

RECEIVED

By Alameda County Environmental Health 2:00 pm, Sep 18, 2015

July 23, 2015

Mr. Keith Nowell
Alameda County Health Care Services Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Subject: **Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015**
Site: **76 Station No. 5191/5043**
 449 Hegenberger Road
 Oakland, California
 Fuel Leak Case No. RO0000219

Dear Mr. Nowell;

I declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my knowledge the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached report is/are true and correct.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call:

Walter T. Sprague
Apro LLC.
7180 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 100
Pleasanton, California 94566
Tel: (925) 931-5714
Fax: (925) 905-2746
WSprague@mygoodsmarket.com

Sincerely,

APRO LLC.


WALTER SPRAGUE
Director of Retail Services

Attachment

Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015

*76 Station No. 5191/5043
449 Hegenberger Road
Oakland, California*

*Alameda County Health Care Services
Agency Fuel Leak Case No. R00000219*

*San Francisco Bay, Regional Water Quality
Control Board Case No. 01-1601*

GeoTracker Global ID No. T0600101476

Antea Group Project No. I42705191

July 23, 2015

Prepared for:

Mr. Keith Nowell

Alameda County Health Care
Services Agency
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway,
Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Prepared by:

Antea®Group

11050 White Rock Road,
Suite 110
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
+1 800 477 7411

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Work Performed [Second Quarter 2015]	1
1.2	Work Proposed [Third Quarter 2015]	1
2.0	CURRENT PROJECT STATUS	2
2.1	Regulatory Correspondence.....	2
2.2	Remedial Activities.....	2
2.3	Groundwater Monitoring.....	2
2.3.1	Groundwater Flow Gradient and Directional Trends	3
2.3.2	Groundwater Quality Data	3
2.3.3	Groundwater Contaminant Trends.....	4
2.3.4	Waste Disposal Summary	5
2.3.5	Quality Assurance / Quality Control	5
3.0	LOW THREAT CLOSURE POLICY CHECKLIST	6
4.0	RECOMMENDATION.....	6
5.0	REMARKS	7

Figures

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Plan
Figure 3	Groundwater Elevation Contour Map – June 11, 2015
Figure 4	Dissolved Phase TPHg Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015
Figure 5	Dissolved Phase Benzene Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015
Figure 6	Dissolved Phase MTBE Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015
Figure 7	Dissolved Phase TPHd Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015
Figure 8	Historical Groundwater Flow Directions

Tables

Table 1	Well Construction Details
Table 2	Current Groundwater Gauging and Analytical Data
Table 3	Historical Groundwater Gauging and Analytical Data
Table 3a	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 3b	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 3c	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 3d	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 4	Historical Groundwater Gradient and Flow Direction Data

Appendices

- Appendix A Previous Investigation and Site History Summary
- Appendix B Antea Group Groundwater Sampling Procedures
- Appendix C Antea Group Groundwater Sampling Field Data Sheets
- Appendix D Certified Laboratory Analytical Report and Data Validation Form
- Appendix E Concentration vs. Time Graphs

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Antea® Group is pleased to submit this *Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015*, for the referenced site in Oakland, California (**Figure 1**). The subject site is an operating 76 station located on the southwestern corner of Hegenberger Road and Edgewater Drive in Oakland, CA. Station facilities include three underground storage tanks (USTs), two dispenser islands, a station building, and a carwash. A total of ten groundwater monitoring wells are located at or near the site (**Figure 2**). Please refer to **Appendix A** for additional site information and for the history of environmental investigations and remedial actions.

This report summarizes the data obtained from the recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event conducted on June 11, 2015. Included herein are site figures, groundwater contaminant data tables, and a discussion of trends. This report has received a technical review by Mr. Dennis Dettloff, California Professional Geologist No. 7480.

1.1 Work Performed [Second Quarter 2015]

1. Antea Group submitted the *Quarterly Summary Report, First Quarter 2015*, dated May 5, 2015 to the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA).
2. Antea Group conducted the second quarter 2015 groundwater monitoring and sampling event on June 11, 2015.

1.2 Work Proposed [Third Quarter 2015]

1. Antea Group will submit the *Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015* (contained herein) to the ACHCSA.
2. Antea Group destroyed on-site monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-14 in preparation for on-site soil excavation activities.
3. Antea Group advanced on- and off-site soil borings for contaminant delineation and waste characterization.
4. Antea Group will continue to work towards completing the on-site soil excavation activities.
5. Antea Group will conduct the third quarter 2015 monitoring and sampling event.

2.0 CURRENT PROJECT STATUS

Current phase of project:	Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring
Local Oversight Program (LOP) – Lead agency for cleanup oversight:	Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Case No. RO0000219
Secondary agency(s):	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Case No. 01-1601
Monitoring well gauging schedule:	Quarterly: MW-3, MW-6, MW-9, MW-11, and MW-13 through MW-16
Monitoring well sampling schedule:	Quarterly: MW-6, MW-11, and MW-13 through 16 Semi-Annual (second and fourth quarters): MW-3, MW-6, MW-9, MW-11, and MW-13 through MW-16
Total number of monitoring/remediation wells (Table 1):	Ten (MW-3, MW-6 through MW-9, MW-11, and MW-13 through MW-16)
Range of well depths (total depth below ground surface, bgs) (Table 1):	Wells are set from 13 feet to 20 feet bgs
Wells with historical measurable LNAPL (light non-aqueous phase liquid):	Former monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 and current monitoring well MW-6
Historical depth to water range, in feet below top of casing (BTOC):	Min: 0.07 (MW-9, Q1 2005) Max: 8.42 (MW-6, Q4 2010)
Historical groundwater elevation range (ft) for monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-17	Min: 2.77 (MW-3, Q3 1994) Max: 9.70 (MW-9, Q3 2012)
Local receptors:	See Appendix A
Current remediation technique	None

2.1 Regulatory Correspondence

Antea Group received a letter from ACHCSA dated April 14, 2015, documenting ACHCSA's review of the *Work Plan – Well Destruction and Waste Characterization* submitted by Antea Group on March 23, 2015.

Antea Group received a letter from ACHCSA dated June 17, 2015. The letter summarized the discussions between Antea Group, ACHCSA, and Antea Group's subcontractor held at the site on June 9, 2015. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposed soil excavations, current status, and path forward.

2.2 Remedial Activities

No remedial activities took place during the second quarter 2015. However, preparations for the on-site soil excavation are ongoing.

2.3 Groundwater Monitoring

During the second quarter 2015 groundwater monitoring and sampling event, eight monitoring wells were gauged, purged, and sampled by Antea Group per standard sampling protocol (**Appendix B**). Monitoring wells MW-7 and MW-8 were not gauged or sampled due to the off-site property owner, Mr. Beretta, denying access to the property for the purpose of monitoring and sampling. Copies of Antea Group's field data sheets are presented as

Appendix C. The recent gauging and sampling data are summarized below and in **Table 2**. Historical gauging and sampling data are summarized in **Tables 3, 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d**.

Well gauging and sampling date:	June 11, 2015
Wells gauged:	MW-3, MW-6, MW-9, MW-11, and MW-13 through MW-16
Wells sampled:	MW-3, MW-6, MW-9, MW-11, and MW-13 through MW-16
Purge method:	3 well casing volumes via electric, submersible pump
Sample collection method:	Disposable bailers
Groundwater parameters measured (Attachment C):	Temperature, pH, Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Oxidation Reduction Potential (ORP), and Turbidity
Wells with measurable LNAPL:	None
Current depth to water range (ft BTOC):	Min: 2.87 (MW-11) Max: 4.74 (MW-14)
Current groundwater elevation range (ft):	Min: 7.22 (MW-13) Max: 7.99 (MW-9)
Change in water depths from previous event (average change for all gauged wells):	0.43 foot decrease
Groundwater flow direction and gradient in foot per foot (ft/ft):	Variable

2.3.1 Groundwater Flow Gradient and Directional Trends

The second quarter 2015 groundwater monitoring and sampling event was performed by Antea Group on June 11, 2015. The average groundwater elevation increased 0.43 feet from the March 2015 event. Depth to groundwater in the site monitoring wells ranged from 2.87 feet (MW-11) to 4.74 feet (MW-14) BTOC during the current event. The groundwater flow direction and gradient were interpreted to be variable during the current event (**Table 4** and **Figure 8**).

2.3.2 Groundwater Quality Data

Groundwater samples collected during the second quarter 2015 monitoring and sampling event were submitted with chain-of-custody (COC) documentation to Eurofins Calscience, Inc. (Calscience), a state of California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP) certified laboratory (Certification No. 2944). The complete analytical report and Antea Group's laboratory data validation checklist are presented as **Appendix D**. Groundwater samples were analyzed for one or more of the following:

- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd) [silica gel treated] by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8015M;
- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE), tertiary-butyl alcohol (TBA), and ethanol by EPA Method 8260B.

Groundwater analytical results are presented in **Table 2** (current) and **Tables 3, 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d** (historical). The following ranges of contaminant concentrations were reported in the specified site wells, groundwater samples collected on June 11, 2015. Only the reported contaminants are listed in the table below.

Constituents	Number of Reported Samples Above LRL of the Samples Collected	Minimum Reported Concentration, in µg/L (Sample ID)	Maximum Reported Concentration, in µg/L (Sample ID)
TPHg	4 of 8	56 (MW-11)	69,000 (MW-6)
TPHd	3 of 8	63* (MW-6)	36,000* (MW-6)
Benzene	2 of 8	510 (MW-14)	2,300 (MW-6)
Toluene	1 of 8	100 (MW-6)	100 (MW-6)
Ethylbenzene	2 of 8	340 (MW-14)	1,900 (MW-6)
Total Xylenes	2 of 8	470 (MW-14)	7,800 (MW-6)
MTBE	6 of 8	3.8 (MW-9)	46 (MW-15)
TBA	3 of 8	15 (MW-15)	130 (MW-16)

Explanations:

µg/L = Micrograms per liter

LRL = Laboratory reporting limit

* The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard.

2.3.3 Groundwater Contaminant Trends

During the second quarter 2015, analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3 indicated that TPHd, MTBE and TBA increased in concentration and TPHg decreased in concentration. Analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-6 indicated that TPHg and BTEX decreased in concentration and TPHd increased in concentration. Analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-9 indicated that MTBE decreased in concentration. Analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-11 indicated that MTBE decreased in concentration and TPHg increased in concentration. Analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-13 indicated that MTBE decreased in concentration. Analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-14 indicated that TPHg, TPHd, benzene, toluene, and total xylenes increased in concentration. Analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-15 indicated that MTBE and TBA decreased in concentration and TPHg increased in concentration. Analytical results from the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-16 indicated that MTBE and TBA decreased in concentration. Isoconcentration maps for TPHg, benzene, MTBE, and TPHd are presented on **Figures 4** through **7** and historical groundwater flow directions are shown on **Figure 8**. Concentration versus time graphs for monitoring wells MW-6, MW-13, MW-14, and MW-17 are presented as **Appendix E**. Based on the graphs, concentrations of TPHd, TPHg, benzene, and MTBE in monitoring well MW-6 are decreasing over time. Concentrations of TPHd, TPHg, and MTBE are decreasing in monitoring well MW-13 and benzene is stable. Concentrations of MTBE are stable in monitoring well MW-14 and TPHg, TPHd, and benzene are

decreasing. Concentrations of TPHg, TPHd, benzene, and MTBE were stable or decreasing over time in monitoring well MW-17 when it was destroyed.

As indicated above, TPHd concentrations in monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-14 increased significantly during the second quarter 2015. Due to this a review of the chromatograms from the TPHd samples from these two monitoring wells was performed. The chromatograms indicate that the samples did fall within the diesel range, but the reported contaminant was likely weathered gasoline and not diesel, and the reported concentrations were less than the TPHg concentrations reported from the same monitoring wells.

2.3.4 Waste Disposal Summary

Approximately 68 gallons of waste water were generated during well purging/sampling and equipment cleaning during the second quarter event. Water generated during well sampling and equipment cleaning was placed into a properly labeled 55-gallon Department of Transportation (DOT) approved steel drum and temporarily stored on-site. The waste is currently being profiled using analytical results for the monitoring wells sampled during the recent sampling event. Subsequent to waste profiling, the waste will be transported off-site by Belshire Environmental Services to an approved disposal facility. Field procedures for purge water handling and disposal are included in **Appendix B**.

2.3.5 Quality Assurance / Quality Control

Antea Group's QA/QC measures included use of a field duplicate and a detailed QA/QC data validation check of the Calscience laboratory analytical results for the June 2015 sampling event. Antea Group's laboratory data validation checklist and the Pace laboratory report are presented as **Appendix D**.

Laboratory QA/QC Performed:	Yes (validated by Antea Group)
Laboratory Data Qualifiers:	Yes – two qualifiers*
Are the data valid for their intended purpose?	Yes, the data are valid

SG – The sample extract was subjected to Silica Gel treatment prior to analysis.

HD – The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard.

Based on a review of the laboratory's analytical report, including their QA/QC procedures and those implemented by Antea Group, we conclude that the laboratory data obtained during this groundwater sampling event are valid for their intended purpose.

3.0 LOW THREAT CLOSURE POLICY CHECKLIST

An item in the Low Threat Closure Policy (LTCP) checklist on GeoTracker needs to be updated.

Media-Specific Criteria: Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure:

- “Soil Concentrations of Naphthalene: Unknown”
 - Soil samples taken from soil borings in July 2013 were analyzed for Naphthalene. Concentrations ranged from 150 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) in SB-1d5.5 to below the laboratory’s indicated reporting limit in the majority of samples.

4.0 RECOMMENDATION

Antea Group recommends that all monitoring wells MW-3, MW-11, MW-13, MW-15, and MW-16 be purged and sampled on a semi-annual basis during the second and fourth quarters of each year.

5.0 REMARKS

The recommendations contained in this report represent Antea USA, Inc.'s professional opinions based upon the currently available information and are arrived at in accordance with currently accepted professional standards. This report is based upon a specific scope of work requested by the client. For any reports cited that were not generated by Delta or Antea Group, the data from those reports is used "as is" and is assumed to be accurate. Antea Group does not guarantee the accuracy of this data for the referenced work performed nor the inferences or conclusions stated in these reports. The contract between Antea USA, Inc. and its client outlines the scope of work, and only those tasks specifically authorized by that contract or outlined in this report were performed. This report is intended only for the use of Antea USA, Inc.'s client and anyone else specifically identified in writing by Antea USA, Inc. as a user of this report. Antea USA, Inc. will not and cannot be liable for unauthorized reliance by any other third party. Other than as contained in this paragraph, Antea USA, Inc. makes no express or implied warranty as to the contents of this report.

Prepared by:




Edward T. Weyrens, P.G.

Project Professional

California Registered Professional Geologist No. 9293

Information, conclusions, and recommendations provided by Antea Group in this document regarding the site have been prepared under the supervision of and reviewed by the licensed professional whose signature appears below.



Licensed Approver:



Dennis S. Dettloff, P.G.

Senior Project Manager

California Registered Professional Geologist No. 7480







7/23/15


cc: GeoTracker (upload)

Figures

- | | |
|----------|--|
| Figure 1 | Site Location Map |
| Figure 2 | Site Plan |
| Figure 3 | Groundwater Elevation Contour Map – June 11, 2015 |
| Figure 4 | Dissolved Phase TPHg Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015 |
| Figure 5 | Dissolved Phase Benzene Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015 |
| Figure 6 | Dissolved Phase MTBE Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015 |
| Figure 7 | Dissolved Phase TPHd Isoconcentration Map – June 11, 2015 |
| Figure 8 | Historical Groundwater Flow Directions |










FIGURE 4
 DISSOLVED PHASE TPH_g ISOCONCENTRATION MAP
 JUNE 11, 2015
 76 STATION NO. 5191/5043
 449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO. I42705191	PREPARED BY EW	DRAWN BY JH
DATE 7/23/15	REVIEWED BY DD	FILE NAME 5191-Sites





FIGURE 5
DISSOLVED PHASE BENZENE ISOCONCENTRATION MAP
JUNE 11, 2015
76 STATION NO. 5191/5043
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

PROJECT NO. I42705191	PREPARED BY EW	DRAWN BY JH	
DATE 7/23/15	REVIEWED BY DD	FILE NAME 5191-Sites	







Figure 8
Historical Groundwater Flow Directions
76 Station No. 5191/5043
449 Hegenberger Road
Oakland, California



Legend
Concentric circles represent quarterly monitoring events
Second Quarter 1992 through Second Quarter 2015. 77 data points shown

Groundwater Flow Direction

Tables

Table 1	Well Construction Details
Table 2	Current Groundwater Gauging and Analytical Data
Table 3	Historical Groundwater Gauging and Analytical Data
Table 3a	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 3b	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 3c	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 3d	Additional Historical Groundwater Analytical Data
Table 4	Historical Groundwater Gradient and Flow Direction Data

Table 1
Well Construction Details

Well I.D.	Drill Date	Well		Screen		Screen Length (feet)	Comments
		Depth (feet bgs)	Diameter (inches)	Top (feet bgs)	Bottom (feet bgs)		
Monitoring Wells							
MW-1	02/05/91	13.5	2	2.0	13.0	11.0	Destroyed
MW-2	02/05/91	15.0	2	3.0	15.0	12.0	Destroyed
MW-3	02/05/91	14.0	2	2.0	14.0	12.0	
MW-4	08/21/92	13.5	2	2.5	13.5	11.0	Destroyed
MW-5	08/21/92	13.5	2	2.5	13.5	11.0	Destroyed
MW-6	08/21/92	13.5	2	2.5	13.5	11.0	
MW-7	04/21/97	13.0	2	3.0	13.0	10.0	
MW-8	04/21/97	15.0	2	3.0	15.0	12.0	
MW-9	01/25/95	13.0	2	3.0	13.0	10.0	
MW-10	01/25/95	13.0	2	3.0	13.0	10.0	Destroyed
MW-11	06/22/10	20.0	4	5.0	20.0	15.0	
MW-12	06/22/10	20.0	4	5.0	20.0	15.0	Destroyed
MW-12A	06/23/10	34.0	2	30.0	34.0	4.0	Destroyed
MW-13	06/22/10	15.0	2	5.0	15.0	10.0	
MW-14	05/17/11	13.0	2	3.0	13.0	10.0	
MW-15	05/17/11	13.0	2	3.0	13.0	10.0	
MW-16	05/17/11	13.0	2	3.0	13.0	10.0	
MW-17	05/18/11	13.0	2	3.0	13.0	10.0	Destroyed

TABLE 2
CURRENT GROUNDWATER GAUGING AND ANALYTICAL DATA
76 STATION NO. 5191/5043
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA



Well I.D.	Date	GROUNDWATER GAUGING DATA				GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA								
		TOC Elevation (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	LNAPL Thickness (ft)	Water Elevation* (ft)	TPHd (ug/L)	TPHg (ug/L)	Benzene (ug/L)	Toluene (ug/L)	Ethylbenzene (ug/L)	Total Xylenes (ug/L)	MTBE (ug/L)	TBA (ug/L)	Ethanol (ug/L)
MW-3	6/11/2015	10.81	3.31	NP	7.50	63 HD	<250	<2.5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	21	85	<500
MW-6	6/11/2015	11.55	4.04	NP	7.51	36,000 HD	69,000	2,300	100	1,900	7,800	<50	<500	<5,000
MW-9	6/11/2015	10.94	2.95	NP	7.99	<50	<100	<1.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	3.8	<20	<200
MW-11	6/11/2015	10.53	2.87	NP	7.66	<50	56	<0.50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	8.2	<10	<100
MW-13	6/11/2015	11.08	3.86	NP	7.22	<50	<250	<2.5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	20	<50	<500
MW-14	6/11/2015	12.00	4.74	NP	7.26	1,800 HD	3,900	510	<5.0	340	470	<5.0	<50	<500
MW-15	6/11/2015	11.11	3.47	NP	7.64	<50	94	<0.50	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	46	15	<100
MW-16	6/11/2015	10.98	3.62	NP	7.36	<50	<250	<2.5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	5.1	130	<500

Gauging Notes:

TOS - Top of Screen

ft - Feet

NP - LNAPL not present

LNAPL - Light non-aqueous phase liquid

* - Corrected for LNAPL if present (assumes LNAPL specific gravity = 0.75)

-- No information available

Analytical Notes:

< - Below laboratory's indicated reporting limit

ug/L - micrograms/liter

TPHd- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (silica gel treated)

TPHg- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

MTBE- Methyl tertiary-butyl ether

TBA- Tertiary-butyl alcohol

Bold - Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit

HD - The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard

TABLE 3
HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER GAUGING AND ANALYTICAL DATA
76 STATION NO. 5191/5043
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Well I.D.	Date	GROUNDWATER GAUGING DATA				GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA														
		TOC Elevation (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	LNAPL Thickness (ft)	Water Elevation* (ft)	TPHd (ug/L)	TPHg (ug/L)	Benzene (ug/L)	Toluene (ug/L)	Ethylbenzene (ug/L)	Total Xylenes (ug/L)	MTBE (SW8021B) (ug/L)	MTBE (SW8260B) (ug/L)	DIPE (ug/L)	ETBE (ug/L)	TAME (ug/L)	TBA (ug/L)	Ethanol (ug/L)	1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) (ug/L)	1,2-Dichloroethane (ug/L)
MW-17	6/2/2011	11.52	5.78	NP	5.74	687 T4	9,130	2,530	960	35	907	--	0.74	--	--	--	366	<250	--	--
	9/7/2011	11.52	4.56	NP	6.96	1,900 T4	47,200	9,620	5,510	1,210	4,510	--	<25.0	--	--	--	--	<12500	--	--
	12/5/2011	11.52	4.70	NP	6.82	1,790 T4	17,300	4,720	511	238	747	--	<2.5	--	--	--	--	<1250	--	--
	3/6/2012	11.52	4.64	NP	6.88	1,530 T4	1,580	2,090	24	39	166	--	1.1	--	--	--	481	<250	--	--
	6/11/2012	11.52	4.67	NP	6.85	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	--	--	1,090 T4	4,950	2,340	123	153	610	--	<2.5	--	--	--	411	<1250	--	--
	9/6/2012	11.52	4.39	NP	7.13	<1,000	18,000	4,300	170	370	1,100	--	<10	<10	<10	<10	300	<100	<10	110
	12/13/2012	11.52	4.20	NP	7.32	<100	55,000	7,300	2,700	1,700	4,600	--	<10	--	--	--	300	<100	--	--
	3/14/2013	11.52	4.70	NP	6.82	<200	63,000	13,000	5,400	3,100	8,800	--	<15	--	--	--	260	<150	--	--
	6/11/2013	11.52	4.83	NP	6.69	710	110,000	10,000	11,000	3,100	12,000	--	<25	--	--	--	<150	<250	--	--
	9/10/2013	11.52	4.60	NP	6.92	160	36,000	8,200	510	1,200	2,400	--	<15	--	--	--	320	<150	--	--
	12/12/2013	11.52	5.00	NP	6.52	<50	92,000	17,000	9,000	2,900	9,100	--	<15	--	--	--	250	<150	--	--
	3/4/2014	11.52	3.99	NP	7.53	400	13,000	1,600	270	260	540	--	<3.0	--	--	--	330	48	--	--
	6/12/2014	11.52	4.49	NP	7.03	87	17,000	3,600	410	650	1,100	--	<3.0	--	--	--	300	<30	--	--

Gauging Notes:

TOS - Top of Screen

ft - Feet

NP - LNAPL not present

LNAPL - Light non-aqueous phase liquid

* - Corrected for LNAPL if present (assumes LNAPL specific gravity = 0.75)

-- No information available

Analytical Notes:

< - Below laboratory's indicated reporting limit

ug/L - micrograms/liter

TPHd- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

TPHg- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

MTBE- Methyl tertiary-butyl ether

TBA- Tertiary-butyl alcohol

Bold - Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit

1n - The TPHg result for this sample did not match the laboratory standard for gasoline. This is likely due to the presence of MTBE in the sample.

A - Lower boiling hydrocarbons present, atypical for Diesel Fuel.

2V - The detection of Ethanol is biased high likely due to the presence of interfering compounds

HD - The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard

TABLE 3a
ADDITIONAL HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA
76 STATION NO. 5191/5043
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Well I.D.	Date	GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA																			
		Acetone (ug/L)	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate (mg/L)	Alkalinity, Hydroxide (CaCO) (mg/L)	Alkalinity, Total A2320B (mg/L)	Alkalinity, Total as CaCO ₃ A2320B (mg/L)	Antimony (ug/L)	Arsenic (ug/L)	Barium (ug/L)	Beryllium (ug/L)	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (ug/L)	Bromate (mg/L)	Bromide (mg/L)	Cadmium S(ug/L)	Chemical Oxygen Demand (ug/L)	Chloride (ug/L)	Chromium (ug/L)	Chromium, Hexavalent (ug/L)	Cobalt (ug/L)	Coliform, Total (MPN/100ML)	E. Coli (MPN/100ML)
MW-6	3/14/2011	18	--	--	--	--	<60.0	23	216	<5.0	32,200	--	--	<5.0	173,000	204,000	--	--	<50.0	--	--
	6/2/2011	<5.0	828	<1	828	<1	<60.0	22.0	191	<5.0	45,100	<0.005	2.1	<5.0	121,000	149,000	4.3	<2	<50.0	42,000	<100
	9/6/2012	--	--	--	--	650	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<5.0	<10	--	--	--
	3/4/2014	--	--	--	--	--	--	31	--	--	--	--	--	<1.0	--	--	<5.0	--	--	--	--
MW-9	3/14/2011	<5.0	--	--	--	--	<60.0	<20.0	<100	<5.0	7,160.0	--	--	<5.0	11,500.0	34,700.0	--	--	<50.0	--	--
	6/2/2011	<5.0	226.0	<1	226.0	<1	<60.0	<20.0	<100	<5.0	4,170.0	<0.005	2.0	<5.0	15,100.0	32,400.0	2.4	<0.2	<50.0	2.0	<1
MW-10	9/6/2012	--	--	--	--	561	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	17	<10	--	--	--
MW-12	3/14/2011	<5.0	--	--	--	--	<60.0	<20.0	<100	<5.0	<2000	--	--	<5.0	80,100	8,240,000	--	--	<50.0	--	--
	6/2/2011	<5.0	905	<1	905	<1	<60.0	<20.0	<100	<5.0	7,240	<0.05	33	<5.0	191,000	7,260,000	3.3	<2	<50.0	210	<1
	9/6/2012	--	--	--	--	806	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<5.0	<10	--	--	--
	3/4/2014	--	--	--	--	--	--	<15	--	--	--	--	--	<1.8	--	--	<5.0	--	--	--	--
MW-14	9/6/2012	--	--	--	--	1,720	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	24	<10	--	--	--
MW-17	9/6/2012	--	--	--	--	2,820	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	38	<10	--	--	--

Analytical Notes:

< - Below laboratory's indicated reporting limit

mg/L - milligrams per liter

MPN/100ML - most probable number per 100 ml

ug/L - micrograms/liter

Bold - Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit

TABLE 3b
 ADDITIONAL HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA
 76 STATION NO. 5191/504
 449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Well I.D.	Date	GROUND WATER ANALYTICAL DATA																		
		Copper (ug/L)	Inorganic Carbon (mg/L)	Iron SW6010 D (ug/L)	Iron SW6010 T (ug/L)	Iron, Ferric (ug/L)	Iron, Ferrous (ug/L)	Lead (ug/L)	Manganese (ug/L)	Mercury (ug/L)	Methane (ug/L)	Molybdenum (ug/L)	Nickel (ug/L)	Nitrate as N E300.0 (mg/L)	Nitrate as N E353/E351 (ug/L)	Nitrite as N (ug/L)	Nitrogen, Ammonia (mg/L)	Nitrogen, NO2 plus NO3 (ug/L)	Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl (mg/L)	Oil and Grease (ug/L)
MW-13	7/6/2010	--	--	116	92,600	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	65	--	70	--	--	--
	9/20/2010	--	--	279	59,500	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	<10.0	--	<50.0	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	--	--	--	44,600	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	--	36,700	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	71.5	14.5	--	86.0	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	--	3,760	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	19.0	--	<50.0	--	--	--
MW-14	6/2/2011	--	--	--	47,500	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	10.4	--	50.1	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	--	1,150	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	<10	--	<50.0	--	--	--
	9/6/2012	--	--	--	--	8,900	--	--	--	--	718	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	9/11/2012	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.10	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-15	6/2/2011	--	--	--	11,700	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	890	38.0	--	928	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	--	2,920	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	<10	--	<50.0	--	--	--
MW-16	6/2/2011	--	--	--	34,200	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	<10.0	--	<50.0	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	--	1,730	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	<10	--	<50.0	--	--	--
MW-17	6/2/2011	--	--	--	109,000	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	29.7	--	<50.0	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	--	44,300	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<50.0	39.0	--	<50.0	--	--	--
	9/6/2012	--	--	--	--	21,000	--	--	--	--	182	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	9/11/2012	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<0.50	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Analytical Notes:

< - Below laboratory's indicated reporting limit

mg/L - milligrams per liter

ug/L - micrograms/liter

Bold - Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit

TABLE 3c
ADDITIONAL HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA
76 STATION NO. 5191/5041
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA



Well I.D.	Date	GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA							
		Selenium (ug/L)	Silver (ug/L)	Sulfate E300 (ug/L)	Sulfate E300.1 (mg/L)	Thallium (ug/L)	Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)	Vanadium (ug/L)	Zinc (ug/L)
MW-3	12/17/2009	--	--	--	<0.5	--	--	--	--
	6/30/2010	--	--	<5000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	<5000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/11/2012	--	--	<2000	--	--	--	--	--
MW-6	9/17/2009	--	--	<1.0	<0.0010	--	--	--	--
	12/17/2009	--	--	--	<0.5	--	--	--	--
	3/29/2010	--	--	<1000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/30/2010	--	--	<5000	--	--	--	--	--
	9/20/2010	--	--	<1000	--	--	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	<10.0	<10.0	35,400	--	<20.0	--	<50.0	<40.0
	6/2/2011	<10.0	<10.0	38,900	--	<20.0	41	<50.0	<40.0
	6/12/2012	--	--	1,110	--	--	--	--	--
	3/4/2014	--	<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	36
MW-7	6/30/2010	--	--	191,000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	48,900	--	--	--	--	--
	6/11/2012	--	--	56,900	--	--	--	--	--
MW-8	6/30/2010	--	--	2,360,000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	2,830,000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/11/2012	--	--	2,570,000	--	--	--	--	--
MW-9	12/17/2009	--	--	--	11	--	--	--	--
	6/30/2010	--	--	19,000	--	--	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	<10.0	<10.0	8,980	--	<20.0	--	<50.0	<40.0
	6/2/2011	<10.0	<10.0	18,600	--	<20.0	4.7	<50.0	<40.0
	6/11/2012	--	--	42,500	--	--	--	--	--

TABLE 3c
ADDITIONAL HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA
76 STATION NO. 5191/5041
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA



Well I.D.	Date	GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA							
		Selenium (ug/L)	Silver (ug/L)	Sulfate E300 (ug/L)	Sulfate E300.1 (mg/L)	Thallium (ug/L)	Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)	Vanadium (ug/L)	Zinc (ug/L)
MW-10	9/17/2009	--	--	84	0.084	--	--	--	--
	12/17/2009	--	--	--	86	--	--	--	--
	3/29/2010	--	--	73,600	--	--	--	--	--
	6/30/2010	--	--	70,800	--	--	--	--	--
	9/20/2010	--	--	82,000	--	--	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	--	--	68,600	--	--	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	71,700	--	--	--	--	--
	6/11/2012	--	--	70,100	--	--	--	--	--
MW-11	7/6/2010	--	--	82,100	--	--	--	--	--
	9/20/2010	--	--	58,300	--	--	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	--	--	59,900	--	--	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	62,900	--	--	--	--	--
	6/11/2012	--	--	79,400	--	--	--	--	--
MW-12	7/6/2010	--	--	3,030,000	--	--	--	--	--
	9/20/2010	--	--	1,970,000	--	--	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	<10.0	<10.0	2,500,000	--	<20.0	--	<50.0	<40.0
	6/2/2011	<10.0	<10.0	2,330,000	--	<20.0	9.1	<50.0	<40.0
	6/12/2012	--	--	2,130,000	--	--	--	--	--
	3/4/2014	--	<5.0	--	--	--	--	--	46
MW-12A	7/6/2010	--	--	100,000	--	--	--	--	--
	9/20/2010	--	--	82,500	--	--	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	--	--	81,000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	101,000	--	--	--	--	--
	6/11/2012	--	--	118,000	--	--	--	--	--

TABLE 3c
ADDITIONAL HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA
76 STATION NO. 5191/5041
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA



Well I.D.	Date	GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA						
		Selenium (ug/L)	Silver (ug/L)	Sulfate E300 (ug/L)	Sulfate E300.1 (mg/L)	Thallium (ug/L)	Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)	Vanadium (ug/L)
MW-13	7/6/2010	--	--	450,000	--	--	--	--
	9/20/2010	--	--	241,000	--	--	--	--
	3/14/2011	--	--	375,000	--	--	--	--
	6/2/2011	--	--	188,000	--	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	131,000	--	--	--	--
MW-14	6/2/2011	--	--	56,300	--	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	439,000	--	--	--	--
MW-15	6/2/2011	--	--	62,700	--	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	42,100	--	--	--	--
MW-16	6/2/2011	--	--	8,740	--	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	19,900	--	--	--	--
MW-17	6/2/2011	--	--	3,920,000	--	--	--	--
	6/12/2012	--	--	2,520,000	--	--	--	--

Analytical Notes:

< - Below laboratory's indicated reporting limit

mg/L - milligrams per liter

ug/L - micrograms/liter

Bold - Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit

TABLE 3d
ADDITIONAL HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA
76 STATION NO. 5191/5043
449 HEGENBERGER ROAD
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA



Well I.D.	Date	GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA												
		1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (ug/L)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene (ug/L)	Isopropyl Benzene (ug/L)	Naphthalene (ug/L)	O-Xylene (ug/L)	P,M-Xylene (ug/L)	n-Butylbenzene (ug/L)	n-Propylbenzene (ug/L)	p-Isopropyltoluene (ug/L)	sec-Butylbenzene (ug/L)	HEM:Oil and Grease (mg/L)	Phenolics, Total (mg/L)	Cyanide, Total (mg/L)
MW-6	3/4/2014	3,000	860	200	990	300	1,400	100	530	22	53	1.6	<0.1	<0.02
MW-12	3/4/2014	3.7	11	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	1.9	0.1	<0.02

Analytical Notes:

< - Below laboratory's indicated reporting limit

mg/L - milligrams per liter

MPN/100ML - most probable number per 100 ml

ug/L - micrograms/liter

Bold - Above the laboratory's indicated reporting limit

TABLE 4
Historical Groundwater Gradient and Flow Direction Data

Site	Monitoring Date	Groundwater Gradient (feet per foot)	Groundwater Flow Direction														
			N	NNE	NE	ENE	E	ESE	SE	SSE	S	SSW	SW	WSW	W	WNW	NW
	03/06/12	0.010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	06/11/12	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	09/06/12	Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	12/13/12	0.020	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	03/14/13	0.050	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	06/11/13	0.001	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	09/10/13	0.014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	12/12/13	0.018	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	03/04/14	0.010	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	06/12/14	0.020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	09/05/14	0.003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	12/22/14	Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	03/16/15	Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	06/11/15	Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.024 Average			0	0	0	0	0	1	34	1	16	0	20	2	3	0	0

Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015

76 Station No. 5191/5043

Oakland, CA

Antea Group Project No. I42705191



Appendix A

Previous Investigation and Site History Summary

PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION AND SITE HISTORY SUMMARY

October 1991 - Four soil samples were collected from the product pipe trenches at depths of approximately 3 feet below ground surface (bgs) during a dispenser island modification. The product pipe trenches were subsequently excavated to the groundwater depth at 4 to 4.5 feet bgs.

February 1992 - Three monitoring wells, MW-1 through MW-3, were installed at the site to depths ranging from 13.5 to 15 feet bgs.

August 1992 - Three additional monitoring wells, MW-4 through MW-6, were installed at the site to a depth of 13.5 feet bgs.

September 1994 - One 280-gallon waste-oil UST was removed from the site. The UST was made of steel, and no apparent holes or cracks were observed in the UST. One soil sample was collected from beneath the former UST at a depth of approximately 9 feet bgs. No petroleum hydrocarbons were reported.

January 1995 - Two additional monitoring wells, MW-9 and MW-10, were installed to depths of 13 and 15 feet bgs. In addition, monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-5 were destroyed by over-drilling the wells and backfilling with neat cement.

March 1995 - Two 10,000-gallon gasoline USTs and one 10,000-gallon diesel UST were removed from the site. Groundwater was encountered in the tank cavity at a depth of approximately 8.5 feet bgs. Soil samples contained total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd) and benzene, and TPH as gasoline (TPHg). Approximately 125,000 gallons of groundwater were pumped from the site for remediation and properly disposed off-site. Four fuel dispenser islands and associated product piping were also removed. Based on the results of the confirmation samples, the product dispenser islands were over excavated to approximately 6 feet bgs.

March-April 1995 - During demolition activities of the former station building, soil samples were collected from two excavations, which were subsequently over excavated. Confirmation samples contained petroleum hydrocarbons. An additional area on the south side of the former station building was excavated based on photo-ionization detector (PID) readings. Two monitoring wells, MW-1 and MW-2, were destroyed in order to allow for over excavation activities to extend to an area adjacent to the dispenser islands in the southeastern quadrant of the site. The excavated areas were subsequently backfilled with clean-engineered fill.

April 1997 - Two additional monitoring wells, MW-7 and MW-8, were installed off-site to the south and east on the neighboring property to a depth of 13 feet bgs. In addition, monitoring well MW-3, which was damaged during site renovation activities, was fully drilled out and reconstructed in the same borehole.

October 2003 - Site environmental consulting responsibilities were transferred to TRC.

April 8-9, 2005 - TRC conducted a 24-hour dual phase extraction (DPE) test at the site using monitoring well MW-6. The 24-hour DPE test was only moderately successful at removing vapor-phase petroleum hydrocarbons from the subsurface; therefore, TRC recommended DPE no longer be considered a viable remedial alternative for the site.

October 2007 - Site environmental consulting responsibilities were transferred to Delta Consultants.

December 2009 - Delta advanced two borings, B-4 and B-5, to depths of 20 feet bgs and 32 feet bgs, respectively. Analytical results from the soil and groundwater samples collected from these two borings indicated that the soil and the groundwater were impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons at these locations.

June 2010 – Delta installed two 4-inch diameter monitoring/extraction wells, MW-11 and MW-12, and two 2-inch diameter monitoring wells, MW-12A and MW-13, at the site. Analytical results from the soil and groundwater samples collected from the MW-12 and MW-12A boring locations indicated that the soil and the groundwater were impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons at these locations.

May 2011 – Antea Group (formally Delta Consultants) installed four 2-inch diameter monitoring wells, MW-14 through MW-17, and advanced one soil boring, B-6, at the site. All four monitoring wells were installed with ten feet of screen from 3 feet bgs to 13 feet bgs. Analytical results of soil samples collected during the monitoring well installation reported TPHg concentrations ranging from 1.0 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) (MW-14d13) to 2,490 mg/kg (B-6d9), benzene concentrations ranging from 0.67 mg/kg (B-6d21) to 26.4 mg/kg (B-6d9), toluene concentrations ranging from 0.2 mg/kg (MW-14d10) to 73.9 mg/kg (B-6d9), ethylbenzene concentrations ranging from 0.037 mg/kg (MW-14d13) to 58.1 mg/kg (B-6d9), total xylenes concentrations ranging from 0.066 mg/kg (MW-14d13) to 230 mg/kg (B-6d9), methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) concentrations ranging from 0.015 mg/kg (MW-15d13) to 0.19 mg/kg (MW-15d8), tertiary-butyl alcohol (TBA) concentrations ranging from 0.014 mg/kg (MW-16d8 and B-6d21) to 0.16 mg/kg (MW-15d8), and lead concentrations ranging from 5.5 mg/kg (MW-16d13) to 16.3 mg/kg (MW-17d9). Diesel range organics (DRO) and DRO with silica gel concentrations were reported; however, all of the results did not match the laboratory standard for diesel. Concentrations of DRO ranged from 2.9 mg/kg (MW-17d13) to 258 mg/kg (B-6d14) and DRO with silica gel concentrations ranged from 2.5 mg/kg (MW-17d13) to 250 mg/kg (B-6d14).

March 2012 – Antea Group advanced five soil borings (HPB-1 through HPB-5) at the site. The borings were advanced using direct push technology. The borings were used to obtain a hydraulic profile of the substrate beneath the site. The data obtained during the investigation will be used to determine the best path forward in terms of remediation.

July 2013 – Antea Group advanced ten soil borings (SB-1 through SB-10) at the site. The borings were advanced using direct push technology. The borings were used to delineate petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil around

monitoring well MW-6. Results of the investigation can be found in the *Site Investigation Report*, dated January 9, 2014.

June 2014 – Antea Group destroyed monitoring wells MW-10, MW-12, MW-12A, and MW-17 by pressure grouting. The wells were destroyed in preparation for on-site soil excavation activities.

September 2014 – Antea Group advanced two (2) cone penetration test (CPT) borings CPT-1 and CPT-2 in preparation for soil excavations on site. Soil and groundwater samples were not collected. Data from the CPT borings was used to help design shoring for excavations. Antea Group advanced three (3) off-site soil borings, SB-13 through SB-15. Soil and grab-groundwater samples were collected from the borings.

July 2015 – Antea Group destroyed on-site monitoring wells MW-6 and MW-14 in preparation for on-site soil excavation activities. On-site soil borings were advanced for waste characterization (WC-1 to WC-3) and delineate soil (SB-16 to SB-18) concentrations in the vicinity of the proposed soil excavation. Two off-site soil borings were advanced (SB-11 and SB-12) for delineation down-gradient.

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

April 24, 2006, TRC completed a sensitive receptor survey for the site. According to the Department of Water Resources (DWR) records, three water supply wells are located within one-half mile of the site. The closest well is an irrigation well, reported to be, approximately 1,080 feet southeast of the site. In addition, two surface water bodies were observed within a one-half mile radius of the site. San Leandro Creek is located approximately 1,400 feet southwest of the site and flows into the San Leandro Bay. Elmhurst Creek is located approximately 2,220 feet north of the site and also flows into the San Leandro Bay.

Current Consultant: **Antea Group**

Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015

76 Station No. 5191/5043

Oakland, CA

Antea Group Project No. I42705191



Appendix B

Antea Group Groundwater Sampling Procedures

FIELD METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The following section describes field procedures that are to be used by Antea Group personnel in the performance of the tasks involved with this project.

1.0 HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

Fieldwork performed by Antea Group and Antea Group's subcontractors at the site will be conducted according to guidelines established in a Site Health and Safety Plan (SHSP). The SHSP is a document that describes the hazards that may be encountered in the field and specifies protective equipment, work procedures and emergency information. A copy of the SHSP will be at the site and available for reference by appropriate parties during work at the site.

2.0 GROUNDWATER DEPTH ASSESSMENT

A water/product interface probe is used to assess the liquid-phase hydrocarbons (LPH) thickness, if present, and a water level indicator is used to measure the groundwater depth in monitoring wells that do not contain LPH. Depth to groundwater or LPH is measured from a datum point at the top of each monitoring well casing. The datum point is typically a notch cut in the north side of the casing edge. If a water level indicator is used, the tip is subjectively analyzed for LPH sheen.

3.0 SUBJECTIVE ANALYSIS OF GROUNDWATER

Prior to purging, a water sample is collected from the monitoring well for subjective assessment. The sample is retrieved by gently lowering a clean, disposable bailer to approximately one-half the bailer length past the air/liquid interface. The bailer is then retrieved and the sample contained within the bailer is examined for floating LPH and the appearance of a LPH sheen.

4.0 MONITORING WELL SAMPLING

Monitoring wells are purged using a pump or bailer until pH, temperature and conductivity of the purge water has stabilized and a minimum of three well volumes of water has been removed. The purge water is placed in 55-gallon drums and temporarily stored onsite pending evaluation of disposal options. If three well volumes cannot be removed in one-half an hour's time, the well is allowed to recharge to 80 percent of original level. After recharging, a groundwater sample is then removed from each of the wells using a pump or disposable bailer. The water sample is collected, labeled and handled according to the Quality Assurance Plan. Water generated during the monitoring event is disposed of according to the accepted regulatory method pertaining to the site.

5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN

This section describes the field and analytical procedures to be followed by Antea Group throughout the investigation.

5.1 General Sample Collection and Handling Procedures

Proper collection and handling are essential to ensure the quality of a sample. Each sample will be collected in the appropriate container, preserved correctly for the intended analysis and stored, prior to analysis, for no longer than the maximum allowable holding time. Details on the procedures for collection and handling of soil samples from this project can be found in previous sections.

5.2 Sample Identification and Chain-of-Custody Procedures

Sample identification and chain-of-custody procedures ensure sample integrity and document sample possession from the time of collection to its ultimate disposal. Each sample container submitted for analysis will have a label affixed to identify the job number, sampler, date and time of sample collection and a sample number unique to that sample. During soil sampling, this information, in addition to a description of the sample, field measurements made, sampling methodology, names of on-site personnel and any other pertinent field observations will be recorded on the borehole log or in the field records.

Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015

76 Station No. 5191/5043

Oakland, CA

Antea Group Project No. I42705191



Appendix C

Antea Group Groundwater Sampling Field Data Sheets

ANTEA GROUP Well-Head Inspection & Well Gauging Form

Site Name: 5191 Oakland

Project No: I42705191

Site Address: 449 Hegenberger, Oakland, 94621

Field Technician: A. Buehler & J. Fillingame

Weather: Sunny

Sample Order	Field Point	Date	Time	Bolts	Seal	Lid Secure	Lock	Expanding Cap	Water in Well Box	Well Casing Dia.	Depth to Water (Feet)	Depth to Bottom (Feet)	Depth to LNAPL (Feet)	LNAPL Thickness (Feet)	Comments
1	MW-9	6/11/15	8:00	1/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	2"	2.95	12.67			
2	MW-11	6/11/15	8:00	2/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	Y 0 N	4"	2.87	19.46			
3	MW-13	6/11/15	8:04	2/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	2"	3.86	14.44			
4	MW-15	6/11/15	8:03	2/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	2"	3.47	12.79			
5	MW-16	6/11/15	8:07	2/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	2"	3.62	12.51			
6	MW-3	6/11/15	8:06	1/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	2"	3.31	14.03			
7	MW-14	6/11/15	8:10	2/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	Y 0 N	2"	4.74	12.87			
8	MW-6	6/11/15	8:10	2/2	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	0 N	2"	4.04	12.52			
9				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
10				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
11				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
12				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
13				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
14				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
15				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
16				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
17				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
18				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
19				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					
20				/	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N	Y N					

2 additional drums SW side of site (4 total)

Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name: 5191 Oakland							
Site Address: 499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621							
Project No: I42705191		Field Technician: (Circle Name)		A.Buehler J.Fillingame			
Field Point: MW-3							
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs): 3.31		Well Diameter (in):		② 4 6 8 —			
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):		Thickness of LNAPL (ft):					
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs): 14.03		Water Column Height (ft): 10.72					
Purge Calculations:							
Purge Method:		Purge Equipment:		Sample Collection Method:			
Low-Flow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 casing volumes Other: _____		Disposable Bailer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electric Submersible Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump Other: _____		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing Other: _____			
Water Column Height (ft): 10.72		X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): 0.17		= Casing Volume (gal): 1.82			
Casing Volume (gal): 1.82		X Specified Volumes: 3		= Calculated Purge (gal): 5.5			
Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius ² * 0.163							
Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters							
Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge	9:54	22.14	4728	-79.9	86.0	2.04	0.1
	9:55	24.07	4316	-100.3	41.6	0.96	1.8
	9:56	21.72	4811	-110.2	35.2	1.54	3.6
	9:58	21.64	4591	-116.1	147	2.00	5.5
Did Well dewater?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Total Purge volume (gal): 5.5					
Other Comments:							
Sample Info:							
Sample ID:	MW-3-20150630			Sample Date: 6/11/15			
				Sample Time: 10:10			
Selected Analysis:	TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260						
LNAPL= light non-aqueous phase liquids bgs = below ground surface ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential D.O.= dissolved oxygen		gal = gallon/s temp = temperature NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units mV = millivolts					
							

Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name:	5191 Oakland						
Site Address:	499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621						
Project No:	I42705191	Field Technician: (Circle Name)					
Field Point:	MW-6						
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs):	4.04	Well Diameter (in):		2 4 6 8 _____			
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):		Thickness of LNAPL (ft):					
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs):	12.52	Water Column Height (ft):		8.46			
Purge Calculations:							
Purge Method:	Purge Equipment:			Sample Collection Method:			
Low-Flow 3 casing volumes Other: _____	Disposable Bailer Electric Submersible Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump Other: _____			Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing Other: _____			
Water Column Height (ft): 8.46	X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): 1.07	= Casing Volume (gal): 1.4					
Casing Volume (gal): 1.4	X Specified Volumes: 3	= Calculated Purge (gal): 4.2					
Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius ² * 0.163							
Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters							
Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge 10:15	20.47	7.21	5085	-171.8	65.8	8.10	0
10:16	21.22	6.94	2260	-170.3	17.2	1.55	1.5
10:17	21.30	6.87	2314	-177.3	44.0	1.03	3
10:18	20.57	7.01	38.77	-174.0	127	1.81	4.5
Did Well dewater? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>	Total Purge volume (gal): 4.5						
Other Comments:							
Sample Info:							
Sample ID:	MW-6-20150630			Sample Date:	6/11/15		
				Sample Time:	0:20		
Selected Analysis:	TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260						
LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquids bgs = below ground surface ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential D.O. = dissolved oxygen				gal = gallon/s temp = temperature NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units mV = millivolts			
 anteagroup							

Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name:		5191 Oakland					
Site Address:		499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621					
Project No:		I42705191	Field Technician:	(Circle Name)			
Field Point:		MW-9			A.Buehler J.Fillingame		
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs):		2.95	Well Diameter (in):		(2) 4 6 8		
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):			Thickness of LNAPL (ft):				
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs):		12.67	Water Column Height (ft):	9.72			
Purge Calculations:							
Purge Method:		Purge Equipment:			Sample Collection Method:		
Low-Flow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 casing volumes Other: _____		Disposable Baller <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electric Submersible Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump Other: _____			-Disposable Baller Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing Other: _____		
Water Column Height (ft): 9.72		X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): 0.17			= Casing Volume (gal): 1.65		
Casing Volume (gal): 1.65		X Specified Volumes: 3			= Calculated Purge (gal): 4.95		
Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius ² * 0.163							
Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters							
Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge 8:34	22.06	6.15	5622	-100.9	57.6	2.18	0.1
8:35	22.70	6.11	5972	-119.5	11.9	1.17	1.7
8:36	21.97	6.20	7860	-113.1	42.1	4.89	3.3
8:38	21.82	6.41	6542	-101.8	83.1	5.03	5.0
Did Well dewater? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Total Purge volume (gal): 5.0						
Other Comments:							
Sample Info:							
Sample ID:	MW-9-20150630			Sample Date:	6/11/15		
				Sample Time:	8:50		
Selected Analysis:	TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260						
LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquids bgs = below ground surface ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential D.O. = dissolved oxygen				gal = gallon/s temp = temperature NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units mV = millivolts			
 anteagroup							

Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name:	5191 Oakland						
Site Address:	499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621						
Project No:	I42705191	Field Technician: (Circle Name)	A.Buehler J.Fillingame				
Field Point:	MW-11						
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs):	2.87	Well Diameter (in):	2	4	6	8	
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):		Thickness of LNAPL (ft):					
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs):	19.46	Water Column Height (ft):	16.59				
Purge Calculations:							
Purge Method:	Purge Equipment:			Sample Collection Method:			
Low-Flow 3 casing volumes Other: _____	Disposable Bailer Electric Submersible Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump Other: _____				Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing Other: _____		
Water Column Height (ft): 16.59	X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): .66	= Casing Volume (gal): 10.9					
Casing Volume (gal): 10.9	X Specified Volumes: 3	= Calculated Purge (gal): 32.7					
Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius ² * 0.163							
Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters							
Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge 8:53	20.16	7.62	1270	164.2	176	2.82	0
8:59	20.18	7.30	1212	109.2	6.51	0.35	11
9:04	21.05	7.50	1216	51.4	3.15	0.25	22
9:10	21.08	7.29	1212	13.4	2.47	0.20	33
Did Well dewater?	Yes	No	Total Purge volume (gal):				
Other Comments:							
Sample Info:							
Sample ID:	MW-11-20150630			Sample Date:	6/11/15		
				Sample Time:	9:20		
Selected Analysis:	TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260						
LNAPL= light non-aqueous phase liquids bgs = below ground surface ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential D.O.= dissolved oxygen			gal = gallon/s temp = temperature NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units mV = millivolts				
 BucketS 1:2 3/4 2:5 1/4 3:8 1/4							

Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name:		5191 Oakland					
Site Address:		499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621					
Project No:		I42705191	Field Technician: (Circle Name)			A.Buehler	J.Fillingame
Field Point:		MW-13					
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs):		3.86	Well Diameter (in):		② 4 6 8		
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):			Thickness of LNAPL (ft):				
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs):		10.44	Water Column Height (ft):		10.58		
Purge Calculations:							
Purge Method:		Purge Equipment:			Sample Collection Method:		
Low-Flow 3 casing volumes Other: _____		Disposable Bailer Electric Submersible Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump Other: _____			Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing Other: _____		
Water Column Height (ft): 10.58		X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): 1.17 = Casing Volume (gal): 1.8					
Casing Volume (gal): 1.8		X Specified Volumes: 3 = Calculated Purge (gal): 5.4					
Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius ² * 0.163							
Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters							
Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge	20.33	7.83	2803	-169.2	303	5.05	0
9:24	19.55	7.49	1715	-219.1	99.0	6.86	2
9:26	19.38	7.51	2522	-249.5	62.5	0.14	4
9:27	19.10	7.44	3248	-251.8	34.4	0.14	6
Did Well dewater?	Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>	Total Purge volume (gal): 0					
Other Comments:							
Sample Info:							
Sample ID:		MW-13_20150630		Sample Date: 06/11/15			
				Sample Time: 9:35			
Selected Analysis:		TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260					
LNAPL = light non-aqueous phase liquids bgs = below ground surface ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential D.O. = dissolved oxygen				gal = gallon/s temp = temperature NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units mV = millivolts			
							

Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name:	5191 Oakland		
Site Address:	499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621		
Project No:	142705191	Field Technician:	(Circle Name)
Field Point:	MW-16		A.Buehler J.Fillingame
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs):	3.62	Well Diameter (in):	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2 4 6 8
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):		Thickness of LNAPL (ft):	
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs):	12.51	Water Column Height (ft):	8.89

Purge Calculations:

Purge Method:	Purge Equipment:	Sample Collection Method:
Low-Flow 3 casing volumes	Disposable Bailer Electric Submersible	Disposable Bailer Extraction Port
Other: _____	Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump	Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing
	Other: _____	Other: _____
Water Column Height (ft): 8.89	X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): .17	= Casing Volume (gal): 1.5
Casing Volume (gal): 1.5	X Specified Volumes: 3	= Calculated Purge (gal): 4.5

Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius² * 0.163

Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters

Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge 9:54	22.00	7.53	3360	-169.9	>1000	3.62	0
9:55	22.93	7.11	2475	-159.1	59.8	0.64	1.5
9:56	23.43	7.03	2907	-151.5	50.9	0.56	3
9:57	23.17	7.02	2997	-156.8	45.3	0.57	4.5

Did Well dewater? Yes No Total Purge volume (gal): 4.5

Other Comments:

Sample Info:

Sample ID:	MW-16_20150630	Sample Date: 6/14/15
		Sample Time: 10:00

Selected Analysis: TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260

LNAPL= light non-aqueous phase liquids

bgs = below ground surface

ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential

D.O.= dissolved oxygen

gal = gallon/s

temp = temperature

NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units

mV = millivolts



Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name:	5191 Oakland		
Site Address:	499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621		
Project No.:	I42705191	Field Technician: (Circle Name)	A.Buehler J.Fillingame
Field Point:	MW-14		
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs):	4.74	Well Diameter (in):	② 4 6 8
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):		Thickness of LNAPL (ft):	
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs):	12.87	Water Column Height (ft):	8.13

Purge Calculations:

Purge Method:	Purge Equipment:	Sample Collection Method:
Low-Flow — 3 casing volumes Other: _____	Disposable Bailer Electric Submersible Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump Other: _____	— Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing Other: _____
Water Column Height (ft): 8.13	X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): 0.17	= Casing Volume (gal): 1.38
Casing Volume (gal): 1.38	X Specified Volumes: 3	= Calculated Purge (gal): 4.1

Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius² * 0.163

Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters

Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge 10:27	19.81	6.79	17846	-95.5	227	1.91	0.1
10:28	18.80	6.73	14,689	-111.3	104	0.98	1.4
10:29	18.22	6.52	19,140	-114.3	66.7	2.07	2.8
10:31	18.99	6.63	16,573	-127.3	72.6	4.52	4.1

Did Well dewater? Yes No Total Purge volume (gal): 4.1

Other Comments:

Sample is off-white

Sample Info:		
Sample ID:	MW-14-20150630	Sample Date: 6/11/15
		Sample Time: 10:50
Selected Analysis:	TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260	

LNAPL= light non-aqueous phase liquids

bgs = below ground surface

ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential

D.O.= dissolved oxygen

gal = gallon/s

temp = temperature

NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units

mV = millivolts



Groundwater Sampling Form

Site Name:	5191 Oakland		
Site Address:	499 Hegenberger, Oakland, CA 94621		
Project No:	I42705191	Field Technician: (Circle Name)	A.Buehler J.Fillingame
Field Point:	MW-15		
Depth to Water (DTW) (ft bgs):	3.47	Well Diameter (in):	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2 4 6 8 _____
Depth to LNAPL (ft bgs):		Thickness of LNAPL (ft):	
Total Depth of Well (ft bgs):	12.79	Water Column Height (ft):	9.31

Purge Calculations:

Purge Method:	Purge Equipment:	Sample Collection Method:
Low-Flow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 casing volumes Other: _____	Disposable Bailer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electric Submersible Peristaltic Pump Bladder Pump Other: _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Disposable Tubing Other: _____
Water Column Height (ft): 9.31	X Conversion Factor (gal/ft): 0.17	= Casing Volume (gal): 1.58
Casing Volume (gal): 1.58	X Specified Volumes: 3	= Calculated Purge (gal): 4.7
Conversion Factors (gal/ft): 2" = 0.17 4" = 0.66 6" = 1.5 8" = 2.6 Other = radius ² * 0.163		

Purge Info and Groundwater Parameters

Time	Temp (°C)	pH	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	D.O. (mg/L)	Volume Purged (gal)
Pre-Purge							
9:22	20.67	7.41	3280	-89.3	89.6	1.68	0.1
9:23	20.85	6.84	2373	-92.5	28.8	0.83	1.6
9:23	20.23	6.40	23569	-102.9	5.86	0.80	3.2
9:24	20.17	6.51	4580	-103.0	182	1.83	4.7
Did Well dewater? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Total Purge volume (gal): 4.7						

Other Comments:

Sample Info:

Sample ID:	MW-15_20150630	Sample Date: 6/11/15
		Sample Time: 9:40

Selected Analysis: TPHd by 8015; TPHg / BTEX / MTBE / TBA / ethanol by 8260

LNAPL= light non-aqueous phase liquids

bgs = below ground surface

ORP = Oxidation-Reduction Potential

D.O.= dissolved oxygen

gal = gallon/s

temp = temperature

NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units

mV = millivolts





COP ELT CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed and accurate.

Page:
Cooler #

1 of
of

Required Lab Information:

Lab Name: Calscience		Site ID #: 2705191	Task: WG_Q_201506	Send Invoice to: Sandy Hayes	Turn around time (days) 10	
Address: 7440 Lincoln Way Garden Grove, CA 92841		AnteaGrp proj#		Address: 11050 White Rock Road, Suite 110	QC level Required: Standard	
Lab PM: Richard Vilafania		City: Oakland	State: CA 94621	Reimbursement project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-reimbursement project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark one
Phone/Fax: 714-895-5494		AG PM Name: Dennis Dettloff	Send EDD to: agdataview.us@anteagroup.com	NJ Reduced Deliverable Package?		
Lab PM email:		Phone/Fax: P: 916-503-1261 F: 916-638-8385	CC Hardcopy report to:	MA MCP Cert? CT RCP Cert? Mark One		
Applicable Lab Quote #:		AG PM Email: dennis.dettloff@anteagroup.com	CC Hardcopy report to:	Lab Project ID (lab use)		

ITEM #	SAMPLE ID One Character per box. (A-Z, 0-9, -) Samples IDs MUST BE UNIQUE	Valid Matrix Codes		MATRIX CODE	SAMPLE TYPE G=GRAB C=COMP	SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE TIME	#OF CONTAINERS	FIELD FILTERED? (Y/N)	Preservatives						Comments/Lab Sample I.D.	
		MATRIX	MATRIX							Unpressured	H ₂ SO ₄	HNO ₃	HCl	NaOH	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	
1	MW-11_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	9:20	6		X		X							x x x x
2	MW-13_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	9:35	6		X		X							x x x x
3	MW-14_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:50	6		X		X							x x x x
4	MW-15_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	9:40	6		X		X							x x x x
5	MW-16_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:00	6		X		X							x x x x
6	MW-3_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:10	6		X		X							x x x x
7	MW-6_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:20	6		X		X							x x x x
8	MW-9_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:20	6		X		X							x x x x
9																	
10																	
11																	
12																	

Additional Comments/Special Instructions:

RELINQUISHED BY / AFFILIATION <i>Jonathan F. Fillingame</i>		DATE 6/11/15	TIME 13:45	ACCEPTED BY / AFFILIATION <i>EPA</i>	DATE 6/11/15	TIME 13:45	Sample Receipt Conditions				
							Y/N	Y/N	Y/N		
							Y/N	Y/N	Y/N		
							Y/N	Y/N	Y/N		
							Y/N	Y/N	Y/N		
SHIPPING METHOD: (mark as appropriate)		SAMPLER NAME AND SIGNATURE <i>Jonathan F. Fillingame</i>					Temp in C	Samples on ice?	Sample intact?	Trip Blank?	
UPS COURIER FEDEX	PRINT Name of SAMPLER:						SIGNATURE of SAMPLER: <i>Jonathan F. Fillingame</i>	DATE Signed 6/11/15	Time: 13:40		
US MAIL	SIGNATURE of SAMPLER: <i>Jonathan F. Fillingame</i>										

Global ID: T0600101476

Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015

76 Station No. 5191/5043

Oakland, CA

Antea Group Project No. I42705191



Appendix D

Certified Laboratory Analytical Report and Data Validation Form



WORK ORDER NUMBER: 15-06-1009



AIR | SOIL | WATER | MARINE CHEMISTRY

Analytical Report For

Client: Antea Group

Client Project Name: 2705191

Attention: Dennis Dettloff

11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001

Richard Villafania

Approved for release on 06/19/2015 by:
Richard Villafania
Project Manager

[ResultLink ▶](#)

[Email your PM ▶](#)



Eurofins Calscience, Inc. (Calscience) certifies that the test results provided in this report meet all NELAC requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAC requirements are noted in the case narrative. The original report of subcontracted analyses, if any, is attached to this report. The results in this report are limited to the sample(s) tested and any reproduction thereof must be made in its entirety. The client or recipient of this report is specifically prohibited from making material changes to said report and, to the extent that such changes are made, Calscience is not responsible, legally or otherwise. The client or recipient agrees to indemnify Calscience for any defense to any litigation which may arise.

Contents

Client Project Name: 2705191
Work Order Number: 15-06-1009

1	Work Order Narrative.	3
2	Client Sample Data.	4
	2.1 EPA 8015B (M) TPH Diesel (Aqueous).	4
	2.2 LUFT GC/MS TPPH/EPA 8260B Volatile Organics (Aqueous).	6
3	Quality Control Sample Data.	16
	3.1 MS/MSD.	16
	3.2 LCS/LCSD.	18
4	Sample Analysis Summary.	21
5	Glossary of Terms and Qualifiers.	22
6	Chain-of-Custody/Sample Receipt Form.	23

Work Order Narrative

Work Order: 15-06-1009

Page 1 of 1

Condition Upon Receipt:

Samples were received under Chain-of-Custody (COC) on 06/12/15. They were assigned to Work Order 15-06-1009.

Unless otherwise noted on the Sample Receiving forms all samples were received in good condition and within the recommended EPA temperature criteria for the methods noted on the COC. The COC and Sample Receiving Documents are integral elements of the analytical report and are presented at the back of the report.

Holding Times:

All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times (HT) and/or in accordance with the Calscience Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the analytical report and/or comprehensive case narrative, if required.

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.

Quality Control:

All quality control parameters (QC) were within established control limits except where noted in the QC summary forms or described further within this report.

Subcontractor Information:

Unless otherwise noted below (or on the subcontract form), no samples were subcontracted.

Additional Comments:

Air - Sorbent-extracted air methods (EPA TO-4A, EPA TO-10, EPA TO-13A, EPA TO-17): Analytical results are converted from mass/sample basis to mass/volume basis using client-supplied air volumes.

Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are always reported on a wet weight basis.



Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 3510C
 Method: EPA 8015B (M)
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 1 of 2

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-11_20150630	15-06-1009-1-F	06/11/15 09:20	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 04:55	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		ND	50	1.00		SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		77	68-140				
MW-13_20150630	15-06-1009-2-F	06/11/15 09:35	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 05:12	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		ND	50	1.00		SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		76	68-140				
MW-14_20150630	15-06-1009-3-F	06/11/15 10:50	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 05:30	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		1800	50	1.00		HD,SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		77	68-140				
MW-15_20150630	15-06-1009-4-F	06/11/15 09:40	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 05:47	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		ND	50	1.00		SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		81	68-140				
MW-16_20150630	15-06-1009-5-F	06/11/15 10:00	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 06:04	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		ND	50	1.00		SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		78	68-140				

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 3510C
 Method: EPA 8015B (M)
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 2 of 2

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-3_20150630	15-06-1009-6-F	06/11/15 10:10	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 06:22	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		63	50	1.00		HD,SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		73	68-140				
MW-6_20150630	15-06-1009-7-F	06/11/15 10:20	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 13:20	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		36000	500	10.0		HD,SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		68	68-140				
MW-9_20150630	15-06-1009-8-F	06/11/15 08:50	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/17/15 06:57	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		ND	50	1.00		SG	
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		79	68-140				
Method Blank	099-15-304-1065	N/A	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/16/15 22:13	150615B07
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>	
TPH as Diesel		ND	50	1.00			
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>			
n-Octacosane		88	68-140				

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191 Page 1 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-11_20150630	15-06-1009-1-A	06/11/15 09:20	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 22:01	150612L022

Parameter	Result	RL	DF	Qualifiers
Benzene	ND	0.50	1.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Toluene	ND	1.0	1.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	8.2	1.0	1.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	10	1.00	
Ethanol	ND	100	1.00	
TPPH	56	50	1.00	
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers	
Dibromofluoromethane	98	78-126		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99	75-135		
Toluene-d8	99	80-120		
Toluene-d8-TPPH	100	88-112		
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	80-120		

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191 Page 2 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-13_20150630	15-06-1009-2-A	06/11/15 09:35	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 22:28	150612L022

Comment(s): - The reporting limit is elevated resulting from matrix interference (sample foaming).

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Benzene	ND	2.5	5.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	5.0	5.00	
Toluene	ND	5.0	5.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	5.0	5.00	
o-Xylene	ND	5.0	5.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	20	5.0	5.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	5.00	
Ethanol	ND	500	5.00	
TPPH	ND	250	5.00	
<u>Surrogate</u>				
Dibromofluoromethane	95	78-126		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	75-135		
Toluene-d8	98	80-120		
Toluene-d8-TPPH	99	88-112		
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	80-120		

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 3 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-14_20150630	15-06-1009-3-B	06/11/15 10:50	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 16:15	150613L020
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>			<u>Qualifiers</u>
Benzene		510	2.5	5.00			
Ethylbenzene		340	5.0	5.00			
Toluene		ND	5.0	5.00			
p/m-Xylene		470	5.0	5.00			
o-Xylene		ND	5.0	5.00			
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)		ND	5.0	5.00			
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)		ND	50	5.00			
Ethanol		ND	500	5.00			
TPPH		3900	250	5.00			
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>		<u>Qualifiers</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane		96	78-126				
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		98	75-135				
Toluene-d8		96	80-120				
Toluene-d8-TPPH		97	88-112				
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene		100	80-120				

 RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191 Page 4 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-15_20150630	15-06-1009-4-A	06/11/15 09:40	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 23:22	150612L022

Parameter	Result	RL	DF	Qualifiers
Benzene	ND	0.50	1.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Toluene	ND	1.0	1.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	46	1.0	1.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	15	10	1.00	
Ethanol	ND	100	1.00	
TPPH	94	50	1.00	
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers	
Dibromofluoromethane	95	78-126		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	75-135		
Toluene-d8	98	80-120		
Toluene-d8-TPPH	99	88-112		
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	80-120		

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 5 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-16_20150630	15-06-1009-5-A	06/11/15 10:00	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 23:49	150612L022

Comment(s): - The reporting limit is elevated resulting from matrix interference (sample foaming).

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Benzene	ND	2.5	5.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	5.0	5.00	
Toluene	ND	5.0	5.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	5.0	5.00	
o-Xylene	ND	5.0	5.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	5.1	5.0	5.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	130	50	5.00	
Ethanol	ND	500	5.00	
TPPH	ND	250	5.00	
<u>Surrogate</u>	<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	
Dibromofluoromethane	94	78-126		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	75-135		
Toluene-d8	98	80-120		
Toluene-d8-TPPH	99	88-112		
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	80-120		

 RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 6 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-3_20150630	15-06-1009-6-A	06/11/15 10:10	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/13/15 00:16	150612L022

Comment(s): - The reporting limit is elevated resulting from matrix interference (sample foaming).

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Benzene	ND	2.5	5.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	5.0	5.00	
Toluene	ND	5.0	5.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	5.0	5.00	
o-Xylene	ND	5.0	5.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	21	5.0	5.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	85	50	5.00	
Ethanol	ND	500	5.00	
TPPH	ND	250	5.00	
<u>Surrogate</u>	<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	
Dibromofluoromethane	94	78-126		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99	75-135		
Toluene-d8	98	80-120		
Toluene-d8-TPPH	99	88-112		
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	80-120		

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 7 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID			
MW-6_20150630	15-06-1009-7-B	06/11/15 10:20	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 16:42	150613L020			
<hr/>										
<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>					
Benzene		2300	25	50.0						
Ethylbenzene		1900	50	50.0						
Toluene		100	50	50.0						
p/m-Xylene		5800	50	50.0						
o-Xylene		2000	50	50.0						
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)		ND	50	50.0						
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)		ND	500	50.0						
Ethanol		ND	5000	50.0						
TPPH		69000	2500	50.0						
<hr/>										
<u>Surrogate</u>		<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>						
Dibromofluoromethane		96	78-126							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		99	75-135							
Toluene-d8		96	80-120							
Toluene-d8-TPPH		97	88-112							
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene		100	80-120							

 RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 8 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
MW-9_20150630	15-06-1009-8-A	06/11/15 08:50	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/13/15 01:10	150612L022

Comment(s): - The reporting limit is elevated resulting from matrix interference (sample foaming).

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Benzene	ND	1.0	2.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	2.0	2.00	
Toluene	ND	2.0	2.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	2.0	2.00	
o-Xylene	ND	2.0	2.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	3.8	2.0	2.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	20	2.00	
Ethanol	ND	200	2.00	
TPPH	ND	100	2.00	

<u>Surrogate</u>	<u>Rec. (%)</u>	<u>Control Limits</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>
Dibromofluoromethane	95	78-126	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	75-135	
Toluene-d8	98	80-120	
Toluene-d8-TPPH	99	88-112	
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	94	80-120	

 RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191

Page 9 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
Method Blank	099-14-246-2129	N/A	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 17:04	150612L022

Parameter	Result	RL	DF	Qualifiers
Benzene	ND	0.50	1.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Toluene	ND	1.0	1.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	1.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	10	1.00	
Ethanol	ND	100	1.00	
TPPH	ND	50	1.00	
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers	
Dibromofluoromethane	97	78-126		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	102	75-135		
Toluene-d8	98	80-120		
Toluene-d8-TPPH	99	88-112		
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	80-120		

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.

Analytical Report

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Units: ug/L

Project: 2705191 Page 10 of 10

Client Sample Number	Lab Sample Number	Date/Time Collected	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date/Time Analyzed	QC Batch ID
Method Blank	099-14-246-2130	N/A	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 12:39	150613L020

Parameter	Result	RL	DF	Qualifiers
Benzene	ND	0.50	1.00	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Toluene	ND	1.0	1.00	
p/m-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
o-Xylene	ND	1.0	1.00	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	1.00	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	10	1.00	
Ethanol	ND	100	1.00	
TPPH	ND	50	1.00	
Surrogate	Rec. (%)	Control Limits	Qualifiers	
Dibromofluoromethane	93	78-126		
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	98	75-135		
Toluene-d8	97	80-120		
Toluene-d8-TPPH	98	88-112		
1,4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	80-120		

RL: Reporting Limit. DF: Dilution Factor. MDL: Method Detection Limit.



Calscience

Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Project: 2705191 Page 1 of 2

Quality Control Sample ID	Type	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	MS/MSD Batch Number				
15-06-0741-1	Sample	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 17:31	150612S015				
15-06-0741-1	Matrix Spike	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 18:25	150612S015				
15-06-0741-1	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 18:52	150612S015				
Parameter	Sample Conc.	Spike Added	MS Conc.	MS %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Benzene	ND	50.00	46.49	93	45.44	91	74-122	2	0-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	50.00	49.14	98	47.63	95	77-125	3	0-24	
Toluene	ND	50.00	46.58	93	44.92	90	72-126	4	0-23	
p/m-Xylene	ND	100.0	100.1	100	96.92	97	63-129	3	0-25	
o-Xylene	ND	50.00	46.80	94	45.63	91	62-128	3	0-24	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND	50.00	37.44	75	38.62	77	68-134	3	0-21	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	250.0	242.7	97	237.1	95	65-143	2	0-30	
Ethanol	ND	500.0	409.0	82	395.5	79	34-178	3	0-58	

[Return to Contents](#)

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits

Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Project: 2705191 Page 2 of 2

Quality Control Sample ID	Type	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	MS/MSD Batch Number				
15-06-0978-7	Sample	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 13:06	150613S005				
15-06-0978-7	Matrix Spike	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 14:00	150613S005				
15-06-0978-7	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Aqueous	GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 14:27	150613S005				
Parameter	Sample Conc.	Spike Added	MS Conc.	MS %Rec.	MSD Conc.	MSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Benzene	ND	50.00	53.10	106	52.83	106	74-122	1	0-21	
Ethylbenzene	ND	50.00	55.52	111	54.73	109	77-125	1	0-24	
Toluene	ND	50.00	52.81	106	52.38	105	72-126	1	0-23	
p/m-Xylene	ND	100.0	110.6	111	110.3	110	63-129	0	0-25	
o-Xylene	ND	50.00	52.47	105	52.14	104	62-128	1	0-24	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	51.04	50.00	99.35	97	98.21	94	68-134	1	0-21	
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	ND	250.0	212.8	85	272.6	109	65-143	25	0-30	
Ethanol	ND	500.0	303.2	61	498.8	100	34-178	49	0-58	

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



Calscience

Quality Control - LCS/LCSD

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 3510C
 Method: EPA 8015B (M)
 Project: 2705191 Page 1 of 3

Quality Control Sample ID	Type	Matrix	Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	LCS/LCSD Batch Number			
099-15-304-1065	LCS	Aqueous	GC 46	06/15/15	06/16/15 23:05	150615B07			
Parameter	Spike Added	LCS Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
TPH as Diesel	2000	2089	104	2080	104	75-117	0	0-13	

Return to Contents

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits

Quality Control - LCS/LCSD

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Project: 2705191 Page 2 of 3

Quality Control Sample ID	Type	Matrix		Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	LCS/LCSD Batch Number			
099-14-246-2129	LCS	Aqueous		GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 15:44	150612L022			
099-14-246-2129	LCSD	Aqueous		GC/MS R	06/12/15	06/12/15 16:11	150612L022			
Parameter	Spike Added	LCS	Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Benzene	50.00	48.82	98	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20		
Ethylbenzene	50.00	51.55	103	N/A	N/A	80-123	N/A	0-20		
Toluene	50.00	49.12	98	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20		
p/m-Xylene	100.0	103.8	104	N/A	N/A	75-123	N/A	0-20		
o-Xylene	50.00	48.47	97	N/A	N/A	74-122	N/A	0-20		
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	50.00	40.45	81	N/A	N/A	69-129	N/A	0-20		
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	250.0	240.6	96	N/A	N/A	69-129	N/A	0-20		
Ethanol	500.0	443.4	89	N/A	N/A	42-168	N/A	0-20		
TPPH	1000	1041	104	1038	104	65-135	0	0-30		

Quality Control - LCS/LCSD

Antea Group Date Received: 06/12/15
 11050 White Rock Rd. Suite# 110 Work Order: 15-06-1009
 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6001 Preparation: EPA 5030C
 Method: GC/MS / EPA 8260B
 Project: 2705191 Page 3 of 3

Quality Control Sample ID	Type	Matrix		Instrument	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	LCS/LCSD Batch Number			
099-14-246-2130	LCS	Aqueous		GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 11:18	150613L020			
099-14-246-2130	LCSD	Aqueous		GC/MS R	06/13/15	06/13/15 11:45	150613L020			
Parameter	Spike Added	LCS	Conc.	LCS %Rec.	LCSD Conc.	LCSD %Rec.	%Rec. CL	RPD	RPD CL	Qualifiers
Benzene	50.00	48.91	98	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20		
Ethylbenzene	50.00	51.69	103	N/A	N/A	80-123	N/A	0-20		
Toluene	50.00	48.84	98	N/A	N/A	80-120	N/A	0-20		
p/m-Xylene	100.0	103.7	104	N/A	N/A	75-123	N/A	0-20		
o-Xylene	50.00	48.91	98	N/A	N/A	74-122	N/A	0-20		
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	50.00	41.05	82	N/A	N/A	69-129	N/A	0-20		
Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	250.0	244.3	98	N/A	N/A	69-129	N/A	0-20		
Ethanol	500.0	437.0	87	N/A	N/A	42-168	N/A	0-20		
TPPH	1000	1173	117	1162	116	65-135	1	0-30		

Sample Analysis Summary Report

Work Order: 15-06-1009

Page 1 of 1

<u>Method</u>	<u>Extraction</u>	<u>Chemist ID</u>	<u>Instrument</u>	<u>Analytical Location</u>
EPA 8015B (M)	EPA 3510C	974	GC 46	1
GC/MS / EPA 8260B	EPA 5030C	163	GC/MS R	2
GC/MS / EPA 8260B	EPA 5030C	927	GC/MS R	2



Location 1: 7440 Lincoln Way, Garden Grove, CA 92841

Location 2: 7445 Lampson Avenue, Garden Grove, CA 92841

Work Order: 15-06-1009

Page 1 of 1

Qualifiers	Definition
*	See applicable analysis comment.
<	Less than the indicated value.
>	Greater than the indicated value.
1	Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to a required sample dilution. Therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification.
2	Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was in control and, therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification.
3	Recovery of the Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) compound was out of control due to suspected matrix interference. The associated LCS recovery was in control.
4	The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to suspected matrix interference.
5	The PDS/PDSD or PES/PESD associated with this batch of samples was out of control due to suspected matrix interference.
6	Surrogate recovery below the acceptance limit.
7	Surrogate recovery above the acceptance limit.
B	Analyte was present in the associated method blank.
BU	Sample analyzed after holding time expired.
BV	Sample received after holding time expired.
CI	See case narrative.
E	Concentration exceeds the calibration range.
ET	Sample was extracted past end of recommended max. holding time.
HD	The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard.
HDH	The sample chromatographic pattern for TPH matches the chromatographic pattern of the specified standard but heavier hydrocarbons were also present (or detected).
HDL	The sample chromatographic pattern for TPH matches the chromatographic pattern of the specified standard but lighter hydrocarbons were also present (or detected).
J	Analyte was detected at a concentration below the reporting limit and above the laboratory method detection limit. Reported value is estimated.
JA	Analyte positively identified but quantitation is an estimate.
ME	LCS Recovery Percentage is within Marginal Exceedance (ME) Control Limit range (+/- 4 SD from the mean).
ND	Parameter not detected at the indicated reporting limit.
Q	Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply resulting from the parameter concentration in the sample exceeding the spike concentration by a factor of four or greater.
SG	The sample extract was subjected to Silica Gel treatment prior to analysis.
X	% Recovery and/or RPD out-of-range.
Z	Analyte presence was not confirmed by second column or GC/MS analysis.
	Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are reported on a wet weight basis.
	Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.
	A calculated total result (Example: Total Pesticides) is the summation of each component concentration and/or, if "J" flags are reported, estimated concentration. Component concentrations showing not detected (ND) are summed into the calculated total result as zero concentrations.



COP ELT CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed and accurate.

Page: 1 of
Cooler # of15-06-1009
2Q15 GW Event

Required Lab Information:

Lab Name: Calscience		Site ID #: 2705191	Task: WG_Q_201506	Send Invoice to: Sandy Hayes	Turn around time (days) 10
Address: 7440 Lincoln Way		AnteaGrp proj#		Address: 11050 White Rock Road, Suite 110	QC level Required: Standard
Garden Grove, CA 92841		Site Address: 449 Hegenberger	City/State: Rancho Cordova CA 95670		Phone #: 916-638-2085
Lab PM: Richard Villafania		City: Oakland	State: CA 94621	Reimbursement project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Non-reimbursement project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mark one Y
Phone/Fax: 714-895-5494		AG PM Name: Dennis Dettloff		Send EDD to: agdataview.us@anteagroup.com	MA MCP Cert? CT RCP Cert? Mark One
Lab PM email		Phone/Fax: P: 916-503-1261 F: 916-638-8385		CC Hardcopy report to:	Lab Project ID (lab use)
Applicable Lab Quote #:		AG PM Email: dennis.dettloff@anteagroup.com		CC Hardcopy report to:	Comments/Lab Sample I.D.

ITEM #	SAMPLE ID One Character per box. (A-Z, 0-9 / , -) Samples IDs MUST BE UNIQUE	Valid Matrix Codes		MATRIX CODE	SAMPLE TYPE G=GRAB C=COMP	SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE TIME	#OF CONTAINERS	FIELD FILTERED? (Y/N)	Preservatives						8015 TPHd W/Silica Gel 8280 GCMS Gro 8260 BTEX/MTBE/TBA 8260 Ethanol	
		MATRIX	MATRIX							Unpreserved	H ₂ SO ₄	HNO ₃	HCl	NaOH	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	
1	MW-11_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	9:20	6		X		X							X X X X
2	MW-13_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	9:35	6		X		X							X X X X
3	MW-14_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:50	6		X		X							X X X X
4	MW-15_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	9:40	6		X		X							X X X X
5	MW-16_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:00	6		X		X							X X X X
6	MW-3_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:10	6		X		X							X X X X
7	MW-6_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:20	6		X		X							X X X X
8	MW-9_20150630	WG	G	6/11/15	10:20	6		X		X							X X X X
9																	
10																	
11																	
12																	

Additional Comments/Special Instructions:

RELINQUISHED BY / AFFILIATION <i>Jonathan Fillingame</i> <i>SGS</i>	DATE 6/11/15 13:45	TIME 03:20	ACCEPTED BY / AFFILIATION <i>ECI</i>	DATE 6/11/15 13:45	TIME 13:45	Sample Receipt Conditions Y/N Y/N Y/N
SHIPPING METHOD: (mark as appropriate) SAMPLER NAME AND SIGNATURE						
UPS COURIER FEDEX	PRINT Name of SAMPLER: <i>Jonathan Fillingame</i>	US MAIL	SIGNATURE of SAMPLER: <i>Jonathan Fillingame</i>	DATE Signed 6/11/15	Time: 13:40	Temp in °C Samples on Ice? Sample intact? Trip Blank?

Global ID: T0600101476

6/11/2015



800-322-5555 • www.gso.com

1009

Ship From
CAL SCIENCE- CONCORD
ALAN KEMP
5063 COMMERCIAL CIRCLE
#A
CONCORD, CA 94520

Ship To
CEL
SAMPLE RECEIVING
7440 LINCOLN WAY
GARDEN GROVE, CA 92841

COD: \$0.00
Weight: 0 lb(s)
Reference:
ANTEA, ERI
Delivery Instructions:

Signature Type: REQUIRED

Package 1 of 3

Tracking #: 528241448

NPS



D92845A



38834804

Print Date: 6/11/2015 3:58 PM

Return to Contents ↑

SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECKLIST

COOLER / OF /

CLIENT: Antea Group

DATE: 06 / 12 / 2015

TEMPERATURE: (Criteria: 0.0°C – 6.0°C, not frozen except sediment/tissue)Thermometer ID: SC2 (CF:-0.3°C); Temperature (w/o CF): 2-6 °C (w/ CF): 2-3 °C; Blank Sample Sample(s) outside temperature criteria (PM/APM contacted by: _____) Sample(s) outside temperature criteria but received on ice/chilled on same day of sampling Sample(s) received at ambient temperature; placed on ice for transport by courierAmbient Temperature: Air FilterChecked by: 836**CUSTODY SEAL:**

Cooler	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Present and Intact	<input type="checkbox"/> Present but Not Intact	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Present	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Checked by: <u>836</u>
Sample(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Present and Intact	<input type="checkbox"/> Present but Not Intact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Present	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A	Checked by: <u>1013</u>

SAMPLE CONDITION:

	Yes	No	N/A
Chain-of-Custody (COC) document(s) received with samples	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
COC document(s) received complete	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Sampling date <input type="checkbox"/> Sampling time <input type="checkbox"/> Matrix <input type="checkbox"/> Number of containers			
<input type="checkbox"/> No analysis requested <input type="checkbox"/> Not relinquished <input type="checkbox"/> No relinquished date <input type="checkbox"/> No relinquished time			

Sampler's name indicated on COC

Sample container label(s) consistent with COC

Sample container(s) intact and in good condition

Proper containers for analyses requested

Sufficient volume/mass for analyses requested

Samples received within holding time

Aqueous samples for certain analyses received within 15-minute holding time

 pH Residual Chlorine Dissolved Sulfide Dissolved Oxygen

Proper preservation chemical(s) noted on COC and/or sample container

Unpreserved aqueous sample(s) received for certain analyses

 Volatile Organics Total Metals Dissolved Metals

Container(s) for certain analysis free of headspace

 Volatile Organics Dissolved Gases (RSK-175) Dissolved Oxygen (SM 4500) Carbon Dioxide (SM 4500) Ferrous Iron (SM 3500) Hydrogen Sulfide (Hach)

Tedlar™ bag(s) free of condensation

CONTAINER TYPE: (Trip Blank Lot Number: _____) Aqueous: VOA VOAh VOAna₂ 100PJ 100PJna₂ 125AGB 125AGBh 125AGBp 125PB 125PBznna 250AGB 250CGB 250CGBs 250PB 250PBn 500AGB 500AGJ 500AGJs 500PB 1AGB 1AGBna₂ 1AGBs 1PB 1PBna _____ _____ _____ _____**Solid:** 4ozCGJ 8ozCGJ 16ozCGJ Sleeve (_____) EnCores® (_____) TerraCores® (_____) _____**Air:** Tedlar™ Canister Sorbent Tube PUF _____ Other Matrix (_____) : _____

Container: A = Amber, B = Bottle, C = Clear, E = Envelope, G = Glass, J = Jar, P = Plastic, and Z = Ziploc/Resealable Bag

Preservative: b = buffered, f = filtered, h = HCl, n = HNO₃, na = NaOH, na₂ = Na₂S₂O₃, p = H₃PO₄, Labeled/Checked by: 1013s = H₂SO₄, u = ultra-pure, znna = Zn(CH₃CO₂)₂ + NaOHReviewed by: 778

Is the Data Valid?
(circle)
Yes / No

Preservation Temperature
(if Known): 2.3 °C

Antea Group Lab Validation Sheet

Project/Client: 76 Station No. S191/S043 / COP-ELT

Project #: I4270S191

Date of Validation: 7/15/15 Date of Analysis: 6/2-6/17 Sample Date: 6/11/15

Completed By: ETW Signature: [Signature]

Analytical Lab Used and Report # (if any): Eurofins CalScience # 15-06-1009

Circle or
Highlight
Yes/No
below

1. Was the analysis the one requested? Yes / No
2. Do the sample number(s) on the chain-of-custody (COC) match the one(s) that appear on the laboratory data sheet? Yes / No
3. Were samples prepared (extracted, filtered, etc.) within EPA holding times? Yes / No
4. Once prepared/extracted, were the samples analyzed within the EPA holding times? Yes / No
5. Were Laboratory blanks performed, if so, were they below non-detect? Yes / No
6. Are the units correct? (i.e., soil samples in mg/kg or ug/g, water samples mg/L, ug/L, and air samples in volume mg/m^3,etc.) Yes / No
7. Were appropriate Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) samples included in the laboratory batch sample? Yes / No
8. In lieu of MS/ MSD, were surrogate spike (SS) or surrogate spike duplicate (SSD) samples included in the laboratory batch samples? Yes / No N/A
9. Were MS/ MSD (or SS/SSD) within the acceptable range of % recovery (i.e., approx 80-120% depending on analyte)? Yes / No
10. Were MS/MSD (or SS/SSD) values used to calculate Relative Percent Difference (RPD)? Yes / No
11. Were Relative Percent Difference values within the acceptable range (i.e. ± 25%)? Yes / No

If any answer is no, explain why and what corrective action was taken:

Qualifiers:

SG: TPH_d ~/silica gel

HD: The chromatographic pattern ~~was identical to~~
the profile of the reference fuel standard (TPH_d)

Quarterly Summary Report, Second Quarter 2015

76 Station No. 5191/5043


Oakland, CA

Antea Group Project No. I42705191




Appendix E

Concentration vs. Time Graphs




MW-13

Concentration vs. Time



MW-14

Concentration vs. Time



MW-17

Concentration Vs. Time

