

# General Services Agency

le \$17(95

Darlene A. Smith, Director

August 8, 1995

Ms. Eva Chu
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County Health Care Services
Department of Environmental Health
1131 Harbor bay Parkway, Second Floor
Alameda, California 94502
QIC 30440

SUBJECT:

Second Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report

Staples Ranch Property, July 1995

Dear Ms. Chu:

Enclosed please find the second quarter monitoring report for the County property located at Staples Ranch, El Charro Road, Pleasanton. As you can see in the report, no detectable amounts of contamination were identified in the samples collected. The next round of monitoring took place the third week of August, 1995, and results will be forwarded to you once the final report has been completed. Should you have any additional questions concerning this matter please contact me at (510) 208-9520.

Sincerely,

Thomas McKimmy, REA

**Environmental Project Manager** 

enclosure

g:\crspndc\tmckimmy\ec0808.doc File: Project 7057, Building 1262

# Report of Ground Water Monitoring Second Quarter 1995

Alameda County Services Agency
Engineering and Environmental
Management Department
Staples Ranch Property, El Charro Road
Pleasanton, California

County Project No. 7057 County Building No. 1262

Prepared for: Alameda County Services Agency and

Environmental Management Department Staples Ranch Property, El Charro Road Pleasanton, California

Prepared by:

Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. 4090 Nelson Avenue, Suite J Concord, California

July 1995

ESE Project No. 6945353

#### **Table of Contents**

Sect	on	Pag	ţe.
1.0	Intr	duction	1
	1.1	Work Performed	1
2.0	Bac	ground	1
	2.1	Site Setting	1
	2.2	Site History	2
3.0	Pro	edures	3
	3.1	Ground Water Level Monitoring	3
	3.2	Ground Water Sample Collection	
	3.3	Quality Control	4
4.0	Res	lts	4
	4.1	Ground Water Levels and Gradient	4
	4.2	Ground Water Sample Analyses	4
5.0	Disc	ussion	5
	5.1	Ground Water Gradient	5
	5.2	Ground Water Samples	5
6.0	Rec	mmendations	6
7.0	Refe	rences	7

#### Table of Contents (continued)

#### List of Tables

Table 1 Ground Water Elevation Data

Table 2 Analytical Results for Ground Water Samples

#### List of Figures

Figure 1 Location Map

Figure 2 Site Map

Figure 3 Ground Water Elevations: June 28, 1995

#### List of Appendices

Appendix A Ground Water Sampling Data Forms

Appendix B ESE Standard Operating Procedure No. 3

Appendix C Laboratory Analytical Reports: Ground Water Samples

#### REPORT PREPARATION

This quarterly report has been prepared by Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE) for the exclusive use of the Alameda County General Services Agency as it pertains to their site known as the Staples Ranch Property located at El Charro Road, Pleasanton, California. This report was prepared with that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other geologists and engineers practicing in this field. No other warranty, either expressed or implied, is made as to professional advice in this quarterly report.

#### REPORT PREPARED BY:

George Reid, R.G No. 3608

No. 3808

Date

Senior Geologist

PROJECT NO. 6-9

#### 1.0 Introduction

This report describes the activities and presents the findings of the Second Quarter, 1995 ground water monitoring event conducted by Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE) for the Alameda County General Services Agency (GSA) at the Staples Ranch Property ("site") located in Pleasanton, California during June 1995 (Figure 1 - Location Map). The primary objectives of the ground water monitoring event were to measure the ground water elevations, determine ground water flow direction, and sample the ground water for hydrocarbon constituents beneath the area of a former aboveground storage tank (AGT) referred to as AGT-5. This report presents the procedures and methods used during this monitoring event and the results of the event. Previous investigations conducted at the site are summarized in Section 2.0 - Background.

#### 1.1 Work Performed

For the second quarter of 1995 ground water monitoring event, ESE performed the following tasks:

- Measured depth to ground water in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4;
- Purged and sampled ground water from monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4;
- Analyzed ground water samples for total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPH-D)
   and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX); and
- Reviewed field and analytical data and prepared a report of the findings.

#### 2.0 Background

#### 2.1 Site Setting

The site is located in the central portion of the Livermore Valley just east of Pleasanton, California. The approximate elevation of the site is 355 feet above mean sea level (MSL) in an area of relatively flat topography (U.S.G.S., 1980). It is situated in a rural area characterized by undeveloped grazing land (Figure 2 - Site Map).

The nearest surface waters are the Arroyo Las Positas (located on the northern portion of the site and flowing intermittently in an east-southeast direction) and the Arroyo Mocho (located on the southern portion of the site and flowing intermittently in an east-southeast direction). Both streams are considered to be major drainages for the Livermore Valley.

southern portion of the site and flowing intermittently in an east-southeast direction). Both streams are considered to be major drainages for the Livermore Valley.

Regional ground water flow maps indicate that water in the uppermost aquifer beneath the site flows toward the southwest (Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, 1990-1991).

#### 2.2 Site History

The County of Alameda owned and operated three AGTs (AGT-1, AGT-4 and AGT-5) of 250-gallon-capacity at the site. One underground storage tank (UST) of 500-gallon capacity, UST-2, was also identified at the site. The AGTs and the UST were of single-wall, carbon steel construction. Their installation dates are reportedly unknown. Heating oil was reportedly stored in both AGT-1 and AGT-4 and diesel fuel was reportedly stored in AGT-5 and UST-2.

A Phase I Preliminary Site Assessment was performed by Harza Kaldveer Consulting Engineers (Harza Kaldveer) at the site during 1993 (Harza Kaldveer, 1993). Soil samples collected from one soil boring, EB-5, located approximately five feet west of AGT-5, were reported to contain concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel fuel (TPH-D) ranging from 1.5 to 1,900 milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg), to a depth of 40 feet below grade. The sediments in the unsaturated zone at EB-5 were reported to be comprised of a sequence of clays, silts, and sands. Ground water was reported to occur at a depth of 35 feet below grade. No other soil borings were drilled in the vicinity of AGT-5 during the Phase I. Soil samples collected from borings drilled at the other AGT and UST locations reported non-detected concentrations of TPH-D.

Under permit from the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (HCSA) and the Alameda County Fire Department, ESE directed the removal and disposal of the AGTs and UST on April 26 and October 20, 1994, respectively. The AGTs and UST were noted to be in good condition based on visual observations made during removal. Closure reports for the AGTs and UST were prepared by ESE and submitted to the GSA and the HCSA on June 8, 1994 for the AGTs and November 30, 1994 for the UST (ESE, 1994b and 1994c). Site closures for UST-2 and AGT-4 were obtained on July 14, 1994 and for AGT-1 on December 28, 1994.

A preliminary site investigation, consisting of eight soil borings, was performed by ESE at the AGT-5 location on April 28 and 29, 1994. Results confirmed that diesel fuel had been released to the ground surface at that location and that the diesel plume had migrated downward through the unsaturated zone and impacted the upper zone of ground water beneath the site at a depth of approximately 35 feet. The approximate dimensions of the diesel plume in the unsaturated zone

Ground water samples were obtained from wells MW-1 through MW-4 by lowering a new disposable polyethylene bailer into each well. A new bailer was used to sample each of the 4-inch diameter wells. The ground water from the bailer was decanted into a laboratory-supplied one-liter glass container and two 40-milliliter glass vials for each of the wells sampled. The samples were then sealed with a Teflon-lined cap, labeled, placed on ice in a cooler and transported under chain-of-custody documentation to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. of Pacheco, California (a State-certified analytical laboratory) for the analysis requested. All ground water monitoring and sampling was performed in accordance with ESE Standard Operating Procedure No. 3 (Appendix B).

#### 3.3 Quality Control

One duplicate sample of ground water, collected from well MW-2, and a laboratory-supplied trip blank, consisting of deionized water, were submitted to the laboratory with the other samples. The duplicate sample and trip blank provide a quality control check on ESE's sample collection and handling procedures and laboratory handling procedures.

#### 4.0 Results

#### 4.1 Ground Water Levels and Gradient

Ground water elevations in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 for June 28, 1995, are presented in Table 1 and on Figure 3 (Ground Water Elevations: June 28, 1995). The elevation of ground water at the site ranged from 297.41 to 314.17 feet MSL. Free-phase product was not found in any of the wells.

#### 4.2 Ground Water Sample Analyses

Ground water samples, the duplicate sample and the trip blank were analyzed by the laboratory for TPH-D by EPA Method 8015 modified for diesel and BTEX by EPA Method 8020. The analytical results are presented in Table 2 while the laboratory reports with chain-of-custody documentation are presented in Appendix C.

No detectable concentrations of TPH-D were reported to occur in the ground water samples collected from wells MW-1 through MW-4.

No detectable concentrations of BTEX constituents were reported to occur in the ground water samples collected from wells MW-1 through MW-4.

#### 4.3 Quality Control

The duplicate sample collected by ESE on June 28, 1995 was reported to contain no detectable analytes. The trip blank was also reported to contain no detectable analytes. These results indicate satisfactory sample handling and analytical quality control.

#### 5.0 Discussion

#### 5.1 Ground Water Gradient

Significant differences in measured ground water elevations at the site suggests the influence of more than one water-bearing zone (Table 1). Ground water elevations in wells MW-1 and MW-2 (311.04 feet and 314.17 feet, respectively) were determined to be significantly different than in wells MW-3 and MW-4 (297.45 feet and 297.41 feet, respectively). The lack of three ground water elevation measurements collected from wells known to be completed over the same potential water-bearing zones prevents the determination of ground water gradient by a three-point solution method.

#### 5.2 Ground Water Samples

The analytical results for ground water samples collected from MW-1 through MW-4 indicate that the ground water at the locations of these wells has not been impacted with diesel fuel and indicates that the plume has not migrated into the local ground water.

#### 6.0 Recommendations

Based on the results and conclusions of this ground water monitoring event for the Staples Ranch site, ESE recommends the following:

- Quarterly ground water monitoring and sampling at wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-4 be performed and reported to the HCSA for one additional quarter (the site is scheduled for quarterly monitoring the third week of August 1995); and
- If petroleum hydrocarbon constituents are not reported to be present in samples collected during the next quarter, ESE, on behalf of the GSA, will request from the HCSA that no further studies of the former AGT-5 site be performed and that case closure be granted.

#### 7.0 References

- Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Zone 7), 1990 1991.

  Regional Ground Water Flow Maps of the Livermore Valley.
- Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1994a. Site Assessment Report, Alameda County General Services Agency, Staples Ranch Property; June 15, 1994.
- Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1994b. UST/AGT Closure Report, Alameda County General Services Agency, Staples Ranch Property; June 24, 1994.
- Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1994c. UST/AGT Closure Report, Alameda County General Services Agency, Staples Ranch Property; November 30, 1994.
- Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1994d. Workplan for Additional Site Investigation, Alameda County General Services Agency, Staples Ranch Property; December 6, 1994.
- Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1995a. Report of Additional Site Assessment, Alameda County General Services Agency, Staples Ranch Property; February 13, 1995.
- Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1995b, Report of Ground Water Monitoring First Quarter 1995, Alameda County Services Agency, Engineering and Environmental Management Department, Staples Ranch Property, El Charro Road, Pleasanton, California.
- Harza Kaldveer Consulting Engineers, 1993. Unpublished Phase I Preliminary Site Assessment Report For Proposed Community Park Site, Pleasanton, California; November 9, 1993.
- USGS, 1980, 7.5-Minute Livermore Quadrangle Topographic Map.

TABLE 1

#### GROUND WATER ELEVATION DATA Alameda County General Services Agency Staples Ranch Property, El Charro Road Pleasanton, California

Well Number	Date	Top of Well Casing Elevation (feet AMSL)	Depth to Ground Water from Top of Casing (feet)	Ground Water Elevation (feet AMSL)
MW-1	1-Feb-95	347.6	37.65	309.95
	30-Mar-95		30.60	317.00
	28-Jun-95		36,56	311.04
MW-2	1-Feb-95	348.34	35.77	312.57
	30-Mar-95		26.98	321.36
	28-Jun-95		34.17	314.17
MW-3	1-Feb-95	348.37	53.69	294.68
	30-Mar-95	• .	47.22	301.15
	28-Jun-95		50.92	297.45
MW-4	1-Feb-95	348.59	53.90	294.69
	30-Mar-95		47.67	300.92
	28-Jun-95		51.18	297.41

Note: (1) Elevation based on an arbitrary datum of 350 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL)


**TABLE 2** 


# ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR GROUND WATER SAMPLES Alameda County General Services Agency Staples Ranch Property, El Charro Road Pleasanton, California


Well	Date	TPH-D	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes
No.	Sampled	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
MW-1	12/30/94	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
Dup	12/30/94	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	03/30/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	06/28/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
MW-2	12/30/94	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	03/30/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	06/28/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0,0005)	ND (0.0005)
Dup	06/28/95	ND (0.05)	(0.0005) CM	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
MW-3	12/30/94	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	03/30/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	06/28/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
MW-4	12/30/94	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	03/30/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
Dup	03/30/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	06/28/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
Trip	12/30/94	NA	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	03/30/95	NA	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)
	06/28/95	ND (0.05)	ND (0,0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)	ND (0.0005)


Notes:

- (1) TPH-D is total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel analyzed using EPA Method 8015 modified per CA LUFT.
- (2) Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Total Xylenes analyzed using EPA Method 8020.
- (3) mg/L refers to milligrams per liter.
- (4) ND (0.05) indicates not detected at method detection limit of 0.05 mg/L.
- (5) NA stands for not analyzed for in the sample.
- (6) Analytical reports are presented in Appendix C of this report.











APPROXIMATE GROUND WATER MONITORING WELL LOCATION SURFACE WATER FLOW DIRECTION RELATIVE GROUND WATER ELEVATION IN FEET





Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc.

4/26/95 REVISED

**GROUND WATER ELEVATIONS** JUNE 28, 1995

FIGURE NO.

7/14/95 4090 NELSON AVENUE, SUITE J CONCORD, CA 94520 CAD FILE

53530002

ALAMEDA COUNTY GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY STAPLES RANCH PROPERTY EL CHARRO ROAD, PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA

6-94-5353

 ${\bf APPENDIX} \ {\bf A}$   ${\bf GROUND} \ {\bf WATER} \ {\bf SAMPLING} \ {\bf DATA} \ {\bf FORMS}$ 



### SAMPLE COLLECTION LOG

PROJECT NAME: Aloneda GE	St Stooles Rouge.	SAMPLE LOCATION LD :	MES.
PROJECT NO.: 6945353	3.100	SAMPLE LOCATION I.D.: SAMPLER: E. W. G	arcis
DATE: 6.28.95		PROJECT MANAGER: 3	miller
CASING DIAMETER	SAMPLE TYPE	WELL VOL	UMES PER UNIT
2"	Ground Water_	Well Casing	1
2" 4"	Surface Water	I.D. (inches)	
Other	Treat. Influent	2.0	0.1632
	Treat. Effluent	4.0	0.6528
	Other	6.0	1.4690
DEPTH TO PRODUCT: (ft.) DEPTH TO WATER: (ft.) DEPTH OF WELL: (ft.)	PRODUCT THICKNESS:WATER COLUMN:WELL CASING VOLUME:	「(ft.) MINIMUM PURGE ) (ft.) ③or 4 WCV): <u>48</u> ( <u>6.1</u> (gal) ACTUAL VOLUME F	OLUME . 3 (gal) PURGED: 45 (gal)
		·	
Volume	pH E.C.	Temperature Turbid	
TIME (GAL)	(Units) (Micromhos)		The second secon
1138	4.1 1590_	4B.6	silty
1/45 30	7.0 1550	69.2 -	5:114
1153 45	7.1 1560	70.2 -	5.114
			<u> </u>
			•
		• .	
INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION	\$ 100 miles		
pH/COND./TEMP.: TYPE	UNIT# <u>6581</u> DAT	TE: <u>6.28.95</u> TIME: <u>67.30</u> TE: TIME:	BY:
PURGE METHOD		SAMPLE METH	IOD
	Other Submersible Pump	Bailer (Teflon/PVC/SS) Bailer (Disposable)	Dedicated Other
•			
SAMPLES COLLECTED			•
· ID	TIME DAI	E _ LAB _ AN/	ALYSES
SAMPLE MW_1	1205 6.28	35 Welangell 10	4-0/BTER
DUPLICATE			<del></del> :
SPLIT	<del></del>	<del></del>	· · ·
FIELD BLANK			
COMMENTS: Dry Q 45 g	al	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9.11			-//
SAMPLER AUT		ECT MANAGER	1
4090 Nelson Avenue, Suite J	Concord, CA 94520	Phone (510) 685-4953	Fax (510) 685-5323



R CALCOMP LOSDARY			
PROJECT NAME: Alameda (	SA-Stales Ruch	SAMPLE LOCATION I.E	n. Mula
PROJECT NO .: 644535	3	SAMPLER: Z W	Grarcia
DATE: 6.28.95		PROJECT MANAGER:	B. Miller
			CJ. Trivics
3	•	,	
CASING DIAMETER	SAMPLE TYPE	WELL Y	OLUMES PER UNIT
2"	Ground Water	Wall Ca	-1
2"	Surface Water	Well Ca <u>I.D. (inc</u>	
Other	Treat. Influent	2.0	0.1632
	Treat. Effluent	4.0	0.6528
	Other	6.0	1.4690
		•	
DEPTH TO PRODUCT:(ft.)	PRODUCT THICKNESS: WATER COLUMN: 26.8	(ft.) MINIMUM PUR	SE VOLUME
DEPTH TO WATER: 34.14 (ft.)	WATER COLUMN:	<u>ತ್ರ (ft.) (3)</u> or 4 WCV):_	<u>52.5</u> (ga
DEPTH OF WELL: 61.80 (ft.)	WELL CASING VOLUME: 1	<u>7.5 (gal)</u> ACTUAL VOLUM	/IE PURGED: <u>55</u> (ga
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Volume	pH E.C.	Tomporetive To	rbid.
TIME (GAL)	(Units) (Micromhos)	· •	
1050	83 1400	11.3	
1056 25	7.8 1350	67.3	= 5.1kg
1100 55	7.1 1550	67.8	- <del>5.H./</del>
INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION			
NH/COND /TEMP. TYPE D.	UNIT# <u>6581</u> DAT	- 1. 2095 Turn 122	
pH/COND./TEMP.: TYPE Rev	UNIT# DAT	E: <u>(0-28-73</u>   IME: <u>(072)</u> E:	<u>o</u> <u>BY: <del>C3</del></u>
TOTOLOGITY.	ONII# DAT	E:	BY:
PURGE METHOD	•	SAMPLE MI	THOD
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other	Bailer (Teflon/PVC/S	S)Dedicated
Bailer (Teflon/PVC/SS)S	Submersible Pump	Bailer (Disposable)	Other
SAMPLES COLLECTED	•		•
ID	TIME DATE	E LAD	4114117050
SAMPLE MW.2	TIME DATE		ANALYSES TPH-0/BTEX
DUPLICATE DD	1150 6.28	95 M.C. Shill	<u>174-010</u> 16X "
SPLIT	1,80	ero <u>/recamber</u> i .	<del></del>
FIELD BLANK		<del>-</del>	<del></del>
		<del></del>	<del></del>
COMMENTS:			
	7/		
	<i></i>		
1 4 1121	<b>/</b>		<del>-1</del> //
SAMPLER: TI WEST		~~	#11
4090 Nelson Avenue, Suite J	PROJE( Concord, CA 94520	CT MANAGER Phone (510) 685-4053	// Fr (F10) (05 F200
remon avenue, June j	こいにいね, とれ タキラムぴ	LIKUIE (210) 002-44373	Fax (510) 685-5323

Fax (510) 685-5323



#### **SAMPLE COLLECTION LOG**

	SA-Steples Ranch	SAMPLE LOCATION I.D.: MW-3
PROJECT NO.: 69/535	3	SAMPLER: EW Garcin
DAIL. 6-86-13		PROJECT MANAGER: B. Miller
CASING DIAMETER	SAMPLE TYPE	WELL VOLUMES PER UNIT
2"	Ground Water	Well Casing
4"	Surface Water	I.D. (inches) Gal/Ft.
Other	Treat. Influent	2.0 0.1632
	Treat. Effluent	4.0 0.6528
	Other	6.0 1.4690
···		
DEPTH TO PRODUCT: (ft.) DEPTH TO WATER: _50.42 (ft.) DEPTH OF WELL: _62.85 (ft.)	WATER COLUMN: // . u	— (ft.) MINIMUM PURGE VOLUME □ (ft.) (3 or 4 WCV): 32 ( □ (gal) ACTUAL VOLUME PURGED: 30 (
·		
Volume	pH E.C.	Temperature Turbid.
TIME (GAL)	(Units) (Micromhos)	(F°) (NTU) Other
1124 0	7.1 1560	<u>168-2</u> - Site
1178 15	7.0 1440	68.8 - 3.1Ho
<u>// 37</u>	7.0 1560	70.2 - Silty
<del></del> ;		
<del></del>		
INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION		
<b>D</b>	ا المسلم	1-20-
pH/COND./TEMP.: TYPE		E: 6.28.95 TIME: #730 BY:C
TURBIDITY: TYPE	UNIT# DAT	E: TIME: BY:
PURGE METHOD		SAMPLE METHOD
· onde mentos		SAMPLE METHOD
Displacement Pump(	Other	Bailer (Teflon/PVC/SS)Dedicated
Bailer (Teflon/PVC/SS)S	ubmersible Pump	Bailer (Disposable) Other
	·	<del></del>
CAMPI FO COLL POTE	\$ <sub>1.5</sub>	
SAMPLES COLLECTED	TILLE DATE	- LAD ALLEYOFO
SAMPLE MW.3	DATE DATE	
DUPLICATE	1000 6.00	95 McCampbell TPH-D/BTEX
SPLIT		
FIELD BLANK		
		<del></del>
COMMENTS:		
-/// 1/	//	4
[ J , 1 ] h	/	11
SAMPLER // //	PROJEC	CT MANAGER STATE
4090 Nelson Avenue, Suite J	Concord, CA 94520	Phone (510) 685-4053 Fax (510) 685-5323

Fax (510) 685-5323



#### **SAMPLE COLLECTION LOG**

A Caccotte Company	•		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PROJECT NAME: Alameda (	SA-Staples Romes	SAMPLE LOCATION I.D.:_	mw-4
PROJECT NO.: 694535 DATE: 6-28-95	3	SAMPLER: EUG	earcia
DATE: 6-48-75		PROJECT MANAGER:	5 Miller
CASING DIAMETER	SAMPLE TYPE	WELL VO	LUMES PER UNIT
2"	Ground Water	Well Casin	·
2"	Surface Water	I.D. (inche	
Other	Treat. Influent	2.0	0.1632
	Treat. Effluent Other_	<u>4.0</u> 6.0	0.6528 1.4690
	Other_	0.0	1.4050
DEPTH TO PRODUCT: (ft.) DEPTH TO WATER: (ft.)	WATER COLUMN: 19	(ft.) MINIMUM PURGE	
DEPTH OF WELL: 70-60 (ft.)	WELL CASING VOLUME:	12.7 (gal) ACTUAL VOLUME	PURGED: 90 (gal)
Volume TIME (GAL)	pH E.C.	Temperature Turbi	
	(Units) (Micromhos) 7.2 1550		J) Other <u>らオヤ</u>
1105 0 1112 20	7.0 1630	67.8 -	_ <u>\$179</u>
1118 <u>40</u>	7.0 1450	69.3 -	Cloudy
		<u> </u>	<del>-</del>
	• •		<del></del>
INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION			
pH/COND./TEMP.: TYPE Per	UNIT# 6581 DAT	TE:6.28.95 TIME: 0730	BY: Cr
TURBIDITY: TYPE	UNIT# DAT	TE: TIME:	BY:
PURGE METHOD		SÄMPLE METI	HOD
Displacement Pump	Other	Bailer (Teflon/PVC/SS)	Dedicated
Bailer (Teflon/PVC/SS)	Submersible Pump	Bailer (Disposable)	Other
÷			
SAMPLES COLLECTED			
ID ,	TIME DAT	E LAB AN	ALYSES
SAMPLE <u>MW'Y</u>	1155 6.26	8.95 McCampbell II	PH-0/BTEX
DUPLICATE	<del></del>	<u>'</u> _	
FIELD BLANK			
COMMENTS:			,
	777		
	<i>H</i>		1 ,
(71 11) 8	1		A 1/
SAMPLER: U / U		ECT MANAGER /	100
4090 Nelson Avenue, Suite J	Concord, CA 94520	Phone (510) 685-4053	Fax (510) 685-5323

#### APPENDIX B

ESE STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE NO. 3

## ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & ENGINEERING, INC. CONCORD, CALIFORNIA OFFICE

## STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE NO. 3 FOR GROUND-WATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING FROM MONITORING WELLS

Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc. (ESE) typically performs ground-water monitoring at project sites on a quarterly basis. As part of the monitoring program an ESE staff member will first gauge the depth to water and free product (if present) in each well, then collect ground-water samples from each well. Depth to water measurements are taken by lowering an electric fiberglass tape measure into the well and recording the occurrence of water in feet below a fixed datum set on the top of the well-casing. If free-phase liquid hydrocarbons (free product) are known or suspected to be present in the well, then an electric oil/water interface probe is used to determine the depth to the occurrence of ground-water and the free product in feet below the fixed datum on the top of the well-casing. Depth to water and depth to product measurements are measured and recorded within an accuracy of 0.005-foot. The electric tape and the electric oil/water interface probe are washed with an Alconox® detergent and tap water solution then rinsed with tap water between uses in different wells.

Ground-water samples are collected from a well subsequent to purging a minimum of three to four well-casing volumes of ground water from the well, if the well bails dry prior to the removal of the required minimum volume, then the samples are collected upon the recovery of the ground water in that well to 80% of its initial static level. Ground water is typically purged from monitoring wells using either a hand-operated positive displacement pump, constructed of polyvinylchloride (PVC); a new (precleaned), disposable polyethylene bailer; or, a variable-flow submersible pump, constructed of stainless steel and Teflon®. The hand pumps and the submersible pumps are cleaned between each use with an Alconox® detergent and tap water solution followed by a tap water rinse. During the well purging process the conductivity, pH and temperature of the ground water are monitored by the ESE staff member. Ground-water samples are collected from the well subsequent to the stabilization of the of the conductivity, pH and temperature of the purge water, and the removal of four well-casing volumes of ground-water (unless the well bails dry). The parameters are deemed to have stabilized when two consecutive measurements are within 10% of each other, for each respective parameter. The temperature, pH, conductivity and purge volume measurements, and observations of water clarity and sediment content will be documented by the ESE staff member on ESE Ground-Water Sampling Data Forms.

Ground-water samples are collected by lowering a new (precleaned), disposable polyethylene bailer into the well using new, disposable nylon cord. The filled bailer is retrieved, emptied, then filled again. The ground water from this bailer is decanted into appropriate laboratory supplied glassware and/or plastic containers (if sample preservatives are required, they are added to the empty containers at the laboratory prior to the sampling event). The containers are filled carefully so that no headspace is present to avoid volatilization of the sample. The filled sample containers are then labeled and placed in a cooler with ice for transport under chain of custody documentation to the designated analytical laboratory. The ESE staff member will document the time and method of sample collection, and the type of sample containers and preservatives (if any) used. These facts will appear on the ESE Ground-Water Sampling Data Forms. ESE will collect a duplicate ground-water sample from one well for every ten wells sampled at each site. The duplicate will be a blind sample (its well designation will be unknown to the laboratory). The duplicate sample is for Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) purposes, and provides a check on ESE sampling procedures and laboratory sample handling procedures. When VOCs are included in the laboratory analyses, ESE will include a trip blank, if required, in the cooler with the ground-water samples for analysis for the identical VOCs. The trip blank is supplied by the laboratory and consists of deionized water. The trip blank is for QA/QC purposes and provides a check on both ESE and laboratory sample handling and storage procedures. Since disposable bailers are used for sample collection, and are not reused, no equipment blank (rinsate) samples are collected.

#### APPENDIX C

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS: GROUND WATER SAMPLES



07/07/95

Dear Bart:

Enclosed are:

- 1). the results of 6 samples from your # 6-94-5353; Alameda County GSA-Staples Ranch Prop. project,
- 2). a QC report for the above samples
- 3). a copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4). a bill for analytical services.

If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Yours truly,

**Edward Hamilton** 

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553 Tele: 510-798-1620 Fax: 510-798-1622

Environmental Science & Eng.		Client Project ID: #6-94-5353; Alameda County GSA-Staples Ranch Prop.			Date Sampled: 06/28/95			
4090 Nelson	Ave., Suite J	County C	ion-stapics i		Date Received: 06/28/95			
Concord, CA	. 94520	Client Co	ntact: Bart N	Miller	·	Date Extra	cted: 07/01	/95
		Client P.0	D: Alameda	Co.# 141-0-	7925-00	Date Analy	zed: 07/01/	/95
EPA methods 5	Gasoline Range 030, modified 8015, and 80							
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) <sup>+</sup>	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylben- zene	Xylenes	% Rec. Surrogate
53715	MW-1	w		ND	ND	ND	ND	104
53716	MW-2	W		ND	ND	ND	ND	108
53717	MW-3	w		ND	ND	ND	ND	103
53718	MW-4	w		ND	ND	ND	ND	108
53719	Dup	w	***	ND	ND	ND	ND	103
53720	Trip	w		ND	ND	ND	ND	103
			· 		<u> </u>			
Reporting	Limit unless other-	W	50 ug/L	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
	ND means not de- e the reporting limit	S	1.0 mg/kg	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	

<sup>\*</sup> water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, soil samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP extracts in mg/L

<sup>#</sup>cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~ 5 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern.

Environmental Science & Eng.		Client Pro	oject ID: #6-94-5353; Alameda	Date Sampled: 06/28/95		
4090 Nelson	Ave., Suite J	County G5	SA-Staples Ranch Prop.	Date Received: 06/28/95		
Concord, CA 94520		Client Cor	ntact: Bart Miller	Date Extracted: 06/29/95		
		Client P.O	); Alameda Co.# 141-0-7925-00	Date Analyzed: 06/30/95		
EPA methods m	Diesel Ra	nge (C10-C	23) Extractable Hydrocarbons a nia RWQCB (SF Bay Region) method G	s <b>Diesel *</b> CFID(3550) or GCFIE	)(3510)	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(d) <sup>+</sup>		% Recovery Surrogate	
53715	MW-1	w	ND,i		102	
53716	MW-2	w	ND		101	
53717	MW-3	w	ND		100	
53718	MW-4	w	ND		101	
53719	Dup	w	ND		101	
		-				
		_				
Reporting	Limit unless other-	W	50 ug/L			
wise stated	; ND means not de- e the reporting limit	s	10 mg/kg			

<sup>\*</sup> water samples are reported in ug/L, soil samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP and STLC extracts in mg/L

<sup>&</sup>quot; cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified diesel is significant; b) diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern; c) aged diesel? is significant); d) gasoline range compounds are significant; e) medium boiling point pattern that does not match diesel (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) oil range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~ 5 vol. % sediment.

#### QC REPORT FOR HYDROCARBON ANALYSES

Date:

06/30/95-07/01/95

Matrix:

Water

	Concentration (ug/L)				% Recovery		-
Analyte	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	мs	MSD	RPD
TPH (gas)	0.0	100.3		100	100.3	102.1	1.8
Benzene	0	9.2	9.2	10	92.0	92.0	0.0
Toluene	0	9	9.3	10	90.0	93.0	3.3
Ethyl Benzene	0	9.1	9.5	10	91.0	95.0	4.3
Xylenes	0	29	30.4	30	96.7	101.3	4.7
TPH (diesel)	O	164	. 161	150	109	108	1.7
TRPH (oil & grease)	0	20300	20600	23700	86	87	1.5

% Rec. = (MS - Sample) / amount spiked x 100

RPD = (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD)  $\times$  2  $\times$  100

4388 AESEX160 CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD DATE JUNE 28 1995 PAGE 1 OF 1 **Environmental** PROJECT NAME GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED MATRIX Science & ADDRESS STAPLES RANCH PROPERTY Engineering, Inc. N C U O EL CHARRO ROAD UMBER 8015m NTAI PLEASALTON (A 3020 4090 Nelson Avenue Phone (510) 685-4053 PROJECT NO. 6-94-5353 Suite J Concord, CA 94520 Fax (510) 685-5323 SAMPLED BY ETIC W GARGE O F E BEX M'CAMPBOLL AVANTICAL LAB NAME REMARKS (CONTAINER, SIZE, ETC.) SAMPLE # DATE TIME LOCATION MATRIX STAPLE 3 PANCH 6,28.95 1205 MW-1 3 liter ZVOA vials WARE MW-Z 1150 53715 MW-3 1200 3 53716 MW-4 1155 3 DUP 3 1150 53717 TRIP 1V0A 53718 53719 53720 RELINOUISHED BY (signature) RECEAVED BY: (signature) date time TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS REPORT SPECIAL SHIP RESULTS TO: REQUIREMENTS SPECIAL SHIPMENT COLD TRANSPORT BART MILLER 3. E3E APPIDITANIE HEAD SPACE ABSENT SAMPLE RECEIPT INSTRUCTIONS TO LABORATORY (handling, analyses, storage, etc.): CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS NORMAL T.A.T. INVOICE TO TOM MCKIMMY OF ALAMEDA CO. GSA REC'D GOOD CONDTN/COLD CONFORMS TO RECORD