Subject:

RO450 - 1629 Webster

**Entry Type:** 

Phone call

Start: End: Tue 7/24/2007 9:10 AM Tue 7/24/2007 9:10 AM

**Duration:** 

0 hours

7/10/07, 1050a, Dennis, Delta Env. 916-503-1261 Has questions on a couple of on's cases. A couple of WP submitted in Jan & Mar 2007 & no response. Reassigned yet?

07/24/07, 910a, we discuss site.

No WP are in case file, no reports submitted after 10/06

& for 2006 - 2 QMRs missing, 2 are incomplete contain no data.

He will followup on posting of reports to website.

Subject: Entry Type:

RO450 - 1629 Webster

Phone call

Start: End:

Thu 4/26/2007 11:34 AM Thu 4/26/2007 11:34 AM

**Duration:** 

0 hours

Dennis, Delta, 916-503-1265 WP for Conoco 1629 Webster of 01/12/07 Have not heard response on WP Who is CW, & contact info

Not assigned CW yet

Subject:

RO450 - 1629 Webster St. - Unocal #0843

**Entry Type:** 

Phone call

Start: End: Fri 9/3/2004 4:08 PM Fri 9/3/2004 4:08 PM

Duration:

0 hours

Grant Radkivick, TRC 949-753-0101

Discussed site, appears d/g of Shell at 1601 Webster (explained UST failure at Shell on 8/18/04, 900-2100 + gallons gasoline released).

Last sampling event by Unocal on 6/4/04, u/g MW nearest Shell not sampled since 2002.

Request sampling & analysis of all MWs next event (appears Sept. 2004)

Analysis for TPHG, 8260 for BTEX, 5 OXYs + EtOH

They are scheduled to sample in Sept, can move up event to ASAP

Thoman Kosel, Conoco Phillips, 916-558-7666

Discussed above, with Tom. He agreed to analyze samples from all MWs

Next QMR scheduled for 09/17/04, he is also willing to move sampling event up to next week.

Told him I have not yet reviewed MW construction at their site its uncertain if their MWs will intercept u/g plume, & report does not readily show GW gradient wrt travel time but appears appropriate to get baseline results at this time.

Set date to meet to discuss ConocoPhillips case portfolio 09/21/04, 10a, He & Shelby will attend & possibly TRC one of their 4 consultants

Subject: Entry Type: Phone call

Start: End: Thu 8/5/2004 10:30 AM Thu 8/5/2004 10:30 AM

Duration:

0 hours

RO199, connell chev

8/5, 1035a, Bob Clark-Ridell, fwd to Don

he said he spent a lot of time on ltr, i told hime ltr he wrote was unsolicited, he knew don was going to discuss site w/me & he chosse to write we did not request.

ACEH has written numerous directives for site, consultant choosing not to coply, cannot make progress on sites if directives ignored.

direct DOn to discuss site w/RP

Subject:

Entry Type:



Start: End: Thu 7/29/2004 10:55 AM Thu 7/29/2004 10:55 AM

Duration:

0 hours

RO199

7/29, 1132p, bob clark-ridell, don's site, connell chev, fwd to don

Subject:

RO450

**Entry Type:** 

Phone call

Start:

Fri 7/2/2004 3:30 PM

End:

Fri 7/2/2004 3:30 PM

**Duration:** 

0 hours

#### RO450

6/29, 1033a,michele koka, re: amir, case closure now, 1629 webster st, alameda, 09/10/03 papers given to Amir, 865-4414, 541-4373 cell

6/29, 1159a, michelle koka, calling again

6/30, 155p, michelle koka, calling again

6/30, 350p, left msg for her,

6/30, 830p, she leaves msg for me to call her tomorrow between 10-1030a

7/2, 330p, discuss site, explain priorities for cases, Don is new CW, it's a conocophillips site, ACEH will be having mtgs with MO to prioritize sites for work, human health risk are high priority will consider other priorities for sites. She thinks she cannot develop site w/out closure, her husband told her, explained that is not necc true development not precluded unless there is a known human health risk associted with site & planned development. Otherwise can develop an open active case.

7/2/04, 817p, Michele & Sam kokla, Webster st, alameda, 865-7631 (EXXON)

# Telephone Log Former Tosco 76 Service Station 0843 1629 Webster Street Alameda, California

5-15-01 Rob Saur, with ERI, phoned Auro Bustillio, with the Caltrans permits division (510-286-4416), to inquire about the status of the encroachment permit submitted to Caltrans for drilling soil borings GP1 through GP3, GP6 and GP7 in the Webster Street right of way. Mr. Bustillio indicated that the he had received the permit application and passed it on to the field personnel to do the field inspection. If the field inspection indicated that there were no problems with the locations of the proposed borings, Mr. Bustillio would be allowed to issue the permit. Mr. Saur indicated to Mr. Bustillio that the drilling is scheduled for 5/23/01 and inquired Mr. Bustillio about whether or not he thought that the permit would be in place. Mr. Bustillio was unsure and indicated that he would contact the field inspector, Norm (510-614-5951), and inquire about the status of the field inspection.

Mr. Rob Saur called Norm, and left him a message about whether or not the field inspection was performed, and if it hadn't been performed, what date could we expect that it would be done.

Norm phoned Mr. Saur and indicated that he had performed the field inspection during the week of 5/7/01 and that he had sent his recommendations to Mr. Bustillio. Norm indicated that sometimes it takes time for the information he mailed to get to Mr. Bustillio and Norm indicated that he would fax his field report to Mr. Bustillio the morning of 5/16/01. Norm indicated that he was concerned about the locations of the proposed borings GP6 and GP7 because they were in the travel lane. He indicated that Caltrans normally does not allow excavations to occur in the travel lanes.

5/16/01 Mr. Saur phoned Mr. Bustillio to find out if he received the fax sent by Norm. Mr. Bustillio indicated that he did receive the fax and that the field report indicated that GP6 and GP7 were in the travel lane. Mr. Saur explained to Mr. Bustillio that the purpose of drilling GP6 and GP7 in the proposed locations was to obtain groundwater samples on both sides of the underground utility trenches. Mr. Bustillio indicated that he would talk to his supervisor, Mr. Sen Nozzri (510-286-4435) to see if he could issue the permit to include the locations of GP6 and GP7.

Mr. Bustillio phoned Mr. Saur and indicated that he spoke with his supervisor and that he was unable to issue an encroachment permit to GP6 and GP7 in the proposed location, however he would issue an encroachment permit to drill GP1 through GP3.

Mr. Saur phoned Mr. Bustillio to ask him if an encroachment permit could be obtained for GP6 and GP7 if the drilling was to occur at night or a time of minimal traffic. Mr. Bustillio indicated that the traffic control was not the problem and that the head office does not allow work in the travel lane because of the damage that removing the original asphalt causes to the travel lane. Mr. Bustillio indicated that he, nor anyone in his office, has the power to issue encroachment permits in the travel lane. Mr. Bustillio indicated that in order to get an encroachment permit to perform work in the travel lane, the permitee must submit an encroachment permit application to the Caltrans office in Sacramento and the process would take a few months and there is no guarantee that a permit would be issued at all. Mr. Saur requested, and Mr. Bustillio confirmed, that the encroachment permit for GP1 through GP3 would be issued in time for the 5/23/01 drilling date.