

Tier's Chancal

meeting summary

2/28/96

To do:

who?

Phillips

① Tier 2 analysis

" ② reduce scope of excavation to remove only source areas (not cap fringe): UST pit,

" ③ add analyses to w.o. UST closure samples  
TPH-E, metals, SVOC

" ④ treatment / re-introduction conc. in soil (to check Basin Plan 6/95 data) - will send info to me

⑤ post remediation monitoring  
question: additional well behind strip center?

## "STABILITY" ISSUE

- (1) minor "hits" in MW-5 and -6 (core ea.) in ~~1992~~  
4/92 and 10/92
- (2) minor "hit" (xylanes) in MW-7 in 10/93
- (3) "hits" for BTEX in MW-6 and TEX in MW-5  
10/94
- (4) minor "hits" for TE in MW-5 and -6 in 4/94  
however, all are below ASTM Tier 1 values
- (5) All BTEX "ND" in MW-5, -6, -7 in 4/95  
and 10/95 - overall concentrations diminishing  
since 1993 (March) decreasing trend  
∴ appears plume is stable (i.e., not "growing")

## RISK ISSUE

- (1) highest concentrations of BTEX seen in GW  
in **SP-1** (B, T, E, X)  
(3.9, 13, 2.8, 15 ppm)  
1993  
0.021 — — — (10<sup>-6</sup>)  
2.14 — — — (10<sup>-4</sup>)  
— 85 — — Chronic (HQ=1)

- however, this "grab" sample may have been skewed by entrained sediments with adsorbed HCs

## HVOC / breakdown product issues

### ① PCE breakdown products:

- 1,1-DCA
- 1,2-DCA ✓
- TCE ✓
- 1,1-DCE, cis-1,2-DCE ✓, trans-1,2-DCE ✓
- vinyl chloride

Present ✓  
SP-1 ✓ 1993  
mu-7 ✓ 1992

## SOIL contamination

- ① in all intrusive assessment work (1990 - 1993), highest PID and lab soil data are at approximate depth of either 1st encountered or stabilized GW elevations  
∴ soil data are really reflecting GW transport of gasoline

- ② site is immediately underlain by "... four to five feet of organic-rich silty clay."

- ③ (likely) Tier 2 or Tier 3 analysis will show no risk to exposure both from vapor intrusion into commercial buildings and outdoor air

- ④ appears trench at west of USTs and vent line may be source of HCs in GW (see: data for SP-2, SB-1B, SB-3)

## Ground water impact

- ① established well network (mw-1 → mw-4) has shown a trend of decreasing concentrations
- ② None of the well data exceed Tier 1 values for vapor intrusion into commercial buildings except for SP-1 ( $3800 \text{ ug/l benzene}$ ), where  $1E-04 = 2140 \text{ ug/l}$   
∴ appears to be "candidate" for "Low Risk GW Case" per Jan. 5, 1995 RWQCB guidance

## USTs

- ① USTs storing motor ~~vehicle~~<sup>vehicle</sup> fuel, and which are constructed of single wall steel, require upgrading to provide internal and external cathodic protection by 12/22/98. Replacing such tanks, or otherwise providing secondary containment, are acceptable.

## CAP

Task 1: project preparation

Permits — DAAQMD, TCFCD, CVFD (ACFD) etc.  
H+S plan  
etc.

Task 2: well abandonment

MW-2, -3, -4 (due to UST removals)

Task 3: station demolition

Task 4: UST closures

UST closures (3)

Task 5: soil and water sampling / analysis

- ① one pit volume H<sub>2</sub>O to be purged (if FP not present)
- ② Sampling - a) UST (gasoline) - TPH-G, BTEX  
b) UST (w.o) - add TPH-G, metals, SVOC  
(proposes only TPH-D, BTEX, HVOC, TGS)

Task 6: remedial activities

- ① proposes to remove soil to total BTEX conc. of 1.0 mg/kg  
(indicating this is consistent with Basin Plan - 6/21/95)

- ② expect 3500 - 4000 yds<sup>3</sup> to be excavated near fuel UST

- ③ FP to be "skinned" and stored temporarily
- ④ FP/GW to be "treated" using carbon vessels before discharge to sewer (sanitary or storm)

### Task 7: confirmation sampling

- ① one sample from pit sidewalls per 20 linear ft.

### Task 8: back filling

- ① "clean" soil ( $< 1.0 \text{ mg/kg BTEX}$ ) to be reintroduced;  
1 sample per 50 yds<sup>3</sup>;

### Task 9: disposal / recycling

- ① concrete/asphalt to be stockpiled / transported
- ② soil to be segregated into "clean" and "dirty" piles based on observations, PID screening, and confirmed by testing
- ③ fluids (GW, FP) will be either: 1) stored on-site pending treatment, or 2) transported off-site

### Task 10: final report

## MAJOR ISSUES

### ① Risk      ASTM E1739-95

- Tier 1 screening levels (RBSL)
- Tier 2 and 3 (SSTL)
- assumptions: <sup>(10')</sup> DTW, sediment type, carbon content, etc.  
<sup>(silt → gravel)</sup> total soil porosity (~38%), bulk density ( $1.7 \text{ g/cm}^3$ )  
depth to soil contaminants (3')

### ② CAP

- "stability" of plume ✓
- UST upgrades ✓
- " removal - need to add analytes for w.o.
- excavation scope
  - limit of "source" area (define "source")
  - carbon / sediments description
  - Btu impact vs. "true" soil contamination
  -
- assumptions of presence of "free product" ✓
  - sheen on auger (SB-2)
  - " " water (SB-3)
  - " in boring (SB-11)
  - products pockets in soil (SB-13)
- treatment vs. off-haul
  - ✓ - water
  - soil
- clean-up levels
  - 10 vs 100 ppm (TPH)  
1.0 (BTEX)