



GeoStrategies Inc.

2:27 pm, Mar 26, 2009

Alameda County
Environmental Health

FILE # 5325 SS X BP

BPT ____OM _____TRANSMITTAL _____

July 26, 1993

UNOCAL Corporation 1201 West 5th Street Los Angeles, California 90051

Attn:

Mr. E. H. Everett

Re:

Site Facility Status

UNOCAL Service Station No. 5325

3220 Lakeshore Avenue

Oakland, California

Mr. Everett:

This letter provides a status summary of the remedial activities that have taken place at the above referenced location.

In June 1990, GeoStrategies Inc. (GSI) observed the replacement of two 10,000-gallon gasoline with two 12,000-gallon gasoline USTs. The 120-gallon waste oil was replace by a 550-gallon waste oil UST. Approximately 850 cubic yards of soil was excavated and removed.

In September 1990, GSI installed groundwater monitoring wells U-1, U-2 and U-3.

Currently, there are three groundwater monitoring wells (U-1, U-2 and U-3) at this site. On February 22, 1993, Gettler-Ryan Inc. collected groundwater samples from wells U-1, U-2 and U-3. Wells U-1 and U-2 contained benzene concentrations that exceeded the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL). Well U-3 did not contain detectable concentrations of Benzene, Toluene, Ethylebenzene and Xylenes (BTEX). Attached is a copy of the latest Quarterly Monitoring Report, dated June 18, 1993.

:814tax.itr

GeoStrategies Inc.

UNOCAL Corproation July 26, 1993 Page 2

We anticipate that the quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling will continue for approximately five years from this date. The estimated cost associated with remedial activities and quarterly groundwater sampling upon the project's completion is \$150,000.

If you have questions or comments, please call me at (510)352-4800 ext. 284.

GeoStrategies Inc. by,

Cli∦f\M. Garratt Project Manager

CC:

Mr. Al Dew - Los Angeles, W/O Assessment Report

Mr. Adadu Yemane - San Ramon, W/O Assessment Report



VO-FILE XC-TJT RLM RLF DHH CCR

San Ramon, California September 4, 1990

C. R. WARNOCK

205375 🔻 🔀

ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP PC-03-448
UNOCAL SERVICE STATION NO. 5325
3220 LAKESHORE AVENUE
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

During a recent tank replacement job at the subject facility, extensive soil contamination was encountered in the area surrounding the product tank pit. The contamination appeared to be the result of past overfill of the tank, since recent inventory reconciliations were within acceptable limits. The tank pit was over-excavated as required by the Alameda County Health Agency (ACHA). Confirmatory samples collected from the limits of the excavation indicate that the majority of the contaminated soil was effectively removed.

Approximately 1150 tons of soil was excavated from the pit. Of this total, 750 tons required disposal at a Class I landfill because of the hazardous (>1000 ppm) levels of contamination detected in samples collected from the stockpile. The remaining 400 tons of contaminated soil were aerated on site and disposed at a Class III landfill.

In accordance with ACHA and CRWQCB regulations, a groundwater contamination assessment will be conducted to determine if there has been any impact to groundwater. The need for any further work will be assessed following completion of this initial investigation.

TENANCY:

FEE OWNED

FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY:

UNOCAL

REMEDIAL ACTION:

CONTAMINATED SOIL WAS OVER-EXCAVATED FROM THE TANK PIT. SOIL WAS DISPOSED AT BOTH CLASS I AND CLASS III LANDFILLS, DEPENDING ON THE LEVELS OF CONTAMINATION PRESENT. A GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION ASSESSMENT WILL BE CONDUCTED AS REQUIRED BY THE LOCAL REGULATORY AGENCIES.

A MARKETING

FFB 5 - 1991

CHRISTINA MENDOZA

DEC 2 0 1990

American Services of Services and

C. R. Warnock Environmental Cleanup PC-03-448 September 4, 1990 Page two

ENVIRONMENTAL EXPENDITURES TO DATE:

Contractor Ext	ras	
----------------	-----	--

Additional excavation of soil; additional backfill; additional paving and concrete required due to over-excavation; aeration of contaminated soil; loading soil for disposal

Soil Disposal/Transportation

750 tons soil to tons @ \$140/ton)	Class I	\$105,000.00
400 tons soil to tons @ \$20/ton)	Class III	\$8,000.00

Consultant Costs

Coordination with regulatory agencies; \$21,000.00 collecting and analyzing samples from excavation and from stockpile; coordinating stockpile disposal; preparation of technical reports; preparation of work plan prior to tank replacement

Sub-Total \$177,000.00

ADDITIONAL ANTICIPATED EXPENDITURES

Consultant Costs

Drill and install three monitoring wells; \$30,000.00 develop and sample wells and prepare report summarizing investigation; sample/analyze wells quarterly for one year

Total \$207,000.00

MARKETING FEB 5 - 1991

\$43,000.00

C. R. Warnock Environmental Cleanup PC-03-448 September 4, 1990 Page three

Approval in the amount of \$207,000 is requested to cover the expenses to date and proceed with the groundwater investigation as required by the RWQCB and the ACHA.

T. J. TOMASSO

TJT/RLF/REB

APPROVED:

F. L. WALKER

cc: S. E. Hayes

D. H. Heil

C. C. Row

T. R. Ross

R. L. Folda

J. E. Mason

E. E. Adams

EMPLOYEENON EMPL	nvee ! Kon Ai	LD E. BOCK	355- 2303	98/24/9	.
NUMBER OF INJURIES:	AEPORT SUBMITTED		TWORK TELEPHONE	ÜATE	
NUMBER OF IN DIOLOG	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
QUANTITY SPILLED (IN RARBEI CO		-			
BUSINESS INTERRUPTION/PRODL	JCTION LOSS COST . \$		TOTAL LOSS S 📿	U J.C.C.C	
	,			NTATIO	
COST FOR MISDELIVERY/CONTAI	MINATION (RECLAMATION, CHARGE-O	FF, ETC.)	······	·	
ENVIRONMENTAL LOSS COST: FIL	NES		<u>.</u> .		
Characteristics (CE	.EAN-UP		s <i>C</i>	<i>१८७.००</i> ०	δ
ENVIRONMENTAL LOCAL ACT	FAN UP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
COST OF LOST PRODUCT		ENTY OR EUDIPMENT		<u>_</u>	
REPLACEMENT/REPAIR COST OF	DAMAGE TO COMPANY-OWNER PROPER	FINAL COST ESTIMATED C	OST (ADVISE FINA	AL COST AS SOON	AS POSSIBLE
OST MUST REFLECT NOCAL'S WORKING INTEREST	* _	Sinoi anno			"
806 - MATCH, SMOKING, ETC.	812 = ELECTRICAL	817 = COMPRESSION IGNITION 818 = UNKNOWN			801
804 = Flarie 805 = Open Flame	810 = SPONTANEOUS IGNITION 811 = SPONTANEOUS AUTO IGNITION	815 = SPREAD FROM OUTSIDE 816 = CHEMICAL REACTION			۵-
803 = WELDING, CUTTING	808 = STATIC ELECTRICITY 809 = FRICTION	814 - VANDALISM SABOTAGE	819 = ENGINES 899 = OTHER		
801 = NOT APPLICABLE 802 = HOT SURFACE	OF IGNITION OR MOST PROBABLE SOI	URCE 813 = COLLISION			
FOR FIRES - PROBABLE SOMEONE	OE ICHITION OF MORE		The United		
703 = EQUIPMENT LEAKAGE	705 = HUMAN ERROR 706 = VANDALISM, SABOTAGE	708 - OUTSIDE SOURCE 709 - ONKNOWN	Dast overful		799
701 - NOT APPLICABLE 702 - EQUIPMENT FAILURE OR DAMAGE	/V4 = SAFETY DEVICE (E.G. HELDER WALLES)	707 = IMPROPER USE OF HYDROCARBONS	_ 07074	ĺ	
PROBABLE SOURCE OF COMMODIT	Y NO CONTAMINANT INVESTIGAT		<u> </u>		
609 = TVBES	617 = MEMBICIDES 618 = PESTICIDES	629 WATER/WASTE WATER 632 SMOKE EMISSIONS		 .	604
607 - HEATING CIL/DIESEL 608 - LNG	616 - CAUSTIC	626 ≈ GREASE 628 ≈ HAZARDOUS WASTE	699 = OTHER	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
605 = JET FUEL 606 = KERDSENE	614 = CHEMICALS 615 = HYDROGEN	622 = VAPORS 625 = WAX	650 = AMMONIA 651 = UREA		
604 - GASOLINE	612 = WELL BRINE 613 = SOLVENTS	821 = FEEDSTOCK	635 = TAILINGS 636 = ORES		
602 = CRUOF/CONDENSATE 603 = LPG/PROPANE	610 = NATURAL GAS 611 = FERTILIZER	619 w Hydrogen Sulphide 620 w Reformate	634 = DUST		
COMMODITY OR CONTAMINANT IN 601 - NOT APPLICABLE	IVOLVED				
	510 = ORILLING/WORKOVER	···-			
504 = MAINT, REPAIRS 505 = LOADING/FILLING	509 - CONSTRUCTION	515 = UNATTENDED 517 = TESTING		·	504
502 = NORMAL OPERATION 503 = SHUTTING DOWN	507 = CLOSED/IDLE 508 = BLENDING	514 = START-UP	599 w OTHER		
201 = 1080080000	OF INCIDENT 506 = UNI QAUING	511 = TEMPORARY OPERATION 512 = WATER DRAW-OFF	59 0 _ 07005		
PHASE OF OPERATIONS AT TIME		resoural PHOPERTY		<u> </u>	
413 = WAREHOUSE	425 = DRILLING RIG 426 = AUTO CUSTODY	438 = PARKING AREAS, DRIVEWAYS ETC 439 = PERSONAL PROPERTY		<u></u>	401
411 = TANKER/BARGE LOADING 412 = TANK TRUCK, TRAILER	424 = COMPRESSOR	435 - SER STA EDUIP (NOT PUMPS) 437 - TRAI/MONEY	OTHER		
409 = PUMPING EQUIP 410 = TANK CAR LOADING RACK	422 = WELL 423 = OIL TREATER	435 = LIGHTS & LIGHT project	449 # TOTAL LOSS OF FACT	LITY	
408 - WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY	420 = ROTATING/MOVING EQUIP 421 = AIRCRAFT	433 = CRANE 434 = OFFICE FQUIP	445 = BAGHDUSE 448 = CONTRACT CARRIED		
406 = AMMONIA MFG , MACH, & EQUIP, 407 = UREA MFG , MACH, & EQUIP.	419 = SIGNS	431 = HOT MELT MFG. MACH. & EQUIP 432 = POLYMER MFG. MACH. & EQUIP	444 = VAPQA RECOVERY UI	T. EIG. Vit	
404 = PIPELINE 405 = TRUCK LDADING RACK	417 = SERV STA PUMP 418 = SERV. STA CANDRY	430 = PIER/DOCK/WHADE	442 = SCRUBBER 443 = FENCES, SHAUBBER		
403 = PROCESS UNIT	415 = VEHICLE 416 = AIRCRAFT REFUELER	428 = LABORATORY 429 = SEPARATOR	440 = EQUIPMENT/MATERI 441 = TANK ROOFS; SUNK.	ALS/TOOLS /HUNG UP	
402 = BUILDING	414 - TANK CAR	427 = FERTILIZEH MACH & EUBIP	440 = 5000000000000000000000000000000000		
SPECIFIC FACILITY COMPONENT.	/EQUIPMENT INITIALLY INVOLVED	T-0. LOTED UNDG/ALCOHOL ABUSE			3-1-1
309 - HOUSEKEEPING	317 = AUTO CONTROL FAILURE 318 ⇒ BEARING/SEAL FAILURE	328 - CRIMINAL ACT 329 - Suspected Drug/Alcohol Abuse			399
307 = FLECTRICAL MAINT. 308 = INSTRUMENT MAINT.	316 + ELECT SHORT CIRCUIT	EQUIPMENT FAILURE 327 = MACH./EQUIP MALFUNCTION	past overfil	l of tanks	
305 - PROCEDURE NOT AVAILABLE 306 - MECHANICAL MAINT	314 = CAUSE SEYONO CONTROL 315 = HUMAN ERROR	322 = LACK OF CONTAINMENT 326 = POLLUTION CONTROL	399 = 07HFR		
303 = PROCEDURE-NOT FOLLOWED 304 = PROCEDURE—INADEQUATE	312 = UTILITY FAILURE 313 = NATURAL DISASTER	321 = WEATHER	33? = VANDALISM 332 = SABOTAGE		
302 = INSTRUMENTATION	310 = CDRROSIÓN 311 = PIPE/WELDING FAILURE	319 = Damage by Others 320 = Freezing/ICE/Snow	330 ■ ARSON		
PROBABLE CAUSE OF INCIDENT					
	214 - WATER QUALITY CONTROL	225 = ICE/SNOW/RAIN			209
206 = MACHINERY FAILURE 207 = ELECTRICAL	212 = PROPERTY DAMAGE OTHER	223 = FLOOD 224 = EQUIP DAMAGE/LOSS			200
204 = VEHICLE (ORD PARTY) 205 = PIER DAMAGE BY VESSEL	201 ≂ 52°D — MSIDE FARILITY	222 - ARMED ROBBERY	299 = OTHER		
203 = WINDSTORM	209 ★ CONTAMINATION 210 ★ SAILL—OUTSIDE FACELITY	220 = EMPLOYEE FIDELITY 221 = THEFT	227 = LEAK: LINE, TANK. 228 = WELL BLOWOUT		
201 = FIRE/EXPLOSION 202 = LIGHTNING	208 - PRODUCT MISDELIVERY	215 - POTENTIAL FIRE	04-		
TYPE OF INCIDENT			<u> </u>		100
108 - SERVICE STATION	116 = PIPELINE PUMP STATION	123 = FIELD STORAGE YARO (O&G) 124 = WAREHOUSE			108
107 = FERTILIZER PLANT	113 - ONSHORE LEASE 114 - OFFSHORE PLATFORM	122 = OFFICE BUILDING	199 ± OTHER	····	
104 = CHEMICAL PLANT 105 = TERMINAL	111 = AIACRAFT 112 = GAS PLANT	120 = PIPELINE 121 = RESEARCH CENTER	127 = UNOCAL CENTER		
100 - BUCK FLAMI		118 = DRE PROCESSING FACILITY	127 - 111000-		
102 = AEFINERY 103 = BULK PLANT	109 = AUTO STOP 110 = POLYMEN FACILITY	117 = LUBE PLANT	125 = OFFSITE		

DATE

08/23/90

415-277-2302

表示 医型化物 医肾髓

DIVISION, OR COMPANY

WESTERN MARKETING NORTHERN DIVISION

Authority Formenditure Unocal Refining & Markang Division

UNOCAL®

AFE NUMBER	
03-90-6368	
DATE PREPARED	
10/25/00	

AFE TITLE (NOT TO EXCEED 60 CHARACTERS INCLUDIN	UC EDACEC)				
ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP					
	ATION ADDRESS			4887	FIGNIAL AUTHOR/747-5
	UNOCAL SERVICE		v #5325		FIONAL AUTHORIZATION
LAAC DETURN Yal	3220 LAKESHORE OAKLAND, CALIF			ORIGINAL AUTHORIZA	ATION \$
INVESTMENT THIS PROPOSAL N/A %	,			ADDITIONAL COST	\$
ESTIMATED MONTHS TO				TOTAL	
COMPLETE				AUTHORIZA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WRITE OFF OF ORIGINAL INVESTMENT	YES NO	CAPITAL BUDGET	LAND)	FACILITIES
BUDGETED YES NO	CANCELLATION	REPORT CODES		<u> </u>	
X		ENVIRON- MENTAL DOLLARS	AIR c		ATER LAND & OTHER
MATERIAL TRANSFER OR EXCHANGE OF ASSETS		DOLLARD	\$	\$	\$
CLASSIFICATION OF INVESTIGATION OF INVES		LEASE OR FEN	TAL AGREEMENT		VES X NO
	C. NECESSARY		SING, TELECOMMU SED PROCESS CON		
	D. REQUIRED E. EXPERIMENTAL / OTHER	DETAILED COS	T ESTIMATE		ATTACHED
EXPENDITURE JUSTIFICATION (INCLUDES PROJECT DES	SCRIPTION AND ECONOMIC JUSTIFIC	ATION)			
CLEAN-UP AT THE AB PC FOR A DETAILED A THOROUGH REVIEW LEAKS HAVE OCCURED PER POLICY MEMO DA THE CRITERIA TO BE DIVISION LEVEL.	DESCRIPTION AND OF THE DIVISION WITHIN THE PASTED APRIL 26,	D COST E N'S RECO ST SIX Y 1990, TH	ESTIMATE) DRDS INDI YEARS. HIS EXPEN	CATE T	PHAT NO
	_				FEB 5 - 1 901
TENANCY: FEE OWNE	D				And the second second second
APPROVED DATE	/		AMOUNT REQ	UESTED	
1.f. Joman 11/13	190 CAPITAL				
APPROVIO DATE	LAND			\$ _	·
<u> </u>	FACILITIE	S 22326		\$ _	
APPROVED DATE	EXPENSE /30	26 2	920-367-	51 \$ _	207,000.00
	ACCOUNTS RE	CEIVABLE		💲 _	
APPROVED : DATE	OTHER			· \$ _	,
1				\$ _	207,000.00
Killy Subil	MEMO: INVEN	TORY CHANGE		\$ _	
RESIDENT LINOCAL REFUING & MARKETING DIVISION	/ DATE / EXECUTIVE COMMIT	TEE	DATE	REF	COMPTROLLER'S INITIAL & MKT'G CORPORATE
	<u> </u>				

	AFE DOCUMENTATION	N CRITERIA AND APPROVALS	·
	DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS	APPROVERS (PRINT OR TYPE NAME, THEN INITIAL)	DATE
1.	Proposals Requiring an ROI or Present Worth Calculation – DCFROI and Present Worth Calculations consistent with the Corporate Planning and Development ROI Handbook, including financial assumptions.		
		APPROVED BY	
2.	Lease or Rental Agreements in Excess of \$1,000 per month and Expected to Continue Beyond One Year — Review by Refining & Marketing Division Planning & Economics. (Excludes service station sites and facilities.)	APPROVED BY	
3.	Data Processing, Telecommunications, and Computer Based Process Control Equipment — Major additions, deletions, and replacements require review by Unocal Refining & Marketing Division V.P. Planning & Administration (See AFE instructions for criteria.)	APPROVED BY	
		ATTRIOUED DT	
4.	Review by Refining & Marketing Division Planning & Economics		
	In excess of \$ 1 million – ROI review In excess of \$ 2 million – Risk Analysis review In excess of \$10 million – Review of effect on Corporate Earnings	APPROVED BY	
5.	Original Supporting Documentation will be Maintained at:		
	Department MAINTENANCE & CONSTRUCTION		
	Office Location SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA		11/13/90
	File Name E-5325-ENV REB	APPROVED BY T. J. TOMASSO	1////3/10
6.	Final Approval Signature Verifies that all required documentation has been accomplished.	APPROVED BY F. L. WALKER	

UNOCAL®

r2 # 2392

From the desk of **Tim Ross**

SHOULD BE CHARGED AT THE ROM LEVEL, BUT THE DIVISION'S DELAY IN SUBMITTING THE PROPER PAPER-WORK WILL PREVENT THE 1990 CHARGES FROM BEING EXPENSED AT THAT LEVEL.

THE CLEANUP PROPOSAL INCLUDES
FUNDING TO PAY FOR THE SOIL
EXCAVATION, TREATMENT, AND DISPOSAL
WORK THAT HAS ALREADY TAKEN
PLACE. IT ALSO INCLUDES FUNDING
TO PERFORM AN INITIAL GROUND—
WATER INVESTIGATION. THIS INVESTIGATION IS REQUIRED BY
THE REGIONAL WATER BOARD.

\$177,000 \$\improx HAS BEEN SPENT TO DATE. AN ADDITIONAL \$30,000 \$\improx 15 REQUESTED TO PAY FOR THE GROWD-WATER INVESTIGATION, FOR A TOTAL

UNOCAL®

⁷2 # 2392

From the desk of Tim Ross

OF \$207,000 00 NO FUNDING HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN APPROVED,

I WAS CONCERNED AROUT THE LARGE AMOUNT OF SOIL EXCAUATED AND THE LARGE AMOUNT OF SOIL HAULED TO A CLASS I (HAZARDOUS) WASTE DISPOSAL SITE. HOWEVER, DIVISION PERSONNEL INFORMED ME THAT THE SOIL HAD TO BE REMEDIATED, OR A PLAN HAD TO BE APPROVED TO REMEDIATE IT. BEFORE THE TK RPL COULD CONTINUE. THE DIVISION REVIEWED ALL AVAILABLE SOIL REMEDIATION PROCESSES AND CONCLUDED THAT EXCAVATION WAS THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE, THE DIVISION NORMALLY AERATES AS MUCH CONTAMINATION SOIL AS ALLOWED BY THE REGULATORY AGENCIES

FEB 5 - 199 From the desk of Tim Ross

GENERAL FRES

S. E. HAYES

SCOTT-

DEC 19 1990

MARKETING ENGINEERING HAS REVIEWED THE ATTACHED CLEANUP PROPOSAL FOR THE SUBJECT SERVICE STATION IN OAKLAND. THE STATION THE SITE OF A TANK REPLACEMENT EARLIER THIS YEAR. UPON REMOVAL OF THE TANKS, EXTENSIVE SOIL CONTAMINATION WAS ENCOUNTERED. THE REMOVAL OF THE MOST HIGHLY -CONTAMINATED SOIL WAS REQUIRED BY THE ALAMEDA CO. HEALTH AGENCY BEFORE THE TANK RE-PLACEMENT COULD CONTINUE. THE CONTAMINATED SOIL HAS BEEN REMOVED, THE TANKS WERE REPLACED, AND THE STATION IS BACK IN OPERATION.

UNOCAL®

LS # 539S

From the desk of **Tim Ross**

A 966M AUDIT WAS PERFORMED BY THE DIVISION AND IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THERE WAS NO DISCREPANCY IN THE DEALER'S BOOKS. A SEARCH OF THE STATION FILES ALSO FOUND NO EVIDENCE OF PAST LEAKS. THE CONTAMINATION HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO HAVE BEEN A RESULT OF NORMAL OPERATION OF THE STATION. (WITH THE AMOUNT OF CONTAMINATION FOUND, I BELIEVE THAT A LEAK DID OCCUR AT SOME POINT, BUT THAT RECORDS OF IT WERE NOT KEPT.). FOR THESE REASONS, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THE DEALER HAS NO FINAN-CIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CLEANUP, AND THE CLEANUP SHOULD BE CHARGED AT THE ROM DIVISION LEVEL. I AGREE THAT THE CLEANUP

UNOCAL®

LS #5305

From the desk of

HOWEVER, THE CONTAMINATION LEVEL OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF THE SOIL WAS ABOVE THE UMITS ALLOWED FOR AERATION, SO THE SOIL HAD TO BE DISPOSED OF AS A HAZARDOUS WASTE.

MARKETING ENGINEER RECEMMENDS
THAT THIS CLEANUP PROPOSAL
BE APPROVED BY MANAGEMENT.

STRR

IMAI(ETILIG FEB 5 - 1991

Comment of the

-

LJ 5 - 1901

Annual of the

SCOTT HAYES

FIELDING -

From the desk of:

THIS IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARI-UP FUNDING REQUEST IN THE AMOUNT OF 207,000. THE DIVISION HAS ALREADY SPENT 177,000 OF THAT AMOUNT — THIS WAS NECESSARY IN ORDER TO CAMPLETE A TANK RE-PLACEMENT LOB AND GET THE STATION BACK IN OPERATION AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. THE REMAINING FUNDING WILL GO TOWARDS THE GROUND WATER INVESTIGATION THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE YER THE GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS.

MARKETING ENGINEERING HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE PROCEDURES AND TECHNOLOGY'S TO BE EMPLOYED ON THIS PROJECT.

EVEN THOUGH THE DIVISION HAS ALREADY SPENT MONEY IN THIS CASE, I BELIEVE IT IS WELL JUSTIFIED SINCE THEY WERE ATTEMPTING TO MINIMIZE THE STANON CLOSURY TIME.

I RECOMMEND APPROVED AND SIGNATURE. SECTION