### HEALTH CARE SERVICES

AGENCY



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RAFAT A. SHAHID, DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502-6577

(510) 567-6720

StID 3695

May 1, 1996

Ms. Marla Guensler Exxon Co P.O. Box 4032 Concord, CA 94568

Mr. Dan Mundy Dolan Foster Enterprises 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, CA 94545

RE: Well Decommission at Taco Bell, 1900 Webster Street, Alameda, CA 94501

Dear Ms. Guensler and Mr. Mundy:

This office and the San Francisco RWQCB have reviewed the case closure summary for the above referenced site and concur that no further action related to the underground tank release is required at this time. Before a remedial action completion letter is sent, the onsite monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-4) should be decommissioned, if they will no longer be monitored. Please notify this office upon completion of well destruction so a closure letter can be issued.

Well destruction permits may be obtained from Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation, Zone 7. They can be reached at (510) 484-2600.

If you have any questions, I can be reached at (510) 567-6762.

Sincerely,

eva chu

luszi

Hazardous Materials Specialist

cc: files

tacobell.2

### ALAMEDA COUNTY

## HEALTH CARE SERVICES

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RAFAT A. SHAHID, Assistant Agency Director

Alameda County CC 4580 Health Care Services Agency Dept. Of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy 2nd Flr. Alameda, CA 94502-6577

August 18, 1994

Mr. Dan Mundy Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc. 25546 Seaboard Land Hayward, CA 94545

STID 3695

Re: Investigations at 1900 Webster St., Alameda, California

Dear Mr. Mundy,

This office has received LRA Environmental's (LRA) letter, dated May 11, 1994. During the four past quarters of ground water monitoring for on-site Wells MW1, MW2, MW3, and MW4, the only contaminants identified were elevated levels of Total Oil & Grease (TOG), at 30,000 parts per billion (ppb) and 5,500 ppb. According to LRA, the elevated levels of Total Oil & Grease are the result of the intrusion of storm water runoff through disturbed or damaged well heads into the ground water. Based on the fact that a waste oil tank was formerly located at the above site, the TOG contamination could also be attributable to past releases at the site. Therefore, more information must be provided to confirm whether the observed TOG contamination is, in fact, resulting from storm water runoff.

Per the California Department of Water Resources' Well Standards, monitoring wells are required to be constructed with caps to prevent surface water infiltration. Based on these requirements, and the need to confirm the source of the TOG contamination, this office is requiring that the well heads be repaired or secured to prevent surface intrusion, and an additional set of ground water samples be collected. If the well heads are secured and TOG continues to be identified in the ground water samples, then there would appear to be another source for this contamination. A timetable for the repair and sampling of the wells is required to be submitted within 30 days of the date of this letter.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (510) 567-6763.

Sincerely,

Juliet Shin

Hazardous Materials Specialist

Mr. Dan Mundy Re: 1900 Webster St. August 18, 1994 Page 2 of 2

Robert A. Nicholson cc:

LRA Environmental

3255 Sunrise Blvd., Ste 5 Rancho Cordova, CA 95742

Edgar Howell



### ALCO HAZMAT

### 94 MAY 12 PH 1: 14

### LRA ENVIRONMENTAL

3235 SUNRISE BOULEVARD, SUITE 5 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742 PHONE 916/631-4455

May 11, 1994 LRA ENVIRONMENTAL JOB NUMBER: E-9170

FAX 916/631-4466

Ms. Juliet Shin, H.M.S. Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Department of Environmental Health, U.S.T. Local Oversight Program 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621

Subject:

U.S.T. Local Oversight Program Correspondence, 17 March 1994

Re: Investigations at 1900 Webster Street, Alameda, California

Dear Ms. Shin:

Subsequent to our informal telephone discussion of the above referenced correspondence, LRA Environmental has conducted a follow-on investigation of the matter. It is our general understanding that you, on behalf of the Department of Environmental Health U.S.T. Local Oversight Program, required additional evidence in support of the conclusions drawn regarding the detectable concentrations of oil and grease noted in the Fourth Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report. At the time that the reports were submitted, LRA stated that the presence of oil and grease in the samples could be attributed to oil laden storm runoff water from the parking lot infiltrating the monitoring wells. We have since modified our opinion to include maintenance wash-water and irrigation water as runoff sources. Based upon our understanding of your concerns regarding those conclusions, we have developed the following information:

The parking lot in which the wells that supplied the groundwater samples are located was constructed on or about 12 August 1993 with a "hot mix" asphaltic concrete. This material was manufactured by mixing a blend of sands and aggregates with either an AR400 or AR 8000 asphalt cement. Asphaltic cements are characterized by the Asphalt Institute, as " a complex combination of high molecular weight organic compounds containing a relatively high proportion of hydrocarbons having carbon numbers greater than C25 with high carbon to hydrogen ratios". Asphaltic cement at ambient temperatures is a dark, typically black, gluey semi-solid that has very high viscosity. Asphaltic cement is characterized as "thermo-plastic"; softening under the application of heat, and solidifying as heat is reduced.

Typically, the production process that results in the formulation of asphaltic concrete involves heating not only the sands and aggregates, but also the asphaltic cement, hence the name "hot-mix". Once fluid, the asphaltic cement is blended with the hot aggregates at a central mixing plant, and while still hot the material is transported to the construction site.

Once at the site, the mixture is placed on a prepared subgrade, spread uniformly and compacted to the specified densities; upon cooling the material hardens, or "sets". Compacted to a high percentage of the maximum relative density, asphaltic concrete becomes impermeable.

In response to concerns that asphaltic concrete could potentially create environmental damage when in direct contact with water, the Asphalt Institute has stated that "recent studies using state-of-the-art testing procedures as governed and approved by the Environmental Protection Agency demonstrate that asphalt does not leach harmful quantities of contaminants." Given the results of this research, LRA has eliminated leachate from the asphalt concrete as the source of the oil detected in the third and fourth quarter monitoring rounds.

When queried about the possibility of leachate infiltrating the monitoring wells, Mr. Roger Smith, Asphalt Institute Senior Engineer, cited the research and suggested very strongly that the detectable oil and grease in the groundwater samples was not leachate, but rather material (motor oil drippings, power steering and transmission fluids, hydraulic fluids, etc.) that has leaked from the cars and trucks that frequent the parking lot. The subject property has a traffic flow of a minimum of three-hundred (300) vehicles per day. It is not unreasonable to expect that every truck and automobile will leave behind some amount of "drippings", and that this material will accumulate on the surface of an impermeable asphaltic concrete until a rainfall event occurs, irrigation water overflows, or the parking lot is washed down. Mr. Smith stated categorically that given the traffic flows that exist on the subject property, storm-water runoff, irrigation overflow water, and/or maintenance wash-water flowing across the pavement will be heavily tainted with this material.

LRA directed further queries to Dr. Larry Mooney, Senior Project Manager of California Laboratory Services, in order to ascertain the means by which the automotive fluids may be transported by runoff water. Aside from the fact that freshly deposited drippings would float on top of the runoff, Dr. Mooney postulated that there would likely be two primary means of mobilization and transport, both tied to the agitation of the water and residual drippings by the tires of the vehicles moving through the parking lot. Firstly, the agitation of the water and drippings could place product into solution. Secondly, the drippings and water are likely to be agitated to the extent that small droplets of free product are suspended in the runoff water and transported intact. In either scenario, product is transported with little or no impediment.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Evaluation of Hot Mix Asphalt for Leachability", Anthony J. Kriech, Heritage research Group, Indianapolis, Indiana, Ootober 1990 and "Sewage Sludge Ash in Bituminous Paving", Report to the Minnesota Legislative Commission on Weste Management, joint development by staffs of the Minnesota Department of Transportation, Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, and Metropolitan Waste Control Commission, St. Paul Minnesota, October 1990.



The franchise operator routinely cleanses the oil buildup from the concrete drive through and adjacent paved areas as a safety measure to prevent slips and falls. The wash-water left from these facility maintenance operations could easily transport automotive drippings into the wellheads by the same mechanisms.

The drippings could also be mobilized as free product under the high pressure hose streams and the stiff bristled brooms used to clean the paved areas. Once mobilized, it would be an easy matter to inadvertently force the tainted washwater into the wellhead under the discharge of a high pressure nozzle.

In site specific terms the information gleaned from both Mr. Roger Smith and Dr. Mooney is significant when weighed against the following:

- 1: The groundwater samples acquired from MW1, MW2, MW3, and MW4 during the period 5 January to 9 January 1993 were free of detectable concentrations of oil and grease. At the time that the samples were collected, the well coverings were observed to be intact, and no runoff water had ponded in the annular space between the outer and inner well casing. Weather records produced by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration indicate that in the fifteen (15) days prior to the sampling event, four point eight seven inches of precipitation had fallen.
- 2: The groundwater samples acquired from MW1, MW2, and MW4 on 1 September 1993 were fee of detectable concentrations of oil and grease. MW3 produced a groundwater sample that contained thirty milligrams per litre (30.00 Mg/l) of oil and grease. It is the policy of the franchise operator to routinely cleanse the oil buildup from the concrete drive through as a safety measure to prevent slips and falls. MW3 is located adjacent to the southern terminus of the drive through directly in the flow path that the tainted wash-water traverses to the nearest storm drain. At the time that the sample was collected, the well cover was observed to have been disturbed; runoff water had collected in the annular space between the outer and inner casing.
- 3: The groundwater samples collected from MW1, MW3, and MW4 on 6 December 1993 were free of detectable concentrations of oil and grease. In this sampling round MW2 was tainted with oil and grease in excess of the analytical method reporting limit. The reported concentration was five point five milligrams per litre (5.5 Mg/l). At the time that the groundwater sample was acquired from MW2, the well cover was observed to have been damaged or disturbed; runoff water had collected in the annular space inside the wellhead.



### 3: (Continued)

The National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration precipitation records for the area indicate that one point three five inches (1.35") of precipitation had fallen in the preceding fifteen (15) days.

There can be little doubt, given the evidence presented above, that the detection of oil and grease in MW2 and MW3 is a random event, and the wells that produced the samples containing oil and grease were tainted by infiltration of runoff from the parking lots. We have noted that there is no reproducible pattern in terms of the wells that produced detectable concentrations of oil and grease, nor is there any consistency in the amounts of product detected in the samples. Furthermore, only the wells where the cover had been disturbed or damaged and water had ponded in the annular space produced samples that were tainted. The samples collected on January 5, 1993 from the monitoring well array when the well covers were tight and undisturbed were free of contaminants despite nearly five inches (5") of rain in the preceding fifteen (15) days.

Your correspondence infers that this site will not obtain jurisdictional "closure" until it "qualifies for Regional Water Quality Control Board `signoff'". We have reviewed the California Regional Water Quality Control Board compilation of water quality goals applicable to human health and welfare and noted that the sampling rounds conducted on January 5, September 1, and December 6, 1993 produced groundwater that did not contain contaminants, or contained levels of contaminants below those allowed by the following:

- 1: State of California and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency primary and secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels (Drinking Water Standards).
- 2: The State of California Department of Health Services California State Action Levels for toxicity, taste, and odor
- 3: The California Proposition 65 Regulatory Levels as a Water Quality Criterion.

This being the case, we are at a loss as to what parameters you are referring to in your mandate for compliance with CRWQCB standards; it would appear that this site qualifies for "closure" at this time. In point of fact, we would recommend that the site be closed immediately and the monitoring wells be abandoned and sealed to prevent any further infiltration of oil and grease laden runoff water.



We appreciate your attention to this matter, and remain at your disposal should there be any further questions regarding this site.

Very truly yours,

LRA ENVIRONMENTAL

Robert A. Nicholson Sr. Vice President

RAN:bas

cc: Dan Mundy

W:\WP5DATA\EPA\E-9170 ASP



# ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES AGENCY

DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RAFAT A. SHAHID, ASST. AGENCY DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
State Water Resources Control Board
Division of Clean Water Programs
UST Local Oversight Program
80 Swan Way, Rm 200
Oakland, CA 94621
(510) 271-4530

March 17, 1994

Mr. Dan Mundy Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc. 25546 Seaboard Land Hayward, CA 94545

STID 3695

Re: Investigations at 1900 Webster St., Alameda, CA

Dear Mr. Mundy,

This office has received and reviewed LRA Environmental's Fourth Quarter Ground water Monitoring Report, dated January 27, 1994. Elevated levels of Total Oil and Grease have been detected from Wells MW-2 and MW-3 during the last two quarters of ground water monitoring. According to LRA Environmental, these elevated levels are due to tampering of "traffic rated" well covers, allowing oil-laden storm water runoff from the parking lot to infiltrate the wells. However, this office has no evidence to indicate that this is the case.

Quarterly ground water monitoring and corresponding gradient determinations are required to continue at the site until this site qualifies for Regional Water Quality Control Board "signoff". If it cannot be shown that the elevated levels of Total Oil & Grease is the result of off-site sources, you may be required to conduct further characterization, and possibly remediation, of this ground water contamination.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (510) 271-4530.

Sincerely,

Juliet Shin

Hazardous Materials Specialist

cc: Robert Nicholson LRA Environmental

3235 Sunrise Blvd., Ste E Rancho Cordova, CA 95742

Edgar Howell-File(JS)

August 24, 1993

Mr. Dan Mundy Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc. 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, CA 94545

STID 3695

Re: Investigations at 1900 Webster St., Alameda, CA

#### NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Dear Mr. Mundy,

As you are aware, four monitoring wells were installed at the above site in August 1992. Since the work plan for the installation of these wells was submitted to this office, this office did not receive any updates or reports on continuing investigations at the site. Recently, this office contacted Robert Nicholson, LRA Environmental, and requested that he submit to us all reports and correspondence prepared subsequent to the work plan. We received copies of reports, including the Site Remediation Report, and only one set of lab analysis results for ground water samples collected from these wells in January 1993.

Per Section 2652, Article 5, Title 23 California Code of Regulations, you are required to conduct quarterly ground water monitoring at the site and submit quarterly monitoring reports to this office. The referenced quarterly reports must describe the status of the investigation and must include, among others, the following elements:

- Details and results of all work performed during the designated period of time: records of field observations and data, boring and well construction logs, water level data and well surveying data, chain-of-custody forms, laboratory results for all samples collected and analyzed, tabulations of free product thicknesses and dissolved fractions, etc.
- o Status of ground water contamination characterization

Mr. Dan Mundy Re: 1900 Webster St. August 24, 1993

Page 2 of 2

o Interpretations of results: water level contour maps showing gradients, free and dissolved product, plume definition maps for each target component, geologic cross sections, etc.

o Recommendations or plans for additional investigative work or remediation

You are required to submit the next quarterly monitoring report within 45 days of the date of this letter. If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (510) 271-4530.

Sincerely,

Juliet Shin Hazardous Materials Specialist

cc: Robert Nicholson LRA Environmental 3235 Sunrise Blvd., Ste E Rancho Cordova, CA 95742

Edgar Howell-File(JS)

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD DIVISION OF CLEAN WATER PROGRAMS 2014 T STREET, SUITE 130 P.O. BOX 944212 SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2120

93 AUG -4 PM 1: 04



916/227-4325 Facsimile 916/227-4349

MUQ a 2 1993

Ms. Maria D. Guensler Senior Environmental Engineer Exxon Company, U.S.A. PO Box 4032 Concord, CA 94524-2032

Dear Ms. Guensler:

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK (UST) LOCAL OVERSIGHT PROGRAM, VARIOUS SITES, ALAMEDA COUNTY

This is in response to your two letters dated June 19, 1993 regarding two sites in the Local Oversight Program. Also, this is in response to the letter addressed to Ron Zielinski, Texaco Environmental Services, dated May 21, 1993, regarding three sites in the Local Oversight Program. You mailed us a copy of this letter. You believe that Exxon is not involved in any environmental investigative/remediative work at the five sites and, therefore, is not responsible for the oversight costs.

The following information on Exxon's involvement at these sites was obtained from Alameda County. For your information, we have enclosed copies of the notices sent to you informing you that Exxon has been identified as a responsible party for these sites.

### Site No. 3695, 1900 Webster Street, Alameda

Exxon acquired Signal Oil Company who was the owner/operator of the tanks at the time the unauthorized release occurred in 1963. Exxon has been named jointly responsible with the current property owner, Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc.

### Site No. 2996, 2200 E. 14th Street, Oakland

Exxon was the owner/operator of the tanks to June 6, 1973 and was the property owner until 1974 when the tanks were removed. Exxon has been named jointly responsible with Lili Good, Lano Choung, Nguyen Qua and Lan Chung.

### Site No. 1039, 2225 Telegraph Avenue, Oakland

Exxon is the current property owner. Exxon has been named jointly responsible with Texaco.

Ms. Marla D. Guensler

-2-

#### Site No. 1109, 500 Grand Avenue, Oakland

Exxon was the operator at the time the tank was removed and also subleased the property. Exxon has been named jointly responsible with J. and M. Howard Trust and Texaco.

### Site No. 245, 2200 E. 12th Street, Oakland

Exxon is the current property owner. Exxon has been named jointly responsible with Texaco.

When more than one responsible party is identified at a site, it is the responsibility of all parties to apportion cleanup costs.

If you have any questions, please telephone Lori Casias at (916) 227-4325. Questions concerning site remediation should be directed to Tom Peacock, Alameda County, at (510) 271-4530.

Sincerely,

Sandra L. Malos, Chief Local Oversight Program

Enclosures

√cc: Tom Peacock, Alameda County

## ALAMEDA COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

80 Swan Way, #200 Oakland, CA 94621 (415) 271-4320

### **Hazardous Materials Division Inspection Form**

	Slte	ID#	SIte No	me <u>Ta</u>	co Bell			Today's	Date	6/1/9
	Site	Address _	1900	Webster		·	و رسم جب بعث اربور ہے ہے۔ وجب سسا شہر ہے	EPA	ID#	
	City	Han	oda			Zlp	94601	Phone	274=	*
=	Hazardo	nt. Stored <b>&gt;</b> 500 ous Waste gener	ated per m	onth?	II. Bus III. Und	z. Mat/V siness Pla dergrour	Vaste GENER Ins, Acute Ho nd Tanks	ATOR/TRANSPostardous Mate	orials	
	lhe mai	ked Items repre	sent violat	ions of the Call	. Administration	n Code (	CAC) or the I	Health & Safet	y Code (	H5&C)
I.A	2 3. 4.	ATOR (Title 22)  . Waste (D . EPA ID . > 90 days Label dates Blennial	* 66471 66472 66508 66508 66493	1 '	3		reyand		inda	capillan
Manifest		Records Corect Copy sent Exception Coples Rec'd	66492 66484 66492 66484 66492	tomas	may 20	der o	ample	fran pit	- Leed	^
MISC.	12.	Treatment On-site Disp. (H.S.&C.) Ex Haz. Waste	66371 26189.5 66570	Contact	Air and	letz n	Vanagen	ent Box	ed to	Seeif
Prevention	15. 16. 17.	Communications Alsie Space Local Authority Maintenance Training	67121 67124 67126 67120 67105		k tow aer	atm	50d:5 n			
Bency	20. 21.	Prepared Name List Coples Emg. Coord, Ting.	67140 67141 67141 67144		271-4530				7	
Confainers, Tanks	24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.	Condition Compatibility Maintenance Inspection Buffer Zone Tank inspection Containment Safe Storage Freeboard	67241 67242 67243 67244 67244 67259 67255 67261 67257							
.B	33.	ORTER (Title 22) Applic./Insurance Comp. Cert./CHP Insp	66428 . 66448 66465							
Manitest	36 37 38	5. Vehicles , EPA ID ≠s 7. Correct , HW Delivery 9. Records	66465 66531 66541 66543 66544							
Confra		Name/ Covers . Recyclobies	66545 66800							
ev 6,		. , .								I
		Contact:		د نکت ہے ہے۔ یہ بنت کا کا ایپ ہیں بہتر کہ افتاد کی	مين جيد شيخ ها الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	le.	_4			
		itle:		~ ~ .	····	Inspe				
	S	ignature:	- Sm	m	<b></b>	Signat	ure:			



LRA ENVIRONMENTAL

3235 SUNRISE BOULEVARD, SUITE E RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742

PHONE 916/631-4455

March 11, 1992

Mr. Scott O. Seery Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, CA 94621

RE: 1900 Webster Street, City of Alameda LRA Environmental Job Number: E-9170

ACDEH Case Number: STID 3965

Dear Mr. Seery:

We appreciate your having taken time to meet with us and allow us to present our investigative data to you. We were very gratified that you exhibited such a positive attitude on behalf of your agency regarding our proactive approach to mitigating the problems which we discussed. However, in reviewing your letter of March 5, 1992 that summarizes our meeting with you, we see some discrepancies between what we were trying to convey and your apparent perceptions of what we said. That's our fault and we apologize. We would like to take this opportunity to try to clear up some of those misconceptions. Some are semantic while others could have significant impact on the conduct of the overall project. We have summarized our concerns as follows:

1. Paragraph 3 states that it is the "intent of LRA" to excavate the contaminated soil, and stockpile it on-site for future aeration treatment (and/or bioremediation?) to reduce contaminant concentrations to levels consistent with Class III disposal requirements. We at LRA Environmental only provided the "recommendation" to excavate so that the soil can be treated and transported. It rests with the property owner to initiate any remedial actions. We believe that at their earliest opportunity (based on weather and removing potential conflicts with the operation of the existing facility) our client will indeed proceed with the plan which was discussed. Our office would act as an on-site consultant to observe and/or provide additional direction as required during the excavation of the tainted soils.

- 2. Paragraph 4 states that boring U-1 was advanced in proximity of the former oil waste oil underground storage tank. After having reviewed the as-built maps provided by Exxon, we have determined that our boring U-16 was actually in closer proximity of the former waste oil tank (see Plate 2A of the final workplan).
- 3. Paragraph 4 refers to the advancement of additional borings into the areas of former petroleum underground storage tank burial site. We concur with the need to fully characterize the site condition. We would advocate, however, a time frame for this portion of the site characterization process whereby the present Taco Bell Restaurant has undergone demolition before drilling in the former tank field can be initiated.

We stand by our recommendation that the soil problem as delineated in the final workplan be taken care of before the existing restaurant is demolished.

4. Paragraph 5 also contains a misconception, in that the draft workplan that was submitted to you does not contain, nor propose, the locations for permanent monitoring well installation. We withheld that potion of the workplan so that we could get "Lead Agency" input as to their preference of locations of said permanent monitoring wells. It was our intent to fully characterize the site conditions prior to making any decision as to the final placement of permanent wells. We fully understand the need to locate the wells so that valid data can be gleaned, but these locations must reside in harmony with the locations of the new structure and customer access as proposed. We will, of course, seek "Lead Agency" approval of the locations prior to construction of the permanent monitoring wells.

Lastly, we were curious as to whether or not you plan to pass along a summary of our meeting, or copies of our workplan to Exxon. We have not as yet been advised as to what role they play in the eyes of your department. We can only presuppose that Exxon will be named as a potentially responsible party.

We hope that this helps to clarify our position and recommendations pertaining to the site remediation process on the subject property. Should you have questions, please contact me at 916-631-4455.

Very truly yours,

LRA ENVIRONMENTAL

Mike Miles Staff Geologist



mm: sc

[epa\seery]

cc:

Rafat A. Shahid, Assistant Agency Director, Environmental Health Edgar Howell, Chief, Hazardous Materials Division Gil Jensen, Alameda County District Attorney's Office Eddie So, RWQCB Howard Hatayama, DHS Steve McKinley, Alameda Fire Department



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

RAFAT A. SHAHID, Assistant Agency Director

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Hazardous Materials Division 80 Swan Way, Rm. 200 Oakland, CA 94621 (510) 271-4320

STID 3695

March 5, 1992

Mr. Dan Mundy Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc. 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, CA 94545

RE: 1900 WEBSTER STREET, CITY OF ALAMEDA

Dear Mr. Mundy:

The Department thanks you and others for taking the time to meet with us today. Present at today's meeting were yourself and Mr. Richard Low, representing Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc., a franchisee of Taco Bell Corporation; Messrs. Robert Nicholson and Mike Mile, representing your consultant, LRA Environmental (LRA); and, Ms. Juliet Shin and myself, representing Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH), the lead agency overseeing the project at the referenced site. This letter will attempt to summarize our understanding of the major points discussed today regarding the future scope of the ongoing investigation, and the progress made to date. Please bear in mind that the discussion presented herein reflects only those facts presented at today's meeting, and does not reflect knowledge otherwise gained through staff review of the February 26, 1992 LRA "draft" Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Monitoring Workplan provided at today's meeting.

Following an evaluation of data collected from geotechnical and environmental exploratory borings advanced at the site, LRA is of the opinion that soil contamination is confined to the west-central portion of the site fronting Webster Street, in a gray sand horizon at an approximate depth of between 3 - 7 feet below grade. We understand that this opinion is based upon observed soil discoloration, limited field screening of soils using a photo ionization detector, and the analyses of select soil and "grab" water samples collected from a number of the borings across the site. Discoloration of this sand horizon reportedly appears to diminish towards the east. Site maps provided by EXXON indicate that the apparent contaminated area is in the approximate location of former fuel islands associated with a retail Signal gasoline station which operated at this site until sometime in 1974.

LRA's intent is to excavate the contaminated soil, and stockpile it on-site for future aeration treatment (and/or bioremediation?) to reduce contaminant concentrations to levels consistent with Class III disposal requirements. In accordance with our discussion today, once the extent of the excavation has reached a point where it is thought the contamination has been adequately removed, confirmatory samples will be collected and analyzed for appropriate target compounds. ACDEH requests notification of this activity so that we may choose to observe sample locations.

Mr. Dan Mundy

RE: 1900 Webster Street, Alameda STID 3695

March 5, 1992 Page 2 of 2

Based on maps supplied by EXXON, the existing Taco Bell structure appears to be situated directly above the location of the former fuel underground storage tanks (UST) associated with the former Signal Station. One geotechnical (U-3) and one environmental (E-8) boring were advanced just north of the existing structure. Another geotechnical boring (U-1) was advanced in very close proximity to the site of a former (apparent) waste oil UST, located in the northeast quadrant of the property. It was decided that, in order to completely characterize the extent of soil contamination present at the site, it would be prudent to advance borings (with commensurate soil sample collection and analyses) within the areas of the former UST locations.

Lastly, a suitable array of monitoring wells are required to determine the presence and extent of ground water contamination resulting from the unauthorized release at this site, and to determine the site-specific gradient. We understand that the technical scope and proposed locations of well installations are presented in the referenced LRA work plan. The potential well locations discussed today appear to be adequate for the initial stage of the investigation. Additional wells may be required in the future should our understanding of the hydrologic controls affecting gradient direction dictate so.

The Department appreciates the proactive approach taken by Dolan Foster Enterprises to date, and look forward to working with you in the future. Please feel free to contact Ms. Shin at 510/271-4320 should you have any questions.

Sincerely

Scott O. Seery, CHMM

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist

cc: Rafat A. Shahid, Assistant Agency Director, Environmental Health Edgar Howell, Chief, Hazardous Materials Division Gil Jensen, Alameda County District Attorney's Office Eddie So, RWQCB Howard Hatayama, DHS Steve McKinley, Alameda Fire Department Robert Nicholson, LRA Environmental



Dolan Foster Englises, Inc. A Franchisee of Tall Bell Corp. 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, California 94545 Telephone 415 887 7260

February 27, 1992

Mr. Thomas Peacock Alameda County Health Department 80 Swan Way, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94604

RE: Taco Bell 1900 Webster Street, Alameda, CA

Dear Mr. Peacock,

Please find enclosed a copy of the letter we received from Exxon in response to our letter dated January 13, 1992. I have enclosed a copy of that letter also.

We look forward to meeting with you next Thursday, March 5, as we are anxious to get underway.

Sincerely,

Dan Mundy

Construction Manager

DM:js

**EXON** RISK MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.

POST OFFICE BOX 3342 • HOUSTON, TEXAS 77253-3342 4550 DACOMA • HOUSTON, TEXAS 77092-8614

T. Lynn Henagan Claims Supervisor

(713) 680-5534

January 31, 1992

Exxon RMS File No: HO-92-5052

Date of Notice to Exxon Company, U.S.A.: 1/92

Subject Location: 1900 Webster Street

Alameda, California

Current/Former

Exxon Location No.: Undetermined

Complainant: Doland Foster Enterprises

Mr. Richard Low Doland Foster Enterprises, Inc. 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, California 94545

Dear Mr. Low:

This letter will acknowledge your correspondence of January 13, 1992 directed to Exxon Company, U.S.A. in regard to the above referenced matter.

The file has been referred to my office for investigation and conclusion. I request any future inquiries or correspondence be directed to me until further notice.

Thank you for your inquiry and allowing me an opportunity to investigate the matter. I will investigate with the express stipulation that during the course of my investigation neither any communication nor investigation undertaken by representatives of Exxon Corporation should be construed to be either an admission or denial of liability or the validity of any claims. Exxon Corporation reserves any and all legal rights and/or defenses which it may now have or which may arise in the future. No waiver or estoppel is intended.

As a result of your inquiry, I have requested file searches for pertinent documentation. As soon as the file searches are completed, I will respond to your request for information.



Mr. Richard Low -2-January 31, 1992 Please utilize the Exxon RMS claim number on any and all communications to our office. Sincerely, TLH:mcg 4975B



Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc.
A Franchisee of Taco Bell Corp. 25546 Seaboard Lane
Hayward, California 94545





Mr. Thomas Peacock Alameda County Health De artment 80 Swan Way Oakland, CA 94604

Halmhalthallandalladl



Dolan Foster Engrises, Inc. A Franchisee of Bell Corp 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, California 94545 Telephone 415 887 7260

92 11:121 111 2:13

January 16, 1992

Mr. Thomas Peacock Alameda County Health Department 80 Swan Way, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94604

RE: Taco Bell-1900 Webster Street, Alameda, CA

Dear Mr. Peacock:

Please find enclosed copies of tank cards, permits, and other miscellaneous information pertaining to the above mentioned site. These copies were provided to me by Captain McKinley of the Alameda Fire Department.

The fire department is confident that all tanks that were installed on this site were in fact removed. Further, they have no records at all of a waste oil tank on the premises. We will hope this is true.

Regarding the leak report, would you please take note that I listed all dates on this report as 1/15/91, not 1/15/92. I'm not quite into the new year yet.

I will be passing information along as I receive it.

Sincerely,

Dan Mundy

Construction Manager

DM:js

# FIRE DEPARTMENT CITY OF ALAMEDA

### PERMIT APPLICATION

For Keeping, Storage, Use, Manufacture, Handling, Transportation, or other Disposition of Flammable, Combustible, or Explosive Materi
Humble Oil ; here in applies for a permit from the CITY OF ALAMEI
PIRE DEPARTMENT for the purpose of operating Service Station (type of business)
to be located at 1900 Webster St.  (street address)
Said business involves the use of the following materials and in general the following
processes: Sale and Sterage of Petroleum Products.
Dispensing of Geseline by a quelitien Attendant
- ONILY
The proposed location will be open and available for Fire Department inspection on,
Idays 19_ between the hours of 6. mm and 9 mm.
Gode of application)  1970  Lucian J Morris  (signature of applicant)

Broked 1-19-72 Name of huseness changed new spermet essued #180)

# FIRE DEPARTMENT. CITY OF ALAMEDA

## PERMIT

Keeping, Storage,	Use, Manufacture,	Handling, Tr	LSURBOLUTION				
Service Services		***		- E 1 No.		August 5, 19	<b>70</b>
73						(Dato)	A St.
HOM IT MAY CO	NCERN:			2			
ly virtue of the pro	visions of the Fire P	revention Ordi	inance of the	City of Alame	da, Humble 011	(Name of Concern)	
T 4 T 4 T 4 T 4 T 4 T 4 T 4 T 4 T 4 T 4	wed, Webste	4 10		conducting	eutopotive	service station	
				•		(Business)	in the second second
served authority is	hereby given and t	his PERMIT i	5 GRANTED	for <u>une e</u>	are and boord	such that the intent of the e of petrolsum p	roducts.
served, authority is spensing of	in due form, and as thereby given and the casoline by	his PERMIT i	5 GRANTED	for <u>une e</u>	are and boord		roducts.
served, authority is spensing of	hereby given and to	his PERMIT i	ed atte	o for	y.		
served, authority is spensing of	hereby given and to	his PERMIT i	ed atte	o for	y.		
spensing of	hereby given and to	his PERMIT i	ied atte	o for	y.		
served, authority is spensing of This PERMIT is issued.	hereby given and to gasoline by ued and accepted on ur	a qualify condition that	ied atte	o for	y adopted, or that may be	reafter be adopted, shall be	complied with
spensing of This PERMIT is iss  EXPIRATION DA  This permit does	hereby given and to	a qualification that a condition that a cualification that a cualification that a condition that a cualification t	ied atte	o for	y adopted, or that may be		complied with

THIS PERMIT MUST AT ALL TIMES BE KEPT POSTED ON THE PREMISES MENTIONED ABOVE

REMOVED 2/8/14

Location - northeast corner Webster & Eagle

Name - Humble Oil Service Station

Liquid - Gasoline

٠.

Gallons  $\frac{*1 - 8000 \text{ tank}}{1 - 6000 \text{ tank}}$ 

Installation - 4 ft underground

Date Issued - Nov 29 1967

Existing tanks - 2 - 2000 gal (to be removed)

## REMOVED

Location	1900 Webster St.		
Neme	Signal 011 Co.		
011 Storage Pe	rmit TML	No.	596
Liquid	Gasoline	Gallons	1,000
Date Issued	Oct. 27,1941	Gauge	18
Installation _	Underground Tank		
Inspected By	Service Station		
Remarks			
<del></del>			

•	•	RE	MOVED
Location	1900 Webster St.		•
Name	P.S. Ray		
011 Storage Pe	ermit TML	No.	460
Liquid	Gasoline	Gallons _	500
Date Issued	May 11,1933	Gauge	
Installation _	Underground Tank		
Inspected By _	TML		
Remarks	•		

:

				RE	MOVEC
Location	1900 W	ebster St.			
Name	F. Bur	rington		<i></i>	
Oil Storage	Permit	BS		No.	317
Liquid				Gallons	1,100
Date Issued		11,1928		Gauge	
Installation	2-550	Gallon tan	ks, 41	Undergrou	ınd
Inspected By					
Remarks	Service	Station			

•

.

ADDRESS	1900	Webster	St.				
OLD OCCUPAN	1 T						
Name:	Enco	Service	Stati	on			
Type of	0ccupa	ancy:	Servi	ce :	Station		
Owner:_	Lucia	in Morri	9				
Out of	Busine	ssX			Change	of Name	
Moved to	o:					-	
NEW OCCUPA	NT						
Name:	Taco	Bell_					
Type of	0 c c u p	ancy:	Take-	Out	Restaur	ant	
Owner:							

The contract of

;

### SERVICE STATION INSPECTION FORM

LOCATION 1900 Webste	r St.	PERMIT	! # 180
NAME OF STATION Enco S			
OWNER OF BUILDING		TELEPI	ONE
OWNER'S ADDRESS			
OCCUPANT Lucian Morr			ONE 521-3543
OCCUPANT'S ADDRESS 1135	Bodmin Ave., San	Leandro HOME TELEPH	ONE 351-9255
TANK INSTALLATIONS:  (Material)  #1 #2 #3 #4 #5	(Product) Premium Regular Low Lead	(Capacity - Gals.) 8,000 6,000 4,000	(Date Installed)
	Total	18,000	***************************************
FIRE PROTECTION: Sprinkler System - No Extinguishers - Total Locat	Partial L Number_2 Clas	Complete ss BX_All Purpose room - 1 in office	
MISCELLANEOUS:			
	No X Yes	Туре	· .
Repair - No		X Major	
	ing - No X Yes	•	
Type of Solvents Used <u>Cleanup &amp; Repa</u> Location of Electrica	<u>ir</u> - Name <u>Star</u>		Flash Point
	- hmmo-Att <u> </u>	TOOM	•

ALAMEDA FIRE DERE FIRE PREVENTION BU 522-4100 EXT. 241 ADDRESS ... You are hereby notified that on inspection of your premises located at ...... Conditions were found to exist in violation of the following As this condition is contrary to law and constitutes a fire Failure to comply with the foregoing order will render you liable to the penalties of the Fire Prevention Code.

Inspector, Fire Prev. Bureau

# FIRE DEPARTMENT CITY OF ALAMEDA

# PERMIT

is stated below:		And the second s	January 1	9, 1972
180	With the same of t		(Date)	
				in the state of th
HOM IT MAY CONCERN		sal Cin of Alemeda	Enco Service Station	
ly virtue of the provisions	of the Fire Prevention Ordin	nance of the City of Alameda,	(Name of Concern)	
	Webster St.	conducting a	automotive service stat	ion
1900 Street	Menager nos			
g made application in due for	given and this PERMIT IS	GIGHTILD 101	ts are, in my opinion, such that the intent of eard storage of petroleu	f the Ordinance can m products.
g made application in due for	given and this PERMIT IS	croundings, and arrangement GRANTED for the saled attendant only.		f the Ordinance can m products.
g made application in due for served, authority is hereby pensing of gasol	ine by a qualific	ed attendant only.		
g made application in due for served, authority is hereby pensing of gasol	ine by a qualific	ed attendant only.		
g made application in due for served, authority is hereby pensing of gasol	ine by a qualific	ed attendant only.		
g made application in due for served, authority is hereby pensing of gasol  This PERMIT is issued and	ine by a qualific	ed attendant only.		
g made application in due is served, authority is hereby pensing of gasol	ine by a qualific	ed attendant only.		nall be complied with

ADDRESS 1900 Webster St.
ADDRESS
OLD OCCUPANT
Name: Humble Oil Co.
Type of Occupancy: Service Station
Owner: Lucian Morris
Out of Business Change of Name_(Business)
Moved to:
NEW OCCUPANT
Name: Enco Service Station
Type of Occupancy: Service Station
Owner: Lucian Morris

### BUILDING INSPECTION RECORD

### City of Alameda Fire Department

### GENERAL INFORMATION

Location 1900 Webster S	St.	Bldg. Name or A	Area	
Owner Humble Oil		Type Occupancy	Servi	ce Station
Occupant Jucian Ina	rris)	Address		1
The transport the second the second				
,				
				-
	_	RUCTION		•
TV	(Loc	ations)		
Vertical Openings			Construct	lon
Attic Entries			Number	). 
Fire Escapes/Stairs			<del></del>	William Committee and Committe
wreason:			Туре	**************************************
Smoke Towers	,		Construct	ion
Fire Walls			Congtous	·
Height No. Stories Basement: (Yes-No) Drop Ce	1 No.	Exits	No. Units	The state of the s
Basement: (Yes-No) Drop Ce	iling: (Yes-No)	Draft Stops: (Ye	s-No) Mater	ial '
Ext. Walls Material: Front	Metal Rear	- Left -	Right -	<u> </u>
Roof:Type/Material	Attic	Space Size		Basement Doors
Яеtэl			DIGOWALK	Dasement Doors
Fire Doors: Number	Construc	tion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	the sale and the transmission of the sale and the sale an		**************************************	
		ZARDS		
_	(Loc	ations)		
Elec. Master Switch	right of lube	room		
Gas Shut Off				
Boilers			<del></del>	
Boiler Room: (Yes-No) Fire	Door: (Yes-No)	No. Boilers	Fuel Used	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Water Hentera (No			Fuel Used	
Heating (Vent OK? Yes-No)			Fuel Used	
Heating (Type)				
Tlec. Wiring (Type)		Condition		
Petroleum Liquids (Kinds)	gasolino Am		Container	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Eggottue vin	Junes_10.000	Containers_	underground
The state of the s				
		PROTECTION	1	·   •
••	(Lo	cations)	+	
Fire Alarm Box			•	•
Hydrant			•	
Aux FA Boxes				no e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Auto FA Panel			7	The state of the s
Extinguishers	2		Type Clas	s B
Pipe Hole Casings				3 D
Ory Standpipes				All the second of the second o
Interior Hydrants Inlet	······································		<del></del>	The state of the s
Vet Standpipes		<del></del>		
orinkler Heads				
Sprinkler System (Complete	Partiall Spar	1A HADAS		-
Smoking Regulations	dyrar crary bpar	e neads		
Storage: Atoles Clean/Wat	1 Annes as 3		·····	
omoking Regulations Storage: Aisles Clear/Wel	r wirrauged	····	· .	
pecial Hazarda gaso				رجوع لونس الجارب المواريات المساعد الم
Pari navar on 1880	1 T116	Remarks on Reve		Designation of the second seco
Macou	manuactons and	nemarks on neve	1.89 21 <b>49</b>	

ALAMEDA FIRE DEPT. NOTICE OF VIOLATION NAME... ADDRESS /GOO You are hereby notified that on inspection of your premises Conditions were found to exist in violation of the following Tingy shees ORDER TO COMPLY As this condition is contrary to law and constitutes a fire

hazard, thereby endangering life and property should a fire occur, you are herewith notified to have this condition corrected within days upon receipt of this notice. Failure to comply with the foregoing order will render you liable to the penalties of the Fire Prevention Code.

 $N^{\circ}$ 

1009

Inspector, Fire Prev. Bureau

	ALAMEDA FIRE DEPT.	<b>∧</b> i>
	NOTICE OF VIOLATION FIRE PREVENTION BUREAU 522-4100	
	Date / i Mil	19.
NAME	The state of the s	
ADDR	ESS 1900 Chibales 15	
You are	hereby notified that on inspection of your	premi
*OCHICU	at the second of	
Condition CODES	ons were found to exist in violation of the fo	llowi
111	Horal historica rins	*********
	ile of welling	1.16 - IM
<i></i>	, del	- 111
	AB1 11.11.11	
************		
occur, yo rected wi Failure to	ORDER TO COMPLY condition is contrary to law and constitute thereby endangering life and property should be are herewith notified to have this condition days upon receipt of this comply with the foregoing order will renot the penalties of the Fire Prevention Code.	d a fi
Nº .	515 Inspector, Fire Prev. Bureau	loz

:

Det 1 August 31 1960 Mr. Sam Simerley 805 Madison Street Oakland, California Dear Sir: An inspection of your property at 1900 Webster Street, Alameda, revealed the need for replacement of two Pyrene fire extinguishers. The Pyrene extinguisher is no longer an approved type, and we recommend either the CO2 or the Class B 2 galion foam type. Will you please replace these extinguishers within 30 days. If further information is desired regarding the above, please call this office. Yours truly William L. Hilbish, Chief Alameda Fire Department By: Lt. Norman Estes Fire Prevention Bureau LA 2-4100, ext 241 MARTEU 10.4-60

TEBSTER STREET, 19 1-3-52/ 1-S.1-R	900 Service Station (6)	(SME CAD COO. Permit	D FCB 13	100 TO 751. 12 #1	PLDP:	ER: Signal (1 Stolte 2 Do.
LATE	JC2	nest .			ه دستسد د	3
1-21-59	Sign	400.	87 <b>-</b> S	2 <b>à</b>		
2-2-59	Sign pole	300.	195	ća		
4-13-64	Pump Island Can				•	al Oil Co.
11-10-65	Sign	\$3 <b>5</b> 0.			84	
7-15-66	Remodeling	\$9,000.	#874	141A		
4-27-67 11-9-72	oign Sig <b>h</b>	a,000.00 500.	537-3 1041		DO James 18	Fumble Cil & 1
						<i>:</i> •



Dolan Foster Enterrises, Inc. A Franchisee of Bell Corp. 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, California 94545 Telephone 415 887 7260

92 JM115 Fil 2: 09

January 15, 1992

Mr. Thomas Peacock Alameda County Health Department 80 Swan Way, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94604

RE: Taco Bell-1900 Webster Street, Alameda, CA

Dear Mr. Peacock,

Thank you for meeting with us this morning. Your comments were helpful to Mr. Low and myself as I'm sure they were to our soil consultants.

I am returning the Leak Report to you along with the following information: 1) Building Permit Record, 2) Title Search, 3) Letter to Exxon. I believe LRA Engineering gave to you a copy of the Analytical Reports that we have to date.

I am meeting with Capt. McKinley of the Alameda Fire Department today and will forward to you copies of any information they may have.

I have instructed LRA Engineering to proceed with further exploration so that we may determine the vertical and horizontal extent of this problem. We will also investigate the remaining portions of the property to see if there are any other problems. Upon completion of this investigation, we will submit to you a Remediation Plan for your approval.

It is important to Dolan Foster Enterprises that this entire process be done in a timely fashion and at minimum expense. We are only a franchisee of Taco Bell Corp. and do not have either technical or financial help from them in any way.

We will appreciate any help you can give us through this process.

Sincerely,

Dan Mundy

DM:js

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK UNAUTHORIZED RELEASE (LEAK) / CONTAMINATION SITE REPORT				
	RGENCY HAS STATE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES .  REPORT BEEN FILED ?  YES X NO  ORT DATE  CASE #	FOR LOCAL AGENCY USE ONLY THEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE DISTRIBUTED THIS INFORMATION ACCORDING TO THE DISTRIBUTION SHOWN ON THE INSTRUCTION SHEET ON THE BACK PAGE OF THIS FORM.		
	1 1 1 1 5 0 9 4 / 4	SIGNED DATE		
REPORTED BY	PEPRESENTING WINE OWNER/OPERATOR REGIONAL BOARD	COMPANY OR AGENCY NAME		
	ADDRESS  2.5546  STREET SCABOARD LA	DOLAN FOSTER ENTERPRISES  VC ONTY HAYWARD STATECA 218457		
31	NAME  Dollar Fosier Enterlightses Unknown  ADDRESS	VC CITY HAY WARD STATE CA 219454 CONTACT PERSON PHONE DAN MINOY (510)887-7260		
RESI	25576 STREET SCALCTED LAW FACILITY NAME (IF APPLICABLE)	OPERATOR PHONE		
SITE LOCATION	TACO BELL  ADDRESS 1900 STREET WORDSTOR 57	DollIN FISTER ENTERPRISES (518 1887-7260		
	CROSS STREET  Lingle Ave  LOCAL AGENCY AGENCY NAME	CONTACT PERSON   PHONE		
MPLEMENTING AGENCIES	HIDALINA COUTY HEATTH DOTT	Thomas Percock (510 )271-4320 PHONE		
-	(1) NAME (3/15/11/NE	QUANTITY LOST (GALLONS)    VI   UNKNOWN		
SUBSTANCES	(2)	UNKNOWN		
DISCOVERY/ABATEMENT	OM MOD 9 D 9 V V TANK TEST TAN  DATE DISCHARGE BEGAN  M M D D V V V WINKNOWN  HAS DISCHARGE BEEN STOPPED?  YES NO IF YES, DATE M M D D V	ENTORY CONTROL SUBSURFACE MONITORING NUISANCE CONDITIONS IK REMOVAL OTHER <u>COTECHNICAL NUCLICATION</u> METHOD USED TO STOP DISCHARGE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)  REMOVE CONTENTS CLOSE TANK & REMOVE REPAIR PIPING  REPAIR TANK CLOSE TANK & FILL IN PLACE CHANGE PROCEDURE  REPLACE TANK OTHER		
SOURCE	SOURCE OF DISCHARGE CAUSE(S)  TANK LEAK UNKNOWN ON  PIPING LEAK OTHER CO	VERFILL RUPTURE/FAILURE SPILL DRROSION WINKNOWN OTHER		
CASE	CHECK ONE ONLY  UNDETERMINED SOIL ONLY GROUNDWATER	DRINKING WATER - (CHECK ONLY IF WATER WELLS HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN AFFECTED)		
CURRENT	CHECK ONE ONLY  NO ACTION TAKEN PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMEN  LEAK BEING CONFIRMED PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMEN  CASE CLOSED (CLEANUP COMPI	T UNDERWAY POST CLEANUP MONITORING IN PROGRESS		
REMEDIAL ACTION	CHECK APPROPRIATE ACTION(S)  (SEE BACK FOR DETAILS)  CAP SITE (CD)  CONTAINMENT BARRIER (CB)  VACUUM EXTRACT (VE)  CHECK APPROPRIATE & DISPOSE (ED)  EXCAVATE & DISPOSE (ED)  EXCAVATE & TREAT (ET)  NO ACTION REQUIRED (No. 1)  OTHER (OT)  OTHER (OT)	PUMP & TREAT GROUNDWATER (GT) REPLACE SUPPLY (RS)  TREATMENT AT HOOKUP (HU) VENT SOIL (VS)		
COMMENTS				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	H9C 05 (8/9		



Dolan Foster Errorises, Inc. A Franchisee of Leo Bell Corp. 25546 Seaboard Lane Hayward, California 94545 Telephone 415 887 7260

January 13, 1992

Lynn Henagan
Exxon Risk Management Services, Inc.
P. O. Box 3342
Houston, TX 77253-3342

Dear Ms. Henagan:

Our Company has owned, since 1974, a parcel of land in the city of Alameda, California at 1900 Webster Street, which is located at the corner of Eagle and Webster. We constructed and have operated since that time a Taco Bell restaurant at that location. Recently we decided to start the process of rebuilding, on the same location, a new restaurant with additional and more up-to-date appointments for our customers.

Just recently this has led to geotechnical borings for use in doing a structural evaluation for the new building. Attached is a report we received today that indicates that we have some contamination of gasoline on the property.

In doing some review of the history of the property, it seems that Signal Oil operated on this location for many years under a lease, but in 1967 it became a Humble Oil station. In discussing this with Chevron, I learned that all Signal operations in this area were purchased by Humble, a division or predecessor of Exxon. Chevron has told me that all records for these properties even though they were only subleased, were turned over to Humble/Exxon. Mr. Bill Wang of your Company was kind enough to give me your name and address and indicated I should contact you with my request for help.

Lynn, I need to know as much as I can about the property to do the best we can to determine the extent of the problem that needs to be cleared up. Specifically, I need a record of fuel, oil or other underground storage tanks including location, piping, depth, type and record of removal. Further, a site plan indicating location of building, pumps, tanks, etc. In addition, any record of major problems or repairs would be useful. As this is our first experience with this type of problem, any other information you feel would be important is appreciated.

I understand this process of complying information is somewhat lengthy and time consuming. That being said, we would ask that the process be completed as speedily as is prudent, due to our desire to get our project under way, including reporting everything we have learned to proper governmental authorities.

Sincerely,

Richard Low

General Manager

RL:mb

## DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY - UST CLEARUP PROGRAM SITE SPECIFIC QUARTERLY REPORT 01/01/92 THROUGH 03/31/92

AGENCY # : 10000 SOURCE OF FUNDS: F **SUBSTANCE: 8006619** 

stID : 3695

SITE NAME: Taco Bell DATE REPORTED: 01/09/91 ADDRESS: 1900 Webster St.
CITY/ZIP: Alameda 94501 DATE CONFIRMED: 01/09/91

MULTIPLE RPs : Y

SITE STATUS \_\_\_\_\_

CASE TYPE: S

RP SEARCH: S

PRELIMINARY ASMNT: U

REM INVESTIGATION:

REMEDIAL ACTION:

POST REMED ACT MON:

CONTRACT STATUS: 3

EMERGENCY RESP:

DATE COMPLETED:

DATE UNDERWAY: 01/07/92

DATE COMPLETED:

DATE COMPLETED:

DATE COMPLETED:

DATE ENFORCEMENT ACTION TAKEN: 02/25/92 ENFORCEMENT ACTION TYPE: 1

LUFT FIELD MANUAL CONSID: 2,H,S,C,A

DATE CASE CLOSED: CASE CLOSED:

REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN: DATE EXCAVATION STARTED:

RESPONSIBLE PARTY INFORMATION

RP#1-CONTACT NAME:

COMPANY NAME:

ADDRESS:

CITY/STATE:

## LOP - CHANGE RECORD REQUEST FORM

Mark Out What Needs Changing and Hand to LOP Data Entry (Name/Address changes go to Annual Programs Data Entry)

Insp:

SUBSTANCE: 8006619 AGENCY # : 10000 SOURCE OF FUNDS: F

StID : 3695 LOC:

SITE NAME: Taco Bell ADDRESS : 1900 Webster St CITY/ZIP : Alameda DATE REPORTED: 01/09/91 DATE CONFIRMED: 01/09/91

MULTIPLE RPs : Y 94501

SITE STATUS

CONTRACT STATUS: 4 PRIOR CODE: 3B2 EMERGENCY RESP: CASE TYPE: S

DATE COMPLETED: 02/25/92 RP SEARCH: S

DATE COMPLETED: 03/05/96 DATE COMPLETED:

PRELIMINARY ASMNT: U DATE UNDERWAY: 01/07/92
REM INVESTIGATION: DATE UNDERWAY:
REMEDIAL ACTION: DATE UNDERWAY:
POST REMED ACT MON: DATE UNDERWAY: DATE COMPLETED: DATE COMPLETED:

DATE ENFORCEMENT ACTION TYPE: 1 DATE ENFORCEMENT ACTION TAKEN: 02/25/92 LUFT FIELD MANUAL CONSID: 3HSCAGW CASE CLOSED: V

DATE EXCAVATION STARTED: 06/03/92 REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN: ED

RESPONSIBLE PARTY INFORMATION

RP#1-CONTACT NAME: Dan Mundy

COMPANY NAME: Dolan Foster Enterprises, Inc.

ADDRESS: 25546 Seaboard Lane CITY/STATE: Hayward CA 94545

RP#2-CONTACT NAME: Marla Guensler

COMPANY NAME: Exxon Co

ADDRESS: P. O. Box 2032

CITY/STATE: Concord. C A 94524-2032

		INSPECTOR VER	IFICATION	J:	
NAME		SIGNATURE			DATE
Name/Address	Changes On	DATA ENTRY ly DATE	INPUT:	Case LOP	Progress Changes DATE
ANNEGMS		DAIE		ПОЕ	DATE

SUBJ: Transfer of Elligible Oversight Case					
site name: aco Bell					
Address: 1900 Webster 8th city Hameda zip 9450/					
Closure plan attached? Y N DepRef remaining \$ 60 4					
DepRef Project # Nt STID #(if any) 3695					
Number of Tanks: removed? (Y) N Date of removal					
Leak Report filed? Y N Date of Discovery 0/09/9/					
Samples received? Y N Contamination:					
Petroleum Y N Types: Avgas Jet leaded unleaded Diesel fuel oil waste oil kerosene solvents					
Monitoring wells on site Monitoring schedule? Y N					
LUFT category 1 2 3 * H S C A R W G O					
Briefly describe the following:					
Preliminary Assessment					
Remedial Action					
Post Remedial Action Monitoring					
Enforcement Action / Sequence					
Humble Oil is Extron? Humble -> Enco -> Esso +Enco					
Exxen contact : [ Bill Wang					
Mixon Company, USA					
Marketing Dept 2300 Clayton Rd, Ste. 1250					
P.O. Box 4032					
Company 94524-2032					

DATE:

FROM:

TO : Local Oversight Program



## LRA ENGINEERING

3235 SUNRISE BLVD., SUITE #5 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742 PHONE. 916/631-4455

7-24-92

Zone 7 Alameda County Flood Control 5997 Parkside Drive Pleasanton, California 94588

RE: Drilling Permit Number 92341

Dear Mr. Hong,

This letter is a clarification of the work performed at 1900 Webster Street in Alameda, California under permit number 92341.

The permit was issued for the completion of two (2) groundwater monitor wells as well as two (2) geotechnical borings, however, only the geotechnical borings were completed. No monitor wells were placed under authority of this permit.

Also, please find enclosed copies of the well completion reports for the monitor wells placed under the authority of drilling permit number 92387.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 916-631-4455.

Very truly yours,

Mike Miles Staff Geologist

MM:lj Enc.