

#### RECEIVED

1:48 pm, Jun 08, 2009

Alameda County
Environmental Health

MPDS-UN5487-02 June 2, 1994

Unocal Corporation 2000 Crow Canyon Place, Suite 400 P.O. Box 5155 San Ramon, California 94583

Attention: Mr. Tim Howard

RE: Quarterly Data Report

Unocal Service Station #5487

28250 Hesperian Boulevard

Hayward, California

FILE# 5487 SS - BP
RPTQMTRANSMITTAL
1 2 3 4 5 5

Dear Mr. Howard:

This data report presents the results of the most recent quarter of monitoring and sampling of the monitoring wells at the referenced site by MPDS Services, Inc.

#### RECENT FIELD ACTIVITIES

The monitoring wells that were monitored and sampled during this quarter are indicated in Table 1. Prior to sampling, the wells were checked for depth to water and the presence of free product or sheen. The monitoring data and the ground water elevations are summarized in Table 1. The ground water flow direction during the most recent quarter is shown on the attached Figure 1.

Ground water samples were collected on May 2, 1994. Prior to sampling, the wells were each purged of between 8.5 and 12.5 gallons of water. Samples were then collected using a clean Teflon bailer. The samples were decanted into clean VOA vials and/or one-liter amber bottles, as appropriate, which were then sealed with Teflon-lined screw caps, labeled, and stored in a cooler, on ice, until delivery to a state-certified laboratory. MPDS Services, Inc. transported the purged ground water to the Unocal Refinery located in Rodeo, California, for treatment and discharge to San Pablo Bay under NPDES permit.

### ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The ground water samples were analyzed at Sequoia Analytical Laboratory and were accompanied by properly executed Chain of Custody documentation. The analytical results of the ground water samples collected to date are summarized in Table 2. The concentrations of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline and benzene detected in the ground water samples collected this quarter

MPDS-UN5487-02 June 2, 1994 Page 2

are shown on the attached Figure 2. Copies of the laboratory analytical results and the Chain of Custody documentation are attached to this report.

### DISTRIBUTION

A copy of this report should be sent to the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, and the City of Hayward Fire Department.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to call at (510) 602-5120.

Sincerely,

MPDS Services, Inc.

Sarkis A Karkarian Staff Engineer

Joel G. Greger, C.E.G. Senior Engineering Geologist

License No. EG 1633 Exp. Date 6/30/94

/dlh

Attachments:

Tables 1 & 2 Location Map Figures 1 & 2

Laboratory Analyses

Chain of Custody documentation

cc: Mr. Thomas Berkins, Kaprealian Engineering, Inc.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF MONITORING DATA

Well #	Ground Water Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water <u>(feet)◆</u>	Product Thickness (feet)	<u>Sheen</u>	Water Purged (gallons)	Total Well Depth (feet)◆					
	(Mo	nitored and	Sampled on M	May 2, 1	.994)						
MW1*	5.46	6.27	0	- <del>-</del>	0	27.35					
MW2*	5.35	7.23	0	- <del>-</del>	0	23.84					
MW3 *	5.37	6.62	0	- <del>-</del>	0	23.98					
MW4*	5.26	6.32	0		0	24.58					
MW5	4.83	5.96	0	No	12.5	24.12					
MW6	5.00	6.18	0	No	8.5	18.02					
	(Non-i	tored and Sa	mpled on Feb	ruarv 7	. 1994)						
	(MOHI)	Lored and ba	mpred on 102	2 ;	,						
MW1*	5.47	6.26	0	<del>-</del> -	0	27.23					
MW2*	5.49	7.09	0		0	23.79					
MW3*	5.41	6.58	0		0	23.93					
MW4 *	5.37	6.21	0		0	24.53					
MW5	5.09	5.70	0	No	13	24.07					
MW6	5.18	6.00	0	No	9	17.95					
	(Moni	tored and Sa	mpled on Nov	vember 5	, 1993)						
	(200		_								
MW1*	4.75	6.98	0	- <b>-</b>	0						
MW2*	4.61	7.97	0		0						
MW3 *	4.64	7.35	0		0						
MW4 *	4.51	7.07	0		0						
MW5	3.98	6.81	0	No	12						
MW6	4.16	7.02	0	No	7.5						
(Monitored and Sampled on August 5, 1993)											
	•										
MW1	5.08	7.49	0	No	15						
MW2	4.92	7.97	0	No	11						
МWЗ	4.96	7.50	0	No	12						
MW4	4.81	7.28	0	No	13						
MW5	4.21	6.97	0	No	12						
MW6	4.42	7.05	0	No	8						

### TABLE 1 (Continued)

### SUMMARY OF MONITORING DATA

	Well	Well
	Cover	Casing
	Elevation	Elevation
<u> Well #</u>	<u>(feet)**</u>	<u>(feet)***</u>
MW1	12.57	11.73
MW2	12.89	12.58
MW3	12.46	11.99
MW4	12.09	11.58
MW5	11.18	10.79
MW6	11.47	11.18

- ♦ The depth to water level and total well depth measurements were taken from the top of the well casings. Prior to November 5, 1993, the depth to water level and total well depth measurements were taken from the top of the well covers.
- \* Monitored only.
- \*\* The elevations of the top of the well covers have been surveyed relative to Mean Sea Level (MSL), per the City of Hayward Benchmark (elevation = 10.97 MSL).
- \*\*\* Relative to MSL.
- -- Sheen determination was not performed.

Note: Monitoring data prior to February 7, 1994, were provided by Kaprealian Engineering, Inc.

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES
WATER

		<u></u>					
		TPH as	TPH as		en 1	Ethyl-	V1
<u>Date</u>	Well #	Diesel	<u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	<u>benzene</u>	<u>Xylenes</u>
5/02/94	MW5		170♦	38	0.73	8.5	8.4
5/02/94	MW6	<del>-</del> -	440♦	20	4.2	11	26
	1			-			
2/07/94	MW5	- <b>~</b>	180	22	ND	6.4	5.9
_, _, _	MW6	- <del>~</del>	1,100	130	14	13	130
11/05/93	MW5		110	12	ND	2.3	2.3
	MW6		100	1.8	$\mathbf{N}$ D	0.79	2.2
							3.773
8/05/93	MW1	- <del>-</del>	<b>N</b> D	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW2		<b>N</b> D	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 44
	MW5		530	210	0.62	54	
	MW6		230	25	1.6	12	29
- 4 4			260	35	ND	2.3	3.1
5/03/93	MW5		260		2.6	33	48
	MW6		520	47	2.0	33	40
2/02/02	MW5		77♦	5.0	ND	1.2	1.3
2/02/93	MW6		400♦	66	5.5	32	13
	[4]44.0		1001				
11/05/92	MW5	<u>-</u>	120	16	ND	3.5	3.0
11/03/32	MW6		300	16	2.3	14	14
8/04/92	MW1	~ -	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
-, - , -	MW2	~-	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4	<b>_</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	$\mathbf{N}$ D
	MW5	<b></b>	80	13	ND	4.5	6.9
	MW6		540	12	7.9	35	110
5/05/92	MW5		170	45	0.48	9.0	6.8
						_	4 19
2/05/92	MW5		120	20	ND	4.4	4.7

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES WATER

		TPH as	TPH as			Ethyl-	
<u>Date</u>	Well #	<u>Diesel</u>	<u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	<u>benzene</u>	<u>Xylenes</u>
11/07/01	MW1		ND	<b>N</b> D	ND	<b>N</b> D	ND
11/07/91	MW2	<del></del>	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND
	MW3	<del></del>	ND	ND	ND ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		700	43	1.7	29	24
	MMO		700	43	1.7	2.7	24
8/02/91	MW1		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW2		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		$\mathbf{N}$ D	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		100	43	0.33	12	5.2
5/10/91	MW1		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,,	MW2		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5	<del>-</del> -	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MWD▲		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2/11/91	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2/11/21	MW2	- <del>-</del>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		58	23	ND	2.9	1.3
							•••
11/15/90	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW2		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		ND	ND	ND	ND	0.47
8/29/90	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.74
-,,	MW2	- <del>-</del>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	0.52	ND	ND
	MW4	<b>-</b> -	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		ND	0.70	ND	0.57	1.1

TABLE 2 (Continued)

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES WATER

<u>Date</u>	Well #	TPH as Diesel	TPH as <u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl- benzene	<u>Xylenes</u>
<u>Dace</u>	110-100-11	DACCCA	Casorine	benzene	TOTUCILE	Demzene	MYTEMES
5/16/90	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
. ,	MW2*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		1,100	310	2.8	70	110
2/16/90	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2, 20, 50	MW2		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
11/14/89	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW2*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5		73	4.7	0.97	2.9	16
8/31/89	MW5		910	120	7.1	50	53
8/16/89	MW1**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW2**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW4	<del>-</del> -	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW5	<del>-</del> -	4,400	1,400	84	200	950
4/26/89	MW1*	ND	ND	2.1	ND	ND	ND
, .	MW2*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	MW3 *	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NĎ
	MW4 *	ND	ND	0.33	ND	ND	ND
	MW5*	ND	ND	$\mathbf{N}$ D	ND	ND	ND

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

### SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES WATER


- Sequoia Analytical Laboratory reported that the hydrocarbons detected appear to be a gasoline and non-gasoline mixture.
- ▲ MWD was a quality assurance duplicate water sample collected from well MW5.
- \* Total Oil & Grease (TOG) and all EPA method 8010 constituents were non-detectable.
- \*\* TOG for the samples collected from MW1 and MW2 were 23 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and 7.4 mg/L, respectively. All EPA method 8010 constituents were non-detectable for both samples.

ND = Non-detectable.

-- Indicates that analysis was not performed.

Results are in micrograms per liter ( $\mu g/L$ ), unless otherwise indicated.

Note: Laboratory analyses data prior to February 7, 1994, were provided by Kaprealian Engineering, Inc.





Base modified from 7.5 minute U.S.G.S. Hayward & Newark Quadrangles (both photorevised 1980)

O 2000 4000
Approx. scale feet



UNOCAL SERVICE STATION #5487 28250 HESPERIAN BOULEVARD HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA LOCATION MAP







O Chesapeake Drive 1900 Bates Avenue, Suite L. Concord, CA 94520

Redwood City, CA 94063 819 Striker Avenue, Suite 8 Sacramento, CA 95834

5) 364-9600 (510) 686-9600 (916) 921-9600

FAX (415) 364-9233 FAX (510) 686-9689 FAX (916) 921-0100

**MPDS Services** 

2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400

Concord, CA 94520

Client Project ID: Sample Matrix:

Unocal #5487, 28250 Hesperian Blvd., Water

Hayward

Sampled: Received:

May 2, 1994 May 2, 1994

Analysis Method:

EPA 5030/8015/8020

Reported:

May 13, 1994

Attention: Avo Avedessian

First Sample #:

405-0205

### TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit μg/L	Sample I.D. 405-0205 MW5*	Sample I.D. 405-0206 MW6*	Sample I.D. Matrix Blank				
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	50	170	440					
Benzene	0.5	38	20					
Toluene	0.5	0.73	4.2					
Ethyl Benzene	0.5	8.5	11					
Total Xylenes	0.5	8.4	26					
Chromatogram Pat	tern:	Gasoline and Discrete Peak	Gasoline and Discrete Peak					
Quality Control Data								
Report Limit Multipl	ication Factor:	1.0	2.0	1.0				
Date Analyzed:		5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94				

HP-2

100

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL, #1271** 

Instrument Identification:

Surrogate Recovery, %:

(QC Limits = 70-130%)

roject Manager

HP-2

108

\* This sample appears to contain gasoline and a non-gasoline mixture. "Discrete Peak" refers to an unidentified peak in the MTBE range.

HP-2

104



O Chesapeake Drive 1900 Bates Avenue, Suite L. 819 Striker Avenue, Suite 8

Redwood City, CA 94063 Concord, CA 94520 Sacramento, CA 95834

5) 364-9600 (510) 686-9600 (916) 921-9600 FAX (415) 364-9233 FAX (510) 686-9689 FAX (916) 921-0100

MPDS Services

2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400

Concord, CA 94520

Attention: Avo Avedessian

Client Project ID:

Unocal #5487, 28250 Hesperian Blvd., Hayward

Matrix: Liquid

QC Sample Group: 4050205-06

Reported:

May 13, 1994

### **QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT**

ANALYTE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl	Xylenes	
			Benzene		
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	
Analyst:	J. Fontecha	J. Fontecha	J. Fontecha	J. Fontecha	
MS/MSD					
Batch#:	4050321	4050321	4050321	4050321	
Date Prepared:	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	
Date Analyzed:	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	
Instrument I.D.#:	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	
Conc. Spiked:	20 μg/L	20 μg/L	20 μg/L	60 μg/L	
Matrix Spike					
% Recovery:	08	100	105	105	
Matrix Spike Duplicate %					
Recovery:	80	95	100	105	
Relative %					
Difference:	0.0	5.1	4.9	0.0	
LCS Batch#:	1LCS051194	1LCS051194	1LCS051194	1LC\$051194	
Date Prepared:	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	
Date Analyzed:	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	5/11/94	
Instrument I.D.#:	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	
LCS %					

98

72-128

Please Note:

**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL, #1271** 

81

71-133

Recovery:

% Recovery **Control Limits:** 

Project Manager

The LCS is a control sample of known, interferent-free matrix that is analyzed using the same reagents, preparation, and analytical methods employed for the samples. The matrix spike is an aliquot of sample fortified with known quantities of specific compounds and subjected to the entire analytical procedure. If the recovery of analytes from the matrix spike does not fall within specified control limits due to matrix interference, the LCS recovery is to be used to validate the batch.

106

71-120

4050205.MPD <2>

104

72-130

### M P D S Services, Inc.

2401 Stanwell Drive, Suita 400, Concord, CA 94520 Tel: (510) 602-5120 Fax: (510) 689-1918

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

VARTKES TASHDJIAN SIS # 5487					ANALYSES REQUESTED							TURN AROUND TIME:				
WITNESSING AGENCY ADDRESS: 2825			<u>825</u>	ottesperion Blod.		TPH-GAS BTEX	TPH-DIESEL	TOG						Regular.		
SAMPLE ID NO.	DATE	TIME	WATER	<b>CHAB</b>	СОМР	NO. OF CONT.	SAMPLING LOCATION	TPH BTE	BTE TPH		8010					REMARKS
MW5	5/2/94	11;25 A.M.	×	X		2 1091	MW	X					-			40502054
MW 6	L,	10:47 A.M.	γ	X		د,	۲۰	X								4050205A
	<del> </del>		-			<u>```</u>									<u> </u>	
	<u>                                       </u>								·							1
:															<u> </u>	
<u></u>	<del> </del>		-				,				<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
				THE FOLLOWING MUST BE COMPLETED BY THE LABORATORY ACCEPTING SAMPLES FOR ANALYSES:  1. HAVE ALL SAMPLES RECEIVED FOR ANALYSIS BEEN STORED ON ICE?  2. WILL SAMPLES REMAIN REFRIGERATED UNTIL ANALYZED?						SAMPLES FOR ANALYSES:						
ISIGNATUREI 556399 1100			(m)	(SIGNATURE)	1		>	1 es 5								
(SIGNATURE)				FU KOLLEY ISIGNATURE	1210	1		No		LYSIS HAV			AGED?			
(SIGNATURE)						SIGNATUREI		4. WERE SAMPLES IN APPROPRIATE CONTAINERS AND PROPERLY PACKAGED?  SIGNATURE:  DATE:  1. C. C. J. J. S. J. J. S. J. J. J. J. S. J. J. J. J. S. J.				ATE:				
			<u> </u>		1		<del></del>	1		11 40			600	1		5/2/ix