RECEIVED

2:40 pm, Dec 29, 2009

Alameda County Environmental Health



RECEIVED

JAN 2 2 199-

KEI-P89-0703.R6 January 18, 1993

Unocal Corporation 2000 Crow Canyon Place, Suite 400 P.O. Box 5155 San Ramon, California 94583

Attention: Mr. Tim Howard

RE: Continuing Ground Water Investigation at Unocal Service Station #3538
411 W. MacArthur Boulevard

Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Howard:

This report presents the results of Kaprealian Engineering, Inc's. (KEI) soil and ground water investigation for the referenced site, in accordance with KEI's proposal (KEI-P89-0703.P3) dated February 28, 1991. The purpose of the investigation was to further determine the degree and extent of soil and ground water contamination at and in the vicinity of the site. The scope of the work performed by KEI consisted of the following:

Coordination with regulatory agencies

Geologic logging of two borings for the installation of two monitoring wells

Soil sampling

Ground water monitoring, purging, and sampling

Laboratory analyses

Data analysis, interpretation, and report preparation

SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

The subject site contains a Unocal service station facility. The site is located on gently sloping, south-southwest trending topography, and is situated approximately 1,900 feet northwest of Glen Echo Creek. The site is also located adjacent to and west of Mosswood Park and southwest of a BP service station.

KEI's initial work at the site began in July of 1989, when KEI was retained by Unocal to collect soil samples following the removal of two underground gasoline storage tanks and one waste oil tank at the site. The tanks consisted of one 10,000 gallon super unleaded

2401 Stanwell Drive, Suite 400 Concord, California 94520 Tel: 510.602.5100 - Fax: 510.687.0602

gasoline tank, one 12,000 gallon regular unleaded gasoline tank, and one 550 gallon waste oil tank. No apparent holes or cracks were observed in the fuel tanks; however, the waste oil tank had four small holes. Water was encountered in the fuel tank pit at a depth of 10.5 feet below grade, thus prohibiting sampling directly from beneath the fuel tanks. Six sidewall samples, labeled SW1, SW1(4), SW2, SW3, SW4, and SW4(2), were collected from the fuel tank pit at depths of 10 feet below grade. The soil sample collected from beneath the waste oil tank, labeled WO1, was collected at a depth of 8.5 feet below grade. KEI also collected four samples, labeled P1 through P4, from the piping trenches at depths of 5 to 10 feet below grade (the sample point locations are as shown on the attached Figure 3). After sampling, ground water was pumped from the fuel tank pit. Since there was no recharge, a water sample was not collected. All samples were analyzed by Sequoia Analytical Laboratory in Redwood City, California, for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) as gasoline, and benzene, toluene, xylenes, and ethylbenzene (BTX&E). In addition, the waste oil tank sample was also analyzed for TPH as diesel, total oil and grease (TOG), and EPA methods 8010 and 8270 compounds.

The analytical results of the fuel tank pit soil samples showed levels of TPH as gasoline ranging from non-detectable to 11 ppm, except for sample SW1, which showed 3,100 ppm of TPH as gasoline. However, following excavation of approximately 4 feet of the sidewall where sample SW1 was collected, an additional sample, labeled SW1(4), was collected, analyzed, and indicated nondetectable levels of TPH as gasoline and BTX&E. The soil sample collected from the waste oil pit showed non-detectable levels of TPH as gasoline, TPH as diesel, and BTX&E, with TOG levels at 36 The results of the soil analyses are summarized in Table 3. Documentation of the tank and piping removal procedures, sample collection techniques, and analytical results from the tank excavation are summarized in KEI's report (KEI-J89-0703.R1) dated July 31, 1989. To comply with the requirements of the regulatory agencies and based on the results of the laboratory analyses, KEI recommended the installation of four monitoring wells.

On September 6 and 7, 1989, four two-inch diameter monitoring wells, designated as MW1, MW2, MW3, and MW4 on the attached Figure 1, were installed at the site. The four wells were each drilled and completed to total depths ranging from 29 to 30 feet below grade. Ground water was encountered at depths ranging from 19 to 19.5 feet beneath the surface during drilling. The wells were developed on September 12, 1989, and were initially sampled on September 15, 1989.

Water and selected soil samples were analyzed at Sequoia Analytical Laboratory in Redwood City, California. Samples were analyzed for TPH as gasoline and BTX&E. In addition, the sample collected from monitoring well MW1 was analyzed for TPH as diesel, TOG, and EPA method 8010 constituents. Analytical results of the soil samples collected from the borings for the monitoring wells showed levels of TPH as gasoline ranging from non-detectable to 20 ppm. diesel and EPA method 8010 compounds were non-detectable in all samples collected from MW1. All TOG levels in MW1 were less than 50 ppm. Benzene levels were non-detectable in all samples, except MW2 at 19 feet and MW3 at 10 feet, which were 1.5 ppm and 0.29 ppm, The analytical results of water samples collected respectively. from the monitoring wells MW1 through MW4 indicated non-detectable Analytical results of the water sample levels of benzene. collected from MW1 also revealed non-detectable levels of TPH as diesel, less than 50 ppm of TOG, and non-detectable levels of all EPA method 8010 constituents, except for 2.7 ppb of tetrachloroethene (PCE). TPH as gasoline levels were 290 ppb in MW2, 32 ppb in MW3, and non-detectable in wells MW1 and MW4. The results of the soil samples are summarized in Table 3, and the results of the water samples are summarized in Table 2. Documentation of the installation procedures, monitoring well sample collection techniques, and analytical results are presented in KEI's report (KEI-P89-0703.R5) dated October 23, 1989. Based on these analytical results, KEI recommended the implementation of a monthly monitoring and quarterly sampling program. The monthly monitoring and quarterly sampling was initiated in November of 1989.

Based on the contaminant levels detected in monitoring wells MW2 and MW3 in subsequent quarters, KEI recommended the installation of two additional monitoring wells in KEI's quarterly report (KEI-P89-0703.QR5) dated February 28, 1991. KEI considered proposing the installation of monitoring wells in the median strip in the center of MacArthur Boulevard; however, access is precluded due to the presence of underground utilities and trees.

RECENT FIELD ACTIVITIES

On November 18, 1992, two additional two-inch diameter monitoring wells (designated as MW5 and MW6 on the attached Figure 1) were installed in the vicinity of the site. The wells were each drilled, constructed, and completed in accordance with the guidelines of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) and the California Well Standards (per Bulletin 74-90). The subsurface materials penetrated and details of the construction of the wells are described in the attached Boring Logs.

The two new wells were each drilled and completed to total depths of 30 feet below grade. Ground water was encountered at depths ranging from 20 to 22 feet below grade during drilling. samples were collected for laboratory analysis and for lithologic logging purposes at a maximum spacing of 5 foot intervals, at significant changes in lithology, at obvious areas of contamination, and at or within the soil/ground water interface, beginning at a depth of approximately 5 feet below grade and continuing until ground water was encountered. Soil sampling conducted below the ground water table was for lithologic logging purposes only. The undisturbed soil samples were collected by driving a Californiamodified split-spoon sampler (lined with brass liners) ahead of the The two-inch diameter brass liners holding the drilling augers. samples were sealed with aluminum foil, plastic caps and tape, labeled, and stored in a cooler, on ice, until delivery to a state-Each well casing was installed with a certified laboratory. watertight cap and padlock. A round, watertight, flush-mounted well cover was cemented in place over each well casing.

The surface of each well cover was surveyed by Kier & Wright of Pleasanton, California, to Mean Sea Level (MSL) and to a vertical accuracy of 0.01 feet.

The new wells were developed on November 23, 1992. Prior to development, the wells were checked for the depth to the water table (by the use of an electronic sounder) and the presence of free product (by the use of an interface probe or paste tape). No free product was noted in either of the wells. After recording the monitoring data, the two new wells were each purged (by the use of a surface pump) of 8 and 12 gallons of water, respectively, until the evacuated water was clear and free of suspended sediment. Monitoring and well development data are summarized in Table 1.

The two new wells (MW5 and MW6) were sampled on November 30, 1992. In addition, monitoring wells MW1 through MW4 were also monitored on November 30, 1992. Prior to sampling, monitoring data were collected, and wells MW5 and MS6 were purged of 9 and 11 gallons of water, respectively, by the use of a surface pump. Water samples were collected by the use of a clean Teflon bailer. Samples were decanted into clean VOA vials that were then sealed with Teflonlined screw caps, labeled, and stored in a cooler, on ice, until delivery to a state-certified laboratory.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Water and selected soil samples from the borings of MW5 and MW6 were analyzed at Sequoia Analytical Laboratory. All samples analyzed were accompanied by properly executed Chain of Custody

documentation. The samples were analyzed for TPH as gasoline by EPA method 5030/modified 8015, and BTX&E by EPA method 8020.

The concentrations of TPH as gasoline and benzene detected in the ground water samples collected from monitoring wells MW5 and MW6 on November 30, 1992, are shown on the attached Figure 2. The results of the soil analyses are summarized in Table 3, and the results of the water analyses are summarized in Table 2. Copies of the laboratory analyses and the Chain of Custody documentation are attached to this report.

HYDROLOGY AND GEOLOGY

The measured depth to ground water at the site on November 30, 1992, ranged between 14.36 and 18.80 feet below grade. The ground water flow direction appeared to be to the south on November 30, 1992. Prior to the drilling of the two off-site monitoring wells, the ground water flow direction at the site was reported to be to the east for the past ten quarters. However, water level data obtained during the November 30, 1992, monitoring event (including data from the two new wells) indicated that the predominant ground water flow direction was to the south, with an easterly ground water flow direction present in the southern half of the site. Based on the water level data collected from the monitoring wells on November 30, 1992, the hydraulic gradient at and in the vicinity of the site varied between 0.009 and 0.033.

Based on review of regional geologic maps (U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 943 "Flatland Deposits of the San Francisco Bay Region, California - Their Geology and Engineering Properties, and their Importance to Comprehensive Planning" by E.J. Helley and K.R. Lajoie, 1979), the subject site is underlain by Late Pleistocene Alluvium (Qpa). These materials, considered to be alluvial fan deposits, are described as consisting of weakly consolidated, slightly weathered, irregularly interbedded clay, silt, sand, and gravel. The maximum thickness of these deposits is unknown, but is considered to be at least 150 feet thick.

Based on the results of our subsurface studies, the site is underlain by fill materials to a depth of about 1.5 feet below grade. The fill is in turn underlain by alluvial sediments to the maximum depth explored (30.5 feet below grade). The alluvium underlying the site typically consist of sandy/gravelly clay, clayey sand, clayey silt, and gravel with sand and silt.

The unsaturated zone beneath the site is approximately 18 feet thick and consists predominantly of sandy/gravelly clay, which also characterizes the base of the unsaturated zone. The first water

bearing unit beneath the site (first aquifer) consists largely of poorly graded gravel with silt and sand, and subordinate amounts of clayey silt and clayey sand. Sandy/gravelly clay, clayey sand, and clayey silt characterize the units immediately below the water table.

DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analytical results of the soil and ground water samples collected from wells MW5 and MW6, the extent of soil and ground water contamination to the north and east of the site appears to have been well defined. TPH as gasoline and BTX&E were not detected in any of the soil and ground water samples collected from the two new off-site monitoring wells.

Based on the analytical results collected and evaluated to date, KEI recommends the continuation of the current ground water monitoring and sampling program, per KEI's proposal (KEI-P89-0703.P3) dated February 28, 1991, and as modified in KEI's quarterly reports (KEI-P89-0703.QR7) dated August 20, 1991, and (KEI-P89-0703.QR11) dated August 12, 1992. All of the wells are currently monitored quarterly, and wells MW2, MW3, MW5, and MW6 are sampled on a quarterly basis. Wells MW1 and MW4 are sampled on an annual basis. The results of the monitoring program will be documented and evaluated after each monitoring and sampling event. Recommendations for altering or terminating the program will be made as warranted.

DISTRIBUTION

A copy of this report should be sent to the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, and to the RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region.

LIMITATIONS

Soil deposits and rock formations may vary in thickness, lithology, saturation, strength and other properties across any site. In addition, environmental changes, either naturally-occurring or artificially-induced, may cause changes in the extent and concentration of any contaminants. Our studies assume that the field and laboratory data are reasonably representative of the site as a whole, and assume that subsurface conditions are reasonably conducive to interpolation and extrapolation.

The results of this study are based on the data obtained from the field and laboratory analyses obtained from a state-certified laboratory. We have analyzed this data using what we believe to be currently applicable engineering techniques and principles in the

Northern California region. We make no warranty, either expressed or implied, regarding the above, including laboratory analyses, except that our services have been performed in accordance with generally accepted professional principles and practices existing for such work.

Should you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to call me at (510) 602-5100.

Sincerely,

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc.

Thomas J. Berkins

Thomas J. Berkins

Senior Environmental Engineer

Joel G. Greger, C.E.G.

foel MM

Senior Engineering Geologist

Timothy C. Toss

License No. 1633 Exp. Date 6/30/94

Timothy R. Ross Project Manager

\jad

Attachments: Table

Tables 1, 2 & 3

Location Map

Potentiometric Surface Map - Figure 1

Petroleum Hydrocarbon Concentration Map - Figure 2

Sample Point Location Map - Figure 3

Boring Logs

Laboratory Analyses

Chain of Custody documentation

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF GROUND WATER MONITORING AND PURGING DATA

Well #	Ground Water Elevation (feet)	Water <u>(feet)</u>	Product Thickness _(feet) on November	Sheen	Water Purged (gallons)
	(HOHICOICA	ana bampica	OH NOVEMBEL	30, 133.	- /
MW1*	53.63	18.80	0		0
MW2*	53.09	18.54	0		Ö
MW3*	53.33	18.73	0		0
MW4 *	53.45	18.53	0		0
MW5	53.33	18.18	0	No	9
MW6	57.43	14.36	0	No	11
	(Monitored :	and Develope	d on Novembe	r 23 19	921
	(MONITCOILEG &	ind bevelope	a on Novembe	1 23, 13	,,
MW5	53.41	18.10	0		8
MW6	57.62	14.17	0		12
		τ	Well Cover E	levations	r *k
	Well		(fee		• • •
	<u>NCII</u>		1100	<u> </u>	
	MW1		72.4	3	
	MW2		71.6		
	MW3		72.0		
				_	

71.98

71.51 71.79

MW4

MW5

MW6

^{*} Monitored only.

^{**} The elevations of the tops of the well covers have been surveyed relative to MSL, per the City of Oakland Benchmark #9NW10 (elevation = 75.50).

⁻⁻ Sheen determination was not performed.

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES

WATER

<u>Date</u>	Sample Well #	TPH as <u>Diesel</u>	TPH as <u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Xylenes	Ethyl- <u>benzene</u>	PCE
11/30/92	MW5		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
, ,	MW6		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
10/12/92	MW2		370	3.4	0.56	11	ND	
. ,	MW3		3,200	160	10	540	230	
7/14/92	MW1+		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.4
	MW2		130	3.7	ND	ND	ND	
	MW3		21,000	890	200	4,300	1,200	
	MW4		ND	1.3	2.5	1.0	ND	
4/14/92	MW2		150	6.2	ND	1.4	ND	
	MW3		14,000	660	48	2,000	560	
1/15/92	MW2		220	37	0.52	7.0	1.1	
	MW3		3,000	590	14	750	310	
10/15/91	MW2		140	44	0.56	12	1.5	-
, ,	MW3		3,100	390	34	390	150	
7/15/91	MW1*	ND	ИD	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.8
	MW2		2,200	770	12	370	72	
	MW3		9,200	1,300	230	1,900	490	
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
4/12/91	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.0
	MW2		2,200	160	4.3	62	23	
	MW3	سليب هلت	880	170	1.1	110	34	
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
1/15/91	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.1
	MW2	-	680	170	0.7	81	19	
	MW3		3,200	460	1.5	270	120	
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
10/16/90	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.0
	MW2		1,400	430	2.0	240	48	
	MW3		740	210	1.4	82	2.5	
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	

TABLE 2 (Continued)

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES

WATER

1

<u>Date</u>	Sample <u>Well #</u>	TPH as <u>Diesel</u>	TPH as <u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Xylenes	Ethyl- <u>benzene</u>	PCE
7/17/90	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.7
	MW2		490	76	0.59	46	11	
	MW3		4,000	270	48	250	130	
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
4/19/90	MW1*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.2
.,,	MW2		3,900	550	5.1	390	91	
	MW3		3,100	600	27	220	54	
	MW4		ND	ND	0.48	ND	ND	
1/23/90	MW1**	ND	ND	1.5	2.3	4.3	ND	2.1
, ,	MW2		400	73	36	40	10	
	KWM3		450	110	1.2	11	4.4	
	MW4		ND	ND	0.40	ND	ND	
9/15/89	MW1**:	* ND	ND	ND	0.61	ND	ND	2.7
, -,	MW2		290	ND	12	ND	ND	
	MW3		32	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	MW4		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	

- -- Indicates analysis was not performed.
- + All EPA method 8010 compounds were non-detectable, except for PCE.
- * TOG was non-detectable. All EPA method 8010 compounds were non-detectable, except for PCE.
- ** TOG was 1.5 ppm. All EPA method 8010 compounds were non-detectable, except for PCE.
- *** TOG was <50 ppm. All EPA method 8010 compounds were non-detectable, except for PCE.

ND = Non-detectable.

Results in parts per billion (ppb), unless otherwise indicated.

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES
SOIL

<u>Sample</u>	Depth (feet)	TPH as <u>Diesel</u>	TPH as <u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Xylenes	Ethyl- <u>benzene</u>
		(Colle	cted on Ju	ly 12 & 1	7, 1989)		
SW1	10.0		3,100	12	300	730	110
SW1(4)	10.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SW2	10.0		1.1	0.10	ND	0.18	ND
SW3	10.0		5.7	0.26		0.45	0.23
SW4	10.0		2.5	ND	ND	0.24	ND
SW4(2)	10.0		11	0.61	0.51	1.3	0.44
P1	6.5		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
P2	6.5		ND	ИD	ND	ND	ND
P3	5.5		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
P4	10.0		170	0.71	12	47	6.8
WO1*	8.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
		(Collec	ted on Sep	tember 6 8	3 7, 1989)		
MW1(5)**	5. 0	ND	3.4	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW1(10)	** 10.0	ND	5.0	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW1(15)	** 15.0	ND	2.2	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW1(19)	** 19.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ИД
MW2(5)	5.0		1.4	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2(10)	10.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW2(15)	15.0		1.8	ND	ND	ND	ИD
MW2(19)	19.0		13	1.5	2.1	1.8	0.34
MW3(5)	5.0		1.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3(10)	10.0		1.8	0.29		ND	ND
MW3(15)	15.0		3.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW3 (18.5			ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW4(5)	5.0		3.1	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW4(10)	10.0		17	ND	ND	0.10	
MW4(15)	15.0		20	ND	ND	0.27	
MW4(18.9			2.1	ND	ND	ND	ND

TABLE 3 (Continued)

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES SOIL


<u>Sample</u>	Depth (feet)	TPH as <u>Diesel</u>	TPH as <u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Benzene</u>	<u>Toluene</u>	Xylenes	Ethyl- <u>benzene</u>
		(Colle	ected on No	ovember 18	3, 1992)		
MW5(5)	5.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW5(10)	10.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW5(15)	15.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW5(13)	21.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
M3 (21)	21.0		110	112	112	1,12	112
MW6(5)	5.0		ND	ND	ND	ИД	ND
MW6(10)	10.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW6(15)	15.0		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW6(19.5)	19.5		ND	ИД	ND	ND	ND

- * TOG was 36 ppm, and EPA method 8010 and 8270 constituents were non-detectable.
- ** TOG was <50 ppm for these samples. EPA method 8010 compounds were non-detectable for these samples.


ND = Non-detectable.

-- Indicates analysis was not performed.

Results in parts per million (ppm), unless otherwise indicated.




Base modified from 7.5 minute U.S.G.S. Oakland West Quadrangle (photorevised 1980)







UNOCAL SERVICE STATION # 3538 411 W. MACARTHUR BOULEVARD OAKLAND, CA

LOCATION MAP










LEGEND

Sample point location
 Samples collected on 7/12 & 7/17/89



SAMPLE POINT LOCATION MAP



UNOCAL SERVICE STATION # 3538 411 W. MACARTHUR BOULEVARD OAKLAND, CA FIGURE

3



MAJOR DIVISIONS	JOR DIVISIONS SYMBOLS		TYPICAL SOIL DESCRIPTIONS		
GRAVELS	GW		Well graded gravels or gravel - sand mixtures, little or no fines		
(More than 1/2 of coarse	GP		Poorly graded gravels or gravel - sand mixtures, little or no fines		
fraction > No. 4 sieve size)	GM	7000 7000	Silty gravels, gravel - sand - silt mixtures		
1	GC		Clayey gravels, gravel - sand - clay mixtures		
SANDS	sw		Well graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines		
(More than 1/2 of coarse	I SP		Poorly graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines		
fraction < No. 4 sieve size)	SM		Silty sands, sand - silt mixtures		
1 	SC	72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 73 73 73 73	Clayey sands, sand - clay mixtures		
SILTS & CLAYS	l ML		Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands or clayey silts with slight plasticity		
LL < 50	CL		Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays		
1	l OL		Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity		
SILTS & CLAYS	MH		Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts		
LL > 50	CH		Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays		
1 1 1 1 1	I OH		Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silty clays, organic silts		
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS	S l Pt		Peat and other highly organic soils		
DUAL (TRANSITION) SOILS	 		naracterisitics are transitional between the soil ications listed above		

				BOR	ING LOG	
Project No. KEI-P89-0703	3			ing Diam		Logged By JGG W.W. CEG 1633
Project Name 411 West Mad			Wel	l Cover E	Elevation	Date Drilled 11/18/92
Boring No. MW5			Dril Met		Hollow-stem Auger	Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.
Penetration blows/6"	G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Stra grap USC	hy		Description
					Six inches of concrete	e pavement over sand and gravel base.
					Silty clay, estimated a staining in pores.	at 35% silt, moist, black, strong brown
8/13/17		5 -	CL		3/8 inch in diameter, I	at 15% silt, 5% sand, and trace gravel to hard, moist, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) ay (10YR 6/2) mottled, trace pores.
8/11/16		10				at 15-20% clay and 5% fine-grained at pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3), trace pores.
6/10/17		15	ML		pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3 mottling, trace sand a	
10/20/24					and strong brown (7.5	very moist, very pale brown (10YR 7/3) 5YR 5/6) mottled, slightly micaceous.
0.42 %=		20	CL		brown (10YR 5/4) mo	
8/13/25			ML		Clayey silt, estimated moist, pale yellow (2	l at 15% clay and 5-10% sand, hard, very ,5Y 7/3).

	-		BO	RING LOG	h	
Project No.	•	11.12.11.21.	Boring Dia		Logged By JG6 W.W. CE6 1633	
KEI-P89-070	3		Casing Dia	meter 2"	W.W. CEG 1633	
Project Name 411 West Ma	Unocal cArthur B	S/S #3538 lvd., Oakland	Well Cover Elevation		Date Drilled 11/18/92	
Boring No. MW5			Drilling Method	Hollow-stem Auger	Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.	
Penetration blows/6"	G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Strati- graphy USCS		Description	
9/20/36		25	ML	moist, pale yellow (2.5	t 20-25% clay and 5% sand, hard, moist	
13/19/28		30	CL	hard, moist, very pale t	15-20% fine-grained silt and 5% sand, brown (10YR 7/3), trace organic matter. 15% silt, 5-10% sand, and trace gravel, brown (10YR 7/3).	
		35 —		T	OTAL DEPTH: 30'	

PROJECT NAME: .

Unocal S/S #3538, 411 West MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

_WELL NO. .

MW5

PROJECT NUMBER: .

KEI-P89-0703

WELL PERMIT NO.: .

91185

Flush-mounted Well Cover

	H
E	
A C	
F	

A	Total Depth :	30'
-	E C 18:31 1 06*13111	20

B. Boring Diameter: 9"

Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Auger

C. Casing Length: ______30'

Material: Schedule 40 PVC

D. Casing Diameter: OD = 2.375"

ID = 2.067"

E. Depth to Perforations: 13'

F. Perforated Length: 17'

Perforation Type: _____ Machined Slot

Perforation Size: 0.010"

G. Surface Seal: ______9

Seal Material: Neat Cement

H. Seal: ______

Seal Material: Bentonite

I. Filter Pack: 19'

Pack Material: RMC Lonestar Sand

Size: ______

J. Bottom Seal: None

Seal Material: N/A

				BORIN	NG LOG	•
Project No. KEI-P89-0703	3		Boring Casing			Logged By 766 W.W. CEG /633
Project Name 411 West Mad		S/S #3538 Blvd., Oakland	Well Co	over Ele	evation	Date Drilled 11/18/92
Boring No. MW6	,		Drilling Method		Jollow-stem Auger	Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.
Penetration blows/6"	G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Strati- graphy USCS		С	Description
					Fifteen inches of asphal	It pavement.
					Silty clay, estimated at 2 gray.	20% silt and trace sand, moist, very darl
18/30/34		5			Silty clay, estimated at 2 greenish gray (5GY 5/1	20-25% silt and 5% sand, hard, moist,
					gravel to 2 inches in dia	gravel, estimated at 15-20% silt, 15% meter, and 10-15% sand, hard, moist, with strong brown (7.5YR 4/6)
19/23/35		10	CL			15% silt and trace sand, hard, moist,) with slight light yellowish brown
13/22/27		15				20% silt, hard, moist, light yellowish slight light gray (5Y 7/1) staining in ter.
12/18/20		20	ML 1			15% clay and 5-10% very fine-grained ight yellowish brown (10YR 6/4).

			BC	ORING LOG		
Project No. KEI-P89-070	3		Boring D Casing D		Logged By \(\mathcal{T}66\) W.W. \(C\in G \) [633	
Project Name 411 West Ma		S/S #3538 lvd., Oakland	Well Cov	Yell Cover Elevation Date Drilled 11/18/92		
Boring No. MW6	_		Drilling Hollow-stem Method Auger		Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.	
Penetration blows/6"	G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Strati- graphy USCS		Description	
7/10/13		30	ML TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	very moist, light yellov Silty clay, estimated at moist, very pale brown Silty clay, estimated at moist, light yellowish	20-30% slightly elastic silt, very stiff, 20-25% silt and trace gravel, hard,	

PROJECT NAME: .

Unocal S/S #3538, 411 West MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

WELL NO. _____MW6

PROJECT NUMBER: .

KEI-P89-0703

WELL PERMIT NO.: .

91185

Flush-mounted Well Cover

	D		G
1 1 1			
E			Н
A			
C]
F			
		3	J

A. Total Depth: 3

B. Boring Diameter: 9"

Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Auger

C. Casing Length: 30'

Material: Schedule 40 PVC

D. Casing Diameter: OD = 2.375"

ID = 2.067"

E. Depth to Perforations: 13'

F. Perforated Length: 17'

Perforation Type: Machined Slot

Perforation Size: 0.010"

G. Surface Seal: ______9'

Seal Material: Neat Cement

H. Seal: _____2'

Seal Material: Bentonite

I. Filter Pack: 19'

Pack Material: RMC Lonestar Sand


Size: 2/12

J. Bottom Seal: None

Seal Material: N/A

WELL COMPLETION DIAGRAM (SCHEMATIC)

Flush-mounted Well Cover



WELL DETAILS*

- Well will be terminated 10 to 15 feet into the first encountered ground water, unless an aquitard five feet or greater in thickness is encountered below the water table, in which case the bottom of the boring will be backfilled with bentonite pellets and the well terminated at the top of this aquitard [A].
- 2. Boring diameter [B] is 8 inches for 2 inch wells, 10 inches for 4 inch wells, and 12 inches for 6 inch wells.
- 3. Perforated interval [F] will extend from bottom of casing to five feet above the first encountered ground water table (unless water <5 feet deep).
- 4. Schedule 40 PVC casing, 2 inch in diameter [D], will be used. Screen is 0.020 or 0.010 inch factory machined slots, depending on filter pack grain size.
- 5. Filter pack will be placed from bottom of casing to two feet above perforated interval [I]. (Bottom seal [J] is not installed unless required.) One to two feet of bentonite [H] will be placed above the filter pack. Concrete grout [G] will be placed from top of bentonite seal to the surface (unless modified due to shallow water). Blank casing [E] will extend from the top of the perforated casing to the top of the hole.
- 6. The well will be installed with a waterproof cap, padlock and a flush-mounted well cover.
- See text for additional information.

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Drive, Suite 400

Concord, CA 94520 Attention: Mardo Kaprealian, P.E. Client Project ID: Sample Matrix:

Unocal, 411 W. MacArthur Blvd., Oakland Water

Sampled: Received:

Nov 30, 1992 Nov 30, 1992

Analysis Method: EPA 5030/8015/8020

Reported:

Dec 9, 1992

First Sample #:

212-0030

TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit μg/L	Sample I.D. 212-0030 MW-5	Sample I.D. 212-0031 MW-6	Sample I.D. Matrix Blank	
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	50	N.D.	N.D.		
Benzene	0.5	N.D.	N.D.		
Toluene	0.5	N.D.	N.D.		
Ethyl Benzene	0.5	N.D.	N.D.		
Total Xylenes	0.5	N.D.	N.D.		
Chromatogram Pat	tern:	-•			

Quality Control Data

Report Limit Multiplication Factor:	1.0	1.0	1.0
Date Analyzed:	12/3/92	12/3/92	12/3/92
Instrument Identification:	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2
Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)	101	101	100

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Scott A. Chieffo Project Manager Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Drive, Suite 400

Client Project ID: Unocal, 411 W. MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

Concord, CA 94520

Attention: Mardo Kaprealian, P.E. QC Sample Group: 2120030-31

Reported: Dec 9, 1992

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes
	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA
Method:	8015/8020	8015/8020	8015/8020	8015/8020
Analyst:	J.F.	J.F.	J.F.	J.F.
Reporting Units:	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
Date Analyzed:	Dec 3, 1992	Dec 3, 1992	Dec 3, 1992	Dec 3, 1992
QC Sample #:	211-1303	211-1303	211-1303	211-1303
QO Oumpie #.	211-1303	211-1000	211-1000	211-1000
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Online Onne				
Spike Conc. Added:	20	20	20	60
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		5		
Conc. Matrix				
Spike:	22	21	21	66
Matrix Spike				
% Recovery:	110	105	105	110
Conc. Matrix				
Spike Dup.:	21	20	20	63
Matrix Spike				
Duplicate				
% Recovery:	105	100	100	105
•				
Relative				
% Difference:	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.7

Laboratory blank contained the following analytes: None Detected

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

% Recovery:

Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of Sample Spike Conc. Added x 100

Relative % Difference:

Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of M.S.D. (Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2 x 100

Scott A. Chieffo Project Manager

2120030.KEI <2>



KAPREALIAN ENGINEERING, INC.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

SAMPLER						S	ITE NA	HE & ADDRESS		ANALYSES REQUESTED TURN			TURN AROUND TIME:				
Vacthes WITHESSING AGENCY		 	Unocal / Oakland 411 W. MacArthur Blud.						87XE						:	Regular-	
SAMPLE ID NO.	DATE	 	 SOIL	ALEB	 	 COMP	NO. OF	SAMPLI				 	 				REMARKS
MW 5	11/30/92	10:45	 	X	×	 	2.	Monitoring	well	X	 	† 	† 	† 			2120030AB
MW6	11/30/97	11:35 am.	-	i x	i ×	<u> </u>	2 	, 	٦	X					U 03(AB)		
		 	 	 	 	 	¦ 	 		 	 - 	 	 				
		1 	 	 	 	¦ 	 	 		- 	 	 					
		 	1		 	 	 	 					 				1
			 		 	1	 										1
 	 	 	 			<u> </u>	, 	 		 	 	 	<u> </u>				
	ed by: (Si	_	(1/3	Date/I i	ime	 - - - -	Receiv	ed by: (Signatury	30/92	815	for a	na lys	s:				the laboratory accepting samples nalysis been stored in ice?
Relinquish	ed by: (Si	gnature)	· .	0ate/Ti - 42	ma.	i	Receiv	ed by (Signature	e)	! !	_						d until analyzed?
•	Oby: Psi	gnature)	į (ate/Ti	me	4 /	Paceix	ed by: (Signature	e)	3. Did any samples received for analysis have head space?			alysis have head space?				
Relinquish	ed by: (Si	gnature)	_1	- <i>1-92</i>)ate/Ti			Receiv	ed by: (Signature	2)	4. Were samples in appropriate containers and properly p			maly 14 11/30/9				

Kapreallan Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Drive, Suite 400

Concord, CA 94520 Attention: Mardo Kaprealian, P.E.

Client Project ID: Sample Matrix:

First Sample #:

Unocal #3538, Oakland

Sample Matrix: Soil
Analysis Method: EPA 5030/8015/8020

211-1015

Sampled:

Nov 18, 1992 Nov 19, 1992

Received: Reported:

Dec 3, 1992

TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit mg/kg	Sample I.D. 211-1015 MW5-(5)	Sample I.D. 211-1016 MW5-(10)	Sample I.D. 211-1017 MW5-(15)	Sample I.D. 211-1018 MW5-(21)	Sample I.D. 211-1019 MW6-(5)	Sample I.D. 211-1020 MW6-(10)
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Benzene	0.005	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Toluene	0.005	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Ethyl Benzene	0.005	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Total Xylenes	0.005	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Chromatogram Pat	tern:		••				

Quality Control Data

Report Limit Multiplication Factor:	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Date Analyzed:	11/30/92	11/30/92	11/30/92	11/30/92	11/30/92	11/30/92
Instrument Identification:	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2	HP-2
Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)	98	83	96	105	94	92

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Scott A. Chieffo Project Manager Kaprealian Engineering, Inc. 2401 Stanwell Drive, Suite 400

Concord, CA 94520 Attention: Mardo Kapreallan, P.E. Client Project ID: Sample Matrix: Unocal #3538, Oakland Soil Sampled: Received: Nov 18, 1992 Nov 19, 1992

Analysis Method: EPA 5030/8015/8020 First Sample #: 211-1021 Reported:

Dec 3, 1992

Analyte	Reporting Limit mg/kg	Sample I.D. 211-1021 MW6-(15)	Sample I.D. 211-1022 MW6-(19.5)	Sample I.D. Matrix Blank		
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	1.0	N.D.	N.D.			
Benzene	0.005	N.D.	N.D.			
Toluene	0.005	N.D.	N.D.			
Ethyl Benzene	0.005	N.D.	N.D.			
Total Xylenes	0.005	N.D.	N.D.			
Chromatogram Pat	tern:					

TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Quality Control Data

Report Limit Multiplication Factor:	1.0	1.0	1.0
Date Analyzed:	11/30/92	11/30/92	11/30/92
Instrument Identification:	HP-2	HP-2	HP-5
Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)	95	93	96

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Project Manager

Kaprealian Engineering, Inc.

Client Project ID: Unocal #3538, Oakland

2401 Stanwell Drive, Suite 400

Concord, CA 94520

Attention: Mardo Kaprealian, P.E. QC Sample Group: 2111015-22

Reported: Dec 3, 1992

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes
				P** P** A
N. d. m. Adm. m. adm.	EPA	EPA	EPA	EPA
Method:	8015/8020	8015/8020	8015/8020	8015/8020
Analyst:	J.F.	J.F.	J.F.	J.F.
Reporting Units:	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Date Analyzed:	Nov 30, 1992	Nov 30, 1992		Nov 30, 1992
QC Sample #:	211-1022	211-1022	211-1022	211-1022
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Spike Conc.	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.0
Added:	0.40	0.40	0.40	1.2
Conc. Matrix				
Spike:	0.44	0.42	0.42	1.3
Madriy Caika				
Matrix Spike % Recovery:	110	105	105	108
Conc. Matrix Spike Dup.:	0.44	0.42	0.42	1.3
Spike Dup	0.44	0.42	0.42	1.0
Matrix Spike				
Duplicate	440	405	405	400
% Recovery:	110	105	105	108
Relative				
% Difference:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Laboratory blank contained the following analytes: None Detected

SEQUOJA ANALYTICAL

% Recovery: Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of Sample x 100
Spike Conc. Added

Relative % Difference: Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of M.S.D. x 100
(Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2

Scott A. Chieffo Project Manager



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

SAMPLER	1/05	A						e & address (a / # 3538	ANALYSES REQUESTED			ESTED		TURN AROUND TIME:	
WITNESSING A	GENCY	<i>y</i> . v				()no	Cal = 3538 Oakland	1	INK.					Regular
SAMPLE ID NO.	DATE	TIME	SOLF	WATER	GRAB	СОМР	NO. OF CONT.	SAMPLING LOCATION	1 20 +	15.4.20					REMARKS
MWS - (S)	11/18/92		V		V		1	See Sample ID €	V						2111015
MWS-(10)	"		/		V						ļ	ļ			1016
MWS-(15)	"	ļ	<u>/</u>		V				V		<u> </u>				(017
MW5-(21)	4		~		V		1		V						1018
MW6- (5)	4		V		V		1		L/						(019
MW6- (0)	1/		V		V		1								(020)
MW6-65)	11		/		ν		1		V						(021
MW6-(19.5)	1/		V		V			V	$ \nu $						1022
Rel inquished	and by: (Signature) Led by: (Signature) led by: (Signature)			ature) 1/19/92 /555			Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature)				The following MUST BE completed by the laboratory accepting sample for analysis: 1. Have all samples received for analysis been stored in ice? 2. Will samples remain refrigerated until analyzed? 3. Did any samples received for analysis have head space?				d until analyzed?
Relinquished	by: (Sig	inature)	D	ate/Tir	ne	1	leceive	ed by: (Signature)	4. Were samples in appropriate containers and properly packaged? Signature Title Date					3. 1/19/22	

2401 Stanwell Drive, Suite 400 Concord, California 94520 Tel 510 602 5100 Fax 510 687,0602

CONFIDENTIAL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DWR WELL COMPLETION REPORT (WELL LOGS)

REMOVED

SEP-28-92 MON 16:24 ZONE 7 WATER AGENCY FAX NO. 5104623914 copy cornes fole



'PLICANT'S

ALAMEDA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Allen 1strkanian Data 9/23/92


TEL. (510) 602-5103

5997 PARKSIDE DRIVE | PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA 94588 | (510) 484-2600


51991

DRILLING PERMIT APPLICATION

FOR APPLICANT TO COMPLETE	FOR OFFICE USE
CATION OF PROJECT <u>IMMCAL SERVICE STATION#35:</u> 411 WEST MCARTHUR BIVD OAKLAND & C17	BB PERMIT NUMBER 92476 LOCATION NUMBER
TENT ma (1NOCAL (ORPORATION dress POBOX 5/55 Phone(50) 311-234/ ty SAN RAMON, CA Zip 94593	PERMIT CONDITIONS Circled Permit Requirements Apply
PLICANT THE ALLEN ISHKANIAN KAPREALIAN ENGINEERING: INC. dress 3401 STANWELL OR # 400 Phone (510) CO3-5100 ty CONCORD (A ZIP 94536) PE OF PROJECT II Construction General Water Supply Contamination Water Supply Well USE THE STANDARD OTHER TOPOSED WATER SUPPLY WELL USE THE STANDARD OTHER TILLING METHOD: d Rotary Air Rotary Auger Die Other TILLER'S LICENSE NO. C 57 58 6 29	A. GENERAL 1. A parmit application should be submitted so as it arrive at the Zone 7 office five days prior to proposed starting date. 2. Submit to Zone 7 within 60 days after completic of permitted work the original Department of Water Resources Water Well Orillers Report of equivalent for well projects, or drilling log and location sketch for geotechnical projects. 3. Permit is void if project not begun within 9 days of approval date. 8. WATER WELLS, INCLUDING PIEZOMETERS 1. Minimum surface seal thickness is two inches of cement grout placed by tramia. 2. Minimum seal depth is 50 feet for municipal and industrial wells or 20 feet for domestic and irrigation wells unless a lesser depth is specially approved. Minimum seal depth for monitoring wells is the maximum depth practicable or 20 feet.
Drill Hole Diameter 9 in. Maximum Casing Diameter 9 in. Depth 35 it. Surface Seal Depth # tt. Number 9 WELLS * A prox 9 fect abov. Gw surface (Mw5, Mw6) DECHNICAL PROJECTS Number of Borings Maximum Hole Diameter in. Depth 1t.	C. GEOTECHNICAL. Backfill bore hole with compacted cut tings or heavy bentonite and upper two feet with compacted material. In areas of known or suspected contamination, tramied cement grout shall be used if place of compacted cuttings. D. CATHODIC. Fill hole above anode zone with concret placed by tramie. E. WELL DESTRUCTION. See attached.
TIMATED STARTING DATE WILL NOTIFY YOUR OFFICE WHEN TIMATED COMPLETION DATE SANE DAY hereby agree to comply with all requirements of this mit and Alameda County Ordinance No. 73-68.	Approved Wallage Att 111 p. + 28 San 92




Base modified from 7.5 minute U.S.G.S. Oakland West Quadrangle (photorevised 1980)





UNOCAL SERVICE STATION # 3538 411 W. MACARTHUR BOULEVARD OAKLAND, CA

LOCATION MAP





MAJOR DIVISIONS	SYMBO	DLS !	TYPICAL SOIL DESCRIPTIONS
GRAVELS	GW	*****	Well graded gravels or gravel - sand mixtures, little or no fines
I I (More than 1/2 of coarse	GP		Poorly graded gravels or gravel - sand mixtures, little or no fines
fraction > No. 4 sieve size)	GM	7000 7000 7000	Silty gravels, gravel - sand - silt mixtures
1 1 1	GC		Clayey gravels, gravel - sand - clay mixtures
SANDS	I SW		Well graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines
(More than 1/2 of coarse	I SP		Poorly graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines
fraction < No. 4 sieve size)	I SM		Silty sands, sand - silt mixtures
	l SC	9:9:9:5 9:9:9:5 9:9:9:9	Clayey sands, sand - clay mixtures
SILTS & CLAYS	I ML		Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands or clayey silts with slight plasticity
	CL		Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays
			Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
SILTS & CLAYS	1 MH		Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts
	CH		Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays
[OH		Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silty clays, organic silts
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOIL	LS I Pt		Peat and other highly organic soils
DUAL (TRANSITION) SOILS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		haracterisitics are transitional between the soil fications listed above

*				BORI	NG LOG	
Project No. KEI-P89-0703						Logged By TGG W.W. CEG 1633
	roject Name Unocal S/S #3538 Well Cover E 11 West MacArthur Blvd., Oakland		Cover E			
Boring No. MW5			Drill Meth	-	Hollow-stem Auger	Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.
Penetration blows/6"	G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Strat grap USC	hy		Description
					Six inches of concrete	pavement over sand and gravel base.
					Silty clay, estimated a staining in pores.	t 35% silt, moist, black, strong brown
8/13/17		5	CL		3/8 inch in diameter, h	t 15% silt, 5% sand, and trace gravel to nard, moist, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) ay (10YR 6/2) mottled, trace pores.
8/11/16		10				at 15-20% clay and 5% fine-grained, pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3), trace pores.
6/10/17		15	ML			0% clay, very stiff, moist to very moist, i) with slight yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 nd pores.
10/20/24						very moist, very pale brown (10YR 7/3) 5YR 5/6) mottled, slightly micaceous.
10/20/24		20	CL		Silty clay, estimated a brown (10YR 5/4) me	at 35-40% silt, hard, moist, very pale ottled.
8/13/25			ML		Clayey silt, estimated moist, pale yellow (2	i at 15% clay and 5-10% sand, hard, very

		BOR	ING LOG	
Project No. KEI-P89-0703		Boring Diam Casing Dian		Logged By JG6 W.W. CEG 1633
Project Name Unocal 411 West MacArthur B		Well Cover I	Elevation	Date Drilled 11/18/92
Boring No. MW5		Drilling Method	Hollow-stem Auger	Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.
Penetration G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Strati- graphy USCS		Description
9/20/36	30	ML CL	Clayey silt, estimated at very pale brown (10YR) Silty clay, estimated at 1 hard, moist, very pale brown at 1 hard, moist, very pale brown, moist,	20-25% clay and 5% sand, hard, moist, 7/3). 5-20% fine-grained silt and 5% sand, rown (10YR 7/3), trace organic matter. 5% silt, 5-10% sand, and trace gravel.

Į

PROJECT NAME: Unocal S/S #3538, 411 West MacArthur Blvd., Oakland

WELL NO. _____MW5

PROJECT NUMBER:

KEI-P89-0703

WELL PERMIT NO.: .

91185

Flush-mounted Well Cover

١.	Total	Depth	:	30

B. Boring Diameter: 9"

Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Auger

C. Casing Length: 30'

Material: Schedule 40 PVC

D. Casing Diameter: OD = 2.375"

ID = 2.067"

E. Depth to Perforations: 13'

F. Perforated Length: 17'

Perforation Type: Machined Slot

Perforation Size: 0.010"

G. Surface Seal:

Seal Material: Neat Cement

H. Seal:

Seal Material: Bentonite

I. Filter Pack: 19'

Pack Material: RMC Lonestar Sand

Size: 2/12

J. Bottom Seal: None

Seal Material: N/A

-				BORI	NG LOG	
Project No. KEI-P89-0703						Logged By ブGG W.W. <i>CEG /633</i>
Project Name 411 West Mad			Well	Cover E	levation	Date Drilled 11/18/92
Boring No.			Drill Meth		Hollow-stem Auger	Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.
Penetration blows/6"	G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Strat grap USC	hy	D	escription
					Fifteen inches of asphalt	pavement.
	<u>!</u>				Silty clay, estimated at 2 gray.	0% silt and trace sand, moist, very dark
18/30/34	19 19 19 19	5			Silty clay, estimated at 2 greenish gray (5GY 5/1)	0-25% silt and 5% sand, hard, moist,
					gravel to 2 inches in diar	gravel, estimated at 15-20% silt, 15% neter, and 10-15% sand, hard, moist, with strong brown (7.5YR 4/6)
19/23/35		10	CL			5% silt and trace sand, hard, moist, with slight light yellowish brown
13/22/27		15				20% silt, hard, moist, light yellowish slight light gray (5Y 7/1) staining in ter.
12/18/20	<u></u>	20	ML			15% clay and 5-10% very fine-grained ight yellowish brown (10YR 6/4).

			B	ORING LOG	
Project No. KEI-P89-0703			Boring I		Logged By 丁66 W.W. CE6/633
Project Name 411 West Mac	Unocal S Arthur Bl	S/S #3538 vd., Oakland		er Elevation	Date Drilled 11/18/92
Boring No. MW6	Boring No. Drilling			Hollow-stem Auger	Drilling Company Woodward Drilling Co.
	G. W. level	Depth (feet) Samples	Strati- graphy USCS		Description
7/10/13		25	ML	very moist, light yellov	20-30% slightly elastic silt, very stiff,
8/15/21		30	CL		20-25% silt and trace gravel, hard,
		35 —			TOTAL DEPTH: 30'

Unocal S/S #3538, 411 West MacArthur Blvd., Oakland PROJECT NAME: .

WELL NO. .

MW6

PROJECT NUMBER:

KEI-P89-0703

WELL PERMIT NO .: .

91185

Flush-mounted Well Cover

١.	Total	Depth	:	30

B. Boring Diameter:

Hollow Stem Auger Drilling Method:

C. Casing Length:

Schedule 40 PVC Material:

OD = 2.375" D. Casing Diameter:

ID = 2.067"

13' E. Depth to Perforations: __

F. Perforated Length: ____

Perforation Type: _____ Machined Slot

0.010" Perforation Size:

G. Surface Seal:

Neat Cement Seal Material:

H. Seal:

Bentonite Seal Material:

19' I. Filter Pack:

> RMC Lonestar Sand Pack Material:

2/12 Size: _____


None J. Bottom Seal:

N/A

Seal Material:

(SCHEMATIC)

Flush-mounted Well Cover



WELL DETAILS*

- 1. Well will be terminated 10 to 15 feet into the first encountered ground water, unless an aquitard five feet or greater in thickness is encountered below the water table, in which case the bottom of the boring will be backfilled with bentonite pellets and the well terminated at the top of this aquitard [A].
- 2. Boring diameter [B] is 8 inches for 2 inch wells, 10 inches for 4 inch wells, and 12 inches for 6 inch wells.
- 3. Perforated interval [F] will extend from bottom of casing to five feet above the first encountered ground water table (unless water <5 feet deep).
- 4. Schedule 40 PVC casing, 2 inch in diameter [D], will be used. Screen is 0.020 or 0.010 inch factory machined slots, depending on filter pack grain size.
- 5. Filter pack will be placed from bottom of casing to two feet above perforated interval [I]. (Bottom seal [J] is not installed unless required.) One to two feet of bentonite [H] will be placed above the filter pack. Concrete grout [G] will be placed from top of bentonite seal to the surface (unless modified due to shallow water). Blank casing [E] will extend from the top of the perforated casing to the top of the hole.
- 6. The well will be installed with a waterproof cap, padlock and a flush-mounted well cover.
- See text for additional information.