1,4-dichlorobenzene were detected in soil vapor. Of the VOCs detected, none exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF soil gas ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. RWQCB-SF soil gas ESLs were not available for 1,3-dichlorobenzene and dichlorofluoromethane.
- Benzene was only detected in three of 37 soil vapor samples, with concentrations ranging from 88 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³) to 160 µg/m³. Benzene was detected in soil vapor samples collected at 5 and 10 feet bgs. The benzene concentrations are below the RWQCB-SF soil gas ESLs for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. Ethylbenzene and xylenes were detected in one of 37 soil vapor samples at concentrations of 37 µg/m³ and 160 µg/m³, respectively. Ethylbenzene and xylenes were detected in soil vapor samples collected at 5 feet bgs. The ethylbenzene and xylenes concentrations are below the RWQCB-SF soil gas ESLs for vapor intrusion for the residential and commercial exposure scenarios. Toluene and MTBE were not detected above laboratory RLs.
- PCE was only detected in three of 37 soil vapor samples, with concentrations ranging from 120 µg/m³ to 160 µg/m³. PCE was detected in soil vapor samples collected at 10 and 15 feet bgs. In the same sample locations, PCE was not detected in the shallow soil vapor samples collected at 5 feet bgs. None of the daughter products of PCE degradation (i.e., TCE, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, and vinyl chloride) were detected above laboratory RLs in any of the soil vapor samples. The PCE concentrations are below the RWQCB-SF soil gas ESLs for vapor intrusion for the residential and commercial exposure scenarios.



4.4.3 Groundwater

During previous Site investigations, grab groundwater and groundwater well samples have been collected. Groundwater samples have been analyzed for metals, TPHg, TPHd, TPHmo, BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs. Petroleum hydrocarbons, associated volatile organic compounds (BTEX, MTBE, and naphthalene), and halogenated volatile organic compounds (tetrachloroethylene and trichloroethylene) are present in groundwater beneath the western and central portions of the Site.

Three groundwater monitoring wells, A-1, A-2, and A-3, were installed in April 1998. In these wells, groundwater was historically encountered at depths ranging from 18.81 to 21.65 feet bgs. The most recent groundwater monitoring event coordinated for both onsite and offsite wells was conducted in October 2016. Subsequent to this monitoring event, the offsite wells were destroyed. Onsite wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 were gauged and sampled in October 2017, prior to destruction in preparation for redevelopment of the Site.

Since the October 2016 monitoring event provides the most recent groundwater monitoring event, where data for LMC's property at 1750 Webster Street and the offsite upgradient properties at 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street were collected on the same day, the October 2016 data were used in evaluating the potentiometric surface and isoconcentration contours for chemicals of interest. Groundwater flow direction, based on October 2016 groundwater monitoring wells is to the northeast with a hydraulic gradient of 0.01 foot per foot (ft/ft).

Groundwater analytical results are summarized on Tables 2-5 and 2-6. A brief summary of the groundwater data is provided in the following bullets.

Metals

- During the October 2015 Site investigation, grab groundwater samples were analyzed for dissolved lead by USEPA Method E200.8 (sample was filtered by laboratory). Dissolved lead concentrations ranged from not detected above laboratory RL of 0.50 µg/L to 0.54 µg/L. During subsequent Site investigations conducted in 2015 and 2016, grab groundwater samples were analyzed for total lead by USEPA Method E200.8 (unfiltered sample). Total lead concentrations ranged from 5.9 µg/L to 3,500 µg/L. As expected, the dissolved lead concentrations are lower than total lead concentrations. Due to low dissolved lead concentrations in groundwater and since dissolved metals are generally considered more mobile and biologically available, lead in groundwater is not considered a chemical of concern.
- During the March 2018 tank removal activities, two grab groundwater samples were collected in the UST discovery area and the UST spill area (Apex, 2018c). These samples were analyzed for CAM 17 metals by USEPA Method 6010B. Of the 17 metals, only barium, molybdenum, nickel, and vanadium were detected above laboratory RLs. Barium, nickel, and vanadium were not detected above their respective maximum contaminant level (MCL).


No MCL was available for molybdenum, which was detected at a maximum concentration of $38 \mu g/L$.

<u> TPH</u>

- During the initial Site investigations in 1993 (AGI, 1993b) and 1998 (ATC, 1998a) on the portion of the Site located at 1750 Webster Street, TPHg was detected in grab groundwater samples at concentrations ranging from 700 µg/L at boring G-1 to 760,000 µg/L at boring G-6 (ATC, 1998a). Subsequently, three groundwater monitoring wells, A-1, A-2, and A-3 were installed on the portion of the Site located at 1750 Webster Street. Since the wells were installed in April 1998 to the most recent sampling event in October 2017, TPHg concentrations have decreased in well A-1 from 56,000 µg/L to 19,000 µg/L, in well A-2 from 84,000 µg/L to 58,000 µg/L, and in well A-3 from 23,000 µg/L to 21,000 µg/L.
- In the groundwater monitoring wells, diesel range organics (DRO) concentrations in October 2016 and October 2017 ranged from 388 μg/L in well A-1 in October 2016 to 11,000 μg/L in well A-2 in October 2017.
- In the groundwater monitoring wells, residual range organics (RRO) concentrations in October 2016 and October 2017 ranged from not detected above laboratory RL to 580 µg/L in well A-1 in October 2017.
- Grab groundwater samples were recently collected on the portion of the Site located at 301 19th Street, in the vicinity of the former gasoline service station and former USTs. In these areas, grab groundwater sample WO-1 was collected in the area of the former gasoline service station (Apex 2018a) and samples SB-5-15-20 and SB-6-13-18 were collected in the UST discovery area and the UST spill area, respectively (Apex, 2018c). In these grab groundwater samples TPHg and TPHmo were not detected above laboratory RLs. TPHd was detected at a maximum concentration of 98 µg/L in sample WO-1. The TPH concentrations in the vicinity of former sources on the portion of the Site located at 301 19th Street are significantly lower than TPH concentrations from offsite upgradient sources in groundwater that flow toward the portion of the Site located at 1750 Webster Street.

BTEX, MTBE, and VOCs

BTEX has historically been detected in groundwater from wells A-1, A-2, and A-3. MTBE has not been detected in these wells. Since the wells were installed in April 1998 to the most recent sampling event in October 2017, benzene concentrations have decreased in well A-1 from 12,000 µg/L to 290 µg/L and in well A-2 from 8,600 µg/L to 360 µg/L. During this time period, benzene concentrations have increased in well A-3 from 89 µg/L to 1,100 µg/L. Well A-3 is the monitoring well that is located at the furthest upgradient corner of the Site, closest to offsite sources.



- BTEX and MTBE were not detected above laboratory RLs in the grab groundwater samples that were recently collected on the portion of the Site located at 301 19th Street, in the vicinity of the former gasoline service station and former USTs.
- In October 2017, naphthalene was detected in wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 at 320 µg/L, 1,100 µg/L, and 710 µg/L, respectively. These naphthalene concentrations exceed the RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario of 220 µg/L.
- Naphthalene was not detected above laboratory RLs in grab groundwater sample WO-1 that was recently collected on the portion of the Site located at 301 19th Street, in the vicinity of the former gasoline service station.
- Low concentrations of PCE and TCE have been detected in both groundwater monitoring wells and grab groundwater samples. Of the most recent groundwater data (2016 to 2017), the maximum detected concentration of PCE of 13 µg/L and TCE of 1.0 µg/L was detected in sample SB-5-15-20, which was collected in the March 2018 UST discovery area. For some groundwater samples, the presence of TPH in groundwater has resulted in elevated detection limits above the typically low VOC concentrations that have been detected.

Groundwater data from the Site and upgradient monitoring wells indicated the presence of an areal distribution of low concentrations of petroleum-related compounds and PCE and its daughter products. Based on grab groundwater samples recently collected on the portion of the Site located at 301 19th Street, in the vicinity of the former gasoline service station and former USTs, no BTEX, MTBE, or other VOC concentrations exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. Although benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene concentrations in groundwater collected at 1750 Webster Street exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario, previous investigations have demonstrated that groundwater impacts detected beneath the Site are likely related to an offsite upgradient source in groundwater that flows in a northeasterly direction toward the portion of the Site located at 1750 Webster Street. Based on the known groundwater impacts originating from upgradient sites, local groundwater flow direction, and no known sources identified at the Site, groundwater impacts detected beneath the Site are likely sourced from an offsite upgradient property. The offsite upgradient sources at 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street have undergone remediation and the sources were removed and remediated. As a result, any residual impacts in groundwater beneath the Site are likely to naturally attenuate and decrease over time.

4.5 Chemical Release Mechanisms and Identification of Transport Media

In this section, the first two components necessary for a complete exposure pathway are addressed. Chemical properties of the Site-related chemicals and the physical characteristics of the Site were



reviewed to identify the factors that might allow the release of a chemical to the environment, and transport to or through soil, soil vapor, and groundwater.

Future development plans include a multi-story building covering the entire Site. The building footprint and sidewalks will generally preclude leaching of surface water through impacted subsurface soil; therefore, leaching is not expected to occur at the Site to any significant extent. Additionally, the anticipated cover across the Site is expected to preclude direct contact with soil for an onsite receptor. However, during redevelopment of the Site, an onsite construction worker receptor may be directly exposed to onsite soil.

Other routes of exposure may be associated with the release of chemicals through volatilization, wind and/or mechanical erosion (i.e., during construction), lateral migration of chemicals in groundwater, or migration of chemicals via stormwater runoff. These types of releases may result in chemical vapor or dust (with sorbed chemicals) emissions in air, or the movement of chemicals in groundwater or stormwater runoff. These potential release mechanisms are discussed in more detail below.

4.5.1 Volatilization of Chemical Vapors

Some of the chemicals detected at the Site are VOCs. These chemicals typically have a low organiccarbon partition coefficient (K_{oc}), a low molecular weight, and a high Henry's Law constant, indicating that these chemicals may volatilize. Therefore, volatilization of VOCs was considered a significant release mechanism for Site-related contaminants.

4.5.2 Emission of Fugitive Dust

Some chemicals (e.g., metals in soil) adsorb readily to dust particles. Chemicals adsorbed to soil particles can be blown into the air by wind and/or mechanical erosion. This is referred to as fugitive dust. During redevelopment activities, this is a potential release mechanism. However, the predominant Site-related contaminants include TPH and VOCs, which typically volatilize. Therefore, exposure to chemicals in soil via fugitive dust emissions was not considered a significant release mechanism for Site-related contaminants.

4.5.3 Lateral Migration of Groundwater into Offsite Surface Water

In the downgradient direction, the nearest surface water body is Lake Merritt. Lake Merritt, a large former tidal lagoon, is approximately 800 feet northeast of the Site. Site-related contaminants in groundwater may migrate offsite and potentially impact Lake Merritt. However, due to the distance to Lake Merritt from the Site and significant dilution upon groundwater discharging into Lake Merritt, any potential migration of Site-related contaminants in groundwater into Lake Merritt is not expected to be significant.



4.5.4 Stormwater Runoff

Stormwater runoff from areas of contaminated soil has the potential to transport contaminants bound to soil particles. However, future development plans include a large multi-story building across the entire Site. Redevelopment at the Site will include engineering controls to control stormwater runoff from the Site. Additionally, Site-related contaminants are more likely to volatilize and less likely to be adsorbed to any surface soil runoff. Although the potential chemical release via stormwater runoff is possible, it is not identified as a significant chemical release mechanism for Site-related contaminants.

4.6 Potential Human Receptors

The third component necessary for an exposure pathway to be complete is identification of potential receptors at the Site. The following hypothetical human receptors were identified on the basis of proximity to the Site, proposed activities that could possibly result in direct or indirect contact with Site-related chemicals, and anticipated Site use:

- Onsite Construction Worker Receptor;
- Onsite Commercial/Retail Worker Receptor; and
- Onsite Resident Receptor.

4.7 Potential Exposure Points

The other portion of the third component necessary for an exposure pathway to be complete is a point of contact between the contaminated medium and the receptor (i.e., the exposure point). This SCM evaluates potential exposure of receptors assuming that access to the Site is unrestricted and that onsite receptors are exposed directly to contaminated soil (construction exposure scenario only) and indirectly to soil vapor and groundwater. During redevelopment of the Site, outdoor construction worker receptors may be directly exposed to soil. Post-construction, the building foundation and concrete/asphalt paving across the Site will preclude direct contact with soil for the onsite commercial/industrial worker and onsite resident receptors. For soil, the exposure point is assumed to be the area within the Site boundaries.

In general, any hypothetical onsite construction worker receptor will be performing activities consistent with a Site Management Plan (SMP) and a Site-specific HASP. The HASP will require the use of proper personal protective equipment (PPE) and the best management practices (BMPs) will require dewatering to preclude any direct contact with groundwater for workers at the Site. Therefore, direct contact with groundwater for onsite workers was not evaluated.

Volatile compounds can be released from the subsurface into outdoor and indoor air resulting in an indirect exposure to contaminants in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater. Inhalation of VOCs in outdoor air is generally negligible due to dispersion in ambient air. For the volatilization pathway into indoor air, exposure to subsurface contamination is best characterized through the collection of soil vapor



or groundwater samples. For onsite receptors, the exposure point for soil vapor and groundwater is assumed to be the soil vapor and groundwater within the Site boundaries.

4.8 Exposure Pathways Considered Potentially Complete and Significant

The fourth and final component, a complete exposure pathway (i.e., route of exposure), is discussed in combination with the third component (i.e., presence of receptors at an exposure point) to define those exposure pathways considered to be complete and significant. The following sections summarize those pathways considered complete and significant for each receptor.

4.8.1 Hypothetical Onsite Construction Worker Receptor

The hypothetical onsite construction worker receptor is included based on construction and redevelopment activities at the Site. This receptor spends the workday outdoors performing construction-related tasks. This receptor is expected to come in contact with soil. Inhalation of chemical vapors while indoors was not considered a complete and significant exposure pathway because this receptor is not expected to be working inside buildings. The exposure pathways assumed to be complete and significant for the hypothetical onsite construction worker receptor include:

- Incidental ingestion of soil;
- Dermal contact with soil; and
- Inhalation of dust/vapors in outdoor air.

4.8.2 Hypothetical Onsite Commercial/Retail Worker Receptor

The proposed multi-story building will include retail space and building services and a lobby for the upper floor residential apartments. Based on this expected future land use, the hypothetical onsite commercial/retail worker receptor is included. This receptor spends the workday (8 hours per day) conducting activities primarily indoors with limited outdoor exposure. Although inhalation of vapors in outdoor air may be complete, outdoor air concentrations are typically lower than indoor air concentrations due to dispersion; such relatively minor exposures are subsumed by the assumption that all exposure is from indoor air. The Site is expected to be capped by the building footprint, which would preclude any direct contact with soil while outdoors. The exposure pathway assumed to be complete and significant for the hypothetical onsite commercial/retail worker receptor includes:

• Inhalation of vapors in indoor air.

4.8.3 Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor

Upper levels of the proposed multi-story building will include residential apartments; therefore, the hypothetical resident receptor is included. The Site is expected to be capped by the building footprint, which would preclude any direct contact with soil while outdoors. The residential apartments are not located on the ground floor; therefore, the only potential preferential pathway to the upper residential



floors would be the two elevator shafts. The exposure pathway assumed to be complete and significant for the hypothetical onsite resident receptor includes:

• Inhalation of vapors in indoor air via the elevator shaft.

Although this is a potentially complete exposure pathway, there is no known regulatoryrecommended or approved method to evaluate this exposure pathway. The evaluation of this exposure pathway introduces significant uncertainty, when estimating the concentrations in vapor that enter the elevator shaft, mix with ambient air, then diffuse into ambient air on upper floors of a multi-story building. Therefore, this exposure pathway is qualitatively discussed but cannot be quantitatively evaluated.

As a precautionary measure, a vapor mitigation system will be installed in the two elevator shaft areas to further reduce the potential for vapor intrusion into upper residential levels. At the request of ACDEH, on March 9, 2018, a letter was prepared by Tom Graf of GrafCon and Ivy Inouye of Apex to support the sufficiency of the proposed vapor mitigation system to provide adequate protection to reduce the vapor intrusion risk to occupants of the new building to acceptable risk levels. A copy of this letter is included in Appendix D.



5.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Apex has prepared this Report for the LMC redevelopment project located at 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street and 301 19th Street in Oakland, California. The objective of this Report is to summarize previous Site investigations and describe current environmental conditions at the Site to support Site closure activities and corrective actions. Site investigation activities were initiated in 1991, with the discovery and removal of a 5,000-gallon UST and a two-stage wash-rack water clarifier from the property located at 1833 Harrison Street (currently referred to as 301 19th Street). No evidence of a subsurface release of hydrocarbons was found during the field activities; therefore, closure was recommended (JMM, 1991). Site Cleanup Program (SCP) Number RO0002672 was opened in 1993 for the development of an aboveground, open-air parking structure. The parking garage was not constructed, and in February 2000 ACHCSA closed the case with specific conditions (Section 3.2.8). Then a VCAA under VRAP Number RO0003229 was opened with ACDEH in 2016 for LMC's redevelopment plan for a seven-story mixed-use commercial/retail and multi-family residential building occupying four contiguous parcels: 1750 Webster Street (APNs 008-625-016 and 008-625-017), 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1), and 1801 Webster Street (APN 008-625-018). This report evaluates the previous Site investigations conducted under SCP Number RO0002672 and VRAP Number RO0003229 and summarizes the results of each investigation.

A SCM was prepared to identify any potential data gaps and evaluate potential impacts to human health based on the geology, hydrogeology, and distribution of COPCs beneath the Site (Appendix B). In order to identify whether the existing soil, soil vapor, and groundwater contamination poses a potential risk to human receptors, the Site data was compared with applicable RWQCB-SF ESLs (RWQCB-SF, 2016). Based on the results and interpretation of the site investigation data, the following conclusions and recommendations were made:

- COPCs in soil, soil vapor, and groundwater have been fully delineated.
- The Site is expected to be capped by the building footprint, which would preclude any direct contact with soil for future onsite commercial and residential receptors. During redevelopment of the Site, an onsite construction worker receptor may be directly exposed to onsite soil. Of the compounds detected in soil, with the exception of arsenic and lead, no concentrations exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF ESL for direct contact with soil for the construction exposure scenario to be protective of onsite construction worker receptors during redevelopment.
 - Arsenic was detected in soil at a maximum detected concentration of 5.9 mg/kg, which is well below the regional background arsenic concentration of 11 mg/kg for San Francisco Bay Region (Duvergé, 2011).
 - Lead exists randomly at the Site in the shallow fill layer and has been detected in four locations at the Site at concentrations that exceed the RWQCB-SF ESL for direct contact with soil for the residential, commercial, and/or construction exposure scenarios. No soil removal actions were documented and lead impacted soil will be capped in-place beneath the building foundation.



- The ground level of the building will include building lobby, parking, retail, commercial, and mechanical/utility areas (Figure 1-3). Residential use will be limited to the upper levels. Two elevators will be constructed to service the building occupants.
- Of the compounds detected in soil vapor, no concentrations exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF soil gas ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario.
- Groundwater data from the Site and upgradient monitoring wells indicated the presence of an areal distribution of low concentrations of petroleum-related compounds and PCE and its daughter products.
 - Of the 2017 and 2018 grab groundwater samples collected on the portion of the Site located at 301 19th Street, in the vicinity of the former gasoline service station and former USTs, no BTEX, MTBE, or other VOC concentrations exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario.
 - Although benzene, ethylbenzene, and naphthalene concentrations in groundwater monitoring wells installed at 1750 Webster Street exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF groundwater ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario, previous investigations have demonstrated that groundwater impacts detected beneath the Site are likely related to an offsite upgradient source in groundwater that flows in a northeasterly direction toward the Site.
 - The offsite upgradient sources at 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street have undergone remediation and the sources were removed and remediated. As a result, any residual impacts in groundwater beneath the Site are likely to naturally attenuate and decrease over time.

Based on current contaminant concentrations and distribution at the Site, the following corrective actions were planned at the Site: (1) capping lead-impacted soil on Site beneath the building foundation to prevent direct contact with soil; (2) installation of a vapor mitigation barrier in the subgrade portion of the elevator shafts to further reduce the potential for vapor intrusion into upper residential levels; and (3) installation of a trench plugs in utility trenches where required to prevent vapor migration. No further remediation of soil, soil vapor, or groundwater is considered necessary.

These corrective actions will be documented in the Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan Compliance Report, Vapor Barrier and Utility Trench Dam Record Report of Construction, Long-Term Site Management Plan (SMP), and Land Use Covenant (LUC). In accordance with ACDEH's letter dated October 24, 2017 (Appendix A), prior to building occupancy, these reports will be prepared and submitted to ACDEH for review and approval.



6.0 **REFERENCES**

- Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH). 2017. Letter to Mr. Tyler Wood, Lennar Multifamily Communities: Site Cleanup Program Case RO0003229 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099, Lennar Multifamily Communities, 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, CA 94612. October 24.
- Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA). 2000. Letter to Mr. Charles A. Sumner
 II, Prentiss Properties and Mr. Leland Douglas, Douglas Parking LLC: STID 4617, 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, CA 94612. February 16.
- Apex Companies, LLC (Apex). 2018a. Groundwater Sampling and Well Destruction Report, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California, Site Cleanup Program Case RO0003229 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099. January 19.
- Apex. 2018b. Work Plan for Assessing Impacts of Unauthorized Release, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California, Site Cleanup Program Case RO0003229 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099. February 16.
- Apex. 2018c. Tank Closure Report, Lennar Multifamily Communities (LMC), 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California, Site Cleanup Program Case RO0003229 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099, Tank Closure Permit No. SR0034334. April 20.
- Applied Geosciences Inc. (AGI). 1993a. Environmental Assessment for Three Parcels Located in Oakland, California. January 6.
- AGI. 1993b. Results of Geophysical Survey and Groundwater Investigation at Three Parcels Located on the Block Bounded by 19th Street, Harrison Street, 17th Street, and Webster Street, Oakland, California. April 1.
- AGI. 1993c. Results of Geophysical Survey and Subsurface Investigation at a Parcel Located on the East Side of Webster Street Between 19th Street and 17th Street, Oakland, California. June 1.
- ATC Associates Inc. (ATC). 1998a. Soil and Groundwater Investigation for 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California. March 19.
- ATC. 1998b. Well Installation and Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second and Third Quarter 1998, 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California. September 25.
- ATC. 1999a. Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, Fourth Quarter 1998 for 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California. January 19.
- ATC. 1999b. Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report, First Quarter 1999 for 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California. April 1.
- Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (CRA). 2014. Conceptual Site Model and Low-Threat Closure Request, Chevron Service Station 9-0020, 1633 Harrison Street, Oakland, California, Fuel Leak Case No RO0143. March 28.



- Duvergé, Dylan Jacques. 2011. Establishing Background Arsenic in Soil of the Urbanized San Francisco Bay Region, A thesis submitted to the faculty of San Francisco State University in partial fulfillment of The Requirements for The Degree. Master of Science In Geosciences. December.
- GeoDesign Inc. (GeoDesign). 2016. Groundwater Monitoring Report: October 2016, 1700 through 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California. November 14.
- GeoSolve, Inc. (GeoSolve). 2015a. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment on 1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, APNs 008-625-016; 008-625-017; 008-625-018; 008-625-002-1; 008-625-004; 008-625-005; 008-625-006; 008-625-007; 008-625-008, Oakland, California 94545. November 6.
- GeoSolve. 2015b. Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Parking Lot Parcels, 1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, APNs 008-0625-016; 008-0625-017; and 008-0625-002-1, Oakland, California. November 7.
- GeoSolve. 2015c. Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Parking Lot Parcels, 1750 Webster Street, 008-0625-017, Oakland, California. December 23.
- GeoSolve. 2016a. Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Parking Lot Parcel, 1810 Webster Street, APN 008-0625-018, Oakland, California. February 12.
- GeoSolve. 2016b. Soil-Gas Survey, Parking Lot Parcels, 1750 and 1810 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, APNs 008-0625-016; 008-0625-017; 008-0625-018; and 008-0625-002-1, Oakland, California. February 22.
- GeoSolve. 2016c. Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, Parking Lot Parcels, 301 19th Street, 008-0625-002-1, Oakland, California. August 8.
- GeoSolve. 2016d. Additional Soil-Gas Survey, Parking Lot Parcels, 1750 and 1810 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, APNs 008-0625-016; 008-0625-017; 008-0625-018; and 008-0625-002-1, Oakland, California. August 31.
- GeoSolve. 2017a. Soil Management Plan, Proposed Multifamily Development, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California. April 3.
- GeoSolve. 2017b. Additional Soil-Gas Survey, Parking Lot Parcels, 1750 and 1810 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, APNs 008-0625-016; 008-0625-017; 008-0625-018; and 008-0625-002-1, Oakland, California. VRAP Case No. RO0003229, SCP No. RO0002672. May 31.
- GrafCon. 2017. Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan, Proposed Multifamily Development, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California. October 24.
- Helley, E.J., La Joie, K.R., Sangle, W.E., and Blair, M.L. 1979. Flatland deposits their geology and engineering properties and their importance to comprehensive planning: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 943, 88p.
- James M. Montgomery Consulting Engineers, Inc. (JMM). 1991. Underground Storage Tank Closure Report, 1833 Harrison Street. June 17.
- Pangea Environmental Services, Inc. (Pangea). 2017a. Soil Gas Sampling Report and Updated CSM, Douglas Parking Company, 1721 Webster Street, Oakland, California, ACEH File No. 129. June 19.



- Pangea. 2017b. Well Destruction Report, Douglas Parking Company, 1721 Webster Street, Oakland, California, ACEH File No. 129. October 30.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (RWQCB-SF). 2016. Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs). San Francisco Bay Region. Revision 3. February.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part A. Interim Final. Solid Waste and Emergency Response. December.
- Versar Inc. (Versar). 1998. Risk Based Corrective Action Assessment for the Property located at 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California 94612, Alameda County Environmental Health Services Agency Site No. 4617, Versar Project No. Q98-1197. February 23.



7.0 LIMITATIONS

This document was prepared for the exclusive use of the Lennar Multifamily Communities, LLC (LMC) and the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) for the express purpose of complying with a client- or regulatory directive for environmental investigation or restoration. Apex Companies, LLC (Apex) and LMC must approve any re-use of this work product in whole or in part for a different purpose or by others in writing. If any such unauthorized use occurs, it shall be at the user's sole risk without liability to Apex or LMC. To the extent that this report is based on information provided to Apex by third parties, including LMC, their direct contractors, previous workers, and other stakeholders, Apex cannot guarantee the completeness or accuracy of this information, even where efforts were made to verify third-party information. Apex has exercised professional judgment to collect and present findings and opinions of a scientific and technical nature. The opinions expressed are based on the conditions of the Site existing at the time of the field investigation, current regulatory requirements, and any specified assumptions. The presented findings and recommendations in this report are intended to be taken in their entirety to assist LMC and ACDEH personnel in applying their own professional judgment in making decisions related to the property. Apex cannot provide conclusions on environmental conditions outside the completed scope of work. Apex cannot guarantee that future conditions will not change and affect the validity of the presented conclusions and recommended work. No warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made with respect to the data or the reported findings, observations, conclusions, and recommendations.



FIGURES





















TABLES

Table 2-1 Summary of Historical Depth to Water and Groundwater Elevation Data 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street

Oakland, CA

Well ID	Parcel	Date Installed	Top of Casing ¹ NGVD (ft-msl)	Top of Casing² City of Oakland (ft-msl)	Total Depth of Well (ft-bgs)	Well Diameter (inches)	Screened Interval (ft-bgs)	Sample Date	Depth to Water (ft-btoc)	Groundwater Elevation ³ (ft-msl)
A-1	008-625-016	04/26/98	30.20	27.20	31	2	15 - 31	04/28/98	19.45	7.75
								08/04/98	19.80	7.40
								11/18/98	20.39	6.81
								02/26/99	19.82	7.38
								10/12/16	21.32	5.88
								10/10/17	20.50	6.70
A-2	008-625-016	04/26/98	31.31	28.31	31.5	2	15 - 31.5	04/28/98	19.65	8.66
								08/04/98	19.97	8.34
								11/18/98	20.57	7.74
								02/26/99	20.23	8.08
								10/12/16	21.65	6.66
								10/10/17	19.75	8.56
A-3	008-625-016	04/26/98	30.71	27.71	30	2	15 - 30	04/28/98	18.81	8.90
								08/04/98	19.05	8.66
								11/18/98	19.66	8.05
								02/26/99	19.32	8.39
								10/12/16	21.01	6.70
								10/10/17	19.45	8.26

Notes:

ft-msl = feet above mean sea level.

ft-bgs = feet below ground surface.

ft-btoc = feet below top of casing.

¹ Wells surveyed by Ron Archer Civil Engineer, Inc. on April 28, 1998 to National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD).

² Converted from NGVD to City of Oakland Datum using the information provided by the City of Oakland, a vertical datum adjustment of +3.00.

http://www2.oaklandnet.com/oakcal/groups/pwa/documents/report/oak049845.pdf

 $^{\rm 3}\,$ Based on top of casing elevation using City of Oakland datum minus depth to water.

References:

GeoDesign Inc. 2016. Groundwater Monitoring Report: October 2016, 1700 through 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California. November 14.

ſ	Zinc (mg/kg)	-	23.000	350,000		,	,		1	1	I		1	I	1	,		I	1	1		,	1	ı	I	1		,	,	I	ı	ı	1		,	ı	ı	1		1	1	,	,		ı	ı			ı	1			,		,						1	I			,	1
	Vanadium (mg/kg)		390	5,800	;					;			:			:	:	:	,			;		:	;	,				:	:	;			,	:	;				:	;	,		:	;			;			:			,	:					;	;			:	:
	Thallium (mg/kg)	: 5	0.78	12	,				,	1			1				1		1			,	1	1	ı	ı		,	,	1	ı	,			,	,	ı			,	,	,	,		ı	1		,	,						,						,	1			,	,
	Silver (mg/kg)	: -	390	5,800	;	,			;	:			;	:				:	;			;			;	;		;	;	:	:	;			,	:				,	;	;	,		:	:			;			:			;	:					;	:			;	
	Selenium (mg/kg)		390	5,800	,				,	1	,		1	1		,	1	ı	1			,	1	1	1	1		,		1	ı	,			,	ı	ı			,	,	,	,		1	ı			,				,	, ,	1		,				1	ı			1	,
	Nickel ((mg/kg)	: 5	820	11,000	,	;				;			:			:		:	,			;			;	,				:	:	;			,	:	;			,	:	;	,		;	;			;			:			;	:	:				;	;			;	:
	Molybdenum (mg/kg)		390	5,800		1	1		1	1	1		1		1	1		1	1			,	1	1	1	1		,		1	I	,			,	1	ı			1	1	1	,		1	1			1	1			,		,						1	1			1	1
	Mercury N (mg/kg)	: :	13	190	;	;			;	:			:				;	:	;			;			;	;		;	:	1	1	;			,	:	1			;	:	;	,		:	:			;			:			;	:					;	:			;	
	Metals Lead (mg/kg)	1 007	80	320	,	4.40	10.6	0.00	ND<5	:	ND/E	202			ŝ		;					,			1	;		,		1	1	;			,					,	:	;	170	5.8	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	6.8	5.3	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	9.8	5.3	NUC>UN	ND<5.0	8.9	7.3	ND/E O	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0	ND<5.0			:	
ובמו	Copper (mg/kg)		3.100	47,000	,					;			:			:	:	:	,			;	:		;	,		;	:	:	:	;			,					,	:	;	,		:	;			;						,	:					;	;			:	:
	C obalt (mg/kg)	1 8	23	350	,	;			,	1	,		1	1	,	,	1	1	ı			,	1	1	ı	ı		,		1	ı	,			1	ı				,	,	,	,		:	ı			;			:		, ,	1	:	:				;	;			,	,
I/ 30 W ebster Street, I o IV Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, CA	Chromium (mg/kg)		12.0.000	1,800,000					1	1	ı		1	1	1	1	1	1	1			,		1	1	1		,	,	1	ı	1			,	1				1	1	,	,		ı	1			ı	1			,		,		1				1	ı			1	1
at, to to we Oaklan	Cadmium (mg/kg)	1 \$	3 69	580	;	;			;	1			1	1			:		,			;	:	:	;	,		;		:	:	,			,					,	;	;	,		:	1			;			:			,						;				;	;
	Beryllium ((mg/kg)	: \$	150	2,200	;	;				:			:	:		:	:	:	,			;		:	;	,		;		:	:	;			,	:				,	:	;	,		:	;			;			:			,	:	:				;	:			:	:
	Barium ((mg/kg)	1 00	3,000	220,000	,	,		,	,	,			,		,	,	1	,	1			,	1	1	1	1		,	,	1	1	,			,	,				,	,	,	,		ı	,		,	,				,		,		,				,	1			,	,
	Arsenic (mg/kg)	11	0.067	0.31	,					:			:			:		:	,			,			1			;	:		1	;			,	:				,	:	;	,		:	:			;						,	:					;	;			:	:
	Antimony (mg/kg)		31	470	,	,		,	,	1	,		1	1	,		1	1	1			,	1	1	ı	ı		,		1	I	,			,	1				,	,	,	,		ı	1		,	,				,		1						,	1			,	
	Sample Date				09/06/91	09/10/91	09/10/91	1 8/01/80	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	86//0/20	96//0/20	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/00/90	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/08	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	0.010010.0	96/52/140	04/25/98	04/25/98	04/25/98	04/25/98	10/28/15	10/28/15	1 0/28/1 5	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	7 1/28/15	1 0/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	4 0/44/4 E	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	31/11/21	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15
	Sample Depth (feet)				5 Stocknila	15	15	-		20						10	24	10	77	0 4	19	5	11	21	10	15	0	12	16	11	16	10	11	16	11	16		10.5	1	16	11.5	17.5	5	10	15	17.5		30		0 ¥	20	25	со 9	5	20	20	25	Ŧ	- ~	4 m	4	5	0.0	20	20	25
	Lab Method ⁵				(a)		(c)	(c)	(d), (e)	(e)	(e) (d) (e)	(d), (e) (d), (e)	(e)	(e)	(d), (e)	(J)	£	(j)	£9	€€	€€	÷€	(t)	(j)	e	£9	€€	÷	£	(J)	£	£	€€	€	€	÷		6	(D)	(6)	(B)	(B)	(4)	(h), (i)	(h), (i)	(h), (i)	(i) (i) (i) (i)	(h), (i)	(H)	(I), (I) (P) (I)	(i) (i)	(h), (i)	(µ)	(I) (I) (P) (I)	(i) (i)	(h), (i)	(h), (i)	(4)	() ()	(4)	(4)	(4)	99	20)) ()	()
	Parcel	oncentration	i 301	2lic	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	1-200-0		008-625-016			008-625-016		910-679-900	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	210 200 000	008-625-017	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-017/002-1	008-625-017/002-1	008-625-017/002-1	008-625-017/002-1	008-625-017/002-1	008-625-017/002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	000 825 018	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016
	Sample ID	round Arsenic C	ct-Residential So	tct-Commercial Si	JM-01	JM-04	JM-05	00-MIC	SB1-2	SB1-4	SB2-2 CB2.4	SB3-2	SB3-4	SB4-2	SB4-4	G-1-10	G-1-24	G-2-10	6-2-22	6-4-10 6-2-16	6-4-10	G-4-22	G-5-11	G-5-21	G-6-10	G-6-15 0 7 15	6-7-10	G-8-12	G-8-16	G-9-11	G-9-16	G-10-10	6-10-1/	G-11-16	G-12-11	G-12-16		A-1-10.5	A-2-11	A-2-16	A-3-11.5	A-3-17.5						B1-30 (B2-5	B2-10 B2-16	B2-20	B2-25	B3-5	B3-10 B3-15	B3-20	B3-20D	B3-25	1 1	B4-7	B4-3	B4-4	B4-5		T	B4-20	
-	Sample Location	RWQCB Regional Background Arsenic Concentra	RWOCB ESL Direct Conta	RWQCB ESL Direct Conta	JM-01	JM-04	JM-05	00-MIC	SB-1	SB-1	SB-2 cB-3	SB-3	SB-3	SB-4	0B-4	G-1	6-1	6-2	6-7 0	? e	5-5	G-4	G-5	G-5	6-6 6	9 9 0	2-5	 9	G-8	G-9	6-0 0	G-10	6-10	6-11	G-12	G-12	201	A-1-10.5 A-1-15	A-2-11	A-2-16	A-3-11.5	A-3-17.5	B-1	B-1	B-1	B-1	ь -	B-1	B-2	B-2 B-3	B-2	B-2	B-3	P-3	B-3	B-3	B-3	1	B-4	B-4	B-4	B-4	B-4	8-4-8	B-4	B-4

Table 2-2 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results for Metals 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street

Page 1 of 5

Table 2-2 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results for Metals 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, CA

	J		П	~	Т	Т	Π		Т	Т	Т	Г	Г	П		Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	1	Г	Г			٦	٦	T	T	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Г	Г			T	Т	Т	Т	T	1	Г	Г	П	П	Т	Т			Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Г	Г	1	1	Т	т	Т	Π	
Zinc		110,000	23,000	350,000	1	' '	1	ı	'	' '	' '	1	'	1	1	•	'	'	•			'	1	1		1	1	•	1	•	'	'	'	ı	' '		' '	'	•	'	1	1	'	•	'	'	'	'	'	1	1	1	' '		24	1 2	6	1 100	001	1 2	7	ı		1	1	'	1	'		'	ı	ı	
Vanadium	(Ru/Ruil)	470	390	5,800	:		:		:			:	:	:	:	•	:	;				:	•	•		:	1	;	:	:	:		:	:							:		•		•	:	•		:	:	:				31	: 5	40	: 70	ŧ	- 06	8	:				:		:				:	
Thallium	(Ry/R)))	3.5	0.78	12	1		-	1						1		1	1	1					1	1		1	1	1	ı		ı		1	1				,	,	1	1	I	1									1			ND<0.50	ND-0 ED						1		1	1	1	1						
Silver (malka)	(Ry/Rill)	1,800	390	5,800	;		:	,	:			,	:			;	;	,				:	,			;	;	;	:			,	;					,	,			;	;					,	;		,	;			ND<0.50			ND-0 ED		ND-0 ED				:	,	,			,			;	
Selenium (mol/co)	(Ry/RIII)	1,700	390	5,800	1			1	,			,	,	1		1	1	,				,	,	,		,	1	,	1	,	1	,	,	,				,	,	1	,	ı	1			,	,	,	,	,	,	,			ND<0.50			1010				,		1	,	,	,	,				,	
Nickel S	T	86	820	11,000	;		:		;			;	:	;		;	;	,					;	;		;	;	;	:			,	,					,	,		;	;	;					,	,	;	;	,		h		: 9	t	: 6	t	: 4	t	,		;	,	,	,						
Molybdenum		800	390	5,800	,			,	,			,				1	1										1	,			1									1		1	1								,	,			ND<0.50	ND-0 ED	00:04	1	00.0	1	00.04	,				,						_	
				_	+										_										_																			_								+		Н	_			+	╞		+									╞	╞	-	
Mercury	╈	44	13	190	1		0	- 0	-			- 0	:	- 0	•	1	•	'		0		- 0	1	- 0	_	:	1	1	•	•	1	•	;	:	•			•	;	1	:	1	•		•	•	'	•	•	:	1	'			ND<0.050	ND-000			0.00	0	00.0	:		1	'	'	1	- -		 7/		-	
Lead	╀	+	\square	320	1 1014	ND<5.	ND<5.	ND<5.	ND<5.	- ND<5		ND<5.	1	ND<5.	6.2	6.2	6.5	5.7	2	NDA5		ND<5.	1	ND<5.		7.5	1	2.2	:	1.9	1	9.2	130	: 6	77	46	2	3.0	16	:	2.2	1	2.3	1 2	0.1	5.1	1.7	2.0	9.7	2.0	2.3	- 4	2.5		3.5	- 3	ŧ.	470	1,0		4		100/	3.1	3.5	'	•	16 ma/L		0.82 ma/L	3111 70'N	3.1	
Copper	6v/6=->	14,000	3,100	47,000	;		:	1	:			:	:	:	:	1	;	'				•	;	•		:	;	;	:	•	:	•	;	:		•		,	;	:	;	1	;		•	•	•	•	•	:	;	•			9.6	: \$	7	: 6	77	1 0	4.0	1		:	•	•	•	•		'	1	:	
C obalt	(Ru/Bill)	28	23	350	I		:	1	:	•		•	ı	:	•	1	;	;				:	'	,		:	ı	;	1	:	I	,	;	1	•		•	,	,	ı	:	I	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	:	;	ı			7.7	1	0.3	- 7	ż	1 9	0.0	ı		1	,	'	•	•		'	'	:	
Chromium (mod/kot)	(By/Bill)	530,000	120,000	1,800,000	1		-		ı			ī		ı		1	1					1		,			1				ı									1		ı		1		,	,		1		1	ı			69	1 2	80	1 5	8	1 2	70	1		1		ND<0.10 ma/L	0.11 ma/L	0.21 mg/L	ND<0.10 mo/l				
Cadmium (mailed)	(Ru/Bill)	43	39	580	;		:		:				:	:	:	1	:	;				:	;			:	;	;	:		:		;					;	;	:	:	;	:						;		;	:			ND<0.25			1 40	0.44	ND-0.26				:	;	;		:	;		:	:	
Beryllium		42	150	2,200	;		:							;		,	;	,					,	,		:	;	;			;	,	;					,	,	:	:	;	;							;	;	,			ND<0.50		00.0~0N	ND-0 ED	00.020	ND-0 ED				;	,	,							
Barium		3,000	15,000	22 0,000	,			,	,			,	1	1		1	1	,				,	,	,		1	ı	1	1	,	ı	,	,	,				,	,	1	1	1	1	, ,		,	,	,	,	,	,	,			95	1 8	06	- 000	077	- 10	0/	,		1	,	,	,	,	,			,	
Arsenic (molloo)	╋	0.98	0.067	0.31	;		:		;			;	:	;		;	;	,					;	;		;	;	;	:			,	,					,	,		;	;	;					,	,	;	;	,			2.3	- 00	0.9		ŧ	1 0 0	5.3	,		;	,	,	,						
Antimony /	+	140	31	470	,			,	,			,	1	1		1	1	,				,	,	,		1	1	1	1	,	1	,	,	,				,	,	1	1	1	1	, ,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,			D<0.50		00.04	- 10	0.10	1 10	00.00	,		1	,	,	,	,	,	,		,	
Sample Date An					12/11/15	2/11/15	12/11/15	2/11/15	2/11/15	2/11/15	2/11/15	12/11/15	2/11/15	2/11/15	2/11/15	2/11/15	2/11/15	12/11/15	2/11/5	2/11/15	2/14/15	12/14/15	2/14/15	2/14/15		2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	91/20/2	9 L/Z0/2	01/20/2	2/02/16	02/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	2/02/16	91/20/2	21/2/10	07/14/16	7/14/16	07/14/16	07/14/16	7/14/16	7/14/16	7/14/16	07/14/16	Н	17 N	02/22/17	1 17777	1 17717	- 1777	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	L 1777	1 1/22/2	1 17717	2122117	2/22/17	2/22/17	2/22/17	2/22/17	2122117	02/22/17	11/77/7	05/15/17	
Sample S Depth	(ובבו)				25 1	- 2	3 1	4	5 40	0 0		20 1		25 1		2	с. -	4	0	Ι	20	Γ		25 1	Π	1	15 0	15 0.	20	20 0	22.5 0	0 0.22		<u> </u>	00	20	2	22.5 0	1	15 0	15 0	20 0	20 0	22.5	0 0.22	1	10	15 0	1	10 0	15 0	1 0	15 0		Composite ³ 0	Composite ³ 0	Composite ³ 0	omposite ³ 0		Composite ³ 0			2.3	7.5 0	10	Composite ³ 0	omposite ³ 0	Composite ³ 0	omnosite ³ 0	Composite ³ 0	> anendino	2 0	
Lab Method ⁵					(j)	Ē	(h)	(L)	£ 3	(I) (I) (I)	(i) (i)	(h), (i)	()	(h), (i)	(H)	(L)	(L)	Ê		(1) (1)	(1)	(h), (i)	()	(h), (i)	-	(k)	9	(k), (j)	0		() ()	4	4	(I)	(I) (I)	(k) (i)	(i) (i)	(k), (i)	(k)	()	(k), (i)	0	(k), (i)	(1)	(K), (I)	(k). (j)	(k), (j)	(K), (I)	(k), (i)	(k), (i)	(k), (i)	(1)	(K). (I)			0 0 E =					t	1	(2)	(x)	8	n) STLC Co	n) STLC Co	n) STLC Co	n) STI C C		0) 1011	(K)	
Parcel	Concentration ⁷	oil ²			008-625-016	008-625-017	008-625-017	008-625-017	008-625-017	t	t	008-625-017	Γ	П	۵	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	000-023-010	T	T	008-625-016	F	t		008-625-018	008-625-018	008-625-018	008-625-018	525-018	008-625-018	225-U18	525-018	000-022-010	008-625-010	008-625-018	t	008-625-018	t	008-625-018	008-625-018	1	008-625-018	008-625-018	01 0-020-000	08-625-002-1	08-625-002-1	08-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	08-625-002-1	08-625-002-1	08-625-002-1	08-625-002-1		008-625-018	008-625-018	000-022-017	00 675 000 4	000-020-002-1	000-023-002-1	1-700-070-00	08-625-002-1	1-00-020-000	08-625-002-1	08-625-002-1	008-625-018	008-625-017	08-625-002-1	08-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	1-200-020-000	008-625-016	
Sample ID		:t-Construction S	-Residential Soil ²	-Commercial Soil ⁴		B5-2			╈	╈	t	B5-20 (_		+	+	t	┢	t	B6-20	╞	╞	-	B1-1 (╉	B2-13	t		B2-22.5		B3-15 (1	1	B3-20	B3-22.5	0.77-00	B7-1 0	B7-10 0	B7-15 0	T	B8-10 0	B8-15 0	B9-1 0	B9-15 0			SPB1-A,B,C,D						CDD2 A	SPE3-P	SPB3-C 0	SPB3-D 0	PB1-A.B.C.D	PB2-A.B.C.D	PB1-A.B.C.D 0	PR2-ARCD 0	DR1_ARCD 0	י היהימיע-ום	L1-2 (
Sample Location	RWOCB Regional Background Arsenic	RWQCB ESL Direct Contac	RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Residential Soil ²	RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-	B-4 B 5	85	B-5	B-5	6-2 6	0-0 B.F	ې د د د	B-5	B-5	B-5	B-6	B-6	B-6	9-9 2	0-0 9-0	99	9 9 9	B-6	B-6	B-6		B-1	р 1	B-1	B-1	B-1	B-1		B-2	24	B-2 B-3	5.7 1.7	8-2 B-2	B-2	B-3	B-3	B-3	B-3	B-3	2 G	0-0	B-7	B-7	B-7	B-8	B-8	B-8	6-8 0	6-8 6-8			SPB-1 SP																L-1	

Page 2 of 5

Table 2-2 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results for Metals 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, CA

Γ	nc /ka)	(Ru)	000	000	000				T		T	T		Τ	T	Ι.			Γ.				,						,													Γ.																					Ι	Ι		Ι.	Γ.			Π		Ţ]	_
	lium Zinc		110,000	23,000												ľ				_																									_													_															_	_	_
	m Vanadium		470	390	5,80	: :	:	•	1	•	:	1	: :			1	1	1	1	-	:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	•	1	•	1	1	•	•			1	'	:	1	1		1	1	1	1			•	:	1	•		•	:	1	;	1	1	•	•				1	:	1	:	1	-	:	1	
	Thallium (molka)		3.5	0.78	12	1	1	1	'	1	'	'				'	1	I	'	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	1	ı	'	1	1	1	'	'			'	1	'	'	'	1	1	1	1	1		' '	'	1	'	'		'	1	1	'	'	'	'	'			'	'	1	1	1	1	'	'	1	1
	Silver (ma/ka)		1,800	390	5,800	: :	:	:	•	:	•	•				•	;	;	:		:	:	;	;	:	:	1	;	;	:	;	:	1	;	•	:			,	,	•	:	:	;	:	1	1	1	: :		•	:	:	:		•	:	:	;	:	1	•	:	:			:	:	1	:	:	;	:	:	;
	Selenium (ma/ka)	(Rußin)	1,700	390	5,800			,	1	ı	ı	1				,	1	ı	1	1		1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	1	ı	ı						,	ı	1	1	1			ı	1			,	ı	1	,		,	ı		ı								ı			1	ı	1	1	ı	ı
	Nickel (ma/ka)	(Rußin)	86	820	11,000		:	:		:							;	;		-	:	:	;	;	;	:	:	;	;	:	:	:	:	;					,	;			:		:		;	;			:	:	:	:		:	:	:	;	;							,	:	:		;	;	:	1	1
	Molybdenum (ma/ka)	(BuBu	1,800	390	5,800		,	,	,	,	1	,				,	,	1	,	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	,	,			,	,		,	1	1	1	,	,	,			,	,	,	,		,	1	1	ı	1	,	,	,				,		,	,	1	,	,	1	
	Mercury Mol		44	13	90			;													:	:		;	;	:	:	;			;		;	;													;	,					;	;					;													-			-
als	- 7	┢	_	80		- 9	4.6	- 1				0 1	. 4	, w	0.4		9	6	5	5	9	6	e,	7	4	3	9	9	5	6, ¹	-		4		0,0		2 -		6	7	+	9	7	0	2	2				n 6	2	4	5	6,0	4	7	8	5	÷.		2	, .		2.4	, c	4 6	5	6	.3	6	9	5	0,1	8	
Metal		┝		~	0	5.6	4	ri o	N C			4 0	° «	o e	5 uc	94	~	ò	4	5.	3	6	ri ri	4	°.	Ω.	6	õ	ri ri	4	4	~ 1	m.	4	4 1	0,6	, A	i r	4	4	4	÷	e,	4	4	~	۵	4,1	o -	f ei	20	4	4	~1 +		4	3	ŝ	~	-	ri •	4 0	o -	ť ¬	ŕ	ŕ	~	-	3.	e.	2	210	~	2	9
	t Copper	┢	14,000	3,100	47,00		;	•	•	•	•	•				'	1	1	1	1	:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	:	1	1	1	1	•	•			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		: :	'	•	;	•		'	1	1	1	1	1	•	•				1	:	1	:	1	-	•	1	1
	Cobalt (mo/ko)	+	28	23	390	: '	1	•	1	•	:	•				'	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	'	1	1	1	'	'			1	1	:	1	:	1	1	1	1	1		' '	'	1	'	'		'	1	1	;	1	'	'	'			'	1	:	1	1	1	-	-	1	1
	Chromium (ma/ka)	(Ru Rui)	530,000	12 0,000	1,800,000		•	•	1	ı	1	1				,	1	ı	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	1	ı	1	ı	•	1	1	ı	ı					1	1	ı	1	ı	1	1		ı	ı			•	ı	1	•		•	ı	1	ı								ı		1	1	ı	1	•	ı	ı
	Cadmium (ma/ka)	(Rushin)	43	39	280		:									,	,				:	:	;	;	;	:	:	;	;	1	1		;	;					,	,	:			:	:		;	;				ı	1	:		,	1	:	;	,	,						,	:		:	;	;	;		;
	Beryllium (mo/ka)	_	42	150	2,200		;									,	,	,			:	;	1	;	;	;		;	1	:	;		;	;					,	,			:			,	,	,				:	;	;					;	,							,	:	;	:	;	;	;		;
	Barium E		3,000	15,000	22 0,000		,	,	,	,	,	,				,	,	1	,	-	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	,	,	1	1	1	,	,			,	,		,		1	1	1	1	1			,	ı	,	,		,	1	1	1	,	,	,	,				,		1	1	1	,	,	1	1
	Arsenic E	+	\vdash	0.067			;	;								,	,				:	;	;	;	;	;	:	;	;	:	;	:	;	;	,	,			,	,			:			,	;	,				;	;	;					;	,	,	,	,				,	:	,	;	;	;	;	;	;
	Antimony Au (ma/ka) (r	-	140	31 (0/		,	,	1	1	,	1				,	,	1		-		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	,					,			1	-		1	1	1				1	,	,			1		1	1	,	,					,				1	-	-	1	_
-	Sample Date Anti		-		4 514 7	15/17	05/15/17	15/17	2 1/CI	1 1/1 /	1 L/CL	2 1/CI	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	05/15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	/ L/GL/GO	2 1 / C I	11/01/00	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	05/15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	/ L/GL	2 1 / C I	1 1/1 1	1 1/1 1	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	05/15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17	15/17
-	Sample Sa Depth C	(100			100	6 05		10 05	7	4 0	000 a	10	0120	4	Τ	8 05		2 05	4 05			10 05/	2 05/15/1	4 05/		8 05/15/	10 05/	2 05/	4 05	6 05/	8 05/	10 05/		4 05/	0.00	10	Ι	4	6 05/	8 05/		2 05/	4 05/	6 05/	8 05/	10 05/	2 05	4 05	000	10 05	2 05/	4 05/	6 05	8 10 05	2 0.5	4 05/	6 05/	8 05/	10 05/15/1	2 020	4 0	0.00	10	00	4 000	6 05	8 05/	10 05/	2 05/	4 05/			10 05		
ŀ	Lab Sa Method ⁵ D					(K)		(K)	(K)	(K)	(K)	(K)	(K)		(1)	(K)	(8)	(K)	(K)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(K)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(K)	(k)	(k)	(K)	(k)	(K)	(K)	(K)	83			(8)	(K)	(K)	(K)	(K)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(K)	(K)	(K)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(K)	(4)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(k)	8	(K)	(K)	83	23	24	(k)	(8)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(k)	(K)	(k)	(k)
╞		ion '			9	-016	016	016	0.16	010	0.15	0.16	012	117	212	-017	-017	-017			-		-	-	-				018	018	018	018	+	+	+	+	+	+	╞						002-1	002-1	017	017	-01/	-017	002-1	002-1	002-1	002-1	102-1	002-1	002-1	_	_	+	1-200	1-200	1-200	1002-1	100-1-000	002-1	002-1	002-1	002-1	002-1		- I.	- I.		
	Parcel	Concentrat	on Soil ²	Soil ²	2011	008-625	008-625	008-625	20-000	000-022	0-620-800	20-000	008-625-010	008-625	008-625	008-625-017	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-017	008-625	008-625	008-625-018	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-01	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-018	008-625	008-625	2000-002	110-020-000	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-	008-625-4	008-625-002-1	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-017	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-002-	008-625-	008-625-002-1	008-625-	008-625-002-1	008-625-	008-625-002	008-625-	008-625-002-1	008-625-	0108-020	-070-070	000 675	000 675 -	008-675-	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-4	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-002-1	008-625-	008-625-
	Sample ID	ound Arsenic	ct-Constructi	t-Residential S	st-Commercial	L1-6	L1-8	L1-10	L2-2	L2-4	0-7-D	L2-0	1 3-3	12.4	2.6	L3-8	L3-10	L4-2	L4-4	L4-6	L4-8	L4-10	L5-2	L5-4	L5-6	L5-8	L5-10	L6-2	L6-4	-9-9	L6-8	L6-10	L7-2	L/-4	L/-0	L/-0	18-2	18.4	L8-6	L8-8	L8-10	L9-2	L9-4	L9-6	L9-8	L9-10	L10-2	L10-4	L10-0	L10-10	L11-2	L11-4	L11-6	L11-8	112-2	L12-4	L12-6	L12-8	L12-10	L13-2	L13-4	L 13-0	L 13-0	114.0	1 14-4	14-6	L14-8	L14-10	L15-2	L15-4					
╞		tional Backgro	. Direct Contac	RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Residential Soil ²	- Direct Contac								-					+	*	+	4	4	0	6	6	6		0										~		~		6	6	6	6	6	0				-	1			~	5	2	2	2		5			0	1	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	9	9
	Sample Location	RWQCB Reg	RWQCB ESL	RWQCB ESL	KWUCB ESL	22	5		2		2	- L	L-2	17	1		2	4	4	L-4	4	4 T	L-5	Ľ	ž	L-5	Ľ	L,	Ľ	Ľ	¥	Ľ.	3	5	1		19	1	4	φ. _	ч Г	5-7	5-7	3-7	3-7	3- T - F				1	L-1	L-11	L-1			1	L-1.	L-1.	L-12						5		-1	L-1.	L-1.	L-15	1	L-1	5	L-1	2

Page 3 of 5

Table 2-2 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results for Metals 1750 Webster Steel, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, CA

_														_	_																																														_			_											_	_
	Zinc	(mg/kg)	110,000	23,000	350,000		1		1	1	-	1	ı	I	I	I	1	1	ı					1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	I				1		-	I	1		1	1	1			1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	-	22	26	23	21	19	25	
	Vanadium	(mg/kg)	470	390	5,800	:	;				:		:	;	;	;	;								;	;		;	;		;	;		;	;			;	:	;	,	:		:	;	;				:		:	;	;	: :						:	:		;	:		:	:	:	32	35	43	28	37	27	
	Thallium		3.5	0.78	12		,		1		1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	,	,					1	,	,	1	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	1		1	1	1	ı	ı						1	ı	1			1		1		1	1	1	ı	ı	1		1	1	ND<0.50	ND<0.54	ND<0.52	ND<0.53	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	
	Silver	(mg/kg)	1,800	390	5,800	:	;					:		;	;	:	:								;	,		;	,		;	,		;	,				;			:		:	;	;				:			;	;	: :						:	:		;	;		:	:		ND<0.25	ND<0.27	ND<0.26	ND<0.27	ND<0.25	ND<0.25	
	Selenium	(mg/kg)	1,700	390	5,800	1	,		1	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	ı	1	,	1					1	,	,	1	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	1	1	1	1	1	ı			,	,	1	-	1	1		,	1		1	1	1	1		ı	1		1	1	-	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	┢	ND<2.0	<2:0	<2:0	
	Nickel			820	11,000		;		,		;	:	:	;	;	;	;	,						:	,	,	:	,	,	;	,	,	;	,	,	;		,	;	,	;	;		;	;	1				;		:	;	;	: :	,	,			:	;	:		;	;		:	:	:	64	42	67	34	8	38	
	Molybdenum	mg/kg)	1,800	390	5,800	,	,		1	1	1	1	ı	I	1	1	1	,	,					1	,	,	1	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	1	1	1	1	1	1			, ,	,	1	1	1	,		,	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	D<0.25	ND<0.27	D<0.26	ND<0.27	D<0.25	ND<0.25	
	Mercury Mol		44	13	190	:	;		,		-			;	;	;	;								,	,		,	,	;	,	,	;	,	,	;		,		,				:	;					;		-	;	;			,			:		:	:	;	;	:	:	:	-	<0.017 N	.032 N	<0.017 N	018	0.023 N	018	
Metals	-		- 160	80	320	4.0	2.9	3.8	3.7	2.8	2.4	2.1	3.3	4.2	3.1	2.4	2.0	19	4./	4.1	20	5.4 8.3	4.2	2.9	2.6	18	4.6	66	3.0	2.3	19	6.0	3.6	3.2	2.5	1.8	3.5	3.9	3.3	2.6	2.0	3.0	2.0	8.3	4.8	3.8	44 7 0	3.7	3.0	2.4	5.1	5.3	2.9	1.9	4.5	4.7	4.3	3.0	1.9	6.0	4.1	2.4	2.3	1.7	13	6.5	2.8	2.2	2.1	3.2 ND	18	t	2.4 ND		Z	
Ň	Copper L	-	,000	100	,000		;		:			:	:	;	;	:	;	;						;	;	,	;	,	,	;	,	,	;	,	,	;		,		;			:	:	;	;							;	;			:					:		;	;		:	:		8.6	8.1			4.9	+	
	C obalt Co	+	- 14	23 23	50 47		;		:		-		:	1	;	;	;	;							,					,		,	,		,	,	,	,		,		-		:	1	;						-	;	;				1			-	1		;	:		:	;	-	╞		╞	╞	2.8		
		-	+	000	0		+	ł		_		_							ł						l	ł				l		l	l		l	l								_																		_					_	_								
	m Chromium		- 230,0	120,0	1,800,	1	'		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	'	'					1		'	1		1	'		ľ	'		ľ	'	1	'	'	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				'	-	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	5 85	7 61	6 84	7 59	5 43	35	
	n Cadmium		43	39	580	:	;		1	:		:	1	1	1	1	1	1	•					1	;	1	1	;	•	•	;	'	•	;	'	•	•	1	:	;	1	1	:	1	1	1	•			:		1	1	;	: :	•	1		1	1	1	:	:	1	:	:	:	:	1	ND<0.2	ND<0.2	ND<0.2	ND<0.2	ND<0.2	ND<0.2	
	Beryllium	(mg/kg)		150	2,	:	•		•	:	:	1	1	;	1	1	:	1	•					1	;	,	1	;	•	•	;	'	•	;	'	•	•	,	•	;	1	:	:	:	:	•	•			•	:		:	;		•	•		1		:	:		;	:		:	:		0.39	0.35	0.41	0.25	0.22	0.32	
	Barium	-	3,000	15,000	220,000	1	'		ı	1	1	1	I	1	1	I	1	1	'				1	1	,	'	1	,	,	'	,	'	'	,	'	'	'	,	'	,	1	1	1	1	I	I				ı	1	1	I	1		'	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	56	86	81	61	65	52	
	Arsenic	_	0.98	0.067	0.31	:	;		1			1	:	;	1	1	:	1	•					1	;	,	1	,	•	•	,	,	•	,	,	•		,	,	;	1	:	:	:	:	1				:			:	;	: :	•	1				:	:		:	:		:	:		2.5	3.1	5.9	1.8	5.3	1.9	
	Antimony		140	31	470		1		1	ı	1	1	I	I	1	ı	1	1	ı					1	,	,	1	,	,	1	,	,	1	,	,	1	,	,	,	1	1		1	1	I	ı				1	1	1	I	1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	I	1	1	ı	1	1	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	
	Sample Date					05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	/ L/GL/GO	/ L/GL/GO	11/01/00	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	1 1/G1/G0	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	05/15/17	02/20/18	02/20/18	02/20/18	02/20/18	03/01/18	03/01/18	
	Sample Depth					9	æ ç	2 ~	4	9	8	10	2	4	9	æ	Q		4 0	0 9	¢	2 0	4	r u	0	10	2 ~	4	9) «	10	2	4	G		9	2	4	9	~	10	2	4	9	80	¢	7 4	t ((000	10	2	4	9	æ ç	2 ℃	14	9	8	10	2	4	9	8	10	2	4	9	8	10	5 2	2	5	~	. ∞	9	
	Lab Method ⁵					(K)	83	e e	(K)	(k)	(K)	(k)	(K)	(¥)	8	(K)	9	83	83	23	23	23	8	8	23	(8)	8	(k)	(k)	8	(k)	23	8	(k)	23	8	8	3	(8)	3	8	(K)	(K)	(¥)	(k)	8	83	23	33	E)	(k)	(k)	(k)	83	23	8	(K)	8	(k)	(k)	(K)	(K)	(k)	┢		┢	┢	Ē	+	Н						
	Parcel	Concontraction	concentration on Soil ²	oil ²	Soli ²	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	1-200-629-800	008-625-002-1	000 625 002 1	008-625-002-1	000-020-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1 008-625-002-1	008-825-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	
	Sample ID	Acord Acordo	ground Arsenic ntact-Constructic	tact-Residential St	tact-Commercial 5	L16-6	L16-8	L17-2	L17-4	L17-6	L17-8	L17-10			L18-6	L18-8	_	- L		LI 3-0				120-6			121-2		121-6	121-8	121-10	Ι	Ι		Ι			L23-4							L24-8	Τ	Τ	Τ	L25-8					L26-8	127-2	L27-4	L27-6	L27-8	L27-10	L28-2	L28-4	L28-6	L28-8	L28-10	L29-2	L29-4	L29-6	L29-8	L29-10	UST-N-5'	UST-E-5	UST-S-5'	UST-FL-7	SB-5-8	SB-5-16	
	Sample Location	PWOCB Boaring Books	RW4CB Regional background Arsenic Concentri RW4CB ESL Direct Contact-Construction Soll ²	RWQCB ESL Direct Cont.	RWQCB ESL Direct Cont.	L-16	L-16	L-10 L-17	L-17	L-17	L-17	L-17	L-18	L-18	L-18	L-18	L-18	L-19	L-19	L-19	L=18	1-20	1-20	1-20	1-20	021	1-21	1-21	1-2-1	-21	1-21	-23		667	-22		L-23	L-23	L-23	L-23	L-23	L-24	L-24	L-24	L-24	L-24	L-25	L-20	L-25 L-25	L-25	L-26	L-26	L-26	L-26	L-20	L-27	L-27	L-27	L-27	L-28	L-28	L-28	L-28	L-28	L-29	L-29	L-29	L-29	L-29	UST-Discovery Area	,					

Page 4 of 5

				-										Metals								
			Lab	Sample	Sample																	
Sample Location	Sample ID	Parcel	Method ⁵	Depth	Date	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury N	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
				(feet)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
RWQCB Regional Background Arsenic Concentration	ground Arsenic	Concentration				1	11	1	:	1	1	1	:	1	:	1	;	:	;	:	:	ı
RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Construction Soli ²	ntact-Constructi	on Soil ²				140	0.98	3,000	42	43	530,000	28	14,000	160	44	1,800	86	1,700	1,800	3.5	470	110,000
RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Residential Soil	tact-Residential S	Soil ²				31	0.067	15,000	150	39	12 0,000	23	3,100	80	13	390	820	390	390	0.78	390	23,000
RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Commercial Soi	tact-Commercial .	Soil ²				470	0.31	22 0,000	2,200	580	1,800,000	350	47,000	320	190	5,800	11,000	5,800	5,800	12	5,800	350,000
UST-Relocation Area	SS-1-0.5	008-625-002-1	(4)	0.5	02/20/18	ND<2.0	4.8	100	0.38	0.31	47	8.9	13	15	0.046	1.9	39	ND<2.0	0.31	ND<0.52	38	40
UST-Relocation Area	SS-2-0.5	008-625-002-1	(H)	0.5	02/20/18	ND<2.0	3.7	95	0.39	ND<0.27	50	9.5	11	4.2	0.018	ND<0.27	42	ND<2.0	ND<0.27	ND<0.54	39	27
UST-Spill Area (Footing)	SS-N-3'	008-625-002-1	(4)	e	02/20/18	ND<1.8	3.8	98	0.41	ND<0.23	52	11	12	4.1	0.024	0.41	39	ND<1.8	ND<0.23	ND<0.45	41	27
UST-Spill Area (Footing)	SS-E-3'	008-625-002-1	(4)	e	02/20/18	ND<2.0	3.6	100	0.41	ND<0.26	45	9.4	12	4.1	0.018	0.31	41	ND<2.0	ND<0.26	ND<0.53	41	28
UST-Spill Area (Footing)	SS-W-3'	008-625-002-1	(H)	3	02/20/18	ND<1.9	5.1	140	0.49	ND<0.24	64	19	13	5.1	0.030	0.45	45	ND<1.9	ND<0.24	ND<0.49	49	28
UST-Spill Area (Footing)	SS-FL-3	008-625-002-1	(4)	e	02/23/18	ND<1.9	2.2	100 (Note 4)	0.31	0.24	67	10.0	7.9	4.3	ND<0.015	0.57	54	ND<1.9	ND<0.24	ND<0.48	29	21
UST-Spill Area (Footing)	SB-6-6	008-625-002-1	(H)	9	03/01/18	ND<2.0	2.3	70	0.31	ND<0.25	82	7.6	8.9	2.7	0.017	0.89	55	ND<2.0	ND<0.25	ND<0.51	32	25
UST-Spill Area (Footing)	SB-6-16	008-625-002-1	(4)	16	03/01/18	ND<2.0	2.2	39	0.20	ND<0.25	44	6.3	4.2	1.9	ND<0.018	0.37	37	ND<2.0	ND<0.25	ND<0.49	28	20

Notes: Bold forti indicates concentration above laboratory reporting limit. Bold forti indicates concentration above regional background level and construction soil screening level.

meta constraint of the cons

	Isopropyl-	(mg/kg)	:	: :	,						1					1							,							1	,			1		1		1				1		1				;		1		:	,				-	ID<0.0050				1		ID<0.0050									ND<0.0050
	sec-	(mg/kg)	:								1					1														1																		,		,							-	ND<0.0050 N						ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050						ND<0.0050		ND<0.0050
	tert- sec-	ylbenzene b (mg/kg)	:		1				,		1	1				1					1						1			1								,		,								,		1			,					><0.0050						><0.0050	ND<0.0050								ND<0.0050
		mg/kg)	:		1						1	,		1		1					1			1		1	1			1				1	,			,	,									1		1							100000	><0.0050 NI	><0.0050 NI					><0.0050 ND+	<0.0050		- N						
		(mg/kg)	:		1	:					1	1		1		1					1		1	1		ı	1			1				1	,	1		1						1				1		1			,				-	ID-0.0050 NI				1		ID<0.0050 NI	ND=0.0050 ND								ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050
	(VOCs) I-Butyl-	(mg/kg)	:		1						1	1				1					1		1				1			1					,	1		1						1				1		1			,			ND=0050	-	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050			1		ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N								ND<0.0050 P
	nic Compounds n-Propyl- r		:		ı	:			,		1	1		1		1					1		1	I		I	1			1				I	1	1		1						1						1		1		:			-	ND<0.0050	0.010			1		ND<0.0050	ND=0.0050	AD-0 MED					ND<0.0050		ND<0.0050
	Other Volatile Organic	(mg/kg)	350	3.3	ND<0.20				,		1	,		r		1					1			1		ł	1			ı				1	,															1							-	ND-40.0050	0.018					ND<0.0050	ND-40.0050	- 040	010.0				- ND<0.0050		ND<0.005U
	Vinyl	(mg/kg)	3.4	0.15	ND<0.5		NDK0.5	ND<0.5	1	1	1	1		1		1					ı		1	ı		1	ı							ı	1	1		1						1				1		1			1				-	ND<0.0050				1		ND<0.0050									ND<0.10 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050 ND<0.0050
	LCF	(mg/kg)	23	8.0	ND<0.1		ND<0.1	ND<0.1			1	1				1					1		1	1			1			1				1		1		1						1				1		1		1	,		1 1	- ND-0 0050	-	0 ND<0.0050	0 ND<0.0050			1		0 ND<0.0050	0 ND<0.0050	- ND-0 00E0					- ND<0.0050		0 ND<0.0050
		mg/kg)			ND<0.2		NDS0.2	ND<0.2	1	1	1	1		1	1	1		1			1			1		I	1			1	•			1	1	1		1	•			•		1				1		1		:	•	1		= ND-0.005	-	50 ND<0.005	50 ND<0.005			1		50 ND<0.005	50 ND<0.0050					1			50 ND<0.0U5
	- trans-1,2-		-	+	t		3 ND<0.5	3 ND<0.5	1	1	1	1		1		1	•			1	1		1	1		1	1			1	•			1	1	1		1	•	•		•	• •	1				1		1		1	1	1		- ND-0 00	1	150 ND<0.00	DE0 ND<0.00			1		020 ND<0.00	D=0.0050	- 10-00							050 ND<0.00
	cis-1,2-		_	-	1.0 ND<0.3			+		1	1	1		1	•	1		1			1		1	1		1	1		1	1	•		•	1	1	1		1	1	•		•	• •	1		•		1	1 1	1		1	1	1	1 1	10 ND-00		.10 ND<0.00	-10 ND<0.00	•		1		.10 ND<0.00	-10 ND<0.0050	- 10 10-02	10.0×0N 01.			•			.10 ND<0.01
1 Street		kg) (mg/kg)	-	+	ND<1.0		ND<1.0	ND			1	1			1	000		- 020	- 12	- 020			- 020	- 020	1 1	- 020			- 020	.020	- 020		.020	- 020	- 020	- 020		- 020	- 020		.050	- 050		- 050		050	1 1 020	- 050		.050		.050	1			- UD=0		0050 ND<0	- 0020 ND<0	- 020				0050 ND<0.10	- 0020 ND<0	1.050 MD-0	- ND<0			1			
et, 301 19th	Total	ykg) (mg/kg)	2,400 3,70		<0.5	0.005	1 1 20.5	<0.5	- 0000	- 600.0	- 600.0	- 6000	057 -	0.020		ND-006 ND-0	-ON 8.	065 ND<0	0.00 0.0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 00	0.005 ND <c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.000 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>016 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>- UDED NDED</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.56 ND<0.</th><th>020 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ND<0.015 ND<0.050</th><th>0.0050 ND<u.< th=""></u.<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<>	0.005 ND <c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.000 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>016 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>- UDED NDED</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.56 ND<0.</th><th>020 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ND<0.015 ND<0.050</th><th>0.0050 ND<u.< th=""></u.<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<>	0.005 ND<0	0.000 ND<0	0.005 ND <c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>016 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>- UDED NDED</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.56 ND<0.</th><th>020 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ND<0.015 ND<0.050</th><th>0.0050 ND<u.< th=""></u.<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<>	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND <c< th=""><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th>0.005 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>016 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>- UDED NDED</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.56 ND<0.</th><th>020 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ND<0.015 ND<0.050</th><th>0.0050 ND<u.< th=""></u.<></th></c<></th></c<></th></c<>	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0	0.005 ND<0		0.0050 ND <c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>016 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>- UDED NDED</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.56 ND<0.</th><th>020 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ND<0.015 ND<0.050</th><th>0.0050 ND<u.< th=""></u.<></th></c<></th></c<>	0.0050 ND<0	0.0050 ND<0	016 ND<0		0.0050 ND<0	0.0050 ND<0	0.0050 ND<0		0.0050 ND<0	0.0050 ND <c< th=""><th>0.0050 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>- UDED NDED</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.56 ND<0.</th><th>020 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.0050 ND<0.</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th>0.015 ND<0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ND<0.015 ND<0.050</th><th>0.0050 ND<u.< th=""></u.<></th></c<>	0.0050 ND<0				- UDED NDED	0.015 ND<0	0.0050 ND<0.	0.56 ND<0.	020 ND<0				0.0050 ND<0.	0.0050 ND<0.	0.015 ND<0	0.015 ND<0					ND<0.015 ND<0.050	0.0050 ND <u.< th=""></u.<>
Webster Stre and, CA		(mg/kg) (m	: 2		D<0.2 ND	D<0.2 ND<		D<0.2 ND	-UN	- ND<0	- ND	Ň	- - -	1	-0	VOOR ND	0.53	<0.005 0.0	CODE ND-	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	20.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	UN 500.05	<0.005 ND<	<0.005 ND<	Ň N	Ň	i i	Ň.	ň		- ND<	- NDA	I I	0		- ND		ND<	- I	- ND<		- ND<				- III	- I	- ND	- ND	0				- ND<	- ND<	0.0>DN - 0.0	- I I					ND.	- ND<
1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, CA	BTEX & MTBE	m,p-Aylene o-/ (mg/kg) (n	:		4D<0.5 NI	ID<0.5 NI	N 2020	ID<0.5 NI			1	,				UN DOF ND	1.3	0.0065 ND	0.028 0 0.028 0	0<0.005 ND	0005 ND	ON 200.0>C	ON 200.0>C	0<0.005 ND	ON 0000	ON 200.0>C	0<0.005 ND	UN 500.0×0	0~0.005 ND	ON 200.0>C	0<0.005 ND	UN 500.0×0	D<0.005 ND	0<0.005 ND		1		1												1												1				1							-
) Webster S	Ethyl-		480	20.1	ND<0.2	ND<0.005		ND<0.2	UCO 003	D<0.003	4D<0.003	4D<0.003	D<0.003	4D<0.003	ND<0.003	N DO ONE N	1.7	ID<0.005	0.087	ID<0.005 N	ID<0.005 N	U<0.005 N	ID<0.005 N	UD<0.005 N	N 200.005 N	ID<0.005 N	UD<0.005 N	N 200.020	ID<0.005 N	N 200.005	D<0.005 N	N 200.020	ND<0.005 N	N<0.005 N	4D<0.005	ID<0.005	D<0.005	ND<0.005	N<0.005		D<0.0050	D<0.0050	D<0.0050	D<0.0050		D=0.0050	D<0.0050	D=0.0050	 D<0.0050	D<0.0050	D<0.0050 D<0.0050	D<0.0050	,				D<0.0050	D<0.0050	0.032	0.069				D<0.0050	D<0.0050	D<0.0050	D<0.0050				-	D=0.0050	D<0.0050
17.5(4,100	4.600	ND<0.2	ND=0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.2		ND<0.003	ND<0.003	ND=0.003	ND<0.003	ND=0.003	ND<0.003	ND-006	0.310	ND=0.005 h	0.0087 MD-006 N	ND<0.005	ND<0.005 h	ND<0.005 N	ND<0.005 N	ND<0.005	ND<0.005 N	ND<0.005 N	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND=0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		ND=0.005	ND<0.005	ND=0.005	ND<0.005 N	005	ND<0.005	005		ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N		ND<0.0050 N	VD<0.0050 N	4D<0.0050 N	- 	VD<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	4D<0.0050 N	,				ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050	0.0072		,		VD<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	VD<0.0050 N					ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N
		(mg/kg)	24	0.23	ND<0.3	ND<0.005	ND<0.3	ND=0.3	ND<0.013	ND<0.003	ND<0.003	ND<0.003	ND<0.003	ND<0.003	ND<0.003	ND/0 005	ND<0.250	ND<0.005	0.0066 ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	002	ND<0.005	0.005		ND=0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050		ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050		ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050 1	ND<0.0050					ND<0.0050 1	ND<0.0050	0.038	0.074				ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	0.011					ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050
	Total	y drocarbon (mg/kg)		: :	ND<50		: :	:	;	:	:	:	: :	:	:	;		:	. ;	:	:		:	:	: :	;	:	: :	:	:	;	: :	:	:		:	: :	1		:		,		:		:	: :	:	: :	:	: :	:	:	:	: :	: :		:	: :		:	;	: :	:	: :	1	: :	: :	:	:	: :	: :	;
	Oil and	(mg/kg)			ND<50				,		1					1							1			1				1	,					1		1		,		,		1				,		,			,													1							1
	Total Petroleum Hydroc	(mg/kg)	32,000	140.000					,		1					,								,						;	,			,	,	1		,		,		,		1				;		1												,				1							1
	Total Per	(mg/kg)	880	1.100	ND<1	ND<1	: :		ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	ND<10	1				:	;		:	;	: :	1	;	: :		;	:	: :	:	;	;	:	: :	:	:	:				:		:	: :	;	: :	:	: :	:	:	:	: :	: :	:		: :		: :	;	: :	:		1	: :	: :	:	:	: :	: :	;
	-1144	(mg/kg)	2,800	3.900	ND<1.0	-	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	ND4	ND~1	ND<1	ND/1		ND<1		ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Np.	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	ND-1	ND<1	ND-1	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1		ND<1		ND<1			ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	- ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<1.0	,	1			ND<1.0	-		1.3		1				ND<1.0	ND<1.0					ND<1.0	
	e Sample				09/06/91	le 09/06/91	09/10/91	09/10/91	05/18/03	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	05/18/93	80/2 UI CU	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	02/07/98	02,08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02,08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02/08/98	02,08/98	04/25/98	04/25/98		04/25/98		10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	10/28/15	2 2	10/28/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15 12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/11/15	12/14/15
	b Sample) Stockpile 0							(e) 50			1	24	(10	S \$	16	12	1 ₽	21	10	0.40	19	12	9	16	10	4	- 9	1			15		11.5	_	2	(i) 10	(i) 15 (i) 15	(1) 22	(i) 25	(i) 20	(i) 10	(i) (i) (i)	()) 25			= =	(i) 25	1	0	6 4 0		90	50	25	- 25	2	0	1 10	(10	÷.	0	() 52		3	4	2 Q	(h), (i) 10	
	Lab					002-1 (b)				-016 (e)	1 1	-016 (d), (e)		-016 (e)	11	016 14	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	-016 (f	625-016 (1	-016 (f)	_	+			-016 (g)		7/002-1 (h	7/002-1 (h).	7/002-1 (h). 7/002 1 (h).	7/002-1 (h).	7/002-1 (h),	//uuz-1 (n), 002-1 (h	002-1 (h).	002-1 (h).	002-1 (h).	002-1 (h)	002-1 (h). (002-1 (h).	002-1 (h), (i)	-016 (h	-016 (h	-016 (h) (h)	-016 (h	-016	-016 (-016 ()	-016 (i	-017 (h	-017 (h	-017 (h	-017 ()		-017 (h).	-01/ (I) -017 (h), (i)		-016 (h	-016 (h	-016 (h		
		Parcel	Iction Soil	ar soir	008-625-	008-625-002-1	-529-900	008-625-	008.62F	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-016	008-625	008-625	ACB BOD	008-625-016	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-016	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-016	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-016	008-625	008-625-01	008-625-01	008-625-01	0.08-625-01	008-625-01	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-002-1	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-	008-625-002-	008-625	008-625	008-625 008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-017	008-625	008-625-017	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625	008-625-016	008-625
		ui aidmee	mtact-Constru	ntact-Kesiden	10-MC	JM-03	104-04	JM-06	SR 1.2	SB14	SB2-2	SB2-4	383-4 SB3-4	SB4-2	SB4-4	0-1-10	G-1-24	G-2-10	G-2-22	G-3-16	G-4-12	G-5-11	G-5-21	G-6-10	G-2-15 G-7-15	G-7-19	G-8-12	6-9-10	G-9-16	G-10-10	6-10-17	6-11-16	G-12-11	G-12-16	A-1-10.5	A-1-15	A-2-11 A-2-16	A-3-11.5	A-3-17.5	B1-5	B1-10	B1-15 B447 E	B1-17.3	B1-25	B2-5	B2-10	B2-20	B2-25	B3-5 B3-10	B3-15	B3-20D	B3-25	B4-1	B4-2	2 2 7 2 7	B4-5 B4-10	B4-10	B4-20	B4-25	B4-25 B4-1	B5-2	B5-3	B5-5	B5-10	B5-20	B5-20	B5-25	B6-1 B6-2	B6-3	B6-4	B6-5 B6-10	B6-10	B6-20
		sample Location	RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Construction Soli	Š	10-ML	JM-03	JM-04	JM-06	SR.1	SB-1	SB-2	SB-2	28.3 8.3	SB-4	SB-4	-	5-5-5	6-2	6-2	6-3	49	99	6-5	99	6-2	G-7	80	9 9 9 9 9	0-0	G-10	6-10	56	G-12	G-12	A-1-10.5	A-1-15	A-2-11 A-2-16	A-3-11.5	A-3-17.5	B-1	B-1	8-1	5 6	B-1	B-2	B-2	B-2 B-2	B-2	B.3	B-3	8-3	B-3	B-4	B-4	84 84	B-4	1 2	8-4	8-4	B4	B-5	B-5 B-5	85	B-5 P 5	8-5 8-5	B-5 b c	8-9 8-2	8-6 8-6	B-6	B-6	B-6	9-	B-6

Table 2-3 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results for TPH and VOCs 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 30119th Street

Page 1 of 2

	ene berzene) (mg/kg)	: : :	1 1 1	20 ND-0 0050	50 ND<0.0050	1.3	- 50 ND<0.0050	50 ND<0.0050	1.8	0050 ND<0.0050	50 0.78	1	1 1		1 1	1	50 ND<0.0050	0050 ND<0.0050 	- 50 ND<0.0050	37 ND<0.0037 37 ND<0.0037 36 ND<0.0037		57 ND<0.0057 35 ND<0.0035	33 ND<0.0033 35 ND<0.0035	33 ND<0.0033 28 ND<0.0028 35 ND<0.0035 33 ND<0.0035			Add 8 Base Neutral Compounds by EPA Method 601(3) (1)71-bit Earl by EPA Method 601(3) (1)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3) EVA Method 501(3) (1)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)(3) (2)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)70-bit EPA Method 501(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)70-bit EPA Method 501(3)70-bit EPA Method 501(3) (3)70-bit Earl by EPA Method 501(3)70-bit EPA Method 501(3)70-bi	
	sec- Butylberzene (mg/kg)	:	111				- ND<0.0050	0 ND<0.0050	1.2	ND<0	ND-4	1						0 ND<0.00	0 ND<0.0050	7 ND<0.0037 7 ND<0.0037 8 ND<0.0037		7 ND<0.00	3 ND<0.00	3 ND<0.0033 6 ND<0.0028 5 ND<0.0035 3 ND<0.0033			A Method 801	
	tert- Butylberzene E (mg/kg)	: : :			0 ND<0.0050		- 0 ND<0.0050	0 ND<0.0050	0.79	0 ND<0.0050							0 ND<0.005	0 ND<0.005	0 ND<0.0050	7 ND<0.0037 7 ND<0.0037 6 ND<0.0037		7 ND<0.005	3 ND<0.003	33 ND<0.0033 ND<0.0028 35 ND<0.0028 33 ND<0.0035 33 ND<0.0033	2		d MTBE by EF	
	AB 1,3,5-TMB (mg/kg)	:	13 :		50 ND<0.0050		- 50 ND<0.0050	050 ND<0.0050	ND<0.005	050 ND<0.005	3.4		1 1		: :		50 ND<0.005	050 ND<0.005	0050 ND<0.0050	37 ND<0.0037 37 ND<0.0037 36 ND<0.0037	355 ND<0.0035 338 ND<0.0038 336 ND<0.0038 336 ND<0.0036	7 ND<0.005 35 ND<0.003	333 ND<0.003	0.0038 0.0038 0.0038 0.0038 0.0038 0.0038			ГРН9, BTEX a	
	Cs) yl- ine 1,2,4-TMB (mg/kg)	1	54		050 ND-0.0050		- 050 ND-0.0050	3 ND<0.005	0.84	0050 ND<0.00	8		• •		• •		0.050 ND<0.00	0.050 ND<0.00		0037 ND<0.0037 0037 ND<0.0037 0036 ND<0.0037	0035 ND<0.00 038 ND<0.00 036 ND<0.00	0057 0.0067 0035 ND<0.00	033 ND<0.00	0033 ND=0.00 0028 0.013 0035 ND=0.00 0033 ND=0.00	6 6		ethod 8015B, ⁻ d 6020	
	Other Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) yl				0050 ND<0.00	1 2.5		0.14 0.13	8.1 4.1		- 1.5						.0050 ND<0.0		0050 ND<0	ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037 I ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037 I ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037 I	0038 ND<0.001000000000000000000000000000000000	0035 ND<0.0	.0033 ND=0.0	0033 ND<0.0 0028 ND<0.0 0035 ND<0.0 0033 ND<0.0	2		nup by EPA M by EPA Metho od 8260	
	le Organic Co halene benz åkg) (mg	350 -			0.0050 ND<0	2.3		ND<0.0050 0.	ND=0.0050 8	0.0050 ND<0	4						0.0050 ND<0	0.0050 ND<0		ND<0.0037 ND<0.0	0.0036 ND<0.0036	012 ND<0	0033	D=0.0033 ND=0 0.0084 ND=0 D=0.0035 ND=0 D=0.0033 ND=0	200		silica gel clea 3270C, Metals s by EPA Meth	
	Other Volati Vinyl chloride Napht (mg/kg) (mg				ND=0.0050 ND=0.00			050	50	0.0050 ND<0	<0.50		1 1		1 1	1 1	.0050	0.0050 ND<	0050	ND<0.0074 ND<0.0074 ND<0.0074 ND<0.0074 ND<0.0074 ND<0.0077	0.0076 ND<0 0.0076 ND<0 0.0072 ND<0	*0.007 ND<	0.0065 ND<	0.0067 ND=0.0 0.0028 0.001 0.0070 ND=0.0	6		ld, TPHmo with r EPA Method 8 X, MTBE, VOC	
	TCE chi mg/kg) (m				-0.0050 ND		- ND<0.0050 ND<	ND<0.050 ND<0	ND<0.50 ND<0.	<0.0050 ND<0	- D<0.50 ND						<0.0050	0 I 0 V	-0.0050 ND<0.	ND<0.0037 ND< ND<0.0037 ND<	 <0.0035 ND <0.0038 ND <0.0036 ND 	<0.0057 ND-	0033	<0.0033 ND <0.0038 ND <0.0035 ND <0.0033 ND			d E418.1, TPH 08, SVOCs by C/8015B, BTE	
		33 0.6 2.7			D<0.0050 ND				ND<0.50 N	D<0.0050 ND							D<0.0050 ND	D<0.0050 ND - D<0.0050 ND		ND<0.0037 ND ND<0.0037 ND ND<0.0036 ND	D=0.0035 ND D=0.0038 ND D=0.0038 ND	D=0.0057 ND D=0.0035 ND	0035	ND<0.0033 ND<0. ND<0.0028 ND<0. ND<0.0035 ND<0. ND<0.0033 ND<0.	8		by EPA Metho PA Method 826 Method 3550	
	trans-1,2- DCE (mg/kg)	680 160 730			ND<0.0050 N			ND<0.050 N	ND<0.50	ND<0.0050 N			: ;	:			ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N		ND<0.0037 N ND<0.0037 N ND<0.0037 N	ND<0.0038 N ND<0.0038 N ND<0.0038 N	ND<0.0057 N ND<0.0035 N	0035	ND<0.0033 N ND<0.0028 N ND<0.0035 N ND<0.0035 N			3 ca gel cleanup 12, VOCs by EP	
	cis-1,2- DCE (mg/kg)		- ND<5.0		ND<0.0050		 ND<0.0050	- ND<0.050 -	ND<0.50	ND<0.0050							ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050 - ND<0.0050		ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037	ND<0.0036 ND<0.0038 ND<0.0038	ND<0.0057 ND<0.0035	ND<0.0035 ND<0.0035	ND<0.0033 ND<0.0028 ND<0.0035 ND<0.0035			d 8021B/8015F arbon with sills rod 8081A/808 B, TPHd and T	
H and VOCs reet		260,000 19 620,000	ND<100	- 010/010	2 Q		0 ND<0.10	- ND<1.0	ND<10	0 ND<0.10	ND<10						0 ND<0.10	0 ND<0.10 0 ND<0.10	0 ND<0.10	7 ND<0.015 7 0.028 6 ND<0.014	5 ND<0.014 8 ND<0.019 6 ND<0.018	7 0.044 5 ND<0.014	3 0.026 5 ND<0.014	3 0.023 8 0.012 5 ND<0.017 3 ND<0.017		9 Q	Method 6010B by EPA Methoo d 8260B Method 6020 fectione Hydrox is by EPA Metrox is by EPA Metrox d 5030B(8015	
sults for TPI 301 19th St		3,700 42	2 ND-		ZZ	15 ND<0.050 ND<0.50 ND<2.5	050 ND<0.005	280	0 ND<0	350 ND<0.005 15 ND<0.050 160 ND<0.050	15 ND<0.050 ND<0.050 ND<0.50	15 ND<0.05(15 ND<0.050	15 ND<0.05(15 ND<0.05(15 ND<0.05(15 ND<0.050 15 ND<0.050	ND<0.	15 ND<0.005	050 ND<0.005 15 ND<0.050 050 ND<0.005	15 ND<0.05 050 ND<0.005	37 ND<0.0037 37 ND<0.0037 36 ND<0.0037	355 ND<0.003 338 ND<0.003 336 ND<0.003 336 ND<0.003	057 ND<0.005 035 ND<0.003	333 ND<0.003	0033 ND<0.003 0028 ND<0.002 0035 ND<0.003 0033 ND<0.003		PCE = Tetrachloroethene TCE = Trichloroethene TMB = Trimethylbenzene	Lead by EPA / BTEX, MTBE by EPA / BTEX, MTBE by EPA Metho by EPA Metho Lead by EPA / EPA / Ecoverable Pe ecoverable Pe iddes and PCB	
Table 2.3 Summary of Historical Soil Sample Ambylical Results for TPH and VOGs 1750 Webster Steet, 1910 Webster Steet, 3011 9th Street	^~	560 560	76 76		ND<0.0050	ND<0.0 4.9	ND<0.01	ND<0.0	0.76 0.76	0.0>0N 0.0>0N 0.0>0N	3.4 3.4	0.0>QN			ND<0.015 ND<0.015	0.0>UN 0.0>UN	ND<0.0	ND<0.0	0.0>ON 00>00	0037 ND<0.0037 0037 ND<0.0037 0035 ND<0.0037	0035 ND<0.0 0038 ND<0.0 0036 ND<0.0	0057 ND<0.00 0035 ND<0.00	0033 ND<0.0	0033 ND<0.00 0028 ND<0.00 0035 ND<0.00 0033 ND<0.00		PCE = T TCE = T TMB = T	d 827(h) Total (i) TPHg (i) VOCs (i) VOCs (i) Total (i) Total (m) Pest (n) TPHg	
Table I I Sample A r set, 1810 We Oaklane	BTEX & MTBE m,p-Xylene (mg/kg) (mg/kg)																			ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037 ND<0.0037 ND<0.0035 ND<0.0035	0.0035 ND<0. 0.0038 ND<0. 0.0036 ND<0.	0.0057 ND<0.	0.0033 ND<0.	0033 ND<0. 31 ND<0. 0035 ND<0. 0033 ND<0.		enes	by EPA Metho	
istorical So Mebster Stre	BTEX Ethyl- benzene m,p-) (mg/kg) (m)	480 5.1 22	 <0.0050 18 10 		<0.0050	<0.0050 3.0 2.5	<0.0050	<0.050 <0.050 0.12	1.3 0.78	<0.0050	0.39	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050 <0.0050 <0.0050	<0.0050	0037	0036	<0.0057 ND< 0.0035 ND<	<0.0033 ND<	 <0.0033 NI <0.0028 <0.0028 NI <0.0035 NI 		rylbenzene, xy	al Compounds	
mmary of H 17501	Toluene be (mg/kg) (r		9.6 4.7		D<0.0050 ND	ND<0.0050 ND ND<0.50 ND<0.25	D<0.0050 ND	⊒z	ND<0.50	D<0.0050 ND D<0.0050 ND	0.64	1	D<0.0050 ND<0.	ND<0.0050 ND ND<0.0050 ND ND<0.0050 ND	ND<0.0050 ND ND<0.0050 ND	0	D<0.0050 ND D<0.0050 ND	ND<0.0050 ND ND<0.0050 ND ND<0.0050 ND	D<0.0050 ND D<0.0050 ND	D<0.0037 ND<0. D<0.0037 ND<0.	ND<0.0036 ND ND<0.0038 ND ND<0.0038 ND ND<0.0036 ND	D<0.0057 ND D<0.0035 ND	ND<0.0033 ND ND<0.0035 ND	ND<0.0033 ND ND<0.0028 ND ND<0.0035 ND ND<0.0033 ND		ne, toluene, ett i tert-butyl ethe bethene	i & Base Neut	
Su	Benzene (mg/kg)		ND<0.0050 N ND<5.0	5	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N ND<0.50 ND<0.25	88	ND<0.050 N ND<0.050 N ND<0.050 N	88	ND<0.0050 NC ND<0.0050 NC ND<0.0050 NC	0.0050 N=0.50	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	0050	ND<0.0050 N ND<0.0050 N ND<0.0050 N	ND<0.0050 N	ND=0.0037 N ND=0.0037 N ND=0.0036 N	ND-0.0035 N ND-0.0038 N ND-0.0038 N	ND=0.0057 N ND=0.0035 N	ND<0.0033 N	ND=0.0033 ND ND=0.0028 ND ND=0.0035 ND ND=0.0033 ND		3TEX = Benze MTBE = Methyl DCE = Dichlorc ebruary 2016.	hod 8240, Ack	
	Total Hydrocarbon (mg/kg)	1 : :	: : :	: :			: :			: : :	111	:	: :	: • •	::	 ND<15	- ND<15		62			1 1	1 1	:		i ision 3 dated F	os by EPA Mei	
												1	1 1				11		1 1			11			-	.gasoline .diesel s motor oil CB ESLs), Rev	rgeable Organi	
	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons PHd TPHmo Greast g/kg) (mg/kg) (mg/kg/	32,000 11,000	111													- ND<5.0	 ND<5.0	13 1	- 33	ND<5.0 11 ND<5.0		1,100 6.3	ND<5.0 ND<5.0	ND<5.0 ND<5.0 ND<5.0	2	/drocarbons as /drocarbons as hydrocarbon a I Levels (RWQ	BTEX and Pu \$240	
	Total Pe TPHd (mg/kg)	230 230		: :			: :			: : :		:		: : :	: :		 ND<1.0		2.5	ND<1.0 2.0 ^(N002)	240 (Note 2) 3.9 (Note 2) 3.7 (Note 2)	220 ^(Ndb 2) ND<1.0		ND<1.0 58 (Neos 2) 3.2 (Neos 2) ND<0.99		al petroleum hy al petroleum hy otal petroleum ntal Screening	od 5520-D&F, EPA Method 8	
		2,800 740 2,000	5 ND<1.0	16		16 ND<1.0 16 16 390		6 ND<1.0	999	6 ND<1.0	6 ND<1.0	z		6 NDc1.0	6 ND<1.0		7 1.8	7 ND<1.0	7 ND<1.0	ND<1.1 ND<1.0	ND<0.94 ND<1.1 ND<1.0	8 1.8 ^(Note 2) 8 ND<1.0	18 ND-0.99 18 1.7 (Note 2)	18 ND<1.1 18 4.2 ^(N00 2) 18 ND<0.91 18 ND<1.0		TPHg = Tot TPHd = Tot TPHmo = To jon Environme	n by EPA Meth le Organics by tethod 8010	
	ple Sample th Date		0 12/14/1 5 12/14/1 5 12/14/1	02/02/1	02/02/	02/02/ 02/02/ 02/02/	02/02/	02/02/1	5 02/02/1 5 02/02/1	02/02/1	5 02/02/1 5 02/02/1	07/14/1	07/14/1	07/14/16 07/14/16	07/14/16	.5,10 02/22/1	5,10 02/22/1	.5,10 02/22/1 .5,10 02/22/1 .5,10 02/22/1	.5,10 02/22/1	02/20/18 02/20/18	02/20/1 03/01/1	5 02/20/1	02/20/1	02/20/18 02/23/18 03/01/18 03/01/18	reening level.	icisco Bay Reg	lal Hydrocarbo (and Purgeab) OCs by EPA M 17.	
	Lab Sample Method ³ Depth (feet)		h). (i) 20 (j) 25 25	(K) 1		(k). (l) 20 (l) 22.5 (k). (l) 22.5			() 22 () () 22 () () 22	() 15 () () 15 () () 26	(k), (l) 22 (l) 22 (k) (l) 22	(i) (i) 1	() () () () () () () () () () () () () ((k), (l) (k), (l) (k) (l)	() () () () () () () () () () () () () ((I) 2.5,5,7	(m) 2.5,5,7 (l) 2.5,5,7	(m) 2.5,5,7 (l) 2.5,5,7 (m) 2.5,5,7	(I) 2:5,5,7.5,10 (m) 2:5,5,7.5,10	(u) 2 2	(n) (n) (n) (n) (n) (n)	(u) (u)	3 3 (U)	(u)	uction soil sc	: ard - San Fran mhla standard	mble standard. Srease and To 220, 30/8015, BTEX 30/8015, BTEX dod 8020A, HV	
	Parcel Me		25-016 (i 25-016 (i 25-016 /i						008-625-018 (i 008-625-018 (i 008-625-018 (i						008-625-002-1 (0.008-625-002-10))))))))	25-018	25-018 25-017	008-625-017 008-625-002-1 008-625-002-1	25-002-1 25-002-1	008-625-002-1 008-625-002-1 008-625-002-1		25-002-1 25-002-1	25-002-1 25-002-1	25-002-1 25-002-1 25-002-1 25-002-1	tory reporting above constr	r reporting limit ality Control Bo	does not reser TPH Oil and C EPA Method 80 PA Method 500 Method 8020A E by EPA Meth	
		struction Solf	008-6	008-6					5 008-6 5 008-6 008-6	008-60	5 008-625-01 5 008-625-01 5 008-625-01					CD	,C,D 008-6 ,C,D 008-6	C,D 008-6 C,C,D 008-63 C,C,D 008-63	(,C,D 008-6)			.5 008-65	3' 008-62 008-62	3" 008-625-00 3 008-625-00 6 008-625-00 6 008-625-00 6 008-625-00	above labora concentration	ated laboratory onal Water Qua	pattern which od 5030/8015, 015, BTEX by E 20, TPHg by E 10 BTEX by E BTEX by E BTEX & MTBE BTEX & MTBE	
	sample ID	t Contact-Con Contact-Resid	B6-25 B6-25 B6-25	B1-1 B1-1	B1-1(B1-2C	B1-20 B1-22.5 B1-22.5	B2-1 B2-15	B2-2(B2-2(B2-20	B2-22 B2-22 B2-22	B3-15 B3-15 B3-15	B3-2(B3-22.	87-1	B7-10 B7-15	B8-10 B8-10 B8-15	B9-10 B9-10	SPB1-A,B	SPB1-A,E SPB2-A,B	SPB2-A,B,C,D SPB3-A,B,C,D SPB3-A,B,C,D	SPB4-A,E SPB4-A,B	a UST-N-5' a UST-E-5'	a UST-FL- a UST-FL- a SB-5-8 a SB-5-16	rea SS-1-0. rea SS-2-0.	ooting) SS-N-3 ooting) SS-E-3	ting) SS-W-3 bing) SS-FL-3 ting) SB-6-6 ting) SB-6-16 ting) SB-6-16	concentration Indicates	er kilogram ot analyzed ted above indic California Regic	Tromatographik g by EPA Meth Method 5030/81 Arthod 5030/81 EPA Method 74, TEX by EPA M TTEX by EPA M TTEX by EPA M Filthod 8015M, M Arthod 8015M, M formmental Sci	
	Sample Location	RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Construct RWQCB ESL Direct Contact-Residential BW/CB ESL Direct Contact-Commercia	8-6 8-6	B-1 B-1	9 9 1 9 1 9	9-9-9-9-	8-2 8-2	8-2 8-2 8-2	8-2 8-2 8-3	83 83	28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	B-7	B-/	6 8 8 8 8	B-9 B-9	SPB-1	SPB-1 SPB-2	SPB-2 SPB-3 SPB-3	SPB-4 SPB-4	UST-Discovery Area UST-Discovery Area	UST-Discovery Area UST-Discovery Area UST-Discovery Area	UST-Relocation An UST-Relocation Arr	Area (F	EEEE	Network with the second	mg/kg = milligram pr = Not available, n ND<5.0 = Not detect ¹ Values represent C ² Sammole avbring ch	 Suppose noting comparignent partiam met clean common and and the standard. Lan predexit: The particular partico particular particular particular particular particular parti	

Page 2 of 2

[Compound Isopropyl Alcohol (µg/m ³)	I	ı	ı	1	ı	1		ı				1				1	1	1		1	,		,	,	,	,		I	ı	1	,	,		1	ı		1 1		ND<50	ND<50	ND<50																
	Leak Check Compound 1,1-DFE Alcohol (µg/m ³)		:	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	VD<10,000	VD<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000		ND<10,000	VD<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10.000	VD<10.000	ND<10 000	ND<10.000	ND<10.000	VD<10.000	VD<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10.000	-	:	;				;	: :																	
	1,4-DCB (µg/m ³)	130	1,100	1	1	1	:		1			-	1		1		1	-	1		1		1	1	;	,		:	:	1	1	,	1		;	:				ND<3.0	0.4 ND<3.0	ND<3.0																
	1,3-DCB (μg/m ³)	:	:	1	1	ı	;	1	ı					1			1		ı	;		;		,	,		;	:		1		;	;		:	:		: :	Γ	ND<3.0	6																	
	Chloro- ethane (µg/m ³)	5,200,000	44,000,000		;	1			1								1		;	;		;		;	,		;			1		,	;					: :		ND<1.0	1.2 1.2	ND<1.0																
	Vinyl chloride (µg/m ³)		160	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	Ϋ́	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND->UN	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100			:				ND<1.3	ND<1.3	ND<1.3																
	TCE (µg/m ³)	240	3,000	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	┢	ND<100	┥	ND<100	00L2	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		1	1				ND<2.8	ND<2.8	ND<2.8																
	PCE (µg/m ³)	240	2,100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	150	160	ND<100	ND<100	ND<	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	120		;	:	; ;		H	ND<3.4																		
	trans-1,2- DCE (µg/m ³)	42,000	350,000	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	<100		<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100			1				ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0																
	cis-1,2- DCE (µg/m ³)	4,200	35,000	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND< 100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		;	:	; ;			ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0																
s	MTBE (µg/m ³)	5,400	47,000	1	1	1	1	1	1					1			1	1	1	,	1	,		,	,	,	,	,	ı	1		,	,		1	1				ND<1.8	ND<1.8	ND<1.8																
Table 2.4 Historical Soil Vapor Sample Analytical Results for TPHg and VOCs 1750 Webster Street, 1310 Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, CA	Dichloro- difluoro- methane (µg/m ³)	:	:	ND<100	ND<100	v	ND<100			ND<100		ND<100		ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND->UN	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100				ND<100	ND<100	V	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100			:			Г	2.6	5	,																
ts for TPH	Total Xylenes (µg/m ³)	52,000	440,000	ı	1	ı	ı	1	ı					1			ı	1	ı	1	ı	,	1	,	,	,	,	1	ı	ı		,	,		1	1				ND<6.6	ND<6.6	ND<6.6																
tical Resul	o-Xylene (µg/m³)	:	;	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	001>UN	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100			;				,																		
Table 2-4 Sample Analy 1810 Webster S Oakland, CA	m, p-Xylene (µg/m ³)	:	:	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200		ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200		ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200			:				,																		
Ta Vapor Sar Street, 1810 Oal	Ethyl- benzene r (µg/m³)	560	4,900	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100		ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND->UN	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100	ND<100			:				ND<2.2	3/ ND<2.2	ND<2.2																
torical Soil 0 Webster	Toluene (μg/m³)	160,000	1,300,000	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200		ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	NU<200		ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200	ND<200		ND<200	ND<200				: :	: :		ND<1.9	ND<1.9	ND<1.9										2100,00100	oruary zuro.					
Summary of His 175	Benzene (µg/m³)	48	420	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80		ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	120	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND <80	ND <bo< td=""><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<r0< td=""><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>160 88</td><td>ND<rd< td=""><td>ND<80</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>:</td><td></td><td>: :</td><td></td><td>ND<1.6</td><td>ND<1.6</td><td>ND<1.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Lot of Col</td><td>n 3 dated Fei</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rd<></td></r0<></td></bo<>	ND<80	ND <r0< td=""><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>ND<80</td><td>160 88</td><td>ND<rd< td=""><td>ND<80</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>:</td><td></td><td>: :</td><td></td><td>ND<1.6</td><td>ND<1.6</td><td>ND<1.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Lot of Col</td><td>n 3 dated Fei</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rd<></td></r0<>	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	ND<80	160 88	ND <rd< td=""><td>ND<80</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>:</td><td></td><td>: :</td><td></td><td>ND<1.6</td><td>ND<1.6</td><td>ND<1.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Lot of Col</td><td>n 3 dated Fei</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></rd<>	ND<80		:	:		: :		ND<1.6	ND<1.6	ND<1.6										Lot of Col	n 3 dated Fei					
Sumn	трнg (µg/m³)	300,000	2,500,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	ND<10,000	;		;	;		;	:	:			;	;			:				;		:										Policy Deviced	cous), Revisio					
	Methane (%)	1	ı	:	;	:	:	:	:				:	:			;		;	:		,		;	:		;	:	:	;		;	;			:				ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020											I S (KWUCB					
	Carbon Dioxide (%)	:	:	:	;	1			1				:				1		;	;		;		;			;		:	1		;	;		:	:	: :	: :		0.036	0.037	0.038										are a l'are	creening Leve					
	Oxygen (%)	1	I	:	;	1	:						:						;			;		;			;	:	:	1		;	;		1	I				17	16	16										o lotan antal O.	/Ironmental 3/					
	Oxygen (µL/L)	:	;	1	;	1	;						:						;			;		;	,	,	;		:			;	;		130,000	140,000	140,000	140,000														ur Doctor Car	iy kegion Em					
	Sample Date			02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/03/16	02/04/16	02/04/16	02/04/16	02/04/16	02/04/16	91/140/20	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16	08/10/16		10/21/16	10/21/16	01/12/01 10/21/16	10/21/16		05/15/17	11/61/60	05/15/17	reening level	•								Cronologo De	Francisco ba				haron	DIUal y.
	le Purge Volume			en 1	9	en o	en o	m		°	, e	3	e	m	n	0 0	e	3	e	m	n	¢		e.		e	e	e	e	e					:	1		: :		с, с	n		ting limit. oil vapor sci				mit					Boord Con	Board - San		on	2	avieion 2 Ee	
	b Sample od ² Depth (feet)			2	10	12	15	5 2 2	10	2 40	19	15	2	19	0 u	10	15	15 15	9	10	GL (1	2	9	10	2 2	10	2	10	1) 5	10	ې د د	2 4	10		-	-			_	(c), (d) 5	(p)	(q) (q)	ratory repor ommercial s				rry reporting I	2				Inter Conter	uality control		05TM D 194		le (ESIe) D	IS (EOLS). IV
	Lab Cel Method ²	al	rcial	25-017 (a	5-017 (٤	5-017 (٤	5-017 (2	5-017 (2	3) /10/2	25-018 (a)	5-018 (a)	5-018 (a	5-018 (2	25-018 (a)	5-016 (a)	5-002-1 (a)	-002-1 (a	5-002-1 (a	5-002-1 (5	5-002-1 (2	i) 1-200-6	12 (a)	5-017 (a	5-017 (a	5-017 (a	5-017 (a)	25-018 (a)	5-018 (a	'5-018 (±	5-018 (٤	5-002-1 (a)	-002-1 (a	:-002-1 (a		25-018 (b)	5-017 (t	() 910-02 () 910-02	5-002-1 (b)		5-017 (c),	5-016 (c)	5-002-1 (c),	n above labo				cated laborato					O rotor O	onal water Q		1 Divvide hv 1	fa opposed a	ione Long	Jeening Love
	D Parcel	or-Residenti	por-Comme	5 008-62	0 008-62	5 008-62	dup 008-62	5 008-62	0.008-625-0	+	0 008-62	H	5 008-62	0 008-62	20-000 5	0 008-625	5 008-625	dup 008-625	5 008-625	0 008-625	Т	5 008-62	0 008-62	diin 008-62	5 008-62	0 008-62	5 008-62	0 008-62	5 008-62	0 008-62	0 008-625	5 008-625	0 008-625		008-62	008-62	008-625	5 008-625	_	008-62	008-62	0 008-625	oncentratio	ter	er cubic meter	analyzed	d above indic m hvdmcarh	utyl ether		ene	, eu	diferenție Doni-	alirornia Kegi	ethod 8260B	A D 1946-90		mmmantal Sc	
	ile Sample ID		3 ESL Soil Vap	1 SG1-		1 SG1-1	1 SG1-15	2 SG2-	2027-10	+	3 SG3-10	┢	Η	564-10	+	SG5-10	┢	5 SG5-15	3 SG6-	SG6-10	╈	SG1.5	┢	SG1-10	SG2-	SG2-1	SG3	1 SG3-1	4 SG4-	1 SG4-	002 002	SG6	SG6-10	Η	1 06-1	300 000	500	5 OG-5	\vdash	7 SG-7	20-5 20-5	0 SG-1(Notes: Bold font indicates concentration above laboratory reporting limit. Indicates concentration above commercial soil vapor screening level.	vicroliter per li	microgram pe	available, noi	= Not detecte Total netroleu	MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether	hichloroethen	etrachloroeth	DCB = Dichlorobenzene	DFA = Difluoroethane	a vales represent calitornia regionial water quality control board - san trancisco bay region Environmental screening Leweis (krwuch Escls), revision 5 dated repriary 2010.	Cs by EPA M	vgen by ASTA	(d) VOCs by T015	References: DM///CB_2016_Environmental Screening Laviale (ESLs)_Davision 3_Eabricant	9. 2010. LIV
	Sample Location	RWQCB	RWQCE	SG-1	SG-1	50°	50°	202	2-50	20-3 20-3	20-3 20-3	SG-3	SG-4	800-4- 000	150	500	SG-5	SG-5	SG-6	202	2-50	1.05	50°	50	SG-2	SG-2	SG-3	SG-3	SG-4	SG-4	4-90 0	900	80-9 80-9		06-1	7-90	500	06-5		SG-7	6-50 0-50	SG-1(Notes: Bold for	pL/L = micro	$m_3 = 1$	= Not	TPHn = 1	MTBE =	DCE = D	PCE = T	DCB = D	DFA = D	² I ah methods:	(a) VO((q) 0x0	10 A (b)	Referen	LAVG C

Page 1 of 1

Table 2-5	Summary of Historical Groundwater Sample Analytical Results for Metals	1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street	Oakland. CA
-----------	--	---	-------------

	Zinc (µg/L)	(Note 2)	Π				,	,			,					<u> </u>					Γ																	,	٦	,			<20	0.42		[]	Ţ	,		Ι.	Γ			ļ	,	,	,	ļ		,]	T
		50		'			'	'	'	'	'	'			'					'		'	'	'		ľ	ľ		'	'	'	'	ľ	'		'			_	'	'	-	ND<2(2		'	'	'						'			'	i	_	'	'	'	'	'	
	Vanadium (µg/L)	50 (Note		I	'	1	1	1	'	I	1	'	'		ı			'	'	'		1	'	'	1	1	1		1	I	1	1	1	1		1	1	1		1	T		15	2	1	1	1	1	' '	'		1	1	1	I	I	1	1		1	1	'	'	ı	
	Thallium (µg/L)	7		:			:	:		:	•				:			:				,	,		:	,	:		;	;	:	;	:	:			;	:		:			ND<10		:	:	:	,				:		:	;	;	:	:		:	:	:	:	:	
	Silver (µg/L)	100 (Note 2)		ı			1	,	,	ı	,	,			1		1	,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	1		,	1	1	,	1	1		,	1			1	1		ND<5.0	0.02	,	,	1	,		,			1		ı	ı	1	ı		1	1	,	,	ı	
	Selenium (µg/L)	_		:			;	;	;	;	;		,					,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	,		,	;	,	,	,	,		;				;	,		ND<10		,	,	;	,		,				:	;	;	;	:		:	;	;	,		,
	Nickel S (µg/L)			;		1	1	,	1	1	1	,	,		,		1	,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	,		,	1	,	,	,	,		,	1	1		1	,		12	:	,	1	1	,		,		1	-	1	1	1	1	1	_	1	,	1	,	,	+
		⊢		+			_						t	t					l																								┥	╎				┥						_				-	_	_					╀
	Molybdenum (µg/L)	1		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:			:	: :		:	1	•		:	:	1	;	;	;		1	1	1	1	;	1		:	1	:		:			12	8	;	1	;	1		1		:	1	:	:	;	1	:		:	:	:	•	:	: :
	Mercury (µg/L)	2		ı				•	,	ı					ı		I	,	,	,		1	,	,		,	1		1	ı	1	1	1	1		,	ı			1			ND<0.20 ND<0.20	0401 041		,		,		,					ı	ı	1							ı	
Metals	Lead (µg/L)	15		;		:	;		:		;							0.54	ND<0.50	ND<0.50		,	430	,	550	,	3.500		,	21	,	13	;	5.9		280	440	34		;	,	1	ND<5.0	0.0	,	,	;	;				:		:	;	;	:	:			:	:			
	Copper (µg/L)	1000 (Note 2)		,	,	1	1	,	1	1	,	,	,		1		1	,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	,		,	1	1		1	1	,	ND<5.0 ND<5.0	202	,	1	1	,		,		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	,	1	,	1	
	Cobalt ((µg/L)			;			;		:	;	;								,			,		,	,	,	,		,	;	,	,	,	,		;	;	-		;		╉	ND<5.0	-	,	;	;	;		,		:			;	;	;	:	_	:	:	;			
		_						_																														_					00	2.2										_			-	_	_		_				
	n Chromium (µg/L)	50					•	•	•	1					'			;	ľ	'		1	1		'	'	'		'	'	'	'	'	'		'		-		1	'		ND<5.		'	1	;	'						:	1	1	1	:	_	•	;	•	'		: :
	Cadmium (µg/L)	5		:		:	:	:	;	:	:	•	:	:	:			:	:	:		1	•	1	:	;	:		;	;	;	;	:	;		:	;	:		:	1		ND<5.0	2.0	:	1	:	;		•		:		:	;	;	:	:		:	:	:	:	:	
	Beryllium (µg/L)	4		:		:	:	:		:	:							:						;	,	,	:		,		;	,	:	;		:	;			:			ND<2.0	0.4- 011	,		;	;				:		:	:	;	:	:		:	:	:		:	
	Barium (µg/L)	-		:			;	;	;	;	;		,					,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	,		,	;	,	,	,	,		;				;			110	2	,	,	;	,		,				:	;	;	;	:		:	;	;	,		
	Arsenic (µg/L)	-		;				;	:		;		,					,	,	,		,		,	,	,	,		,	,	,	,	,	,			;			;			ND<10	2	,	;	;	,				:		:	;	;	;	:	_		;	;	,		
	(_)																																										<10	2															_						
				2/93	200	. 86/2	. 86/2	. 86/2	. 86/	96/	. 86%	00/0	06/0	06/0	06/0	2/08	2	2/15	3/15	3/15				. 115	1/15	1/15	1/15		2/16	2/16	2/16	2/16	2/16	2/16		1/16	1/16	1/16 -					018 ND-		. 86/8	1/98	3/98	5/99	71/2			. 8/98	- 86/1	. 86/8	· 66/9	2/16			_	. 86/8	1/98	. 86/8			147
	ple Sample th Date			20.5'-24.5' 03/22/93	77/00 0:42	02/07/98		Т	Т	Т	Т	96/90/20	Т	02/00/20	Т	Т	T	57 10/28/15	+	+		i –	i –	i –	25' 12/11/15	i –	i –	i –	25' 02/02/16	Г		25' 02/02/16	Г	25' 02/02/16	t	Г		25" 07/14/16	_	10/10/17		- 1	20 3/1/2018 18 3/1/2018			30' 08/04/98		30° 02/26/99	Т	г			30' 08/04/98		Т	30' 10/12/16					30' 08/04/98	Т	50 02/26/99	Т	Т
	d ⁴ Depth (feet)			20.5-2	7-0.07	20'	20	20	20	07	07	02	02	02	200	02	2	2.1-5	21-2	21'-25'		21-2	21-2	21-2	21'-25'	21-2	21-2		21-2	21-2	21-2	21-2	21-2	21'-25'		21-2	21-2	21'-25'		:	1		15-20	5	20'-3	20'-3	20'-3	20'-30'	20-2	20-3		20'-30'	20'-3	20'-3	20'-3	20'-3	20'-3	20'-3	_	20'-3	20'-3	20-0	DC-02	202	20/2
	Lab Method ⁴	; (7:		I	'	1	1			'	'		'		1			╀	_	(a)	L	L	(q)	L	(q)	L	(q)			(q)		(q)		(q)		(q)	(q)	(q)		1	I	4	00	1	1	1			' '	'		'	1	1	'	'	1	1		1		'	'	1	
	Parcel	inant Level (MC		008-625-016	010-070-000	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	910-929-900	008-625-016	010-020-010	0100-022-010	0.00-023-010	010-023-010	0.08-023-010	0.0.000	0.08-625-018	0.08-625-017	008-625-018		008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016		008-625-018	008-625-018	008-625-017	008-625-017	008-625-018	008-625-018		008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1		008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1		008-625-002-1		008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	0.08-625-016	008-625-016		008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016		008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	0.08-625-016	010-020-010	0.08-625-016
	Sample ID	California Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)	Grab Groundwater Samples	HP-1 up 2		G-1												н Т		8-3 1-3		B-4	B-4	B-5	B-5	B-6	B-6							B-3				B-9		WO-1			SB-5-15-20 SB-6-13-18		L		-	A-1	-	+	-	A-2	A-2	A-2	A-2	A-2	A-2	A-2		A-3	A-3	A-3	A-3	A-3	A-3

Notes: Boul font indicates concentration above laboratory reporting limit. Indicates concentration above MCL. ¹ Values represent California Primary Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs), unless otherwise noted. 1 Values represents California Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (Secondary McL), unless otherwise noted. 2 Value represents California Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (Secondary MCL), ND-10 = Not detected above indicated laboratory reporting limit ³ Value represents California Drinking Water Notification Level (NLs), unless otherwise noted. ND-10 = Not detected above indicated laboratory reporting limit ³ Value represents California Drinking Water Notification Level (NLs).

⁴ Lab methods: (a) Lab (10)(solved) by EPA Method E200.8, Sample was filtered by laboratory (b) Lab (10al) by EPA Method E200.8 (b) Meetia by ETA Method 60108

Table 2-6 Summary of Historical GroundwaterSamplo drayfrical Results for TPH, and VOCs 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, 301 19th Street Oakland, CA

Γ	1,3,5-TMB	hg/L)	330 Picto 21												,	D<25	ND<25	430		150	ND<5.0	170		1			ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND=0.5	,			- 130	Π		303	500			- 12]					
	1,2,4-TMB 1,3,	g/L) (I														><25 N	45 NI	1,800 4		670	12 NC	200		1			ND<0.50 ND		ND<0.5 NC			NI	-				2,200	1	501 I I		-					
	TMB 1,2,4	(h) (h	330													Q		1,1		9		. 5					Q	Ŷ	Q.			10 01-2	6 ' 60 2			- 1,2	2		+++							
	pyl- ne 1,2,3-TMB														_		1	20							1		- 20			-		- GN	2 1 1			- 36			1 1 1 0		-					
	ş	-	= <u>-</u> 770 ⁰⁰⁰⁴²³	1								1		1 1	1	86	62	0 ND<25		69	86	- 80	•	1	11		50 ND<0.50		5 ND<0.5	1		- 20	3 1 8		1 1 1	- ND<1	160	1	1 1 1 2	120					8	15B
	n-Propyl- benzene	(hg/L)	260 Prote 27									1			1	150	100	- ND<25	•	160	270	150	•	1	1		ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	1			1 12		1 1 1	- 151	230	I	1116		-				4 80.21B//801	d 8021B/80
	MEK	(hg/L)	5,500,000 46,000,000			1 1		1						1 1	1		1		•	66		• •	1	1	1		ND<2.0	1	1	1		- ND-10	- ND<20		1 1 1	- ND<1,0(1	1 1 1 1 UUC>UN						EDA Metho	/ EPA Metho
	TBA		12 000 Z												1		1		•	1,200			•	1	1		ND<2.0	1	1	1					1 1 1		- ND<400	I			-				V MTRF hu	EX, MTBE by
(e)	فق	(hg/L)														ND<25	ND<25			ND<12	29	- ND<50		1			ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5			ND-10	- ND<50		111	- ND<100	- ND<100	ł		- ND<50			10000	MU208 D	TRO RTE	B, GRO, BT
Other Volatile Ornanic Communde VOCe	sec-Butyl- benzene	(hg/L)			•									1 1	1	ND<25	ND<25		•	15	35	- ND<50	ł	I	1 1		ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	1		- ND-10	- 09×0N			 ND<100		1		- ND<50			TDA Method	EPA Meluo	2511/80155	d 3511/8015
nanic Comr	n-Butyl- berzene	(hg/L)														ND<25	ND<25	ND<250		44	65	ND<50		1	1 1		ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	1		ND-10	- 10-20				- ND<100	;	W	ND<50			NTDF L	8021B/8015	ED & Mathod	EPA Method
r Volatila Or	Naphthalene	(hg/L)	25 220 17 Picte 2)													220	220	550		150	120	240		1			ND<0.50	ND<2.0	ND<2.0			ND-50 0					1,100	1	183				sthod 8015m	8010 EPA Method	260B.	C18-C36) by
Otho	1,2-DCA Na	_	64 64			1 1	1 1							1 1	1		1							1		╞	ND<0.50		ND<0.5	13	13	ç		V Prote	4D<17 5.7	ND<0.5 ND<100	ND<100	ND<1.0	ND<2.5 0.70 ND<20				X by EPA M	(b) 11141 gover harmond solom, bit LX & mibite by ter A method suzuka (c) HVOCs by FPA Method 8010 (d) TPH4, bit FLX, Mite by FPA Method 802 118/801518	PA Method 8	C23), RRO ((
	1,2-DCP 1,2	-	9.3 81 5.0	1		1 1								1 1	1		1	1						,		╞	ND=0.50 NC		ND<0.5 N		-	3.8 De10 N	D<50 N			4.5 N ND<100 NI	ND<100 NI	1	0.80 0.80 ND<0	+			a) TPHg, BTE	HVOCs by E TPHa, BTE	VOCs by El	DRO (C10-0
	٩	-	210 210														1							,			ND<0.50 ND		ND<0.5 NC			1.9 No.10 Ni	><50 N			<0.5		1			-		1 (B)	100	(e)	(6)
	Vinyl chloride 1,1	_	0.073				ND<2.0 ND<2.0	k2.0				ND<8.0				50	33	 ND<250		ND<12	ND<5.0	- ND<50					ND<0.50 ND		ND<0.5 NE	K2.0	ND<10	k1.0 NI		00.01				ND<2.0	ND<5.0 NI ND<1.0 NI ND<2.0 NI		-					
		_	5.0 0.0				13 NC	4.2 ND			+	ND<4.0 ND ND<10 ND	++			<25				ND<12 NC	ND<5.0 ND	+					ND<0.50 ND	+	ND<0.5 ND	H	ND<5.0 NL	-10 ND-1	2 03		0	0	ND<100 ND	10 ND	8		-				e TMB for monuments and some some for the source of the so	
	_	_						4			+					25 ND	<25 ND<25	++	+			50 ND<50					++			8		5 ND	50 ND<		17 52 5.0 ND<5			10			-				undwater (>	A Description of the
10 10 10		L) (µg/L)	80 32 20 32				1.0	1.0				1.0 ND<4.0				25 ND-	25 ND<25	250 ND<250	-	12 ND<12	5.0 ND<5.0	50 ND<50		1			0.89		0.5 5.6	4	5.0 ND<5.0	10 ND-	50 ND<50		17 ND<17 5.0 ND<5.0	60	00 ND<100	0,0	2.5 ND<2.6 2.5 ND<2.6 3.1 2.0 ND<20		-				rin deen orr	- dana ini
	2- trans-1,2- DCE	-	13,000	: :			0 ND<1.0	ND<1	: :			0 ND<4.0		1 1	1	5 ND<	5 ND<25	50 ND<2	-	2 ND<12	ND<5.0	0 ND<50	1	1	1		50 ND<0.50		5 ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<5.0	ND<0	0 ND<50	AD 40	ND<1	0 ND<0	00 ND<100	0 ND<1	6 ND<25 ND<25 ND<25		-			tone	ene • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	cis-1,2- DCE	+					ND<1.0	8.2				ND<4.0 ND<10			1	ND<2	5 ND<25	0 ND<2	-	ND<12	8.8	0 ND<50	-	-	11		0 ND<0.50		5 ND<0.5	0 21	0 21	0 16 ND-1	- ND<5	07	9 53 9	0 8.7 0 ND<10	0 ND<100		ND<2.(-			TBA = tert-Butyl alcohol MEK = Methvl ethvl ketone	imethylbenz	
	-	+	13,000 13,000 13	: :		50 510	-			-	-	420	++	D ND<5.0		ND<25	+		ND<	ND<12	ND<5.0	ND<110 ND<50	ND<5(ND<5.(ND<5.0	ND/E /	0 ND<0.50		ND<0.5	ND<20	ND<160	ND<20	ND<40 ND<50	$\left \right $	NDc40 NDc40		++	ND<40	ND<20 ND<20 ND<20	ND<500	-			TBA = ter MEK = M	TMB = Tr	
	Total Xylenes	_	13,000	13,000	200	63 550	3,120	5,000	7,600	7,380	17,500	2,760 9.200		4,900 ND<0.50	ND<0.5(180	68	8,800	13,000	460	15	21 930	300	ND<1.5	ND<1.5 ND<1.5	ND-4 E	ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	7,300	8,400	9,300	2,700	0000	7,400	8,100 6,010	7,500	2,870	2,630 2,630 843	2,300	-				N16 for arou	
BE				: :		470	900	1,700	1,100	280	5,500	660 2 1 00	2	: :	:	: :	1	: :	:	: :		: :	•	;	: :			ND<0.5	ND<0.5	2,000	2,400	2,600	:::	0000	2,300	2,700	: :	370	310					TCE = Trichlor oethene DCA = Dichloro ethane	loropropane	- (mmmn p
RTEY and MTRE	m,p- Xylenes				1	63 80	2,400 3,800	3,300	6,500	7,100	12,000	2,100					1							1				ND<0.5	ND<0.5	5,300	5,900	6,700		007.4	5,100 6,900	5,400		2,500	2,200					TCE = Tricl DCA = Dich	DCP = Dict	
ä		(hg/L)	140 300	2,900	2,400	140 660	1,300	1,100	2,700	2,300	3,600	740		1,100 ND<0.50	ND<0.50	570	140	2,200	3,000	310	110	99 340	110	ND<0.50	ND<0.50 ND<0.50	ND-0 ED	ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	1,500	1,600	2,000	730	1 000	1,400	1,370	2,600	1,400	1,200 1,400 743	2,200					2 ESI e) Rev	
	Toluene	(hg/L)	4,300 37,000 150	24,000 90.0	000	9.3 870	3,100	9,600	670	7,200	29,000	4,600 8 500		1,300 ND<0.50		72	67	13,000	12,000	20	ND<5.0	11 1100	450	ND<0.50 ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND-0 E0	ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	8,500	8,400	9,900	2,300	000.00	25,000	22,000 6,690	3,700 2,800	460	2/ U 370 520 170	510				MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether DCE = Dichloroethene	hioroethene	
	Benzene	(hg/L)	1.4 12 1.0	18,000 46	D	4.1 69	210	6,500	1,600	7 200	7,700	6,000 7 800		140 ND<0.50		930 1 0.00	490	ND-250	610	ND<12	55	66 280	110	ND<0.50	ND<0.50 ND<0.50	ND-0 EO	ND<0.50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	12,000	12,000	14,000	290	0000	7,700 10,000	9,500 175	360 ND<100	89	00 73 160 463	1,100	g level.			ITBE = Meth CE = Dichlo	CE = Tetraci	Rumman
	TPHmo/RRO	(hg/L)															,						,			UD-2E0		ND<290	ND<290	,		- 0400	580			8	300	;	1 1 1	ND<250	on screenin	evel.			P P	
TDH	2																,									00		ND<49 N					4,100				000		+++	5,100 N	apor intrusi	screening k		DRO = Diesel Range Organics RRO = Residual Rance Organics	Denion Envie	wise noted.
				200,000	8	700	000	32,000	000	000	000	000		<50	<50	. 0		00.00	130,000	. 00	8.	14,000	00,	ND<50	<50	MD-E0			ND<50 72	000	000			000	0000		-	000	+++	$\left \right $	undwater v	or intrusion		= Diesel Ran = Residual R	moleco Rav	unless other
╞	Date TPHg				+		798 20,0 798 36,0					/98 17,000		/15 26,000 /15 ND<50		/15 8 100	+	++	181 01/	/16	16 -	-	/16 4,700		-	-		018 ND<50	-	H	/98 61,000	0 9	2	g	73,000 /98 73,000 /98 110,000	716 89 ,	~ ~		790 23,000 798 24,000 799 30,000	17	limit. mercial gro	rdwater vap			wrd . San Fra	vels (MCLs),
	Sample Date		tercial	7 03/22/93	+	02/07/	02/07/	02/07	02/08/98	02/08	02/08/98	02/08	2010	10/28/15	10/28	12/11/1	12/11/15	12/14/	41/21	02/02	02/02/	02/02	02/02/16	07/14/16	07/14/ 07/14/	40/40/4	10/10/1	3/1/2018	3/1/2018	04/28/	11/18/98	02/26	10/10/1	10010	08/04/9 11/18/9	02/26/	10/10/1	04/28/98	02/26/99 02/26/99 10/12/16	10/10/1	Notes: Bold fort indicates concentration above laboratory reporting limit. Bold italic fort indicates laboratory reporting limit above commer	Indicates concentration above commercial groundwater vapor intrusion screening level.	find find	NU-s.). I = Not detected above indicated taboratory reporting innit. TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline TPHd = Total betroleum hydrocarbons as diesel	· Control Bos	taminant Le
	Sample Depth	(feet)	Groundwater Vapor Intrusion-Residential Groundwater Vapor Intrusion-Commercial cimum Contaminant Level (MCL) ²	20.5'-24.5	N-1-7- N' 1-7	20'	S. S.	30°	20,	20,	50,	20,	A.4	21'-25' 21'-25'	21'-25'	21'-25	21-25	21-25	67-17	21'-25'	21'-25	21'-25'	21'-25'	21:-25	21-25			15-20	13-18	20'-30'	20'-30'	201-307	20'-30'	200-200	20:-30	20'-30'	20'-30'	20'-30'	20-30 20'-30' 20'-30'	20'-30'	ve laborator porting limi	bove comm	and the second	is gasoline s diesel	as motor oil	aximum Con
	Lab Method ⁶		Vapor Intrusion-h r Vapor Intrusion minant Level (MCL	(a)	(a)	(q) (q)	(b), (c) (b), (c)	(b), (c)	(q)	(q)	e e	(b), (c)	4	(g)		(e) (d)			-	(e) (4)		(d) (e)		(p)		141	(e) N50 4	(a) ^{Note 6}	(a) ^{Note 7}	s (b).(c)	(b), (c) (b), (c)	(b), (c)	(a) (e)	(e) (e)	(9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9)	(f), (c) (f), (e)	(g)	(b), (c)	(b) (c) (b) (c)	(6) (6)	tration abo	centration ai	zed	rocarbons at rocarbons at rocarbons at	vdrocarbon &	a Primary M.
	Parcel		roundwater roundwater num Contam	008-625-016 008-625-016 008-625-016	010070000	08-625-016 38-625-016	008-625-016 008-625-016	08-625-016 78-625-016	008-625-016	08-625-016	08-625-016 38-625-016	008-625-016 008-625-016		008-625-018 008-625-017	08-625-018	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	8	008-625-018	38-625-017	008-625-017 008-625-018	08-625-018	8-625-002-1	008-625-002-1 008-625-002-1	2 60E 000 4	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	008-625-002-1	78-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	00 002 010		008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016	008-625-016 008-625-016 008-625-016	888	ates concer. indicates la	dicates cond	vie, not analy.	etroleum hyd troleum hyd	TPHmo = Total petroleum hydrocarbon as motor oil 1 Values rennesent California Renional Water Ouali	2 values represent Communities ways and water water used. Data is access to symptomic interval and a symptomic and a sympto
╞	Sample ID	0.00.000	RWQCB ESL G RWQCB ESL G California Maxim	Grab Groundwater Sample HP-1 008-625-016 HP-2 008-625-016		G-1 00 G-2 00		G-5 0		6-8				B-1 00 B-2 00				6-9-0 9-0 00				B-2 B-3 00			B-9 008	100 1 OW		SB-5-15-20 008	SB-6-13-18 008-625-003	A-1 00			A-1 00 00				A-2 00 00		A-3 00 00		d font indic:	pu	 Not availab. 	Hg = Total pt Hg = Total pt Hd = Total pe	Hmo = Total	alues repres
L	Sai		RM Call	5							Ľ																11	SB.	SB	5											Notes: Bold fo Bold it		ġ.	할보면	TP:	· × ·

Indicates concentration above commendial groundwater vapor intrinsion screening tww.
 Indicates concentration above commendial groundwater vapor intrinsion accenting town
 Indicates concentration above commendial groundwater vapor intrinsion accenting town
 Indicate above included above incl

APPENDIX A

ACDEH LETTER TITLED: SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM CASE RO0003229 AND GEOTRACKER GLOBAL ID T0000010099, LENNAR MULTIFAMILY COMMUNITIES, 1750 WEBSTER STREET, OAKLAND, CA 94612, DATED OCTOBER 24, 2017
ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY

REBECCA GEBHART, Interim Director



DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH LOCAL OVERSIGHT PROGRAM (LOP) For Hazardous Materials Releases 1131 HARBOR BAY PARKWAY, SUITE 250 ALAMEDA, CA 94502 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

October 24, 2017

Mr. Tyler Wood Lennar Multifamily Communities 492 9th Street Suite 300 Oakland, California 94607

Subject: Site Cleanup Program Case RO0003229 and GeoTracker Global ID T0000010099, Lennar Multifamily Communities, 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, CA 94612

Dear Mr. Wood:

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) has reviewed the case file in conjunction with the *Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan, Proposed Multifamily Development, 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California* (SGMP), prepared by GrafCon on your behalf, and dated October 24, 2017. The SGMP includes a summary of soil and groundwater conditions at the subject site and soil and groundwater management protocols that will be implemented during site redevelopment to mitigate conditions potentially hazardous to human health or the environment during and after construction.

The SGMP was prepared to support construction-related activities related to redevelopment of the site and a change in site use from commercial/industrial to commercial/multi-family residential, as detailed in the following redevelopment plans submitted to the City of Oakland Planning Department and Building and Public Works Departments:

- Planning Permit Number PLN16-071, 301 19th Street Development Submittal: This submittal includes schematic and entitlement set development plans for 301 19th street, 1750-1810 Webster (APN: 008-0625-002-01; -016-00; -017-00; & -018-00), submitted to the City of Oakland Planning Department on March 17th, 2016, as later revised on May 25th, 2017, and approved by the City of Oakland on August 26, 2016.
- *PZ Permit Number PZ1700062, 301 19th Street Site Improvements and Building Permit Set.* This submittal includes plans dated and submitted to the City of Oakland on August 29th, 2016, as revised on April 10th, 2017, and subsequently revised on June 26th, 2017, and July 25th, 2017, and approved and permitted by the City of Oakland on August 14th, 2017.
- *Grading Permit Number GR1700069, 301 19th Street Grading Permit Plan Set.* This submittal includes plans dated and submitted to the City of Oakland on March 3rd, 2017 as later revised on May 5th, 2017, and approved, bonded, and permitted by the City of Oakland on July 17th, 2017.

Based on the information in the case file, and with the provision that the information provided to this agency is accurate and representative of site conditions, ACDEH conditionally approves of the corrective actions and soil and groundwater management activities presented in the SGMP and concurs that implementation of the proposed measures will prevent exposure to construction workers and site occupants of the proposed development project from residual contamination at the site.

Therefore, at this juncture, you may proceed with site redevelopment activities provided the soil and groundwater management activities presented in the SGMP are implemented, the required mitigation measures including vapor barriers beneath the elevator pits and utility trench dams are installed, and the documents and field work listed in the Technical Report section below are submitted in accordance with the associated compliance dates. Case closure will be granted following completion and approval of the technical reports and completion of corrective actions.

TECHNICAL REPORT AND FIELD WORK REQUEST

- 1. <u>Prior to the start of building construction</u> the following work must be completed and documents submitted to ACDEH:
 - a. **Project Schedule.** A project schedule providing details of the sequencing of corrective actions and site redevelopment activities and submittal of requisite reports and documentation listed below. The schedule must include at a minimum the following activities: site grading and excavation of lead-impacted soil, soil import characterization and ACDEH approval, vapor barrier installation and testing, utility trench dam installation, and foundation construction.
 - b. Groundwater Sampling and Monitoring Well Destruction. Sampling of groundwater for volatile organic compounds in the area of the former gasoline station and from the three existing on-site wells. Following groundwater sampling permits for well destruction shall be obtained from Alameda County and well destruction activities shall be performed according to agency requirements. Results of groundwater sampling and documentation of the well destruction activities must be included in the Updated Site Summary Report required below.
- 2. <u>Prior to the import of soil to the site</u> the following documents must be submitted to ACDEH for review and approval:
 - a. **Soil Import Documentation.** Requisite documentation for imported fill material including information on proposed sources, sampling and profiling protocols, analytical laboratory reports, and tables with analytical results and applicable environmental screening levels.
- 3. <u>Prior to pouring of elevator pits</u> the following reports must be submitted to ACDEH for review and approval:
 - a. Vapor Barrier and Trench Dam Design Report and Approved Building Permit Plans. A basis of design report incorporating a copy of plans approved by the City of Oakland Building Department showing the vapor barrier and utility trench dam locations and specifications for the two on-site elevator areas and a Construction Quality Assurance Plan (CQA Plan). The report and plans must be stamped by a registered engineer. ACDEH must be notified if LMC or the City proposes changes to the site development and first floor building plans presented in the documents in the case files including but not limited to the location, depth and/or design of the elevator shafts, vapor barriers and utility trench dams. Any substantial changes made to the plans without review by ACDEH may invalidate the conclusions of the protectiveness of the redevelopment of the site with respect to residual contamination.
- 4. Prior to building occupancy the following documents must be submitted to ACDEH for review and approval:
 - a. **Construction SGMP Compliance Report**. A report documenting compliance with the Construction SGMP.
 - b. Vapor Barrier and Utility Trench Dam Record Report of Construction. A vapor barrier and utility trench dam record report of construction with as-built drawings and other information relevant to the installation of the vapor barriers and utility trench dams and certifying that the vapor barriers and utility trench dams were installed in accordance with the basis of design report and design plans.

- c. Long-Term Site Management Plan (SMP). An SMP for long-term site management providing details regarding the location and construction of the vapor barriers and utility trench dams, precautions should subsurface work be required in the area of installed mitigation measures, protocols for handling potentially impacted soil and groundwater exceeding direct exposure screening criteria that may remain beneath the ground floor slab and foundations, and notification and documentation procedures should the vapor barriers and/or trench dams be damaged. The SMP must include-as built drawings and specifications of the vapor barriers and utility trench dams and must be maintained at the site address by the property manager or designated representative and must be recorded at the Alameda County Clerk Recorder's Office.
- d. **Updated Site Summary Report.** An Updated Site Summary Report containing figures and tables showing locations and results of all sampling that has occurred at the site. The report will include descriptions of the year, reason for sampling, and what was analyzed for, and conclusions on status and source of residual chemicals.
- e. Land Use Covenant. A land use covenant (LUC) documenting long-term site use will be prepared and recorded, and will include the following restrictions: (1) implementation of the long-term SMP for the site, which shall be incorporated therein by reference, including preservation of the site cap, vapor barriers under the elevators and utility trench dams; and (2) prohibition on the extraction of groundwater for any use, including but not limited to domestic, potable, or industrial uses.
- f. **Continued Geotracker and Alameda County ftp Database Upload Compliance.** Electronic submittal of all reports and analytical data to both the County and State databases. A new "non-case" number will be opened to act as a repository for long-term monitoring documents including annual reports and five-year reviews.

TECHNICAL REPORTS/WORK SCHEDULE

Please perform the requested work and submit technical reports to ACDEH (Attention: Karel Detterman) in accordance with Attachment 1 and the schedule below. The technical reports may be combined as appropriate. The submittal compliance date for reports with a "Date to be Determined" notation will be finalized in a subsequent directive letter and will be based on the date(s) proposed in the Project Schedule.

- Project Schedule November 3, 2017
- Soil Import Documentation Date to be Determined
- Vapor Barrier and Trench Dam Design Report and Approved Building Permit Plans Date to be Determined
- Construction SGMP Compliance Report Date to be Determined
- Vapor Barrier and Utility Trench Dam Record Report of Construction Date to be Determined
- Long-Term Site Management Plan (SMP) Date to be Determined
- Updated Site Summary Report Date to be Determined
- Land Use Covenant Date to be Determined

Thank you for your cooperation. Should you have any questions or concerns regarding this correspondence or your case, please call me at (510) 567-6767 or send me an electronic mail message at <u>dilan.roe@acgov.org.</u>

Sincerely,

Dilan Roc

Dilan Roe

Chief - Land Water Division

cc:

Tom Graf, Grafcon, (Sent via E-mail to: tom@grafcon.us)

Rob Campbell, Geosolve, (Sent via E-mail to: rcampbell@geosolve-inc.com)

Karel Detterman, ACDEH (*Sent via E-mail to: <u>karel.detterman@acgov.org</u>)* Paresh Khatri, ACDEH (*Sent via E-mail to: <u>paresh.khatri@acgov.org</u>*) File APPENDIX B

SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL

SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL AND DATA GAP EVALUATION

The following table presents the site conceptual site model (SCM) and data gap evaluation in tabular format.

Project Site/ Site Address:	1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19 th Street	ACDEH Case No.	R00003229	
	Uakianα, California	ACDEH Regulator	Ms. Karel Detterman	an
SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Site Description			
Land Use and Site History	 Based on Phase I Environmental Assessment Report (Phase I ESA; GeoSolve, Inc. [GeoSolve], 2015), the Site history includes the following land uses: 1750 Webster Street (APNs) 008-625-016 and 008-625-017) Year 1889: both APNs - vacant lot (Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) 008-625-017 Year 1903: APN 008-625-016 - vacant lot, APN 008-625-017 - single-family residence Year 1950: APN 008-625-016 - single-family residence Year 1950: APN 008-625-016 - single-family residence Year 1950: APN 008-625-017 - parking Year 1950: APN 008-625-017 - parking Year 1950: APN 008-625-018) Year 1950: APN 008-625-017 - parking Year 1950: a three-pointed star shaped structure/building Year 1946: a circular structure/building Year 1946: a circular structure/building Year 1946: a circular structure/building Year 1946: parking Year 1946: a circular structure/building 	None	ΥN	۲.
	Page 1		Apex Cor	Apex Companies, LLC

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Site Description (Continued)			
Land Use and Site History (Continued)	According to the Phase I ESA (GeoSolve, 2015a), in 1943, a former gasoline service station (Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station) was located at 1839 Harrison Street (APN 008-625-004). This address is located on the adjacent parcel, southwest of 301 19th Street (APN 008-625-002-1). In addition, the facility at 19th Street and Harrison Street was listed on the SWEEPS UST, HIST UST, and CA FID UST databases for the former presence of a 5,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tank (UST) and a 550-gallon waste oil UST. In 1991, the 5,000-gallon UST and a two stage washrack water clarifier were removed. A second UST (possibly a 550-gallon waste oil UST) was not discovered at the Site. The facility at 19th Street and Harrison Street was likely associated with the Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station listed at with the Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station listed at the site (GeoSolve, 2015a).			
Nearby Sites	Historical and current land use in the Site vicinity are mixed- use commercial/retail and multi-family residential. The Site is bounded to the northeast by 19 th Street and commercial/retail beyond which is a 17-story commercial building (1901 Harrison Street), to the southeast by Harrison Street beyond which is a 25-story commercial building (1800 Harrison Street), to the southwest by a parking lot and multi-level building of multi-family residential and commercial/retail beyond which is a property undergoing redevelopment into a multi-level building of multi-family residential and commercial/retail (1700-1710 Webster Street), and to the northwest by Webster Street beyond which is a parking lot and commercial/retail (1721 Webster Street). A neighborhood park, Snow Park, is located east of the Site. Beyond the park, in the downgradient direction from the Site, is Lake Merritt.	None	ЧЧ	Ч

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Site Description (Continued)			
Nearby Sites (Continued)	 Based on a review of historical documents available on the State Water Regional Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website, a Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Cleanup Site and two Cleanup Program Sites are located upgradient of the Site. These three nearby cleanup sites are described below. 1721 Webster Street, Douglas Parking Company Site - The Douglas Parking Company Site and automotive fueling facility from approximately 1925 through 1992. In 1992, three gasoline USTs (one 1,000-gallon and two 500-gallon) and associated dispensers and piping were removed from the site. Elevated concentrations of gasoline-range hydrocarbons and bencentrations of gasoline-range hydrocarbons and bind piping were removed from the site. Elevated concentrations of gasoline-range hydrocarbons and bencent to long aver the polytocarbons and bind piping were removed from the site. Elevated concentrations of gasoline-range hydrocarbons and bencarene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) were identified in soil and groundwater beneath the Douglas Parking Company site flows toward the Project Site. 1700-1710 Webster Street, Mixed-Use Redevelopment USTs. Company site flows toward the Project Site towards the south. The property is approximately 0.56 acre in size. Historically, 1700 and 1710 Webster Site et is located upgradient of the Project Site towards the south. The property is approximately 0.56 acre in size. Historically, 1700 and 1710 Webster Street were first developed as residential property. By 1933, an automobile service station operated in the northeast corner of the property. By 1937, a car wash operated in the northeast corner of the property. By 1957, a car wash operated in the northeast corner of the property. By 1957, a car wash operated in the northeast corner of the property. By 1957, a car wash operated in the northeast corner of the property. By 1957, a car wash operated in the corner of the property. By 1957, a car wash operated in the northeast corner of the property. By 1957, a c			

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Site Description (Continued)			
Nearby Sites (Continued)	 <u>1633 Harrison Street, Former Chevron Service Station 9-</u> <u>0020</u> - The former Chevron Service Station is located upgradient of the Project Site to the south across 17th Street, on the southwest corner of the intersection of Harrison Street and 17th Street. Chevron operated a service station on the property until 1972. Between 1972 and 1975, the station building, two dispenser islands, one waste oil UST, and two gasoline USTs were removed. After December 1, 1975, it was used as a parking lot. The property was redeveloped as a multi-level senior housing facility. Prior to decommissioning the groundwater monitoring wells at the former Chevron Service Station, depth to groundwater ranged from approximately 16 feet bgs to 22 feet bgs and groundwater generally flowed to the east-northeast (Conestoga-Rovers & Associates [CRA], 2014). On January 27, 2015, ACDEH issued a case closure letter. 			
Building Characteristics	Redevelopment plans included construction of a seven-story mixed-use commercial/retail and multi-family residential building, with the ground level consisting of parking, retail, commercial, and mechanical/utility space. Multi-family residential use will be limited to upper levels of the building. Two elevators will be constructed to service the building occupants. The building is currently in the process of being constructed.	None	A	AN

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Geology and Hydrogeology			
Regional	The Site is situated within the East Bay portion of the greater San Francisco Bay region within Alameda County. The Site is located within the Coast Range Geomorphic Province, an area characterized by north-northwest-trending mountain ranges and valleys formed by oblique compression along the San Andreas fault and associated strike-slip faults. The active trace of the right-lateral Hayward Fault is situated approximately 3.4 miles northeast of the Site. Topography at the Site slopes gradually to the northeast toward Lake Merritt (nearest surface water body), which is located approximately 800 feet northeast of the Site. The Site is relatively flat. The distance to the Oakland Inner Harbor, which leads into the San Francisco Bay, is approximately one mile southwest of the Site at its nearest point.	None	Ч А	Ч Х
Local Geology	The Site is primarily underlain by surficial fill materials, beach and dune sand deposits of the Late Pleistocene Merritt Sand, and sand-silt-clay Bay Mud deposits (Helley and Lajoie, 1979). Surficial fill materials are observed intermittently from the surface to approximately 3 feet below ground surface (bgs). Loose, well-sorted to poorly-sorted sands with silt are interbedded with discontinuous, fine-grained silty clays that pinch out towards the west.	None	Ч	Ч

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Geology and Hydrogeology (Continued)			
Local Hydrogeology	Groundwater is historically encountered at the Site at depths ranging from 18.81 to 21.65 feet bgs. The most recent groundwater monitoring event coordinated for both onsite and nearby offsite wells was in October 2016. Groundwater elevations measured in the October 2016 groundwater monitoring event ranged from 6.70 feet msl (well A-3) to 5.88 feet msl (well A-2) in Site monitoring wells (GeoDesign, Inc., 2016). Subsequent to this monitoring event, the offsite wells were destroyed. Onsite wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 were sampled in October 2017 prior to destruction in preparation for redevelopment of the Site. Groundwater flow direction, based on October 2016 Site monitoring wells and nearby groundwater monitoring data from 1700/1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street, is to the northeast.	None	Ч	۲.
Surface Water	The nearest surface water body is Lake Merritt, situated approximately 800 feet northeast of the Site.	None	٧N	AN
Nearby Wells	A well survey has not been conducted at the Site. Based on a review of files available on GeoTracker for properties in the Site vicinity, two nearby sites with groundwater monitoring wells were identified. The groundwater monitoring wells were formerly located at 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street. According to documents available on GeoTracker, prior to the commencement of earthwork activities for redevelopment of the property at 1700-1710 Webster Street, wells MW-01 through MW-04 were destroyed. On October 26, 2017, wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, AS-1, AS-3, SG-1, and SG-2 at the property located at 1721 Webster Street were destroyed.	None	ЧV	ЧZ

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Geology and Hydrogeology (Continued)			
Nearby Wells (Continued)	According to the <i>Soil Gas Sampling Report and Updated</i> <i>SCM</i> , dated June 19, 2017, prepared by Pangea Environmental Services for the nearby property at 1721 Webster Street (located across Webster Street), a review of well information provided by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and Alameda County Public Works Agency (ACPWA), identified several permitted wells within approximately a 1/4 mile radius of the property at 1721 Webster Street. According to Pangea (2017a), two locations are listed as irrigation wells and these locations are greater than 1,000 feet from the property at 1721 Webster Street.			
Groundwater Beneficial Use	According to the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin (Basin Plan), groundwater beneath the Site is part of the East Bay Plain Subbasin of the Santa Clara Valley Basin. The existing beneficial uses of this basin include municipal and domestic water supply, industrial process water supply, industrial service water supply, and agricultural water supply. East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) provides water for these uses to the Site and vicinity from Sierra Nevada-fed surface-water sources.	None	Ч	٩

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Contaminant Source and Release Information			
Source/ Release Information	The Phase I ESA (GeoSolve, 2015a) identified the following Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs): 1) elevated concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline, BTEX, and trichloroethene (TCE) in groundwater beneath the 1750 Webster Street property; 2) the Bliss M S Gasoline and Oil Service Station located at 1839 Harrison Street and/or 19th Street and/n asbestos-containing materials (ACMs) in the surficial soli; 4) a historical printing facility located at 1817 Harrison Street and potential residual metals in soil; and 5) possible upgradient sources of TPHg, BTEX, and other volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from historical uses as gasoline service stations and dry cleaner facilities. Based on former Site land use as a gasoline service station) at the adjacent parcel toward the southwest at 1839 Harrison Street (APN 008-625-004), in September 1991, a 5,000-gallon UST and a two-stage wash-rack water clarifier were removed from 1833 Harrison Street (JMM, 1991). In December 2017, a 300-gallon UST removal activities are discussed in more detail in SCM Element – Remedial Activities.	None	۲ Z	۲ ۲
Chemicals of Potential Concern	Based on former Site land use and previous Site investigations, the chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) are TPH, BTEX, and VOCs.	None	۲ ۲	AN

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Contaminant Source and Release Information (Continued)			
Soil and Groundwater Investigations	The following bullets list the all available reports related to the Site, which are described in more detail in Section 3.0 of the <i>Updated Site Summary Report</i> :	None	AN	NA
	 September 1991: James Montgomery (JJM) UST Closure Report (1833 Harrison Street). 			
	 March 1993, Applied Geoscience, Inc. (AGI) did a Geophysical Survey and Soil and Groundwater Investigation (1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street). 			
	 May 1993, Geophysical Survey and Soil Investigation (1750 Webster Street). 			
	 February 1998, AGI, Geophysical Survey and Soil and Groundwater Investigation (1750 Webster Street). 			
	 February 1998, Risk-Based Corrective Action Assessment (1750 Webster Street). 			
	 April and August 1998, ATC Associates (ATC), Groundwater Well Installation and Monitoring Report. 			
	 November 1998, Groundwater Monitoring Report (1750 Webster Street). 			
	 February 1999, Groundwater Monitoring Report (1750 Webster Street). 			
	 February 2000, Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA), Summary of File Review. 			
	 October 2015, GeoSolve, Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (1750 Webster Street and 301 19th Street) 			
	 December 2015, Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (1750 Webster Street) 			

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Contaminant Source and Release Information (Continued)			
Soil and Groundwater Investigations	 February 2016, Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (1810 Webster Street) 			
(Continued)	 February 2016, Soil Gas Survey (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			
	July 2016, GeoSolve, Additional Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (301 19th Street)			
	 August 2016, Additional Soil Gas Survey (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			
	 October 2016, Groundwater Monitoring Report (1700- 1710 Webster Street, 1721 Webster Street, and 1750 Webster Street) 			
	 February 2017, Soil Management Plan (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			
	 May 2017, Additional Soil Gas Survey (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			
	 May 2017, Evaluation of Lead Impacts in Soil (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			
	 October 2017, Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			
	 January 2018, Groundwater Sampling and Well Destruction Report (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			
	 April 2018, Apex Companies, LLC, Tank Closure Report (1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street, and 301 19th Street) 			

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Contaminant Source and Release Information (Continued)			
Free Product	During previous Site investigations, sheen or free product has not been encountered at the Site.	None	AN	NA
Soil	During previous Site investigations including the removal of a UST during development, numerous soil samples have been collected at the Site. Soil samples have been analyzed for TPHg, TPH as diesel (TPHd), TPH as motor oil (TPHmo), BTEX, methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), VOCs, metals, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs), and semivolatile organic compound (SVOCs) including polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs). With the exception of arsenic and lead, of the compounds detected in soil, no concentrations exceeded their respective Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Regional Water contact with soil for the construction exposure scenario. Arsenic was detected in soil at concentrations ranging from 1.8 mg/kg to 5.9 mg/kg, which are well below the regional background arsenic concentration of 11 mg/kg for San Francisco Bay Region.	None	Υ N	۲ ۲
	Prior to construction activities, Geosolive Identified Tour areas of elevated lead at the Site. At these four locations, lead was detected at concentrations that exceed the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 80 mg/kg for the residential exposure scenario. At two of these four locations, lead was detected at 170 mg/kg and 760 mg/kg, which exceed the RWQCB-SF soil ESL of 160 mg/kg for the construction exposure scenario. Based on a conference call with ACDEH on February 14, 2018, it was agreed that since documentation of soil removal actions and characterization of excavated lead-impact soil was not available, it would be assumed that the lead impacted soil was not removed from the Site and remains in-place.			

Apex Companies, LLC

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Contaminant Source and Release Information (Continued)			
Groundwater	During previous Site investigations, including the removal of an UST during development, numerous grab groundwater and groundwater samples have been analyzed for TPHg, TPHd, TPHmo, BTEX, MTBE, and VOCS, metals. Three groundwater monitoring wells, A-1, A-2, and A-3, were installed on the Site in April 1998. Groundwater was historically encountered at the Site at depths ranging from 18.81 to 21.65 feet bgs. The most recent groundwater monitoring event coordinated for onsite and offsite wells was in October 2016. Subsequent to this monitoring event, the offsite wells were destroyed. Onsite wells A-1, A-2, and A-3 were gauged and sampled in October 2017, prior to destruction in preparation for redevelopment of the Site. Since the October 2016 monitoring event, where data for LMC's property at 1750 Webster Street and the offsite upgradient properties at 1700-1710 Webster Street and 1721 Webster Street were collected on the same day, the data were used in evaluating the potentiometric surface and isoconcentration contours for chemicals of interest. Groundwater monitoring wells is to the northeast. Groundwater the Presence of an areal distribution of low content stine, indicated the presence of an areal distribution of low content for whether monitoring wells is to the northeast. Groundwater impacts originating from upgradient sites, local groundwater impacts originating from upgradient the Site (1750 Webster Street) are likely sourced from an offsite upgradient property.	None	٤	۲ ۲

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Contaminant Source and Release Information (Continued)			
Subslab/Soil Gas	During previous Site investigations, soil vapor samples have been collected at 5, 10, and 15 feet bgs. Soil vapor samples have been analyzed for TPHg and VOCs.	None	ΨN	AN
	BTEX, dichlorodifluoromethane, PCE, chloroethane, 1,3- dichlorobenzene, and 1,4-dichlorobenzene were detected in soil vapor. Of the VOCs detected, none exceeded their respective RWQCB-SF soil gas ESL for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. RWQCB-SF soil gas ESLs were not available for 1,3-dichlorobenzene and dichlorofluoromethane.			
	The ground level of the future building will include parking, retail, commercial, and utility use. The residential units will be limited to the upper levels of the building. Two elevators will be constructed to service the building occupants. As a precautionary measure, a vapor mitigation system will be installed in the two elevator shaft areas to further reduce the potential for vapor intrusion into upper residential levels.			
	No subslab vapor samples have been collected at the Site.			
Indoor Air	No indoor air samples have been collected at the Site. The vapor intrusion into indoor air pathway is being evaluated with soil vapor and groundwater data.	None	ΨZ	AN

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Remediation Activities			
Remedial Activities	In September 1991, a 5,000-gallon UST and a two-stage wash-rack water clarifier were removed from 1833 Harrison Street (currently referred to as 301 19th Street). The UST, wash-rack water clarifier, and tank piping were observed to be in good structural condition. The soil surrounding the 5,000-gallon UST and clarifier did not appear to be affected by subsurface release of hydrocarbons. Groundwater was not encountered at the Site during UST removal activities to an explored depth of approximately 18 feet bgs. No evidence of a subsurface release of hydrocarbons was found during the field activities; therefore, closure was recommended (JMM, 1991). On December 27, 2017, a 300-gallon UST was discovered and removed from 301 19th Street. The UST was visually inspected and corrosion and pitting of the steel tank was observed. Soli with evidence of petroleum hydrocarbon-type impacts immediately adjacent and beneath the UST wes lacking. Significant discoloration/contamination of soli was not noted or observed along the sidewalls of the excavation. No further action is warranted with regards to the UST.	None	Ř	۲ ۲

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Remediation Activities (Continued)			
Remedial Activities (Continued)	Groundwater data for the UST discovery area and UST spill area were compared with historical groundwater data from the Site and offsite upgradient areas to determine if groundwater concentrations are consistent with regional concentrations. Only three VOCs (PCE, TCE, and chloroform) were detected above the laboratory RL in grab-groundwater samples. These VOCs were not detected above the RWQCB-SF groundwater ESLs for vapor intrusion for the commercial exposure scenario. Additionally, PCE and TCE in groundwater are upgradient of the Site (Apex, 2018c). No further action was warranted with regards to groundwater.			
	Risk Pathways			
Risk Pathway Summary	 As defined by USEPA (1989), all of the following four components are necessary for a chemical exposure pathway to be considered complete and for chemical exposure to occur: A chemical source and a mechanism of chemical release to the environment; An environment; An environmental transport medium (e.g., soil) for the released chemical; A point of contact between the contaminated medium and the receptor (i.e., the exposure point); and An exposure route (e.g., dermal contact with chemically-impacted soils) at the exposure point. 	None	A	Ч Z

Apex Companies, LLC

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Risk Pathways (Continued)			
Risk Pathway Summary (Continued)	The first two components are described under the Contaminant Source and Release Information above. The third and fourth component are described below. Redevelopment plans included construction of a seven-story mixed-use commercial retail and residential building, with the ground level consisting of parking, retail, commercial, and mechanical/utility space. Multi-family residential use will be limited to upper levels of the building. The building is currently in the process of being constructed. The surrounding area is commercial/retail and multi-family residential. Hypothetical human receptors were identified on the basis of proximity to the Site, proposed activities that could possibly result in direct or indirect contact with Site-related chemicals, and Site use. The ground level of the future building occupants. The following hypothetical human receptors were evaluated in this risk assessment: • Hypothetical Onsite Construction Worker Receptor; and			
	 Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor. 			

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Risk Pathways (Continued)	-		
Risk Pathway Summary (Continued)	The exposure pathways considered to be potentially complete and significant for each receptor are summarized below: <u>Hypothetical Onsite Construction Worker Receptor</u> • Incidental ingestion of soil; • Dermal contact with soil; and • Inhalation of dust/vapors in outdoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Commercial/Retail Worker Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air. <u>Hypothetical Onsite Resident Receptor</u> • Inhalation of vapors in indoor air via the elevator shaft. The residential levels would be inhalation of vapors in indoor air that are transported via the elevator shaft. Although this is a potentially complete exposure pathway. As a precautionary measure, a vapor mitigation system will be intalled in the two elevator shaft areas to further reduce the potential for vapor intrusion into upper residential levels. Although inhalation of vapors in outdoor air may be complete, outdoor air concentrations due to dispersion; such relatively minor exposure is from indoor air.			

SCM Element/ Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap No. and Description Proposed Investigat	Proposed Investigation	Rationale
	Risk Pathways (Continued)			
Risk Pathway Summary (Continued)	Current development plans include a building foundation across the entire Site; therefore, direct contact with soil exposure pathway (i.e., incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and dust inhalation) is considered incomplete for future onsite commercial and resident receptors. However, during redevelopment of the Site, an onsite construction worker receptor may be directly exposed to onsite soil. The building footprint and pavement will generally preclude leaching of surface water through impacted subsurface soil; therefore, leaching is not expected to occur at the Site to any significant extent. Drinking water at the Site is serviced by a public water supply for beneficial use; therefore, direct contact with groundwater exposure pathway is considered incomplete for receptors at the Site.			

APPENDIX C

LEAD SOIL BORING LOCATIONS



APPENDIX D

GRAFCON AND APEX LETTER TITLED: SUFFICIENCY OF VAPOR MITIGATION, ALAMEDA COUNTY CLEANUP CASE NO. RO0003229; 1750 WEBSTER STREET, OAKLAND, CA, DATED MARCH 9, 2018





March 9, 2018 Ms. Dilan Roe Chief – Land Water Division Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA

Subject: Sufficiency of Vapor Mitigation Alameda County Cleanup Case No. RO0003229; <u>1750 Webster Street, Oakland</u>, CA

Dear Ms. Roe,

As requested, the undersigned have reviewed existing data for the subject site (the Site) in regards to residual vapors in soil and extent of mitigation required to reduce the vapor intrusion risk to occupants of the new building to acceptable risk levels.

Existing Site data is summarized in the October 24, 2017 Construction Soil and Groundwater Management Plan, Proposed Multifamily Development 1750 Webster Street, 1810 Webster Street and 301 19th Street, Oakland, California, by GrafCon. Additional data presented in the November 14, 2016 Groundwater Monitoring Report: October 2016, 1700 through 1750 Webster Street, Oakland, California provides information on the existence of halogenated volatile organic compounds (HVOCs) in groundwater upgradient of the Site. Both of these reports are in the Site Geotracker file. Proposed construction plans for the Site include a mixed-use multi-story building constructed over the entire Site. No parking or open space will be available onsite. The ground level of the proposed onsite building will consist of retail/commercial space only. Residential units will be limited to upper levels of the building, accessible via elevators and stairways.

Soil vapor data collected at the Site indicates the existence of low concentrations of residual tetrachloroethylene (PCE) below the Water Board environmental screening levels (ESLs) for both residential and commercial development, and benzene below the Water Board ESL for commercial development. The detections of PCE were measured at a depth of 10 to 15 feet below grade. Soil vapor samples collected at a depth of 5 feet at the same location were non-detect for PCE, indicating attenuation of vapors from the underlying low concentrations of PCE in groundwater. Soil at the Site at the one location benzene was measured did not show residual petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and oxygen concentrations measured across the Site were well above 4%, indicating that significantly higher benzene concentrations are below Water Board levels of concern (November 3, 2016 Water Board letter to GrafCon, *Consideration of Biodegradation for Site-Specific Vapor Intrusion Evaluations at Petroleum Sites*).

Sampling of soil at the Site during due diligence and following removal of an underground storage tank encountered during site development did not indicate residual sources of VOCs at the Site.

Groundwater samples collected from the Site and upgradient of the Site show an areal distribution of low concentrations of PCE, TCE, and their daughter products. The presence of gasoline-related chemicals in groundwater samples has required detection limits above the typically low HVOC concentrations that have been detected. The presence of the gasoline-related chemicals typically assists with the ongoing degradation of the remaining HVOCs in groundwater.

Based on the above, it is our opinion that sub-slab vapor mitigation is not warranted for the limited VOCs measured in the subsurface at the Site. As a precautionary measure, vapor mitigation will be installed in elevator shaft areas to further reduce the potential for vapor intrusion to upper residential use areas.

GrafCon and Apex have exercised professional judgment in reviewing previous investigation data. These opinions expressed are based on the reported conditions of the Site existing at the time of the investigation and current regulatory requirements. The presented findings in this letter are intended to be taken in their entirety to assist LMC and ACDEH personnel in making decisions related to the property. If you have questions or require additional details, please contact the undersigned at your earliest convenience.

ROFESSION Sincerely. GrafCon No. 34719 Exp. 9/30/19 Tom Graf, P.E. Principal

cc: Ms. Karel Detterman, ACDEH Mr. Tyler Wood, LMC Apex Companies, LLC

wy moure

Ivy Inouye Senior Toxicologist