

RECORD OF CONVERSATION

DATE: June 27, 1991

CONTACT: Catherine Chesick, Alameda County Department of Health

PHONE: (415) 271-4303

RE: Duffy Diner UST Closure

NOTES: Ms. Chesick of ACHD is the case worker assigned to the UST closure. I called her to determine what actions should be taken to ensure ACHD approval of clean closure of the UST site. She stated that the ACHD does not have the staff resources to oversee the site remediation and that we should collect verification soil samples to document that contaminated soils were removed. No remedial action plan is required but the UST closure report should be submitted to ACHD upon completion of all site closure activities. No mention was made of ground water sampling.

ACTION ITEMS:

Soil will be removed on July 9 by Placer Tractor. ERCE will collect soil samples from the base and sides of the excavation and analyze for TPH. A UST closure report will be prepared and submitted to ACHD upon receipt of sample results.

1/25/91
Spoke with Jim Cook regarding the need to install a wells to determine if ground water has been impacted.

Tim said that Katherine Chesick (of our (formerly) office) told them that clean-up was basically a self certification process, so they were operating under the assumption that soil excavation was all they would need to do. Iim maintained that the hole was clean, and said that the fact that they went from 18,700 ppm to zero in two feet

I told Tim that the Regional Board's stance was to show that groundwater had not been impacted. I'm said that he didn't think it could be done since the property was currently in Escrow.

I oaked him how for down the reexcavation went. I'm said to 8 feet and groundwater was not incountered. Soil Type was clay to silty clay. He said woodward Clyde borings (done in 1989 I believe) went to 15-20 feet, and doesn't recall discussion of encountering groundwater.

Based on the initial values of oil + grease, it needs to be shown that groundwater has not been impacted, I said. The only way to satisfy onis and the Board's

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	requirements	is to ins	tall a we	<u>U </u>		
						·
			The state of the s			
-						
				And the second s		
n w-11 w-12						
					According to the state of the s	
				• «		
- "		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,
	-					
a					<u> </u>	
*·					and the second s	
					P1 P000	
				- V		
						-
	W Republication Windowski					
				,	/- · · ·	·
					A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
					Server Walderda Walkers	Lane Water State of Lane



RECORD OF CONVERSATION

DATE: July 25, 1991

CONTACT: Alameda County Department of Health

PHONE: (415) 271-4320

RE: Duffy Diner UST Closure

NOTES: Ms. Cynthia Chapman, the new case worker for the Duffy Diner from the Alameda County Health Department (ACHD) called to comment on the UST closure report for the subject site. She disagreed with the conclusion section which states "... site remediation activities are complete and meet appropriate state and local regulatory requirements governing UST closures and soil remediation." She said that we need to prove that ground water was not impacted by hydrocarbons through the sampling of a ground water monitoring well.

I told her of my June 27 conversation with Katherine Chesick (the former ACHD case worker) Ms. Chesick stated that the ACHD could not oversee soil removal and that ERCE should collect verification soil samples to document that contaminated soils were removed. She said no written remedial action plan is required but the UST closure report should be submitted to ACHD upon completion of all site closure activities. No mention was made of ground water sampling.

I told Ms. Chapman that ground water was not encountered in the UST excavation (depth 8 ft) nor in five boreholes drilled by Woodward Clyde to a depth of about 10 ft. TPH was not detected in soil samples from these boreholes. The soil is low permeability silty clay. The contaminant of concern is waste oil which is relatively immobile in clayey soils and is easy to visually identify. Prior to soil excavation, soil samples from immediately below the UST yielded oil and grease concentrations of up to 18,700 ppm. After soil excavation soils from a depth of 8 ft in the same area yielded no detectable TPH (<10 ppm) and did not exhibit visible evidence of waste oil. I told her that these facts support our recommendation that no further work is necessary at this site.

Ms. Chapman asserted that our data did not prove that ground water has not been impacted. She will prepare a letter to this effect.

ACTION ITEMS:

Call Catherine Chesick to provide continuity to the new case worker on the progress of this project.

IMPORT	ANT MESSAGE			
<u>sor 50</u>	S			
2/31	TIME 3:13 A.M.			
DATE 3/ 5	Acob:			
ν <u></u> ν	<u> </u>			
OF				
PHONE 45	227-4370 NUMBER EXTENSION			
TELEPHONED	PLEASE CALL			
CAMETO SEE YOU	WILL CALL AGAIN A			
WANTS TO SEE YOU	RUSH			
RETURNED YOUR CALL	SPECIAL ATTENTION			
	on Services Comp			
1700 Webster				
Case is U				
-questions whether the seligible				
eligible				
- States Ru	vace "closed" case			
SIGNED SM	S olease check			
SIGNED	sect			
TOPS 🌄 FORM 30028				

<i>91</i> /
TIME /0:/5 A.M
ook
DEN
15) 227-4370 NUMBER EXTENSION
PLEASE CALL
WILL CALL AGAIN
RUSH SPECIAL ATTENTION
<u></u>