

3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Phone: (408) 264-7723 FAX: (408) 264-2435

# REPORT SUBSURFACE ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION at

ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

#### 62019.02

Prepared for ARCO Products Company P.O. Box 5811 San Mateo, California by Mar RESNA Industries Inc.

March 10, 1993

Earlin Melderson

Erin McLucas Staff Geologist

Joel Coffman

Project Manager

EGISTERED GEOLO m JAMES KEWKS James Nelson NELSON Certified Engineering Geologist No. 1463 No. 1463 ಭ CERTIFIED March 10, 1993 ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST ATE OF CALIFO



3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Phone: (408) 264-7723 FAX: (408) 264-2435

# TRANSMITTAL

TO: Mr. Rob Weston Alameda County Health Care Services 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621

ŞCĨ

DATE: March 10, 1993 PROJECT NUMBER: 62019.02 SUBJECT: Final - Subsurface Environmental Investigation at ARCO Station 2162, 15135 Hesperian Blvd., Oakland, California.

FROM: Erin McLucas TITLE: Staff Geologist

WE ARE SENDING YOU:

COPIES	DATED	NO.	DESCRIPTION
1	2/10/93	62019.02	Final - Subsurface Environmental Investigation at at the above subject site.

#### THESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:

[] For review and comment	[] Approved as submitted	[] Resubmit copies for approval
[X] As requested	[] Approved as noted	[] Submit copies for distribution
[] For approval	[] Return for corrections	[] Return corrected prints

[] For your files

REMARKS: cc: Mr. Michael Whelan, ARCO Products Company Mr. H.C. Winsor, ARCO Products Company Mr. John Jang, RWQCB, San Francisco Bay Region Mr. Mike Bakaldin, City of San Leandro Fire Department Mr. Joel Coffman, RESNA Industries Inc.

Copies: 1 to RESNA project file no. 62019.02



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# PLATES

PLATE 1:	SITE VICINITY MAP
PLATE 2:	GENERALIZED SITE PLAN
PLATE 3:	UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM AND SYMBOL KEY
PLATES 4	
THROUGH 7:	LOGS OF BORING
PLATE 8:	GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION A-A'
PLATE 9:	GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION B-B' & C-C'
PLATE 10:	GROUNDWATER GRADIENT MAP, SEPTEMBER 30, 1992
PLATE 11:	GROUNDWATER GRADIENT MAP, OCTOBER 16, 1992
PLATE 12:	TPHg/BENZENE CONCENTRATIONS IN GROUNDWATER,
	SEPTEMBER 30, 1992
PLATE 13:	TPHg/BENZENE CONCENTRATIONS IN GROUNDWATER,
	OCTOBER 16, 1992

.



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

#### TABLES

- TABLE 1: CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES
- TABLE 2: CUMULATIVE GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA
- TABLE 3:CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF<br/>GROUNDWATER SAMPLES

#### **APPENDICES**

- APPENDIX A: PERMITS
- APPENDIX B: FIELD METHODS

APPENDIX C:	LABORATORY A	NALYTICAL R	ECORDS, CH	IAIN C	OF CUSTO	)DY
	<b>RECORDS FOR S</b>	OIL SAMPLES,	AND SOIL I	DISPOS.	AL LETT	ER
APPENDIX D:	GRADATION TES	ST RESULTS				
APPENDIX E	LABORATORY	ΔΝΑΙ ΥΤΙΟΔΙ	REPORTS	$\Delta ND$	CHAIN	OF

APPENDIX E: LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORDS FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLES APPENDIX F: WELLHEAD SURVEY



3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Phone: (408) 264-7723 FAX: (408) 264-2435

# REPORT SUBSURFACE ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION at

ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

#### INTRODUCTION

At the request of ARCO Products Company (ARCO), RESNA Industries Inc. (RESNA) performed a subsurface environmental investigation at ARCO Station 2162, located at 15135 Hesperian Boulevard in San Leandro, California. This investigation was initiated in response to petroleum hydrocarbons encountered in the soil during a preliminary tank replacement assessment conducted by Roux Associates (Roux), of Concord, California (Roux, August 28, 1991). The objectives of this subsurface environmental investigation were to evaluate the extent of gasoline hydrocarbon-impacted soil and groundwater onsite, and to evaluate the groundwater gradient and flow direction beneath the site.

The work performed for this investigation included: drilling four soil borings; collecting and describing soil samples from the borings; installing and developing four 4-inch diameter groundwater monitoring wells in the borings; submitting selected soil samples for laboratory and sieve analysis; measuring depth-to-water (DTW) levels; sampling groundwater monitoring wells and submitting samples for laboratory analysis; surveying wellhead elevations; and preparing this report which summarizes field procedures, results, and conclusions. This work was performed as outlined in RESNA's Work Plan for Subsurface Investigation (RESNA, July 7, 1992).



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

#### <u>General</u>

ARCO Station 2162 is an operating auto repair and self-service gasoline station located in a residential area on the southwestern corner of the intersection of Hesperian Boulevard and Ruth Court in San Leandro, California. The location of the site is shown on the Site Vicinity Map, Plate 1. The site is approximately 30 feet above mean sea level (msl), on a predominantly flat concrete- and asphalt-covered lot, which slopes gently (less than 1 percent) toward the southwest (U.S. Geological Survey, 1968). In December 1991, one 6,000-gallon steel gasoline underground storage tank (UST), two 8,000-gallon steel USTs, and one 12,000-gallon fiberglass UST (T1 through T4) were removed and replaced with four 10,000-gallon double-walled fiberglass USTs at the subject site. Product delivery lines were also removed and replaced with double-walled product delivery lines. A 560-gallon underground waste-oil-storage tank during the same period. The locations of the newly installed tanks and other pertinent facilities at the site are shown on the Generalized Site Plan, Plate 2.

#### Regional Geology and Hydrogeology

The subject site is located on the East Bay Plain, an area of generally low relief lying between the San Francisco Bay to the west and the hills of the Diablo Range to the east. The eastern boundary of the plain in the San Leandro area is marked by the active Hayward Fault, which is located along the base of the Diablo Range escarpment. The Hayward Fault is a well recognized groundwater barrier which locally influences groundwater flow near the base of the hills (Maslonkowski, 1984).

The East Bay Plain is underlain by about 1,000 feet. of unconsolidated Quaternary sediments, consisting mostly of sand and silt deposited by alluvial systems, and clay and silt deposited in shoreline and estuarine environments. The alluvial deposits consist of large coalescing fans (cones) formed by debris transported by streams and creeks that drained from the Diablo Range (Hickenbottom and Muir, 1988). The soils in the vicinity of the



subject site have been mapped as younger alluvium deposits of The San Leandro Cone (Maslonkowski, 1984) and consist of unconsolidated clay, silt, sand and gravel (Helley, et al., 1979).

Recharge to the groundwater in the area occurs mainly as a result of direct precipitation that falls on the plain and the adjacent hills. Water reaches the groundwater reservoir through seepage from streams, infiltration through the soil, and subsurface inflow from adjacent areas and bedrock units.

# **PREVIOUS WORK**

# Preliminary Tank Replacement Assessment

A preliminary tank replacement assessment was conducted at the site by Roux on June 5, 1991 (Roux, August 28, 1991). This investigation included drilling and sampling five soil borings (B-1, B1A through B-4) and drilling and sampling two borings prior to installing vapor extraction wells (VW-1 and VW-2). Soil samples collected from the borings were monitored with an organic vapor meter (OVM) and selected soil samples were submitted to a State-certified laboratory for analysis under Chain of Custody protocol. The locations of the borings and vapor wells are shown on Plate 2.

The soil borings were drilled to total depths between 9½ and 15 feet and the vapor extraction wells VW-1 and VW-2 were installed at a depth of 9 feet. Soil encountered in the borings consisted of interbedded silt and silty clay from ground surface to depths between 7 and 9 feet. A sand and gravel unit was encountered beneath the silt and clay unit. Groundwater was encountered in the borings at depths between 9 and 10 feet. A silt unit underlying the sand and gravel unit was encountered in boring B-4 at a depth of 13 feet (Roux, August 28, 1991).

Analytical results of a soil sample collected from boring B-1, located in the tank pit, indicated nondetectable total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg)(less than 1.0 part per million [ppm]) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) (less than 0.0050 ppm). Analytical results of soil samples collected from boring B-4, located in the



March 10, 1993 62019.02

tank pit, indicated concentrations of 2,400 ppm TPHg, 17 ppm benzene, 62 ppm toluene, 41 ppm ethylbenzene, and 260 ppm total xylenes at a depth of 7½ feet. Analytical results of soil samples collected from boring B-3, located directly southwest of the former USTs, indicated concentrations of 1,400 ppm TPHg, 2.5 ppm benzene, 4.4 ppm toluene, 29 ppm ethylbenzene, and 190 ppm total xylenes at a depth of 7½ feet. TPHg and BTEX were detected in soil samples collected from boring VW-1, located south of the pump islands, and in boring B-1A, located northeast of the former USTs at concentrations up to 100 ppm TPHg and 7.6 ppm total xylenes at depths of 7½ and 9 feet, just above first-encountered groundwater. Laboratory analytical data of soil samples from borings B-1, B-1A through B-4 and VW-1 are shown in Table 1, Cumulative Results of Laboratory Analyses of Soil Samples. No soil samples were analyzed from VW-2.

### Limited Soil Performance Test

A "limited soil performance test" (LSPT) was performed onsite on June 6, 1991, by Roux (Roux, August 28, 1991). The LSPT was performed to evaluate the efficiency and practicality of vapor extraction as a soil and groundwater remediation alternative and to evaluate the most appropriate off-gas treatment alternative. During the LSPT, vapor extraction well VW-1 was used as the extraction well and well VW-2 was used as the observation well. No air samples were taken during the LSPT.

Based on the results of their LSPT, Roux evaluated the radius of vacuum impact to be less than 20 feet at a flow rate of 260 cubic feet per hour or 3.6 cubic feet per minute (CFM). No influence was recorded in vapor extraction well VW-2 after 70 minutes. Roux concluded that at the screened depth of the vapor extraction wells, the silty clays beneath the site are not amenable to vapor extraction remediation techniques.

# Underground Storage Tank Removal and Replacement

The former gasoline and waste-oil USTs, and product lines were removed and replaced between December 1991 and February 1992 (Roux, July 7, 1992). The locations of the former UST and product line excavations are shown on Plate 2.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

Soil samples were collected from the tank pit side walls and beneath the product delivery lines and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. Except for sample L-5, most of the seven product line trench bottom samples (L-1 through L-7) contained TPHg concentrations less than 20 ppm sample L-5 contained a TPHg concentration of 110 ppm at a depth of 3 feet. Sidewall samples in the former tank pit excavation (SW-1 through SW-5) contained TPHg concentrations ranging from 140 ppm (SW-2) on the southwest sidewall at a depth of 10 feet, to 1,000 ppm (SW-5) in the northeast sidewall at a depth of 10 feet. One soil sample (WO-1) was obtained at a depth of approximately 10 feet beneath the former waste-oil tank and analyzed for TPHg and total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd), BTEX, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), total oil and grease (TOG), and The Waste Extraction Test (WET) for cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), lead (Pb), nickel (Ni), and zinc (Zn) as described in the California Administrative Code, Title 22. The analytical results of the sample WO-1 indicated concentrations of TPHg at 310 ppm, TPHd at 360 ppm, total BTEX at 17.48 ppm, TOG at 270 ppm, and WET constituents Cr at 49 ppm, Pb at 5.2 ppm, Ni at 59 ppm, and Zn at 58 ppm. VOCs and Cd were nondetectable.

As part of the tank replacement activities, piping for use in possible future remediation systems was also installed at the site.

#### **FIELD WORK**

#### <u>Drilling</u>

Well Construction Permit No. 92436 was acquired from the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Zone 7 (ACFCWCD) prior to drilling at the site. A copy of the permit is included in Appendix A, Permits. Field work at the site was conducted in accordance with RESNA's field protocol and the Site Safety Plan (RESNA, September 6, 1992). A summary of the field methods used by RESNA is included in Appendix B, Field Methods.

On September 8, 1992, a RESNA environmental scientist was at the site to observe the drilling of four onsite borings (B-5 through B-8). Borings B-5 through B-8 were drilled to total depths between approximately 18-1/2 and 21 feet to evaluate the vertical and lateral



March 10, 1993 62019.02

extent of gasoline hydrocarbons in soil. Groundwater monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 were installed in borings B-5 through B-8, respectively, to evaluate the lateral extent of gasoline hydrocarbons in groundwater beneath the site.

#### Soil Sampling and Description

A total of 16 soil samples were collected for description and possible laboratory analyses from the ground surface to the total depth of the borings. A soil sample was collected from boring B-5 for vertical delineation from a silty clay perching layer below shallowest groundwater. However, the sample was lost and could not be analyzed. Soil samples were described in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (Plate 3), and collected at the depths as indicated on the Logs of Borings B-5 through B-8 (Plates 4 through 7). Field measurements of organic vapors were monitored with an organic vapor meter (OVM) which provides order of magnitude field estimates of organic vapor content from selected soil samples. The organic vapor measurements are shown on the logs of boring in the column labeled P.I.D. (photoionization detector). A summary of the sampling methods used is presented in Appendix B.

The earth materials encountered during this investigation consisted primarily of silty clay and clayey silt interbedded with a silty sand to gravel. Graphic interpretations of the soil stratigraphy encountered in the borings are shown on Geologic Cross Sections A-A', B-B', and C-C' (Plates 9 through 11). The locations of these cross sections are shown on Plate 2.

Three lithologic units were encountered at the site. Beneath a section of asphalt and baserock covering the site, a clayey silt unit approximately 6 feet thick was encountered. Underlying the clayey silt was a sandy silt to sandy gravel water-bearing unit between the depths of approximately 8 and 18-1/2 feet. The water-bearing unit was underlain by a silty clay to clayey silt perching unit of unknown thickness. Groundwater was first encountered within the silty sand to gravel unit at depths between approximately 10 and 11 feet. The water table appears to be unconfined due to the absence of an upper confining layer and the apparent drop of static water levels below measured initial water levels in most of the completed wells.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

Soil cuttings generated from the borings were temporarily stored along the western boundary of the site and placed on and covered with plastic sheeting pending proper disposal. Following completion of drilling on September 8, 1992, four soil samples were collected from the stockpile and submitted for compositing and laboratory analyses. The field methods used to collect these samples are described in Appendix B.

# Monitoring Well Construction and Development

As previously mentioned, four groundwater monitoring wells, MW-1 through MW-4, were constructed in borings B-5 through B-8, respectively. The wells were completed with 4-inch diameter, Schedule 40, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing and screened with 4-inch diameter, 0.020 inch-wide machine-slotted PVC. Well casings were set in wells MW-1 and MW-2 to a depth of 16 feet, in well MW-3 to a depth of 15 feet, and in well MW-4 to a depth of 18 feet. A complete description of field methods used is included in Appendix B.

The wells were developed on September 23, 1992, to remove fine-grained sediments and to allow better communication between the water-bearing zone and the groundwater monitoring well. Development was performed by a RESNA Technician as described in Appendix B.

# Groundwater Level Measurements and Sampling

RESNA performed monitoring and sampling at the site on September 30, 1992, as a part of this subsurface investigation, and EMCON Associates of San Jose, California (EMCON) performed monitoring and sampling at the site on October 16, 1992, in conjunction with fourth quarter 1992 groundwater monitoring. During both monitorings, depth-to-water (DTW) levels were measured, water samples were visually inspected for the presence of floating product, the wells were purged, and water samples were collected for analysis. No evidence of floating hydrocarbon product was observed in wells MW-1 through MW-4 during either monitoring. Groundwater monitoring data is presented in Table 2.



## LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS

#### Soil Samples

Thirteen soil samples collected from borings B-5 through B-8 were analyzed by Sequoia Analytical Laboratories of Redwood City, California, (State of California Hazardous Waste Testing Laboratory Certification No. 1210) for TPHg and BTEX using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods 5030/8015/8020. In addition, selected samples from depths of 8½ and 9 feet in boring B-5 and B-6 respectively, were analyzed by a geotechnical laboratory (Soil Foundation Systems, Inc., of Fremont, California) for grain size distribution, to obtain information for use in possible future recovery well construction. At the request of ARCO's contractor, Dillard Trucking, Inc. of Byron, California, the four samples collected from the soil stockpile were composited and analyzed by Sequoia Analytical for TPHg and BTEX using EPA Methods 5030/8015/8020, for corrosivity, ignitability, and reactivity (RCI), and for lead by solubility threshold limit concentration (STLC).

The soil samples were selected for laboratory analysis based on:

- Location above first-encountered groundwater;
- Location in a potential perching layer below first-encountered groundwater;
- Areas where the presence of gasoline hydrocarbons was suspected;

# Groundwater Samples

Groundwater samples collected from wells MW-1 through MW-4 were preserved as required by the applicable analytical method and delivered with Chain of Custody Records to Sequoia Analytical for the September 30, 1992 sampling, and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX using EPA Methods 5030/8015/8020. The samples from the October 16, 1992 monitoring were sent to Columbia Analytical services, Inc., in San Jose, California (State of California Hazardous Waste Testing Laboratory Certification No. 1462) and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX using EPA Methods 5030/8020/California DHS LUFT Method.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### **EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER GRADIENT**

On September 14, 1992, the wellheads of the new groundwater monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 were surveyed for top-of-casing (TOC) elevations to a local City of San Leandro Datum benchmark by John E. Koch, Licensed Land Surveyor, of Oakland, California. The results of this wellhead survey are included in Appendix F, Wellhead Survey. Groundwater elevations for each well were calculated by subtracting DTW level measurements from the TOC elevation. The groundwater gradient, as interpreted from the September 30, and October 16, 1992 DTW measurements, was approximately 0.01 with a flow direction to the southwest as depicted on Plates 11 and 12, Groundwater Gradient Maps. The DTW measurements, and calculated groundwater elevations are presented in Table 2, Cumulative Groundwater Monitoring Data.

#### **RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES**

#### <u>Soil</u>

Results of laboratory analyses of soil samples from previously drilled borings and of the thirteen soil samples collected from borings B-5 through B-8 are summarized in Table 1, Cumulative Results of Laboratory Analyses of Soil Samples. Chain of Custody Records and Laboratory Analytical Reports of Soil Samples are included in Appendix C of this report.

Laboratory results of soil samples from these borings indicated that the greatest concentrations of TPHg and BTEX were at depths of approximately 10 to 11 feet, in the capillary fringe zone. A these depths concentrations of TPHg ranged from 51 ppm in B-8 located downgradient of the former USTs, to 550 ppm in B-6, located immediately adjacent to and upgradient of the former USTs, and BTEX ranged from 0.056 ppm ethylbenzene in B-8 to 48 ppm total xylenes in B-6.

Laboratory analytical data for boring B-7, located down- and slightly crossgradient of the former USTs in the southeastern corner of the site, indicated nondetectable TPHg (less than 1.0 ppm) and BTEX (less than 0.0050 ppm) in all samples analyzed.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

Sieve analysis results of the selected samples analyzed from borings B-5 and B-6 at depths of 8½ and 9 feet respectively, indicated that the soil was a silty clay. Gradation Test Results of the sieve analyses are included in Appendix D.

### **Groundwater**

Results of laboratory analyses of water samples from MW-1 through MW-4 are presented in Table 3, Cumulative Results of Laboratory Analyses of Groundwater Samples. Chain of Custody Records and Laboratory Analytical Reports of Groundwater Samples are included in Appendix E.

Laboratory analytical results of groundwater samples collected on September 30, and October 16, 1992, from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-4, indicated that: well MW-1 had the greatest concentrations of TPHg, located relatively crossgradient; well MW-4 had the greatest concentrations of benzene, located roughly downgradient; and well MW-2 had the greatest concentrations of toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, located upgradient of the former USTs.

Laboratory analytical reports for samples collected on both September 30, and October 16, 1992, from well MW-3, located downgradient to slightly crossgradient of the former USTs, indicated nondetectable TPHg (less than 50 ppb) and BTEX (<0.50 ppb).

#### Stockpiled Soil Cuttings

Results of laboratory analyses of the composited stockpile soil samples indicated 11 ppm TPHg, 0.52 ppm ethylbenzene, 0.12 ppm total xylenes, a pH of 8.4, ignitability of >100 ° C, no reactivities, and 0.11 ppm lead. The results of composite soil samples analyses are included in Table 1. The soil stockpile was removed on September 22, 1992, by ARCO's contractor.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of this and previous environmental investigations, RESNA concludes the following:

- The majority of gasoline hydrocarbons in the soil at the site appear to be located in the capillary fringe zone above first encountered groundwater the northern portion of the site, in the vicinity of, and crossgradient from, the former USTs. The greatest impacts are at approximate depths of 5 to 7½ feet as indicated by the presence of TPHg and BTEX in borings B-5 and B-6 and in previously drilled borings B1 through B4, in the vicinity of the former USTs.
- Gasoline hydrocarbons appear to have been laterally delineated in the soil to less than 1.0 ppm TPHg and less than 0.0050 ppm BTEX in the vicinity of boring B-7, located in the southeastern corner of the site.
- Gasoline hydrocarbons appear to have been vertically delineated in the soil to less than 1.0 ppm TPHg in the vadose zone (at depths between 0 and 5 feet) and in the aquitard underlying the water bearing zone (at depths between 16<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> and 18<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> feet) in the vicinity of borings B-6 through B-8. Gasoline hydrocarbons appear to have been vertically delineated in the vadose zone in boring B-5 to less than 1 ppm TPHg. A soil sample collected from a silty clay perching layer below the shallow waterbearing unit from boring B-5 was lost and could not be analyzed. However, the lack of odor and a zero OVM reading (subjective evidence) from a moist silty sand layer situated directly above the perching layer (see Plate 4, Log of Boring B-5/MW-1) suggests that gasoline hydrocarbons may not have impacted the aquitard underlying the water bearing zone.
- First groundwater was encountered beneath the site at depths between approximately 10 and 11 feet in wells MW-1 through MW-4. The groundwater gradient was estimated to be approximately 0.01, with a flow direction to the southwest.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

- The highest concentrations of gasoline hydrocarbons in the shallowest groundwater beneath the site appear to be in the northern portion of the site, in the vicinity of, the former USTs, as indicated by the presence of TPHg and BTEX in wells MW-1 and MW-2. Lesser concentrations appear in the groundwater in well MW-4, located in the southwestern corner of the site downgradient of the former USTs.
- Gasoline hydrocarbons in groundwater appear to have been delineated to less than 50 ppb TPHg and less than 0.50 ppb BTEX in monitoring well MW-3, located in the southeastern corner of the site crossgradient of the former USTs.

#### **REPORT DISTRIBUTION**

RESNA recommends that copies of this report be sent to the following agencies:

Mr. John Jang Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 2101 Webster Street, Suite 500 Oakland, California 94612

Mr. Rob Weston Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621

Mr. Mike Bakaldin City of San Leandro Fire Department Hazardous Materials Division 835 East 14th Street San Leandro, California 94577



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted standards of environmental geological practice in California at the time this investigation was performed. This investigation was conducted solely for the purpose of evaluating environmental conditions of the soil and groundwater with respect to gasoline-related hydrocarbons at the site. No soil engineering or geotechnical references are implied or should be inferred. Evaluation of the geologic conditions at the site for the purpose of this assessment is made from a limited number of observation points. Subsurface conditions may vary away from the data points available.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### REFERENCES

Department of Health Services, State of California. October 24, 1990. <u>Summary of</u> Drinking Water Standards,

- Helley, E.J., and K.R. Lajoie, 1979, Flatland Deposits Their Geology and Engineering Properties and Their Importance to Comprehensive Planning. USGS Professional Paper 943.
- Hickenbottom, Kelvin and Muir, Kenneth, June 1988. <u>Geohydrology and Groundwater</u> <u>Ouality Overview of the East Bay Plain Area, Alameda County, California</u>. Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Report 205 (j).
- Maslonkowski, D.P. 1984. <u>Groundwater in the San Leandro and San Lorenzo Alluvial</u> <u>Cones of the East Bay Plan of Alameda County</u>. Alameda County Flood control and Water Conservation District, California
- RESNA, July 7, 1992. Work Plan for Subsurface Investigation at ARCO Station 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California. 62019.01
- RESNA, September 6, 1992. <u>Site Safety Plan Subsurface Environmental Investigation at</u> <u>ARCO Station 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California</u>, 62019.02
- Roux Associates, August 28, 1991. <u>Letter Report Limited Soil Performance Test, ARCO</u> <u>Facility No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California.</u> Doc #A101W02.1.1
- Roux Associates, August 28, 1991. <u>Preliminary Tank Replacement Assessment, ARCO</u> <u>Facility No. 2162, 15135</u> <u>Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California</u>. #A101W01.1.5
- Roux Associates, August 28, 1991. <u>Letter Report Limited Soil Performance Test, ARCO</u> <u>Facility No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California.</u> #A101W02.1.1
- Roux Associates, July 7, 1992. <u>Underground Storage Tank Replacement and Soil Sampling</u>. <u>ARCO Facility No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California</u>. #A117W01.1.8



March 10, 1993 62019.02

# **REFERENCES** (cont.)

Title 22, California Administrative Code, Section 66696. January 1988.

U.S. Geologic Survey, 1968, San Leandro, California, 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle map.





# UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

	DR DIVISION	LTR	DESCRIPTION	MAJOR	DIVISION	LTR	DESCRIPTION
		GW	Well-graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines.			ML	Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands or clayey silts with slight
	GRAVEL AND GRAVELLY	GP	Poorly—graded gravels or gravel—sand mixtures, little or no fines.		SILTS AND CLAYS		plasticity. Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravell
	SOILS	GM	Silty gravels, grave—sond— silt mixtures.		LL<50		clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays.
		GC	Clayey gravel, gravel—sand —clay mixtures.	FINE-		OL	Organic silts and organic silt—clays of low plasticity
GRAINED SOILS	SAND	sw	Weil—graded sand or gravelly sands, little or no fines.	GRAINED SOILS	SILTS	мн	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts.
	AND SANDY SOILS	SP	Poorly—graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines.		AND CLAYS LL>50	Сн	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays.
	30123	SM	Silty sands, sand—siit mixtures.			ОН	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts.
		SC	Clayey sonds, sona-clay mixtures.	HIGHLY ORG	ANIC SOILS	PT	Peat and other highly organic soils.
<b>X</b>	No sample reco	overed	(775)		1	1	
	Static water lev observed in wel Initial water lev observed in bor	I/borin	g 📄 Blank P	native soil VC —slotted PVC			Grodational contact
	observed in wel	l/borin el ing	g Blank P	VC	tor		Grodational contact
	observed in wel Initial water leve observed in bor	BLOWS FALLINC OF AN GRADAT LOG RE MAY BE	Blank P Blank P Machine P.I.D. Photoion REPRESENT THE NUMBER C 30 INCHES TO DRIVE THE 18-INCH PENETRATION. IONAL AND INFERRED CONTA EPRESENT APPROXIMATE BOU C GRADUAL. LOGS REPRESE LOCATION AT THE TIME OF	VC slotted PVC hization detect OF BLOWS OF A SAMPLER THRC ACT LINES SEPA INDARIES ONLY. INT SUBSURFAC DRILLING ONLY SOIL CL	A 140-POUND DUGH EACH 6 RATING UNITS ACTUAL BOU E CONDITIONS	INCHES ON THE UNDARIES AT THE	Inferred contact
	nitial water leve observed in bor Sample number	I/borin el ing BLOWS FALLINC OF AN GRADAT LOG RE BORING	Blank P Blank P Machine P.I.D. Photoion REPRESENT THE NUMBER ( 30 INCHES TO DRIVE THE 18-INCH PENETRATION. IONAL AND INFERRED CONTA PRESENT APPROXIMATE BOL GRADUAL. LOGS REPRESE LOCATION AT THE TIME OF UNIFIED WITE 15	VC slotted PVC hization detec OF BLOWS OF A SAMPLER THRC NCT LINES SEPA UNDARIES ONLY. CNT SUBSURFAC DRILLING ONLY SOIL CLA AND S	A 140-POUND DUGH EACH 6 RATING UNITS ACTUAL BOU E CONDITIONS ASSIFICA SYMBOL Station 2	INCHES ON THE UNDARIES AT THE ATION KEY 162	Inferred contact

				Material type: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u> Casing diameter: <u>4</u>	
creen inte	rval:_		8 to 1	6 feetFilter pack:#3 SandSlot size:_0.0	020 <u>-inc</u>
rilling Com	ipany	:	Explora	tion GeoServices Driller: John and Dennis	<u> </u>
ethod Use	d:		Hollow-	Stem Auger Field Geologist: Lou L	eet
	Sig	gnatur	e of R	egistered Professional:	
		I	Registra	ation No.: CEG 1463 State: CA	
Samp			USCS		Well
epth Sampl No.	e Mole	P.I.D.	Code	Description	Const.
		<u> </u>			
				Asphalt-covered surface.	
0 -			GW	Asphalt (4 inches).	
				Sandy gravel, fine to coarse gravel, fine— to coarse— grained sand, brown, damp, medium dense; shell	7 7 7 7 7 7
2 -			ML	fragments: baserock.	
				Clayey silt with sand, fine— to medium—grained sand, black, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff.	ים סק ס סק ים סק
4 - S-4.5	7			block, dump, medium plasticity, very stin.	V V V V
<b>U</b>	<u>I</u> 10				
6 -	<u>Ц</u> 18				
8 - S-8.5	<u></u> ] 3		ML	Sandy silt with clay, fine- to medium-grained sand,	
	1 3 4 5	12		gray—brown, very moist, low to medium plasticity, stiff: product_odes	
10- S-10		126	⊻▼	Water at $10-1/2$ feet.	
	2	3	= =	Lost sample.	
12-	4				
	63				
14 -	42352354		SM	Silty sand with gravel, fine- to medium-grained sand,	
	3			fine to coarse gravel, brown, moist, medium dense.	
16 -	$\frac{2}{3}$	0			
	5 4		CL	Silty clay, dark brown, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff	
18-	6 11			any day, dan brown, damp, mediani producty, very dan	
				Total depth = $18-1/2$ feet.	
	+				I .



LOG OF BORING 8-5/MW-1 PLATE ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

4

PROJECT

62019:02

Dept	h of t	or	ing_	<b>:</b> 18-1,	/2 feet	Diameter of boring: <u>12 inches</u> Date drilled: <u>09</u> /	/08/92
Well	depth			16 feet	<u> </u>	Material type: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u> Casing diameter: <u>4</u>	inches
Scre	en inte	erv	al:_		<u>8 to 1</u>	6 feetFilter pack:#3 SandSlot size: 0.	020-inch
Drilli	ng Co	mp	an	/:	Explora	tion GeoServices Driller: John and Dennis	
Meih	od Us	ed:				Stem Auger Field Geologist: Lou l	_eet
			Si	-		egistered Professional:	
					Registr	ation No.: CEG 1463 States CA	
Dept	h Samı		Blows	P.I.D.	USCS	Description	Well
	No.	•	Ē		Code		Const.
						Asphalt-covered surface.	
- 0					GW	Asphalt (4 inches).	
- 2	4				ML	Sandy gravel, medium to coarse gravel, medium— to coarse—grained sand, brown, damp, medium dense; glass fragments: baserock.	マ     マ       マ     マ       マ     マ       マ     マ
					IVIL	Clayey silt, brown, damp, medium plasticity, stiff.	
- 4	-		-				
	S-5		10				
- 6	-		12				
- 8 -	   S-9	Ħ	5 7	50	SM	Silty sand, fine-grained, brown, moist to wet, medium	
- 10-			570 103574	58 2 <b>03</b> -	⊽₹	dense; obvious odor.	
			5	200	-	Color change to gray.	
- 12-		Ť	6				
			67323367	0			
- 14 -		Ħ	33		SM	Silty sand with clay, fine-grained, moist, loose.	
		$\square$				Sand with silt, fine— to coarse—grained, brown, wet, medium dense.	
- 16 -	S-17	H	5 7 8		SP-SM	Clayey silt with sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, damp, medium plasticity, stiff.	
- 18 -	<u> </u>		85 68		ML CL/CH	Silty clay, dark brown, damp, medium to high plasticity,	
			8			stiff. Total depth = $18-1/2$ feet.	
- 20 -							
						<u> </u>	
						LOG OF BORING B-6/MW-2	PLATE
	/a			Si	<b>V</b> A	ARCO Station 2162	
	Wørlki	ng	to		e Natur	2 15135 Hesperian Boulevard	5
PROJ	ECT			620	19.02	San Leandro, California	

Depth of boring: Well depth: 15 f		oring: <u>12 inche</u> Sch 40 PVC		ed: 09/08/92
Screen interval: Drilling Company:			-	size: 0.020-inch
Method Used:	Hollow-Stem Auger	Fi	ield Geologist:	Lou Leet
Signa	ture of Registered Profes Registration No. <u>: CEG 14</u>	sional: <u>163</u> Stote: <u>1</u>		

Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const
- 2 -				SM	Asphalt-covered surface. Asphalt (4 inches). Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, damp, medium dense.	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
1 10	S-5	5 7 11	0	ML	<b>Clayey silt,</b> black, moist, medium plasticity, very stiff. Color change to brown at 5—1/2 feet.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
- 5 -	S-7.5	55 <mark>0</mark> 566567	0	V	Silty sand with clay, fine— to medium—grained, brown, very moist, medium dense. Silty sand, fine— to medium—grained, brown, wet, medium dense.	
- 12-		10 6 5	0	V = F SM SM GW	Sandy gravel, fine to medium gravel, fine- to coarse- grained sand, brown, wet, medium dense. Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, wet, medium dense. Sandy silt with clay, fine-grained, brown, wet, low plas-	
- 14 -	S-16.5	443353467	0 0 0	SM ML SM ML	ticity, stiff. Silty sand, fine-grained, brown, very moist, loose. Clayey silt with sand, fine-grained, brown, damp to moist medium stiff. Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, damp,	
- 18 - - 20 -		6 7 10 12	0	SM ML	medium dense. Clayey silt with sand, fine-grained, dark brown, damp, low plasticity, very stiff. Total depth = 19 feet.	



LOG OF BORIN**G B-7/MW-3** ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

PLATE

6

PROJECT

62019.02

rilling Com	ipany				020 00
			Explorat	8 feet Filter pack: #3 Sand Slot size: 0. ion GeoServices Driller: John and Dennis	
				Stem Auger Field Geologist: Lou L	_eet
	Sig			egistered Professional:	
		I	Registra	tion No.: CEG 1463 State: CA	
epth Sampl	e š		USCS		Well
epth Sumpr No.	e Nola	P.I.D.	Code	Description	Const
		İ			
0 -				Asphalt-covered surface.	
	-		GW	Asphalt (4 inches).	
			1	<ul> <li>Sandy gravel, fine to coarse gravel, medium— to coarse- grained sand, brown, damp, medium dense; glass</li> </ul>	_ ▼
2 -			ML	fragments: baserock.	┝╺┤ ┍╴ ▽
				Clayey silt, brown, damp, low to medium plasticity, very stiff.	
		-		Color abarra ta blash	
S-5	15 17	0		Color change to black.	
6 -					, d A d A d
8 -					
S-9.5	3	23	SM	Silty sand with clay, fine- to medium-grained sand,	
10-				brown, moist to very moist, medium dense; rootlet void.	
S-11 S-11.5	8 3 4 7	-	<b>→ →</b>		
				Odor.	
			GP-GM	Sandy gravel with silt, fine to coarse gravel, fine- to	-
4	3			coarse-grained sand, dark brown, wet, loose.	-
	333332310378		SM	Silty sand, fine-grained, brown, very moist.	
6-	10		SM	With clay.	
H	$\frac{3}{7}$	٥		Silty sand, fine— to coarse—grained, very moist, medium dense.	
8- S-18.5	8				
			ML	Clayey silt, dark gray-brown, damp, medium plasticity,	
20	-			very stiff.	
Π				Total depth = 21 feet.	
					<u> </u>
				LOC OF POPING P-9 (WW-4	PLAT
R		s		LOG OF BORING B-8/MW-4	I LATI
Working	5 lo	Restore	e Nature	ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard	7
			19.02	San Leandro, California	,





.



PLATE 9











Sample

March 10, 1993 62019.02

Total

#### TABLE 1 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California (Page 1 of 3) Ethyl-Denzene Toluene benzene

Number	1	ſPHg	Benzen	ić.	Toluene		benzene	Xylenes
June 1991								
Borings								
S-B1-5		<1.0	< 0.00	50	< 0.0050		< 0.0050	0.016
S-B1A-7.5		43	0.14		0.93		1.1	7.6
S-B2-5	1.3		< 0.00		< 0.0050		< 0.0050	< 0.018
S-B2-9	•	<1.0	< 0.00	50	< 0.0050		< 0.0050	< 0.0050
S-B3-4		26	0.02	4	0.029		0.16	1.1
S-B3-7.5	1,4	00	2.5		4.4		29	190
S-B4-4.5		<1.0	0.02	5	0.013		0.0085	0.042
S-B4-7.5	2,4	00	17		62		41	260
S-VW1-6		2.8	0.03	3	0.0073		0.079	0.055
S-VW1-9	1	00	0.48	i	1.4		2.7	4.1
Tank Pit Sidewa					<b>. .</b>		46	8.4
Tank Pit Sidewa SW-1 at 9	_ 5	00	< 0.00		0.40		3.5	8.4 72
Tank Pit Sidewa SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10	5	40	0.10	)	0.38		3.0	7.2
Tank Pit Sidewa SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10 SW-3 at 10	_ 5 1 1	40 50	0.10 0.26	) ;	0.38 0.11		3.0 2.1	7.2 2.0
<u>Tank Pit Sidewa</u> SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10 SW-3 at 10 SW-4 at 10	5 1 1 6	40 50 10	0.10 0.26 0.47	) ;	0.38 0.11 7.1		3.0 2.1 11	7.2 2.0 82
<u>Tank Pit Sidewa</u> SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10 SW-3 at 10 SW-4 at 10	_ 5 1 1	40 50 10	0.10 0.26	) ;	0.38 0.11		3.0 2.1	7.2 2.0
December 1991 Tank Pit Sidewa SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10 SW-3 at 10 SW-4 at 10 SW-5 at 10 Waste-oil Sidewa	5 1 1 6 1,0 8 <u>11</u>	40 50 10 00	0.10 0.26 0.47 2.3	) ,	0.38 0.11 7.1 9.2		3.0 2.1 11 25	7.2 2.0 82 220
Tank Pit Sidewa SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10 SW-3 at 10 SW-4 at 10 SW-5 at 10 Waste-oil Sidewa	5 1 1 6 1,0 8 <u>11</u>	40 50 10	0.10 0.26 0.47	) ,	0.38 0.11 7.1		3.0 2.1 11	7.2 2.0 82
Tank Pit Sidewa SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10 SW-3 at 10 SW-4 at 10 SW-5 at 10 Waste-oil Sidewa WO-1 at 10	5 1 1 6 1,0 8 <u>11</u>	40 50 10 00	0.10 0.26 0.47 2.3	) ,	0.38 0.11 7.1 9.2		3.0 2.1 11 25	7.2 2.0 82 220
Tank Pit Sidewa SW-1 at 9 SW-2 at 10 SW-3 at 10 SW-4 at 10 SW-5 at 10 Waste-oil Sidewa	5 1 1 6 1,0 8 <u>11</u>	40 50 10 00	0.10 0.26 0.47 2.3	) ,	0.38 0.11 7.1 9.2	Po	3.0 2.1 11 25	7.2 2.0 82 220

Sample Number	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes
December 1991					
Soil Stockpile				• •	110
CS-1	1,300	0.98	3.7	5.0	110
CS-2	1,000	5.6	39	14	130
CS-3	200	0.36	0.91	1.5	20
CS-4	86	0.077	0.11	0.36	2.8

See notes on page 3 of 3



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### TABLE 1 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California (Page 2 of 3)

	umple umber	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Totai Xylenes
			······································			
	CS-5	100	0.14	0.27	0.65	4.8
	CS-6	140	0.032	0.085	0.47	3.7
	CS-7	110	ND	0.082	0.074	1.9
	CS-8	270	0.12	0.1	0.22	13
	CS-9	54	ND	ND	ND	0.24
	CS-10	480	0.44	0.36	3.8	26
	January 1992					
	Soil Stockpile					
	CS-11	51	0.11	ND	0.18	0.95
	CS-12	6.2	0.016	0.013	0.016	0.16
	CS-13	23	0.028	0.066	0.11	0.82
	February 1992					
	Product Lines			. 0. 00.00	< 0.0050	< 0.0050
	L-1 at 3	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0.21	0.30
	L-2 at 3.5	4.4	0.082	0.013	< 0.0050	< 0.0050
	L-3 at 3	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050		< 0.0050
	L-4 at 3	<1.0	0.0063	0.0076	< 0.0050	0.029
	L-5 at 3	110	0.65	0.17	1.2	
	L-6 at 2.5	16	1.0	0.20	0.96	4.0
	L-7 at 4	12	0.28	0.018	0.35	0.78
	September 1992					
	Borings S-4.5-B5	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050
) <u> </u>	S-10-B5	100	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0.46	0.36
	S-5-B6	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050
-2	S-10-B6	550	0.79	1.3	10	48
- 2	S-17-B6	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050
	S-5-B7	< 1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050
-3	S-10-B7	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050
	S-16.5-B7	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050

See notes on page 3 of 3

\_\_\_\_



March 10, 1993 62019.02

TABLE 1 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California (Page 3 of 3)										
	Sample Number		TPHg		Benzene		Toluene		Ethyl- enzene	Totai Xyienes
w-4	S-5-B8 S-9,5-B8 <b>S-11-B8</b> S-11.5-B8 S-18,5-B8		<1.0 2.0 51 91 <1.0	· · · · -	<0.0050 <0.0050 0.18 1.4 <0.0050		<0.0050 <0.0050 <0.0050 0.11 <0.0050	<	0.0050 0.0050 0.056 0.22 0.0050	<0.0050 <0.0050 0.11 0.86 <0.0050
	Sampie Number	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xyienes	рН	I	R	 Ръ
	September 1992 Soil Stockpile SP-0809 A-D	11	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0.52	0.12	8.4	>100	None	0.11
TPH; I = I R = Pb == <:Be	esults in parts per g = Total petrole: gnitability in °C Reactivity to sulfi lead clow the reporting mple designations:	um hydroc de, cyanide limits of t	arbons as ga e, or water he analytical	method.				S-12-B5		
		   SP-08 	09 A-D	B So So D	ample depth oring numbe oil sample ample vate oil pile sampl				-  	Boring number Sample depth i Soil sample



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### TABLE 2 CUMULATIVE GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California (Page 1 of 1)

<u>Well</u> Date	Well Elevation	Depth to Water	Water Elevation	Floating Product	
<u>MW-1</u>	31.19	10.68	20.51	None	
09/30/92 10/16/92	51.17	10.83	20.36	None	
<u>MW-2</u>					
09/30/92 10/16/92	30.38	9.74 9.91	20.64 20.47	None None	
<u>MW-3</u>					
09/30/92	30.30	9.93	20.37	None	
10/16/92		10.13	20.17	None	
<u>MW-4</u>					
09/30/92	30.39	11.15	19.24	None	
10/16/92		11.33	19.06	None	

All measurements in feet.

Well elevation datum is mean sea level. Datum is City of San Leandro  $\approx$  1973 Adj., NGVD. Wells surveyed by John Koch, Licensed Surveyor, on 9/14/92.
APPENDIX A

PERMITS

5997 PARKSIDE DRIVE	CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT PLEASANTON, CALIFORNIA 94588 (415) 484-2600 DINANCE PERMIT APPLICATION
FOR APPLICANT TO COMPLETE	FOR OFFICE USE
LOCATION OF PROJECT ARCO 2162 135 HESPERIAN BOULEVARD ANI LEANDRO, CA	PERMIT NUMBER 92436
CLEENT No ARCO PRODUCTS COMPANY Address P.O. BOX 5811 Phone 415) 571-2435 City SANMATED ZIP 94402	PERMIT CONDITIONS Circled Permit Requirements Apply
AFTLICANT Name <u>RESNA INDUSTRIES INC.</u> <u>LOU LEET</u> ACTESS <u>33/SALMADENEXPWY.</u> THOME(400) 264-7723 City <u>SAN TOSE</u> Zip <u>95/18</u>	<ul> <li>A. GENERAL</li> <li>I. A permit application should be submitted so as to arrive at the Zone 7 office five days prior to proposed starting date.</li> <li>2. Submit to Zone 7 within 60 days after completion</li> </ul>
The OF PROJECT         Well Construction       Geotechnical Investigation         Cathodic Protection       General         Pater Supply       Contamination         Enlister Supply       Well Destruction	of permitted work the original Department of Water Resources Water Well Drillers Report or equivalent for well projects, or drilling logs and location sketch for geotechnical projects. 3. Permit is void if project not begun within 90 days of approval date.
PREPOSED WATER SUPPLY WELL USE Depistic Industrial Other <u>MONITORING</u> Municipal Irrigation DRLLING METHOD: Mud Rotary Air Rotary Auger Cable Other	<ul> <li>(B.) WATER WELLS, INCLUDING PIEZOMETERS</li> <li>I. Minimum surface seal thickness is two inches of cement grout placed by tremle.</li> <li>2. Minimum seal depth is 50 feet for municipal and industrial wells or 20 feet for domestic and irrigation wells unless a lesser depth is specially approved. Minimum seal depth for</li> </ul>
DRELER'S LICENSE NO. <u>C57-484288</u> WEEL PROJECTS Drill Hole Diameter <u>/O</u> in. Maximum Casing Diameter <u>4</u> in. Depth <u>30</u> ft. Surface Seal Depth <u>5</u> ft. Number <u>4</u> GEOTECHNICAL PROJECTS Number of Borings <u>Maximum</u> Hole Diameter in. Depth ft.	<ul> <li>monitoring wells is the maximum depth practicable or 20 feet.</li> <li>C. GEOTECHNICAL. Backfill bore hole with compacted cut- tings or heavy bentonite and upper two feet with com- pacted material. In areas of known or suspected contamination, tremied cement grout shall be used in place of compacted cuttings.</li> <li>D. CATHODIC. Fill hole above anode zone with concrete placed by tremie.</li> <li>E. WELL DESTRUCTION. See attached.</li> </ul>
ESTIMATED STARTING DATE $9-2-9.2$ ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE $9-3-9.2$ I hereby agree to comply with all requirements of this permit and Alameda County Ordinance No. 73-68. API-LICANT'S SIGNATURE $2.4.4.2eet$ Date $8-246-92$	Approved <u>Myman Hong</u> Date 2 Sep 92 Wyman Hong

## **APPENDIX B**

## **FIELD METHODS**



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### FIELD METHODS

The following presents RESNA's protocol for a typical site investigation involving gasoline hydrocarbon-impacted soil and/or groundwater.

#### Site Safety Plan

The Site Safety Plan describes the safety requirements for the evaluation of gasoline hydrocarbons in soil, groundwater, and the vadose-zone at the site. The Site Safety Plan is applicable to personnel of RESNA and its subcontractors. RESNA personnel and subcontractors of RESNA scheduled to perform work at the site are briefed on the contents of the Site Safety Plan before work begins. A copy of the Site Safety Plan is available for reference by appropriate parties during the work. A Site Safety Officer is assigned to the project.

#### Soil Borings

Prior to the drilling of borings and construction of monitoring wells, permits are acquired from the appropriate regulatory agency. In addition to the above-mentioned permits, encroachment permits from the City or State are acquired if drilling of borings offsite in the City or State streets is necessary. Copies of the permits are included in the appendix of the project report. Prior to drilling, Underground Services Alert is notified of our intent to drill, and known underground utility lines and structures are approximately marked.

The borings are drilled by a truck-mounted drill rig equipped with 8- or 10-inch-diameter, hollow-stem augers. The augers are steam-cleaned prior to drilling each boring to minimize the possibility of cross-contamination. After drilling the borings, monitoring wells are constructed in the borings, or neat-cement grout with bentonite is used to backfill the borings to the ground surface.

Borings for groundwater monitoring wells are drilled to a depth of no more than 20 feet below the depth at which a saturated zone is first encountered, or a short distance into a stratum beneath the saturated zone which is of sufficient moisture and consistency to be judged as a perching layer by the field geologist, whichever is shallower. Drilling into a deeper aquifer below the shallowest aquifer can begin only after a conductor casing is properly installed and allowed to set, to seal the shallow aquifer.



March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### Drill Cuttings

Drill cuttings subjectively evaluated as having hydrocarbon contamination at levels greater than 100 parts per million (ppm) are separated from those subjectively evaluated as having hydrocarbon contamination levels less than 100 ppm. Evaluation is based either on subjective evidence of soil discoloration, or on measurements made using a field calibrated OVM. Readings are taken by placing a soil sample into a ziplock type plastic bag and allowing volatilization to occur. The intake probe of the OVM is then inserted into the headspace created in the plastic bag immediately after opening it. The drill cuttings from the borings are placed in labeled 55-gallon drums approved by the Department of Transportation; or on plastic at the site, and covered with plastic. The cuttings remain the responsibility of the client.

#### Soil Sampling in Borings

Soil samples are collected at no greater than 5-foot intervals from the ground surface to the total depth of the borings. The soil samples are collected by advancing the boring to a point immediately above the sampling depth, and then driving a California-modified, split-spoon sampler containing brass sleeves through the hollow center of the auger into the soil. The sampler and brass sleeves are laboratory-cleaned, steam-cleaned, or washed thoroughly with Alconox<sup>®</sup> and water, prior to each use. The sampler is driven with a standard 140-pound hammer repeatedly dropped 30 inches. The number of blows to drive the sampler each successive six inches are counted and recorded to evaluate the relative consistency of the soil.

The samples selected for laboratory analysis are removed from the sampler and quickly sealed in their brass sleeves with aluminum foil, plastic caps, and aluminized duct tape. The samples are then be labeled, promptly placed in iced storage, and delivered to a laboratory certified by the State of California to perform the analyses requested.

One of the samples in brass sleeves not selected for laboratory analysis at each sampling interval is tested in the field using an OVM that is field calibrated at the beginning of each day it is used. This testing is performed by inserting the intake probe of the OVM into the headspace created in the plastic bag containing the soil sample as described in the Drill Cuttings section above. The OVM readings are presented in Logs of Borings included in the project report.



#### Subsurface Environmental Investigation ARCO Station 2162, San Leandro, California

March 10, 1993 62019.02

#### Logging of Borings

A geologist is present to log the soil cuttings and samples using the Unified Soil Classification System. Samples not selected for chemical analysis, and the soil in the sampler shoe, are extruded in the field for inspection. Logs include texture, color, moisture, plasticity, consistency, blow counts, and any other characteristics noted. Logs also include subjective evidence for the presence of hydrocarbons, such as soil staining, noticeable or obvious product odor, and OVM readings.

#### Monitoring Well Construction

Monitoring wells are constructed in selected borings using clean 2- or 4-inch-diameter, thread-jointed, Schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing. No chemical cements, glues, or solvents are used in well construction. Each casing bottom is sealed with a threaded endplug, and each casing top with a locking plug. The screened portions of the wells are constructed of machine-slotted PVC casing with 0.020-inch-wide (typical) slots for initial site wells. Slot size for subsequent wells may be based on sieve analysis and/or well development data. The screened sections in groundwater monitoring wells are placed to allow monitoring during seasonal fluctuations of groundwater levels.

The annular space of each well is backfilled with No. 2 by 12 sand, or similar sorted sand, to approximately two feet above the top of the screened casing for initial site wells. The sand pack grain size for subsequent wells may be based on sieve analysis and/or well development data. A 1- to 2-foot-thick bentonite plug is placed above the sand as a seal against cement entering the filter pack. The remaining annulus is then backfilled with a slurry of water, neat cement, and bentonite to approximately one foot below the ground surface.

An aluminum utility box with a PVC apron is placed over each wellhead and set in concrete placed flush with the surrounding ground surface. Each wellhead cover has a seal to protect the monitoring well against surface-water infiltration and requires a special wrench to open. The design discourages vandalism and reduces the possibility of accidental disturbance of the well.

#### Groundwater Monitoring Well Development

The monitoring wells are developed by bailing or over-pumping and surge-block techniques. The wells are either bailed or pumped, allowed to recharge, and bailed or pumped again until the water removed from the wells is determined to be clear. Turbidity measurements



Subsurface Environmental Investigation ARCO Station 2162, San Leandro, California March 10, 1993 62019.02

(in NTUs) are recorded during well development and are used in evaluating well development. The development method used, initial turbidity measurement, volume of water removed, final turbidity measurement, and other pertinent field data and observations are included in reports. The wells are allowed to equilibrate for at least 48 hours after development prior to sampling. Water generated by well development will be stored in 17E Department of Transportation (DOT) 55-gallon drums onsite and will remain the responsibility of the client.

#### Sample Labeling and Handling

Sample containers are labeled in the field with the job number, sample location and depth, and date, and promptly placed in iced storage for transport to the laboratory. A Chain of Custody Record is initiated by the field geologist and updated throughout handling of the samples, and accompanies the samples to a laboratory certified by the State of California for the analyses requested. Samples are transported to the laboratory promptly to help ensure that recommended sample holding times are not exceeded. Samples are properly disposed of after their useful life has expired.

### **APPENDIX C**

## LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORDS FOR SOIL SAMPLES



RECEIVED SEP 2 3 1992 Resna

SANJOSE

RESNA 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Attention: Joel Coffman

1

Project: ARCO 2162, San Leandro

Enclosed are the results from 11 soil samples received at Sequoia Analytical on September 9,1992. The requested analyses are listed below:

SAMPLE #	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	DATE OF COLLECTION	TEST METHOD	
2091695	Soil, S-5-B8	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091696	Soil, S-9.5-B8	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091697	Soil, S-11-B8	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091698	Soil, S-11.5-B8	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091699	Soíl, S-18.5-B8	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091700	Soil, S-5-B7	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091701	Soil, S-10-B7	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091702	Soil, S-16.5-B7	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091703	Soil, S-5-B6	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091704	Soil, S-10-B6	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	
2091705	Soil, S-17-B6	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020	

Please contact me if you have any questions. In the meantime, thank you for the opportunity to work with you on this project.

Very truly yours,

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

 $( \mathcal{L} )$ Maria Lee

Project Manager



**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL** 

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063 (415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

RESNA	Client Project ID:	ARCO 2162, San Leandro	Sampled:	Sep 8, 1992
3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	Sample Matrix:	Soil	Received:	Sep 9, 1992
San Jose, CA 95118	Analysis Method:	EPA 5030/8015/8020	Reported:	Sep 21, 1992
Attention: Joel Coffman	First Sample #:	209-1695		
- Xeenen waard	***************************************			

#### TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

	Reporting Analyte Limit mg/kg		Sample I.D. 209-1695 S-5-B8	<b>Sample</b> I.D. 209-1696 S-9.5-B8	<b>Sample</b> I.D. 209-1697 S-11-B8	Sample I.D. 209-1698 S-11.5-B8	Sample I.D. 209-1699 S-18.5-B8	Sample I.D. 209-1700 <u>S-5-B7</u>
	Purgeable Hydrocarbons	1.0	N.D.	2.0	51	91	N.D.	N.D.
	Benzene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	0.18	1.4	N.D.	N.D.
	Toluene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	0.11	N.D.	N.D.
	Ethyl Benzene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	0.056	0.22	N.D.	N.D.
	Total Xylenes	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	0.11	0.86	N.D.	N.D.
	Chromatogram Patt	ern:		Non-Gas Mix > C9	Gas & Non-Gas Mix > C10	Gas		
<b>–</b>	Quality Control Dat	ta	<u>.</u>					
	Report Limit Multipli	cation Factor:	1.0	1.0	5.0	10	1.0	1.0
ĺ	Date Analyzed:		9/15/92	9/15/92	9/17/92	9/17/92	9/15/92	9/15/92
	Instrument Identifica	ation:	GCHP-4	GCHP-4	GCHP-2	GCHP-2	GCHP-4	GCHP-4
Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)		103	102	102	120	103	104	

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

v Maria Lee

Project Manager



**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL** 680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063

(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

RESNA	Client Project ID:	ARCO 2162, San Leandro	Sampled:	Sep 8, 1992
3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	Sample Matrix:	Soil	Received:	Sep 9, 1992
San Jose, CA 95118	Analysis Method:	EPA 5030/8015/8020	Reported:	Sep 21, 1992
Attention: Joel Coffman	First Sample #:	209-1701		
	*********			

#### TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit mg/kg	<b>Sample</b> I.D. 209-1701 S-10-B7	Sample I.D. 209-1702 S-16.5-B7	Sample I.D. 209-1703 S-5-B6	<b>Sample</b> I.D. 209-1704 S-10-B6	Sample I.D. 209-1705 S-17-B6	
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	550	N.D.	
Benzene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	0.79	N.D.	
Toluene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	1.3	N.D.	
Ethyl Benzene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	10	N.D.	
Total Xylenes	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	48	N.D.	
Chromatogram Pat	ttern:				Gas		
Quality Control Da	ata					<del></del>	
Report Limit Multip	lication Factor:	1.0	1.0	1.0	100	1.0	
Date Analyzed:	Date Analyzed:		9/15/92	9/15/92	9/15/92	9/15/92	
Instrument Identific	ation:	GCHP-4	GCHP-4	GCHP-4	GCHP-4	GCHP-4	
Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)		105	107	105	100	103	

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Maria Lee Project Manager



ſ	RESNA	Client Project ID: ARCO 2162, San Leandro	o
	3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34		-
	San Jose, CA 95118		
	Attention: Joel Coffman	QC Sample Group: 2091695-1705	Reported: Sep 21, 1992

#### QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020
Analyst:	J. F.	J. F.	J. F.	J. F.
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/k <b>g</b>
Date Analyzed:	Sep 15, 1992	Sep 15, 1992	Sep 15, 1992	Sep 15, 1992
QC Sample #:	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Spike Conc.				
Added:	0.40	0.40	0.40	1.2
Conc. Matrix				
Spike:	0.36	0.36	0.38	1.2
Matrix Spike				
% Recovery:	90	90	95	100
Conc. Matrix				
Spike Dup.:	0.37	0.38	0.39	1.3
Matrix Spike Duplicate				
% Recovery:	95	95	98	108
Relative		_		
% Difference:	2.7	5.4	2.6	8.0

Quality Assurance Statement: All standard operating procedures and quality control requirements have been met.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL % Reco

Conc. of M.S Conc. of Sample	x 100
Spike Conc. Added	—
Conc. of M.S Conc. of M.S.D.	x 100
(Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2	
	Spike Conc. Added Conc. of M.S Conc. of M.S.D.

Maria Lee Project Manager

2091695.RES <3>

	ARCO		ARCO Products Company Division of AtlanticRichfieldCompany Task Order No. 2162-92-24 Cha								90	aA					C	hain of Custo	dy						
	ARCO Faci 2162 ARCO engi	lity no.	0190	5.5	Cit (Fa	ty acility) 5	TAIUI	E A N. Telephon	nec		Project (Consul)	manag ant)	er Tor		. F.F	<i>M</i> /1	nli	100	( )	EE	7			Laboratory name SEQUOLA Contract number 07-073 Method of shipment	
	ARCO engi	neer I F F	<i>u) i</i>	UEI	Ani			Telephon (ARCO)	e no.		Telepho (Consult	ne no.	<u>sor</u> z		11- 7	· · · · · ·	Fax	no. neulten	du c	01 11	· u_ ·	211 1	~	SEQUERA	
	Consultant	name	E.S.A.		<u>, 1.(1)</u>	,		1(,00)	Address	3315	ALA	AD	EN	EXI	DAE	7 01 . 7 55 I U	MY, 5	GUIT,	1901 1913	4 4	<u>27-</u> 0	27.)	<u> </u>		
				<u> </u>	Matrix	$\sqrt{1}$	Proce	rvation				SE	<u>,                                    </u>	-9	<u>5-77</u>	<u> </u>					T			Method of shipment	
						T						V8015	50		03E				Semi						
	.0.		Ter no	0.41	14/-1		<b>1</b>	A	եր ըն	ng tin	8020	HC 2/8020	lified 8 Diesel	årease 413.	1/SM5	8010	8240	8270	] VOA [	STL(	E D				
	Sample I.D.	Lab no.	Container	Soil	Water	Other	lce	Acid	Sampling date	Sampling time	BTEX 602/EPA 8020	BTEX/TPHC EPA M602/8020/8016	TPH Modified 8015 Gas Diesel	Oil and Grease 413.1 0 413.2 [	TPH EPA 418.1/SM503E	EPA 601/8010	EPA 624/8240	EPA 625/8270	TCLP Metals UVOA VOA L	CAM Metals EPA 6010/7000	ad Org 20/742				
,	<u> </u>	<u>ب</u>	<u> </u>	$\Lambda^{-1}$							88		Ηğ	<u>9</u> 4	には	ш 	ц Ц		₽ž	35	7°6			Special detection	
	<u> 5-45-</u>	- 68		N.	1					11:45		Κ.				2	V	11	57		2			Limit/reporting	
/	5-912	B8	 	24					9-8-92	11:50		X					$\Sigma$	71	6	rG	· }				
1	<u>5-11-8</u> 5-11/9- 5-18/2	28		50	ļ				9-8-92	12:00		Κ					$\mathcal{X}$	$\Omega$	6	P7					1
1	5-11/4	88		J.	N.				9-8-92	12:01		X					$\Delta$	)9	16	P8				Special QA/QC	
/	<-18%	5-B8		ļ 1	<u>}</u> ^				9-8-92			Х					$\mathfrak{T}$	γÇ	16	,çq	$\geq$				
		1			2				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									-	Ì		Ē				ł
	- 1-	, i) ay							2005	2							$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$	$\overline{\langle}$	),	$\mathbf{n}$					
	<u>5-5-1</u> 5-7/5 5-10- 5-16/1			ļ	<u></u>	+			9-8-95	í		X Ho	α				3	$\mathcal{I}$	-/	$\square$				Remarks	•
/	<u>S-1/2</u>	187		1,					9-8-92	1		,						$\overline{\langle}$	E						.3
1	<u>5-10-</u>	B7	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			9-8-92	9:10		X					$\lesssim$	5				14	K		4
	<u>s-167</u>	3-137	<u> </u>		ļ				9-8-93	9:55		X					$\mathcal{D}$	<u>ک</u>	$\frac{1}{7}$	PC	$\ominus$				
					ļ				· · ·														•		
1	S-4	-856							9-8-92	5:30	1	X				C	$\sum$	$\mathbf{Q}$	70	b3					
1	5-113-1	846							4-8-92			X						'nC	-را ر ا		1				
,	s-45 s-70-1 s-9-8								9-8-12		1	<b>b</b>							•					Lab number	
_	5-17-1		1			11 · Prot		· .	9-8-92			X					$\sim$	0	1-70		-			Turnaround time	
1	) /		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1			7-0-12	6,10							X				)			Priority Rush	
	Condition of	of samole:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	⊥		I		<u> </u>	Tempe	ratura	receive											1 Business Day	
	Relinquish				<u>-&lt;`</u> (	<u>hart</u>		Date		Time	Receiv			+	-t-	$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}$		71		· ·····		·		Rush 2 Business Davs	
	X	f Le	đ-					9-9-9	2	2:27			$ \mathcal{A} $	W	ion	)	100	th							
	Relinquish	ed by	"Materia	N W	Wi			Date J. J.	92.	1 Time $1$	Receiv	ed by	-											Expedited 5 Business Days	
	Relinquish	ed by		1/1 1/1	Π,	×.		Date	- <u>+-</u> '+ <u>-</u>	Time	Receiv	ved by	laborat	N. 1	110			Date	9/9		Time /	520	 ע	Standard 10 Business Days	Ŕ

Distribution: W	Vhite copy	Laboratory	/; Canary co	py — ARCO	Environment	tal Engineeri	ng; Pink co	py - Consu	Itant
3292						أنذ	ن النق ا		



RECEIVED

GGT - 1. **1992** 

RESNA 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Attention: Joel Coffman

RESNA SAN JOSE

Project: ARCO 2162, San Leandro

Enclosed are the results from 2 soil samples received at Sequoia Analytical on September 9,1992. The requested analyses are listed below:

SAMPLE #	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	DATE OF COLLECTION	TEST METHOD
2091725	Soil, S-4.5-B5	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020
2091726	Soil, S-10-B5	9/8/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020

Please contact me if you have any questions. In the meantime, thank you for the opportunity to work with you on this project.

Very truly yours,

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

rana les Maria Lee

Project Manager



**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL** 

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063 (415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

RESNA	Client Project ID:	ARCO 2162, San Leandro	Sampled:	Sep 8,	1992
3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	Sample Matrix:	Soil	Received:	Sep 9,	1992
San Jose, CA 95118	Analysis Method:	EPA 5030/8015/8020	Reported:	Sep 18,	1992
Attention: Joel Coffman	First Sample #:	209-1725			
- Normania and a second and a second s	********				

#### TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit mg/kg	Sample i.D. 209-1725 S-4.5-B5	Sample I.D. 209-1726 S-10-B5
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	1.0	N.D.	100
Benzene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.
Toluene	0.0050	N.D.	N.D.
Ethyl Benzene	0.0050	N.D.	0.46
Total Xylenes	0.0050	N.D.	0.36
Chromatogram Pa	ttern:		Non-Gas Mix > C8

#### **Quality Control Data**

	Report Limit Multiplication Factor:	1.0	10
	Date Analyzed:	9/15/92	9/15/92
	Instrument Identification:	GCHP-7	GCHP-7
	Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)	91	101

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

#### SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

12 Maria Lee

**Project Manager** 



## Client Project ID: ARCO 2162, San Leandro

RESNA 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Attention: Joel Coffman

QC Sample Group: 2091725-6

Reported: Sep 18, 1992

### QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020
Analyst:	R. Lee	R. Lee	R. Lee	R. Lee
Reporting Units:	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Date Analyzed:	Sep 15, 1992	Sep 15, 1992	Sep 15, 1992	
QC Sample #:	GBLK091492	GBLK091492	GBLK091492	
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
•				
Spike Conc.				
Added:	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.60
Augeo.	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00
Conc. Matrix				
Spike:				
	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.51
Matrix Spike				
% Recovery:				
,,.	90	90	85	85
Conc. Matrix				
Spike Dup.:	0.40	0.40	0.47	
Motrix Calka	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.50
Matrix Spike Duplicate				
% Recovery:				
A necovery.	90	90	85	83
	30	50	~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Relative				
% Difference:				
Ju Briterenoe.	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0

Quality Assurance Statement: All standard operating procedures and quality control requirements have been met.

 SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL
 % Recovery:
 Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of Sample
 x 100

 Maria Lee
 Relative % Difference:
 Conc. of M.S. - Conc. of M.S.D.
 x 100

 Project Manager
 2091725.RES <2>

ARC	Divisio	n of Atlanti	Com cRichfield	Company	<b>↔</b>			Task O	rder No.			- <b>-</b>	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	6.2	) - 9	2-0	- <b>-</b>			<b> </b> (		Ć	Laboratory name SEQUOIA Contract number 07-07-3
ARCO Fa	cility no. ) - 6	2019	1,03	Cit (Fa	y acility)	SAN	LEA	NDRO No no.		Project n (Consulta	nanage ant)	T	DEL		FF	л A	NI	Làc	( )	EE	: 7		Laboratory name
ARCO:en	gineer 11	IKE	W.H	ELX	2N		Telephor (ARCO)	ne по.		Telephon (Consulta	ne no. ant)(4	081	26	4-:	772	Fax	no. Insultan	n Un	81	264.	- 24	35	SEQUOIA .
Consultan	t name RE	ESN	4					Address (Consulta	3315 (nl) $540$	ALM	AD I	EN	EXP	MES	SWI	4Y,	Su1;	TE 34	<i>, , , ,</i>				07-07:3
				Matrix		Prese	rvation	1				ŀ		5					×				Method of shipment
ń		ģ		<u>}</u>	<b></b>			date	e E		20/801		3.2	1503E		_		Sen	R S	ا ي			`د
Sampie I.D	Lab no.	Container	Soil	Water	Other	ice	Acid	Sampling date	Sampting time	BTEX 602/EPA 8020	BTEXTPH C EPA M602/8020/8015	TPH Modified 8015 Gas Diesel D	Oit and Grease	TPH EPA 418.1/SM503E	EPA 601/8010	EPA 624/8240	EPA 625/8270	TCLP Semi Metals VOA VOA	CAM Metals EPA 6010/7000 TTLC U STLC U	Lead Org./DHS C Lead EPA 7420/7421			
5-41/3	RE	-		<b> </b>			+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9-8-92			<u>×</u>					, O	רו	<u>_</u>					Special detection Limit/reporting
5-41/4 5-10-	-03- 	+					+								よ つ	, C	$\left  \gamma \right $	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	<u></u>				
<u>s 70-</u> 5-843	<u>0</u>							9-8-92	~		<b>K</b>					21	1 70	20	>				
5 5 73	- 05 -			F				9-8-9:	<b>X</b> : •*														
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		,								····									Special QA/QC
		<u> </u>										· · · · ·											C
		<u> </u>																- 14.				R	
		ļ					 																Remarks
																							nemaiks ,
									1 . 14														
					:									·								,	
	•			†•												~~							
				<u></u>	ÍÍ				< 34		-+					}			••••				
						•			<b>-</b>														
				<u> </u>					<u> </u>														Lab number
<b></b>				<b> </b>				· [	· · · · · · ·														
	-			<b> </b>				·	. / L.						,								Turnaround time
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>					L,					`۴ <sup>۴</sup>						i i i			Priority Rush 1 Business Day
Condition			<(	oud						Tempera		eceive	d: •	$\overline{0}$	<u>s</u> C								Rush
Helinquist	ied by sam	pier	- 11.	-			Date 9-9-9	2n	Time J'み子	Receive	d by	17	TIM	ch ,	-	NU	1/						2 Business Days
Relinguist	iYOJ	Then	hill	<u> </u>			Date	9-9- 1	, 01520	Receive	d by		4/1				<u>.</u>						Expedited 5 Business Days
Relinquish	ed by						Date	··· I	Time	Receive	d by la		<b>ry</b> {(∖,			D	ate 1/l	1/4	A	Time / }	2.	,	Standard 10 Business Days

- -



RESNA

SAN JOSE

RESNA 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Attention: Joel Coffman

Project: ARCO 2162, San Leandro

Enclosed are the results from 1 soil sample received at Sequoia Analytical on September 9,1992. The requested analyses are listed below:

SAMPLE #	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	DATE OF COLLECTION	TEST METHOD
2090911	Soil, SP-0809 A-D	9/8/92	Corrosivity, Ignitability, and Reactivity STLC/Lead EPA 5030/8015/8020

Please contact me if you have any questions. In the meantime, thank you for the opportunity to work with you on this project.

Very truly yours,

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

hristene Middleton\_ 11 Maria Lee

**Project Manager** 



**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL** 

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063 (415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

RESNA	Client Project ID:	ARCO 2162, San Leandro	Sampled:	Sep 8, 1992
3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	Sample Matrix:	Soil	Received:	Sep 9, 1992
San Jose, CA 95118	Analysis Method:	EPA 5030/8015/8020	Reported:	Sep 11, 1992
Attention: Joel Coffman	First Sample #:	209-0911		

#### TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit mg/kg	Sample I.D. 209-0911 SP-0809 A-D
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	1.0	11
Benzene	0.0050	N.D.
Toluene	0.0050	N.D.
Ethyl Benzene	0.0050	0.52
Total Xylenes	0.0050	0.12
Chromatogram Pat	ttern:	Gas

#### Quality Control Data

Report Limit Multiplication Factor:	1.0
Date Analyzed:	9/10/92
Instrument Identification:	GCHP-6
Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)	114

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

stene Midatuton\_

Maria Lee Project Manager



## **SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL**

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063 (415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

RESNA	Client Project ID:	ARCO 2162, San Leandro	Sampled:	Sep 8, 1992
3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	Sample Descript:	Soil, SP-0809 A-D	Received:	Sep 9, 1992
San Jose, CA 95118			Analyzed:	9/10-11/92
Attention: Joel Coffman	Lab Number:	209-0911	Reported:	Sep 11, 1992

#### CORROSIVITY, IGNITABILITY, AND REACTIVITY

Analyte	Detection Limit	Sample Results
Corrosivity: pH	N.A.	8.4
lgnitability: Flashpoint (Pensky-Martens), °C	N.A.	 > 100 °C
Reactivity: Sulfide, mg/kg Cyanide, mg/kg Reaction with water	10 0.50 N.A.	 N.D. N.D. Negative

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Niddleton stine

Maria Lee Project Manager

2090911.RES <2>.



	RESNA	Client Project ID: ARCO 2162, San Leandro	
ł	3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	,	
	San Jose, CA 95118		
	Attention: Joel Coffman	QC Sample Group: 209-0911	Reported: Sep 11, 1992
ł			

#### **QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT**

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	<u> </u>
<u></u>	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020
Analyst:	R. Lee	R. Lee	R. Lee	R. Lee
Reporting Units:	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Date Analyzed:	Sep 10, 1992	Sep 10, 1992	Sep 10, 1992	Sep 10, 1992
QC Sample #:	GBLK091092	GBLK091092	GBLK091092	GBLK091092
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Spike Conc. Added:	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.60
Conc. Matrix Spike:	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.60
opike.	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00
Matrix Spike				
% Recovery:	100	100	100	100
Conc. Matrix				
Spike Dup.:	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.67
Matrix Spike				
Duplicate % Recovery:	115	110	110	112
-				
Relative			<b>.</b> .	
% Difference:	14	9.5	9.5	11

Quality Assurance Statement: All standard operating procedures and quality control requirements have been met.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Christine Middleten

% Recovery:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of Sample	x 100	
	Spike Conc. Added	-	
Relative % Difference:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of M.S.D.	× 100	
	(Canc. of M.S. + Canc. of M.S.D.) / 2	-	

Maria Lee Project Manager

2090911.RES <3>



8		
	RESNA Client Project ID: ARCO 2162, San Leandro	
	3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	
	San Jose, CA 95118	
	Attention: Joel Coffman QC Sample Group: 209-0911 Reported: Sep 11, 1992	

#### **QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT**

ANALYTE	рH	Reactive Sulfide	Flashpoint	Cyanide
	<u>yn</u>	neacuve Guilde	riashpunt	yannue
Method:	EPA 9040	EPA 9030	EPA 1010	EPA 9010
Analyst:	Y. Arteaga	K. Follett	K. Follett	A. Savva
Reporting Units:	N.A.	mg/kg	°C	mg/kg
Date Analyzed:	Sep 10, 1992	Sep 10, 1992	Sep 11, 1992	Sep 9, 1992
QC Sample #:	209-0911	209-0744	209-0911	208-4951
Sample Conc.:	8.4	N.D.	>100	N.D.
Spike Conc. Added:	N.A.	1300	N.A.	2.9
Conc. Matrix Spike:	N.A.	1500	N.A.	3.0
opino.		1000	1 4.7 1.	0.0
Matrix Spike				
% Recovery:	N.A.	115	N.A.	103
Conc. Matrix				
Spike Dup.:	8.4	1600	>100	3.0
Matrix Spike				
Duplicate	λι <b>Λ</b>	100	<b>NI A</b>	400
% Recovery:	N.A.	123	N.A.	103
Relative				
% Difference:	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0

Quality Assurance Statement: All standard operating procedures and quality control requirements have been met.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL	% Recovery:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of Sample	x 100	
$10 \sqrt{\chi}$	-	Spike Conc. Added		
Christine Thadteen	Relative % Difference:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of M.S.D.	× 100	
Maria Lee		(Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2		
Project Manager				2090911.RES <4>

2090911.RES <4>



**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL** 

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063 (415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

RESNA	Client Project ID:	ARCO 2162, San Leandro	Sampled:	Sep 8,	1992
3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	Sample Descript:	Soil, SP-0809 A-D	Received:	Sep 9,	1 <b>992</b>
San Jose, CA 95118			Analyzed:	see t	below
Attention: Joel Coffman	Lab Number:	209-0911	Reported:	Sep 14,	1992

#### LABORATORY ANALYSIS by STLC

Analyte

Date Analyzed Detection Limit mg/L Sample Result mg/L

Analytes reported as N.D. were not present above the stated limit of detection.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

stine Meddleton \_ Maria Lee

Project Manager

2090911.RES <5>



	RESNA	Client Project ID: ARCO 2162, San Leandro	
0.0	3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34		
1 2000	San Jose, CA 95118		
00000	Attention: Joel Coffman	QC Sample Group: 209-0911	Reported: Sep 14, 1992

#### QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

NALYTE	Lead STLC
Method:	EPA 239.2
Analyst: Reporting Units:	S. Chín mg/L
Date Analyzed: QC Sample #:	Sep 14, 1992 209-1184
Sample Conc.:	0.11
Spike Conc.	
Added:	1.0
Conc. Matrix	
Spike:	1.0
Matrix Spike	
% Recovery:	89
Conc. Matrix	
Spike Dup.:	1.0
Matrix Spike Duplicate	
% Recovery:	89
Relative	
% Difference:	0.0

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL	% Recovery:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of Sample	x 100	
(12)		Spike Conc. Added		
Maria Lee	Relative % Difference:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of M.S.D.	x 100	
		(Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2		
Project Manager				2090911.RES <6>

													-									<b>—</b> C	hain of Custody
RCO P	rodu( Division of	Cts Co AtlanticRi	omp: chfieldCc	any 📢	>			Task Or	der No.	216	2-	9	2-	ĴД									Laboratory name
COTacility	no.		<b>F</b>	City		ANL	EANI	ORO		Project (Consul)	manag lant)	er JØ	EL	00	FF	MA	NI	100	11	EFE	7		CECHUNA
CO Facility	<u>}~6,</u> ∋r	<u>014</u>	<u>(</u>	(Fac	:inty)		Telephone	e no. "		Telepho	ne no.	1/201	164	.77	:23	Fax (Col	no! nsultan	140	8)2	64-	243	15	SEQUOLA Contract number
	ING	ΞW	HEL	-AN			(ARCO)	Address	7715	AL	$\frac{2/6 - 9}{3} - \frac{2}{2} $												07-073
onsultant na	<u> </u>	SNA	<u>+</u>					(Consulta	NI) SAN	JOE T	E,	CA	759	28 l					8				Method of shipment
		Ì		Matrix		Preser							1			i l		TCLP Metals UOA VOA		Lead Org./DHS  Lead EPA 7420/7421		J	
		ġ						Sampling date	Sampling tìme	50	BTEX/TPH EPA M602/8020/8015	TPH Modified 8015 Gas Diesel U	Oil and Grease 413.1 5 413.2	TPH EPA 418.1/SM503E	EPA 601/8010	3540	EPA 625/8270	VOA	IS EPA STL	DHS	Н	272	
<u>e</u>	ó	üner	Soil	Water	Other	lce	Acid	pling	pling	BTEX 602/EPA 8020	M602	Modil		418.1	601/6	EPA 624/8240	625/	1 0, %	L Meta	d Crg	U U		
Sample I.D.	Lab no.	Container no									EPA EPA	TPH Gas	0il a 413.	EPA	EPA	E P	Ë	₽₹	SE	100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8	d.	Special detection
							······································	09-08-93	Eror-		X		$\square$			1				¥	X	K	Limit/reporting
P-080	<u>1-4</u>	 	<u> </u>			_ <u>X</u>							7								X	X	
P-080	7-B	In	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	8:10	_	<u> </u>		72	09	64	† <b>/ †</b>			1	1	X	J	
P-080 P-0805	>-C¥	ן ש	X	Í		X			8:15		1	<b> </b>		<u> </u>	╂────								
			~~~~			$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$			8:20		X		$   \perp$	ļ	ļ	ļ	 				$  \times$	<u> </u>	Special QA/QC
N-080	- 07		<u>×</u>									1	}		ļ								
				<u> </u>			<u> </u>										1				1		
					l		<b> </b>				+				┼──	-	+						
						1			ļ		. <b> </b>				<u> </u>						+		– Remarks
							Ţ						1		<u> </u>		1				ļ		-
											_			1									
						<u> </u>				-					+			_			T		÷
											_				+						+		
									1		_												
			·			-{						ł				ł	}	ł				_	
	·													-									
						<u> </u>			_							_							Lab number
			1		1		1				_												Turnaround time
	<u>├</u>	<u> </u>		1					1	ļ	ł					<i></i>							
	<b> </b>		╂						_		-												Priority Rush
				<u> </u>							l	ite teče	ived:			ele.	<del></del>	 ;;;					
Condition o				201			10		Tir		ceived	( )	11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>-</u>		1				- Rush 2 Business Days
Relinquishe	d by san	nplər					Date 9- 9-	2)	a:2			110	tuta,	1	vill						,		Expedited
Relinquishe	a for fa	YEIT					Date			ne Re	ceived	V											5 Business Days
Lineundrisu.	, , , ,	Yatank		jilh_				-91	157			by labo	ratory				Date			Tim			Standard
Relinquish	ad by	<del>4.40 x x 0</del> -					Date	-	Tu	me iRe	Celved	UY IAUU		1 i				4/6	14			70	10 Business Days

ţ

Distribution: White copy — Laboratory; Canary copy — ARCO Environmental Engineering; Pink copy — Consultant

\_\_\_\_\_

749 05430000

Dillard Trucking, Inc.

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES P.O. BOX 218 BYRON, CALIFORNIA 94514 (510) 534-5850 FAX (510) 634-0569

August 26, 1992

#### RESNA

3315 Almaden Expressway #34 San Jose, CA 94118

Fax# (408)264-2435

Attn: Lou

Re: Arco Station #2162 - 15135 Hesperian Blvd., San Leandro - 1 yard of drill cuttings

Dear Lou:

Please be advised that the drill cuttings from the above referenced site have been removed. They were taken to BFI Landfill, Livermore on September 22, 1992.

I trust that you will find everything in order. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

#### DILLARD TRUCKING, INC.

ealers

Donna L. Pedersen Estimator

DLP/st

cc: file

## APPENDIX D

## **GRADATION TEST RESULTS**

#### SOIL FOUNDATION SYSTEMS, INC.



Geotechnical Engineers • Engineering Geologists Soil Mechanics Laboratories

326 E. WARREN AVENUE, FREMONT, CA 94539, (415) 226-9394, FAX: (415) 226-9396

File No. S22-RESNA-2 September 25, 1992

RESNA 3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34 San Jose, California 95118

Attention: Mr. Ken Mateik

Subject: RESNA #62019-02; ARCO facility #2162, San Leandro, California SIEVE ANALYSES

Gentlemen:

Transmitted herewith are the results of our sieve analyses on the soil samples delivered to our soils laboratory on September 18, 1992, for the subject project.

The sieve analyses were performed in accordance with ASTM test specification D422-90. A copy of the Chain of Custody pertaining to the soil samples is attached herewith.

If you have any questions, please give us a call.

Very truly yours,

SOIL FOUNDATION SYSTEMS, INC.

a

R. Patrick Fain

RPF;1s

File No. S22-RESNA-2 September 25, 1992

#### GRADATION TEST RESULTS

ASTM D422



- 2 -

SOIL FOUNDATION SYSTEMS, INC.

ARCO Facility of AllanticRichfieldCompany ARCO Facility no. J CO - COOLRO D ARCO engineer MIKE WHELAN Consultant name RESNA						SAN	(ARCO)	LEANDRO (C Telephone no. T (ARCO) (C Consultant) 54/1				1/62-92-2A Project manager (Consultant) JOFL COFFINAN/LOULEET Telephone no. (Consultant)(408)244-7723 (Consultant)(408)264-2435 (ALMADEN EXPRESSMAY, SUITE 34 III JOSE, CA 95118											
Sample I.D.	<b>610.</b>	Container no.	· · · · · ·	Matrix Water		Prese	Acid	artis Sampling date	e	BTEX 602/EPA 8020	0.8015		Oil and Grease 413.1 🔲 413.2 🗍			EPA 624/8240	EPA 625/8270	TCLP Metais 🗆 VOA 🗔 VOA 🗍	1000	Lead Org./DHS C Lead EPA 7420/7421		Method of shipment HAND DELIVERS	
· 8 1/2 -			× (				. 15 * 1 .	9-8-9J		<u> </u>	ш	F-0	04	ЪШ	ш.	<u> </u>	u U	+≥	-10	3.34	<u> </u>	Special detection Limit/reporting	
	36		N.		24 44 11 11 11		1	9-8-92							·~						$\mathbf{X}$	ftphanes a	
<b>f</b>		1. No.	<u> </u>			te de la constante de la const La constante de la constante de					:	<u>+ - →</u>								1.	:	-1	
		<u> </u>						<u>in an Catrology</u> The constant		• 6 •												Special QA/QC	
		Sister Size					h				3									-24 -25			
			<u>:+_</u>			1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000					4									i ii N		None.	
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	415-94 415-94	1.5 - 20	- 1993年、第一 1993年、第一						- Stirly	2										,		
	<b> </b>			<u>t 7</u>	2.0%				(344) <b>9</b>	1928	-			<u> </u>	- 1 Deliberta (A.A.	<u> </u>		<b> </b>				Remarks	
				1.1	1995年1月 1995年1月 1月2日日	to and the second		And F		· · ·									<u> </u>			-	
				9 9- 		- Secol Like					· ·	<u>                                      </u>		1						-			
							12.13							}		<u> </u>							
	<u></u>													ł	-				1				
	<u> </u>			1 41									1.	<u>  · · ·</u>	   :				<u> </u>				
	<u> </u>														-			:.		+		Lab number	
··· ··	<u> </u>	· · ·									1		<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		1	1	,		+	╞╼┈┼╴	Turnaround time	
	<u> </u>		•-				通常的	13. 1991 13. 1991			+			· ·				1	<u> </u>	<b> </b>		Priority Rush	
undition o	f sample:	<u> </u>	<u>I</u>	<u></u>		<u>ی فیلی فرمیان.</u> به			はなでおか	Tëmj	. L Derature	e receiv	ed:	1	<b>I</b>	<u>I</u>	<b>.</b>	1	L	<u> </u>	<u>.                                    </u>	Business Day	
	d by sam		<u> </u>				Date	1	Timë 0:13 A.N		ived by		n	lat	4.1	. /	RE	SN	Δ	· • • • • •		Rush 2 Business Days	
linguial	id by	n/=	$f_{c}$	510	25. 25. <del>2</del> .	٦Δ	Date		Time		lived by	<u>71</u> 2.,	<u>,                                    </u>		<u>ear</u> t	- /	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		<u> </u>	·····		Expedited 5 Business Days	
linquish	$\frac{1}{2}$ xd by	110	<u>. [ ] ] ] ]</u>	<u>c   K</u>	<u></u>		Date		Time	Rece	K	/ labora	tory	1	7		Date 9/	18/	<u>د</u>	Time	6:00	Slandard 10 Business Days	

### **APPENDIX E**

## LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORDS FOR GROUNDWATER SAMPLES



## RECEIVED NOV 9 - 1992

RESNA SAN JOSE

Date	November 5, 1992
Project	<u>0G70-055.01</u>

To:
Mr. Joel Coffman
RESNA/ Applied Geosystems
3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34
San Jose, California 95118

We are enclosing:

Copies	Description
1	Depth To Water / Floating Product Survey Results
1	Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data
1	Certified Analytical Reports with Chain-of-Custody
4	Water Sample Field Data Sheets

For your: <u>X</u> Information Sent by: <u>X</u> Mail

Comments:

Enclosed are the data from the fourth quarter 1992 monitoring event at ARCO service station 2162, 15135 Hesperian Blvd, San Lorenzo, CA. Groundwater monitoring is conducted consistent with applicable regulatory guidelines. Please call if you have any questions: (408) 453-2266.

Jim Butera JB Jim Butera JB Jim Butera JB Jim Butera JB Jim Butera JB Jim Butera JB Schutter Solution Schutter 
Reviewed by:



	FIELD REPORT DEPTH TO WATER / FLOATING PRODUCT SURVEY											
Δ	PROJECT # : 0G70-055.01       STATION ADDRESS : 15135 Hesperian Blvd, San Leandre       DATE : 10-16-9 2.         ARCO STATION # : 2162       FIELD TECHNICIAN : Sufficiency DAY : FR1											
DTW Order	WELL ID	Well Box Seal	Well Lid Secure	Gaskel	Lock	Locking Well Cap		SECOND DEPTH TO WATER (feel)	FLOATING	FLOATING PRODUCT THICKNESS (feet)	WELL TOTAL DEPTH (feet)	COMMENTS
1	MW-1 MW-2	OK 1	<u>4755</u> 1	ok T	3257	ØK_	10-83	10.83	ND	N'D	16.0	
3	MW-3						<u>991</u>	3 5/		ND:	16.0	
4	MW-4	<b> _</b> ,,,					10.13		hi)	N.S.	150	
		<u> </u>	4	-)			11.25	11.32	NO	ND	17.20	
	·	 										
		<u> </u>			<b> </b>							
	<u></u>							· · · · · · · · ·				
		<u> </u>										
		ļ			. 							
	<u></u>					ļ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	! 				
		ļ										
	<u>, _</u>											
	L											
	SURVEY POINTS ARE TOP OF WELL CASINGS											

## Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data Fourth Quarter 1992 ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California micrograms per liter (μg/l) or parts per billion (ppb)

Well ID and Sample Depth	Sampling Date	Depth To Water (feet)	Floating Product Thickness (feet)	TPH <sup>1</sup> as Gasoline (ppb)	Benzene (ppb)	Toluene (ppb)	Ethyl- benzene (ppb)	Total Xylenes (ppb)
MW-1(15)	10/16/92	10.83	ND. <sup>2</sup>	790.	3.0	0.8	5.6	2.9
MW-2(15)	10/16/92	9,91	ND.	630.	8.0	<1.	37.	64.
MW-3(14)	10/16/92	10.13	ND.	<50.	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
MW-4(16)	10/16/92	11.33	ND.	250.	44.	<0.5	<0.5	0.7

1. TPH. = Total petroleum hydrocarbons 2. ND. = Not detected



October 30, 1992

Jim Butera EMCON Associates 1921 Ringwood Avenue San Jose, CA 95131

Re: EMCON Project No. 0G70-055.01 Arco Facility No. 2162

Dear Mr. Butera:

Enclosed are the results of the water samples submitted to our lab on October 19, 1992. For your reference, our service request number for this work is SJ92-1287.

All analyses were performed in accordance with the laboratory's quality assurance program.

Please call if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted:

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Rear A Muythy

Keoni A. Murphy ' Laboratory Manager

KAM/ajb

(mulise Jade Da

Annelise J. Bazar Regional QA Coordinator

1

#### COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

#### Analytical Report

Client:	EMCON Associates		Date Received:	10/19/92
Project:	EMCON Project No.	0G70-055.01	Work Order No.:	SJ92-1287
	ARCO Facility No.	2162	Sample Matrix:	Water

# BTEX and TPH as Gasoline EPA Methods 5030/8020/California DHS LUFT Method $\mu$ g/L (ppb)

Sample Na		<u>MW-1 (15)</u>	<u>MW-2 (15)</u>	<u>MW-3 (14)</u>
Date Analy		10/23/92	10/22/92	10/21/92
Analyte	MRL			
Benzene	0.5	3.0	8.0	ND
Toluene	0.5	0.8	<1. *	ND
Ethylbenzene	0.5	5.6	37.	ND
Total Xylenes	0.5	2.9	64.	ND
TPH as Gasoline	50	790.	630.	ND

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

MRL Method Reporting Limit

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

Raised MRL due to high analyte concentration requiring sample dilution.

Approved by:

KEOmitMuphy

Date: 0005er 30, 1992
#### Analytical Report

Client:	EMCON Associates	
Project:	EMCON Project No.	0G70-055.01
	ARCO Facility No.	2162

Date Received: 10/19/92 Work Order No.: SJ92-1287 Sample Matrix: Water

### BTEX and TPH as Gasoline EPA Methods 5030/8020/California DHS LUFT Method $\mu$ g/L (ppb)

Sample Name Date Analyzed		<u>MW-4 (16)</u> 10/23/92 *	<u>Method Blank</u> 10/21/92	Method Blank 10/22/92
<u>Analyte</u>	MRL			
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	0.5 0.5 0.5	44. ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND
Total Xylenes	0.5	0.7	ND	ND
TPH as Gasoline	50	250.	ND	ND

ТРН **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons** 

MRL Method Reporting Limit

ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

٠ This sample was part of the analytical batch started on October 23, 1992. However, it was analyzed after midnight so the actual date analyzed is October 24, 1992.

Approved by: KOUNMINIM Date: October 30, 1992

#### Analytical Report

Client:	EMCON Associates	
Project:	EMCON Project No.	0G70-055.01
	ARCO Facility No.	2162

Date Received: 10/19/92 Work Order No.: SJ92-1287 Sample Matrix: Water

### BTEX and TPH as Gasoline EPA Methods 5030/8020/California DHS LUFT Method μg/L (ppb)

Sample Na Date Analyz		Method Blank 10/23/92
Analyte	MRL	
Benzene	0.5	ND
Toluene	0.5	NĎ
Ethylbenze <b>ne</b>	0.5	ND
Total Xylenes	0.5	ND
TPH as Gasoline	50	ND

- TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
- MRL Method Reporting Limit
- ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

Approved by:

NeomAMinghy Date: October 30, 1992

#### QA/QC Report

Client:	EMCON Associates
Project:	EMCON Project No. 0G70-055.01
	ARCO Facility No. 2162

Date Received: 10/19/92 Work Order #: SJ92-1287

CAS

Initial Calibration Verification BTEX and TPH as Gasoline EPA Methods 5030/8020/DHS LUFT Method Nanograms

Date Analyzed: 10/21/92 CAS Percent Recovery True Percent Acceptance <u>Analyte</u> <u>Value</u> Recovery Criteria <u>Result</u> Benzene 250. 262. 105. 85-115 Toluene 250. 269. 108. 85-115 Ethylbenzene 250. 85-115 260. 104. Total Xylenes 85-115 750. 751. 100. TPH as Gasoline 2,500. 2,412. 96. 90-110

Date Analyzed: 10/22/92

<u>Analyte</u>	True <u>Value</u>	<u>Result</u>	Percent <u>Recovery</u>	Percent Recovery Acceptance <u>Criteria</u>
Benzene	250.	268.	107.	85-115
Toluene	250.	274.	109.	85-115
Ethylbenzene	250.	264.	106.	85-115
Total Xylenes	750.	760.	101.	85-115
TPH as Gasoline	2,500.	2,454.	98.	90-110

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

KOMMMunphy Date: October 30,1992

Approved by: \_

б

EMCON Associates Client: Project: EMCON Project No. 0G70-055.01 ARCO Facility No. 2162

Date Received: 10/19/92 Work Order #: SJ92-1287

### Initial Calibration Verification BTEX and TPH as Gasoline EPA Methods 5030/8020/DHS LUFT Method Nanograms

Date Analyzed: 10/23/92

				CAS Percent Recovery
	True		Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Value	Result	<u>Recovery</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
Benzene	250.	267.	107.	85-115
Toluene	250.	272.	109.	85-115
Ethylbenzene	250.	261.	104.	85-115
Total Xylenes	750.	750.	100.	85-115
TPH as Gasoline	2,500.	2,509.	100.	90-110

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Approved by: Keomin Ammyly Date: October 30/952

#### QA/QC Report

Client:	EMCON Associates	
Project:	EMCON Project No.	
	ARCO Facility No.	2162

Date Received: 10/19/92 Work Order No.: SJ92-1287 Sample Matrix: Water

Surrogate Recovery Summary BTEX and TPH as Gasoline EPA Methods 5030/8020/California DHS LUFT Method

Sample Name	Date Analyzed	<u>Percent Recovery</u> a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene
MW-1 (15)	10/23/92	124.*
MW-2 (15)	10/22/92	114.
MW-3 (14)	10/21/92	108.
MW-4 (16)	10/23/92	111.
MS	10/21/92	118.
DMS	10/21/92	121.
Method Blank	10/21/92	108.
Method Blank	10/22/92	106.
Method Blank	10/23/92	100.

CAS Acceptance Criteria

70-130

TPH

**Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons** The surrogate used for this sample was 4-Bromofluorobenzene.

Approved by:

Keomet Maryly Date: October 30,1992

#### QA/QC Report

Client: **EMCON Associates** EMCON Project No. 0G70-055.01 Project: ARCO Facility No. 2162

10/19/92 Date Received: Work Order No.: SJ92-1287 Sample Matrix: Water

### Matrix Spike/Duplicate Matrix Spike Summary **TPH as Gasoline** EPA Methods 5030/California DHS LUFT Method $\mu$ g/L (ppb)

Date Analyzed: 10/21/92

Percent Recovery

			Spi	ke			CAS
	Spike	Sample	Res	ult			Acceptance
Analyte	<u>Level</u>	<u>Result</u>	<u>MS</u>	DMS	MS	DM <u>\$</u>	<u>Criteria</u>
TPH as Gasoline	250.	ND	265.	265.	106.	106.	70-130

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons ND None Detected at or above the method reporting limit

Approved by:

K-comAMmy hy Date: October 30,1992

RCC	Divisio	of Atlanti	Colline Colline	Company				Task O	rder No.		Ē	MC	GC	-9	2-1	<b></b>			-			Chain of Custody
ARCO Facili	iy no.	216	2	Cit (E)		anl	ean	40		Projec (Consu	tmana	ger ĩ	<u></u>	i i i	2. L	• 100					· · ·	Laboratory name
ARCO engin	eer	KUL		unte	6.0		Telephor	10 no. 571-7	1121	(Consu Teleph (Consu	one no		100		<u>07</u>	Fax	<u> </u>	·				
Consultant n	ame	Fuici	$\frac{c}{2}$	Arc		res	TIANCO	Address	477	(Consu っこ	liant)		43.3 (	0 FT	9		nsulta	nt)	<u> </u>	53-0	457	CA5 Contract number
		Linc			CIT			Consulta	ant) / 7	38	$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{U}}$	$\frac{nc}{1}$	102	<u>, /r</u>	<u>e</u>	<u></u>	in	Je	<u>ي ک</u>	·		77070
		1.		Matrix		Pres	ervation				23			μ.		Ì		E S	2002/0			Method of shipment
Sampie 1.D.	tab no.	Container no.	Soil	Water	Other	lce	Acíd	Sampiing date	Sampling time	BTEX 602/EPA 8020	BTEXTPH G MS EPA M602/8020/8015	TPH Modilied 8015 Gas Diesel D	0il and Grease 413.1 [] 413.2 [	TPH EPA 418.1/SM503E	EPA 601/8010	EPA 624/8240	EPA 625/8270	TCLP Semi Metals U VOA U VOA		Lead Org./DHS		Method of shipment Scimpler will deliver
MW-1(15		2		X		X	HC/	10-16-52	1053		X							<u> </u>				Special detection Limit/reporting
11w2 (15	)z-4	2		X		X	/tc/		1135		7					···· · ··-						- Lovest Possible
KIN-3/ 14	)5-6	2		X	ļ	X	HCI		1221		×											
MW 4/14	)7-8	2		X		X	HK1	J.	1308	ł	1								<u> </u>			Special QA/QC
																						As Novma /
							<u> </u>															Remarks
······································														: 								2-40 ml 1/c/ V0195
	··· <u></u>																					VOMS
							<u> </u>					İ										_
· · · ·																	· ·				·	0670-055.01
						•																
( í										1		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										Lab number
																						5192-1287
		·	<b></b>		······																	Turnaround time
Condition of	ample:					04				Тато	vaturo	receive		[								Priority Rush 1 Business Day []
Relinquished	by sam	pler, -				04	Date		Time	Receiv					_Cc	<u>z /</u>			<del></del>	<del></del> .		Rush
yere Ballan John	<u>U</u> a	16-					10-19-	92 (	170		-											2 Business Days 🗌
Relingvished							Date		Time	Receiv	red by											Expedited 5 Business Days
Relinquished		•					Dale		Time	Receiv	Jul	14000 1810				D //	ale	14-1	12	Time A :	15	Standard 10 Business Days

Distribution: White copy — Laboratory; Canary copy — ARCO Environmental Engineering; Pink copy --- Consultant APPC-3292 (2-91)

WATER SAMPLE	FIELD DATA SHEET Rev. 2, 5/91
PROJECT NO: 06-70-055-01	SAMPLEID://////
EMCON PURGED BY: JW.II. Tu S	CLIENTNAME: APCO 2167
SAMPLED BY: JW. 11. 14 in C	LOCATION: 15-135 Hesporen Bi
	SHAN Leandro CA .
TYPE: Ground Water Surface Water	
CASING DIAMETER (inches): 2 3	4 4.5 6 Other
CASING ELEVATION (feet/MSL):	VOLUME IN CASING (gal.):39
DEPTH TO WATER (feet) :	CALCULATED PURGE (gal.) :
DEPTH OF WELL (feet) :	ACTUAL PURGE VOL. (gal.): 17.0
	Hr) <u>1035</u> End (2400 Hr) <u>1050</u>
DATE SAMPLED: (0-16-52 Start (2400	Hr) <u>1055</u> End (2400 Hr)
TIME VOLUME PH E.C.	TEMPERATURE COLOR TURBIDITY
(2400  Hr) (gal.) (units) (umhos/cm@: 1039 3.5 6.94 916	
1042 7 695 924	
1045 10.5 7.00 920	
1047 14 702 922	70.3 GREY HEAVY
1050 17 7.01 921	70.3 [-R3.4 HZAU4
D. O. (ppm): ODOR:	
	(COBALT 0 - 100) (NTU 0 - 200)
FIELD QC SAMPLES COLLECTED AT THIS WELL (I.e. FB-1	, XDUP-1):
PURGING EQUIPMENT	SAMPLING EQUIPMENT
2* Bladder Pump Bailer (Teflon®)	2* Bladder Pump Bailer (Teflon®)
Centrifugat Pump Bailer (PVC)	DDL Sampler — Bailer (Stainless Steel)
Submersible Pump —— Bailer (Staintess Steet)	Dipper Submersible Pump
Other:	Well Wizard™ — Dedicated
WELL INTEGRITY: OK	LOCK #: <u>3259</u>
REMARKS :	
	9///
Meter Calibration: Date: 10-16-52 Time: 10:00 Meter	
(EC 1000 10.86 / 1000 ) (DI) (pH 7 200 / 7.0	<u>/0) (pH 10 /0/////////////////////////////////</u>
Location of previous calibration: <u>MU-1</u>	
Signature 11 Alta Bev	iewed BV: Page of

.

SAMPLED	BY: <u>SWILLINGS</u> BY: <u>SWILLING</u>	LOCATI	$ME: \underline{ARCO 2}$ $ON: \underline{15735} He$ $SHIV Lea$	SPERIA
TYPE: Ground Water CASING DIAMETER (inches	<u> </u>	_ Treatment Effluent 4 4.5	Other	
CASING ELEVATION (feel DEPTH TO WATER	/MSL)://RC		SING (gal.):	100 2,00
DATE PURGED: <u>10-70</u> DATE SAMPLED: <u>10-70</u>		00 Hr) <u>///2</u> 00 Hr) <u>//3 7</u>		
TIME         VOLUME           (2400 Hr)         (gal.)           1116         4	рН ЕС (units) (µmhos/cm/ 		(Visual)	TURBIDII (visual)
$\frac{1119}{1123}$ $\frac{8}{12}$	7.31 841		GREY,	IteHUC
$\frac{1125}{1126}$ _16	737 831 741 819		d.a	JEEHU4
1130 20	7.47 812			HEAVY
D. O. (ppm)://?	ODOR: <u>57</u>	RDING	M.K	NR
FIELD QC SAMPLES COLLE	CTED AT THIS WELL (I.e. FB	-1, XDUP-1):	(COBALT 0 - 100) ( <u>n:R</u>	NTU 0 - 200
PURGING EQ				
2" Bladder Pump	Bailer (Teflon®)	2" Bladder Pump	Bailer (Te	fion®)
Centrifugal Pump	Bailer (PVC)	DDL Sampler		
Well Wizard™ Other:	Bailer (Stainless Steel) Dedicated	Dipper Weil Wizard <sup>ma</sup>	Submersit	•
ELL INTEGRITY : OK		Other:	100% # 32	<u> </u>
			LUCK #: <u></u>	<u></u>
eter Calibration: Date: 10-16-1	L Time: (Man Met	ar Sarial # 0.11/		
EC 1000 / ) ( DI				

	METER (inches):				01770 7 2 2 3 Other 6 0	
DEPTI	H TO WATER (	eet):/ <u>/</u>	7,14	CALCULATED	ASING (gal.): PURGE (gal.): E VOL. (gal.):	16.94
	GED: <u>10-16</u> LED: <u>10-16</u>		•	lr) <u>//5</u> ~>  r) <u>/2/8</u>		
TIME (2400 Hr) <u>1202</u> <u>1266</u> <u>1209</u>	VOLUME (gal.) 	pH (units) <u>7,11</u> <u>7.27</u> <u>7.27</u>	E.C. (umhos/cm@25 <u>736</u> <u>719</u> <u>702</u>	<u>72, 7</u> <u>72, 8</u> 73, 0	(visual) <u>BROWIN</u> BROWIN	HENU9 HENU9
	$\frac{13}{16}$				 (COBALT 0 - 100)	<u>HZAUY</u> <u>HERUY</u> <u>NIA</u> (NTU 0 - 200)
	MPLES COLLECT		/ELL (i.ə. F8-1, )		LING EQUIPMENT	<u> </u>
2" Bladdel		- Bailer (Teflond	<b>B</b> )	2* Bladder Pun	. /	(Teflon®)
Centrifuga		Bailer (PVC)				(Stainless Steel)
Submersit Well Wiza Other:	rdn	Bailer (Stainle Dedicated	•	Dipper Well Wizard <sup>m</sup>	Subma	ersible Pump ated
					LOCK #:3	255
7EMARKS :						

		∠ Surface V		Treatment Effluent	TION: <u>15]35</u> SGNV Ley Other 6 C	udro'CA
DEPTH	TO WATER ( TO WATER ( TO WELL (	'eet) :	1.32		NSING (gal.): PURGE (gal.):	3.85 19.28 20.0
1	ied: <u>10-16</u> .ed: <u>10-16</u>			Hr) <u>1249</u> Hr) <u>1306</u>	End (2400 Hr) End (2400 Hr)	
TIME (2400 Hr) <u>1257</u> <u>1257</u> <u>1357</u> <u>1307</u> <u>1304</u> D. O. (ppm):	VOLUME (gai.) 4 8 12 16 20 WN	pH (units) 7.16 7.27 7.32 7.32 7.32	E.C. (jumhos/cm@2 926 942 937 934 934 934	<u>72.3</u> <u>71.3</u> <u>71.2</u> <u>71.1</u> <u>71.1</u>	IRE COLOR (Visual) <u>BROWN</u> <u>BROWN</u> <u>BROWN</u> <u>BROWN</u> <u>NR</u>	TURBID (Visual <u>HEMU</u> <u>HEMU</u> <u>HEMU</u> <u>HEMU</u> <u>NR</u>
FIELD QC SAM	IPLES COLLEC	TED AT THIS W	/ELL (i.e. FB-1,	XDUP-1):	(COBALT 0 - 100)	(NTU 0 - 20
2° Bladder Centrifugal Submersibl Well Wizar Other:	Pump	PMENT - Bailer (Teflond - Bailer (PVC) - Bailer (Stainle: - Dedicated	ss Steel)	SAMP 2° Bladder Pum DDL Sampler Dipper Well Wizard <sup>m4</sup> her:	Bailer	(Teflon®) (Stainless Ste ersible Pump
ELL INTEGRITY					LOCK #: <u>3</u>	259

12019.02



RESNA 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Attention: Joel Coffman

Project: ARCO 2162, San Leandro

Enclosed are the results from 4 water samples received at Sequoia Analytical on October 1,1992. The requested analyses are listed below:

SAMPLE #	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	DATE OF COLLECTION	TEST METHOD
2100079	Water, W-10-MW1	9/30/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020
2100080	Water, W-9-MW2	9/30/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020
2100081	Water, W-9-MW3	9/30/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020
2100082	Water, W-11-MW4	9/30/92	EPA 5030/8015/8020

Please contact me if you have any questions. In the meantime, thank you for the opportunity to work with you on this project.

Very truly yours,

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

10 -Mariă Lèĕ

Project Manager



**SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL** 

680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063 (415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

RESNA	Client Project ID:	ARCO 2162, San Leandro	Sampled:	Sep 30, 1992
3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34	Sample Matrix:	Water	Received:	Oct 1, 1992
San Jose, CA 95118	Analysis Method:	EPA 5030/8015/8020	Reported:	Oct 9, 1992
Attention: Joel Coffman	First Sample #:	210-0079	•	· · · · · ·
				ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang

### TOTAL PURGEABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS with BTEX DISTINCTION

Analyte	Reporting Limit μg/L	Sample I.D. 210-0079 W-10-MW1	Sample I.D. 210-0080 W-9-MW2	Sample I.D. 210-0081 W-9-MW3	Sample I.D. 210-0082 W-11-MW4
Purgeable Hydrocarbons	50	1,100	1,000	N.D.	330
Benzene	0.50	6.2	9.6	N.D.	81
Toluene	0.50	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Ethyl Benzene	0.50	6.9	45	N.D.	N.D.
Total Xylenes	0.50	N.D.	110	N.D.	N.D.
Chromatogram Patt	ern:	Gas	Gas	••	Gas & Discrete Peaks
Quality Control Da	ta				
Report Limit Multipli	cation Factor:	10	5.0	1.0	5.0
Date Analyzed:		10/8/92	10/7/92	10/7/92	10/7/92

GCHP-2

113

GCHP-3

100

GCHP-3

105

GCHP-3

107

Surrogate Recovery, %: (QC Limits = 70-130%)

Purgeable Hydrocarbons are quantitated against a fresh gasoline standard. Analytes reported as N.D. were not detected above the stated reporting limit.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Instrument Identification:

ania (ee Maria Lee

Project Manager



# SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL 680 Chesapeake Drive • Redwood City, CA 94063

(415) 364-9600 • FAX (415) 364-9233

### RESNA Client Project ID: ARCO 2162, San Leandro 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34

3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 3 San Jose, CA 95118 Attention: Joel Coffman

QC Sample Group: 2100079-82

Reported: Oct 9, 1992

# QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes
Method:	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020	EPA 8020
Analyst:	J. Villar	J. Villar	J. Villar	J. Villar
Reporting Units:	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
Date Analyzed:	Oct 7, 1992	Oct 7, 1992	Oct 7, 1992	Oct 7, 1992
QC Sample #:	GBLK100792	GBLK100792	GBLK100792	GBLK100792
	MS/MSD	MS/MSD	MS/MSD	MS/MSD
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Spike Conc.				
Added:	10	10	10	30
Conc. Matrix				
Spike:	10	10	10	30
opixe.	10	10	10	30
<b>N</b>				
Matrix Spike				
% Recovery:	100	100	100	100
Conc. Matrix				
Spike Dup.:	11	11	11	33
Materia Oralia				
Matrix Spike				
Duplicate % Decemany	110	110	110	110
% Recovery:	110	110	110	110
Relative				
% Difference:	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5

Quality Assurance Statement: All standard operating procedures and quality control requirements have been met.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

Maria Lee Project Manager

% Recovery:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of Sample	x 100	
_	Spike Conc. Added		
Relative % Difference:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of M.S.D.	x 100	
	(Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2		
			2100079.RES <2>



#### RESNA Client Project ID: ARCO 2162, San Leandro 3315 Almaden Expwy., Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 Attention: Joel Coffman

QC Sample Group: 2100079-82

Reported: Oct 9, 1992

## **QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT**

ANALYTE			Ethyl-	
	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes
Method: Analyst:	EPA 8020 R. Lee	EPA 8020 R. Lee	EPA 8020 R. Lee	EPA 8020 R. Lee
Reporting Units: Date Analyzed: QC Sample #:	μg/L Oct 8, 1992 GBLK100892	μg/L Oct 8, 1992 GBLK100892	μg/L Oct 8, 1992 GBLK100892	μg/L Oct 8, 1992 GBLK100892
Sample Conc.:	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Spike Conc. Added:	10	10	10	30
Conc. Matrix Spike:	10	10	10	31
Matrix Spike % Recovery:	100	100	100	103
Conc. Matrix Spike Dup.:	10	10	10	30
Matrix Spike Duplicate % Recovery:	100	100	100	100
Relative % Difference:	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3

Quality Assurance Statement: All standard operating procedures and quality control requirements have been met.

SEQUOIA ANALYTICAL

æ wid Maria Lee Froject Manager

% Recovery:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of Sample	x 100		
	Spike Conc. Added			
Relative % Difference:	Conc. of M.S Conc. of M.S.D.	x 100		
	(Conc. of M.S. + Conc. of M.S.D.) / 2			
			2100079.RES	<3>

ARCO	Division	1 C	cRichtield(					jask Ul	aer No.	<u> </u>	manac		<u>- 0</u>	$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$									hain of Custoc
RCO Facil 620 RCO engli	19.0	à	~	(Fa	cility)	SAN	LEA	NARO		(Consu	ltant)	<u> </u>	OEL	- ( (	C FF F	m	4 IV ,	160	u	LEF	<u> </u>		Laboratory names
	neer n. M.1	KE	WH	ELK	$\frac{1}{2}$		Telephor (ARCO)	ie no. <u>~ _</u>	1	Telepho (Consu	one no. Itant)/4	1081	264	- 7	723	Fax	no. Isultani	10.	812	64-	24	35	SEQUOIN Contract number
) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	<sup>riame</sup> RE	ESAI	A					Address (Consulta	3315	174	MA	DE	NE	EXP.	RES.	SWA	14,5	u1	Æ	34			$\sim$
			<u> </u>	Matrix		Braca	rvation					<u>, c</u>							8	r1			Method of shipment,
						LIA2A			D		8015	₽⊓		惩		1		TCLP Metals □ VOA □ VOA □					
ġ		л. 10.						Sampling date	Sampling time	ଷ୍ପ	r 1920/	ied 80 liesel	2856 413.2	SM50	010	<del>9</del> 2	8	OA∏	EPA 6	Org./DHS		-	
Sample I.D	Lab no.	Container	Soil	Water	Other	lce	Acid	pling	pline	PA 8	MB02		d Gr	41B.1	601/8	624/8	625/8	۵.	Metals	Crg./I EPA 7421			
San	Lab	S						Sam	Sam	BTE) 602/E	BTEX/TPH & EPA M602/8020/8015	TPH Modified 8015 Gas Diesel D	Oil and Grease 413.1 [413.2 []	TPH EPA 418.1/SM503E	EPA 601/8010	EPA 624/8240	EPA 625/8270	TCLP Metal	CAM Melats EPA 6010/7000	Lead Lead 7420/			
	nul	3		X		Х	×	9-30-92	1.110		X					Ð			~	57	?		Special detection Limit/reporting
			(	†····						i						$ \rightarrow $					ד_ •		,
<u>) - 9-1</u>	nwa	3		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>×</u>	9-30-9:	5.00		X							$\square$	$ \mathcal{A} $	M	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$		
<u>v-9-</u>	mwz	3				_X`	X	9-30-93	2:30		X								$\square$	$\mathcal{DS}$	<u> </u>		
<u>v - // -</u>	- mwy	3		X		X	X	9-30-9-			X						ł	$\mathbf{U}$	$\alpha$	5	Ð		Special QA/QC
<u>v - B1</u>	1							9-30-95			He	) L	Û					•					
<u>~ 0</u>		×	<u> </u>	×				7-30-7-	drats		$f \neq \zeta$	<u>ب</u> ک	1						<u> </u>				- <b>*</b>
								<b>-</b>		<b> </b>													
			ļ																				 Remarks
			ŀ																				
														× .									
							÷					<b> </b>							<u> </u>				
·····				+																			
				ļ								ļ											
																		•					
			T				1				]	1											
								• • • • • • • • •			1									<u> </u>			Lab number
								·													•.		
·····			ļ	ļ					ļ		<u> </u>	ļ	L								•	- <u>-</u>	Turnaround time
<u></u>									3														Priority Rush 1 Business Day
condition o	f sample:			G	$\overline{\alpha}$	1		_		Temp	erature	receive	ed:	CC	51				• • •	•	·	•	ŕ
telinquishe	d by sam	pler	:	1		<i>r</i>	Date	-	Time	Recei	ved by		11	7			7						Rush 2 Business Days
lelinduishe	t.	Jeat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>/0 -                                   </u>	-92	1223		C.M.	-1	<u>V</u> e		a	an	M			-			Expedited
		. ~	1	1.	A_			6.+	Time	necel	ved by	$\sim$	1	T									5 Business Days
Telloquishe	by by	~/	A.	A	y <u> </u>	1. <b> </b>	Date /	-71	Time	Recei	ved by	laborat	ory D	1-	_ <b>`</b>		ate / ·	~	[	Time	·····		Standard
										エン	e X	he	er la	471	27	19	× / `	· 97	2	12	315		10 Business Days

# Project Name: ARCO Station 2162

Date: September 30, 1992

Well No. MW-1

Page 1 of 1

Job No. 62019.02

Time Started 12:52

Time	Gallons	Temperature	pH	Conductivity	
12:52	Started pum	ping.			
12:56	5	73.9	7.85	0.77	
1:00	10	73.6	7.49	0.83	
1:05	15	72.8	7.45	0.82	
1:10	20	72.1	7.47	0.81	
1:15	25	72.3	7.47	0.82	
1:20	30	72.1	7.46	0.81	
1:20	Stopped pum	ping.			

Notes:

Well Diameter (inches) : 4"

Depth to Bottom (feet) : 16.0

Depth to Water - initial (feet) : 10.68

Depth to Water - final (feet) : 10.68

% recovery : 100

Time Sampled : 2:45

Gallons per Well Casing Volume : 4

Gallons Purged : 30

Well Casing Volume Purged : 7

Approximate Pumping Rate (gpm) : 1

## Project Name: ARCO Station 2162

Date: September 30, 1992

### Well No. MW-2

Time Started <u>1:25</u>

Page 1 of 1

Time	Gallons	Temperature	pH	Conductivity		
1:25	Started pumping.					
1:30	5	73.9	8.28	0.77		
1:35	10	73.4	7.39	0.77		
1:40	15	72.9	7.59	0.76		
1:45	20	73.0	7.76	0.74		
1:50	25	72.8	7.73	0.76		
1:55	30	72.9	7.74	0.76		
1:55	Stopped pum	ping.				

Notes:

Well Diameter (inches) : 4"

Depth to Bottom (feet) : 16.0

Depth to Water - initial (feet) : 9.74

Depth to Water - final (feet) : 9.74

% recovery : 100

Time Sampled : 3:00

Gallons per Well Casing Volume : 4

Gallons Purged : 30

Well Casing Volume Purged : 7

Approximate Pumping Rate (gpm) : 1



# -----

### Project Name: <u>ARCO Station 2162</u>

Job No. <u>62019.02</u>

Date: September 30, 1992

Well No. <u>MW-3</u>

Time Started 12:15

Page 1 of 1

Time	Gallons	Temperature	pH Conductivity		
12:15	Started pumping.				
12:20	5	79.6	7.31	0.79	
12:24	10	77.6	7.30	0.65	
12:29	15	74.9	7.49	0.66	
12:34	20	73.7	7.62	0.63	
12:38	25	73.2	7.61	0.62	
12:45	30	73.1	7.62	0.62	
12:45	Stopped pum	ping.			

Notes:

Well Diameter (inches) : 4"

Depth to Bottom (feet) : 15.0

Depth to Water - initial (feet) : 9.93

Depth to Water - final (feet) : 9.93

% recovery : 100

Time Sampled : 2:30

Gallons per Well Casing Volume : 3

Gallons Purged : 30

Well Casing Volume Purged : 10

Approximate Pumping Rate (gpm): 1

# Project Name: ARCO Station 2162

# Date: September 30, 1992

### Well No. <u>MW-4</u>

Time Started <u>2:00</u>

Page 1 of 1

Time	Gallons	Temperature	pН	Conductivity	
2:00	Started pumping.				
2:05	5	73.6	7.66	0.84	
2:10	10	73.1	7.17	0.84	
2:15	15	73.3	7.21	0.83	
2:20	20	73.3	7.20	0.84	
2:25	25	73.2	7.21	0.83	
2:30	30	73.3	7.21	0.84	
2:30	Stopped pum	ping.		•	

Notes:

Well Diameter (inches) : 4"

Depth to Bottom (feet) : 17.2

Depth to Water - initial (feet) : 11.15

Depth to Water - final (feet) : 11.15

% recovery : 100

Time Sampled : 3:15

Gallons per Well Casing Volume : 4

Gallons Purged : 35

Well Casing Volume Purged : 8

Approximate Pumping Rate (gpm) : 1



# **APPENDIX F**

# WELLHEAD SURVEY



JOHN E. KOCH Land Surveyor CA. State Lic. No. LS4811 5427 Telegraph Ave., Suite A Oakland, CA 94609 (510)655-9956 FAX(510)655-9745

RESNA 3315 Almaden Expressway, Suite 34 San Jose, CA 95118 (408) 264-7723 FAX (408) 264-2435

Tabulation of Elevations as of 01:00 p.m. 09/14/92

Job #92075 RESNA Project Job # 69021.02 Project Geologist: Joel Coffman Site: Arco Station #2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard @ Ruth Court San Leandro, CA

<u>BENCHMARK:</u> Cinch nail on curb at Storm Water Inlet at SE corner of the intersection of Hesperian and Bay Fair (E1.=29.307').

#### MONITOR WELL DATA TABLE

Well	Designation	Elevation		Descrip	tion
MW-1		31.19 31.41	-	P.V.C. Box	Casing
MW-2		30.38 30.73	Тор Тор	P.V.C. Box	Casing
MW-3		30.30 30.51	Тор Тор	P.V.C. Box	Casing
MW-4		30.39 31.63	Тор Тор	P.V.C. Box	Casing

#### NOTES:

- 1. Datum is City of San Leandro = 1973 Adj., NGVD
- 2. Top of PVC Casing elevation was taken at set notch bearing north for all wells.
- Top of Box elevation was taken at set notch bearing north for all wells.



WELL. NUMBER	TOPOF CASING	
MW-1	31.19'	31.41'
MW-2	30.38'	30.73
MW-3	30.30	30.51 <sup>+</sup>
MW-4	30.39	31.63

ELEVATIONS



	JOHN E. KOCH				
D.	LAND SURVEYOR (A. STATE LIC. NO. LO 4811 5427 TELEGRAPH AVE, SUITEA				
.02	OAKLAND, CA. 94609 (510) 655-9956 Fax (510) 655-9745				
NAY	JOB#	DRAWN BY	DATE		
3	92075	T. ROSU	09.16.92		