

**Subject:** Aris Krimetz  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Company:** Wente  
  
**Start:** Thu 4/7/2005 9:39 AM  
**End:** Thu 4/7/2005 9:39 AM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

Aris stated that he has not been very involved with the case and has minimal understanding of the field, that's why he hires consultants

I explained that the county would like him to be involved in the meeting requested by Mansour re. work at his site discussed the series of workplan submittals and stated that this is the only case I have where this much effort has been expended to get a workplan approved explained that we do not agree with SOMA's depiction of the County's position in the into to his addendum to 3rd revision of the workplan, accordingly I wrote the cost effectiveness paragraph in our letter he stated that he will meet with mansour next week and plans to be more involved going forward

**Schultz, Robert, Env. Health**

---

**Subject:** Mansour Sepehr  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Company:** SOMA  
  
**Start:** Tue 4/5/2005 3:07 PM  
**End:** Tue 4/5/2005 3:07 PM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

he called me to contest the cost effectiveness comment in my letter to Wente Vineyards. he stated that it is not the truth and that it should not be in writing  
I told him that I disagree.  
Mansour stated that my comments were a lie and that anything put in writing should be truthful  
I stated that I wrote the truth. I encouraged him to put his thoughts in writing if he disagrees with our letter. I stated that an inordinate amount of time has been spent on this case vis a vis the priority of this site. It would appear more efficient to receive written comments as part of his report instead of telephone arguments with no substantiating data.  
Mansour stated that our comments are hurting his business. Wente (his client) thinks that Mansour is wasting money, and Mansour will talk to his lawyer about this and consider bringing a lawsuit against the county for hurting his business.  
Mansour stated that he sent us via email all the cost justification that is necessary for CPT.

2/25/05

**Full Name:** Mansour Sepehr  
**Last Name:** Sepehr  
**First Name:** Mansour  
**Company:** SOMA Environmental Engineering, Inc.

**Business Address:** 2680 Bishop Dr., Ste. 203  
San Ramon, CA 94583

**Business:** (925) 244-6600 x101  
**Business Fax:** (925) 244-6601

**E-mail:** msepehr@somaenv.com

wente winery

11:30 AM joint attempt at conference call,  
left message for Manson

## Schultz, Robert, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** Aris Krimetz, RO2585  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Company:** wente

**Start:** Tue 11/2/2004 3:35 PM  
**End:** Tue 11/2/2004 3:35 PM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

925-456-2313  
925-519-9010  
workplan status?

returned call to Aris on 11/5 at 3:45PM, left message stating that standard tat on wps is currently about 60 days  
i will keep pushing his through along with the others  
i recvd a number of phone calls from roger pappler at soma - unfortunately if i were to return every such call, i would spend  
my entire job returning calls and never processing the workplans  
told him to please call me if he has further questions or wants more detail

## Drogos, Donna, Env. Health

---

**Subject:** RO2585 - 5565 tesla  
**Entry Type:** Phone call

**Start:** Thu 7/22/2004 12:00 PM  
**End:** Thu 7/22/2004 12:00 PM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

RO2585  
7/22/04, 1205p, mansour, SOMA, Wente Winery, bob ltr work plan approval. 925-244-6600, wants to talk to me abt site  
Refer call to Bob

**Drogos, Donna, Env. Health**

---

**Subject:** RO2585 -  
**Entry Type:** Phone call  
**Start:** Thu 12/18/2003 11:17 AM  
**End:** Thu 12/18/2003 11:17 AM  
**Duration:** 0 hours

Don Ashton, Clayton Env.  
1987 UST removal w/out sampling or oversight

2003 investigation TPHG: 200,000 ppb, TPHD 150,000 ppb, B: 2000 ppb  
High levels present after after 16 yrs  
Soil sample at 7' bgs, dtw approx. 9' bgs  
Did not sample soil below water table, vertical extent of soil contamin undefined  
Submerged NAPL? [C] indicate present, he does not know, did not perform enough investigation  
Did 2nd boring, however upgradient.  
MTBE <1000 ppb, high detection limit  
Lateral and vertical extent of soil and GW contamination undefined.

He feels contamination is localized, however he has no data to support that, he said he did only a very initial investigation

Caseworker is Scott Seery. He will followup with him re: request for work letter.

Some issue re: a refinance, however refinance completed?? Told him case not closeable at this time, he agrees.