RO 450



720 Southpoint Blvd. Suite 207 Petaluma, CA 94954 Phone (707) 765-0466, Fax (707) 765-0366

TRANSMITTAL

TO:	•	Dept. of Public Health Parkway, Suite 250	DATE: PROJECT NO. SUBJECT:	July 22, 2004 06-459-2349-03 76 Service Station 82349 (0843) Alameda, California
WE ARE S	SENDING YOU:		The state of the s	- To Charles
COPIES	DATED	DESCRIPTION		9, 4, 4
1	7/22/04	Second Quarter Site Status Report		
				Y .
THESE AF	RE TRANSMITTEI	as checked below:		
☐ For review and comment ☐ Approved as submitted ☐ For your files				For your files
As:	Requested	☐ Approved as	noted	For your use
For	Approval	Returned for	corrections	As noted below
COMMEN Attached is		nd Quarter 2004 Site Sta	tus Report for the a	above referenced site.

COPIES TO: Mr. Thomas Kosel, ConocoPhillips

Mr. George Leyva, RWQCB - SF Bay Region, 1515 Clay Street Suite 1400, Oakland,

CA 94612



July 22, 2004

Mr. Donald Hwang Alameda County Department of Public Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502

RE: Quarterly Summary Report-Second Quarter 2004

Miller Brooks Environmental, Inc. Project No.: 06-459-2349-03

Dear Mr. Hwang:

On behalf of ConocoPhillips Company (ConocoPhillips), Miller Brooks Environmental, Incorporated (Miller Brooks) is forwarding the quarterly summary report for the following location:

Service Station

Location

Former 76 Service Station No. 82349 (0843) COP NO. WNO.2807

1629 Webster Street Alameda, California

Emilion Mr. 2

Sincerely,

Miller Brooks Environmental, Incorporated

Jed Douglas, R.G. No. 7516

Senior Geologist

Attachment:

cc: Mr. Thomas Kosel, ConocoPhillips

Site Plan

Mr. George Leyva, RWQCB - SF Bay Region, 1515 Clay Street Suite 1400, Oakland,

JED A. DOUGLAS NO. 7516

CA 94612

QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT Second Quarter 2004

Former 76 Service Station No. 2349 (0843) 1629 Webster Street Alameda, California

City/County ID #: Alameda

County: Alameda

PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT

In June 1998, Tosco Marketing Company (Tosco, now ConocoPhillips), removed two 10,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs), one 550-gallon used-oil UST, product lines, and dispensers. Two holes approximately ¾-inch in diameter were observed in the used-oil tank during removal. No holes or other evidence of leakage were observed in the remaining tanks or piping. Low levels of hydrocarbon impact were reported in the soil samples collected during UST removal activities.

In March 1999, Environmental Resolutions Inc. (ERI) installed four on-site groundwater monitoring wells (MW1 through MW4) at the subject site. In December 1999, ERI installed two off-site monitoring wells (MW5 and MW6) at the subject site.

In March 2001, ERI performed an underground utility survey to identify and locate underground utility lines beneath and in the vicinity of the site that may provide potential preferential pathways for groundwater flow.

In May 2001, ERI advanced five direct-push soil borings (GP1 through GP5), to evaluate whether underground utility trenches in the vicinity of the site may provide preferential pathways for groundwater flow and the migration of dissolved hydrocarbons. The results of the investigation indicated that there was insufficient evidence to suggest that underground utility lines were providing preferential pathways for the off-site migration of dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons.

In December 2001, ERI advanced twelve direct-push soil borings (GP6 through GP17) to further assess the extent of residual hydrocarbons in the vadose zone beneath the site. The results of the investigation indicated that the extent of residual hydrocarbons detected during previous investigations is limited and that remedial action for residual gasoline hydrocarbons at the site was not warranted.

In December 2002, ERI destroyed one on-site monitoring well (MW2), performed a remedial excavation of hydrocarbon-impacted soil in the vicinity of the former eastern dispenser island, and replaced former well MW2 with on-site backfill monitoring well MW2A.

ERI submitted a *Request and Work Plan for Case Closure* to the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, dated September 10, 2003. The report summarizes why no further action is needed for the site, and details plans to destroy the existing wells upon regulatory acceptance for no further action.

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

In June and July 2002, ERI conducted a groundwater receptor survey. Three irrigation wells were located within a ½ mile radius of the site. The wells are reportedly located approximately 1,980 feet west, 2,245 feet west, and 2,245 feet southwest of the site, cross or upgradient of the site.

MONITORING AND SAMPLING

Quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling were initiated in March 1999. During the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event, performed on June 7, 2004, groundwater was present at depths ranging from 5.35 to 6.61 feet below the top of casing (TOC). The groundwater flow direction was reported towards the northeast with a gradient of 0.005 ft/ft, which is consistent with the groundwater flow direction reported during the first quarter 2004 (north). During the June 7, 2004 sampling event, total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg), benzene, and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) were detected at concentrations up to 2,500, 0.8, and 2,900 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), respectively.

REMEDIATION STATUS

Approximately 338 tons of hydrocarbon impacted soil and backfill were removed from beneath the former USTs, dispensers, and product lines during UST removal activities at the site. Approximately 292 tons of hydrocarbon-impacted soil was removed from beneath the former eastern dispenser island during the December 2002 excavation.

CHARACTERIZATION STATUS

Groundwater appears to be delineated at the site based on the results from well MW-5. However, based on groundwater concentrations in well MW-6 further assessment is necessary to determine if the subsurface utilities are acting as preferential pathways.

RECENT CORRESPONDENCE

There was no correspondence during the reporting period.

THIS QUARTER ACTIVITIES (Second Quarter 2004)

- 1. The groundwater monitoring well network was monitored and sampled by TRC Companies Inc. (TRC).
- 2. Miller Brooks submitted a Work Plan proposing the installation of one groundwater monitoring well in order to delineate the northern extent of the dissolved hydrocarbon plume.

WASTE DISPOSAL SUMMARY

No waste was generated during this reporting period.

NEXT QUARTER ACTIVITIES (Third Quarter 2004)

- 1. The monitoring well network will be monitored and sampled by TRC. In addition, TRC will initiate annual groundwater sampling for wells MW-1 and MW-3 and reduce groundwater sampling from quarterly to annually for well MW-5.
- 2. Pending regulatory approval, Miller Brooks plans to install one groundwater monitoring well northwest of MW-5 in an attempt to delineate the northern extent of the hydrocarbon plume and confirm if subsurface utilities are acting as a preferencial pathway for hydrocarbon migration.

CONSULTANT: Miller Brooks Environmental, Incorporated

