

SH

93 OCT -5 PM 12: 46

October 4, 1993

Alameda County Health Care Services 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, CA 94621

STID 3 62)

Attention: Mr. Tom Peacock

RE: Unocal Service Station #3538

411 W. MacArthur Boulevard

Oakland, California

94609

Dear Mr. Peacock:

Per the request of Mr. Tim Howard of Unocal Corporation, enclosed please find our report dated August 6, 1993, for the above referenced site.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to call our office at (510) 602-5100.

Sincerely,

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc.

bmp/82

Enclosure

Brenda M. Þepito

cc: Mr. Tim Howard, Unocal Corporation

KEI-P89-0703.QR15 August 6, 1993

Unocal Corporation 2000 Crow Canyon Place, Suite 400 P.O. Box 5155 San Ramon, California 94583

Attention: Mr. Tim Howard

RE: Quarterly Report

Unocal Service Station #3538 411 W. MacArthur Boulevard Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Howard:

This report presents the results of the most recent quarter of monitoring and sampling of the monitoring wells at the referenced site by Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. (KEI). All of the wells are currently monitored quarterly, and wells MW2, MW3, MW5, and MW6 are sampled on a quarterly basis. Wells MW1 and MW4 are sampled on an annual basis. This report covers the work performed by KEI in July of 1993.

BACKGROUND

The subject site contains a Unocal service station facility. Two underground fuel storage tanks, one waste oil tank, and the product piping were removed from the site in July of 1989 during tank replacement activities. The fuel tank pit was subsequently overexcavated 4 feet laterally and to the ground water depth (10.5 feet below grade) in order to remove contaminated soil. Six monitoring wells have been installed at and in the vicinity of the site.

A site description, detailed background information including a summary of all of the soil and ground water subsurface investigation/remediation work conducted to date, site hydrogeologic conditions, and tables that summarize all of the soil and ground water sample analytical results are presented in KEI's report (KEI-P89-0703.R6) dated January 18, 1993.

RECENT FIELD ACTIVITIES

The six monitoring wells (MW1 through MW6) were monitored and sampled once during the quarter. Prior to sampling, the wells were checked for depth to water and the presence of free product or sheen. No free product or sheen was noted in any of the wells

KEI-P89-0703.QR15 August 6, 1993 Page 2

during the quarter. The monitoring data collected this quarter are summarized in Table 1.

Ground water samples were collected from all of the wells on July 14, 1993. Prior to sampling, the wells were each purged of between 5 and 9 gallons of water by the use of a surface pump. Water samples were collected by the use of a clean Teflon bailer. The samples were decanted into clean VOA vials that were then sealed with Teflon-lined screw caps, labeled, and stored in a cooler, on ice, until delivery to a state-certified laboratory.

HYDROLOGY

The measured depth to ground water at the site on July 14, 1993, ranged between 17.20 and 18.54 feet below grade. The water levels in all of the wells have shown net decreases ranging from 0.52 to 0.79 feet since April 13, 1993, except for well MW6, which showed a net decrease of 5.26 feet. Based on the water level data gathered on July 14, 1993, the ground water flow direction appeared to be predominantly to the east over the majority of the Unocal site, and to the south over the northeast portion of the site vicinity, as shown on the attached Potentiometric Surface Map, Figure 1. The ground water flow direction has been predominantly to the east over the majority of the Unocal site since October of 1990 (11 consecutive quarters). In addition, since the installation of off-site wells MW5 and MW6 in November of 1992, a southsouthwest ground water flow direction has been observed at the northeast portion of site vicinity (the last three consecutive quarters). The average hydraulic gradient at the site on July 14. 1993, was approximately 0.009.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The ground water samples collected this quarter were analyzed at Sequoia Analytical Laboratory and were accompanied by properly executed Chain of Custody documentation. The samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline by EPA method 5030/modified 8015, and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes by EPA method 8020. In addition, the ground water samples collected from wells MW2 and MW3 were analyzed for methyl tert butyl ether (MTBE) by EPA method 8020 (modified), and the ground water sample collected from well MW1 was analyzed for EPA method 8010 constituents.

The analytical results for all of the ground water samples collected from the monitoring wells to date are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. The concentrations of TPH as gasoline and benzene detected in the ground water samples collected this quarter are shown on the attached Figure 2. Copies of the laboratory analyti-

KEI-P89-0703.QR15 August 6, 1993 Page 3

cal results and the Chain of Custody documentation are attached to this report.

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analytical results for the ground water samples collected and evaluated to date, and no evidence of free product or sheen in any of the wells, KEI recommends the continuation of the current ground water monitoring and sampling program. All of the wells are currently monitored quarterly, and wells MW2, MW3, MW5, and MW6 are sampled on a quarterly basis. Wells MW1 and MW4 are sampled on an annual basis.

DISTRIBUTION

A copy of this report should be sent to the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, and to the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region.

LIMITATIONS

Environmental changes, either naturally-occurring or artificially-induced, may cause changes in ground water levels and flow paths, thereby changing the extent and concentration of any contaminants.

Our studies assume that the field and laboratory data are reasonably representative of the site as a whole, and assume that subsurface conditions are reasonably conducive to interpolation and extrapolation.

The results of this study are based on the data obtained from the field and laboratory analyses obtained from a state-certified laboratory. We have analyzed these data using what we believe to be currently applicable engineering techniques and principles in the Northern California region. We make no warranty, either expressed or implied, regarding the above, including laboratory analyses, except that our services have been performed in accordance with generally accepted professional principles and practices existing for such work.

KEI-P89-0703.QR15 August 6, 1993 Page 4

If you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to call at (510) 602-5100.

Sincerely,

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc.

Thomas J. Beckers
Thomas J. Berkins

Senior Environmental Engineer

Joel G. Greger, C.E.G.

Senior Engineering Geologist

License No. EG 1633 Exp. Date 6/30/94

Timothy R. Ross Project Manager

/jad

Attachments:

Tables 1, 2 & 3

Location Map

Potentiometric Surface Map - Figure 1

Concentrations of Petroleum Hydrocarbons - Figure 2

Laboratory Analyses

Chain of Custody documentation

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF MONITORING DATA

Well No.	Ground Water Elevation(feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Product Thickness (feet)	Sheen	Water Purged (gallons)
	(Monito	ced and San	apled on Jul	ly 14, 1	.993)
MW1	53.94	18.49	0	No	6.5
MW2	53.25	18.38	0	No	6.5
MW3	53.52	18.54	0	No	5
MW4	53.67	18.31	0	No	7.5
MW5	53.49	18.02	0	No	8.5
MW6	54.59	17.20	0	No	9

Well No.	Well Cover Elevation* (feet)
MW1	72.43
MW2	71.63
MW3	72.06
MW4	71.98
MW5	71.51
MW6	71.79

^{*} The elevations of the tops of the well covers have been surveyed relative to Mean Sea Level (MSL), per a City of Oakland Benchmark #9NW10 (elevation = 75.50 MSL).

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES
WATER

<u>Date</u>	Sample <u>Well #</u>	TPH as <u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl- <u>benzene</u>	Xylenes	MTBE
7/14/93	MW1 MW2 MW3 MW4 MW5 MW6	ND 110♦ 6,300 ND ND ND	2.2 6.5 190 ND ND 0.99	2.1 ND ND ND 0.57 2.4	1.1 ND 430 ND ND ND	6.2 1.1 1,000 ND ND 1.9	250 860
4/13/93	MW2 MW3 MW5 MW6	410♦♦ 12,000♦♦ ND ND	42 290 ND ND	7.7 38 ND ND	6.4 760 ND ND	28 2,300 ND ND	200 1,400
1/08/93	MW2 MW3 MW5 MW6	510♦ 1,100♦♦ ND ND	ND 48 ND ND	ND 0.99 ND ND	ND 0.90 ND ND	ND 93 ND ND	
11/30/92	MW5 MW6	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	
10/12/92	MW2 MW3	370 3,200	3.4 160	0.56 10	ND 230	11 540	
7/14/92	MW1 MW2 MW3 MW4	ND 130 21,000 ND	ND 3.7 890 1.3	ND ND 200 2.5	ND ND 1,200 ND	ND ND 4,300	
4/14/92	MW2 MW3	150 14,000	6.2 660	ND 48	ND 560	1.4	
1/15/92	MW2 MW3	220 3,000	37 590	0.52 14	1.1 310	7.0 750	
10/15/91	MW2 MW3	140 3,100	44 390	0.56 34	1.5 150	12 390	
7/15/91	MW1 MW2 MW3 MW4	ND 2,200 9,200 ND	ND 770 1,300 ND	ND 12 230 ND	ND 72 490 ND	ND 370 1,900 ND	

KEI-P89-0703.QR15 August 6, 1993

TABLE 2 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES

WATER

<u>Date</u>	Sample Well #	TPH as <u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Ethyl- <u>benzene</u>	Xylenes	<u>MTBE</u>
	<u></u>				<u> </u>	<u>,</u>	
4/12/91	MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	MW2	2,200	160	4.3	23	62	
	MW3	880	170	1.1	34	110	
	MW4	ND	ND	ИD	ND	ND	
1/15/91	MW1	ИД	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	MW2	680	170	0.7	19	81	
	MW3	3,200	460	1.5	120	270	
	MW4	ND	ND	ND		ND	
10/16/90	MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	MW2	1,400	430	2.0	48	240	
	MW3	740	210	1.4	2.5	82	
	MW4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
7/17/90	MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	MW2	490	76	0.59	11	46	
	MW3	4,000	270	48	130	250	
	MW4	ND	ND	ИD	ND	ND	
4/19/90	MW1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	MW2	3,900	550	5.1	91	390	
	MW3	3,100	600	27	54	220	
	MW4	ND	ND	0.48	ND	ND	
1/23/90	MW1	ND	1.5	2.3	ND	4.3	
	MW2	400	73	36	10	40	
	KWM3	450	110	1.2	4.4	11	
	MW4	ND	ND	0.40	ND	ИD	
9/15/89	MW1	ND	ND	0.61	ND	ND	
	MW2	290	ND	12	ND	ND	
	EWM	32	ND	ИД	ND	ND	
	MW4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	

TABLE 2 (Continued)

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES WATER

- -- Indicates analysis was not performed.
- ♦ Sequoia Analytical Laboratory reported that the hydrocarbons detected did not appear to be gasoline.
- ♦♦ Sequoia Analytical Laboratory reported that the hydrocarbons detected appeared to be a gasoline and a non-gasoline mixture.

ND = Non-detectable.

Results in parts per billion (ppb), unless otherwise indicated.

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES
WATER


<u>Date</u>	Sample <u>Well #</u>	TPH as <u>Diesel</u>	TOG (mgg)	Tetrachloro- ethene*
7/14/93	MW1			0.95
7/14/92	MW1			1.4
7/15/91	MW1	ND	ND	1.8
4/12/91	MW1	ND	ND	2.0
1/15/91	MW1	ND	ИD	2.1
10/16/90	MW1	ND	ND	2.0
7/17/90	MW1	ND	ИD	1.7
4/19/90	MW1	ND	ND	2.2
1/23/90	MW1	ND	1.5	2.1
9/15/89	MW1	ND	<50	2.7

⁻⁻ Indicates analysis was not performed.

ND = Non-detectable.

Results in parts per billion (ppb), unless otherwise indicated.

^{*} All EPA method 8010 constituents were non-detectable, except for tetrachloroethene as indicated.







Base modified from 7.5 minute U.S.G.S. Oakland East & West Quadrangles (both photorevised 1980)

0 2000 4000 Approx. scale feet



UNOCAL SERVICE STATION # 3538 411 W. MACARTHUR BOULEVARD OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA LOCATION MAP





Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400 Concord, CA 94520 Client Project ID: Sample Matrix: Unocal, 411 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland Water

Sampled: Received: Jul 14, 1993 Jul 14, 1993

Attention: Avo Avedessian

Analysis Method: First Sample #:

EPA 5030/8015/8020 307-0728 Reported: Jul 28, 1993

TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit μg/L	I.D. I.D. I.D. 307-0728 307-0729 307-0		Sample I.D. 307-0730 MW-3	Sample I.D. 307-0731 MW-4	Sample I.D. 307-0732 MW-5	Sample I.D. 307-0733 MW-6	
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	50	N.D.	110	6,300	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	
Benzene	0.5	2.2	6.5	190	N.D.	N.D.	0.99	
Toluene	0.5	2.1	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	0.57	2.4	
Ethyl Benzene	0.5	1.1	N.D.	430	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	
Total Xylenes	0.5	6.2	1.1 1,000		N.D.	N.D.	1.9	
Chromatogram Pat	tern:		Discrete Peaks	Gasoline	• •			
Quality Control Da	ıta		***************************************					
Report Limit Multipl	ication Factor:	1.0	1.0	50	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Date Analyzed:		7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	
Instrument Identific	ation:	HP-5	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	
Surrogate Recovery (QC Limits = 70-13		97	94	94	100	100	100	

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard.

Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTIÇAL

Alan B. Kemp Project Manager Please Note:

*Discrete Peaks include MTBE Peak.

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400

Concord, CA 94520 Attention: Avo Avedessian Client Project ID: Sample Matrix:

Unocal, 411 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

Water EPA 5030/8015/8020

Analysis Method: First Sample #: Blank Sampled:

1.0

1.0

Jul 14, 1993 Jul 14, 1993

Received: Reported: Jul 28, 1993

TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit μg/L	Sample I.D. Matrix Blank		
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	50			
Benzene	0.5			
Toluene	0.5			
Ethyl Benzene	0.5			
Total Xylenes	0.5			
Chromatogram Patter	n:			

Quality Control Data

1.0 1.0 Report Limit Multiplication Factor: 1.0

Date Analyzed: 7/21/93

Instrument Identification: HP-4

Surrogate Recovery, %:

(QC Limits = 70-130%)

98

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Project Manager

1.0

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400 Concord, CA 94520 Attention: Avo Avedessian Client Project ID: Unocal, 411 Sample Descript: Water

Unocal, 411 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland Water

Sampled: Received: Jul 14, 1993 Jul 14, 1993

Analysis for: First Sample #:

MTBE (EPA 8020 Modified) 307-0729

Analyzed: Reported:

Jul 21, 1993 Jul 28, 1993

LABORATORY ANALYSIS FOR:

MTBE (EPA 8020 Modified)

Sample Number	Sample Description	Detection Limit μg/L	Sample Result µg/L
307-0729	MW-2	0.60	250
307-0730	MW-3	30	860

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Alan B. Kemp Project Manager Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400 Concord, CA 94520

Client Project ID: Sample Descript:

Unocal, 411 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland Water, MW-1

Sampled: Received: Analyzed:

Jul 14, 1993 Jul 14, 1993

Attention: Avo Avedessian

Analysis Method: Lab Number:

EPA 5030/8010 307-0728

Jul 27, 1993 Reported: Jul 28, 1993

HALOGENATED VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8010)

Analyte	Detection Limit µg/L		Sample Results µg/L
Bromodichloromethane	0.50	***************************	N.D.
Bromoform	0.50		N.D.
Bromomethane	1.0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	N.D.
Carbon tetrachloride	0.50		N.D.
Chlorobenzene	0.50	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	N.D.
Chloroethane	1.0	***************************************	N.D.
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	1.0	***************************************	N.D.
Chloroform	0.50	**************************	N.D.
Chloromethane	1.0		N.D.
Dibromochloromethane	0.50		N.D.
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	*********	N.D.
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50		N.D.
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	***************************************	N.D.
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	***************************************	N.D.
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	************	N.D.
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	*************	N.D.
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50		N.D.
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50		N.D.
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	*******************************	N.D.
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50		N.D.
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	***************************************	N.D.
Methylene chloride	5.0		N.D.
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50		N.D.
Tetrachloroethene	0.50		. 0.95
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50		N.D.
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	***************************************	N.D.
Trichloroethene	0.50	***************************************	N.D.
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	***************************************	N.D.
Vinyl chloride	1.0	*****************************	N.D.

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Alan B. Kemp Project Manager Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400

Concord, CA 94520

Attention: Avo Avedessian

Client Project ID: Unocal, 411 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

Matrix: Water

QC Sample Group: 3070728-33

Reported: Jul 28, 1993

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE		 	Ethyl-		
ANALTIE	Benzene	Toluene	Etny!- Benzene	Xylenes	
	Derigerie	Tolucite	Delizerie	Ayleries	
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	
Analyst:	J.F.	J.F.	J.F.	J.F.	
Conc. Spiked:	20	20	20	60	
Units:	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	
LCS Batch#:	LCS072193	LCS072193	LCS072193	LCS072193	
Date Prepared:	7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	
Date Analyzed:	7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	7/21/93	
Instrument I.D.#:	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	HP-4	
LCS %					
Recovery:	85	90	95	95	
Control Limits:	70-130	70-130	70-130	70-130	
MS/MSD					
Batch #:	3070734	3070734	3070734	3070734	
Date Prepared:	7/21/93	7/21/02	7/04/02	7 (04 /09	
Date Analyzed:	7/21/93 7/21/93	7/21/93 7/21/93	7/21/93 7/21/93	7/21/93 7/21/93	
Instrument I.D.#:	HP-4	7/21/93 HP-4	7/21/ 9 3 HP-4	7/21/93 HP-4	
	711 4	1114	111 -4	1	
Matrix Spike					
% Recovery:	90	95	95	98	
Matrix Spike					
Duplicate %					
Recovery:	85	90	95	95	
	00	<i>5</i> 0	30	2 0	
Relative %					
Difference:	5.7	5.4	0.0	3.1	

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Alan B-Kemp Project Manager Please Note:

The LCS is a control sample of known, interferent free matrix that is analyzed using the same reagents, preparation and analytical methods employed for the samples. The LCS % recovery data is used for validation of sample batch results. Due to matrix effects, the QC limits for MS/MSD's are advisory only and are not used to accept or reject batch results.

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400

Concord, CA 94520

Client Project ID: Unocal, 411 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

Matrix: Water

Attention: Avo Avedessian QC Sample Goup: 307-0728

Reported: Jul 28, 1993

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE:	1,1-Dichloro-	Trichloroethene	Chloro-	
ANALITE.	ethene	rnchloroethene	Chioro-	
			001120110	
Method:	EPA 8010	EPA 8010	EPA 8010	
Analyst:	K.N.	K.N.	K.N.	
Conc. Spiked:	10	10	10	
Units:	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	
LCS Batch#:	LCS072793	LCS072793	LCS072793	
Date Prepared:	7/27/93	7/27/93	7/27/93	
Date Analyzed:	7/27/93	7/27/93	7/27/93	
Instrument I.D.#:	HP-5890/1	HP-5890/1	HP-5890/1	
LCS %				
Recovery:	100	99	91	
Control Limits:	70-130	70-130	70-130	
Control Limits:	70-130	70-130	70-130	
MS/MSD	70-130	70-130	70-130	
	70-130 3070728	70-130 3070728	70-130 3070728	
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared:				-
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared: Date Analyzed:	3070728	3070728	3070728	
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared:	3070728 7/27/93	3070728 7/27/93	3070728 7/27/93	-
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared: Date Analyzed:	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93	
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared: Date Analyzed: Instrument I.D.#:	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93	
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared: Date Analyzed: Instrument I.D.#: Matrix Spike % Recovery:	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared: Date Analyzed: Instrument I.D.#: Matrix Spike % Recovery: Matrix Spike Duplicate %	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared: Date Analyzed: Instrument I.D.#: Matrix Spike % Recovery: Matrix Spike	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	
MS/MSD Batch #: Date Prepared: Date Analyzed: Instrument I.D.#: Matrix Spike % Recovery: Matrix Spike Duplicate %	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	3070728 7/27/93 7/27/93 HP-5890/1	

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Alan B. Kemp Project Manager Please Note:

The LCS is a control sample of known, interferent free matrix that is analyzed using the same reagents, preparation and analytical methods employed for the samples. The LCS % recovery data is used for validation of sample batch results. Due to matrix effects, the QC limits for MS/MSD's are advisory only and are not used to accept or reject batch results.

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Dr., Ste. 400

Client Project ID: Unocal, 411 MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

Concord, CA 94520

Attention: Avo Avedessian

QC Sample Group: 307-0728

Reported: Jul 28, 1993

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

SURROGATE

Method: Analyst: EPA 8010

PA 8010 K.N. EPA 8010 K.N.

Reporting Units:

μg/L

 μ g/L

Date Analyzed: Sample #:

Jul 27, 1993 307-0728 Jul 27, 1993 Blank

Surrogate #1

% Recovery:

93

94

Surrogate #2

% Recovery:

104

92

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Alan B. Kemp Project Manager % Recovery:

Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of Sample

x 100

Spike Conc. Added

Relative % Difference:

Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of M.S.D. (Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2 x 100



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

SAMPLER KAY	Kr-	7)		11-	12			HE & ADDRESS OANLANA	ANALYSES REQUESTED			ESTED		TURN AROUND TIME:	
WITHESSING AC		<u> </u>						PRIHUR BLUD	SHO	186	0/				REGULAR
SAMPLE 10 NO.	DATE	TIME	SOIL	WATER	GRAB	СОМР	NO. OF CONT.	SAMPLING LOCATION	HA!	MTB	80				REHARKS
MWI	7-14			火	ч		4	VOA	1		Х				3070728/11
MUZ	ધ			R	×		И	ч	×	Х					72911)
Mw3	ч			<i>f</i> -	7		И	ч	7	Х					730111
MWY	4			×	*		2	VOA	Х						73/110
MWS	ч			x	×		Įł.	1	7	<u> </u> 					732715
MU6	Ĺ			4	7		и	v	7						783AL
											ļ			_	
		<u> </u>			l							<u> </u>			
Relinquished	(KE	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ate/11 14-	53			ed byp, (sladature) 7-14:5		for a	analysi	s:	•		y the laboratory accepting samples analysis been stored in ice?
Relingrished	rille.		7/14	ate/Ii	<u>ند ک</u>	. [ed by: (Signature)			405				ted until analyzed?
el inquished	by! (si	gfature)		ate/[i		0 -	Rece iv	ed by: (Signature)			No	-			analysis have head space? ontainers and properly packaged?
Relinquished	by: (Si	gnature)	0	ate/Ti	me			ed by: (Signature)		,	4001			Ane	riust 7-14-93 Title Date