

May 3, 2002



Mr. Barney Chan Alameda County Health Care Services 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502

#### Subject: Groundwater Treatment & Closure Summary Report 625 Hegenberger Road Oakland, California AEI Project No. 4342

Dear Mr. Chan:

Enclosed is a copy of our report of the groundwater treatment and closure request for the project at the above referenced site.

Please let me know if you would like me to send a copy of the report to your counterpart and the RWQCB for their review and concurrence.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our closure request. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please don't hesitate to contact either Joe Derhake (310/798-4255) or myself at (925/283-6000).

the Sincerely,

Peter McIntyre Project Geologist rentment from 6/01 - 2/02 only 1 montining after end of treatment in 4/02

MW8, MW 11, EW-01, MW-16

			TPHO		
n	ion events dur	my treat	ent MW8	MWII	Ew-1
G	init 5/31	101	14,000	280	3100
_	final 4/81	lor	32000	86	015
Z	8/10	101	4400	300	210
3	9/25/	01	2100		
Ð	12/14	1/01	1800	250	2400



May 3, 2002

#### GROUNDWATER TREATMENT AND SITE CLOSURE SUMMARY REPORT

625 Hegenberger Road Oakland, California

AEI Project No. 4342

Prepared For

Diversified Investment and Management Corporation 400 Oyster Point Boulevard South San Francisco, CA 94080

Prepared By

AEI Consultants 3210 Old Tunnel Road, Suite B Lafayette, CA 94549 (925) 283-6000



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION
2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND
3.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY
4.0 RECEPTOR SURVEY
5.0 TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN AND INSTALLATION
6.0 System Operation
7.0 TESTING AND RESULTS
8.0 EXPOSURE PATHWAY ANALYSES
9.0 CLOSURE SUMMARY
10.0 Report Limitations and Signatures

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1	SITE LOCATION MAP
FIGURE 2	SITE MAP WITH DEEP WELL LOCATIONS
FIGURE 3	Site Plan
FIGURE 4	WATER TABLE CONTOURS: 8/9/00
FIGURE 5	WATER TABLE CONTOURS: 5/31/01
FIGURE 6	WATER TABLE CONTOURS: 4/8/02
FIGURE 7	TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPONENT LOCATIONS
FIGURE 8	WELL COMPLETION SCHEMATIC
FIGURE 9	HYDROCARBON CONCENTRATIONS: SAMPLING EVENT 4/8/02
FIGURE 10	HYDROCARBONS VS. TIME: MW-8
Figure 11	HYDROCARBONS VS. TIME: MW-11
FIGURE 11	HYDROCARBONS VS. TIME: EW-01
FIGURE 12	Photographs

#### LIST OF TABLES

- TABLE 1WATER TABLE ELEVATION DATATABLE 2GROUNDWATER QUALITY PARAMETERS
- TABLE 3
   GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL DATA
- TABLE 4SAMPLE ANALYTICAL DATA: AEI B-28

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX AWell Field Sampling Forms: 5/31/01 & 4/8/02APPENDIX BLaboratory Reports - Sampling Events: 5/31/01 Through 4/8/02

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

AEI Consultants (AEI) has prepared this report on behalf of Diversified Investment Management Group for the property located at 625 Hegenberger Road in Oakland, California (Figure 1: Site Location Map). This report documents the groundwater treatment program completed at the site and presents arguments for final site closure as it relates to the fuel hydrocarbon release. The project described herein has been designed and implemented under the jurisdiction of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA).

Case closure is being requested for this site based on the following conclusions drawn of approximately 9 years of sampling data and research.

- The majority of hydrocarbons were removed from the impacted soil in the vadose zone during the 1996 soil excavation and treatment activities.
- A significant decrease in dissolved phase hydrocarbons was observed within the source area following the soil treatment and during and following the recently completed groundwater treatment program.
- Remaining dissolved phase hydrocarbons are localized to the immediate former source area, with no detectable to very low concentrations in down-gradient and outlying wells. The plume was shown to be limited vertically directly beneath the source area, with hydrocarbon concentrations decreasing by nearly two orders of magnitude by only 20 feet below the water table and evidence of a regional confining layer present below this.
- Survey of nearby groundwater use and surface waters did not reveal any groundwater resources or ecological receptors that may be threatened by the minimal mass of hydrocarbons remaining in the localized former source area.
- No complete human exposure pathways currently exist, nor is it expected that risk screening levels would be exceeded if commercial development and land use occurred at the site.

## 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

In October 1993, three underground gasoline storage tanks (12,000 gallons each), one 260 gallon waste oil tank, and related structures were removed from the site under the observation of Levine Fricke. Approximately 300 cubic yards (cy) of soil was excavated during the tank removal. Levine Fricke and Subsurface Consultants performed several shallow soil borings and installed six groundwater monitoring wells at the site. Results of the comprehensive soil investigation indicated that hydrocarbon contamination was present in elevated levels at the site.

The quarterly monitoring of the six monitoring wells was performed by Levine Fricke through January 1995. AEI began monitoring the wells in October 1995. In March 1996, AEI destroyed one of the wells (designated MW-24) in anticipation of excavation activities.

AEI excavated and aerated 1,600 cubic yards of contaminated soil in the spring and summer of 1996 as detailed in AEI's report, *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment*, dated March 3, 1997. The excavation extended through the capillary fringe, to approximately 5 to 7 feet below ground surface (bgs). Figure 3 shows the areas excavated. It was concluded after the excavation activities that the majority of the impacted soil was removed and treated. However, TPH as gasoline, benzene, and MTBE remained in the dissolved phase in significant concentrations in the shallow groundwater. Please refer to Table 3 for a history of groundwater quality data.

The excavation was backfilled with pea gravel through the capillary fringe to approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  foot above static groundwater. The remainder of the excavation was filled with the treated soil.

On hold (P hold (P won to grow to the opposition of the section plume to be used as an exit- on won to grow to the section of the section plume to be used as an exit-

In June 2000, two additional groundwater monitoring wells (MW-26 and MW-27) were installed on the western end of the property (Figure 3). The wells were constructed of 2" diameter well casing, screened from 5 to 15 feet bgs. Historical groundwater sample analytical results are presented in Table 3.

A determined of the first of the part of the hydrocarbon plume. Refer to Table 4 for laboratory analytical results.

## **3.0 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY**

According to logs of borings advanced by AEI, the near surface sediments beneath the site consist of silty and sandy clay to approximately 10 feet bgs. First encountered static groundwater exists beneath the site between 5 and 6.5 feet bgs. The water bearing deposits in this shallow saturated zone consist of clay with fine to medium sand with angular clasts up to 2 cm in size. Sand increases with depth to approximately 16 feet bgs.

The deeper soil boring revealed silty clay mud below 16 feet. Sands and sub-angular to angular gravel increase with depth to approximately 37 feet bgs below which stiff, tight clay was

encountered. Refusal conditions were encountered at 44.5 feet bgs. The presence of a elay equitard in this depth range was confirmed during a review of deep borings performed at the Ouldard Conseam Complex.

Water level measurements were collected from the eight wells in order to estimate the groundwater flow direction. Water table contours were plotted using the Surfer<sup>TM</sup> (v. 7.0) program. Please refer to Figures 4 through 6 for the results of these plots for the monitoring episodes of August 9, 2000; May 31, 2001; and April 8, 2002. These plots reveal a complicated water table, however within the source area a westerly or northwesterly flow direction is observed. Well MW

**(1)**. The groundwater contours and flow direction shown throughout the source area are consistent with historical flow directions, which were obtained prior to installation of MW-26. Water table elevations are summarized in Table 1. A rose diagram summarizing groundwater flow directions is presented on Figure 3.

#### 4.0 RECEPTOR SURVEY

A survey of deep wells within ½ mile radius of the site was performed at the Department of Water Resources (DWR) in Sacramento. Additionally, information was provided to AEI by ACHCSA regarding several reportedly abandon well field in the site area. Please refer to the following table for information on the wells identified and to Figure 2 for their locations.

Location	Site ID #	Distance (feet)	Direction	Depth (feet)	Screen Interval	Use
Fitchburg well group (20 wells?)	1	~ 2,500	Northwest	NA	NA	Municipal
Damon well group	2	~ 4,500	North	NA	NA	Municipal
Oakland Coliseum (11 wells)	3	1,000 - 2,500	Northwest	70-112	78 – 98	Observation
7825 San Leandro Street (1 well)	4	1,250	Northeast	510	324 – 479	Industrial
550 85 <sup>th</sup> Avenue (2 wells?)	6	1,850	Southeast	448	130 - 240	Industrial

Exhibit 1: Nearby Wells

Site # were assigned arbitrarily.

NA - Information not available

Although the screened interval of the Fitchburg and Damon well groups were not available, the other well logs indicate that the wells at the Coliseum site are screened in the 70 to 100 foot bgs range. The other two wells are screened below 100 feet deep. Although these various wells may pose as a conduit to deeper water bearing zones for near surface impacted groundwater vertical migration, these wells are all outside of the limit of impacted groundwater associated with this site. The exact locations of the abandoned former municipal well fields have not been determined; however, the dissolved hydrocarbon plume associated with this site is confined to beneath the property is each direction toward the suspected well fields. Unless further information becomes

ΔFT

available regarding currently unknown deep wells, AEI does not consider any of the deep wells identified to date as threatened by this site.

The site is located approximately 3 miles east of the San Francisco Bay, however several sloughs or channels exist throughout the area. The nearest of which is a portion of the Airport Channel mud flats, which is located approximately 900 feet at it's nearest point to west of the western end of the property. Again due to the distance from the property and the fact that western extent of the hydrocarbon plume is confined to beneath the property, these surface waters will not be considered threatened by the release. In addition, no preferential pathways exist within or directly around the former source area that could lead to preferential contaminant migration.

#### 5.0 TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN AND INSTALLATION

The goal of the treatment program was to reduce dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations, specifically TPH-g and BTEX, within the source area, thereby limiting the potential for future migration of the hydrocarbon plume from the site. The system was designed to increase oxygenation of shallow groundwater within the source area and supplement natural bacterial colonies within the shallow groundwater with bacterial species cultured to metabolize aromatic hydrocarbons. Of particular importance when designing the system was the presence of the pea gravel backfill material placed within the bottom of the former excavation in the source area. This material has a higher porosity and hydraulic conductivity than the native soils, therefore allowing for faster distribution of the oxygenated water and injected bacterial waters.

The system consisted of two simultaneously operating system: the air sparge system and bacterial growth cell and distribution system. A total of twelve (12) duel completion wells (labeled IW-01 to IW-12) were installed. The air compressor and generator were stored in a locked shed constructed on the western side of the source area. Please refer to Figure 7 for locations of the wells and system components. Photographs of the site are included in Figure 12.

#### 5.1 AEI Sparge System

The air spate system consists of a total of twelve air sparge wells constructed to total depths of 15 feet bgs. Each well was constructed with  $\frac{1}{2}$ " diameter PVC pipe and the bottoms of each was equipped with an air diffuser. The bottom two feet of each was backfilled with #3 sand. A bentonite seal was place above the sand. Refer to Figure 8 for a schematic of the well completion.

The air lines were manifolded at the compressor to allow for targeting injection of air into up to four of the wells simultaneously. The manifold was equipped with pressure gauges and rotometers to measure the pressure and air flow in each well. The compressor was capable of injecting a total of approximately 2 cubic feet per minute at 4 to 5 pounds per square inch (psi).

#### 5.2 Inoculant Growth & Distribution System

BioTreatment, Inc. of Escondizio, Califorinia, supplied bacteria and bacterial nutrients to AEI. Well EW-01 was utilized for groundwater extraction. The extracted water was pumped into a 550 gallon above ground tank. The tank was equipped with a pump used to aerate the water. Bacterial medium and nutrients were added to the tank and the culture allowed to grow from 24 to 48 hours prior to injection. Kool<sup>TM</sup> tests were used to qualitatively assess bacterial density prior to re-injection of the inoculated groundwater. The tank was equipped with a heater during the cooler months, to increase water temperature and therefore bacterial growth.

The distribution system was comprised of 12 injection points constructed within the boreholes used for the air sparge wells. The injection wells were constructed of <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" PVC pipe to depths of 7 feet bgs, corresponding to just at and below the water table. Holes were drilled in the botton 2 feet of each pipe to allow for free discharge of water. The discharge from the tank was controlled with a master relief valve and individual valves to direct discharge to individual or multiple points. The aeration pumper served a dual purpose to force injection into points outside of the pea gravel backfill material (IW-4, IW-8, and IW-12).

#### 6.0 SYSTEM OPERATION

The initial inoculant batch was cultured on June 22, 2001. Approximately 2 pounds of freeze-dried bacteria and 1 pound of nutrient were added to 400 gallons of hydrocarbon contaminated water. After 12 hours, and additional 100 gallons of extracted water was added. After an additional 24 hours, bacterial density was measured and the first batch injected, leaving 100 gallons remaining in the tank. Upon completion of injection, an additional 400 gallons of extracted water was added. When necessary, additional bacteria and nutrients were added to the tank when additional water was extracted.

A total of 32 sectors of a part of a graduate sector of a sector of the sector of the

#### 7.0 TESTING AND RESULTS

A complete monitoring of all wells occurred prior to treatment startup (May 31, 2001) and on selected wells during operation. A final monitoring episode was then performed on reprine, 2002. Prior to sampling wells, water levels were measured and approximately 3 wells volumes of water was purged prior to sample collection. During purging the following parameters were measured, temperature, pH, specific conductivity, and dissolved oxygen. Water samples were then bailed from each well. Samples were collected into 40 ml VOA vials and transported under chain of custody to McCampbell Analytical Inc. (DOHS Cert. 1644). Samples analyzed during this project were analyzed for TPH as gasoline by EPA method 5030/8015 and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and MTBE by EPA method 502/8020. Samples with high MTBE detection limits by EPA method 8020 were reanalyzed by EPA method 8260 for MTBE.

Between the sampling events of May 31, 2001 and April 8, 2002, a 93% average reduction of BTEX, MTBE, and TPH-g is observed in EW-01. A reduction of 47% of MTBE, benzene, toluene, and TPH-g is observed in MW-11, over the same period. Although MTBE was not specifically targeted during this treatment program, an average reduction of 56% is noted wells MW-8 (83% reduction), MW-11 (30% reduction), MW-16 (35% reduction), and EW-01 (78% reduction). MTBE was not detected in the other four wells during the April 2002 sampling episode.

A summary of groundwater sample analytical results is presented in Table 3. Plots of hydrocarbon concentrations versus time in selected wells are presented in Figures 10 through 12. Laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix B.

#### 8.0 EXPOSURE PATHWAY ANALYSES

In an effort to determine whether any potential human health risks are present for occupants of the site, an analysis of exposure pathways is presented. With each medium, pathways for exposure are presented along with whether they are complete at this site. This property is located in a light industrial and commercial area of Oakland, therefore commercial / industrial scenarios only are considered.

Exhibit 2:	Exposure	Pathways

Medium	Pathway	Compete at Site	Comments
	Ingestion / dermal contact / vapor inhalation	NO	Surface and vadose zone soils treated in 1996
Soil (surface and subsurface soils)	Inhalation of vapors (indoor & outdoor air)	NO	Surface and vadose zone soils treated in 1996
	Ingestion of groundwater impacted by soil leachate	NO	Soil treated & no groundwater use on-site or in area (see S. 4.0)
Groundwater	Inhalation of vapors (indoor & outdoor air)	Poss isute	The property is currently vacant and fenced off
Groundwater	Direct Ingestion	NO	No groundwater use onsite or in area (see Section 4.0)
Surface Waters	Ingestion / dermal contact	NO	No nearby surface waters in area (see Section 4.0)

As shown above, no complete exposure pathways exist with the current land use. Accounting that commercial development occurs at the structure pathway of upper inhelation moniting multiple consideration from groundwater may be consideration and the current concentrations with the City of Oakland Tier 1 Risk Based Screening Levels (RBSLs) is presented (City of Oakland Public Works Agency, 2000).

A review of eligibility requirements for Tier 1 RBSLs use indicates that this site qualifies. Based on this qualification, remaining concentrations of MTBE, benzene, and toluene are made against their Tier 1 RBSLs for the vapor volatilization to indoor and outdoor air for commercial / industrial land use scenarios. For both MTBE and toluene, the Tier 1 RBSLs for the inhalation of vapors volatilized to both indoor and outdoor air are both listed as greater than their solubilities, reported as 48,000 mg/l for MTBE and 500 mg/l for toluene, while the highest concentrations remaining at the site are 300  $\mu$ g/l and 820  $\mu$ g/l, respectively. The carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic hazard RBSLs for benzene for the outdoor exposure scenario are 21,000  $\mu$ g/l and 1,300,000  $\mu$ g/l, respectively, while those for the indoor exposure scenario are 1,800  $\mu$ g/l and 110,000  $\mu$ g/l, respectively. The highest concentrations of benzene recently detected have at the site have been in MW-08, with the last four samples ranging from 230 $\mu$ g/l to 2,000  $\mu$ g/l of benzene, with the average of the four being 975  $\mu$ g/l. Although one of the last four samples slightly exceeds the indoor scenario, all other samples collected from this well since the beginning of groundwater treatment were well below the RBSL. In addition, this occurrence of this concentration range is very localized, with all other wells having low to non-detected concentrations of benzene.

Based on this exposure analysis, this site does not appear to pose a risk to human health if future commercial or industrial development of the property occurs.

#### 9.0 CLOSURE SUMMARY

Case closure is being requested for this site based on the following conclusions drawn of approximately 9 years of sampling data and research.

- The majority of hydrocarbons were removed from the impacted soil in the vadose zone during the 1996 soil excavation and treatment activities.
- A significant decrease in dissolved phase hydrocarbons was observed within the source area following the soil treatment and during and following the recently completed groundwater treatment program.
- Remaining dissolved phase hydrocarbons are localized to the immediate former source area, with the lateral extent of the plume having been defined. ND to very low TPH-g, BTEX, or MTBE detections occurred in wells MW-10, MW-12, MW-26 or MW-27. The plume is shown to be limited vertically directly beneath the source area, with hydrocarbon concentrations decreasing by nearly two orders of magnitude by only 20 feet below the water table and evidence of a regional confining layer present below this.
- Survey of nearby groundwater wells and surface waters did not reveal any groundwater resources or ecological receptors that may be threatened by the minimal mass of hydrocarbons remaining in the localized former source area.
- No complete human exposure pathways currently exist, nor is it expected that risk screening levels would be exceeded if commercial development and land use occurred at the site.

.

#### **10.0 REPORT LIMITATIONS AND SIGNATURES**

This report presents a summary of work completed by AEI, including observations and descriptions of site conditions. Where appropriate, it includes analytical results for samples taken during the course of the work. The number and location of samples are chosen to provide required information, but it cannot be assumed that they are entirely representative of all areas not sampled. All conclusions and recommendations are based on these analyses, observations, and the governing regulations. Conclusions beyond those stated and reported herein should not be inferred from this document.

These services were performed in accordance with generally accepted practices in the environmental engineering and consulting field that existed at the time and location of the work.

Sincerely,

**AEI Consultants** 

Peter McIntyre Project Manager, Geologist

Joseph P. Derhake, PE Principal



#### **Distribution:**

Mr. Dinesh Maniar Diversified Investment and Management Corporation 400 Oyster Point Boulevard South San Francisco, CA 94080

Mr. Barney Chan Alameda County Health Care Services Agency 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502

AEI Files: J. Derhake & P McIntyre (Job # 4342)



Groundwater Treatment and Site Closure Summary Report AEI Project No. 4342 May 3, 2002 Page 9



AEI CONSULTANTS 3210 OLD TUNNEL RD. STE B. LAFAYETTE, CA

# SITE LOCATION MAP

625 HEGENBERGER ROAD OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA FIGURE 1 PROJECT NO. 4342



# AEI CONSULTANTS 3210 OLD TUNNEL RD, STE B, LAFAYETTE, CA

# **DEEP WELL LOCATIONS**

625 HEGENBERGER ROAD OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA FIGURE 2 PROJECT NO. 4342

















WITH MICROSOFT EXCEL 2000

625 HEGENBERGER ROAD OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE 10 PROJECT NO 4342



OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO 4342



ALL CONCENTRATIONS (Y-AXES) EXPRESSED IN µg/l. REFER TO TABLE 3 FOR SOURCE DATA PLOTS AND LINEAR TRENDLINES PRODUCED WITH MICROSOFT EXCEL 2000

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO 4342

1. Treatment area looking northwest

2. Growth tank and equipment shed, looking west. Air and water discharge lines for IW-01 to IW-04 in the foreground.





**3.** Growth tank, with water discharge manifold in the foreground.

AEI CONSUI 3210 Old Tunnel Rd, Ste F PHOTOGRA	3, Lafavette, CA
625 HEGENGERGER	Project No:
OAKLAND, CA	4342

Table 1
Water Table Elevations
625 Hegenberger Road, Oakland, California

		Well	Depth	Grouadwater
Well ID	Date	Elevation	to Water	Elevation
		(ft msl)	(ft)	(ft msl)
MW-8	12/22/1993	4.88	6.72	-1.84
MW-10	12/22/1993	4.21	6.00	-1.79
MW-11	12/22/1993	5.04	6.84	-1.80
MW-12	12/22/1993	4.58	6.07	-1.49
MW-16	12/22/1993	5.53	7.48	-1.95
	< # 0 / 0 0 · .			1.67
MW-8	6/30/1994	4.88	6.55	-1.67
MW-10	6/30/1994	4.21	5.79	-1.58
MW-11	6/30/1994	5.04	6.73	-1.69
MW-12	6/30/1994	4.58	6.06	-1.48
MW-16	6/30/1994	5.53	7.28	-1.75
MW-8	9/27/1994	4.88	7.20	-2.32
MW-10	9/27/1994	4.21	6.39	-2.18
MW-11	9/27/1994	5.04	7.41	-2.37
MW-12	9/27/1994	4.58	6.57	-1.99
MW-16	9/27/1994	5.53	7.93	-2.40
MW-8	1/4/1995	4.88	6.21	-1.67
MW-10	1/4/1995	4.21	5.42	-1.58
MW-11	1/4/1995	5.04	6.45	-1.69
MW-12	1/4/1995	4.58	5.50	-1.48
MW-16	1/4/1995	5.53	7.03	-1.50
MW-8	1/10/1995	4.88	5.09	-2.32
MW-10	1/10/1995	4.21	4.67	-2.18
MW-11	1/10/1995	5.04	5.72	-2.37
MW-12	1/10/1995	4.58	4.46	-1.99
MW-16	1/10/1995	5.53	6.21	-2.40
MW-24	1/10/1995	5.49	5.97	-0.48
MW-8	10/2/1995	4.88	7.66	-2.78
MW-10	10/2/1995	4.21	6.87	-2.66
MW-11	10/2/1995	5.04	7.85	-2.81
MW-12	10/2/1995	4.58	6.99	-2.41
MW-16	10/2/1995	5.53	8.40	-2.87
MW-24	10/2/1995	5.49	8.31	-2.82
MW-8	1/8/1996	4.88	7.45	-2.57
MW-10	1/8/1996	4.21	6.82	-2.61
MW-11	1/8/1996	5.04	7.91	-2.87
MW-12	1/8/1996	4.58	6.65	-2.07
MW-16	1/8/1996	5.53	8.23	-2.70
MW-24	1/8/1996	5.49	8.08	-2.59
		4.00	7.00	2.44
MW-8	4/25/1996	4.88	7.32	-2.44
MW-10	4/25/1996	4.21	7.48	-3.27
MW-11	4/25/1996	5.04	7.51	-2.47
MW-12	4/25/1996	4.58	6.56	-1.98
MW-16	<b>4/25</b> /1996	5.53	8.06	-2.53
MW-8	3/25/1997	4.88	6.75	-1.87
MW-10	3/25/1997	4.21	5.83	-1.62
MW-11	3/25/1997	5.04	6.83	-1.79
MW-12	3/25/1997	4.58	6.03	-1.45
MW-16	3/25/1997	5.53	7.35	-1.82
MW-8	7/3/1997	4.88	8.70	-3.82
MW-10	7/3/1997	4.33	5.87	-1.66
MW-10 MW-11	7/3/1997	5.04	6.83	-1.79
MW-12	7/3/1997	4.58	6.03	-1.45
MW-16	7/3/1997	5.53	7.35	-1.82

		Weil	Depth	Groundwater Elevation	
Well ID	Date	Elevation	to Water		
		(ft msl)	<u>(ft)</u>	(ft msl)	
MW-8	10/2/1997	4.88	6.70	-1.82	
MW-10	10/2/1997	4.21	5.90	-1.69	
MW-11	10/2/1997	5.04	6.85	-1.81	
MW-12	10/2/1997	4.58	6.08	-1.50	
MW-16	10/2/1997	5.53	7.36	-1.83	
MW-8	1/28/1998	4.88	5.20	-0.32	
MW-10	1/28/1998	4.21	4.40	-0.19	
MW-11	1/28/1998	5.04	5.33	-0.29	
MW-12	1/28/1998	4.58	4.54	-0.04	
MW-16	1/28/1998	5.53	5.90	-0.37	
MW-8	2/9/2000	4.88	5.12	-0.24	
MW-10	2/9/2000	4.21	5.25	-1.04	
MW-11	2/9/2000	5.04	6.25	-1.21	
MW-12	2/9/2000	4.58	5.33	-0.75	
MW-16	2/9/2000	5.53	6.81	-1.28	
MW-8	8/9/2000*	3.96	5.15	-1.19	
MW-10	8/9/2000	4.20	5.33	-1.13	
MW-11	8/9/2000	5.01	6.20	-1.19	
MW-12	8/9/2000	4.58	5.14	-0.56	
MW-16	8/9/2000	5.51	6.74	-1.23	
MW-26	8/9/2000	5.12	5.81	-0.69	
MW-27	8/9/2000	4.06	5.12	-1.06	
EW-01	8/9/2000	5.19	6.38	-1.19	
MW-8	5/31/2001	3.96	5.54	-1.58	
MW-10	5/31/2001	4.20	5.81	-1.61	
MW-11	5/31/2001	5.01	6.65	-1.64	
MW-12	5/31/2001	4.58	6.28	-1.70	
MW-16	5/31/2001	5.51	7.14	-1.63	
MW-26	5/31/2001	5.12	6.25	-1.13	
MW-27	5/31/2001	4.06	5.84	-1.78	
EW-01	5/31/2001	5.19	6.84	-1.65	
MW-8	4/8/2002	3.96	4.85	-0.89	
MW-10	4/8/2002	4.20	4.93	-0.73	
MW-11	4/8/2002	5.01	5.94	-0.93	
MW-12	4/8/2002	4.58	5.08	-0.50	
MW-16	4/8/2002	5.51	6.45	-0.94	
MW-26	4/8/2002	5.12	5.88	-0.76	
MW-27	4/8/2002	4.06	5.32	-1.26	
EW-01	4/8/2002	5.19	6.11	-0.92	

All elevations are measured from the top of casing.

ft msl = feet above mean sea level

Notes:

NA = Not Available \*All well elevations were re-surveyed 9/5/00 by Logan Survey (lic. # 5003)

#### Table 2

#### Water Quality Parameters

		Volume Withdrawn	Stabilized Temperature	Qualitative	Stabilized	Stabilized Disolved Oxygen	N (mg/L)	P (mg/L)	K (mg/i
Well 1D	Date	(galiens)	(deg. C)	Tubidity	рШ	(mg/L)		·•	
MW-8	12/22/1993	4.50	19.4	turbid*	-	-	•	-	
MW-10	12/22/1993	7.00	20.8	moderately turbid.	-	•	-	-	-
M₩-11	12/22/1993	4.50	20.2	turbid	-	-	-	-	•
MW-12	12/22/1993	5.30	20.3	moderately turbid	-	•	-		-
MW-16	12/22/1993	4.50	20.5	turbid	-	•	-	•	-
MW-8	6/30/1994	8.00	21.0	turbid*	-	-	-		-
MW-10	6/30/1994	6.00	21.0	turbid	•	-		-	-
MW-11	6/30/1994	6.00	20.2	turbid	-	-	-	-	
MW-12	6/30/1994	6.00	20.5	moderately turbid	•	-			-
MW-16	6/30/1994	4.50	21.8	tarbid	-	-		-	
MW-8	9/27/1994	4_50	21.6	turbid*	-	-	•	-	-
MW-10	9/27/1994	6.00	22.6	turbid	-	-	-	•	-
MW-11	9/27/1994	3.00	21.0	turbid.	-	•	•	-	-
MW-12	9/27/1994	6.00	22.5	turbid	-	-	•	-	-
MW-16	9/27/1994	3.00	22.6	turbid	-	•	-	-	-
MW-8	1/10/1995	5.30	17.2	turbid*	-	•	•	-	-
MW-10	1/10/1995	5.00	195	harbid	-	-	-	•	-
MW-11	1/10/1995	5.30	18.6	turbid	-	•	-	-	-
MW-12	1/10/1995	6.00	19.3	turbid	-	-	-	•	-
MW-16	1/10/1995	6.00	19.3	turbid	-	•	-	-	•
MW-24	1/10/1995	41.00	18.9	turbid					
MW-8	10/2/1995	11.00	22.8	moderately turbid	6.49				
						•	-	-	
MW-10	10/2/1995	11.00	22.6	turbid	7.20	•	-	•	
MW-11	10/2/1995	12.00	22.0	moderately turbid	6.85	-	•	-	-
MW-12	10/2/1995	11.00	22.9	turbid	7.20	•	•	-	-
MW-16	10/2/1995	11.00	22.6	turbid	7.20	-	-	•	-
MW-24	10/2/1995	20.00	22.8	turbid	7.10				
MW-8	1/8/1996	12.00	17.30**	slightly turbid	6.74**		-	-	_
MW-10	1/8/1996	10.00	17.90**	slightly turbid	6.62**				
MW-11	1/8/1996	5.50	17.60**	slightly turbid	6.65**	_		-	
MW-12	1/8/1996	10.00	18.00**	slightly turbid	5.49**	_	_		
MW-16	1/8/1996	5.00	19.00**		7.50**	-	-		
MW-24	1/8/1996	35.00	17.60**	slightly turbid slightly turbid	6.67**	-	-	-	
DI 11 - 2-4	1/0/1990	33.00	11.00	sugary anota	0,01				
MW-8	4/25/1996	5.00	21.1	clear	6.53	-		-	
MW-10	4/25/1996	5.00	22.8	slightly turbid	6.70				
MW-11	4/25/1996	5.50	21.4	clear	6.58				
MW-12	4/25/1996	5.00	22.4	clear	6.50				
MW-16	4/25/1996	5.00	25.3	slightly urbid	7.12	-	-		
10111-10	4231750	3.00	255	anginty unord	7.34				
MW-8	3/25/1997	10.00	18.2	clear	6.67	0.23	-	-	
MW-i0	3/25/1997	12.00	19.7	slightly turbid	б.79	0.35	-	•	
MW-11	3/25/1997	10.00	18.6	clear	6.64	0.19	-	-	
MW-12	3/25/1997	10.00	18.4	clear	6.67	0.19		-	
MW-16	3/25/1997	10.00	17.9	slightly unbid	7.02	0.10	-	-	
MW-8	7/3/1997	12.00	19.6	clear	6.43	0.04	<0.5	1.8	
MW-10	7/3/1997	12.00	21.5	slightly turbid	6.67	D.17	•	-	
MW-11	7/3/1997	12.00	19.4	clear	6.36	0.05	<0.5	1.8	
MW-12	7/3/1997	12.00	20.6	clear	6.50	0.10	-	•	
MW-16	7/3/1997	12.00	19.7	clear	6.76	0.06	-	-	
			<b>.</b>						
MW-8	10/2/1997	4.50	21.2	clear	6.93	-	-	-	
MW-10	10/2/1997	5.00	23.0	slightly turbid	7.26	•	-	•	
MW-11	10/2/1997	7.00	22.9	olear	6.73	-	-	-	
MW-12	10/2/1997	4.50	20.9	clear	7.15	•	-	•	
MW-16	10/2/1997	7.00	19.1	alightly turbid	7.32	-	-	•	
MW-8	1/28/1998	15.00	18.5	slightly greanish	6.86	0.10	-	-	
MW-10	1/28/1998	15.00	20.9	moderately turbid	7.05	0.09			
MW-11	1/28/1998	15.00	20.1	slightly greenish	6.74	0.11	-	-	
Tar 44	1/28/1998								
MW-12		14.00	19.8	moderately turbid	6.90	0.11	-	-	

	Stabilized								
		Volume	Stabilized			Disolved	N	P	к
		Withdrawn	Temperature	Qualitative	Stabilizedi pH	Oxygen	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L
Well ID	Date	(gallons)	(deg,C)	Tubidity		(mg/L)			
MW-8	2/9/2000	5.00	63.00***	slightly greenish	8.35	1.24	19	3.4	35
MW-10	2/9/2000	5.00	67.7	alightly turbid	8.56	0.70	15	5.4	66
MW-11	2/9/2000	5.00	63.5	slightly turbid.	8.35	0.62	<0.2	2.1	49
MW-12	2/9/2000	5.00	62.8	çicar	8.41	1.28	10	3.1	33
MW-16	2/9/2000	5.00	63.2	slightly turbid	8.63	3.13	<0.2	1.8	12
EW-01	2/9/2000	32.00	60.0	slightly turbid	8.48	0.51	21	1.7	51
MW-8	8/9/2000	5.00	18.9	Slightly turbid	6.68	1.55		-	-
MW-10	8/9/2000	5.00	21.9	Turbid - clears	6.68	1.63	-	-	· -
MW-11	8/9/2000	5.50	19.7	Slightly turbid	6.48	1.48	-	•	-
MW-12	8/9/2000	5.00	21.3	clear	5.72	1.69	-	•	-
MW-16	8/9/2000	4.00	20.5	Turbid - clears	6.62	1.33	-	-	-
MW-26	8/9/2000	5.00	21.3	Turbid - clears	6.99	2.78	-		-
MW-27	8/9/2000	5.00	24.4	clear	6.93	2.21	-	-	-
EW-01	8/9/2000	31.00	18.4	Turbid - clears	6.69	1.32			•
MW-8	5/31/2001	4.25	18.5	clears	7.09	0.93	-		-
MW-10	5/31/2001	4.75	20.6	clears quickly	6.98	0.86	•	-	-
MW-11	5/31/2001	5.00	18.8	clears quickly	7.09	1.28	-		-
MW-12	5/31/2001	5.00	19.8	clears quickly	7.07	1.47	-	-	-
MW-16	5/31/2001	3.00	20.3	Slightly surbid	7.03	1.44		-	-
MW-26	\$/31/2001	5.00	19.6	clears quickly	7.01	1.20	-	-	-
MW-27	5/31/2001	5.00	22.1	clears quickly	7.06	1.74		-	•
<b>EW-01</b>	5/31/2001	30.00	17.8	clears quickly	7.09	1.50	-	-	-
MW-8	4/8/2002	5.00	17.3	Clears	7.30	1.02	-	-	-
MW-10	4/8/2002	5.50	19.2	Clears	7.31	1.15	-	-	
MW-11	4/8/2002	5.00	18.0	Clears quickly	7.28	0.96	-	-	-
MW-12	4/8/2002	5.00	17.9	Clears quickly	7.29	2.86	-	-	-
MW-16	4/8/2002	3.00	18.0	Clear	7.29	0.81	-	-	-
MW-26	4/8/2002	5.00	17.5	Greyish, clear by 2 g	7.31	0.88	-	-	
MW-27	4/8/2002	6.00	15.9	Black, clear by 3 g	7.32	1.13		-	-
EW-01	4/8/2002	32.00	17.6	Clears quickly	7.32	1.30			-

- - Data not obtained or available

Notes:

A slight hydrocarbon shoen was reported.

Only one measurement collected.
 Temperature expressed in degrees Farenheight

N = Nitrogen (total)

P = Phosphorous (total)

K = Potassium

Table 3 Groundwater Sample Analytical Data

		TPH	мтве	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-	Xylenes		
	Date	as gasoline μg/L	µg/L	µg/L	μg/L	beazene μg/L	μg/L	ТРН-d µg/L	ТРН-о µg/L
CW-8	5/28/1993	19000	· · · _	6400	28	160	36	1000	
	12/22/1993	56000	-	16000	5999.3	650	2700	300	<200
	6/30/1994	41000	-	11000	4800	2200	8200	<500	500
	9/27/1994	28000		8500	260	1600	5300	620	<200
	1/10/1995	58000	-	10000	11000	2400	12000	70	<200
	10/2/1995	28000	-	51	16	54	80	<50	<500
	1/8/1996	72000	_	8600	13000	2200	12000	3700	<250
	1/8/1996	62000	-	7200	9500	1600	8000	-	
	4/25/1996	33000	-	7600	2300	1500	4800	3100	-
	3/25/1997	23000	1500	8300	80	350	380	1900	_
	7/3/1997	14000	1300	6600	32	190	100	1400	
	7/3/1997	15000	1700	7300	34	160	110	1400	_
						37	21	810	-
	10/2/1997	7600	890	3500	14		780	2700	-
	1/28/1998	21000	900	5500	270	730			-
	9/9/1999	2500	380	790	2.8	4.7	8	-	•
	2/9/2000	39000	460	6400	4300	950	390	-	-
	8/9/2000	5500	540	1700	15	130	370	-	-
	5/31/2001	14,000	370	2,800	63	610	540	-	-
	8/10/2001	4,400	380	1,200	41	160	170	-	-
	9/25/2001	2,100	210	470	7.2	6.5	7.1	-	-
	12/14/2001	1800	26	230	34	67	150	-	-
		32000	63 60-		\$20	L100			
W-10	5/28/1993	<50	-	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	54	
	12/22/1993	<50	-	<0.5	<0.7	<0.5	<0.2	580	<200
	6/30/1994	<50		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	<50	600
	9/27/1994	<50	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	610	<200
	1/10/1995	<50		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	600	<200
	10/2/1995	350	-	4.4	2.6	2.3	6.4	<50	<500
	1/8/1996	50		5.8	7.1	1.2	6.4	<50	<250
	4/25/1996	<50		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	-
	3/25/1997	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	-
	7/3/1997	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	
	10/2/1997	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	110	-
	1/28/1998	<50	<5.0	5.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	_
	8/19/1999	<50	<5.0	5.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		_
							<0.5	-	-
	2/9/2000	<50	<5.0	5.7	<0.5	<0.5		-	•
	8/9/2000	<50	<5.0	5.7	<0.5	<0.5	⊲0.5	•	-
	5/31/2001	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	≪0.5	<0.5	-	-
	8/10/2001	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
	9/25/2001	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
	12/14/2001	-	•	-	•	-	-	•	-
	4/8/2002	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
<b>IW-11</b>	5/28/1993	1200	-	450	17	1.5	2.1	<50	
	12/22/1993	9200	•	4500	38.3	12	43	530	<200
	6/30/1994	8800	-	1500	13	690	1200	<50	1100
	6/30/1994	9700		1700	14	730	1300	- '	-
	9/27/1994	15000		6500	26	870	590	910	<200
	1/10/1995	14000	-	890	220	840	2400	1100	<200
	10/2/1995	7100	-	47	5.7	11	36	<50	<500
	1/8/1996	12000	-	1200	99	790	1400	2000	<250
	4/25/1996	5800	-	230	59	200	770	1400	-
	3/25/1997	760	130	130	49	2.9	L	490	-
	7/3/1997	290	380	<0.5	<0.5	600	<0.5	<50	-
	10/2/1997	220	720	8.8	0.73	<0.5	0.67	220	-
	1/28/1998	540	360	140	0.81	<0.5	<0.5	160	-
	8/19/1999	540	360 720		3.2	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
				180			2.9	-	
	2/9/2000	680	280	100	3.1	<0.5		-	-
	8/9/2000	350	410	1.7	2.6	<0.5	0.84	-	-
	5/31/2001	280	430	1.1	1.6	0.25	0.25	-	-
	8/10/2001	300	340	0.95	1.6	0.25	0.66	-	-
	9/25/2001	-	-	-	•	-	<u>-</u>	-	-
	12/14/2001	250	300	2.8	1.7	0.25	0.9	-	-
	4/8/2002	86	300	0.7	0.77	<0.5	<0.5	-	-

		TPH	мтве	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-			
	Date	as gasoline	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	benzene	μg/L	TPH-d	TPH-0
		µg/L				µg/L		μg/L	µg/L
MW-12	5/28/1993	<50	•	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.9	<50	•
	12/22/1993	50	-	<0.5	⊲0.7	<0.5	<0.2	300	<200
	6/30/1994	<50	-	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	⊲0.2	<50	400
	9/27/1994	<50	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	400	<200
	9/27/1994	<50	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	-	-
	1/10/1995	<50	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	300	<200
	10/2/1995	<50	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<500-
	1/8/1996	<50	-	2.4	2,7	0.54	2.8	<50	<250
	4/25/1996	<50	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	
	3/25/1997	<50	16	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	≪0.5	<50	-
	7/3/1997	<50	16	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	-
	10/2/1997	<50	17	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	120	-
		<50				<0.5	<0.5	<50	
	1/28/1998		13	1.3	<0.5				•
	8/19/1999	<50	9.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	•
	2/9/2000	<50	6.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
	8/9/2000	<50	6.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
	5/31/2001	<50	6.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	•
	8/10/2001	<50	5.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
	9/25/2001	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-
	12/14/2001	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
	4/8/2002	51	<5.0	3.1	0.98	1.2	2	-	-
MW-16	5/28/1993	<\$0	-	2.8	0.3	<0.7	<0.9	<50	-
	12/22/1993	2200	-	<0.5	<0.7	<0.5	<0.2	520	<200
	6/30/1994	<50		8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	<50	900
	9/27/1994	70	-	17	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	590	<200
	1/10/1995	300	-	190	<0.5	<0.5	<0.2	700	<200
	10/2/1995	550	-	7.7	0.7	3.5	13	<50	<500
	1/8/1996	360	-	<0.5	⊲0.5	4	9.7	140	<250
	4/25/1996	1100	-	390	3.7	3.2	14	330	-
	3/25/1997	310	2100	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.4	120	_
	7/3/1997				<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	130	
		250	1900	<0.5					
	10/2/1997	290	2000	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	180	-
	1/28/1998	150	1900	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	130	-
	9/9/1999	<50	880	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
	2/9/2000	<\$0	88	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	8.7	-	•
	8/9/2000	<50	800	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	•
	5/31/2001	<\$0	69	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
	8/10/2001	<50	300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	•	-
	9/25/2001	-	•	-	-	-	-	•	-
	· 12/14/2001	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
	4/8/2002	<50	45	1.7	0.61	0.78	1.4	-	-
EW-01	2/9/2000	2600	750	800	48	21	91	-	-
	8/9/2000	6700	1300	2700	19	120	31	-	-
	5/31/2001	3,100	850	580	24	36	32	-	-
	8/10/2001	210	620	14	2.2	1.0	1.1	-	-
	9/25/2001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12/14/2001	2,400	510	320	57	23	70	-	-
	4/8/2002	2,400	190	37	3.1	1.5	1	-	-
MW-26	8/9/2000	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	•	• •
	5/31/2001	<50	8.3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	
	8/10/2001	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-
	9/25/2001	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
	12/14/2001	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	4/8/2002	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
MW-27	8/9/2000	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-
	5/31/2001	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5		-
	8/10/2001	-50			-0.5		-0.5	-	-
	9/25/2001	•	•	-	•	-	-	-	-
		-		•	•	-	-	-	-
	12/14/2001	-	-	-				-	-
	4/8/2002	<50	<5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-

TPH-g = TPH as gasoline

TPH-d = TPH as diesel

TPH-o = TPH as motor oil

All analytical data presented in micrograms per liter  $(\mu g/l)$ 

# Table 4Sample Analytical Results: AEI B-28June 8, 2000

Sample ID	TPH as gasoline μg/L	MTBE µg/L	Benzene µg/L	Toluene µg/L	Ethyl- benzene µg/L	Xylenes µg/L
DB-6'	150,000	<3,300	13,000	15,000	3,400	23,000
DB-20'	80,000	<600	3,500	8,900	1,800	13,000
DB-27'	1,700	<5	29	82	28	220
MDL	50	5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

MDL = Method Detection Limit

ND=Not detected above the Method Detection Limit (unless otherwise noted)

mg/L = micrograms per liter (ppb)

Laboratory report presented in Sept. 10, 2000 report

#### AEI CONSULTANTS - GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

Monitoring V	Vell Number: MW-8			
Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 5/31/01			
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM / OA			
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA			
MONITOR	ING WELL DATA			
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"			
Seal at Grade Type and Condition				
Well Cap & Lock - OK/Replace				
Elevation of Top of Casing	3.96			
Depth of Well	14.40			
Depth to Water	5.54			
Water Elevation	-1.58			
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*				
2" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.25			
4" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.65)(3)				
6" casing: (TD - DTW)(1.44)(3)				
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5			
Appearance of Purge Water				

		GROUN	<b>IDW</b> A	TEP	R SAMPLES	8			
Number of Samples/Container Size					2 40 ml VOAs				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Time	Vol Remvd	Temp C	pł	ł	Cond	Dissolved	Redox		
	(gal)				(μS)	Oxygen	Potential		
						(mg/L)	(mV)		
	1	20.7	7.0	)9	1352	1.34			
	3	19.0	7.0	)9	1322	0.82			
	5	18.8	7.0	)9	1339	0.93			
	•	£			L I		- <b>L</b>		
	COMMENTS	Ga comple	a odor		racharga ti	ne & percent, etc	· )		

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

.

Strong hydrocarbon odor.

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water

#### AEI CONSULTANTS – GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL FIELD SAMPLING FORM

#### Monitoring Well Number: MW-10

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 5/31/01
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM / OA
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITORING WELL DATA					
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"				
Seal at Grade Type and Condition					
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace					
Elevation of Top of Casing	4.20				
Depth of Well	15.7				
Depth to Water	5.81				
Water Elevation	-1.61				
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*					
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.75				
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)					
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)					
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5				
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears guickly				

imber of	f Samples/Contai	ner Size	2	2 X 40 ml VOAs				
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)		
	1	23.0	7.06	>2000	1.81			
	3	21.0	7.00	>2000	1.70			
	5	20.6	6.98	>2000	0.86			
	LCOMMENT	S (i.e., samp	le odor.	, well recharge t	ime & percent, et	c.)		

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water
# Monitoring Well Number: MW-11

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 5/31/01
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM / OA
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	ORING WELL DATA	
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"	
Seal at Grade Type and Condition		
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	OK	
Elevation of Top of Casing	5.01	
Depth of Well	15	
Depth to Water	6.65	
Water Elevation	-1.64	
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*		
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.01	
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)		
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)		
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5	
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears quickly	

		GROU	NDW	'ATE	R SAMPL	ES	
Number of	f Samples/Contai	ner Size		2 X	40 ml VOA	S	
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pF	ł	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)
	1	20.7	7.0	8	1357	1.67	
	3	19.2	7.0	19	1296	1.47	
	5	18.8	7.0	9	1331	1.28	
		S (i.e., samp	ole odo	or, we	ll recharge	time & percent, et	c.)
Mild HC o	odor						

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-12

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 5/31/01
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM / OA
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONIT	ORING WELL DATA	
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"	
Seal at Grade – Type and Condition		
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace		
Elevation of Top of Casing	4.58	
Depth of Well	15.5	
Depth to Water	6.28	
Water Elevation	-1.70	
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*		
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.43	
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)		
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)		
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5	
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears quickly	

		<u>GROU</u>	NDW	ATEF	R SAMPL	ES	
umber of	f Samples/Contai	iner Size		2 X 4	0 ml VOA	S	
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	] pH	I	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)
	1	22.5	7.0	9	1457	2.01	
	3	20.6	7.0	9	974	1.40	
	5	19.8	7.0	7	962	1.47	
	COMMENT	TS (i.e., samp	le odo	or, wel	l recharge	time & percent, et	c.)

#### No HC odor

#### Monitoring Well Number: MW-16 Project Name: Hegenberger Date of Sampling: 5/31/01 Job Number: 4342 Name of Sampler: PJM / OA Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road Oakland, CA **MONITORING WELL DATA** Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6") 2" Seal at Grade -- Type and Condition Well Cap & Lock - OK/Replace Elevation of Top of Casing 5.51 Depth of Well 12.5 Depth to Water 7.14 Water Elevation -1.63 Three Well Volumes (gallons)\* 2" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.16)(3)2.57 4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3) 6" casing: (TD - DTW)(1.44)(3)Actual Volume Purged (gallons) 3

mber of	f Samples/Contai	ner Size	2	X 40 ml VOA	\$	· <u>-</u>
Гime	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)
	1	23.3	6.98	1324	1.64	
	2	20.3	7.00	1290	1.15	
	3	20.3	7.03	1307	1.44	
				• • •		

Slightly turbid

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water

Appearance of Purge Water

# Monitoring Well Number: EW-01

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 5/31/01
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM / OA
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	ORING WELL DATA	
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	4"	
Seal at Grade – Type and Condition		
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace		
Elevation of Top of Casing	5.19	
Depth of Well	22.5	
Depth to Water	6.84	
Water Elevation	-1.65	
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*		
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)		
4" casing: $(TD - DTW)(0.65)(3)$	30.4	
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)		
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	30	
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears by 5 gallons	

		GROU	NDWA	TER SAMPLE	ES		
Number of Samples/Container Size				2 X 40 ml VOAs			
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)	
	1	20.4	7.07	>2000	1.06		
	5	18.6	7.10	>2000	1.34		
	10	18.2	7.09	>2000	1.57		
	15	18.0	7.08	>2000	1.07		
	20	18.1	7.09	>2000	1.30		
	25	17.9	7.09	>2000	1.32	· · ·	
	30	17.8	7.09	>2000	1.50		

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-26

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 5/31/01
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM / OA
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	RING WELL DATA	
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"	
Seal at Grade Type and Condition	Cement / Good	
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	OK	
Elevation of Top of Casing	5.12	
Depth of Well	15.0	
Depth to Water	6.25	
Water Elevation	-1.13	
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*		
2" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.2	
4" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.65)(3)		
6" casing: (TD - DTW)(1.44)(3)		
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5	
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears at 1.5 gallon	

		GROUN	IDW A	TER	SAMPLE	8	
umber o	f Samples/Contai	ner Size		2 X	<u>40 ml VOA</u>	S	
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pI	ł	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	
	1	22.7	7.0	)1	606	1.70	
	3	20.6	7.0	)8	642	1.52	
	5	19.6	7.0	)1	615	1.20	

No HC odor

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-27

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 5/31/01
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM / OA
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	ORING WELL DATA
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"
Seal at Grade Type and Condition	Cement / Good
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	OK
Elevation of Top of Casing	4.06
Depth of Well	15.0
Depth to Water	5.84
Water Elevation	-1.78
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*	
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.4
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)	
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)	
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears quickly

		GROU	NDW.	ATER SAM	PLES		
Number of Samples/Container Size				2 X 40 ml VOAs			
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	_		
	1	23.7	7.05	5 683	1.51		
	3	22.2	7.02	2 770	1.28		
	5	22.1	7.06	5 790	1.74		
	COMMENT	S(ie samr	le odo		rge time & perce	nt etc.)	
No HC od		o (no., samp		r, wen reena	ige thine de peree	<i>III, 010.)</i>	

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-8

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 4/8/02
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM & DP
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	RING WELL DATA	
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"	
Seal at Grade Type and Condition	Box replaced 6/01	
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	OK	
Elevation of Top of Casing	3.96	
Depth of Well	14.40	
Depth to Water	4.85	
Water Elevation	-0.89	
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*		
2" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.58	
4" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.65)(3)		
6" casing: (TD - DTW)(1.44)(3)		
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5	
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear	

Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	рН	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)
1	17.7	7.32	3847	1.28	
3	17.3	7.31	3919	0.81	
 5	17.3	7.30	>4000	1.02	
 					1

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

Strong hydrocarbon odor.

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-10

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 4/8/02
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM & DP
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	ORING WELL DATA
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"
Seal at Grade Type and Condition	
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	
Elevation of Top of Casing	4.20
Depth of Well	15.7
Depth to Water	4.93
Water Elevation	-0.73
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*	
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	5.17
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)	
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)	
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5.5
Appearance of Purge Water	Clear

				ATER SAMPLE			
Number of Samples/Container Size				2 X 40 ml VOAs			
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)	
	1	18.8	7.31	>4000	1.35		
	3	19.1	7.30	>4000	0.88		
	5	19.2	7.31	>4000	1.15		
	.1	<u>                                     </u>					
	COMMENT	S (i.e., samp	le odor	, well recharge t	ime & percent, et	c.)	

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-11 Project Name: Hegenberger Date of Sampling: 4/8/02 Job Number: 4342 Name of Sampler: PJM & DP Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road Oakland, CA

MONITO	ORING WELL DATA	
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"	
Seal at Grade Type and Condition		
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	OK	
Elevation of Top of Casing	5.01	
Depth of Well	15	
Depth to Water	5.94	
Water Elevation	-0.93	
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*		
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.35	
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)		
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)		
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5	
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears quickly	

		GROU	JNDW	ATE	R SAMPL	ES		
Number of Samples/Container Size					2 X 40 ml VOAs			
Time	Vol Remvd	Temp C	pł	Ŧ	Cond	Dissolved	Redox	
	(gal)		-		(µS)	Oxygen	Potential	
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(mg/L)	(mV)	
	1	18.0	7.3	31	2171	0.97		
	3	17.9	7.3	31	232	0.91		
	5	18.0	7.2	28	2645	0.96		
	COMMENT	S (i.e., sam	ple odo	or, we	ell recharge	time & percent, et	c.)	
Mild HC o	dor							

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-12

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 4/8/02
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM & DP
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	MONITORING WELL DATA					
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"					
Seal at Grade – Type and Condition						
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace						
Elevation of Top of Casing	4.58					
Depth of Well	15.5					
Depth to Water	5.08					
Water Elevation	-0.50					
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*						
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	5.0					
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)						
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)						
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5					
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears quickly					

## GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pН	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)
	1	17.7	7.31	2985	1.71	
	3	17.8	7.30	2221	0.89	
	5	17.9	7.29	2604	2.68	

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

No HC odor

#### **Monitoring Well Number: MW-16** Date of Sampling: 4/8/02 Project Name: Hegenberger Job Number: 4342 Name of Sampler: PJM & DP Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road Oakland, CA MONITORING WELL DATA Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6") 2" Seal at Grade -- Type and Condition Well Cap & Lock - OK/Replace Elevation of Top of Casing 5.51 Depth of Well 12.5 Depth to Water 6.45 Water Elevation -0.94 Three Well Volumes (gallons)\* 2" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.16)(3)2.9 4" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.65)(3)6" casing: (TD - DTW)(1.44)(3)Actual Volume Purged (gallons) 3 Appearance of Purge Water Clear

	imples/Contai	ner Size	22	2 X 40 ml VOAs			
Time	Vol Remvđ (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)	
	1	18.0	7.25	3291	0.90		
	2	17.9	7.28	3290	0.82		
	3	18.0	7.29	3293	0.81		

# Monitoring Well Number: EW-01

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 4/8/02
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM & DP
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	ORING WELL DATA
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	4"
Seal at Grade – Type and Condition	
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	
Elevation of Top of Casing	5.19
Depth of Well	22.5
Depth to Water	6.11
Water Elevation	-0.92
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*	
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)	31.96
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)	
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	32
Appearance of Purge Water	Clears by 5 gallons

lumber o	f Samples/Contai			TER SAMPLI X 40 ml VOA			
(unicer o							
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)	
	1	19.1	7.32	>4000	1.08		
	5	18.0	7.31	>4000	1.33		
	9	18.2	7.32	>4000	1.18		
	15	17.8	7.32	3964	1.26		
	20	17.7	7.32	3952	1.30		
	25	17.7	7.32	>4000	1.30		
	30	17.6	7.32	>4000	1.30		

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-26

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 4/8/02
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM & DP
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	RING WELL DATA	
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"	
Seal at Grade Type and Condition	Cement / Good	
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	OK	
Elevation of Top of Casing	5.12	
Depth of Well	15.0	
Depth to Water	5.88	
Water Elevation	-0.76	
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*		
2" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.38	
4" casing: (TD - DTW)(0.65)(3)		
6" casing: (TD - DTW)(1.44)(3)		
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	5	
Appearance of Purge Water	Grevish, clears by 2 gallons	

mber of	f Samples/Contai	ner Size		2 X 40 ml VOAs			
Гime	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		
	1	17.1	7.10	) 1425	1.01		
	3	17.3	7.1	5 1430	0.85		
	5	17.5	7.3	1428	0,88		
					· • • • •		

COMMENTS (i.e., sample odor, well recharge time & percent, etc.)

No HC odor

# Monitoring Well Number: MW-27

Project Name: Hegenberger	Date of Sampling: 4/8/02
Job Number: 4342	Name of Sampler: PJM & DP
Project Address: 625 Hegenberger Road	Oakland, CA

MONITO	ORING WELL DATA
Well Casing Diameter (2"/4"/6")	2"
Seal at Grade Type and Condition	Cement / Good
Well Cap & Lock – OK/Replace	OK
Elevation of Top of Casing	4.06
Depth of Well	15.0
Depth to Water	5.32
Water Elevation	-1.26
Three Well Volumes (gallons)*	
2" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.16)(3)	4.65
4" casing: (TD – DTW)(0.65)(3)	
6" casing: (TD – DTW)(1.44)(3)	
Actual Volume Purged (gallons)	6
Appearance of Purge Water	Initially very black, clears by 2.5 gallons

				TER SAMPLI		
umber o	f Samples/Contai	ner Size	2	X 40 ml VOA	<u>s</u>	
Time	Vol Remvd (gal)	Temp C	pH	Cond (µS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	<u>.</u>
	1	16.2	7.32	1300	1.23	
	3	16.0	7.30	1299	1.17	
	5	15.9	7.32	129	1.13	

TD - Total Depth of Well DTW - Depth To Water

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #434	Date Sampled: 05/31/01
3210 Old Tunnel Road, Suite B		Date Received: 05/31/01
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Peter McIntyre	Date Extracted: 05/31/01
	Client P.O:	Date Analyzed: 05/31/01

06/07/2001

Dear Peter:

Enclosed are:

1). the results of 8 samples from your #434 project,

2). a QC report for the above samples

3). a copy of the chain of custody, and

4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits. If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Yourstruly Edward Hamilton, Lab Director

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

All Envi	ronmental, Inc	2.	Client Pro	ject ID: #4	-34	Date Sampled: 05/31/01							
3210 Ok	d Tunnel Road	l, Suite B	Ĺ				Date Rece	ived: 05/3	/01				
Lafayette	e, CA 94549-4	157	Client Cor	itact: Peter	McIntyre		Date Extracted: 06/01-06/04/01						
			Client P.O	:	<u></u>	, <u>, ,</u>	Date Anal	yzed: 06/0	1-06/04/01				
<b>Gasolin</b> EPA metho	e Range (C6- ods 5030, modifie	-C12) Vol	atile Hydrod	arbons as	Gasoline*	, with Me	thyl tert-Bi	ityl Ether	* & BTEX*				
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) <sup>+</sup>	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	% Recovery Surrogate				
68728	MW-08	W	14,000,a	370	2800	63 ·	610	540	103				
68729	MW-10	w	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	102				
68730	MW-11	w	280,a	430	1.1	1.6	ND	ND	107				
68731	MW-12	w	ND	6.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	102				
68732	MW-16	w	ND	69	ND	ND	ND	ND	102				
68733	MW-26	w	ND	8.3	ND	ND	ND	ND	104				
68734	MW-27	w	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	102				
68735	EW-01	w	3100,a	850	580	24	36	32	104				
	• , <u> </u>												
	··		· · · .										
									<u> </u>				
	g Limit unless se stated: ND	w	50 ug/L	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	<u>.</u>				
means not	detected above	s	1.0 mg/kg	0.05	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005					

\* water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in ug/wipe, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP and SPLP extracts in ug/L

\* cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak

<sup>+</sup>The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~5 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern.

DHS Certification No. 1644

Edward Hamilton, Lab Director



1

. .

# QC REPORT

Date:

06/01/0106/02/01

Matrix:

Water

Extraction: TTLC

		Concenti	ation: u	ıg/L	%Rec	overy		
Compound	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	RPD	
SampleID: 60201				Instru	ument:	G	C-7	
Surrogate1	0.000	101.0	101.0	100.00	101	101	0.0	
Xylenes	0.000	32.0	31.8	30.00	107	106	0.6	
Ethyl Benzene	0.000	9.8	9.8	10.00	98	98	0.0	
Toluene	0.000	10.2	10. <b>1</b>	10.00	102	101	1.0	
Benzene	0.000	9.5	9.5	10.00	95	95	0.0	
MTBE	0.000	10.5	10.4	10.00	105	104	1.0	
GAS	0.000	105.9	106,3	100.00	106	106	0.3	
SampleID: 53001				Instru	ument:	GC-1	1 B	
Surrogate1	0.000	100.0	108.0	100.00	100	108	7.7	
TPH (diesel)	0.000	7250.0	7250.0	7500.00	97	97	0.0	
SampleID: 52501				Instr	ument:	I	R-1	
Surrogate1	0.000	95.7	94.4	100.00	96	94	1.4	
TRPH	0.000	25.0	25.0	23.70	105	105	0.0	

 $RPD = \frac{(MS - MSD)}{(MS + MSD)} 2.100$ 

RPD means Relative Percent Deviation

											2	Ś	57	て	3 ī	Zγ	tle		>7	0								
McCA	MPBEL				L IN	Э.									- (	CH	Αľ	<mark>۷</mark> C	)F (	CU	ST	<u>`O</u> ]	DY	'RJ	ECO]	RD		
	110 2 <sup>nd</sup> A	VENUE SO HECO, CA	OUTH, 94553	#D7									TUI	RN	AR	OU	ND	ΤII	мE						-		X	
Telephone: (925) 79		11.00, 011	,,,,,,,	. F	ax: (9	25) 1	798-1	622													RUS	SH	24	4 HC	OUR 4	48 HO	UR 5 DAY	
Report To: Pete 0	ner-	type	Bill To	;			,,								A	naly	/sis I	Requ	iest						Oth	ner	Comments	
Company: All Environmental	· · · ·												£															
3210 Old Tunnel R		В								<u>.</u>	ш.		Grease (5520 E&F/B&F)			f							,					
Lafayette, CA 9454	9-4157										8015/ MTBE		E&F	$\neg  $		·				EPA 625 / 8270 / 8310								
Tele: (925) 283-6000			Fax: (9					1		1	IS/)	.	20]	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)				{										
Project #: 4342		k	Project	Nan	ne:	1 ju	erg	i C	i Q,	¥	+		e (5	1 (Z	50)					/ 87			-					
Project Location:										•	020		1635	ĝ.	- 8		Z			625			60	• . [				
Sampler Signature:	<u> </u>		<del>.</del>	T	· · · · · ·				METH		602/6	1 1	8	20	EPA 601 / 8010 BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8020)		EPA 608 / 8080 PCB's ONLY	8		PA			Lead (7240/7421/239.2/6010)			}		
	SAM	PLING		S		[AT]	XIX	PF	ESEI	<b>VED</b>	jas (	8015	8	Ě	A		12	/ 82		<u>ک</u>			1/23			Ì		
N N	·	T		Type Containers							l sa	TPH as Diesel (8015)	Total Petroleum Oil &	ដ្ឋ	EPA 601 / 8010 BTEX ONLY (7	EPA 608 / 8080	80	EPA 624 / 8240 / 8260	EPA 625 / 8270	PAH's / PNA's by	CAM-17 Metals	tals	742					
SAMPLE ID LOCATION			# Containers	ont							BTEX & TPH	Dies	troje	ğ		8/8	8/8	4/8	5/8	Z	Ž.	LUFT 5 Metals	240					
	Date	Time	Į į		Water		Shudge	1		Other Other	A N	sa I	al Pe	4   2	8 2	3	80	18	V 62	H's)	T-	Ē	E P	<u>.</u>		.   .		
			#	5	Wat	Y I	Sludg	3 3	Ы Н С		EE	E	Tot	걸	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E	E E	臣	B	M	3	3	3	5				
AL. 05	4.	4	2		R	+		$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	<u>k -</u>		5						+			Ť	+	$\neg$		+			68728	۲ :
NW-08	5/31			<u> </u>	K	+		रि	R	-	Æ			-+			+							-+			Senia	r
Mu-10	-l <u>`</u> {		2					+	┢		K	$\frac{1}{1}$	+			-	+				_						68729	•
<u>nw-11</u>	·	<b> </b>	2		K				X	_	X	-											~ }				C0700	
MW-12	1 1	ļ	2		18			$\mathbf{k}$	$ \boldsymbol{\chi} $		İΧ		_	_		_		-			_	_		_		-	<u>68730</u>	*
MW - 16			2		X				$ \lambda $		14	1		_		1	<b>_</b>	Ļ									69774	2
NW - 26			2		X				X		X													_		: `	68731	
NW-27			2		X			$\mathbb{Z}$	X		X							[					·		1		68732	¥
$G_{11} = \Theta$	N		2		X			Тx	X		$\mathbf{N}$			T				1									00/02	
			1			·		- =+			ľ																68733	¥
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					╏╌┼╸					+								†				-1			-1-1	į.	00/00	• -
		<u> </u>			┨┈┼╸			-	┝┈┼				-+			+		1			+	-			-+-+	i I	68734	×
		<u> </u>	<b> </b>		┨╍╍┼╍			+	┝╍┈┝╸							+	-	├		-+	+	+		+		Ì		· -
A		<b> </b>			┟╌┼╴		┟┈╎╴		┝╌┟		<u> </u>			_		-				+	-+	+		-		1	68735	¥
/		ļ				ŀ										_		<b> </b>		$- \downarrow$		-		_	!	, ,		
																											·	
711		+																										
Relin yished By:	Date:	Time	Recei	ved B	y: •		2/	-			Re	emai	ks:		•													
N/V	Frak	14.00		a	na		10	l	0	2	Ι.			/				<b>.</b>					5 <b>10</b> 8(	GIME	TALSOT	HER		
Refinguished By:	Date	Time:	Recei	ved B	y:				1		E . 1		•						SER			<u>&lt;</u>	1	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>			
4/	1 /														TON				ROPI				/					
Relinquished By:	Inquished By: Date: Time: Received By:				}	HEAD	SPA	CE /	ABSEN	IT	<u> </u>	CON	ITAIN	ERS		V	,											
V	1	1																										

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #4342-E2; Dinesh	Date Sampled: 08/10/01
3210 Old Tunnel Road, Suite B		Date Received: 08/10/01
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Peter McIntyre	Date Extracted: 08/10/01
	Client P.O:	Date Analyzed: 08/10/01

08/17/01

Dear Peter:

Enclosed are:

1). the results of 6 samples from your #4342-E2; Dinesh project,

2). a QC report for the above samples

3). a copy of the chain of custody, and

4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits. If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

You's truly. Edward Hamilton, Lab Director

All Envi	ronmental, Inc		Client Proj	ect ID: #4	342-E2; Di	nesh	Date Sampled: 08/10/01							
3210 Old	I Tunnel Road,	, Suite B					Date Recei	ived: 08/10	)/01					
Lafayette	e, CA 94549-4	157	Client Con	tact: Peter	McIntyre		Date Extracted: 08/10-08/15/01							
			Client P.O:	:			Date Analy	yzed: 08/1(	0-08/15/01					
	e Range (C6-								* & BTEX*					
Lab ID	ods 5030, modified Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) <sup>+</sup>	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	% Recovery Surrogate					
74557	MW-08	w	4400,a	380	1200	41	160	170	109					
74558	MW-10	w	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	100					
74559	MW-11	w	300,a	340	0.95	1.6	ND	0.66	103					
74560	MW-12	w	ND	5.3	ND	ND	ND	ND	96					
74561	<b>M</b> W-16	w	ND	300	ND	ND	ND	ND	100					
74562	EW-01	w	210,a	620	14	2.2	0.98	1.1	102					
								·						
			<u> </u>	•			· ·	   						
	F 1							· ·						
otherwi	ng Limit unless ise stated; ND t detected above	w	50 ug/L	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5						
	porting limit	S	1.0 mg/kg	0.05	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005						

\* water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in ug/wipe, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP and SPLP extracts in ug/L

\* cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak

<sup>1</sup>The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~5 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern.

DHS Certification No. 1644

Edward Hamilton, Lab Director



# QC REPORT

# EPA 8015m + 8020

Date: 08/10/01-08/12/01	Extraction	: TTLC	Matrix:	Water			
		Concent	tration:	ug/L	%Rec	overy	-
Compound	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	RPD
SampleID: 81001					Instrume	nt: G	C-7
Surrogate1	ND	98.0	92.0	100.00	98	92	6.3
Xylenes	ND	27.6	27.4	30.00	92	91	0.7
Ethylbenzene	ND	8.5	8.5	10.00	85	85	0.0
Toluene	ND	8.9	8.6	10.00	89	86	3.4
Benzene	ND	8.4	8.3	10.00	84	83	1.2
МТВЕ	ND	9.8	9.0	10.00	98	90	8.5
TPH (gas)	ND	98.3	97.4	100.00	98	97	1.0

% Re covery =  $\frac{(MS-Sample)}{AmountSpiked} \cdot 100$ 

 $RPD = \frac{(MS - MSD)}{(MS + MSD)} \cdot 2 \cdot 100$ 

RPD means Relative Percent Deviation

	McCA	<u>نہ م</u> MPBE 110 2 <sup>m</sup>	LL AN	ALY	TICA	LI	INC.	<b>.</b>					<u> </u>				(	CH	ĀĪ	N C	F (		ST	TO.	DY	R R	ECC	DRD		
Telepho	one: (925) 79	PA	CHECO, C	A 9455	i3	Far	: (92:	5) 70	012				ĺ	•	TUI	RN	AR	OU	ND	TI	ИE						)		। √⊴	-
Report To: Peter		0 1020		Bill		L'AN	. (92.	1) 19	0-10	22			┣										RUS	SH	2	4 H	JUR	48 H	OUR 5'DA	Y
Company: All En										<u>u</u>			_		<del>-</del>		<u></u>	naly	rsis )	Requ	est	-					Of	ther	Commen	ts
	old Tunnel Re	nad Suit	e B				<del>.</del>	<u> </u>							କ୍କ										Ī			TT		
	tte, CA 9454				·								щ		Grease (5520 E&F/B&F)						Ì									
Tele: (925) 283-6		- 11.57		Fax	(925)	792	6121						+, 8015) MTBE		E&F	$ \neg  $						FAH S / PNA'S by EPA 625 / 8270 / 8310	[							
Project #: 43		ビフ			(323) ct Nai								15/1		220	18.1						3								
Project Location:	Lloner	man	3.0.	110j0		IRC.		$\mathcal{D}$	<u> </u>	ςh	<u> </u>		8 +		ŝ	5						22								•
Sampler Signature	:		$l^{\infty}$	<u></u>					<u> </u>				/8020		Cast	be e	8		F			i k			<u>6</u>		ł		1	
		N GAL	<b>APLING</b>			<b>—</b>				M	ÉTHO		2		S a	LULLI FELOICEUM HYGTOCATOONS (418.1) EPA 601 / 8010	BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8020)		EPA 608 / 8080 PCB's ONLY	0		20 7			Lead (7240/7421/239.2/6010)				ļ	
		SAN	1PLING		5		MA	TRD	K	PRE	SERV	ÆD	Gas (602/	TPH as Diesel (8015)	Totai Petroleum Oil &	Ě	A		Ð	EPA 624 / 8240 / 8260		B ۲		1	53					
(1.4.5 FROM 19 19)				ers	aine		TT						- M - L	8	E			80	8	<u></u>	g	\$.	Sa .	2	121					
SAMPLE ID	LOCATION	Date	Time	# Containers	Type Containers	1							BTEX & TPH	Dies.		EPA 601 / 8010	Ξ	EPA 608 / 8080	/80	/ 82	EPA 625 / 8270	Į,	LAIM-1 / MEIAIS	LUFI 5 Metals	ŝ					
		Date	Time	Įğ	8	Į		ğ	비별		<u>ک</u> ا ہ	5	N X	as	Per l			608	608	53	53		1 2		Ě				1	
		1		1	٦ <u>٦</u>	Water	Soil	Aut Sludge	Other	lCe L	HNO,	Other	Ë	Hau			H٣.	ΡA	PA	PA	<b>₹</b>   .	Ŧ		5	Lead	3				
MW-08		8/100			-	-	╉╼╌╂╸		-			┿╍┉╉	-+					Щ						<u> </u>		4				
1		0/190	/	12	1009	X	$\vdash$	-		XÞ	_	<b>├</b>	≤⊥																	
10-10		<u> </u>		12	╺┠╌┟╌	2				X	<u> </u>		$\mathbf{X}$									T						4 .	74557	
$MW \sim 11$		┠┠		2	╇╇	<mark>ا</mark> لح				×γ	;		×	_[													ŢŢ,		74558	
All		┠╼╌┠╼╍╍		<u> </u> Z_	<u></u> _	X				XΧ			$\times$				ĺ			ł									· · · · · ·	
MW - 16				<u>z</u> _		X				ΧĮΧ	<u> </u>		X										1						74559	
EW-0)		N		12	$ \mathbf{V} $	X		+	f	XX			× -				-												74560	
								-									+				-		+	+-		-			74561	
				1				1.						-	- -	+				+		+	•		-+	+	<u>+</u> }	•		
									-†		┤┤		-+-	+-	+		┼╌┤						+	+-				•	74562	
				1			-+-	╶┧╼╾┤	+		┨╌╴┨			+	+					$\perp$		1							L	ļ
			f	╂		<u> </u>			-		<b>├</b>				1															
	······		<b> </b>	<b> </b>		-+			_												Í			Τ	Τ	1				
		- <u> </u>	ļ																			1-	$\top$	+		+	<u>†</u> -†-	-		—
					1	T		$\square$	T			$\top$	1	_ _	$\uparrow$	†				-+	+	+	+	1-	-+	╂──	┟──╀━			_
			•			-	-	┼╌╀	1	+	┢┉╶╂	- -	+	+-	-	$\left  - \right $		+				┨	–			┟	_⊢			
Finduished By:		Defe: 1/401	Time. 245	Recei	ved By				2				Rem	arks	:				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L.	1	<u> </u>				
Einenished By:		Date:	Time:		ved By:		el	100	1	le	K.					· · ·						-	1	VOA	sine	GIME	TAISK	other		ļ
1/		- W.	1 13 EQ.,	ACCC1	YCU DY;					~				ice/										e wr 1	~ ~~			a 11 dig13		
clinguished By-		Date	Time	D.				·····			_				-	NDI	TION													
		Jaic.	1 H11C:	Kecel.	vea By:																									
elinquished By:	·	Date:	Time:		ved By: ved By:										+° )D C(	NDI	<b>Tion</b> Abse			<b>P</b> RE App	SER Rop	<b>VATI</b> Riat	ON_ E							

.

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #4342	Date Sampled: 09/25/01
3210 Old Tunnel Road, Suite B		Date Received: 09/26/01
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Peter McIntyre	Date Extracted: 09/26/01
	Client P.O:	Date Analyzed: 09/26/01

10/0301

Dear Peter:

Enclosed are:

1). the results of 1 samples from your #4342 project,

2). a QC report for the above samples

3). a copy of the chain of custody, and

4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits. If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Yours truly dward Hamilton, Lab Director

All Envi	ronmental, Inc.		Client Proj	ect ID: #4	342	Date Sampled: 09/25/01						
3210 Old	l Tunnel Road,	Suite B					Date Rece	ived: 09/26	5/01			
Lafayette	e, CA 94549-4	157	Client Con	tact: Peter	McIntyre		Date Extra	cted: 10/0	1/01			
			Client P.O:	,		· · ·	Date Anal	yzed: 10/0	1/01			
	e Range (C6-0 ods 5030, modified								* & BTEX*			
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) <sup>+</sup>	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	% Recovery Surrogate			
79553	MW-8	w	2100,a	210	470	7.2	6.5	7.1	112			
							·····					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
			<u> </u>									
			<u></u>			i						
		   .										
							+					
	ig Limit unless ise stated; ND	w	50 ug/L	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				
	t detected above porting limit	S	1.0 mg/kg	0.05	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005				

\* water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in ug/wipe, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP and SPLP extracts in ug/L

\* cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak

<sup>t</sup>The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~5 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern.

DHS Certification No. 1644



110 2nd Ave. South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

# QC REPORT

# EPA 8015m + 8020

Date: 09/30/01-10/01/01	Extraction	EPA 5	5030		Matrix:	Water	
		Concent	ration:	ug/L	%Rec	overy	
Compound	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	RPD
SampleID: 92801				•	Instrumer	<u>it:</u> G0	2-7
Surrogate1	ND	99.0	100.0	100.00	99	100	1.0
Xylenes	ND	31.5	33.7	30.00	105	112	6.7
Ethylbenzene	ND	10.3	10.4	10.00	103	104	1.0
Toluene	ND	10.3	10.6	10.00	103	106	2.9
Benzene	ND	9.6	9.8	10.00	96	98	2.1
МТВЕ	ND	8.5	8.8	10.00	85	88	3.5
TPH (gas)	ND	102.3	115.8	100.00	102	116	12.4

% Re covery =  $\frac{(MS-Sample)}{AmountSpiked} \cdot 100$ 

 $\mathbf{RPD} = \frac{(MS - MSD)}{(MS + MSD)} \cdot 2 \cdot 100$ 

RPD means Relative Percent Deviation

		one: (925) 79	110-2 P.	ELL AN AVENUA ACHECO, 1	е sout Сл 945	<b>'H, <i>N</i>D'</b> 53	7								al				C	HA	D U	I OI TIM	F C E	ł	TC D USH		<b>.</b> . I	Q	CO		נ ו	5 DAY
	Report To: Peter		·	- · · ·	Bill	To:													Ār	alys	is R	eque	st					Γ	Ōth			omments
	Company: All En															Ţ	<u>.                                    </u>	Τ		ΓÍ			_	1		1	T	╋╌		<u> </u>	+	oundents.
		d Tunnel R																	1													. *
	Tele: (925) 283-60	the, CA 9454	9-4157											••	TBE			ł	1	{		{	2				ļ			ľ		
	Project #: 4					(925)	_		21						aern y krae		3 3	' [			ĺ		59 / 6									
	Project Location:	Oak	- land		210	ct Na	me:		_						l g	1			ຣ				1270			1						
	Sempler Signature		<del>717</del>														Ī		802		걸		51		1	<u>ខ</u>				-		
							·			_					20	\$	5		03 /		ថ្លី		EPA 625 / 8270 / 8310			3						
			$\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{sa}}$	MPLING		2		M	ATR	IX	1	PRES	THO	ED	0208/209) 480				PA 6			826	Ē			62						
	811407 m	· · ·	1	1	ŝ	Type Containers	Γ	Τ			1				2	I'rh as Dietel (BOI;5) Tetra Permiana Oli & Construction	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)	2	BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8020)	8	EFA 008 / 8080 PCB'S ONLY	EFA 625 / 8240 / 8260 EPA 625 / 8270	PAH's / PNA's by	2		(0109/2 652/1240/1451) pro-1				Į.	1.	
	SAMPLB ID	LOCATION	Date	Time	# Containers	Į		1			ł				BTEX & TPH as			EPA 601 / 8010	El	EPA 608 / 8080	튁	EPA 625 / 8270	N <sup>X</sup>	CAM-17 Metals	LUFT-5.Metals	Ś						
			Date	1 ing	ļ	l a		Soil		ခို	ត្ត 🗍		FONH	L.	a í		194	8	× I	19	8	2 3		[ <u>-</u> ]	5	Ĕ						• •
	•		],		¥	្រុក្	ŝ	So	2	a la	វីដ្រី	出	阎	81	BTE			[₹]	쁥)	Se la	<b>۲</b>	S S	FZ	1¥	F		E L	ĺ				
	10-8		9/2	<	7	t la	- <b>-</b> -	1	┝╼┾	+		$\mathbf{t}$		-	1	+	+	-+	_		-+-			Ľ			-				17	9553
	·		14-	·	-1-	- <b>/</b> ~~	¥C	¥—		+	p	K	$\left  \right $		4	╌┝╴		┝──┼														0000
		··	f				┢	$\vdash$			╞	-	-	_	-	_	4											· [	1		T	<u> </u>
				- <b> </b>			<b> </b>		-		⊥			_	_					_	1	·						1			+	·
		- <u> </u>	Į	- <b> </b>	-												1		1								-1	+	1	1	+	
	<u>.</u>			<b>_</b>	,										Ī	T					1		† †	-	-+		-†	-+-	<del>-</del> [-	╌╂━╴	╀━	
				<u> </u>		!			ł		F					1	$\square$		1			- [		f			-†			-+	+	
						ſ				Ť	1	1 1	-		$\top$		╈	[-	;†			-{				-				-	╇	
									-†-		╀╴	$\uparrow$		+			+	+			┼─	1-	+	-	_		╌┟	-+-			∔	
			·		1-	f—		┝╼╌╂	-+-	-+	┢	$\left  \right $	+	+		+	┝─╁	-+-		- <u>+</u> -	+-					-+-				1_	4	<u> </u>
				1	f	<u> </u>	$\vdash$	+			╂	<u>├</u>	-	- -		·{—	┝━╁		_ _	_	-	+										
			<u></u>			┣──	┠──┦	╾┼			-	┝╼╌┠	_ <u> </u> _	- -	_	-			-+												1	
				<b></b>	┟	<b> </b>	[	-  -	_ļ_					_ _	_	L					1			Ţ	Ī	T	·	-	1	1-1		• • •
,				·/	<b> </b>	<b>-</b>								·			[ [									-†	1	-1-	+		<u> </u>	
				1	[							T		T	1				1	1-	•	-+						+	+	$\left  - \right $	┢╼──	·····
		· · ·		]			T	T	Τ			+		1	1-		<b>ŀ</b> -		+-		!	┢╼╾┞							<u></u>	–	┝	
•	ז / T						-+		1-	+ -	┝─┼		+			$\left[ - \right]$	-+			+		┡─┟	╼╼┼╴	+	+-				+	┝─┛	┝──	
	Inguished By:		Dilc:/	Time:	Recei	yed By			$ \rightarrow $					┢	ema	[[		4	å_	1_						-		, Im	Les !	ليقيل	are .	
		Ľ ľ	Delic: Zebor	K07	1.11	an				/	-	~		1			, ,	/				-	***		N.		100	N N	ETALS	nou	חברו	
	inquished Dy:		Data:	Time:	Recen	red By				10	~	0		-		E/K				/			ESER			$\underline{V}$	┶	سلسر		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
			1	1		,	1							1	G	000	CON	DITIC	N_	$\leq$			PROF			1.						1
,	nguished By:		Deta:	Time:	Queri	ed By:	<u>.</u>							4	. u	TAD.	SPA(		SEN	IT	ſ	/60	INTAL	NER	S	<u> </u>	_					

.



110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #4342; Hegenberger	Date Sampled: 12/14/2001
3210 Old Tunnel Road, Suite B		Date Received: 12/14/2001
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Peter McIntyre	Date Extracted: 12/14/2001
	Client P.O:	Date Analyzed: 12/14/2001

12/21/01

Dear Peter:

Enclosed are:

1). the results of 3 samples from your #4342; Hegenberger project,

2). a QC report for the above samples

3). a copy of the chain of custody, and

4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits. If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Yours trul

Edward Hamilton, Lab Director

	ronmental, Inc		Client Proj	ect ID: #4	342; Hegen	lberger	Date Samp		
	l Tunnel Road, e, CA 94549-4		Client Con	tact: Peter	McIntyre		Date Extra		
			Client P.O	:			Date Analy	yzed: 12/1	8-12/19/2001
	e Range (C6- ods 5030, modified								* & BTEX*
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g) <sup>+</sup>	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	% Recovery Surrogate
86612	MW-8	w	1 <b>800,a</b>	26	230	34	67	150	#
86613	MW-11	w	250,a	300	2.8	1.7	ND	0.85	#
86614	EW-01	w	2400,a	510	320	57	23	70	111
							· · ·		
							-		
······································							· · · · · ·		
· · · · ·									
	g Limit unless se stated; ND	w	50 ug/L	5.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
means not	detected above	S	1.0 mg/kg	0.05	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	

\* water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in ug/wipe, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, and all TCLP and SPLP extracts in ug/L

\* cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak

"The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation: a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (?); f) one to a few isolated peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~5 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern.

DHS Certification No. 1644

\_Edward Hamilton, Lab Director



# **QC REPORT**

# EPA 8015m + 8020

Date: 12/18/01	Extraction	: TTLC			Matrix:	Water	
		Concent	tration:	ug/L	%Rec	overy	
Compound	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	RPD
SampleID: 121701					Instrumer	<u>nt:</u> GC	-12
Surrogate1	ND	98.0	98.0	100.00	98	98	0.0
Xylenes	ND	32.8	34.3	30.00	109	114	4.5
Ethylbenzene	ND	11. <b>1</b>	11.3	10.00	111	113	1.8
Toluene	ND	10.6	10.9	10.00	106	109	2.8
Benzene	ND	10.4	10.7	10.00	104	107	2.8
МТВЕ	ND	9.9	10.6	10.00	99	106	6.8
TPH (gas)	ND	98.9	96.1	100.00	99	96	2.8

% Re covery =  $\frac{(MS - Sample)}{AmountSpiked} \cdot 100$ 

 $RPD = \frac{(MS - MSD)}{(MS + MSD)} 2.100$ 

RPD means Relative Percent Deviation

Teleph Report To D	one: (925) 79	8-1620	CHECO, C			Fax	: <mark>(9</mark> 2	:5)7	<b>98-</b> )	622	2							N A								н	24	Ц НОІ	UR	48 F		<b>∠.</b> ₹∵5 D.	ΔV
Report To: Peter Company: All En	McIntyre	-,	·	Bill 1	Го:	<u>-</u>													An	alys	is R	equ	est					- <b>T</b>		ther		Comme	_
	Old Tunnel Ro	and Qui	te D											_		£	Γ					Ī				1	Т	+-	T	TT	-+		
Lafave	tte, CA 9454	0.4157	ев					<u> </u>								19																	
Tele: (925) 283-6		2-4157		Far. (	(015)	001	(10		·	'				MTBE	1	Grease (5520 E&F/B&F)						·		2									
	342	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fax: (	(923)	283	-012							12		201	8																
Project Location:	Daltin	all	<u> </u>	Proje	ULINA	me:	01	Z	e.	<u>1 þ (</u>	en	st.	<u>m</u>	+ 8015Y		(55	5 (4		6					72									
Sampler Signature	<u>c/~.(/~</u>			<u> </u>										- S		case	црон		802		Ę					19	2						
	1	A CAR			- <u>r</u>	- <b>r</b>				<b>_</b>	MD	THŌ	n -	52/8		Ю а	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)		BTEX ONLY (EPA 602 / 8020)		EPA 608 / 8080 PCB's ONLY		0/20/220 A 44			Lead (7240/7421/239 2/6010)	3						
		SAN	MPLING		I II	۶.	MA	ATR	IX	P	RES	ERV	/ED	Gas (602/	015)	5 TRO	τ <del>ρ</del> Γ		×.		8	\$26		1		052							
· SAMPLE ID	1001000			lers	aire			T	Τ		T	T	1	18	100	Ē	Ę	01	<u>ا</u>	8	80	€   {	2			12							
· SAMPLE ID	LOCATION	Date	Time	# Containers	Type Containers				-	1			·	28 HAT	TPH as Diesel (8015)	Total Petroleum Oil &	<u>e</u>	EPA 601 / 8010	£	EPA 608 / 8080	8	EFA 024 / 8240 EPA 626 / 8240	No.	CAM-17 Metale	LUFT 5 Metals	Š							
		Daie	Time	R	L E	Water		_  ·	ğ		-	Ő	5	X X	as l	l Pe	Fe	ទី	ЗI.	<b>8</b>	8	57   5 70   5		5	2	Ĕ							
		l ·		#		ß	Soil	Ϋ́,	Other	្រុះ	E	- SNH	Other	BTEX &	Ē	Et of	Tota	6   S	BTB	A I		₹   }			5	E a	RC	1.	1 1	1	1		i ya n
MW-9		12/14	1000	Z	1100	X	$\uparrow$	╍╆		_	Ż			X		$\dashv$			-+		-+-			1	┥┙		- <u>~</u>			<u>्</u> भ	- 86	5612	2
nu-1)		1 4	-f	7	<u>  // 1</u>		┨─┤			╍┨╌╾	-	+		×		-	-+			_		_			<u> </u>		1_						
2W-01				12		X	╞╌┝			X	- I			$\left  \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right $		$\dashv$														1	- 86	<u>5613</u>	(
		V	- <b>  •</b>	12	<u>  "</u>	X	┝╌┟			Ľ	X			X																			N 15 2
		ļ		<b> </b>	<b> </b>			_	_	Ŀ	ļ											Τ	T		1		1			Y	80	5614	
			Į	<b> </b>												T					-		1	1	+	<u> </u>	1		i	<u></u>	ing sin i	1.14	- -
		l 								ļ									-		+	-	+	+	╞		+	┢╌┼	— <del> </del> -	-+-			
	· · · · ·	-													-+	-	-+-			+-	-+	+		+		<u> </u>	+—	<u>├</u> ─-╂		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
								$\top$	- †									-}-								<u> </u>	<b> </b>	┢╼╾┽					
			· [	f	-				╉─						+					-		_		<b> </b>				<u> </u>					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1						+	$\left  - \right $				-+	_			-			4_		1	L.									
									+				_	-+	_ <u> </u> -			$\bot$															
			ł	<b>├</b>					$\downarrow$			_		_	-														-		1		·
							$\perp$	-							_								1							-+-	+		
																	1										-+	-+		-+	-{		
																	- -	1	1-	+	1-	<u>†                                    </u>			-		$\dashv$				╂		
AL				- · [								+	+		+		3	39.4		1	1	┨		$\neg$		$\neg$	+	_		<u> </u>	<b> </b>		
Chinquished By:	ſ	Date:	Z <sup>Time:</sup>	Receiv	d By	:	1	· /	, <b></b> )			<u></u>	+	Rem	ark		1. "	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	1	· • •					<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>		
$\mu/\mu$		r	5	$\int_{a}$	Lin	nh	_ <b>[</b> ]	Ľ	)(1`	+1e	r					-1	Ĺ	E/M		L	/			•	<u>.</u>	<b>F</b> our		_ VO/	ĄS   O	18G] N	NETALS	OTHER	
telioquished By:		Date:	Time:	Receiv			- <u>·</u> ·			<u> </u>		-	4				0	000	cor	TUN	IOM	i	/	1	TH:S	tKV/	ATIO	νv	1				
<u></u>											÷						H	EAD !	SPÁ	CF A	RSE	MT	7	. !	1rrK	UPH VPH	IATE	Ĺ	)		(	$\overline{}$	
elinquished By:		Date:	Time:	Receiv	ed By:						•••		4							~~ A	000			(	CONT	AIN	:RS_				Ċ	D	
		]																													Į	U))	1



#### 110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 http://www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

All Environmental, Inc.	Client Project ID: #4342; Hegenberger F.	Date Sampled:
3210 Old Tunnel Rd., Ste. B		Date Received:
Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	Client Contact: Peter McIntyre	Date Reported: 04/22/02
Lalayouo, CA 34343-4137	Client P.O.:	Date Completed: 04/23/02

April 23, 2002

Dear Peter:

.

Enclosed are:

1). the results of 8 samples from your #4342; Hegenberger F. project,

2). a QC report for the above samples

3). a copy of the chain of custody, and

4). a bill for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits. If you have any questions please contact me. McCampbell Analytical Laboratories strives for excellence in quality, service and cost. Thank you for your business and I look forward to working with you again.

Yours truly,

his tor C

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

	McCampbell	Analyti	cal Inc.			Telepho	ne : 9	South, #D7, Pache 25-798-1620 Fai bell.com E-mail: 1	x : 925-798-16	22				
All Envi	ronmental, Inc.		Client Proj	ect ID: #4342;	Hegenberge	rF.	Da	te Sampled:	04/08/02					
3210 Old	I Tunnel Rd., S	te. B					Da	te Received:	04/08/02					
Lafavette	e, CA 94549-41	57	Client Con	tact: Peter McI	ntyre		Da	te Extracted:	04/11/02	-04/13/0	)2			
Lalayette	, CA 94949-41		Client P.O.	.:			Date Analyzed: 04/11/02-04/13/02							
extraction me	Gasolin thod: SW5030B	e Range	(C6-C12) Vo	o <b>latile Hydroca</b> Analytical m	rbons as Ga			h MTBE and		Work Orde	k Order: 0204126			
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)	MTBE	Benzene	Tolue	ne	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	DF	% SS			
001A	MW-08	w	32000,a	ND<1000	2000	820	)	1100	2300	200	105			
002A	MW-10	w	ND	ND	ND	NE	)	ND	ND	1	103			
003A	MW-11	w	86,a	300	0.70	0.7′	7	ND	ND	1	116			
004A	MW-12	w	51,a	ND	3.1	0.9	8	1.2	2.0	1	108			
005A	MW-16	w	ND	45	1.7	0.6	i	0.78	1,4	1	105			
006A	MW-26	w	ND	ND	ND	NE	>	ND	ND	1	102			
007A	MW-27	w	ND	ND	ND	NE	)	ND	ND	1	102			
008A	EW-01	w	230,a	190	37	3.1		1.5	1.0	1	#			
					-									
	Limit for DF =1;	w	50	5	0.5	0.5	i i	0.5	0.5	   u	.g/L			
	not detected at or reporting limit	S	1	0.05	0.005	0.00	)5	0.005	0.005	m	g/Kg			

\*water and vapor samples are reported in ug/L, soil and sludge samples in mg/kg, wipe samples in ug/wipe, and TCLP extracts in ug/L.

DF = dilution factor.

# cluttered chromatogram; sample peak coelutes with surrogate peak.

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation; a) unmodified or weakly modified gasoline is significant; b) heavier gasoline range compounds are significant(aged gasoline?); c) lighter gasoline range compounds (the most mobile fraction) are significant; d) gasoline range compounds having broad chromatographic peaks are significant; biologically altered gasoline?; e) TPH pattern that does not appear to be derived from gasoline (stoddard solvent); f) one to a few isolated non-target peaks present; g) strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant; h) lighter than water immiscible sheen/product is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than ~2 vol. % sediment; j) no recognizable pattern.

DHS Certification No. 1644

McCa	mpbell Analyt	ical Inc.		Teleph	venue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 9455 one : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1 ccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccan	522	
11 Environme	ntal, Inc.	Client Project 1	D: #434 <b>2;</b>	Hegenberger F.	Date Sampled: 04/08/02	2	
210 Old Tunr	el Rd., Ste. B				Date Received: 04/08/02	2	
-f	04540 4157	Client Contact	Peter Mcl	Intyre	Date Extracted: 04/16/0	2	
afayette, CA	94349-4137	Client P.O.:			Date Analyzed: 04/18/0	2	
traction method: S	W5030B	M	-	Butyl Ether* ethods: SW8260B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Vork Order:	020412
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix		Methyl-t-butyl etho	т (MTBE)	DF	% SS
001B	MW-08	w		62		10	98.9
							1
-							
				···· ·		-	
						-	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				<u> </u>			
			<u></u>				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				+
Reporting	Limit for DF =1;	w	·	0.5			ug/L
ND means	not detected at or ne reporting limit	S		NA	<u> </u>		NA

h) lighter than water immiscible sheen is present; i) liquid sample that contains greater than  $\sim 2$  vol. % sediment; j) sample diluted due to high organic content.

DHS Certification No. 1644

.

Edward Hamilton, Lab Director



### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8021B/8015Cm

BatchID: 1150

Matrix: W

WorkOrder: 0204126

EPA Method: SW802	1B/8015Cm	Extraction: SW50	30B	Ext. Date: 4	/08/02	Spiked Sam	ple ID 0204	1 <b>13-003A</b>
Compound	Sample	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD
Compound	µg/L	μg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD
TPH(gas)	ND	60	95.2	101	6.10	102	103	0.715
MTBE	ND	10	108	110	1.20	106	109	3.02
Benzene	ND	10	107	105	1.10	107	108	1.11
Toluene	0.7826	10	102	102	0.530	111	113	2.38
Ethylbenzene	ND	10	109	109	0.0121	109	112	2.36
Xylenes	1.45	30	105	105	0	110	110	0
Surr: % SS	NA	10	103	106	0	106	106	0

All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less that the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

NA = not enough sample to perform metrix spike, or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount.

% Recovery = 100 \* (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 \* (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) \* 2.

MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or their RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogeneous AND contains significant concentrations of analyze relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery. The LCS and LCSD are spikes into a clean, known, similar matrix and they and the surrogate standards reflect the overall validity of their extraction batch. Our control limits are 70-130% recovery and 30% RPD for the LCS-LCSD and for the Surrogate Standards.

110 2nd Avenue South, #D7, Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 Telephone : 925-798-1620 Fax : 925-798-1622 <u>http://www.mccampbell.com</u> E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

# **QC REPORT** VOCs (EPA 8240/8260)

Date: 04/18/02	Extraction	EPA 5	5030	· · ·	Matrix:	Water	
		Concent	ration:	ug/L	%Rec	overy	
Compound	Sample	MS	MSD	Amount Spiked	MS	MSD	RPD
SampleID: 31802					Instrumer	<u>it</u> GC	-10
Surrogate	ND	110.0	111.0	100.00	110	111	0.9
Methyl tert-Butyi Ether	ND	9.9	11.0	10.00	99	110	10.5

% Re covery =  $\frac{(MS - Sample)}{AmountSpiked} \cdot 100$ 

 $RPD = \frac{(MS - MSD)}{(MS + MSD)} 2.100$ 

RPD means Relative Percent Deviation

•

-

# McCampbell Analytical Inc.

110 Second Avenue South, #D7 Pacheco, CA 94553-5560 (925) 798-1620

# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

WorkOrder: 0204126

Client: All Environmental, Inc. 3210 Old Tunnel Rd., Ste. B Lafayette, CA 94549-4157	TEL: FAX: ProjectNo: PO:	(925) 283-6000 (925) 283-6121 #4342; Hegenber	16-Apr-02
			Requested Tests

ClientSampID	Matrix	<b>Collection Date</b>	Bottle	8021B/8015	SW8260B									
						+	<u> </u>				~			
MW-08	Water	4/8/02 2:00:00 PM		A	<u>B</u>					- <u> </u>				
	Water	4/8/02		A		<u> </u>								
	Water	4/8/02		Α	<b>.</b>	L					<u>_</u>			
	Water	4/8/02		A										
	Water	4/8/02		A										
MW-26	Water	4/8/02		A										
MW-27	Water	4/8/02		A										
EW-01	Water	4/8/02 3:00:00 PM		Α		L			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
	MW-08 MW-10 MW-11 MW-12 MW-16 MW-26 MW-27	MW-08WaterMW-10WaterMW-11WaterMW-12WaterMW-16WaterMW-26WaterMW-27Water	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM           MW-10         Water         4/8/02           MW-11         Water         4/8/02           MW-12         Water         4/8/02           MW-16         Water         4/8/02           MW-26         Water         4/8/02           MW-27         Water         4/8/02	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM           MW-10         Water         4/8/02           MW-11         Water         4/8/02           MW-12         Water         4/8/02           MW-16         Water         4/8/02           MW-26         Water         4/8/02           MW-27         Water         4/8/02	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM         A           MW-10         Water         4/8/02         A           MW-11         Water         4/8/02         A           MW-12         Water         4/8/02         A           MW-16         Water         4/8/02         A           MW-26         Water         4/8/02         A           MW-27         Water         4/8/02         A	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM         A         B           MW-10         Water         4/8/02         A         A           MW-11         Water         4/8/02         A         A           MW-12         Water         4/8/02         A         A           MW-16         Water         4/8/02         A         A           MW-26         Water         4/8/02         A         A           MW-27         Water         4/8/02         A         A	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM         A         B           MW-08         Water         4/8/02         A         Image: Second Seco	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM         A         B	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM         A         B         Image: Second conditional seconditional second conditera second conditera second	ClientSampID         Matrix         Contention pate         Dotte         Dotte <thdotte< th="">         Dotte         Dotte</thdotte<>	MW-08         Water         4/8/02 2:00:00 PM         A         B         Image: Constraint of the second of the sec			

Comments:
Comments:

Date/Time	Date/Time
Relinquished by:	Received by:
Relinquished by:	Received by:
Relinquished by:	Received by:

NOTE: Samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made. Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.

Bottle Type: L-Liter V-Voa S-Soil Jar O-Orbo T-Tedlar B-Brass P-Plastic OT-Other

Page 1 of 1

	MICCAMPB	ELL AN	ALY	ICAT			<u> </u>		<u> </u>										am	1								
	VICCAMPBELL ANALY FICAL INC. 110 2 <sup>nd</sup> AVENUE SOUTH, #D7							CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD																				
	PACHECO, CA 94553 Telephone: (925) 798-1620 Fax: (925) 798-1622								TURN AROUND TIME										X									
•	Report To: Peter McIntyre									RUSH 24 HOUR 48 HOUR												`						
	Company: All Environmental																			Com	ments							
	3210 Old Tunnel Road, Suite B										& F)	Ì				4/15									1			
	Lafayette, CA 94549-4157										E/B							0		İ								
	Tele: (925) 283-6000 Fax: (925) 283-6121									MTBE	NE &	19				VINO		158				1						
	Project #: (13(1))								80157	5520	(418				1		è l			Í								
	Project Location: Crather we								+ 0	Grease (5520 E&F/B&F)	SHO		620	2	因		82		6									
	Sampler Signature:		~							7802	- B	Carb		5/8	No	ξ		625		601(			1					
۰.	SA	MPLING		SI	MA	<b>FRIX</b>	PRJ	(ETHC ESERV	D /ED	B1 EX & 1PH as Gas (602 TPH as Diesel (8015)		Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)		(EPA 602 / 8020)	EPA 608 / 8080 PCB's ONLY	EPA 624 / 8240 / 250 MIBE		V EPA 625 / 8270 / 8310		Lead (7240/7421/239.2/6010)	Î							
	SAMPLE ID LOCATION	- I	# Containers	Type Containers					1 1	i as G	Ē	Ē	8	원 8	80 1	9	8	CAM-17 Metale		421/								
•	SAMPLE ID LOCATION Date	Time	tai	Con 1		0	11	1:		Diese			EPA 601 / 8010	BTEX ONLY (J EPA 608 / 8080	8	1 82	EPA 625 / 8270	CAM-17 Metale	LUFT 5 Metals	40/1			ŀ		· [			
			Í Ö	ğ	Soil	Sludge Other	lice Ice	ENG, HC	Other	Sa F	al P	a la	8		ŝ	ŝ	3		-   S	132	1							
		İ	#		Soil	: 🗟 🛛		E E	B		۴,	P L		E   B	EPA	EPA	EPA	S   S		Lead	12							
1	MW-08 4/90	7 2-31	mZh	Josey 5	$\langle    $		X	<u> </u>		$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	┥┉╌	<u></u> ++-			-	Σ†			+		<u> </u>	<b> </b>	┝╼┥	-+-				
٦	MW-10	1	7	1		<del> - -</del> -	X	X	5	<u>_</u>		┟╌┼		~	-	4		+		-			┝╍┼			<del></del>		
4	-MW-11			1.15		┤╸┤┈	Ĺ.	×			- <del> </del>	╞╌┼											<u> </u>					
۰.	+MW-12						え					+		·	$\left  - \right $			-						$\downarrow$				
J	mw - 16	╶┟╴┤╶╴	2	+		╂┈╂╍╸	$\bigcirc$	<u>`</u> ]																				}.
,	MW - 26	╉╋		┤╞			ЮŔ	ХН	<u> </u>								_L											
4	NW-27		2		<u> </u>	┟╌┥	<u>k f</u>	)	>			·	-  -			-		_	_									
1	$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{W} - 0)$		2				XP	¥—I	>	<u> </u>																		
ť		<u>v</u>	14	<b>√</b>  ×	┥┛╏╴	┟╌┟╌┥	×Þ	(	X			· .												-	1			· · ·
1 1		· · ·			┟┈ ╌					_	·												-+	+				
			-ll	$-\downarrow$			-											1	1						+	<del></del>		-1
																-	-	$\uparrow$				-+	-+-		╢			-
	·	-										_				~- <u></u>  -	1-	1-						_ <u> </u>		<u> </u>	·	
	<u> </u>										$\neg$		-†			+	+	-	╎┈╶┨		-+		-+-	- -				4
i									-	╏╌┨														+-				·
				7						┟╌┦			+	+				$\left  \right $						$\perp$	- <u> </u>			_
	Relingvished Bold Hard Dave	Time:	Received	JBy	ليسلح			<u>l.                                    </u>		emar	 ke•		ŀ	Ŀ									1_					· ·
$\sim$	All All you	epes	A	n fy	d	. ·					1. N 1	8	· • •									<b></b>						
y y	Relinguished By Dy Date:	Time:	Received						4		ህይ <i>ም</i> ተ	ufk	<b></b>	<b>**</b> *			-	~~~		VO/	ASI	18G	h&T	#S#()	)]][編	ł		1
	Palie 14 15												a 371.7		/	· .		SER					-	<u> </u>		<u></u>		
	Relinquished By: Date:	Time:	Received	By:					1		C.L				10		AFF	P0/1	(IAI)							. 1	$\overline{\mathbb{S}}$	)
i L		L	l			· .					HCA	o sp/	102/	100CT	11		UU	HAIN	ens,		والطعي					. (	μ	1.

÷.,

.

• •

. .