

**Chuck Carmel** 

Remediation Management Project Manager

PO Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: (925) 275-3804 Fax: (925) 275-3815 E-Mail: chuck.carmel@bp.com

By Alameda County Environmental Health at 2:32 pm, Mar 31, 2014

March 28, 2014

Re: Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, Updated Conceptual Site Model and Request for Closure Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California ACEH Case #RO0000190

RECEIVED

I declare that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct.

Submitted by,

71\_

Chuck Carmel Remediation Management Project Manager

Attachment



#### Prepared for

Mr. Chuck Carmel Environmental Business Manager Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, California 94583

Prepared by



875 Cotting Lane, Suite G Vacaville, California 95688 (707) 455-9270 www.broadbentinc.com

March 28, 2014

Project No. 06-88-620

SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION REPORT, UPDATED CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL AND REQUEST FOR CLOSURE Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California ACEH Case No. RO0000190



March 28, 2014

Project No. 06-88-620

Atlantic Richfield Company P.O. Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Submitted via ENFOS

Attn.: Mr. Chuck Carmel

 Re: Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, Updated Conceptual Site Model, and Request for Closure
 Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162
 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California
 ACEH Case No. RO0000190

Dear Mr. Carmel:

Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) is pleased to submit this *Soil and Groundwater Investigation Report, Updated Conceptual Site Model and Request for Closure* (Report) for Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162 located at 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California (Site). This Report documents recent field work intended to close data gaps identified in the September 9, 2013 Conceptual *Site Model and Addendum to the Revised Work Plan* including further delineation of the downgradient extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in groundwater and to assess whether the onsite hydrocarbon impacts extend to offsite receptors.

Should you have questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us at (707) 455-7290.

Sincerely, BROADBENT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

imed fam for

Lu Damerell, P.G. Project Geologist

Kristene Tidwell, P.G., C.Hg. Senior Geologist

Enclosures



cc: Mr. Keith Nowell, Alameda County Environmental Health (Submitted via ACEH ftp site) Electronic copy uploaded to GeoTracker

# SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION, UPDATED CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL AND REQUEST FOR CLOSURE Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California ACEH Case No. RO0000190

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 SITE BACKGROUND	1
3.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION	1
3.1 Soil Boring Advancement	2
3.1.2 Prefield Activities	2
3.1.3 Soil Boring Activities	2
3.1.4 Soil Collection and Analysis	3
3.1.5 Groundwater Collection and Analysis	3
3.1.6 Investigation-Derived Waste	3
3.2 Sensitive Receptor Survey	3
3.3 Preferential Pathway Study	4
4.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS	4
4.1 Lithology	4
4.2 Soil Analytical Results	4
4.3 Analytical Results from Grab-Groundwater Samples	4
5.0 CONCLUSIONS	5
6.0 JUSTIFICATION FOR SITE CLOSURE	5
6.1 General Criteria	6
6.2 Media-Specific Criteria-Groundwater	7
6.3 Media-Specific Criteria-Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air	7
6.4 Media-Specific Criteria-Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure	7
6.5 Recommendation for Case Closure	8
7.0 REFERENCES	8

# SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION, UPDATED CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL AND REQUEST FOR CLOSURE Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California ACEH Case No. RO0000190

#### DRAWINGS

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Site Map with Recent Soil Boring Locations
- 3 Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Summary Map December 2, 2013 (Monitoring Wells) December 23, 2013 (Soil Borings)
- 4 Site Map with Underground Utilities
- 5 Site Map with Underground Utilities
- 6 Cross-Section Location Map
- 7 Geologic Cross-Section A-A'
- 8 GRO Isoconcentration Map December 2, 2013 (Monitoring Wells) / December 23, 2013 (Soil Borings)

9 - Benzene Isoconcentration Map – December 2, 2013 (Monitoring Wells) / December 23, 2013 (Soil Borings)

10 - MTBE Isoconcentration Map – December 2, 2013 (Monitoring Wells) / December 23, 2013 (Soil Borings)

#### TABLES

1-Conceptual Site Model

- 2-Soil Analytical Results December 2013 Soil Boring Investigation
- 3-Grab-Groundwater Analytical Results December 2013 Soil Boring Investigation

4-Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

5-Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data

6-Summary of Historical Groundwater Gradient – Direction and Magnitude

#### APPENDICES

A-Site History B-Historical Site Data C-Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs D-GRO, Benzene and MTBE Concentration Trend Graphs E-SBC Site Data F-Drilling Permits G-Utility Clearance Report H-Soil Boring Logs I-Laboratory Analytical Reports J-Well Search Results

# SOIL AND GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION, UPDATED CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL AND REQUEST FOR CLOSURE Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California ACEH Case No. RO0000190

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Atlantic Richfield Company – a BP affiliated company (ARC), Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent) has prepared this *Soil and Groundwater Investigation* for the ARC Station No. 2162, located at 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California (Site). This Report has been prepared in conformance with the following documents (collectively hereinafter referred to as, "Work Plan Documents"):

- Work Plan for Off-Site Groundwater Investigation (Broadbent, 2012)
- Revised Work Plan for Off-Site Groundwater Investigation (Broadbent, 2013a)
- Conceptual Site Model and Addendum to the Revised Work Plan (Broadbent, 2013b)

The comprehensive scope of work outlined in these Work Plan Documents was approved by ACEH during a meeting with Broadbent held on October 3, 2013.

#### 2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

Station No. 2162 is located at 15135 Hesperian Boulevard in San Leandro, California. The Site is an active ARCO brand gasoline station. Current improvements at the Site include four gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs) installed in 1992, two fuel dispenser islands with a total of four double-sided dispensers, and a station building. A more thorough description of Site background is provided in Appendix A. The majority of the Site surface is paved with asphalt and concrete. The Site is bound by Hesperian Boulevard to the east, Ruth Court to the north, a KFC restaurant to the south, and a parking lot for a SBC Communications (SBC) building is located on the adjacent property to the west. Across Ruth Court to the north is a SBC building, which is the site of a former diesel UST case now closed. A Site Location Map is provided as Drawing 1. A Site map depicting the location of the recent December 2013 soil borings, and current monitoring wells is provided as Drawing 2.

The regional geology and hydrogeology are provided in the CSM table (Table 1). Current and historic analytical groundwater monitoring data is presented in Drawing 3 and Tables 2 through 5. Historic groundwater gradient with direction and magnitude is presented as Table 6. Historical Site data, including historical drawings, soil and groundwater analytical data are provided in Appendix B. Copies of available soil boring and monitoring well construction logs are provided within Appendix C. GRO, benzene and MTBE concentration trend graphs for wells MW-1 and MW-2, are provided in Appendix D. SBC site data is included in Appendix E.

# 3.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

The purpose of the field investigation described below was to close data gaps identified in the *Addendum to the Work Plan* (Broadbent, 2013b), to further delineate the downgradient extent of

petroleum hydrocarons in groundwater, and to assess whether the onsite hydrocarbon impacts extend to offsite receptors. The scope of work included:

- Advancing three offsite soil borings (two proposed in the Work Plan Documents, and one proposed and approved in an ACEH personal communication)
- Collecting soil and groundwater samples from new borings
- Conducting a preferential pathway study
- Requesting well records from the Alameda County Public Works Agency (ACPWA)

The offsite soil borings were advanced to characterize groundwater downgradient of the Site, near the the KFC restaurant.

# 3.1 Soil Boring Advancement

Details of the soil boring activities are presented below.

#### 3.1.2 Prefield Activities

Prior to conducting field activities, Broadbent notified the manager of the KFC restaurant located at 15179 Hesperian Boulevard of the locations of the three proposed soil borings (SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3). Permission to work on the KFC property was granted using a written access agreement.

A drilling permit from the Alameda County Public Works Agency (ACPWA) was secured prior to performing the field investigation (Appendix F). Borings were marked and areas were outlined with white paint, and an Underground Service Alert (USA) ticket was secured to notify member utility companies of the planned field activities. Additionally, all boring locations were cleared for underground utilities by NORCAL Geophysical Consultants, Inc. (NORCAL) on December 18, 2013. NORCAL's survey report is included in Appendix G. On January 14, 2013, Norcal conducted additional utility locating activities to produce the data used solely to create a utility map.

Upon completion of access, notifications and utility clearance activities, Gregg Drilling & Testing (Gregg) mobilized to the Site on December 23, 2013. Soil borings SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3 were cleared to 6.5 feet below ground surface (bgs) using air knife technology.

# 3.1.3 Soil Boring Activities

On December 23, 2013, a Broadbent field geologist observed Gregg advance three soil borings in the paved areas of the KFC (Drawing 2). Soil borings SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3 were advanced to approximately 14 feet bgs where groundwater was encountered. Each boring was continuously logged beginning at a depth of 6.5 feet (below borehole clearance depth) to the total depth of the boring. Included on the logs is data from soil that was screened using a photoionization detector. The boring logs are provided in Appendix H.

Broadbent evaluated historic encountered groundwater to identify fluctuations relative to historical measurements. Based on data interpretation, a smear zone was assumed to be present at SB-1, SB-2

and SB-3 between approximately 10 and 14 feet bgs. Accordingly, each soil sample was collected four feet below the highest historic groundwater level (10 feet bgs), at 14 feet bgs.

Collection of one grab-groundwater sample from each boring was performed using a disposable bailer in the open-hole. Upon completion of sampling, the soil borings were tremied with a cement/bentonite grout mix from the bottom of the borehole to the surface.

# 3.1.4 Soil Collection and Analysis

Soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis using acetate sample tubes capped with Teflon tape and plastic caps, placed on ice and submitted under chain-of-custody protocol to TestAmerica Environmental Laboratories, Inc. (TestAmerica) of Irvine, California, a State-certified environmental laboratory. Soil samples were analyzed for DRO (C10-C28), GRO (C6-C12) by EPA Method 8015B; benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX); methyl-tert butyl ether (MTBE); tert-butyl alcohol (TBA); t-amyl methyl ether (TAME), ethyl-tert butyl ether (ETBE), di-isopropyl ether (DIPE); ethylene dibromide (EDB); 1,2 dichoroethane (1,2-DCA), Ethanol by EPA Method 8260 and Naphthalene. Soil analytical results are included in Table 2. Laboratory analytical reports are presented in Appendix I.

# 3.1.5 Groundwater Collection and Analysis

Groundwater samples were collected in 40 mL volatile organic analysis vials preserved with hydrochloric acid, placed on ice, and submitted under chain-of-custody protocol to TestAmerica for analysis of GRO; BTEX, MTBE, TBA, TAME, ETBE, DIPE, EDB, 1,2-DCA, Ethanol and Naphthalene. DRO analysis in groundwater samples was specified in the Work Plan Documents (Broadbent, 2012), however Broadbent was prevented from recovering an adequate sample as proper sample bottles were unavailable at sampling time. Grab-groundwater analytical results are presented in Table 3. Laboratory analytical reports are presented in Appendix I.

# 3.1.6 Excess Soil and Water Produced

Excess soil and water produced during investigation activities were temporarily stored onsite in 55gallon, DOT-approved drums, pending characterization. These drums were removed and transported to a California-regulated facility.

#### 3.2 Sensitive Receptor Survey

Results of a Sensitive Receptor Survey (SRS) performed by Closure Solutions (Closure Solutions, 2011) (which included a Department of Water Resources records request) identified two potential private-use irrigation wells located downgradient of the Site, approximately 2,250 feet and 2,350 feet to the west-southwest (Appendix J).

Broadbent supplemented Closure Solutions' SRS by requesting a well records search with ACPWA for the locations and/or uses of wells in the vicinity of the Site. Results of the search identified that the two closest wells to the Site are located approximately 809 feet and 442 feet downgradient, which are shown as well location 15 and 16, respectively, on Drawing J-1 of Appendix J. Drawing J-1 presents a plot of the ACPWA wells search results within a radius of approximately 2000 feet.

# 3.3 Preferential Pathway Study

Norcal conducted utility location surveys of the KFC and the Site on December 18, 2013 and January 14, 2014, respectively (Appendix G). The surveys identified underground utility lines and features that may be conduits for transmission of known contaminants at the Site. Utilities located by Norcal in the sidewalk right-of-way, however, do not appear to overlap with the lateral extent of the Site plumes (Drawing 4). It is, therefore, unlikely that Site contamination is migrating offsite. Furthermore, no utility lines were identified to be continuous across the property boundary between the Site and KFC. Based on the utility type, the depths of KFC utility trenches appear to be shallow and/or flow offsite. Moreover, utility lines shown on Plate 1 (Appendix G) show no connection to the KFC building, thus vapor intrusion is not a concern at the building.

Additionally, Broadbent performed an online public records search of utility maps in an attempt to obtain additional utility line locations and depths, however, no Site-specific maps were available at the time of the search.

# **4.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS**

#### 4.1 Lithology

Logs for each soil boring (SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3) are included in Appendix H. Lithology noted in these logs is generally consistent with lithological data from previous investigations of the Site, predominantly clays and sands. A cross-section location map is included as Drawing 5. Cross-section A-A' is depicted on Drawing 6.

Clays and silts comprise the majority of the upper 14 ft of soils extending across the Site and KFC parcel. A silty and clayey sand layer occurs at variable depths between 10 ft to 18 ft bgs in which Site wells are typically screened. Soils encountered at depths below 14 ft and 18 ft bgs in Site and KFC were logged as Clays.

# 4.2 Soil Analytical Results

One soil sample was collected in each of the KFC boring locations (SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3) at a depth of approximately 14 feet bgs, within the assumed smear zone between approximately 10 and 14 feet bgs (see Section 3.1.3). Each soil sample was collected four feet below the lowest groundwater level (approximately 10 feet bgs) based on historical data. No petroleum compounds were detected above laboratory reporting limits in soil samples collected in the soil borings. Offsite migration of petroleum hydrocarbons appears not to have impacted soils at the downgradient KFC property.

#### 4.3 Analytical Results from Grab-Groundwater Samples

Groundwater samples were collected from each soil boring advanced during the current investigation. No petroleum compounds were detected above laboratory reporting limits in the groundwater samples, with the exception of MTBE at concentrations of 15  $\mu$ g/L and 6.0  $\mu$ g/L in boring SB-1 and boring SB-2, respectively. TAME was detected at a concentration 0.92  $\mu$ g/L in SB-1. Boring SB-3 contained no analytes above their respective laboratory reporting limits. Detection of MTBE in borings SB-1 and SB-2 indicates that the MTBE plume at MW-6 extends onto the KFC parcel. However, when the current concentration of MTBE in MW-6 of 88  $\mu$ g/L is compared to the low concentrations in SB-1 (15  $\mu$ g/L) and SB-2 (6.0  $\mu$ g/L), it appears MTBE migration is limited to a short distance in the downgradient direction

and thus biodegradation of MTBE is likely occurring. The MTBE concentration of 6.0  $\mu$ g/L in boring SB-2 defines the end of the plume due to the concentration being so close to the ESL for MTBE of 5.0  $\mu$ g/L.

# 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Broadbent carried out recent investigation activities in conformance with the Work Plan Documents designed to close remaining data gaps and move the Site towards closure based on the California State Water Resources Control Board's (CSWRCB) *Low Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy* (CSWRCB, 2012). Based on the findings of this investigation remaining data gaps have been closed and the following conclusions were formed:

- Results of SRS activities identified that the two closest private wells downgradient of the Site are at distances of 442 feet and 809 feet.
- Findings of the preferential pathway study indicate utilities mapped within the property boundaries of the Site do not extend downgradient beyond the southern property boundary adjoining the KFC parcel. Results also determined that depths of KFC utility trenches located by Norcal appear to be shallow (likely above the water table at this location) and/or flow offsite. Moreover, located utility lines appear not to connect to the KFC building within the corridor between the building and Site plumes.
- No petroleum compounds were detected above laboratory reporting limits in soil samples collected in the soil borings, indicating that current KFC soils have not been impacted by Site plume.
- The current concentration of 88 µg/L MTBE in groundwater at MW-6 compared to 15 µg/L MTBE in SB-1 and 6.0 µg/L MTBE in SB-2 indicates that the Site MTBE plume extends onto the KFC parcel, but is continuing to naturally attenuate a short downgradient distance from the Site and does not extend beneath the KFC building.
- Due to the lack of volatile petroleum hydrocarbon compounds detected above laboratory limits in soil and groundwater samples collected during this investigation, soil vapor intrusion at the KFC building is not a concern. Therefore, soil vapor sampling at the Site and KFC is not warranted.

# 6.0 JUSTIFICATION FOR SITE CLOSURE

The Site was evaluated for Closure based on a comparison of the data presented in the CSM (Table 1) against the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. As noted above, the CSM that was initially submitted with the September 9, 2013 CSM (Broadbent, 2013b) and has been updated to reflect data collected during this current Site investigation.

Closure Criteria in the Low Threat UST Closure Policy are organized into the following categories:

- General Criteria
- Media-Specific Criteria-Groundwater
- Media-Specific Criteria Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air
- Media-Specific Criteria Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure

#### Additional Criteria

The following sections present the details of the evaluation.

#### 6.1 General Criteria

The general criteria related to the Site use, presence of free product, sources and completeness of the Site understanding. As evidenced in the data presented in the CSM, a sufficiently good understanding of Site conditions, on- and offsite receptors and Site history has been established. These general criteria and a discussion of how the Site is consistent with these criteria are presented below.

#### The unauthorized release is located within the service area of a public water system

The Site is located within the East Bay Municipal Utilities District Service Area.

#### The unauthorized release consists solely of petroleum

Based on review of historical data, the release at the Site occurred in the areas of the former UST and waste oil tanks; and former product lines and dispensers. An upgradient SBC UST, which leaked diesel into soil and groundwater has been eliminated as a possible source of contamination at the Site, due to historic monitoring data showing concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons below laboratory detection limits (Appendix E). Additionally, analytical data collected to date have shown no indication of any other contaminant releases from the Site other than petroleum (Appendix B; Tables 4 and 5). The Site has been a retail service station, and there is no evidence that any other activities have occurred at the Site, which may have caused non-petroleum releases.

#### The unauthorized release has been stopped

The USTs and pipelines where the releases occurred have been removed and/or replaced, and the waste oil tank has been removed; thereby, removing the primary sources of releases (Table 1). No measurable free product has ever been observed in Site groundwater monitoring wells. Therefore, LNAPL has been removed to the extent possible.

# A conceptual site model that assesses the nature, extent, and mobility of the release has been Developed

A CSM has been prepared and updated with recent data for this Site and is presented as Table 1.

#### Secondary source has been removed to the extent practical

Secondary source soil around the former UST complex, former product pipelines and former waste oil tank has been overexcavated during a previous investigation (Appendix B). Therefore, the secondary source has been removed to the extent practical.

# Site soil and groundwater have been tested for MTBE and results reported in accordance with Health and Safety Code 25296.15.

Soil and groundwater samples collected have been analyzed for GRO, benzene and MTBE. Based on recent and historical data, the MTBE plume has been fully delineated. The maximum concentration of MTBE was contained in a sample collected in June 1997 from MW-1 at a concentration of 1,900  $\mu$ g/L (Appendix B). MTBE concentration trend graphs for wells MW-1 and MW-2 show a strong decreasing trend for MTBE (Appendix D). Furthermore, when the current concentration of MTBE in MW-6 of 88  $\mu$ g/L (Table 4) is compared to the low concentrations in the recent offsite borings SB-1 (15  $\mu$ g/L) and SB-2 (6.0  $\mu$ g/L) (Table 3), it appears MTBE migration is limited to a short distance in the downgradient

direction and thus biodegradation of MTBE is occurring. General isoconcentration maps based on the current data are included in Drawings 7 through 9. An MTBE isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (4Q13) is presented as Drawing 9.

# Nuisance as defined by the Water Code section 13050 does not exist at this site

A nuisance as defined by the water code section 13050 does not exist at this Site.

#### 6.2 Media-Specific Criteria – Groundwater

The Low Threat UST Closure Policy lists four scenarios for groundwater plumes. Recent groundwater monitoring (4Q13) and soil boring investigation results (December 2013) indicates that the petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations only exceed cleanup levels in three sampling locations, well MW-6 and borings SB-1 and SB-2. Isoconcentration maps based on the current data are included in Drawings 7 through 9. These drawings show a plume length of less than 100 feet. No measurable free product has ever been observed in Site groundwater monitoring wells. Furthermore, the nearest water supply well and surface water are over 250 feet away, as presented in the CSM (Table 1). The combination of these factors indicates that Criteria 1 of the Low Threat UST Closure Policy are met, and a very low to no threat to possible drinking or surface water from the petroleum plume at the Site.

# 6.3 Media-Specific Criteria – Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air

The Site is an active service station, therefore the Low Threat UST Closure Policy considers that petroleum vapors from onsite fueling activities are a far greater risk than those associated with exposure to vapors from historic petroleum releases; therefore, this Site meets these criteria for closure according to the Low Threat UST Closure Policy. Additionally, due to the lack of volatile petroleum hydrocarbon compounds detected above laboratory limits in soil and groundwater samples collected during the current investigation, soil vapor intrusion at the KFC building is not a concern. Therefore, soil vapor sampling at the Site and KFC is not warranted.

# 6.4 Media-Specific Criteria – Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure

Soil analytical results from historical Site investigations are included in Appendix B. For the direct contact and outdoor air exposure, only current soil data was considered. Soil samples from 0 to 10 feet bgs were collected during activities in 1991 and 1992, but remedial activities (1991 UST removal and overexcavation and 2003 product line removal and soil excavation; Appendix A) were performed after those samples were collected. In 2007, soil borings CB-1 through CB-5 were advanced near areas where soil impacts had previously been noted. Specifically, these borings were near USTs (borings CB-1 and CB-2), the dispensers (CB-3) and near the property line/current well MW-6 (CB-4 and CB-5). Soil samples were collected at 7.5 feet bgs in each boring and no benzene or ethylbenzene were reported in any sample. No samples were collected during field activities conducted in 1992 was from SB-4 at 4.5 feet at a concentration of 0.025 mg/kg and SB-3 at 4 feet bgs at a concentration of 0.16 mg/kg, respectively. The maximum allowable concentration for benzene and ethylbenzene.

In 1991 during waste oil tank removal activities, a soil sample was collected at 10 feet bgs and analyzed for a full suite of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). No VOCs were detected, including naphthalene.

Based on the above analysis of historic soil concentrations from 0 to 10 feet bgs, the Site meets the LTCP criteria for direct contact and outdoor air exposure.

#### 6.5 Recommendation for Case Closure

As presented above and in the attached CSM (Table 1), this Site appears to meet all applicable criteria for case closure under the Low Threat Closure Policy. Over 20 years of groundwater monitoring history has shown petroleum compounds at the Site have been effectively remediated by previous overexcavation activities and natural attenuation. Since no significant offsite impacts are present, vapor intrusion risks are not present to offsite building occupants. The closest surface water and potential offsite drinking water well is 422 feet from the Site. Further, the following activities have been performed to support recommendation of case closure: characterization both on- and offsite conditions, evaluation of receptors, description of Site history and technical analysis. On this basis, we hereby recommend that a determination of No Further Action be made for this Site. Upon concurrence of this recommendation from the ACEH, closure activities including well decommissioning should be implemented.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES

- Alameda County Environmental Health, Regulatory meeting held in ACEH offices in Alameda, California. October 3, 2013.
- Broadbent & Associates, Inc., (Broadbent, 2012). Work Plan for Off-Site Groundwater Investigation, Atlantic Richfield Company Station # 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California. January 5.
- Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent, 2013a). *Revised Work Plan for Off-Site Groundwater Investigation, Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California.* January 3.
- Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (Broadbent, 2013b). Conceptual Site Model and Addendum to the Revised Work Plan, Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California. September 9.
- California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB, 2012). *Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Closure Policy.* August 17.
- Closure Solutions, Inc. (Closure Solutions, 2011). Sensitive Receptor Survey, Atlantic Richfield Company Station #2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California; ACEH Case #RO0000190. October 31.



















CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Geology and Hydrogeology	Regional	The Site is located within the San Leandro Sub-Area, near the northern boundary of the San Lorenzo Sub-Area, in the East Bay Plain of the San Francisco Basin. These Sub-Areas share the same hydrogeologic characteristics, yet are separated by the junction of the surface trace between the San Leandro and San Lorenzo alluvial fans. These Sub-Areas consist primarily of alluvial fan sediments with the distinction of the Yerba Buena Mud extending west into the San Leandro and San Lorenzo sub-Areas, unlike the northern Sub-Areas. The Yerba Buena Mud forms a major aquitard between the shallow and deep aquifers throughout much of southwestern area of the East Bay Plain. The San Leandro and San Lorenzo Sub-Areas alluvial fans are finer grained and produce less groundwater than the Niles Cone basin to the south.	None	NA
	Site	Sediments encountered during previous Site investigations consists of beds and lenses of varying thicknesses of silts and silty clay near surface to approximately nine ft bgs. A sand and gravel unit underlies these silts and silty clays. According to the cross section presented in Drawing 5, lithology is consistent with the geologic environment of alluvial deposits, and consistent with the regional geologic environment. A silty clay and clayey silt unit encountered at 13 ft bgs underlies the sand and gravel unit. The groundwater was first encountered in soil at an approximate depth	None	NA

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Geology and Hydrogeology (continued)	Site (continued)	ranging from 9 to 11 ft bgs. Historical depth-to-groundwater in Site wells has ranged from 6.56 to 11.33 ft bgs. Historical groundwater gradient has generally been to the southwest and south-southwest with average hydraulic gradient ranging from 0.001 to 0.013 ft/ft (Table 6).		
Surface Water Bodies		The Estudillo Canal, a concrete-lined channel, is located approximately 800 feet to the southeast (cross-gradient) of the Site. The channel connects to the San Francisco Bay, located approximately three miles west-southwest of the Site.	None	NA
Nearby Wells		Results of a Sensitive Receptor Survey (SRS) performed by Closure Solutions (Closure Solutions, 2011) (which included a Department of Water Resources records request) identified two potential private-use irrigation wells located downgradient of the Site, approximately 2,250 feet and 2,350 feet to the west-southwest (Appendix J). Broadbent supplemented Closure Solutions' SRS by requesting a well records search with ACPWA for the locations and/or uses of wells in the vicinity of the Site. Results of the search identified that the two closest wells to the Site are located approximately 442 feet and 809 feet downgradient. Drawing J-1 of Appendix J shows a plot of the ACPWA wells search results within a radius of approximately 2000 feet.	None	NA
Constituents of Concern	Light-Non Aqueous Phase Liquid (LNAPL)	During a preliminary tank replacement assessment performed on June 5, 1991, LNAPL was observed in soil samples collected from borings B3 and B4 at depths ranging between 7 and 10 ft bgs (ROUX, 1991). During the removal and replacement activities of the USTs, the area where borings B3 and B4 were located was overexcavated; therefore, removing the observed LNAPL. Measurable LNAPL has not been observed in any groundwater monitoring wells at the Site.	None	NA
	Gasoline Range Organics	Historically, concentrations of GRO have been detected in all monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-6). Historical maximum detected concentration of GRO was reported in well MW-2 at 7,800 μg/L in January 14, 1993. The maximum detected GRO concentration within the last four	None	NA

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Constituents of Concern (continued)	GRO (continued)	<ul> <li>monitoring events was reported in well MW-6 at 5,000 µg/L. Concentrations in well MW-6 have fluctuated significantly since date of installation (2009), however within the last four monitoring events concentrations have shown a strong decreasing trend to a concentration of 1,400 µg/L as of 4Q13, indicating a decreasing trend.</li> <li>Based on recent and historical data, the GRO plume has been delineated. A GRO isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (4Q13) is presented as Drawing 3. GRO concentration trend graphs for wells MW-1 and MW-2 are included in Part Five. In general, concentrations show a strong decreasing trend for GRO in all Site wells. No concentrations of GRO above laboratory detection limits were reported in samples SB-1, SB-2 and SB-3 collected during the current investigation.</li> </ul>		
	Benzene	Historically, concentrations of benzene have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 and MW-6. Benzene concentrations have not been detected in well MW-5, with the exception of one detection slightly above reporting limits ( $0.52 \mu g/L$ ) during December 20, 2012. The historical maximum concentration of benzene was reported in well MW-3 at 86 $\mu g/L$ in April 14, 1993. The maximum detected concentration within the last four monitoring events was reported in well MW-6 at 9.3 $\mu g/L$ , indicating a strong decreasing benzene trend over time. Based on recent and historical data, the benzene plume has been delineated. A benzene isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (4Q13) is presented as Drawing 6. Benzene concentration trend graphs for wells MW-1 and MW-2 are included in Appendix D. These graphs and data presented in Table 2 show a strong decreasing trend for benzene in all Site wells, indicating a shrinking plume.	None	NA
	Methyl tert- butyl ether (MTBE)	Historically, concentrations of MTBE have been detected in monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4 and MW-6. MTBE concentrations have not been detected in well MW-5. The historical maximum concentration of MTBE was reported in well MW-1 at 1,900 µg/L in June 10, 1997. Detected concentrations of MTBE within the last four monitoring events was reported in well MW-6 at 120 µg/L; however, concentrations in well MW-6 have fluctuated significantly since date	None	NA

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Constituents of Concern (continued)	MTBE (continued)	of installation (2009). Concentrations within the last four monitoring events in well MW-1 were not detected above the laboratory reporting limit, indicating a strong decreasing MTBE trend over time. In all monitoring wells, except monitoring well MW-6, current concentrations of MTBE did not exceed 5 μg/L, indicating that MTBE in groundwater has almost completely degraded. Based on recent and historical data, the MTBE plume has been delineated. An MTBE isoconcentration contour map for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (4Q13) is presented as Drawing 8. MTBE concentration trend graphs for wells MW-1 and MW-2 are included in Appendix D, Part Five. In general, concentrations show a strong decreasing trend for MTBE in all Site wells. Among the samples collected during the current offsite investigation, laboratory results showed MTBE at concentrations of 15 μg/L and 6.0 μg/L in only SB-1 and SB-2, respectively.		
Potential Sources	Onsite	The exact release source and volume released at the Site is unknown; however, it is assumed that the source was the former UST and former waste oil tank complex located at the northeastern and northern portion of the Site, respectively, and to a lesser degree, former product pipelines and dispensers located in the center of the Site. These assumptions are supported by historical data including proximity to historical higher dissolved-phase petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations. Additional areas of documented soil contamination occurred beneath product pipelines and dispensers, particularly the central part of the Site. An unknown amount of residual petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is presently bound within the soil matrix in these areas, and dissolved in groundwater beneath and downgradient of the Site. A fluctuating groundwater table has likely caused a contaminant smear zone where the residual hydrocarbon mass remains. However, the trends for the residual petroleum compounds in groundwater indicate that the remaining concentrations in this smear zone have degraded over time and are impacting the groundwater beneath the Site to a far lesser degree than in the past, and will continue to degrade over time (Appendix D). The removal and replacement of the storage and dispensing system was conducted to stop the potential release. The UST removal and replacement activities were documented in the Underground Storage Tank Replacement and Sampling, ARCO Facility No. 2162 (ROUX, 1992). The	None	NA

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Potential Sources (continued)	Onsite	product lines and dispensers removal and replacement activities were documented in the <i>Product Line Removal and Upgrade Soil Sampling Report, ARCO Station No. 2162</i> (URS, 2003).		
	Offsite (continued)	An SBC Communications Building (SBC) is located just north of the Site at 15125 Hesperian Boulevard in San Leandro, California. Petroleum hydrocarbon as diesel were detected in soil and groundwater samples collected during a Site investigation in 2004. The former diesel UST and associated product piping were removed in 2004. Groundwater monitoring activities were conducted at the SBC site for one year in 2005 (Hydrologue, Inc, 2005). Following the year of groundwater monitoring activities, an underground storage tank closure was granted in May 12, 2006.	None	NA
		The SBC site is located upgradient of the Site, just north from the Site, based on the historic and current groundwater gradient direction (to the south-southwest). However, as of May 2006 groundwater data collected at the SBC site indicate no petroleum hydrocarbons were detected; thus, the SBC site is not likely a potential hydrocarbon source. A summary of historic groundwater data for the SBC site is provided in Appendix E.		
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts	Extent in Soil	Soil contamination appears defined to the north, east, and southeast only at the Site. Based on historical data, the highest concentrations of GRO and benzene were detected at the northern portion of the Site, near the southern end of the former UST complex. Based on historic data and the recent offsite investigation, the highest concentration of GRO was detected at the southern end of the Site, in the downgradient direction, however no petroleum hydrocarbons were detected in soil samples collected in the KFC borings. The highest historic concentrations were consistently reported at approximately 3 to 11.5 ft bgs, which is consistent with the capillary fringe zone at the Site. The highest GRO concentration (2,400 mg/kg) was detected just southwest of the former UST tanks; however, in late 1991, the USTs were removed and the soil around the former UST complex overexcavated, including soil from the highest GRO concentration boring. Soil was defined laterally to non-detect for all petroleum compounds to the southeast (B-7/MW-3; Drawing 2), to 1.3 ppm GRO (B-2) to the north, and to 4.5 mg/kg DRO (CB-1) to the east (Appendix B).	None	NA

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Nature and Extent of Environmental Impacts (continued)	Extent in Soil (continued)	Since source areas have been removed and these concentrations were representative of overall concentrations at the time of sampling, it is likely that these concentrations have further attenuated over the last 20 years.		
	Extent in Shallow Groundwater	The groundwater monitoring network at the Site includes source area wells (MW-1, MW-2, and MW-5); and downgradient wells (MW-3, MW-4, and MW-6). Isoconcentration maps for the most recent groundwater monitoring and sampling event (4Q13) for GRO, benzene, and MTBE are included as Drawings 6 through 8, respectively. These drawings illustrate that the extent of petroleum compounds is well defined in all directions. Based on the observed decreasing trends, the extent of petroleum compounds is small and the plume is shrinking (Appendix D). Additionally, free product has never been observed at the Site and dissolved petroleum concentrations are decreasing.	None	NA
	Extent in Deeper Groundwater	The extent of environmental impact in deeper groundwater has not been investigated at the Site. However, based on the lithology observed during previous environmental investigations at the Site, the hydrocarbon plume is believed to be within the sand interval between by silty clay and/or clayey silt layers (Drawing 8). Based on Site lithology, vertical characterization is not considered a data gap, even though no deeper groundwater samples have been collected.	None	NA
	Extent in Soil Vapor	The extent of environmental impact in soil vapor has not been investigated at the Site. It is possible that higher petroleum impacts are present near the former source areas. As volatile petroleum hydrocarbon compounds were not detected above laboratory limits in soil and groundwater samples collected during the current offsite investigation, soil vapor intrusion at the KFC building is not a concern.	None	NA

# CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

# Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

CSM Element	CSM Sub- Element	Description	Data Gap	How to Address
Migration Pathways	Potential Conduits	Recent geophysical surveys identified underground utility lines and features that may be conduits for transmission of known contaminants at the Site. Utilities located in the sidewalk right-of-way at the Site, however, do not appear to overlap with the lateral extent of the Site plumes. It is therefore unlikely that Site contamination is migrating offsite. Furthermore, no utility lines were identified to be continuous across the property boundary between the Site and KFC. Based on the utility type, depths of KFC utility trenches appear to be shallow and/or flow offsite. Moreover, utility lines shown on Plate 1 (Appendix G) show no connection to the KFC building, thus vapor intrusion is not a concern at the building.	None	NA
Potential Receptors	Onsite	No onsite water supply wells or surface water bodies exists. The only potential onsite receptor would be onsite workers exposed to gasoline vapors. However, the exposure from current fueling operations represents a greater risk than any associated with potential groundwater or soil vapor exposure (CSWRCB, 2012).	None	NA
	Offsite	As discussed above, the nearest surface water body is the Estudillo Canal, located approximately 800 ft cross-gradient of the Site. Results of SRS activities identified that the two closest private wells downgradient of the Site are at distances of 442 feet and 809 feet. Review of available satellite images (Google Maps or equivalent) was conducted to identify any sensitive land uses such as schools, day care facilities, hospitals, or elder care facilities within 500 ft of the Site. No facilities were identified within 500 ft from the Site.	None	NA

# **CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL** Atlantic Richfield Company Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

#### Notes:

ARCO = Atlantic Richfield Company bgs = below ground surface CSM = Conceptual Site Model CSWRCB = California State Water Resources Control Board DRO = Diesel Range Organics ft = foot ft/ft = foot per foot GRO = Gasoline Range Organics LNAPL = Light-Non Aqueous Phase Liquid mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl Ether NA = Not Applicable No. = Number ppm = parts per million UST = Underground Storage Tank µg/L = micrograms per liter

All report references are included in Section 7 of the preceding report

# Table 2Soil Analytical ResultsDecember 2013 Soil Boring InvestigationARCO Station No. 216215135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California

Boring Identification	Soil Sample Depth (feet bgs)	Date Collected	GRO (mg/kg)	DRO (mg/kg)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	MTBE (mg/kg)	ETBE (mg/kg)	TAME (mg/kg)	TBA (mg/kg)	DIPE (mg/kg)	1,2-DCA (mg/kg)	EDB (mg/kg)	Ethanol (mg/kg)	Naphthalene (mg/kg)
SB-1	14	12/23/2013	ND<0.38	ND<5.0	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0040	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.099	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.30	ND<0.0050
SB 2	14	12/22/2012															
3D-2	14	12/23/2013	ND<0.38	ND<3.0	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0040	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0030	ND<0.0030	ND<0.099	ND<0.0030	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.30	ND<0.0030
SB-3	14	12/23/2013	ND<0.38	ND<5.0	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0040	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0050	ND<0.10	ND<0.0050	ND<0.0020	ND<0.0020	ND<0.30	ND<0.0050

Notes:

feet bgs = feet below ground surface

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

GRO = gasoline range organics (C6-C12)

DRO = diesel range organics (C10-C28)

MTBE = methyl tert-butyl ether

ETBE = ethyl tert-butyl ether

TAME = tert-amyl methyl ether

TBA = tert butyl alcohol

DIPE = di isopropyl ether

1,2-DCA = 1,2-dichloroethane

EDB = 1,2-dibromoethane

ND<X.XX = not detected above reporting limit of X.XX

# Table 3Grab-Groundwater Analytical ResultsDecember 2013 Soil Boring InvestigationARCO Station No. 216215135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California

Boring Identification	Grab-Groundwater Sample Depth (feet bgs)	Date Collected	GRO (μg/L)	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (μg/L)	Ethylbenzene (µg/L)	Total Xylenes (μg/L)	MTBE (µg/L)	ETBE (µg/L)	TAME (μg/L)	TBA (μg/L)	DIPE (µg/L)	1,2-DCA (μg/L)	EDB (µg/L)	Ethanol (μg/L)	Naphthalene (µg/L)
SB-1	10	12/23/2013	59	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<1.0	15	ND<0.50	0.92	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<150	ND<1.0
50.2	10	12/21/2012						6.0							ND<150	ND<1.0
<u>38-2</u>	10	12/21/2013	ND<30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<1.0	0.0	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<10	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<0.30	ND<130	ND<1.0
SB-3	10	12/23/2013	ND<50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<1.0	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<10	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<0.50	ND<150	ND<1.0
ESLs			100	1.0	40	30	20	5	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.5	0.5	5000	17

#### Notes:

feet bgs = feet below ground surface µg/L = micrograms per liter GRO = gasoline range organics (C6-C12) MTBE = methyl tert-butyl ether ETBE = ethyl tert-butyl ether TAME = tert-amyl methyl ether TBA = tert butyl alcohol DIPE = di isopropyl ether 1,2-DCA = 1,2-dichloroethane EDB = 1,2-dibromoethane ND<X.XX = not detected above reporting limit of X.XX  $\mu$ g/L

ESLs = Environmental Screening Levels as presented in *Screening for Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater - Interim Final December 2013* assuming a commercial/industrial exposure scenrio where groundwater is a potential drinking water resource

#### Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentr	ations in µĮ	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-1															
6/20/2000		31.19	8.00	16.00	8.33	22.86	<50	<0.5	0.8	<0.5	<1.0	<10			
9/29/2000			8.00	16.00	9.07	22.12	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
12/17/2000			8.00	16.00	8.69	22.50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
3/23/2001			8.00	16.00	8.19	23.00	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
6/20/2001			8.00	16.00	8.97	22.22	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
9/22/2001			8.00	16.00	9.56	21.63	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
12/28/2001			8.00	16.00	8.40	22.79	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.63	<2.5			
3/14/2002			8.00	16.00	8.05	23.14	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	170			
4/18/2002			8.00	16.00	8.27	22.92	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
7/19/2002	NP		8.00	16.00	8.88	22.31	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	11	1.0	8.2	
10/09/02	NP		8.00	16.00											а
03/28/2003	NP		8.00	16.00											a, c
4/7/2003	NP		8.00	16.00	8.28	22.91	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.6	6.9	
7/9/2003	NP		8.00	16.00	8.62	22.57	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.1	7.2	
10/08/2003		31.13	8.00	16.00	9.19	21.94									d, e
01/13/2004			8.00	16.00	8.35	22.78									
04/05/2004		33.70	8.00	16.00	7.29	26.41									
07/12/2004	NP		8.00	16.00	9.00	24.70	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.8	7.0	
10/19/2004			8.00	16.00	9.47	24.23									
01/11/2005		ĺ	8.00	16.00	7.64	26.06									
04/14/2005			8.00	16.00	7.35	26.35									
08/01/2005			8.00	16.00	8.21	25.49									
7/31/2006			8.00	16.00	8.10	25.60									
6/12/2009	Р		8.00	16.00	8.93	24.77	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.59	7.40	
11/6/2009			8.00	16.00	9.18	24.52									
6/4/2010	Р		8.00	16.00	8.13	25.57	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.31	7.2	
11/19/2010			8.00	16.00	9.28	24.42									
5/19/2011	Р		8.00	16.00	7.76	25.94	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.36	6.8	
12/1/2011			8.00	16.00	8.40	25.30									

#### Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-1 Cont.															
6/21/2012	Р	33.70	8.00	16.00	8.49	25.21	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.73	7.39	
12/20/2012			8.00	16.00	8.09	25.61									
6/13/2013	Р		8.00	16.00	8.94	24.76	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	2.08	6.76	
12/2/2013			8.00	16.00	9.54	24.16									
MW-2															
6/20/2000		30.38	8.00	16.00	7.38	23.00									
9/29/2000			8.00	16.00	8.08	22.30	266	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
12/17/2000			8.00	16.00	7.80	22.58	175	<0.5	<0.5	0.659	<0.5	<2.5			
3/23/2001			8.00	16.00	7.23	23.15	351	<0.5	<0.5	0.912	<0.5	<2.5			
6/20/2001			8.00	16.00	7.98	22.40	360	<0.5	<0.5	0.74	<0.5	<2.5			
9/22/2001			8.00	16.00	8.55	21.83	190	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
12/28/2001			8.00	16.00	7.53	22.85	130	<0.5	0.93	<0.5	0.51	<2.5			
3/14/2002			8.00	16.00	7.17	23.21	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
4/18/2002			8.00	16.00	7.31	23.07	74	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
7/19/2002	Р		8.00	16.00	7.93	22.45	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5	1.1	7.6	
10/9/2002	Р		8.00	16.00	8.55	21.83	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5	0.7	7.3	
03/28/2003	Р		8.00	16.00	7.30	23.08	<50	<0.50	0.83	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.48	7.7	С
4/7/2003	Р		8.00	16.00	7.36	23.02	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.4	7.0	
7/9/2003	Р		8.00	16.00	7.71	22.67	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.5	7.6	
10/08/2003			8.00	16.00	8.25	22.13									
01/13/2004			8.00	16.00	7.55	22.83									
04/05/2004		32.97	8.00	16.00	7.29	25.68									
07/12/2004	NP		8.00	16.00	8.09	24.88	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.4	7.2	
10/19/2004			8.00	16.00	8.29	24.68									
01/11/2005			8.00	16.00	6.81	26.16									
04/14/2005			8.00	16.00	6.69	26.28									
08/01/2005			8.00	16.00	7.40	25.57									
7/31/2006			8.00	16.00	7.22	25.75									
6/12/2009	Р	32.95	8.00	16.00	8.18	24.77	51	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.60	7.55	

#### Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level	Concentrations in µg/L								
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.															
11/6/2009		32.95	8.00	16.00	8.32	24.63									
6/4/2010	Р		8.00	16.00	7.24	25.71	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		7.33	
11/19/2010			8.00	16.00	8.38	24.57									
5/19/2011	Р		8.00	16.00	7.12	25.83	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.24	9.0	
12/1/2011			8.00	16.00	7.57	25.38									
6/21/2012	Р		8.00	16.00	7.63	25.32	62	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.47	7.42	lw
12/20/2012			8.00	16.00	7.22	25.73									
6/13/2013	Р		8.00	16.00	8.10	24.85	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.41	7.0	
12/2/2013			8.00	16.00	8.70	24.25									
MW-3															
6/20/2000		30.30	8.00	15.00	7.75	22.55									
9/29/2000			8.00	15.00	8.46	21.84	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	128			
12/17/2000			8.00	15.00	8.01	22.29	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	46.7			
3/23/2001			8.00	15.00	7.70	22.60	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	26.8			
6/20/2001			8.00	15.00	8.23	22.07	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	30			
9/22/2001			8.00	15.00	8.89	21.41	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	12			
12/28/2001			8.00	15.00	7.83	22.47	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	6.2			
3/14/2002			8.00	15.00	7.48	22.82	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	47			
4/18/2002			8.00	15.00	7.62	22.68	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
7/19/2002	Р		8.00	15.00	8.23	22.07	100	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	330	0.9	7.6	b (TPH-g)
10/9/2002	Р		8.00	15.00	8.83	21.47	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	61	0.5	7.4	
03/28/2003	Р		8.00	15.00	7.85	22.45	52	<0.50	1.2	<0.50	<0.50	45	1.42	7.6	С
4/7/2003	Р		8.00	15.00	7.71	22.59	56	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	56	1.1	6.8	
7/9/2003	Р		8.00	15.00	8.00	22.30	<500	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	87	1.6	7.4	
10/08/2003	Р		8.00	15.00	8.59	21.71	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	25	0.9		
01/15/2004	Р		8.00	15.00	7.90	22.40	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	9.8	2.9	7.3	
04/05/2004	Р	32.89	8.00	15.00	7.61	25.28	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	15	1.5	7.0	
07/12/2004	Р		8.00	15.00	8.45	24.44	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	7.3	1.6	6.9	
10/19/2004	Р		8.00	15.00	8.95	23.94	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.0	0.96	7.1	

#### Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level Concentrations in µg/L									
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.															
01/11/2005	Р	32.89	8.00	15.00	7.27	25.62	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.3		7.2	
04/14/2005	Р		8.00	15.00	7.10	25.79	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.5	5.6	2.0	7.2	
08/01/2005	Р		8.00	15.00	7.71	25.18	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	5.2	1.18	7.0	
7/31/2006	Р		8.00	15.00	7.64	25.25	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.3		6.8	
6/12/2009	Р	32.88	8.00	15.00	8.36	24.52	<50	0.75	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.53	0.61	7.45	
11/6/2009	Р		8.00	15.00	8.58	24.30	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.51	7.17	
6/4/2010	Р		8.00	15.00	7.60	25.28	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.9	0.69	7.4	
11/19/2010	NP		8.00	15.00	8.63	24.25	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.69	7.0	
5/19/2011	Р		8.00	15.00	7.22	25.66	56	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.1	0.83	9.2	lw
12/1/2011	Р		8.00	15.00	8.00	24.88	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.50	3.15	7.8	
6/21/2012	Р		8.00	15.00	7.90	24.98	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.4	1.24	7.33	
12/20/2012	р		8.00	15.00	7.53	25.35	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	3.62	8.17	
6/13/2013	Р		8.00	15.00	8.39	24.49	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.22	7.07	
12/2/2013	Р		8.00	15.00	8.89	23.99	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.32	6.81	
MW-4															
6/20/2000		30.39	10.00	18.00	8.87	21.52									
9/29/2000			10.00	18.00	9.61	20.78	<50	1.02	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	12.2			
12/17/2000			10.00	18.00	9.17	21.22	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.81			
3/23/2001			10.00	18.00	8.70	21.69	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	3.04			
6/20/2001			10.00	18.00	9.51	20.88	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5			
9/22/2001			10.00	18.00	10.06	20.33	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.2			
12/28/2001			10.00	18.00	8.86	21.53	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.3			
3/14/2002			10.00	18.00	8.52	21.87	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5.1			
4/18/2002			10.00	18.00	8.76	21.63	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5				
7/19/2002	NP		10.00	18.00	9.39	21.00	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	30	1.8	7.8	
10/9/2002	NP		10.00	18.00	10.08	20.31	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	28	1.0	8.0	
03/28/2003	NP		10.00	18.00	8.88	21.51	<50	<0.50	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	4.4	0.98	7.2	С
4/7/2003	NP		10.00	18.00	8.78	21.61	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	14	1.1	7.0	
7/9/2003	NP		10.00	18.00	9.14	21.25	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.8	1.6	7.4	
### Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	;/L				
Well ID and		тос	Screen	Screen	DTW	Elevation	GRO/			Ethyl-	Total		DO		
Date Monitored	P/NP	(feet)	(ft bgs)	(ft bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Benzene	Xylenes	MTBE	(mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.															
10/08/2003	NP	30.39	10.00	18.00	9.77	20.62	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	3.1	2.6	6.4	
01/15/2004	Р		10.00	18.00	8.68	21.71	<50	1.4	0.84	<0.50	1.5	6.6	2.9	7.1	
04/05/2004	NP	33.97	10.00	18.00	8.77	25.20	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	1.2	7.0	
07/12/2004	NP		10.00	18.00	9.46	24.51	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.0	2.5	6.6	
10/19/2004	NP		10.00	18.00	9.91	24.06	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	4.4	1.21	7.9	
01/11/2005	Р		10.00	18.00	7.80	26.17	59	2.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	11	0.9	7.1	
04/14/2005	NP		10.00	18.00	8.07	25.90	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.64	2.8	7.4	
08/01/2005	NP		10.00	18.00	8.58	25.39	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.48	5.7	
7/31/2006	Р		10.00	18.00	8.75	25.22	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		6.7	
6/12/2009	Р		10.00	18.00	9.51	24.46	<50	0.68	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.70	7.51	
11/6/2009	Р		10.00	18.00	9.74	24.23	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.15	7.15	
6/4/2010	Р		10.00	18.00	8.71	25.26	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.70	7.24	
11/19/2010	Р		10.00	18.00	9.83	24.14	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.09	7.1	
5/19/2011	Р		10.00	18.00	8.24	25.73	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.88	7.5	
12/1/2011	Р		10.00	18.00	9.11	24.86	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.09	7.6	
6/21/2012	Р		10.00	18.00	9.07	24.90	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.64	7.31	
12/20/2012	Р		10.00	18.00	8.61	25.36	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	3.90	7.99	
6/13/2013	Р		10.00	18.00	9.56	24.41	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.53	6.85	
12/2/2013	Ρ		10.00	18.00	10.10	23.87	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	2.07	6.74	
MW-5															
6/12/2009	NP	33.96	8.00	16.00	9.25	24.71	85	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.59	7.50	
11/6/2009	Р		8.00	16.00	9.49	24.47	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.56	7.1	
6/4/2010	NP		8.00	16.00	8.42	25.54	67	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.24	7.65	
11/19/2010	NP		8.00	16.00	9.58	24.38	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.72	7.3	
5/19/2011	NP		8.00	16.00	8.02	25.94	52	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	2.17	9.1	lw
12/1/2011	Р		8.00	16.00	8.87	25.09	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.76	7.5	
6/21/2012	Р		8.00	16.00	8.76	25.20	55	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.58	7.24	lw
12/20/2012	Р		8.00	16.00	8.35	25.61	84	0.52	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	3.74	7.97	
6/13/2013	Р		8.00	16.00	9.27	24.69	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.53	6.83	

### Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Data: Relative Water Elevations and Laboratory Analyses

			Top of	Bottom of		Water Level			Concentra	ations in µg	g/L				
Well ID and Date Monitored	P/NP	TOC (feet)	Screen (ft bgs)	Screen (ft bgs)	DTW (feet)	Elevation (feet)	GRO/ TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- Benzene	Total Xvlenes	МТВЕ	DO (mg/L)	рН	Footnote
MW-5 Cont.	.,	()	(	(8-)	()	()							(8/ -/	P	
12/2/2012		22.00	0.00	16.00	0.05	24.11	-50	-0.50	-0.50	10 50		-0.50	1.00	6 74	
12/2/2013	۲	33.96	8.00	16.00	9.85	24.11	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<0.50	1.86	6.71	
MW-6															
6/12/2009	NP	33.48	8.00	16.00	9.02	24.46	1,800	4.9	<0.50	2.8	<0.50	59	0.68	7.39	
11/6/2009	Р		8.00	16.00	9.21	24.27	880	1.7	<0.50	0.77	<0.50	37	0.43	6.9	
6/4/2010	NP		8.00	16.00	8.22	25.26	6,200	15	1.6	8.2	1.2	190	0.87	7.16	
11/19/2010	NP		8.00	16.00	9.30	24.18	5,600	8.0	1.2	9.9	<1.0	130	0.78	6.8	
5/19/2011	Р		8.00	16.00	7.77	25.71	7,100	4.0	<2.0	7.9	<2.0	76	1.40	8.2	
12/1/2011	Р		8.00	16.00	8.56	24.92	4,100	9.3	1.3	8.5	<1.0	180	0.53	7.3	lw
6/21/2012	Р		8.00	16.00	8.56	24.92	5,000	4.6	<2.5	3.6	<2.5	120	1.38	6.97	lw
12/20/2012	Р		8.00	16.00	8.13	25.35	2,400	4.1	0.91	5.0	<1.0	110	2.96	7.84	
6/13/2013	Р		8.00	16.00	9.03	24.45	2,300	3.1	0.93	4.9	<1.0	94	1.05	6.80	
12/2/2013	Р		8.00	16.00	9.53	23.95	1,400	1.9	0.50	2.3	<1.0	88	1.46	6.55	

Symbols & Abbreviations: --- = Not analyzed/applicable/measured/available < = Not detected at or above laboratory reporting limit DO = Dissolved oxygen DTW = Depth to water in feet below ground surface ft bgs = feet below ground surface GRO = Gasoline Range Organics, range C4-C12 GWE = Groundwater elevation measured in feet mg/L = Milligrams per liter MTBE = Methyl tert butyl ether NP = Well not purged prior to sampling P = Well purged prior to sampling TOC = Top of casing measured in feet above mean sea level TPH-g = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline ug/L = Micrograms per liter

Footnotes:

- a = Well not accessable car parked over.
- b = Hydrocarbon pattern is present in the requested fuel quantitation range but does not represent the pattern of the requested fuel
- c =TPH-g, BTEX and MTBE analyzed by EPA method 8260 beginning on 1st Quarter 2003 sampling event (3/28/03)
- d = Guaged with stinger in well
- e = Well casing lowered 0.06 feet during well repairs on 9/17/2003
- lw = Quantitate against gasoline

#### Notes:

Beginning in the fourth quarter 2003, the laboratory modified the reported analyte list. TPHg was changed to GRO. The resulting data may be impacted by the potential of non-TPHg analytes within the requested fuel range resulting in a higher concentration being reported

Beginning in the second quarter 2004, the carbon range for GRO was changed from C6-C10 to C4-C12

Wells were originally surveyed to NAVD'88 datum by URS Corporation on February 23, 2004

Wells were resurveyed to NAVD'88 datum by Wood Rodgers Surveying on May 11, 2009

Values for DO and pH were obtained through field measurements

GRO analysis was completed by EPA method 8260B (C4-C12) for samples collected from the time period April 2006 through February 4, 2008. The analysis for GRO was changed to EPA method 8015B (C6-C12) for samples collected from the time period February 5, 2008 through the present

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

# Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in µg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-1									
6/20/2000			<10						
9/29/2000			<2.5						
12/17/2000			<2.5						
3/23/2001			<2.5						
6/20/2001			<2.5						
9/22/2001			<2.5						
12/28/2001			<2.5						
3/14/2002			170						
7/19/2002			11						
4/7/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/9/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
07/12/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/12/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/4/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/19/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/21/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/13/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-2									
9/29/2000			<2.5						
12/17/2000			<2.5						
3/23/2001			<2.5						
6/20/2001			<2.5						
9/22/2001			<2.5						
12/28/2001			<2.5						
3/14/2002			<2.5						
7/19/2002			<2.5						
10/9/2002			<2.5						
03/28/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
4/7/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/9/2003	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

# Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in µg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-2 Cont.									
07/12/2004	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/12/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/4/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/19/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/21/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/13/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-3									
9/29/2000			128						
12/17/2000			46.7						
3/23/2001			26.8						
6/20/2001			30						
9/22/2001			12						
12/28/2001			6.2						
3/14/2002			47						
7/19/2002			330						
10/9/2002			61						
03/28/2003	<100	<20	45	<0.50	<0.50	0.73	<0.50	<0.50	
4/7/2003	<100	<20	56	<0.50	<0.50	0.72	<0.50	<0.50	
7/9/2003	<1,000	<200	87	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
10/08/2003	<100	<20	25	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
01/15/2004	<100	<20	9.8	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	a (TBA and EDB)
04/05/2004	<100	<20	15	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
07/12/2004	<100	<20	7.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
10/19/2004	<100	<20	5.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
01/11/2005	<100	<20	2.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
04/14/2005	<100	<20	5.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
08/01/2005	<100	<20	5.2	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
7/31/2006	<300	<20	4.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	C
6/12/2009	<300	<10	0.53	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/6/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	

# Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in µg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-3 Cont.									
6/4/2010	<300	<10	1.9	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/19/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/19/2011	<300	<10	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/1/2011	<300	<10	0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/21/2012	<300	<10	1.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/20/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/13/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/2/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-4									
9/29/2000			12.2						
12/17/2000			5.81						
3/23/2001			3.04						
6/20/2001			<2.5						
9/22/2001			5.2						
12/28/2001			4.3						
3/14/2002			5.1						
7/19/2002			30						
10/9/2002			28						
03/28/2003	<100	<20	4.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
4/7/2003	<100	<20	14	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
7/9/2003	<100	<20	1.8	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
10/08/2003	<100	<20	3.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
01/15/2004	<100	<20	6.6	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	a (TBA and EDB)
04/05/2004	<100	<20	1.3	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
07/12/2004	<100	<20	1.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
10/19/2004	<100	<20	4.4	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
01/11/2005	<100	<20	11	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
04/14/2005	<100	<20	0.64	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
08/01/2005	<100	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	b
7/31/2006	<300	<20	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	C

# Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in µg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	TBA	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-4 Cont.									
6/12/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/6/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/4/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/19/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/19/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/1/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/21/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/20/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/13/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/2/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-5									
6/12/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/6/2009	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/4/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
11/19/2010	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
5/19/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/1/2011	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/21/2012	<300	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/20/2012	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/13/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
12/2/2013	<150	<10	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
MW-6									
6/12/2009	<300	<10	59	<0.50	<0.50	5.2	<0.50	<0.50	
11/6/2009	<300	24	37	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	
6/4/2010	<300	17	190	<0.50	<0.50	17	<0.50	<0.50	
11/19/2010	<600	<20	130	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
5/19/2011	<1,200	<40	76	<2.0	<2.0	6.1	<2.0	<2.0	
12/1/2011	<600	31	180	<1.0	<1.0	18	<1.0	<1.0	
6/21/2012	<1,500	<50	120	<2.5	<2.5	9.1	<2.5	<2.5	

# Summary of Fuel Additives Analytical Data

Well ID and				Concentrat	ions in μg/L				
Date Monitored	Ethanol	ТВА	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Footnote
MW-6 Cont.									
12/20/2012	<150	12	110	<0.50	<0.50	9.2	<0.50	<0.50	
6/13/2013	<150	13	94	<0.50	<0.50	7.5	<0.50	<0.50	
12/2/2013	<150	11	88	<0.50	<0.50	6.2	<0.50	<0.50	

Symbols & Abbreviations: < = Not detected at or above specified laboratory reporting limit --- = Not analyzed/applicable/measured/available 1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane DIPE = Diisopropyl ether EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether TAME = Tert-amyl methyl ether TBA = Tert-butyl alcohol ug/L = Micrograms per liter

Footnotes:

a = The result was reported with a possible high bias due to the continuing calibration verification falling outside acceptance criteria
 b = The calbration verification for ethanol was within method limits but outside contract limits
 c = LCS rec. above meth. control limits. Analyte ND. Data not impacted
 d = Quantitated against gasoline

Notes:

All fuel oxygenate compounds analyzed using EPA Method 8260B

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

## Summary of Groundwater Gradient - Direction and Magnitude ARCO Service Station #2162, 15135 Hesperian Blvd., San Leandro, CA

Date Measured	Approximate Gradient Direction	Approximate Gradient Magnitude (ft/ft)
3/23/2001	Southwest	0.011
6/20/2001	Southwest	0.013
9/22/2001	Southwest	0.012
12/28/2001	Southwest	0.010
3/14/2002	Southwest	0.011
4/18/2002	Southwest	0.012
7/19/2002	Southwest	0.012
10/9/2002	Southwest	0.013
3/28/2003	Southwest	0.013
4/7/2003	Southwest	0.011
7/9/2003	Southwest	0.010
10/8/2003	Southwest	0.010
1/15/2004	Southwest	0.008
4/5/2004	South-Southwest	0.004
7/12/2004	South and Southwest	0.003 and 0.005
10/19/2004	Southwest	0.004
1/11/2005	Southwest (a) to Southeast (b)	0.005 to 0.004
4/14/2005	Southeast	0.004
8/1/2005	Southwest	0.002
7/31/2006	South-Southwest	0.003
6/12/2009	South	0.003
11/6/2009	South-Southwest	0.003
6/4/2010	South-Southwest	0.004
11/19/2010	South-Southwest	0.003
5/19/2011	South-Southeast	0.003
12/1/2011	South-Southwest	0.001
6/21/2012	South-Southwest	0.003
12/20/2012	South-Southwest	0.003
6/13/2013	South-Southwest	0.003
12/2/2013	Southwest	0.003

Footnotes:

a = Direction at underground storage tanks

b = Direction at dispensers

Notes:

The data within this table collected prior to April 2006 was provided to Broadbent & Associates, Inc. by Atlantic Richfield Company and their previous consultants. Broadbent & Associates, Inc. has not verified the accuracy of this information

APPENDIX A

Site History

### **Previous Environmental Activities at Site**

An underground storage tank (UST) leak was reported at the Site in September 1991. Prior to removing or replacing the USTs, five soil borings (B1A and B1 through B4) and two vapor extraction wells (VW1 and VW2) were advanced (Roux, 1991). A total of 10 soil samples were collected and analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbon as gasoline (TPHg) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) from the five soil borings and two vapor extraction wells. Boring B4 at 7.5 feet (ft) below ground surface (bgs) had the highest concentrations of TPHg (2,400 milligrams per kilograms; mg/kg) and BTEX (17 mg/kg, 62 mg/kg, 41 mg/kg, and 260 mg/kg, respectively). In late 1991 through early 1992, the USTs, waste oil tank, product lines, and dispensers were removed and replaced with four, double-walled fiberglass, 10,000 gallon tanks. During removal and replacement activities, approximately 1,000 cubic yards of petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil and approximately 50,000 gallons of water were removed from the UST excavation (Roux, 1992). A total of five sidewall soil samples were collected from the former UST complex and seven soil samples were collected from beneath the product lines. The sidewall soil sample SW-5 had the highest concentrations of TPHg (1,000 mg/kg) and BTEX (2.3 mg/kg, 9.2 mg/kg, 25 mg/kg, and 220 mg/kg, respectively; Appendix B).

A limited soil vapor performance test was completed on June 6, 1991 to determine if Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) was feasible at the Site. Results of the test using vapor wells VW-1 and VW-2 in the southern portion of the Site showed that SVE was not an effective remediation technique due to an insufficient radius of influence by the SVE test system.

In September 1992, soil borings B5 through B8 were advanced and converted into monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-4, respectively. Thirteen soil samples were collected from borings B5 through B8 and analyzed for TPHg and BTEX. Maximum concentrations of TPHg and BTEX were at 550 parts per million (ppm), 1.4 ppm, 1.3 ppm, 10 ppm, and 48 ppm, respectively. Periodic groundwater monitoring and sampling began in 1992 at the Site (RESNA, 1993).

In January 2003, the product lines and dispensers were removed and upgraded. Approximately 183 tons of soil were excavated and removed from the Site during upgrade activities. Eight soil samples were collected below the dispensers (S-D1 through S-D8) and four soil samples from beneath the pipelines (S-L1 through S-L4) at a depth ranging from 3 to 3.5 ft bgs. Seven of the 12 samples contained concentrations of TPHg, BTEX, and MTBE at maximum concentration of 200 ppm, 0.072 ppm, 2.1 ppm, 1.4 ppm, 1.5 ppm, and 0.55 ppm, respectively (URS, 2003).

In July 2007, Stratus Environmental, Inc. (Stratus) advanced a total of five soil borings to evaluate the extent of petroleum hydrocarbon impacted soil and groundwater at the Site. Soil and groundwater samples were collected from each boring for laboratory analyses. The analytical results for the collected soil samples indicated concentrations of gasoline range organics (GRO) above laboratory reporting limits in five of the 14 soil samples at concentrations ranging from 0.65 mg/kg (CB3 7.5'-8') to 1,100 mg/kg (CB5 11.5'-12'); Diesel-Range Organics (DRO) were detected above laboratory reporting limits in 11 of the 14 soil samples collected at concentrations ranging from 1.6 mg/kg (CB3 15.5'-16') to 1,300 mg/kg (CB2 11.5'-12'); Total Xylenes were detected above laboratory reporting limits in soil sample CB2 11.5'-12' at a concentration of 0.0071 mg/kg; and MTBE was detected above laboratory reporting limits in soil sample CB3 7.5'-8' at a concentration of 0.0063 mg/kg. No additional analytical results were reported above the laboratory reporting limits in soil samples. Four of the five grab-groundwater samples contained maximum concentrations of GRO at 1,900 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L), DRO at

2,000  $\mu$ g/L, benzene at 12  $\mu$ g/L, ethylbenzene at 110  $\mu$ g/L, total xylenes at 140  $\mu$ g/L, MTBE at 70  $\mu$ g/L, and TAME at 3.9  $\mu$ g/L (Broadbent, 2007).

Based on the field investigation observations, analytical results obtained, and to further progress towards case closure, Broadbent recommended that a new monitoring well be constructed along the southern boundary of the Site in the approximate location of boring CB-5. In April 2009, Stratus oversaw RSI Drilling, Inc. advance two Geoprobe/hollow-stem auger soil borings (identified as MW-5 and MW-6) at the Site. Boring MW-5 (completed as well MW-5) was located in close proximity of the previous boring CB-2, slightly north of the former waste oil tank and southwest of the USTs. Boring MW-6 (completed as well MW-6) was located in close proximity of previous boring CB-5, directly south of well VW-1 and west of previous boring CB-5 (Broadbent, 2009).

A sensitive receptor survey was performed by Closure Solutions, Inc. in October 2011 (Closure Solutions, Inc., 2011). Based on the review of information performed, a total of seven domestic and irrigation wells were identified within half a mile radius of the Site. In addition, the nearest surface water body is the Estudillo Canal, a concrete-lined channel. The Estudillo Canal is located approximately 800 feet to the southeast (cross-gradient) of the Site and connects to the San Francisco Bay, located approximately three miles west-southwest of the Site.

## References

- Broadbent & Associates, Inc., 14 September 2007. Soil & Ground-Water Investigation Report, ARCO Station #2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, CA.
- Broadbent & Associates, Inc., 2 June 2009. On-Site Soil & Ground-Water Investigation Report, ARCO Station No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, CA.
- Closure Solutions, Inc., 31 October 2011. Sensitive Receptor Survey, ARCO Station No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, CA.
- Roux Associates, 28 August 1991. Preliminary Tank Replacement Assessment, ARCO Facility No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, CA.
- Roux Associates, 7 July 1992. Underground Storage Tank Replacement and Soil Sampling, ARCO Facility No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, CA.
- RESNA Industries Inc., 10 March 1993. Report Subsurface Environmental Investigation, ARCO Station 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, CA.
- URS Corporation, 28 April 2003. Product Line Removal and Upgrade Soil Sampling Report, ARCO Station No. 2162, 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, CA.

### **APPENDIX B**

Historical site Data



FE SPERIAN BOLLEVARD



RINGCART

	2			Т	able 4 Su Atlan	mmary of Dep tic Richfield C	th-Discre ompany S	te Soil Sa Station No	mpling Da . 2162	nta						
			15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California (ACEH Case No. RO0000190)													
						L	aboratory	Analytica	l Results (	mg/kg)				,		%
							Total			*					-	Total
Boring I.D.	Date	GRO	DRO	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TBA	TAME	Ethanol	EDB	1,2 DCA	Solids
CB1-7.5'-8'	7/17/2007	<0.13	4.5	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	< 0.0063	< 0.0063	<0.0063	<0.025	<0.0063	<0.13	< 0.0063	<0.0063	79
CB1-11.5'-12'	7/17/2007	<0.12	<1.2 <0.0060 <0.0060 <0.0060 <0.0060 <0.0060 <0.0060 <0.0060 <0.0060 <0.024 <0.0060 <0.12 <0.0060 <0.0060													83
CB1-15.5'-16'	7/17/2007	<0.13	13 <1.3 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064 <0.0064													78
CB2 11.5'-12'	7/17/2007	2.9	9 1,300 <0.0058 <0.0058 <0.0058 0.0058 0.0071 <0.0058 <0.0058 <0.0058 <0.0058 <0.023 <0.0058 <0.12 <0.0058 <0.0058 <0.0058													87
CB2 15.5'-16'	7/17/2007	<0.13	2.3	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	< 0.0063	< 0.0063	<0.0063	<0.025	< 0.0063	<0.13	< 0.0063	< 0.0063	79
CB3 7.5'-8'	7/17/2007	0.65	2.2	<0.0061	<0.0061	<0.0061	<0.0061	0.0063	<0.0061	<0.0061	<0.024	<0.0061	<0.12	<0.0061	<0.0061	82
CB3 11.5'-12'	7/17/2007	400	12	<0.061	<0.061	<0.061	<0.061	<0.031	<0.031	<0.031	<6.1	<0.031	<12	< 0.031	<0.031	82
CB3 15.5'-16'	7/17/2007	<0.13	1.6	< 0.0063	< 0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.0063	<0.025	<0.0063	<0.13	<0.0063	<0.0063	79
CB4 7.5'-8'	7/17/2007	<0.12	5.6	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.023	<0.0058	<0.12	<0.0058	<0.0058	87
CB4 11.5'-12'	7/17/2007	3.8	2.0	< 0.0062	<0.0062	<0.0062	<0.0062	< 0.0062	<0.0062	< 0.0062	<0.025	<0.0062	<0.12	<0.0062	<0.0062	81
CB4 15.5'-16'	7/17/2007	<0.13	1.8	<0.0064	<0.0064	<0.0064	<0.0064	<0.0064	<0.0064	<0.0064	<0.026	<0.0064	<0.13	<0.0064	<0.0064	78
CB5 7.5'-8'	7/17/2007	<0.12	26	<0.0059	<0.0059	<0.0059	<0.0059	< 0.0059	<0.0059	<0.0059	<0.023	<0.0059	<0.12	<0.0059	<0.0059	85
CB5 11.5'-12'	7/17/2007	1,100	18	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	<60	<0.30	<120	<0.30	<0.30	83
CB5 15.5'-16'	7/17/2007	<0.13	<1.3	<0.0065	< 0.0065	<0.0065	<0.0065	<0.0065	<0.0065	<0.0065	<0.026	<0.0065	<0.13	<0.0065	<0.0065	77

Bolded values indicate concentrations above laboratory detection limits

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics, C4-C12

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether

TAME = Tertiary amyl methyl ether

1,2 DCA = 1,2 Dichloroethane

DRO = Diesel Range Organics, C10-C36 DIPE = Di-isopropyl ether TBA = Tertiary butyl alcohol EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane



Contraction of the second second

\_\_\_\_\_

### Soli Analytical Data ARCO Service Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

# TABLE 1

# Product Line/Dispenser Analytical Results

	12 12 12 12			•••••		1 1 1		•• •
Sợil Shriple ID	Sample	Date	TPH as gasoline (ppin)	Benzéne (ppm)	Toluene (pnin)	Ethyl- benzene (ppm)	Xvlenes	MODB (ppm)
S-D1-3	<u>., </u>	1/10/03	ND<0.5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.025
S-D2-3	3	1/10/03	ND<0.5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.025
S-D3-3	3	1/10/03	ND<0.5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.025
S-D4-3	3	1/10/03	ND<0.5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.025
S-D5-3	3	1/10/03	0.75	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		0.03	0.093
S-D6-'3	3	1/10/03	ND<0.5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.021
S-D7-3	3	1/10/03	5.7	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	0.1	0.49	ND<0.12
S-D8-3	3	1/10/03	46	ND<0.025	0.13	0.17	0.36	ND<0.25
S-L1-3.5	3.5	1/10/03	ND<0.5	0.072	0.0095	0.029	0.032	0.14
S-L2-3.5	3.5	1/10/03	ND<0.5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.025
S-L3-3.5	3.5	1/10/03	ND<2.5	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	ND<0.05	0.55
S-L4-3.5	3.5	1/10/03	200	ND<0.025	2.1	1.4	1.5	ND<0.25

### TABLE 2 Soil Stockpile Analytical Results

and a second secon									
SP (1-4) Composite		1/10/03	0.79	ND<0.025	ND<0.025	0.032	0.14	ND<0.12	19
TPH BTEX	= Total purge = Benzene, to	cable petroleum oluene, ethylber	hydrocarbons as izene, total xylan	ing EPA Method its using EPA Me	8015B, modified thod 8021B.	L			
MTBE	= Methyl Ter	rtiary Butyl Eth	sr. ·		e				
ppb	= Parts per b	illion, '							
ppm	= Parts per n	allion.							
ND<	= Less than s	stated laboratory	detection limit.						

•



# Subsurface Environmental Investigation ARCO Station 2162, San Leandro, California

March 10, 1993 62019.02

CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California (Page 2 of 3) Sample											
Sample Number	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzenc	Total Xylener						
CS-5	100	014	0.97	All and a second se							
CS-6	140	0.022	0.27	0.65	4.8						
CS-7	110	ATT	0.085	0.47	3.7						
CS-8	270	0.12	0.062	0.074	1.9						
CS-9	54	ATT	0.1	0.22	13						
CS-10	480	D 44	ND	ND	0.24						
		0.44	0.36	3.8	26						
January 1992 Soil Stockpile	-										
CS.12	51	0.11	ND	0.18	0.05						
CS-13	6.2	0.016	0.013	0.016	0.95						
	23	0.028	0.066	0.11	0.10						
February 1992 Product Lines	-10			20-554-821-	~ nd2						
1_2 at 35	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050						
13 21 3	4.4	0.082	0.013	0.21	< 0.0000						
I A at 2	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050	V.30						
1.5 at 2	<1.0	0.0063	0.0076	<0.0050	< 0.0050						
1.6 00 38	110	0.65	0.17	12	0.029						
Loo at 2.5	16	1.0	0.20	0.94	0.14						
L#1 81 4	12	0.28	0.018	0.35	4.0						
September 1992 Borings					V: /Q						
Sto DE	<1.0	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	<0.0050	-0.0050						
0-10-DA	100	< 0.0050	<0.0050	0.46	~0.0000						
S-S-B6	<10	-0.05			0.00						
S-10-B6	540	<0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050						
S-17-B6	-10	0.79	1.3	10	49						
	× π.Ψ	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050						
S-5-B7	<1.0	<0.0050									
S-10-B7	<1.0	<0.0000	<0.0050	<0.0050	< 0.0050						
S-16.5-B7	<1.0	~0.0000	<0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050						
		~0.0000	<0.0050	< 0.0050	< 0.0050						



# Subsurface Environmental Investigation ARCO Station 2162, San Leandro, California

March 10, 1993 62019.02

	TABLE 1 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California (Page 3 of 3) Sample												
10 <sup></sup>	Sample Number	TPHg			Benzene		Tolucne	General and the second s	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes			
w-4	S-5-B8 S-9.5-B8 S-11-B8 S-11.5-B8 S-18.5-B8	<1.0 2.0 51 91 <1.0		<0.0050 <0.0050 0.18 1.4 <0.0050		<0.0050 <0.0050 <0.0050 0.11 <0.0050			<0.0050 <0.0050 0.056 0.22 <0.0050	<0.0050 <0.0050 0.11 0.86 <0.0050			
	Sample Number	TPHg	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Totai Xylenes	pH	I	R	Pb			
2 2 2 2	September 1992 Soil Stockpile SP-0809 A-D	11	< 0.0050	< 0.0050	0.52	0.12	8.4	>100	None	0.11			
All res TPHg I = Ign R = R Pb = k <:Belo Samp	ults in parts per a = Total petroleur htability in "C eactivity to sulfide ad w the reporting in le designations;	nillion (pp n hydroca: c, cyanide, mits of the	m). rbons as gas or water : analytical i	nethod.		ł							
		S-B1-5	A-D	San Bos Soil San Dat Soil	aple depth ing number sample aple e pile sample			S-12-B5	-	Boring number Sample depth in feet Soil sample			



Working To Restore Nature

# Subsurface Environmental Investigation ARCO Station 2162, San Leandro, California

March 10, 1993 62019.02

# TABLE 1 CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES OF SOIL SAMPLES ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

(Page 1 of 3)

Sample Number		TPHg	Benzen	e	Toluene		Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes
June 1991			in an					
Borings							645 Y 10 10 10 10 10	
S-B1-5		<1.0	< 0.00	50	< 0.0050		< 0.0050	0.016
S-B1A-7.5		43	0.14		0.93		1.1	7.6
S-B2-5		1.3	< 0.00	50	<0.0050		< 0.0050	< 0.018
S-B2-9		<1.0	< 0.00	50	< 0.0050		< 0.0050	< 0.0050
S-B3-4		26	0.02	4	0.029		0.16	1.1
S-B3-7.5	1,4	100	2.5		4.4		29	190
S-B4-4.5		<1.0	0.02	5	0.013		0.0085	0.042
S-B4-7.5	2,4	100	17		62		41	260
S-VW1-6		2.8	0.03	3	0.0073		0.079	0.055
S-VW1-9	1	100	0.48		1.4		2.7	4.1
December 1991 Tank Pit Sidewal	1							
SW-1 at 9		500	<0.00	50	0.40		35	8.4
SW-2 at 10		140	0.10		0.38		3.0	7.2
SW-3 at 10		150	0.26		0.11		2.1	2.0
SW-4 at 10		610	0.47		7.1		11	82
SW-5 at 10	1,	000	23		9.2		25	220
Waste-oil Sidewa	£1							
WO-1 at 10		310	0.78		8.0		2.9	13
Sample		They be a subject of						
Number	TPHd	TOG	VOCE	Cđ	Cr	Pb	Ni	Za
WO-1 at 10	360	270	ND	ND	49	5.2	59	58
Sample							Ethvi-	Total
Number		TPHg	Benzer	HC .	Tolucse		benzene	Xylenes
-> December 1991			And the second	1. 1990 (Part				
Soil Stocknile								
CS-1	1	300	0.99		3.7		5.0	110
CS-2	1	000	5.6		39		14	130
CS-3	-1	200	0.36		0.91		1.5	20
CS-4		86	0.07	7	0.11		0.36	2.8
And the second s		~	0.07	1.5	4166			

See notes on page 3 of 3



			15135 F	Table 5 S Iesperian I	ummary Atlantic Boulevard	of Depth-Discr Richfield Con , San Leandro	ete Groun 1pany Sta , Californ	nd-Water tion No. 2 ia (ACEI	Samplin 2162 I Case N	g Data 0. RO000	0190)				
	Laboratory Analytical Results (µg/l)														
Boring I.D.	Date	GRO	DRO	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE	DIPE	ETBE	TBA	TAME	Ethanol	EDB	1,2 DCA
CB1-W	7/17/2007	<50	<47	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<20	<0.50	<300	<0.50	<0.50
CB2-W	7/17/2007	1,900	2,000	12	<2.5	110	140	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<100	<2.5	<1,500	<2.5	<2.5
CB3-W	7/17/2007	490	440	<0.50	<0.50	0.92	<0.50	0.82	<0.50	<0.50	<20	<0.50	<300	<0.50	<0.50
CB4-W	7/17/2007	<50	220	1.0	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	20	<0.50	<0.50	<20	<0.50	<300	<0.50	<0.50
CB5-W	7/17/2007	490	360	2.1	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	70	<0.50	<0.50	<20	3.9	<300	<0.50	<0.50

Bolded values indicate concentrations above laboratory detection limits

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics, C4-C12

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether

ETBE = Ethyl tert-butyl ether

TAME = Tertiary amyl methyl ether

1,2 DCA = 1,2 Dichloroethane

DRO = Diesel Range Organics, C10-C36

DIPE = Di-isopropyl ether

TBA = Tertiary butyl alcohol

EDB = 1,2-Dibromoethane

### Table 1 Groundwater Elevation Data

### ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard at Ruth Court San Leandro, California

			Well	Depth to	Groundwater	
	Well	Date	Elevation	Water	Elevation	
1	Number	Gauged	(feet, MSL)	(feet, TOC)	(feet, MSL)	
1	MW-1	09/30/92	31.19	10.68	20.51	
		10/16/92		10.83	20.36	
		01/14/93		7.25	23.94	
		02/24/93		7.23	23.96	
		03/30/93		7.58	23.61	
		04/14/93		7.96	23.23	
		05/19/93		R 26	22.03	
		06/17/93		8 42	22.30	
1		07/28/03		0.42	22.11	
		08/11/03		0,00	22.51	
1		00/28/03		9,07	22.12	
1		10/15/02		9.00	21.59	
	1.2	14/46/02		9.51	21.68	
1	1	11/10/93		vveil	inaccessible	
		12/10/93		8.70	22,49	
1		02/15/94		8.51	22.68	
		03/18/94		8.46	22.73	
		05/05/94		8,66	22.53	
ł		08/05/94		9,50	21.69	
1		11/21/94		8.83	22.36	
		02/24/95		7.90	23.29	
		05/31/95		7.86	23.33	
		08/23/95		8.74	22.45	
1				e.		
	MW-2	09/30/92	30.38	9.74	20.64	
ľ	••	10/16/92		9.91	20.47	
ł		01/14/93		6.56	23 82	
ł		02/24/93		6 67	23.02	
	20	03/30/93	*(	6.76	23.71	
·		04/14/93		7 10	23.02	
L		05/10/03		7.10	20.20	
ł		05/17/02		7.40	22.98	
L		07/09/03		7.51	22.87	
L		07/20/93		7.73	22.65	
		08/11/93		8.11	22.27	
		09/28/93		8.57	· 21.81	
1		10/15/93		8.56	21.82	
		11/16/93		8.87	21.51	
1	3	12/16/93		7.92	22.46	
		02/15/94		7.62	22.76	
1		03/18/94		7.57	22.81	
L		05/05/94		7,75	22.63	
L		08/05/94		8.53	21.85	
		11/21/94		7,92	22.46	
		02/24/95		6.98	23.40	
		05/31/95		6.97	23.41	
L		08/23/95		7.83	22.55	
L				0.1.571.754		
	MW-3	09/30/92	30.30	9.93	20.37	
		10/16/92		10.13	20.17	
ļ		01/14/93	•	671	23 50	
l		02/24/93		6.87	20.00	
		03/30/03		7.02	20.00	
		04/14/02		7.07	23.23	
		0=11-1/80		7.41	22.89	
ŀ		00113/30		1,12	22.58	
		00/17/93		7.86	22.44	
		07/25/93		8.13	22.17	
		08/11/93		8.45	21.85	
		09/28/93		8.96	21.34	

3301072B/3Q95TBLS.XLS!TABLE1

October 25, 1995

### Table 1 (continued) Groundwater Elevation Data

### ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard at Ruth Court San Leandro, California

		Well	Depth to	Groundwater
Well	Date	Elevation	Water	Elevation
Number	Gauged	(feet, MSL)	(feet, TOC)	(feet, MSL)
MW-3	10/15/93		6,85	21.45
(cont.)	11/16/93		9.09	21.21
	12/16/93		8.10	22.20
	02/15/94		7.88	22.42
	03/18/94		7.88	22.42
	05/05/94		8.08	22.22
	08/05/94		8.82	21.48
	11/21/94		8.17	22.13
	02/24/95		7.40	22.90
	05/31/95		7.35	22,95
α.	08/23/95		8.15	22.15
MW-4	09/30/92	30.39	11.15	19,24
	10/16/92		11.33	19.06
	01/14/93		7.49	22.90
	02/24/93		7.57	22.82
	03/30/93		8.06	22.33
	04/14/93		8,48	21.91
	05/19/93		7.80	22.59
	06/17/93		8,94	21.45
	07/25/93		· 9.28	21.11
	05/11/93		9.61	20.78
	09/25/93		10.14	20.25
	10/15/93	t).	10.00	20.39
	11/16/93		10.22	20,17
	12/16/93		9.11	21.28
	02/15/94		8.97	21,42
	03/15/94		8.99	21.40
	05/05/94		9.21	21.18
	08/05/94		10.02	20.37
	11/21/94		9,30	21.09
	02/24/95		8.46	21.93
	05/31/95		8.41	21.98
a#	08/23/95		9.32	21.07
MSL = Me	an sea level			
TOC = To	p of casing			

### Page 2 of 2

3301072B/3Q95TBLS.XLSITABLE1

1

......

Page 1 of 2

### Table 2

# Groundwater Analytical Data Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH as Gasoline and BTEX Compounds)

# ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard at Ruth Court San Leandro, California

		TPH as		100000	Ethyi-	
Well	Date	Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	<ul> <li>Xylenes</li> </ul>
Number	Sampled	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)
MW-1	09/30/92	1,100	6.2	<0.50	6.9	<0.50
	10/16/92	790	3.0	0.8	5.6	2.9
	01/14/93	660	1.2	<1 a	15	4.6
	04/14/93	310	<1 :	a <1a	·<1 a	
	08/11/93	660	0.8	<0.7	9.0	<1 b
	10/15/93	620	0.7	<0.5	5.9	2.2
	02/15/94	650	1.9	<0.5	4.5	4.9 b
	05/05/94	510	<0.5	<0,5	<1	1,6
-	08/05/94	310	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	1.2
	11/21/94	330	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	1.1
	02/24/95	120	< 0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	05/31/95	<50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
1.00	08/23/95	160	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
6 (4			2000 B (B)			
MW-2	09/30/92	1,000	9.6	<0.50	45	110
	10/16/92	630	8	<1 a	37	64
	01/14/93	7.800	33	5	340	920
	04/14/93	1,600	7	<5 a	220	520
	08/11/93	1,600	43	cî a	80	120
	10/15/93	1,100	17	<1 a	62	70
	02/15/94	490	18	15	49	37
	05/05/94	360	<0.5	<0.5	27	18
	08/05/94	680	<0.5	<0.5	12	37
	11/21/94	500	<0.5	-0.5	. 42	57
	02/24/05	650	<0.0	-0.50	40	20
	05/31/05	450	<0.50	<0.50	32	40
	03/31/55	400	<0.50	<0.50	33	33
	00/20/90	100	<0.50	<0.50	12	9.5
1004.3	00/20/00	-50	-0.50		-0 50	
14144-0	4046100	<00	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	04/44/02	<00	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	01/14/93	52	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	04/14/93	360	86	2.1	5.1	4.0
	08/11/93	69	1.1	<0.5	<0.5	< <0.5
8	10/15/93	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	02/15/94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	05/05/94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	08/05/94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	11/21/94	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	02/24/95	<50	0.93	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	05/31/95	120	24	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	08/23/95	85	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
			8		725	
MVV-4	09/30/92	330	81	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	10/16/92	250	44	<0.50	<0.50	0.7
	01/14/93	260	29	0.6	<0.50	1.1
	04/14/93	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	08/11/93	150	21	<0,5	<0.5	<0,5
2	10/15/93	· 190	12	<0,5	<0.5	<0,5
	02/15/94	<50	2.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0,5
	05/05/94	1.60	17	<0.5	<0.5	0.6
	08/05/94	120	10	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	11/21/94	120	. 17	<0.5	<0.5	0.6

3301072B/3Q95TBLS.XLSITABLE2

0.5

-

October 25, 1995

# Table 2 (continued) Groundwater Analytical Data Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH as Gasoline and BTEX Compounds)

# ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard at Ruth Court San Leandro, California

oan	Lear	10/0,	Callion	11100

		TPH as			Ethyl-	
Well Number	Date Sampled	Gasoline (ppb)	Benzene (ppb)	Toluene (ppb)	benzene (ppb)	Xylenes (ppb)
MW-4	02/24/95	110	14	<0.50	< 0.50	<0,50
(cont.)	05/31/95	97	11	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
	08/23/95	110	. <b>36</b> N	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50
ppb	= Parts pe	r million				
NS	= Not sam	pled, separati	e-phase hydroc	arbon entered	well during pur	ging.
a.	Raised MF	L due to high	analyte concei	ntration requiri	ng sample diluti	on
b.	Raised MF	RL due to matr	ix interference		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

# Page 2 of 2

3301072B/3Q95TBLS.XLSITABLE2

### Table 3 Groundwater Analytical Data Total Methyl t-Butyl Ether

ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard at Ruth Court San Leandro, California

Weil Number	Date Sampled	Methyi t-Butyi Ether (ppb)
MW-1	8/23/95	<2.5
MW-2	8/23/95	<2.5
MW-3	8/23/95	41
MW-4	8/23/95	<2.5
ppb = Parts	er billion	

3301072B/3Q95TBLS.XLSITABLE 3

October 25, 1995

# Table 1Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data

# Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

(TPPH as Gasoline, BTEX Compounds, and MTBE)

# ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California

1	Date	Well	Depth to	Groundwater	TPPH as	9 (A. 19)		Ethyl-		MTBE.	MTBE	Dissolved	Purged/
Well ·	Gauged/	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes	8021B*	8260	Oxygen	Not Purged
Number	Sampled	(feet, MSL)	(feet, TOC)	(feet, MSL)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb) .	(ppb)	(ppm)	(P/NP)
1.0777.1	00/00/00	21 10	714	24.05	<50	<0.5	<0.5	-0.5	~0.5	٦Ţ٨	NTA	NTA	
MW-1	02/26/96	31.19	7.14	24.03	<50	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	- 3TA	DIA DIA	ATA	
MW-1	05/23/96	31.19	1.10	23.49	<00	<0.5 -0.5	<u.5< td=""><td><b>N.</b></td><td>-0.5</td><td>INA.</td><td>APL</td><td>NA.</td><td></td></u.5<>	<b>N.</b>	-0.5	INA.	APL	NA.	
MW-1	08/21/96	31.19	8.75	22.44	. 210	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5 · <0.5	<0.5	< <u>2.</u> )	INA	APL NTA	1
MW-1	11/20/96	31.19	8.62	22.57	91	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.0	NA	NA	
MW-1	04/01/97	31.19	8.70	22.49	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5	NA	NA.	· NP
MW-1	06/10/97	31.19	8.45	22.74	94	<0.5	<0.5	0.68	0.56	6.4	NA	NA.	NP
MW-1	09/17/97	31.19	9.20	21.99	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	10	NA	1.0	NP
MW-1	12/12/97	31.19	8.00	23.19	<200	~2	~2	<2	<2	180	NA.	2.0	NP
MW-1	03/25/98	31.19	7.00	24.19	<200	<2	~2	3	<2	180	NA	2.0	
MW-1	05/14/98	31.19	7.46	23.73	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	NA	1.17	P
MW-1	07/31/98	31.19	8.10	23.09	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	· <3	NA	2.0	NP
MW-1	10/12/98	31.19	8.60	22.59	<50	<0.5	<0,5	<0.5	· <0,5	9	NA	2.5	NP
MW-1	02/11/99	31.19	7.32	23.87	<50	<0.5	<0,5	<0.5	<0.5	25	NA	1.0	P
MW-1	06/23/99	31.19	8.40	22.79	55	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	NA	1.36	NP
MW-1	08/23/99	31.19	8.85	22.34	<50	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	5	NA	1.42	NP
MW-1	10/27/99	31.19	8.50	22.69	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	90	NA	0.83	NP
MW-1	02/09/00	31.19	8.11	23.08	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	9	NA	0.77	NP
MW-2	02/26/96	30.38	6.41	23.97	770	<0.5	<0.5	45	28	NA	NA	NA	
MW-2	05/23/96	30.38	6.80	23.58	590	0.50	<0.5	35	18	NA	NA	NA	
MW-2	08/21/96	30.38	7.80	22.58	170	<0.5	<0.5	21	6.3	<2.5	NA	NA	
MW-2	11/20/96	30.38	7.73	22.65	88	<0.5	<0.5	7.9	1,1	<2.5	NA	NA	
MW-2	04/01/97	30,38	7.83	22.55	66	<0.5	<0,5	3.6	0.56	33	NA	NA	
MW-2	06/10/97	30.38	7.52	22.86	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5	NA	NA	NP
MW-2	09/17/97	30.38	8.24	22.14	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3.0	NA	0.6 '	NP
MW.2	12/12/97	30 38	7.10	23.28	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3.0	NA	1.2	NP
MW.2	03/25/98	30 38	6.27	24.11	<50	· <0.5	<0.5	0.7	0.5	55	NA	1.0	
MW-2	05/14/98	30.38	6 54	23.84	210	<0.5	<0.5	3.3	<0.5	42	NA	1.47	Р
MW-2	07/31/98	30.38	7.14	23.24	230	<0.5	<0.5	3.9	<0.5	6	NA	1.0	P

OAK\S:\ARCO\2162\QTRLY\2162q100.xds\uh:1

# Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPPH as Gasoline, BTEX Compounds, and MTBE)

# ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California

	in a second	Date	Well	Depth to	Groundwater	TPPH as			Ethyl-	•	MTBE	MTBE	Dissolved	Purged/
	Well	Gauged/	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes	8021B*	8260	Oxygen	Not Purged
	Number	Sampled	(feet, MSL)	(feet, TOC)	(feet, MSL)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppm)	(P/NP)
	MIL2	10/12/08	30 38	7.65	22 73	110	<0.5	<0.5	15	<0.5	3	NA	10	р
I	TATE 0	10/12/90	20.28	6.55	22.92	660	<0.5	<0.5	67	07	3	NA	10	Ð
	IVI W -Z	02/102/00	30.36	. 7 40	22.05	270	-0.5	0.5	3.2	0.7	~	11CL 11CL	1.0 hth/	Ъ
	IVI W-2	00/23/99	20.30	7.40	22.30	200	<0.5	0.0	1 9	-0.5	2	NTA	1 17	r D
	IVIW-2	10/07/00	30.38	7.07	22.47	200	10	25	1.0	2	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ፈዛረኋ ኬፒል	-1.17	L MD
1	MW-2	10/2//99	30.38	0.30	22.00	4,100	1.0	1.5	-0.5	-1	2	TANT	0.75	IVP.
	'MW-2	, 02/09/00 -	30.38	8.02	22.30	<00	<0.5	<0.5	<u.5< td=""><td>~1</td><td>ç.</td><td>NA</td><td>0.09</td><td>Nr.</td></u.5<>	~1	ç.	NA	0.09	Nr.
	MW-3	02/26/96	30 30	672	23 58	120	5.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	NA	
ł	MW-3	05/23/96	30.30	7.18	23.12	140	12	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA	NA	NA	
1	MULA	08/21/96	30 30	8.17	22.13	<50	1.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	. 130	NA	NA	
	MW-3	11/20/96	30.30	8.03	22.27	55	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0,5	59	NA	NA	
H	MW-3	04/01/97	30.30	8.09	22.21	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	180	NA	NA	NP
	MW-3	06/10/97	30.30	7.97	22.33	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1,900	NA	NA	NP
l	MW-3	09/17/97	30.30	8.54	21.76	<5,000	<50	<50	<50	<50	1,100	- 860	2.2	NP
H	MW-3	12/12/97	30.30	7,50	22.80	560	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	5.0	370	NA	1.4	NP
1	MW-3	03/25/98	30.30	6.60	23.70	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	470	NA	1.0	
	MW-3	05/14/98	30.30	7.13	23.17	750	<5	<5	<5	<5	630	NA	1.97	P
	MW-3	07/31/98 .	30.30	7.58	22.72	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	590	NA	· 1.0	P
	MW-3	10/12/98	30.30	8.00	22.30	<500	<5	<5	<5	<5	600	NA	2.0	Р
	MW-3	02/11/99	30.30	6.90	23.40	· <500	· <5	<5	<5	. <5	280	NA	1.0	Р
	MW-3	06/23/99	30.30	7.82	22.48	220	<0.5	3.2	<0.5	< 0.5	740	NA	1.98	P
	MW-3	08/23/99	30,30	8.28	22.02	<50	<0.5	1.1	<0.5	<0.5	230	NA	1.20	P
	MW-3	10/27/99	30.30	9.27	21.03	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<3	NA	0.81	NP
	MW-3	02/09/00	30.30	7.45	22.85	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	80	NA	0.81	P
autor a				100 C 100 C 100 C 10										
	MW-4	02/26/96	30.39	. 7.59	22.80	110	9.9	< 0.5	<0.5	`<0.5	NA.	. NA	NA	
	MW-4	05/23/96	30.39	8.22	22.17	69	8.0	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NA.	NA	NA	
	MW-4	08/21/96	30.39	9.28	21.11	<50	6.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.5	NA	NA	1
H	MW-4	11/20/96	30.39	9.12	21.27	95	10	0.59	<0.5	0.52	3.8	NA	· NA	

OAK\S:\ARCO\2162\QTRLY\2162q100.xls\uh:1

# Table 1 Groundwater Elevation and Analytical Data Total Purgeable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPPH as Gasoline, BTEX Compounds, and MTBE)

# ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California

Protection of the local data	Date	Well	Death to	Groundwater	TPPH as			Ethyl-		MTBE	MTBE	Dissolved	Purged/
377-11	Gaugad	Elevation	Water	Elevation	Gasoline	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes	8021B*	8260	Oxygen	Not Purged
Mumhan	Campled	(faat MSI)	(feet TOC)	(feet, MSL)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(opb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppm)	(P/NP)
Inatioci	Dampion	(ACCS MECH)	(	- Ninit I wanted	227	E 17	<0.5	<0 S	-05	05	NA	NA	
MW-4	04/01/97	30.39	8.45	21.94	13	3.1	10.5	-0.5	-0.5	2.5	NA	NA	NP
MW-4	06/10/97	30.39	9.00	21.39	<50	<0.5	<0.5	-0.5	<0.5	00	NA	0.2	NP
MW-4	09/17/97	30.39	9.76	20.63	<50	5.2	<0.5	SU.J	-0.5	0.0	NA	10	NP
MW-4	12/12/97	30.39	8.45	21.94	<50	2.9	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	14	NTA	1.0	4.44
MW-4	03/25/98	30.39	7.52	22.87	58	2.8	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	5	MA	3.04	NIP
MW-4	05/14/98	30.39	8.03	22.36	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	IN/AL	3.24	NID
MW-4	07/31/98	30.39	8.67	21.72	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	NA	2.0	ND
MW-4	10/12/98	30.39	9.15	21.24	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4	NA	1.5	INF
MW.4	02/11/99	30.39	7.80	22.59	61	2.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	6	NA	1.0	r
MANULA	06/23/99	30.39	9.00	21.39	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<3	NA	1.42	NP
MW.A	00/23/00	30 39	9.31	21.08	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	6	NA	1.53	NP
NAVI A	10/27/00	30 39	9.80	20.59	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	6	NA	0.98	NP
MULA	07/09/00	30.39	8.63	21.76	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	7	NA	0.74	NP
141 11 -1	Des up too						and the second second second	Name of Cold Street of Cold Street					
TPPH BTEX MTBE	= Total purge = Benzenc, io = Methyl tert	able petroleum hy inene, ethylbenzu -Butyl Ether	ydrocarbons by n me, total xylenes	nodified EPA method 80	od 8015 1218. (EPA ma	shod 8020 pri	ior to 10/27/9	9).					
	= EPA metho	ad 8020 prior to 1	0121175										
MSL	= Mean sea k	evel											
TOC	= Top of cash	ng						141					(s)
ppo	- Paris per u	illion				8							
Ppm	- Fana per m	ad				1							
NM	# Not measu	hed	(e)	-									
C. C.	a Danotes co	nernitation not p	resent above lab	oratory detection lin	nited stated to	the right				:			

OAKIC:\ARCO\2162\QTRLY\2162q100.xis\u0.1 Recreated from electronic data provided by IT Corporation.

# Table 2 Groundwater Flow Direction and Gradient

# ARCO Service Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California

Date	Average	Average		
Measured	Flow Direction	Hydraulic Gradient		
02/26/96	Southwest	0.009		
05/23/96	South-Southwest	0.010		
08/21/96	South-Southwest	0.01		
11/20/96	South-Southwest	0.011		
04/01/97	South-Southwest	0.004		
06/10/97	South-Southwest	0.010		
09/17/97	South-Southwest	0.01		
12/12/97	Southwest	0.01		
03/25/98	South-Southwest	0.008		
05/14/98	Southwest	0.01		
07/31/98	Southwest	0.01		
10/12/98	Southwest	0.01		
02/11/99	Southwest	0.008		
06/23/99	Southwest	0.02		
08/23/99	Southwest	0.013		
10/27/99	South-Southwest	0.02		
02/09/00	Southwest	0.01		

OAK\C:\ARCO\2162\QTRLY\2162q100.xls\uh:1 Recreated from electronic data provided by IT Corporation.

APPENDIX C

Soil Boring and Well Construction Logs



15135 Hesperian Blvd, San Leandro, Ca	Log of	Soil Boring	g No.		B1A					
ogged By: Jon Florez Checked By: L.E.	Date Started: 6/5	Date Started: 6/5/91				Date Completed: 6/5/91				
rilling Co: Gregg Drilling	Drill Bit Diameter	Drill Bit Diameter: 6 inches				Total Depth: 9.0 ft				
ciller: S. Stone	Backfill Material:	Bentonite	Grout	t	fic	om Oft	to	9.0 ft		
citling Method: Hollow Stem Auger	Sampler: CA I	Modified Spl	lit-spo	ion)	•			•		
rilling Equipment: Mobile B-53	Depth to Water at	Time of Drill	ing:					•		
2 LITHOLOGIC DESCRIP	TION	Lithology oldung		Blow Counts	(mdd) MAO	REMARKS				
Asphalt & baserock Pea gravel										
CLAY, Silty, black-brown.		OL		•				÷		
CLAT, Silly, brown.		CL								
CLAY, Silty, green-grey, little medium(-) sand, slig		OL						I		
SILT, clayey, dark brown, light brown mottling, mo hydorcarbon odor.	oderate to strong		X	6-9-12		OVM M	lfunction	IJ		
10				-						
-	ĩ									
-										
15										

;

(
Project	15135 Hesperian Blvd, San Leandro, CA	Log of a	Soil Boring	; No.		<b>B2</b>			
ogged	By: Jon Florez Checked By: L.E.	Date Started: 6/5/	91		Dat	e Comple	eted: 6/5	/91	
Deilling	Co: Gregg Drilling	Drill Bit Diameter:	6 inch	LS	Tot	al Depth:	. 9.5 1	ť	
Driller:	S. Stone .	Backfill Material:	Bentonite (	Grout		fron	ı Oft	to	9.5 ft
rilling	Method: Hollow Stem Auger	Sampler: CA M	fodified Spl	it-spoo	n				
rilling	Equipment: Mobile B-53	Depth to Water at	lims of Drilli	ng: 9.(	ft				
(loci)	· LITHOLOGIC DESCRIPTIO	DN	Lithology	Sample	Counts	(mdd) WAO	RĖ	MARI	KS
5	Asphalt & baserock Pea gravel CLAY, Silty, black. <u>SILT</u> , Sandy, brown-green with orange mottling, damp, mild hydrocarbon odor. <u>SAND</u> , medium to fine(+), green, and fine(-) gravel, m hydrocarbon odor.	few rootlets, olst, mild			-7-10		-		
15									
		and the second secon			uniter and the second	L			

1

(.

l

PAIN

### ROUX

1: 6/5/91       Date Completed: 6/5/91         uneter:       6 inches         Total Depth:       10.5 ft         terial:       Bentonite Grout       from 0 ft       to         CA Modified Split-spoon         ter at Time of Dritting:       10.0 ft         Lithology       E       E       S         OL       OL       4-7-12       10.5
Inneter:       6 inches       Total Depth:       10.5 ft         terial:       Bentonite Grout       from       0 ft       to       10.5 ft         CA Modified Split-spoon       Iter at Time of Dritting:       10.0 ft       Iter at Time of Dritting:       10.0 ft         Lithology       G       S       S       E       REMARKS         Image: OL       OL       4-7-12       10.5       Image: OL       Image: OL
herial:     Bentonite Grout     from 0 ft     to     10.5 ft ft       CA Modified Split-spoon       Ater at Time of Dritting:     10.0 ft       Lithology     g     g     g       G     g     g     g       OL     0L     4-7-12     10.5
CA Modified Split-spoon         Ater at Time of Drittlng: 10.0 ft         Lithology       End S       REMARKS         Image: split
Lithology OL OL OL Atr-12 10,0 ft REMARKS REMARKS
Lithology e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
0ī 4-7-12 10.5
CL 3-6-8 207.5
4-6-10 No Recovery For OVM

Loone	d P	v: Jon Florez Checked Br. T. F.	Data Standar 6/5/01					Completed, LIEIA1			
Drillin		Grage Drilling	Date Stattes. 0/5/	6 inol			al David	151501; 1/3	1.61		
Delliter	is c	C Stone	Drin Dit Diameter:	Dantasita	C	110	wi nebu	13.1 13.1		d 10 A a.	
Drinist		S. Stone	Backtini Material:	bentonice	Grou		Iro		to	15.V ft	
	g n	Moliow Stein Auger	Sampler: CA N	Toomed St	out-sp		1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 -		and the second		
T	gE	dnihmeut: MODINE B-22	Depth to Water at	Time of Dril	ling: }	1.5 IL	<u>1</u> r			and the state of the	
Hand (jean)		LITHOLOGIC DESCRIPTIO	DN	Lithology	Sumple	Blow	(mdd) WAO	RE	MAR	KS	
		Asphalt & baserock SAND, medium, yellow.		副							
Ļ		SILA, Claycy, black.		副							
		,, , , , , , , , , , , , ,		間				0.0			
		PRT black tases fine amust		副							
		SILA, DIRCK, HACE THE ERAVER.		詚							
										•	
ſ											
								2			
ľ	-	SILT, green with brown mottling, trace fine sand, trace	rootlets, slight	OL -							
		nyorocarbon odor.			H	4-6-8	10.5				
5-	-				M					:	
H					H						
ŀ	•	SILT. green-grey, moist, strong hydrocarbon odor, trace	e dark brown to								
		black separate phase petroleum hydrocarbon.			H	4-8-8	992				
ŀ	.				M						
		2			7.	a.					
k		1/2-inch thick lens of medium to fine, green-grey gravel			$\square$						
Ľ		SAND, fine, green-groy, wet.			$\Lambda$	4-2-0		÷			
10-		GRAVEL, medium to tine, greed-grey, and line sand, w separate phase petroleum hydrocarbon.	ct, trace brown		IXI						
		GRAVEL, medium, green-grey, wet, trace brown separa petroleum hydrocarbon.	ate phase	e°O	$\langle \rangle$						
N.		SAND, fine, wet, separate phase petroleum hydrocarbon	n noted.	o°Q GP	$\Lambda \Lambda$	7-17-5					
		noted.	vutocatoon	201							
		<u>SAND</u> , medium, brown, and fine gravel, wet, separate p hydrocarbon noted.	ohase petroleum	SP	VV						
F		GRAVEL, medium to fine, green-grey, and fine sand, w	et, slight	S®O GM	17	2-3-5					
ſ	-	SILT, brown-orange with dark brown mottling, moist, n	o odor noted.	ML	IVI						
ŀ	•				/						
		SILT, brown, trace medium flecks of black organic matt	er, damp.	=	H	3-4-6					
-	•		2	E	M						
					M						
15					$\mu$						
				n (1	1 1		1				





Depth of boring: 18-1/2 feet Diameter of boring: 12 ind	ches Date drilled:09/08/92
Well depth: 16 feet Material type: Sch 40 PVC	Casing diameter: 4 inches
Screen interval: <u>8 to 16 feet</u> Filter pack:	#3 Sand Slot size: 0.020-inch
Drilling Company: <u>Exploration GeoServices</u> Driller:	John and Dennis
Method Used: Hollow-Stem Auger	Field Geologist: Lou Leet
Signature of Registered Professional:	۰

· • • • ...

Registration No.:CEG 1463 State: CA

Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 - - 2 - - 4 - - 6 - - 8 - - 10 - - 12 -	S-4.5 S-8.5 S-10	7108 345 246344	12 126 3	GW ML ML	Asphalt-covered surface, Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel, fine to coarse gravel, fine- to coarse- grained sand, brown, damp, medium dense; shell fragments: baserock. Clayey silt with sand, fine- to medium-grained sand, black, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff. Sandy silt with clay, fine- to medium-grained sand, gray-brown, very moist, low to medium plasticity, stiff; product odor. Water at 10-1/2 feet. Lost sample.	
- 14 -		ิขอยกรร	0	SM	Silty sand with gravel, fine— to medium—grained sand, fine to coarse gravel, brown, moist, medium dense.	
- 18 -	×	4 6 11		CL	Silty clay, dark brown; damp, medium plasticity, very stift	
- 20 -					Total depth = 18-1/2 feet.	

BECHA	LOG OF BORING B-5/MW-1	PLATE
Working to Restore Nature	ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard	4
PROJECT 62019.02	San Leandro, California	

Depth of boring: 18-1	/2 feet [	Diameter	of bo	ring: <u>12</u>	inches	_ Date	drille	d:09	/08/92
Well depth: <u>16 fee</u>	: N	laterial	type:	Sch 40	PVC	Casing	diame	ter:	4 inches
Screen interval:	8 to 16 t	feet	Filte	r pack:_	#3	Sand	_Slot	size: (	).020-inch
Drilling Company:	Exploration	n GeoSer	vices	Driller:		John and	Dennis		
Method Used:	Hollow-Ste	m Auger			Fiel	d Geolog	ist:	Lou	Leet
Signatur	e of Regi	istered	Profess	ional:					
	Registratio	on No.	CEG 146	3 Sta	te: CA				

Depth	Sample No.	Blows	P. .D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 - - 2 - - 4 - - 8 - - 10 - - 12 -	S-5 S-9 S-10	7 10 2 57 10 3 57 4 6		GW ML SM ▼ -	Asphalt—covered surface. Asphalt (4 inches). Sandy gravel, medium to coarse gravel, medium— to coarse—grained sand, brown, damp, medium dense; glass fragments: baserock. Clayey silt, brown, damp, medium plasticity, stiff. Silty sand, fine—grained, brown, moist to wet, medium dense; obvious odor. Color change to gray.	
- 14 - - 16 - - 18 - - 20 -	S-17	323367578568	0	SM SP-SM ML CL/CH	Silty sand with clay, fine-grained, moist, loose. Sand with silt, fine- to coarse-grained, brown, wet, medium dense. Clayey silt with sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, damp, medium plasticity, stiff. Silty clay, dark brown, damp, medium to high plasticity, stiff. Total depth = 18-1/2 feet.	

		LOG OF BORING B-6/MW-2	PLATE
<b>Vorking</b>	to Restore Nature	ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard	5
PROJECT	62019.02	San Leandro, California	

Depth of boring: 19 feet	Diameter of boring: <u>12 in</u>	<u>ches</u> Date drille	d:09/08/92
well depth: 15 teet	_ Material type: <u>Sch 40 PVC</u>	Casing diame	ter: 4 inches
Screen interval: 8 to	15 feet Filter pack:	#3 Sand Slot	size: 0.020-inch
Drilling Company: Explo	ration GeoServices Driller:	John and Dennis	
Method Used: Hollov	-Stem Auger	Field Geologist:	Lou Leet
Signature of	Registered Professional:		
Regis	tration No.: CEG 1463 State:	CA	

Dept	h Samp No.	le	Blows	P.I.D.	USCS Code	Description	Well Const.
- 0 - 2 - 4 - 6 - 10 - 12 - 14 - 16 - 18 - 18 - 20	S-7.5 S-7.5 S-10		571 5505665670665443355346702		SM ML SM SM SM SM ML SM ML SM	Asphalt-covered surface. Aspholt (4 inches). Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, damp, medium dense. Clayey silt, black, moist, medium plasticity, very stiff. Color change to brown at 5-1/2 feet. Silty sand with clay, fine- to medium-grained, brown, very moist, medium dense. Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, wet, medium dense. Sandy gravel, fine to medium-grained, brown, wet, medium dense. Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, wet, medium dense. Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, wet, medium dense. Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, wet, medium dense. Sondy silt with clay, fine-grained, brown, wet, low plas- ticity, stiff. Silty sand, fine-grained, brown, very moist, loose. Clayey silt with sand, fine-grained, brown, damp to moist medium stiff. Silty sand, fine- to medium-grained, brown, damp, medium dense. Clayey silt with sand, fine-grained, dark brown, damp, low plasticity, very stiff. Total depth = 19 feet.	
L	1						

		LOG OF BORING B-7/MW-3	PLATE
Working	to Restore Nature	ARCO Station 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard	6
PROJECT	62019.02	San Leandro, California	

ell	depth:		18 feet		Material type: Sch 40 PVC Casing diameter: 4	inches
cree	n inter	val:	-	10 to 18	3 feet Filter pack: #3 Sand Slot size: 0.0	20 11011
rillin	ig Com	par	ıy:	Exploration	on GeoServices Driller: Joint and Dennis	ot
ethc	d Use	d:		Hollow-S	ristored Professional:	
	Sampl			Registra	tion No.: CEG 1463 State: CA	Well
epth	No.	6	P.I.D.	Code	Description	Const.
					Ásphalt-covered surface.	
0 -				GW	Asphalt (4 inches).	20 20
2 -				M	Sandy gravel, fine to coarse gravel, medium— to coarse— grained sand, brown, damp, medium dense; glass fragments: baserock.	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
4 -					Clayey silt, brown, damp, low to medium plasticity, very stiff.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	S-5	T ?	0		Color change to black.	20 00
6 -		11	7			2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
8 -						
10-	S-9.5		23	SM	Silty sand with clay, fine- to medium-grained sand, brown, moist to very moist, medium dense; rootlet	
12 -	S-11 S-11.5		5		Ódor.	
14 -			5	GP-GM	Sandy gravel with silt, fine to coarse gravel, fine- to coarse-grained sand, dark brown, wet, loose.	
				SM	Silty sand, fine-grained, brown, very moist.	
16 -			0	SM	With clay. Silty sand, fine— to coarse—grained, very moist, medium	
18 -	5-18 5	E	3			
20 -	5-10.0	Ŧ		ML	Clayey silt, dark gray-brown, damp, medium plasticity, very stiff.	
		4			Total depth = 21 feet.	
					LOG OF BORING B-8/MW-4	PLATE
	16			NA	ARCO Station 2162	7
	Worki	ng (	o kesto	re Natur	e 15135 Hesperian Boulevard	

SO	IL BORI	NG LO	G		Boring	No. Cl	3-1	Sheet: 1 of 1	
Clie	nt	ARCO	Statio	1 2162		Da	te	July 17, 2007	
Add	ress	15135	Hespe	rian Bou	levard	Dri	lling Co.	RSI rig type: Direct Push	
		San L	eandro,	CA		Dri	ller	Jose Velasco	
Proj	ect No.	E2162	-01			Me	thod	Direct Push Hole Diameter: 2 inches	
Log	jed By:	Collin	Fischer	1		Sai	mpler:	Continuous Casing	
Wel	Pack	sand:	N/A			Well C	Construction	Casing Material: N/A Screen Interval: N/A	
		bent.;	N/A			•		Casing Diameter: N/A Screen Slot Size: N/A	
		arout:	N/A			Di	eoth to GW		
							-p		
	Sample	1	Sa	mile					1
Type	No	Blow	Time	Barou	Well	Depth	Lithologic		PID
1763		Gount	11110	Indudy.	Derdita	Julie	Conutin	Descriptions or materials and conditions	(PPM)
						-	Air		
						-"	Fill	***************************************	
						_3			
						_			
			*****			_4		Silv Clay CL /5X 2.5/1) black day per plantin hard 85% alou 15% all	
					× .			Joney Clay, CL, (51 2.57), black, dry, non plastic, naro, 65% clay 15% sit.	
Sector Carl									1
						_6	CL		
						-7		Silty Sand with Clay, SM (2.5Y 4/3) oflye brown moist fine project	
	kana o buru pasatren b		********				SM	medium dense, 70 % sand 20% silt 10% clay.	1
S	CB-1	N/A	0954	80%		8			
į	7.5'-8'						CL	Silty Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/3), olive brown, molst, medium plasticity, hard	1
			*****		3	-9		80% clay 20% silt	
						10	$\nabla$	Silty Sand trace Clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark oilve grey, wet	
								medium-fine grained, soft, 70% sand 30% silt trace clay	
						11	SM	***************************************	
S	CB-1	N/A	0956	100%		12		Silty Sand with Gravel SM (2.5Y 4/3) olive brown wet	
	11.5'-12'					12		medium-fine grained sand, medium grained gravel, dense	
	*************					13		60% sand 30 % silt 10% gravel.	
							 CI	Ciller Clay (2 EV 4/2) allog brown und Jam Janilan and 2004 allow	
	409 W8 82 4 80 USL					- 14	UL	Silly Clay, (2.57 4/3), bive brown, wei, low plasticity, son, 80% clay 20% sill.	
-						15			
	00.4		0050			A AND	2		
	15 5'-16'	N/A	0958	100%		16			
10011200			hearancean			-17			
								4 8 8 9 9 9 8 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
			*******			18			
						20			
								Comments: Continuously sampled stading of 5 feet bar	
								commental commoduly sampled statting at 5 leet ugs.	
							-	STRATIS	
								ENVIRONMENTAL INC.	

SOI	L BORIN	IG LO	G		Boring	No. CE	3-2	Sheet: 1 of 1	
Clien	t	ARCO	Station	12162		Dat	e	July 17, 2007	
Addre	255	15135	Hesper	rian Bou	levard	- Dril	ling Co.	RSI rig type: Direct Push	
		San Le	andro.	CA		- Dril	ler	Jose Velasco	
Prole	ct No.	F2162	-01			Me	thod	Direct Push Hole Diameter: 2 inches	
Logg	ed By:	Collin	Fischer			- Sar	npler:	Continuous Casing	
Well	Pack	sand:	N/A			Well C	Construction	Casing Material: N/A Screen Interval: N/A	age and a second
		hent :	N/A					Casing Diameter: N/A Screen Slot Size: N/A	
		prout:	NUA			-	anth to GIAb		
		giou	INIM			- 00	shuu to Gaa.		-
		T	-		<u> </u>	[	1		
-	ample M-	Blow	Jai		Well	Depth	Lithologic	Provide a of Metallala and Conditions	PID
туре	NO.	Count	time	Hacov.	Details	Scale	Galumn	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPM)
	*****			<u> </u>			10/02		
							Air		
						- <sup>2</sup>	Fill	***************************************	
						3			
						—			
						— <sup>4</sup>		No Recovery	
						- 5			
	*****					6			
						-7			
							ا		
S	CB-2	N/A	N/A	0%		B	an and a start of the start of	Silty Sand with Clay, SM, (5Y 2.5/2), black, moist, coarse grained, dense	
	7.5-8					-	SM	80% sand 15% sill 5% clay.	
			*****	1			- Civi		
						_ 10	1	Clay with Silt, CL, (5Y 3/1), very dark grey, moist, medium plasticity, firm	
						<b>-</b>		hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor, 95% clay 5% silt.	
	*******						65	Silty Sand with Clay, SM, (5Y 4/1), dark grey, wet, medium-fine grained	
S	CB-2	N/A	0836	80%		12	ter.	medium dense, hydrocarbon odor, 60% sand 35% silt 5% clay.	
	11.5'-12'						044		
						13	SIVI	Silty Sand with Gravel trace Clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark olive orey, wet	
						14		coarse grained, loose, hydrocarbon odor	
	C CARENCE CONTRACTOR							60% sand 30% silt 10% gravel trace clay.	
	ookanitesamite					15 IS	MI	Clavey Silt ML (2.5X 4/2) dark gravish brown wet non plastic soft	
s	CB-2	N/A	0839	80%		16		60% silt 40% clay.	
	15.5'-16'		60 a 613 u a 899					re a construint interest com a construint and a construction of the design of the desi	
						17			
		1		1		_			
	9 <del>9</del>					19			
						- 20			
	C. C								
								Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.	
								STPATIIS	
1									

SO	L BORIN	NG LO	G		Boring	No. Cl	B-3	Sheet: 1 of 1	
Clien	t	ARCC	Statio	n 2162	Construction of	Da	te	kulv 17, 2007	
Addr	ess	15135	Hespe	rian Bou	levard	- Dri	llina Co.	RSI rig type: Direct Push	
		San L	eandro,	CA		- Dri	ller	Jose Velasco	
Proje	ct No.	E2162	-01			Me	thod	Direct Push Hole Diameter: 2 inches	-
Logg	ed By:	Collin	Fischer			- Sa	mpler:	Continuous Casing	
Well	Pack	sand:	N/A			Well (	Construction	Casino Material: N/A Screen Internal: N/A	
		bent ·	N/A				501/301000001		
		acout:	NVA		and in comments	-		Zasing Diameter: N/A. Screen Slot Size: N/A	
		grout.	IWA			. 0	epinito Gvv;	Viirst encountered = 11 feet V static = N/A	
· ·	Na ma mila	1				T	1		r
	sample	Blow	Sa	mpie	Well	Depth	Lithologic		PID
Type	NO.	Count	Time	Recov.	Detalls	Scale	Column	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPM)
			1			_	Air		1
						2	Knite		ļ
						— <sub>3</sub>	1.20		
				1					
						_4			<u> </u>
						-5			
	******	1000000000	1 h 4 h 4 h 4 h 4 h 4 h 4 h 4 h 4 h 4 h						1
						6			
						-,		clayey Silt with Sand, ML, (5Y 3/2), dark olive grey, dry, low plasticity	
						<b>-</b>	ML		
S	CB-3	N/A	0730	50%		8			
	7.5-8								
						<b>- -</b>			
						10		Clay trace Silt, CL, (5Y 4/1), dark grey, moist, medium plasticity, stiff	
		1					CL	hydrocarbon slaining, hydrocarbon odor, 97% clay 3% sill.	
						11	V		<u> </u>
s	CB-3	N/A	0736	100%		12			
	11.5'-12'							Silty sand trace Clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark olive grey, wet, medium-fine grained	
		-				- 13		medium dense, hydrocarbon odor, hydrocarbon staining	
						14	a		
							SM		
						15		Silty Sand with Clay, SM, (5Y 4/4), dark yellowish brown, moist	
s	CB-3	N/A	0738	100%		16		medium-line grained, medium dense, 70% sand 20% silt 10% clay.	
	15.5'-16'								
						17			
			*******						1
						19			ļ
		ł	i	l		20			L
								Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.	
								GTDATILG	
							9		

Chert       ARCO Salino 2162       Data         13132 Hasadra DA       Data       Billing Co.         Shotasara       Billing Co.       Divisor         Shotasara       Zisson       Deling Co.         Shotasara       Deling Co.       Divisor         Jend Pack       Billing Co.       Deling Co.         Jend Pack       Bandind       Deling Co.         Jend Pack       Bandind D       Deling Co.         Jend Pack       Banding Co.	sol	L BORIN	IG LO	G		Boring	No. CE	3-4	Sheet: 1 of 1	
Address         15332 Headmin Bouleverd         Drillor         SI         figure: Direct Push	Clien	t	ARCO	Station	2162		Dat	e	July 17, 2007	
Sol Landro, CA.     Driller       Project No.     ES182-01       Method     Direst Path       Barnyler     Contruction       Gard, NA.     Sampler:       Dentinuos Cating       Method     Direst Path       Sample     Blow       Sample     Blow       Sample     Blow       Sample     Dast       Mathod     Direst Path       Sample     Blow       Sample     Direst Path       Sample     Direst Pa	Addr	ess	15135	Hesper	ian Bou	levard	Dril	ling Co.	RSI rig type: Direct Push	
Project No.       E2193-01       Method       Dirac Path       Hold Diamotor 2 Indires         Logged By:       Collin Flacher       Sample:       Continuous Ceaing       Sample:       Continuous Ceaing         Well Pack       and MA       Method       Dirac Path       Method:       NA       Screen Interval; NA         gample       Biol       KAI       Cashing Diameter:       NA       Screen Biol Size; NA         gample       Biow       Sample       Depth to GW:       Viral encountered = 11 feet       static = N/A         Sample       Well       Depth to GW:       Cashing Diameter:       NA       Screen Biol Size; NA         Sample       Well       Depth to GW:       Cashing Diameter:       NA       Screen Biol Size; NA         Sample       Well       Depth to GW:       Cashing Diameter:       NA       Screen Biol Size; NA         Sample       Well       Depth to GW:       Cashing Diameter:       Diameter:       NA         Sample       Well       Depth to GW:       Cashing Diameter:       Diameter:       NA         Sample       Well       Depth to GW:       Cashing Diameter:       Diameter:       PD         Sample       Trainer:       Cashing Sint Citr(10VR 3/4), dark tream, dark, tream, dark trea			San Le	andro,	CA		Dril	ler	Jose Velasco	
Logged By:       Cellin Fischer       Sampler:       Continuous Cesing         weil Pack       and: N/A       Weil Construction       Casing Material: N/A       Screen Interval: M/A         Sample       store       Sample:       N/A       Depth 10 GW2       Streen Skill Sze: N/A         Sample       store       Sample       Depth 10 GW2       Streen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A         Sample       store       Sample       Depth 10 GW2       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A         Sample       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Depth 10 GW2       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A         Sample       Depth 10 GW2       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A         Sample       Depth 10 GW2       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A         Sample       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A         Sample       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A       Screen Skill Sze: N/A         Skill Sill Screen Skill Sze: S	Proje	ct No.	E2162	-01			Me	lhod	Direct Push Hole Diameter: 2 inches	
Weil Pack       sand: MA	Logg	ed By:	Collin	Fischer			Sar	npler:	Continuous Casing	
bent: NA       Casho Diameter: NA.       Screen Slot Size: NA         sample       Blow       Sample       Val       Depth 10 GW.       Virst encountered = 11 feet       static = N/A         sample       Blow       Sample       Depth 10 GW.       Virst encountered = 11 feet       static = N/A         Type       No.       Count       Time Seeve.       Depth 12 Social       Depth 12 Social       Depth 14 Social       PD         1	Well	Pack	sand:	N/A			Well C	Construction	Casing Material: N/A Screen Interval: N/A	
grout:         N/A         Depth to GW;         final encountered = 11 feet         static = N/A           Sample         Store         Openh         Unshopk         Depth to GW;         The encountered = 11 feet         static = N/A           Non-         Count         Tess         Peenh         Soute         Depth to GW;         Tess         Peenh         Depth to GW;         Tess         Tess<			bent.:	N/A			-		Casing Diameter: N/A. Screen Slot Size: N/A	:=:
Sample     Blow     Sample     Veal     Depth     Likelogie       Type     No.     Count     Time     Record     Deste     Count       1			grout;	N/A			De	epth to GW:	Tirst encountered = 11 feet Static = N/A	
Sample     Blow     Sample     Weil     Depth     Linkogle       Type     No.     Count     The Record Desting     Depth     Linkogle       Type     No.     Count     The Record Desting     Depth     Linkogle       Type     No.     Count     The Record Desting     Depth     Linkogle       Type     No.     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     Desting       Type     No.     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Type     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Type     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Solution     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Solution     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Solution     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Solution     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Solution     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting     The Record Desting       Solution     The Record Desting </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td>									·	
No.         Count         These         Receive         Details         Serie         Count         Perform         Descriptions of Materials and Conditions         (PPR)           1         Air         - </td <td></td> <td>Sample</td> <td>Blow</td> <td>Sar</td> <td>nple</td> <td>Mali</td> <td>Depth</td> <td>Lithologie</td> <td></td> <td>PID</td>		Sample	Blow	Sar	nple	Mali	Depth	Lithologie		PID
Image: Strip Clay       I	Type	No.	Count	Time	Recov,	Details	Scale	Column	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPM)
Image: Section of the section of t							-,			
2       Knife         3							'	Air		
							2	Knife		
Image: Second State St			1				-3	1 1-11		
S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         Clay with Sill, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stiff       9%       CL         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       5%         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       5%         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       5%         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%         S       CB-4       N/A				*****			_			
S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1122       75%         Clay with Silt, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stift       9%       CL         Clay with Silt, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stift       9%       CL         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11.5*12*       11       V       Silty Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, well         Infe grained, medund mass, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor       6% sand 30% stilt 10% clay.       11.5*12*         S       CB-4       N/A       1122       SM       Sand with Silt, Gravel and Clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark olive gray, well         Instant       14       15       Silt //5% caver //5% clay       11.5% clay         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       12       SM         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       12         S       Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molet, high plasticity, hard       11 <td< td=""><td></td><td>******</td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td><sup>4</sup></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		******					<sup>4</sup>			
S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%         S       CB-4       N/A       1127										
S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11       ✓       Clay with Silt, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stiff         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11       ✓       Silty Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, well       Infine grained, medium dense, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor         C0% sand 30% stil 10% clay.       SM       SM       SM       SM         11.6-12*       11       ✓       SM       SM       Clay with Silt, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, model, high plasticity, stiff         11.9       12       SM       SM       SM       SM       SM       SM         13.9       CB-4       N/A       1127       SM		ala trata alteritik termu						and a state of the		
S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11       V       Silly Sand with CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stiff         B       CB-4       N/A       1124         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11       V       Silly Sand with CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stiff         B       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11       V       Silly Sand with Sill, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, bash graylsh brown, well         Infine grained, medium darse, hydrocarbon odor       60% sand 30% sill 10% clay.         SM       SM       SM         113       SM       SM         114       SM       Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molat, high plasticity, hard         115.5°-16°       11       Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molat, high plasticity, hard         119       12							6		Silly Clay CL (10VP 3/4) dark vellowish brown day low plasticity	
S       CB-4       N/A       1122       70%         7.5°-8°       1       12       10       Clay with Sill, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, sill?         S       CB-4       N/A       112       75%         11       V       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, well         11.5°-12'       11       V       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, well         11.5°-12'       13       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, well         11.5°-12'       13       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark olive gray, wet.         13       Sand with Sill, Gravel and Clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark olive gray, wet.         14       14       14         15       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%         15       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%         16       CL       16       Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molst, high plasticity, hard         17       18       19       20       20         20       Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.         SURPONMENTAL, INC.       SURPONMENTAL, INC.       SURPONMENTAL, INC.							7		stiff, 65% caly 35% silt	
S       CB-4       NA       1122       70%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11.0 <sup>-1</sup> 12       11       V       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, well fine grained, medium danse, hydrocarbon cdor 60% sand 30% slit 10% clay.         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11.0 <sup>-1</sup> 12       12       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, well fine grained, medium danse, hydrocarbon cdor 60% sand 30% slit 10% clay.       Sill 10% clay.         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%         14       15       Clay clay clays slit 10% clay.       Sill 10% clay.         S       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%         15.0 <sup>-1</sup> 6 <sup>0</sup> 11       12       Sill 7.5% gravel 7.5% clay         C       CL       Clay cL. (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, moist, high plasticity, hard hydrocarbon cdor, 100% clay         15.0 <sup>-1</sup> 6 <sup>0</sup> 11       12       12         18       19       20       Clay cL. (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, moist, high plasticity, hard hydrocarbon cdor, 100% clay         19       20       20       Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.         Comment	- 4 - 4 1 4 1	*****************								
130       10         10       CL         10       Clay with SII, CL. (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stiff         11       V         12       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (2, 5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, wel         13       grahed, medium dense, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor         60% sand 30% slll 10% clay.       SM         14       SM         15       CB-4         N/A       1127         16       CL         17       18         18       Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), ollve brown, molst, high plasticity, hard         19       Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), ollve brown, molst, high plasticity, hard         19       19         20       Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.	<u> </u>	CB-4	N/A	1122	70%		8			
S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11       ✓       Silly Sand with Clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark gray/sh brown, vel       Fine grained, medium dense, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor         0% sand 30% sill 10% clay.       SM       Silly Sand with Clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark gray/sh brown, vel         11       12       Silly Sand with Clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark gray/sh brown, vel         13       SM       Silly Sand with Clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark gray/sh brown, vel         14       Ine grained, medium dense, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor         0% sand 30% sill 10% clay.       SM         14       SM         15       CB-4         N/A       1127         90%       Glay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molst, high plasticity, hard         15.5°-16 <sup>1</sup> 1127         18       119         19       20         Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molst, high plasticity, hard         19       11         118       119         119       20         20       Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.		7.0-0					9	CL		
S       CB-4       N/A       112       Clay with Sill, CL, (10YR 3/3), dark brown, dry, high plasticity, stiff         S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11       ✓       Silly Sand with clay, SM, (2.5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, wet         fine grained, medium dense, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor         60% sand 30% sill 10% clay.         S       CB-4         N/A       1127         13       SM         Set CB-4       N/A         115.5*16*       11         11       ✓         12       SM         Sand with Sill, Gravel and Clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark olive grey, wet         medium grained, losse, hydrocarbon odor         70% sand 10% sill 7.5% gravel 7.5% clay         115.5*16*         11         12         13         14         15.5*16*         19         19         19         19         19         19         19         19         19         19         110         111         112         113         114										
S       CB-4       NA       112       S0% ctay 10% sit         90% ctay 10% sit       Sity Sand with ctay, SM, (2, 5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, wething rained, medium dense, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon cdor       60% sand 30% sit 10% ctay         11       12       Sity Sand with ctay, SM, (2, 5Y 3/2), very dark grayish brown, wething and staining, hydrocarbon cdor         11       12       Sity Sand with ctay, SM, (6Y 3/2), dark olive gray, wething and 30% sith 10% ctay         13       SM       Sand with Sith, Gravel and Ctay, SM, (6Y 3/2), dark olive gray, wething and 10% sith 7.5% ctay         14       15       70% sand 10% sith 7.5% ctay         15       CB-4       NA         112       90%       Ctay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molst, high plasticity, hard         15, 5'-18°       11       11         19       11       11         20       Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.         STRMATUS         Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.							-10	[	Clay with Silt CL. (10YB 3/3), dark brown, dry, biob plasticity, stiff	
S       CB-4       N/A       1124       75%         11.5-12'       11       11       11       11       11         11.5-12'       11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>11</td><td><math>\nabla</math></td><td>90% clay 10% silt</td><td></td></t<>							11	$\nabla$	90% clay 10% silt	
S       CB-4       NA       1124       75%         11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12         11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12         11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12         11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12         11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12         11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12         11.5       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12       11.5-12         11.5		00.4			7.594					
13       13         14       14         14       14         15       15         15       16         15.5-16       17         18       18         19       19         20       20         Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.	<u> </u>	11.5'-12'	N/A	1124	13%		12		fine grained, medium dense, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor	
Sind with Silt, Gravel and Clay, SM, (5Y 3/2), dark olive grey, wel         S       CB-4         N/A       1127         15.5'-18'       18         11       CL         12       11         13       CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, molst, high plasticity, hard         hydrocarbon staining, slight hydrocarbon odor, 100% clay         11       18         11       19         20       Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.							13		60% sand 30% slit 10% clay.	
S     CB-4     N/A     1127     90%       15     15       S     CB-4     N/A     1127     90%       15     16     17       16     17       17     18       18     11       19     10%       115     5'-18'       115     18       115     CL       116     CL       117     18       118     11       119     11       120     18       118     11       121     121       121     121       13     11       14     11       15     11       117     11       118     11       121     11       131     11       141     11       15     11       16     11       17     11       18     11       19     11       19     11       19     11       119     11       119     11       119     11       119     11       119     11       110     11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>SM</td><td>Sand with Sill, Gravel and Clay, SM (5V 3/2), dark alive arey, well</td><td></td></t<>								SM	Sand with Sill, Gravel and Clay, SM (5V 3/2), dark alive arey, well	
S         CB-4         N/A         1127         90%           15         15         16         CL         Ciay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, moist, high plasticity, hard           15.5 <sup>1</sup> ·16 <sup>1</sup> 11         11         CL         Ciay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, moist, high plasticity, hard           15.5 <sup>1</sup> ·16 <sup>1</sup> 11         11         CL         Ciay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, moist, high plasticity, hard           15.5 <sup>1</sup> ·16 <sup>1</sup> 18         17         18         19           19         20         20         Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.							- 14		medium grained, loose, hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor	
S       CB-4       N/A       1127       90%       16       CL       Clay, CL, (2.5Y 4/4), olive brown, moist, high plasticity, hard         15.5'-16'       11       17       1       18       17       19         18       19       20       18       100% clay       100% clay         19       20       20       Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.         STRATUS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.				********			15		70% sand 10% silt 7.5% gravel 7.5% clay	
S     CDS     Note of the product of the produ	c	CB.4	NUA	1107	00%		10		Clay CL (2.5X 4/4) alive brown maist high plasticity hard	
		15.5'-16'	19/2		5070		10	CL	hydrocarbon staining, slight hydrocarbon odor, 100% clay	
Image:		*********			ļ		17			
Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.										
Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.									***************************************	
Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.							19		·	
Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.							-20			
Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.								.]		
STRATUS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.									Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.	
STRATUS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.										
STRATUS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.										
STRATUS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.										
ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.									STRATUS	
									ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	
	1									

	2								
Cilen	ıt	ARCO	Statio	n 2162		_ Da	le	July 17, 2007	24115-
Addr	ess	15135	i Hespe	rian Bou	levard	- Dri	lling Co.	RSI rig type: Direct Push	
		San L	eandro,	CA		- Dri	ller	Jose Velasco	
Proje	ct No.	E2162	2-01		1.11.40010	_ Me	thod	Direct Push Hole Diameter: 2 inches	
Logg	eu by:	Comin	FISCHE	-		Sa	mpler:	Continuous Casing	_
vveij	Раск	sand:	N/A			Well C	Construction	Casing Material: N/A Screen Interval: N/A	
		Dent.:	N/A					Casing Diameter: N/A. Screen Slot Size: N/A	
		grout:	N/A			. De	epth to GW;	V first encountered = 11 feet V static = N/A	
	Iampio	1				1	1		1
Type	No	Blow	Time	Rasou	Well	Depth	Lithologic		PID
JPC	1101		11110	Necov,	Dergits	Scale	Column	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPM)
						_1		*****	
						2	Air Knife		
							Fill		
•••••						_3			
						_4			
						_			
			269 (red is Value			5	*******		
						6			
						- <u>,</u>		Silty Clay, CL, (10YR 3/6), dark yellowish brown, dry, low plasticity	
	# In Altable countries for source i					-'		11alu, 0374 Caly 3376 Sill	
S	CB-5	N/A	1207	100%		8			
	7.0-0					-,	CL	Clay with Silt, CL, (2.5Y 4/2), dark grayish brown, dry, low plasticity, firm 90% clay 10% silt	
			****						
						10		Claywith Silf CL (2.5V 2/2) dade allog being day law death in C	
						_11	√	hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor, 90% clay 10% silt	
	CR.5	NUA	1200	1000					
<u> </u>	11.5'-12'	- 11/0	1200	100 /4		12		hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor, 70% sand 30% silt	
			999 5 6 6 6 6 4 4 4			13			
		F					SM	Silty Sand SM (2.5V.2.5/1) black well medium project lease	
			1997 (1999) (1999) (1999) (1997)					hydrocarbon staining, hydrocarbon odor, 70% sand 30% silt	
						15	The second second	*******	
s	CB-5	N/A	1212	100%		16		Clay, CL, (10YR 3/4), dark yellowish brown, moist, high plasticity, firm	
	15.5'-16'	Τ			ſ	-	CL	100 % clay	
			•••••			17	-	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u> </u>
						18			
						-10			
								нтария в в мунистрание и современие и современие современие и современие и современие и современие и современие	
						20		an a	L
								Comments: Continuously sampled starting at 5 feet bgs.	
								a a successive sector sector = is a success €	
								GTDATI IG	
								ENVIRONMENTAL INC	

CB-5 Boring Log 071707

SOIL BO	RING LOG		Bor	ing	No. M	N-5	Sheet: 1 of 1	
Client	Arco 2162				Dal	le	April 24, 2009	
Address	15135 Hes	perian Bo	ulevan	d	Dril	lling Co.	RSI Drilling rig type: Geoprobe 6600	
	San Leand	Iro. CA			Dril	ler	Fernando	
Project No.	F2162				Me	thod	Hollow Stem Auger Hole Diameter: 10 inches	
Logged By:	Collin Fisc	her			Sa	moler:	1 1/4" geoprobe tubing	
Mall Deek	cond: 64	10 16 4			Moll C	opotruction	Caping Materials Schedule 40 DVC Carpon Intervals 9.8 to 10.4	the second second
vveli Pack	sanu, o n.	10 10 11			vven C	Jonstruction		
	bent.: 3 ft.	to 6 ft.			3		Casing Diameter: 4 in. Screen Slot Size: 0.010-in.	
	grout: 0 ft.	to 3 ft.	******	_	De	epth to GW:	. V first encountered 10.5' bgs static	
r				-		I	I	
Sample	Blow -	Sample	w	ell	Depth	Lithologic		PID
Type No	Count Tir	ne Recov.	Deta	ails	Scale	Column	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	(PPM)
			14		-,		Cleared to 6.5' bgs. with air knife	
			-	1917 2 Ady 1	-1			
					2			
				1	_			1
			0	0	3			
			0	1	-4			
			1	1	—"			+
			0	0	_5	CL		
			0					
			1	//	_ <sup>6</sup>			
					7			
	05	30 100					Sandy clay, CL, (6.5'-8.5' bgs), dark grayish brown, moist, medium plasticity	+
			<u>  </u> _		8		70% clay, 30% fine to medium grained sand	
					-			
			目目		— <sup>a</sup>		Silty clay, CL (8.5'-10.5' bos), dark gravish brown, moist, medium plasticity	••••••••••
					10	i and the second	80% clay, 20% silt	
******	054	10 100	111			$\nabla$		
•••••			山目		11		Silty sand with clay, SM, (10.5'-12' bgs), dark grayish brown, wel	
					- 12		60% medium grained sand, 25% silt, 10% clay, 5% tine gravel	
			目目		- <sup>12</sup>	SM	60% medium grained sand, 25% silt, 10% clay, 5% fine gravel	
			IΞ		13			
	055	55 100	同目				Silty sand with clay, SM, (12'-13.5' bgs), dark yellowish brown, wet	
			<b>₩</b> ≣		14		60% medium grained sand, 30% silt, 20% clay	
					- 15			
							Clayey silt, ML, (15'-16' bgs), dark yellowish brown, moist, medium plasticity	1
			自己		16	ML	60% silt, 40% clay	
8								
			1		1/			
					 18			
		1	]					1
			1		19			
8								
				الىسى				
		Recove	егу				Comments: Boring sampled to 16' bgs with geoprobe, then drilled to 16' bgs	
							with 10" hollow stem augers.	
		Comel	<b>a</b> 2000					
		Sample						
							STRATUS	
							ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	

SOIL BOR	ING LOG Bori	ng No. MW-6	Sheet: 1 of 1
Client	Arco 2162	Date	April 24, 2009
Address	15135 Hesperian Boulevard	Drilling Co.	RSI Drilling rig type: Geoprobe 6600
	San Leandro, CA	Driller	Fernando
Project No.	E2162	Method	Hollow Stem Auger Hole Diameter: 10 inches
Logged By:	Collin Fischer	Sampler:	1 1/4" geoprobe tubing
Well Pack	sand: 6 ft. to 16 ft	Well Construction	Casing Material: Schedule 40 PVC Screen Interval: 8 ft. to 16 ft.
	bent.: 3 ft. to 6 ft.		Casing Diameter: 4 in. Screen Slot Size: 0.010-in.
	grout: 0 ft. to 3 ft.	Depth to GW:	V first encountered 10' bgs static

	Sample	Blow	Sar	nple	1.	Mall	Donth			
Туре	No.	Count	Time	Recov.	D	etails	Scale	Column	Descriptions of Materials and Conditions	PID
					1		-,		Cleared to 6.5' bgs. with air knife	(CEW)
	**********	1		******		s.ett.	-'			
							_2			dimension of the
			0		1	11	-,			
		1			0					
				<b>**</b> ******	0		_4			
					0		-5	CL		
					0		_			*******
			0730	100			_7		Sandy day (1 /6 5' 8 5' bas) dock vollowich beaus asist	
			0100	100			8		medium plasticity, 70% clay, 30% fine to medium grained sand	
-			-				_			
							_9		Silv day CL (8.5'-10' bos) dark groute brown maint mating at a 1'-'	
							10	$\nabla$	100% clay	
			0740	100						
	***********						-"		Silty sand with gravel, SM, (10'-13.5' bos), dark gravish brown wet	
							12		60% medium to coarse grained sand, 30% silt, 10% fine gravel	
								SM		
			0755	100			-13			
							14			
				l			- 15		Silty sand with clay, SM, (13.5'-15.5' bgs), dark yellowish brown, wet	
1							E		Clay, CL, (15.5'-16' bgs), dark yellowish brown, moist, medium plasticity	••••••
					:: <u> </u> =		16	CL	100% clay	
							- 17			
		T					_			
							18			
							19			
							<b>_</b> _	ſ		
	(	l	1			I	20		nument	
			F	Recover	У —				Comments: Boring sampled to 16' bgs with geoprobe, then drilled to 16' bgs	
								1	with to honow stem augers.	
			5	Sample						
									STRATUS	
									ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	
		Ξ.								

APPENDIX D

GRO, Benzene and MTBE Concentration Trend Graphs

#### MW-1 Concentrations and Groundwater Elevation vs Time Arco Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Blvd., San Leandro, CA



MW-2 Concentrations and Groundwater Elevation vs Time Arco Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Blvd., San Leandro, CA



**APPENDIX E** 

SBC Site Data



Sample	Benzene	Toluene	Eth. Ben.	Xylenes	TPH-d	MTBE
SOIL	µg/Kg	µg/Kg	µg/Kg	µg/Kg	mg/Kg	µg/Kg
B1d05.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	3	<5
B1d10.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	11	<5
B2d16.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<5
B2d20.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	< 1	<5
B3d05.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<5
B3d10.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	3.3	<5
B4d05.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	11	<5
B4d10.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<5
B5d05.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	8.4	<5
B5d10.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	3.3	<5
B6d05.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	7	<5
B6d10.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	5.5	<5
GROUND WATER	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
B1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	69	<0.5
B2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	270	< 0.5
B3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	110	< 0.5
B4	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	< 0.5
B5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 50	< 0.5
B6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	< 0.5

## TABLE 1 Analytical Testing Results for Soil and Groundwater Samples August 20, 2004

Subsequently HI recommended the installation of five groundwater monitoring wells. The HI workplan was approved by the ESD in a letter dated September 15, 2004. Five soil borings (MW1 through MW5) were drilled to a termination depth of 20 feet bgs using hollow stem auger drilling. Soil samples were collected at five feet intervals from boring MW3 and MW4 until the termination depth of each boring. Each soil boring was converted into a groundwater monitoring well (MW1 through MW5). The installed groundwater monitoring wells were then surveyed by a licensed surveyor, developed, and sampled. Soil Sample Results: Minor TPH-d was only detected in two soil samples at a concentration of 1.8 mg/Kg and 1.5 mg/Kg in the sampling interval 5 feet bgs in soil

boring MW3 and MW4, respectively; No BTEX or MTBE were detected in any of the soil samples collected. Groundwater Sample Results: No BTEX, MTBE or TPH-d concentrations were detected.

	TA	ABLE 2				
Analytical	Testing	Results	for	Soil	Samples	
	Decem	iber 1, 2	2004			

Sample	Benzene	Toluene	Eth. Ben.	Xylenes	TPH-d	MTBE
	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg
MW3d05.0	<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.8	< 0.005
MW3d10.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	< 1.0	< 0.005
MW4d05.0	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	< 1.0	< 0.005
MW4d10.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.5	< 0.005

HI concluded the following 1) that the analytical testing results for the samples collected during this and previous investigations demonstrate that vertical and horizontal extent of the hydrocarbon contamination in soil and groundwater is adequately defined and that there is no indication of significant hydrocarbon impact to either soil or groundwater; 2) Any residual hydrocarbon contamination, is limited to the area of the current live and operating UST; 3) No FPLH or hydrocarbon sheen was encountered during the subsurface investigation(s) and groundwater monitoring.

On July 12, 2005, Mr. Karl Busche of the ESD verbally concurred with the request for closure contingent upon the concentrations in groundwater remaining in the same range during the October 2005 sampling event.

#### 4.0 WELL SEARCH

BBL of Solana Beach California was subcontracted to prepare a 1-mile well radius search (See Appendix E). BBL reports that no wells were reported within the 1-mile radius of the Site.

#### 5.0 CLOSURE REQUEST

Based on the information contained herein and in previous Site reports, on behalf of SBC (Former Pacific Bell), HI hereby respectfully requests that site closure be granted by the ESD for the UST removal activities.

15125 Hesperian Blvd San Leandro, CA 94578-3607

#### Summary of Groundwater and Soil Data

**APPENDIX** A

	Top of								
Well No.	Casing	Water	GW	Concentra	tions (p	pb)			
Date	Elevation	Depth							
Sampled	feet MSL	ft/bgs	Elevation	B	T	E	X	TPH-d	MTBE
GROUND	WATER DA	ATA (ug	/L)						
MW1									
1/13/05	32.16	5.65	26.51	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	< 0.5
6/7/05	32.16	6.14	26.02	0.65	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	< 0.5
7/19/05	32.16	6.44	25.72	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	< 0.5
10/12/05	32.16	7.14	25.02	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
MW2									
1/13/05	32.60	6.49	26,11	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
6/7/05	32.60	6.62	25,98	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
7/19/05	32.60	6.89	25.71	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
10/12/05	32.60	7.59	25.01	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
MW3									
1/13/05	32.84	6.41	26.43	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
6/7/05	32.84	6.96	25.88	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
7/19/05	32.84	7.25	25.59	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
10/12/05	32.84	7.93	24.91	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
MW4									
1/13/05	32.24	5.80	26.44	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
6/7/05	32.24	6.30	25.94	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	< 0.5
7/19/05	32.24	6.59	25.65	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
10/12/05	32.24	7.28	24.96	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
0410/5			-						
1/13/05	32.07	5.40	26 58	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
6/7/05	32.07	8 95	23.12	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
7/19/05	32.07	6.25	25.82	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
10/12/05	32.07	6.96	25.11	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 50	<0.5
								2	

15125 Hesperian Blvd San Leandro, CA 94578-3607

#### Summary of Groundwater and Soil Data

APPENDIX A

	1 mm			The second se			and the second second		
	lop of		0.44	0	Alone /				
Well No.	Casing	Water	GW	Concentra	ations (	(uqu			
Date	Elevation	Depth			-	-	~	TOH A	MTRE
Sampled	feet MSL	ft/bgs	Elevation	B	1	E	A	IFI-u	WIDL
SOIL DAT	ГА			µg/Kg	µg/Kg	µg/kg	µg/ng	mg/kg	have
8/20/04									
B1d05.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	3	<5
B1d10.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	11	<5
B2d16.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<5
B2d20.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<5
B3d05.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	< 1	<5
B3d10.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	3.3	<5
B4405.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	11	<5
B4d10.0				<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<5
DEdOE O				<5	<5	<5	<5	8.4	<5
B5d05.0 B5d10.0	-			<5	<5	<5	<5	3.3	<5
				-5	-5	-5	<5	7	<5
B6d05.0	_			<5	<5	<5	<5	5.5	<5
50010.0									
12/1/04									
MW3d05	.0			<5	<5	<5	<5	1.8	<5
MW3d10	.0			<5	<5	<5	<5	< 1.0	<5
MALAJOE	0			<5	<5	<5	<5	< 1.0	<5
MW4d05	0	-	-	<5	<5	<5	<5	1.5	<5
IIVIV V MULIU						-	-	and the second se	and the second se

APPENDIX F

**Drilling Permits** 

#### Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit



399 Elmhurst Street Hayward, CA 94544-1395 Telephone: (510)670-6633 Fax:(510)782-1939

Application Approved	d on: 12/03/2013 By jamesy	Permit Numbers: W20 Permits Valid from 12/23/2013 to 12/	13-0965 23/2013
Application Id: Site Location: Project Start Date: Assigned Inspector:	1384807597582 15135 Hesperian Blvd. 12/23/2013 Contact Sam Brathwaite at (925) 570-7609 or sbr	City of Project Site:San Leandro Completion Date:12/23/2013 rathwaite@groundzonees.com	
Applicant:	Broadbent & Assoc., inc Lu Damerell	Phone: 707-455-7290	
Property Owner:	Charles Carmel	Phone:	
Client:	P.O. Box 1257, San Ramon, CA 94583 Charles Carmel P.O. Box 1257, San Ramon, CA 94583	Phone:	
Contact:	Lu Damerell	Phone: 707-455-7920 Cell: 510-364-2079	
		Total Due:	\$265.00

Receipt Number: WR2013-0453 Total Amount Paid:

Payer Name : Luis Damerell	Paid By: MC

#### Works Requesting Permits:

Borehole(s) for Investigation-Environmental/Monitorinig Study - 4 Boreholes Driller: Gregg Drilling - Lic #: 485165 - Method: DP

Work Total: \$265.00

\$265 PAID IN FU

#### Specifications

Permit	Issued Dt	Expire Dt	#	Hole Diam	Max Depth
Number			Boreholes		
W2013-	12/03/2013	03/23/2014	4	1.50 in.	20.00 ft
0965					

#### **Specific Work Permit Conditions**

1. Backfill bore hole by tremie with cement grout or cement grout/sand mixture. Upper two-three feet replaced in kind or with compacted cuttings. All cuttings remaining or unused shall be containerized and hauled off site. The containers shall be clearly labeled to the ownership of the container and labeled hazardous or non-hazardous.

2. Boreholes shall not be left open for a period of more than 24 hours. All boreholes left open more than 24 hours will need approval from Alameda County Public Works Agency, Water Resources Section. All boreholes shall be backfilled according to permit destruction requirements and all concrete material and asphalt material shall be to Caltrans Spec or County/City Codes. No borehole(s) shall be left in a manner to act as a conduit at any time.

3. Permittee shall assume entire responsibility for all activities and uses under this permit and shall indemnify, defend and save the Alameda County Public Works Agency, its officers, agents, and employees free and harmless from any and all expense, cost, liability in connection with or resulting from the exercise of this Permit including, but not limited to, properly damage, personal injury and wrongful death.

4. Copy of approved drilling permit must be on site at all times. Failure to present or show proof of the approved permit application on site shall result in a fine of \$500.00.

#### 5. NOTE:

Under California laws, the owner/operator are responsible for reporting the contamination to the governmental regulatory agencies under Section 25295(a). The owner/operator is liable for civil penalties under Section 25299(a)(4) and criminal

#### Alameda County Public Works Agency - Water Resources Well Permit

penalties under Section 25299(d) for failure to report a leak. The owner/operator is liable for civil penalties under Section 25299(b)(4) for knowing failure to ensure compliance with the law by the operator. These penalty provisions do not apply to a potential buyer.

6. Prior to any drilling activities onto any public right-of-ways, it shall be the applicants responsibilities to contact and coordinate a Underground Service Alert (USA), obtain encroachment permit(s), excavation permit(s) or any other permits required for that City or to the County and follow all City or County Ordinances. It shall also be the applicants responsibilities to provide to the Cities or to Alameda County a Traffic Safety Plan for any lane closures or detours planned. No work shall begin until all the permits and requirements have been approved or obtained.

7. Permit is valid only for the purpose specified herein. No changes in construction procedures, as described on this permit application. Boreholes shall not be converted to monitoring wells, without a permit application process.

8. Applicant shall contact assigned inspector listed on the top of the permit at least five (5) working days prior to starting, once the permit has been approved. Confirm the scheduled date(s) at least 24 hours prior to drilling.

#### APPENDIX G

Utility Clearance Report

### **NORCAL** GEOPHYSICAL CONSULTANTS, INC.



January 28, 2014

Luis Damerell Broadbent & Associates, Inc. 875 Cotting Lane, Suite G Vacaville, CA 95688

Subject: Utility Location Survey BP-ARCO Service Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Blvd San Leandro, California

NORCAL Job No: 13-1034.19

Dear Mr. Damerell:

This report presents the findings of a utility locating survey performed by NORCAL Geophysical Consultants, Inc. at BP-ARCO Station No. 2162 for Broadbent & Associates, Inc. (BAI) The field survey was conducted in two phases: Phase 1 was conducted on December 18, 2013 by NORCAL California Professional Geophysicist David Bissiri (PGp 1009) and Field Technician Chris Bissiri; Phase 2 was conducted on January 14, 2014 by David Bissiri and Field Technician Travis Black. Logistical support and site safety information were provided by Mr. Lu Damerell of BAI.

#### **1.0 SITE DESCRIPTIONS and SCOPE OF WORK**

The BP-ARCO site is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Hesperian Boulevard and Ruth Court in San Leandro, California. The station comprises a pump island and canopy located in the central portion and a mini-mart and cashier office building in the western portion. The UST tank-pad is located in the northeast portion of the station. Hesperian Boulevard is located to the east and Ruth Court is located to the north of the site. The parking lot of a KFC fast-food restaurant is located south of the station. An alley is located to the west, behind the station.

The scope of work, as outlined by BAI, consisted of delineating detectable underground utility alignments in two areas: 1) the accessible outside portions of the gasoline station and adjoining sidewalks and alley, designated for the purposes of this report as the "Service Station Survey Area"; 2) the accessible portions of the parking lot and adjoining sidewalk of the restaurant, designated as the "KFC Survey Area".

The utility location survey was performed as part of an ongoing remediation plan currently managed by Broadbent & Associates. The information will be used to aid in determining possible preferential pathways of ground water flow leading off-site.



#### 2.0 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

#### **2.1 EQUIPMENT**

We investigated the designated survey areas using the electromagnetic line locating/metal detection (EMLL) and ground penetrating radar (GPR) methods. The EMLL method was used in the electromagnetic conduction, ambient and metal detection (MD) modes. The conduction mode was used to locate metal utilities that are accessible from the surface in at least one location. This is typically done by applying a signal current to a line by directly connecting the transmitter to the exposed utility through a vault or a hose bib and using a receiver to trace the respective lines. The ambient procedure was used to locate utilities that exhibit currents already flowing on the line (aka "passive signals"). The most common passive signals are generated by live electric and telephone lines, water lines acting as electrical grounds, and metal pipes re-radiating radio signals.

The MD mode is used to locate metal utilities that are not accessible at the surface, and isolated buried objects such as USTs, utility vaults, and other metallic features or debris. This is done by holding the transmitter-receiver unit above the ground and continuously scanning over the surface. Metallic utilities and isolated objects will produce a response indicating when the unit is directly over the metal object.

The GPR method was used to confirm the location of the utilities detected with the EMLL, and to locate possible non-metallic utilities. Since GPR depth of detection is based on site specific soil conditions, not all subsurface features are detectable. Descriptions of the MD, EMLL, and GPR methods are provided in Appendix A.

#### **2.2 SITE SURVEY**

The designated survey areas typically consisted of a 20- by 20-foot square centered on the proposed boring(s). We then investigated the sub-surface for detectable underground utilities and other potential near-surface drilling obstructions. A brief description of our field procedures is presented below:

- <u>Site Reconnaissance</u>: We visually inspected the immediate area to locate visible utility vaults, valves, clean-outs, meters, hose bibs, etc.
- <u>EMLL Direct Connect and Induction Survey</u>: We traced accessible utilities using the EMLL direct connect and induction methods, as described above.
- <u>EMLL Ambient Survey</u>: We used the EMLL ambient procedure to investigate the survey areas for non-accessible utilities emitting a passive signal, as described above.



- <u>EMLL Metal Detection (MD) Survey</u>: We scanned the survey areas with the MD to investigate for metal utilities that were not accessible at the surface. Since the specific type of utility (i.e. water, gas, etc.) cannot be determined by this method, they are referred to as undifferentiated utilities. We also used the MD method to investigate the survey areas for possible buried metal objects.
- <u>GPR Survey</u>: We obtained GPR data throughout the survey areas. We examined the GPR records for reflection patterns characteristic of underground utilities and other potential subsurface objects, as well as changes in fill material that may be associated with utility corridors or USTs.
- <u>Field Survey Map</u>: Upon completion of the survey at each of the proposed drilling locations, we drafted a scaled site diagram showing the area of the geophysical survey, structures or above ground cultural features that are in close proximity to the drilling locations, and the locations of detected subsurface objects and utility alignments.

#### **3.0 LIMITATIONS**

All of the geophysical methods used for this investigation have limitations that may not allow for the detection of certain subsurface features due to subsurface conditions or the proximity of above ground objects. The specific limitations for each method are described in Appendix A.

#### 4.0 RESULTS

The results of the geophysical investigation shown on the Site Maps presented on Plate1 (Service Station Survey Area) and Plate 2 (KFC Survey Area). These maps depict the locations of pertinent above-ground site features in the vicinity of the designated survey areas and the locations of interpreted subsurface features. The subsurface features detected at each area are discussed below:

#### Service Station Survey Area

• A suspected natural gas service lateral located in the northwest corner of the survey area. This line extends southward from Ruth Court along the eastern edge of the alley to the corner of the station building, where it appears to terminate at a service "blank". The portion of the line that continues into the building appears to be out of service, but it is probable that the portion in the alley is still pressurized.



- Two storm drain laterals that extend across the sidewalks. One extends northward from a catch basin located to the west of the driveway along Ruth Court, while the other extends eastward from a catch basin located at the southern end of the planter separating the two driveways that face Hesperian Boulevard.
- An "L"-shaped electric line that extends northward from a point near the northeast corner of the station building toward the sidewalk along Ruth Court, then eastward across the driveway. It appears to extend through the planter located in the northeast corner of the site and then continue beyond the eastern limit of the survey area.
- Four suspected north-south trending lines in, or adjacent to, the sidewalk along Hesperian Boulevard. One is suspected to be an electric line, while the rest are undifferentiated lines. All four lines appear to extend southward from the corner planter for a distance ranging from approximately 40 feet (across the northern driveway) to 125 feet (the southern survey limit).
- Ten assorted lines that do not appear to extend beyond the station property. These lines comprise various electric, water, product, and undifferentiated utilities. The lines are of various lengths and orientations and extend between the building, canopy, planters, and UST zone.
- An approximately 15- by 10-foot zone of suspected disturbed soil located in the southwest corner of the survey area. This disturbed zone was detected with the GPR and is suggestive of a possible backfilled pit.

Note that we assume additional utilities and piping associated with the USTs extend between the UST Zone and the pump islands under the canopy. However, we were able to delineate only a limited portion of them.

#### KFC Survey Area

• Three suspected utilities in the northwest portion of the survey area, in the vicinity of a trash enclosure located along a low wall that separates the KFC property from the station property: the first is a suspected electric line extending from a sign along the western survey limit toward the KFC building; the second is an undifferentiated utility extending southward from the trash enclosure to the alley west of the KFC building; And the third is a probable sanitary sewer line that may form a "T" at a clean-out located approximately 5 feet east of the western survey limit. The alignment(s) of the sewer line are conjectural, as we did not detect the line. However, given the location of the clean-out relative to the building and alley, the outfall likely either goes northward up the alley toward Ruth Court, or eastward across the parking lot toward Hesperian Boulevard.



• Three north-south trending lines in the driveway area along Hesperian Boulevard: the first is a storm drain line that extends between two catch basins on either side of the driveway; the second is an electric line extending from a sign located north of the driveway to a planter located south of the driveway; the third is an undifferentiated utility extending northward from an electric vault located in the sidewalk, south of the driveway. This line could be an electric line, but as we did not access the vault, this could not be confirmed.

#### 5.0 STANDARD CARE AND WARRANTY

The scope of NORCAL's services for this project consisted of using geophysical methods to explore the area of investigation for underground utilities. The accuracy of our findings is subject to specific site conditions and limitations inherent to the techniques used. We performed our services in a manner consistent with the level of skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently employing similar methods. No warranty, with respect to the performance of services or products delivered under this agreement, expressed or implied, is made by NORCAL.

We appreciate having the opportunity to provide our geophysical services to BAI. If you have any questions, or require additional geophysical services, please do not hesitate to call.

Respectfully,

NORCAL Geophysical Consultants, Inc.

75 David Bissiri

Professional Geophysicist, PGp 1009

DJB/KGB/tt

Enclosure: Plates: 1 - 2 Appendix A: GEOPHYSICAL METHODOLOGY

#### LIMITATIONS:

The detected utilities, as shown, may not represent all of the existing underground utilities as there are limitations unique to each geophysical method. These limitations may include: 1) subsurface targets too small or at depths beyond the detection limits of specific instruments, 2) subsurface targets not having a significant contrast in physical properties with the surrounding soils and 3) other cultural features above or below ground that cause instrumental interference and do not allow the detection of certain subsurface targets.

Some utilities may not be detectable using standard line location techniques, such as certain abandoned utilities, utilities not exposed at the ground surface, or those made of non-electrically conductive materials such as PVC, fiberglass, vitrified clay, metal pipes with insulating joints, communication lines, and non-energized electrical lines. In addition, utilities with tracer wires may be unavailable to private utility locating companies due to security reasons.

LEGEND				
	LIMITS OF GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY			
Е	ELECTRIC LINE			
NG	NATURAL GAS LINE			
SD	STORM DRAIN LINE			
	SUSPECTED PRODUCT LINE			
	UNDIFFERENTIATED UTILITY LINE			
	WATER LINE			
	SUSPECTED WATER LINE			
	UTILITY LINE CONTINUATION (LINE IS SUSPECTED TO CONTINUE BEYOND DETECTED LOCATION)			
?	UTILITY LINE NOT DETECTED BEYOND LOCATION (LINE MAY TERMINATE OR CONTINUE)			
x	FENCE			
	GPR ANOMALY - DISTURBED ZONE			
	UST ZONE (UTILITIES NOT DETECTED)			
	AIR/WATER DISPENSER			
8	BOLLARD			
	EMERGENCY SHUT OFF SWITCH			
<b>\$</b>	LIGHT STANDARD			
<b>o</b>	METAL PLATE			
+	MONITORING WELL			
	PAY TELEPHONE			
	STORM DRAIN CATCH BASIN			
-¢-	TRAFFIC SIGNAL			
	UTILITY VAULT/PULL BOX			
(AC)	ASPHALT			
(RC)	REINFORCED CONCRETE			

#### **RUTH COURT**



# HESPERIAN BOULEVARD

DATE:





NOTE: WE ASSUME PIPING AND ASSOCIATED UTILITY LINES EXTEND BETWEEN THE PUMPS AND THE EXISTING USTS; HOWEVER, WE WERE ABLE TO DELINEATE ONLY A LIMITED PORTION OF THEM. PLEASE REFER TO THE ASSOCIATED WRITTEN REPORT FOR DETAILS.

	GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY MAP BP-ARCO STATION 2162-SERVICE STATION AREA 15135 HESPERIAN BOULEVARD			
	LOCATION: SAN LEANDRO,	CALIFORNIA		
NORCAL	CLIENT: BROADBENT & AS	SOCIATES	PLATE	
JOB #: 13-1034.19	NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CO	NSULTANTS INC.	1	
DATE: JAN. 2014	DRAWN BY: G.RANDALL	APPROVED BY: DJB		

#### LIMITATIONS:

The detected utilities, as shown, may not represent all of the existing underground utilities as there are limitations unique to each geophysical method. These limitations may include: 1) subsurface targets too small or at depths beyond the detection limits of specific instruments, 2) subsurface targets not having a significant contrast in physical properties with the surrounding soils and 3) other cultural features above or below ground that cause instrumental interference and do not allow the detection of certain subsurface targets.

Some utilities may not be detectable using standard line location techniques, such as certain abandoned utilities, utilities not exposed at the ground surface, or those made of non-electrically conductive materials such as PVC, fiberglass, vitrified clay, metal pipes with insulating joints, communication lines, and non-energized electrical lines. In addition, utilities with tracer wires may be unavailable to private utility locating companies due to security reasons.





LIMITS OF GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY        E       ELECTRIC LINE        SS?       SUSPECTED SANITARY SEWER LINE (NOT DETECTED          SUSPECTED STORM DRAIN LINE          UNDIFFERENTIATED UTILITY LINE          UTILITY LINE CONTINUATION (LINE IS SUSPECTED TO CONTINUE BEYOND DETECTED LOCATION)        ?       UTILITY LINE NOT DETECTED BEYOND LOCATION (LINE MAY TERMINATE OR CONTINUE)	))
E       ELECTRIC LINE        SS?       SUSPECTED SANITARY SEWER LINE (NOT DETECTED        SD?       SUSPECTED STORM DRAIN LINE          UNDIFFERENTIATED UTILITY LINE          UTILITY LINE CONTINUATION (LINE IS SUSPECTED TO CONTINUE BEYOND DETECTED LOCATION)        ?       UTILITY LINE NOT DETECTED BEYOND LOCATION (LINE)	))
ss?       SUSPECTED SANITARY SEWER LINE (NOT DETECTED        so?       SUSPECTED STORM DRAIN LINE          UNDIFFERENTIATED UTILITY LINE          UTILITY LINE CONTINUATION (LINE IS SUSPECTED TO CONTINUE BEYOND DETECTED LOCATION)        ?       UTILITY LINE NOT DETECTED BEYOND LOCATION (LINE NAY TERMINATE OR CONTINUE)	)
sd?       SUSPECTED STORM DRAIN LINE          UNDIFFERENTIATED UTILITY LINE          UTILITY LINE CONTINUATION (LINE IS SUSPECTED TO CONTINUE BEYOND DETECTED LOCATION)        ?       UTILITY LINE NOT DETECTED BEYOND LOCATION (LINE MAY TERMINATE OR CONTINUE)	1
UTILITY LINE CONTINUATION (LINE IS SUSPECTED TO CONTINUE BEYOND DETECTED LOCATION) UTILITY LINE NOT DETECTED BEYOND LOCATION (LIN MAY TERMINATE OR CONTINUE)	1
UTILITY LINE NOT DETECTED BEYOND LOCATION (LIN MAY TERMINATE OR CONTINUE)	
	IE
FENCE	
ELECTRIC VAULT	
SANITARY SEWER CLEANOUT	
STORM DRAIN CATCH BASIN	
(AC) ASPHALT	



# HESPERIAN BOULEVARD





#### GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY MAP BP-ARCO STATION 2162 - KFC AREA 15135 HESPERIAN BOULEVARD

<u> </u>	LOCATION. SAN LEANDRO,		
4L	CLIENT: BROADBENT & AS	PLATE	
4.19	NORCAL GEOPHYSICAL CO	2	
)14	DRAWN BY: G.RANDALL	APPROVED BY: DJB	2


Appendix A

GEOPHYSICAL METHODOLOGY



# Appendix A

# **ELECTROMAGNETIC LINE LOCATION/METAL DETECTION (EMLL/MD)**

# METHODOLOGY

Electromagnetic line location techniques (EMLL) are used to locate the magnetic field resulting from an electric current flowing on a line. These magnetic fields can arise from currents already on the line (passive) or currents applied to a line with a transmitter (active). The most common passive signals are generated by live electric lines and re-radiated radio signals. Active signals can be introduced by connecting the transmitter to the line at accessible locations or by induction.

The detection of underground utilities is affected by the composition and construction of the line in question. Utilities detectable with standard line location techniques include any continuously connected metal pipes, cables/wires or utilities with tracer wires. Unless the utilities carry a passive current, they must be exposed at the surface or in accessible utility vaults. These generally include water, electric, natural gas, telephone, and other conduits related to facility operations. Utilities that are not detectable using standard electromagnetic line location techniques include those made of non-electrically conductive materials such as PVC, fiberglass, vitrified clay, and pipes with insulated connections.

Buried objects can also be detected, without direct contact, by using the metal detection technique (MD). This is used to detect buried near surface metal objects such as rebar, manhole covers, USTs, and various metallic debris. The MD transmitter-receiver unit is held above the ground and continuously scanned over the surface. The unit utilizes two orthogonal coils that are separated by a specified distance. One of the coils transmits an electromagnetic signal (primary magnetic field) which in turn produces a secondary magnetic field about the subsurface metal object. Since the receiver coil is orthogonal to the transmitter coil, it is unaffected by the primary field. Therefore, the secondary magnetic fields produced by buried metal object will generate an audible response from the unit. The peak of this response indicates when the unit is directly over the metal object.

The instrumentation we used for the EMLL and MD survey consists of a Radio Detection RD-400 and a Fisher TW-6 inductive pipe and cable locator.

# DATA ANALYSIS

The EMLL/MD instrumentation indicates the presence of buried metal by emitting an audible tone; there are no recorded data to analyze. Therefore, the locations of buried objects detected with these methods are marked on the ground surface during the survey.



# LIMITATION

The detection of underground utilities is dependent upon the composition and construction of the line of interest, as well as depth. Utilities detectable with standard line location techniques include any continuously connected metal pipes, cables/wires or utilities with tracer wires. Unless carrying a passive current these utilities must be exposed at the surface or accessible in utility vaults. These generally include water, electric, natural gas, telephone, and other conduits related to facility operations. Utilities that may not be detectable using standard electromagnetic line location techniques include certain abandoned utilities, utilities not exposed at the ground surface, or those made of non-electrically conductive materials such as PVC, fiberglass, vitrified clay, and metal pipes with insulating joints. Pipes generally deeper than about five to seven feet may not be detected.

# **GROUND PENETRATING RADAR (GPR)**

# METHODOLOGY

Ground penetrating radar is a method that provides a continuous, high resolution cross-section depicting variations in the electrical properties of the shallow subsurface. The method is particularly sensitive to variations in electrical conductivity and electrical permittivity (the ability of a material to hold a charge when an electrical field is applied).

The GPR system operates by radiating electromagnetic pulses into the ground from a transducer (antenna) as it is moved along a traverse. Since most earth materials are transparent to electromagnetic energy, the signal spreads downward into the subsurface. However, when the signal encounters a variation in electrical permittivity, a portion of the electromagnetic energy is reflected back to the surface. When the signal encounters a metal object, all of the incident energy is reflected. The reflected signals are received by the same transducer and are printed in cross-section form on a graphical recorder. Changes in subsurface reflection character on the GPR records can provide information regarding the location of USTs, sumps, buried debris, underground utilities, and variations in the shallow stratigraphy.

The GPR system used was a Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. SIR-3000 Subsurface Interface Radar Systems equipped with a 400 megahertz (MHz) transducer, respectively. This transducer is used to provide high resolution at shallow depths.

# DATA ANALYSIS

GPR records are examined to identify reflection patterns characteristic of USTs, utilities, septic tanks, and other buried debris. Typically, USTs are manifested by broad localized hyperbolic (upside-down "U" shape) reflection patterns that vary in intensity. The intensity of a reflection pattern is usually dependent upon the condition of the respective UST, its burial depth, and the type of fill over the UST. Utilities and other buried debris are typically manifested by narrow localized hyperbolic reflections that also vary in intensity.



# LIMITATIONS

The ability to detect subsurface targets is dependent on site specific conditions. These conditions include depth of burial, the size or diameter of the target, the condition of the specific target in question, the type of backfill material associated with the target, and the surface conditions over the target. Under ideal conditions, the GPR can generally detect objects buried to approximately six feet. However, as the clay content in the subsurface increases, the GPR depth of detection decreases. Therefore, it is possible that on-site soil conditions and target features may limit the depth of detection to the upper one to two feet below ground surface.

# APPENDIX H

Soil Boring Logs

U	BR	DAL	DB	EN		THOLO	OGIC AND	MONITOR W	VELL CONST	RUC	CTION LOG
PRO	JECT NAME: _	BP 2162				SITE AI	DDRESS: <u>151</u>	79 Hesperian Blvd,	San Leandro, CA (K	(FC)	
PRO	JECT NUMBER	R: <u>06-88-62</u>	0			LEGAL	DESC:		APN:		
LOG	GED BY: <u>A</u>	Nex Martinez				FACILI	TY ID OR WAIV	ER:	NOI NUMBI	ER: _	
DATE	E: <u>12/23/2</u>	013	STAR	Г:090	0	DRILLIN	NG COMPANY:	Gregg Drilling	DRILLER: _	Arm	ando Torres
WEL	LID: <u>SB-1</u>		_ STOP:	092	5	DRILLIN	NG METHOD: _	Direct Push	_ SAMPLE METHO	D:	Macrocore
DEPTH (FEET)	SOIL BORING	SAMPLE ID	PID	MOISTL	RE COLOR	CONSI	STENCY	GRAIN SIZE	CLASSIFICA	TION	REMARKS & ODORS
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Conc. Inous - Conc.	SB-1 @14ft	54 ppm 55 ppm	Slightly Damp Damp Damp	Brown Light Brown Brown Brown	Very Stiff Soft Loose	Air Knife to 6.5	icity, few angular gr silt, 0% sand, <5% d (85, 5, 10, 0) (95, <5, 0, 0) nd; ticity	avels gravel)	CL CH	None None None None
TOTA THIS SUN MAY CHAI	L BORING DE	PTH: <u>16 ft</u> HIS LOCATION AND AT THE IN THE PASSAGE OF TIME.	TIME OF LOGGING	PA	GE NO:	1 OF	1 ICATIONS AND COUNTERED.				NDWATER DEPTH: <u>10 ft</u>

<b>0</b>	BR	OAI	DBL	EN		THOLO	OGIC AND	MONITOR V	VELL CONST	RUC	CTION LOG
PROJEC	CT NAME:	BP 2162				SITE AI	DRESS: 1517	79 Hesperian Blvd,	San Leandro, CA (I	(FC)	
PROJEC	CT NUMBER	06-88-62	0			LEGAL	DESC:		APN	:	
LOGGE	О ВҮ: <u>А</u>	lex Martinez				FACILI	'Y ID OR WAIVE	ER:	NOI NUMB	ER: _	
DATE: _	12/23/2	013	START	r: <u>080</u>	00	DRILLIN	IG COMPANY:	Gregg Drilling	DRILLER:	Arm	ando Torres
WELLID	:SB-2		_ STOP:	084	5	DRILLIN	IG METHOD:	Direct Push	SAMPLE METHO	D:	Macrocore
DEPTH (FEET) St	OIL BORING	SAMPLE ID	PID	MOISTI	URE COLOR	CONSI	STENCY	GRAIN SIZE	CLASSIFICA	TION	REMARKS & ODORS
1	Z- BORING DE	SB-2 SB-2 @14ft	1.2 ppm 112 ppm	Slightly Damp Dry Very Moist Wet Very Moist	Brown Light Brown Drk Brown Olive Brown Brown	Soft Stiff Soft Very Loose Soft	Air Knife to 6.5' Silt; very little s. (10% clay, 85% Some fine grair (0,90,5,5) Clay; angular g Clay; high plast (95, 5, 0, 0) Clay becomes s Silt; little to no p (0, 85, 15, 0) Sand; fine sand (0, 5, 90, 5) Clay; high plast (95, <5, 0, 0) 3" fine grained s	and, medium plastic silt, <5% sand, 0% ned sand rains, some fine gra icity stiff @ 10.5' plasticity, with sand l, some fine gravel icity sand lens @ bottom	city, some clay 5 gravel) avel (90, 0 , 0 10)	ML CL CH SC CH	None None None None None
25 TOTAL E	BORING DE	PTH: <u>16 ft</u> IS LOCATION AND AT THE H THE PASSAGE OF TIME	TIME OF LOGGING THE DATA PRESE	PA . SUBSURFACE C NTED IS A SIMPLIF		1 OF				) GROU	NDWATER DEPTH: <u>10 ft</u>

PROJECT NUMBE	PROJECT NUMBER       BP 2192       SITE ADDRESS:       [15179 Hesperine Bive; Sam Learning, CA. (KFC)         PROJECT NUMBER	U	BR	DAL	DB	EN		THOLO		/IONITOR W	ELL CONSTR	ิรบด	TION LOG
PROJECT NUMBER:       06-08-020       LEGAL DESC:       APP:       PROJECT NUMBER:       APP:         DATE:       1223/2013       START:       0935       DRILLING COMPANY:       Geogo Drillog       DRILLER:       Amando Torres         WELLID:       SB3       STOP:       1000       DRILLING COMPANY:       Geogo Drillog       DRILLER:       Amando Torres         0       SB3       STOP:       1000       DRILLING COMPANY:       Geogo Drillog       DRILLING COMPANY:       Geogo Drilog       GRILLING COMPANY:	PROJECT NUMBER:       0.00000       0.000000       APN	PROJ	ECT NAME:	BP 2162				SITE A	DRESS: 15179	Hesperian Blvd, S	an Leandro, CA (KF	-C)	
LOGGED BY:	LOGGED BY:	PROJ	ECT NUMBER	: 06-88-62	0			LEGAL	DESC:		APN:		
DATE       12232013       START:       0935       DRILLING COMPANY,       Grang Dulling       DRILLER:       Amenda Tarres         WELLD:       SB-3       STOP:       1000       DRILLING METHOD:       Dares       Code       Reserved       Reserve	DATE       12232031       START:       0935       DRILLING COMPANY:       Greag Drilling       DRILLER:       Ammande Torres         WELLID:       58-3       STOP:       1000       DRILLING METHOD:       Direct Push       SAMPLE METHOD:       Macrocentre         1	LOGO	GED BY:	lex Martinez				FACILIT	Y ID OR WAIVEF	R:		ER:	
WELLID:         SB-3         STOP:         100         DRILLING METHOD:         Direct Push         SAMPLE METHOD:         Macroscole           000-809000         SAMPLE MC         None         C4400000000000000000000000000000000000	WELLID:	DATE	. 12/23/2	013	STAR	Г: <u>093</u>	5	DRILLIN	IG COMPANY:	Gregg Drilling	DRILLER:	Arma	ando Torres
BOILLOWNO         SAMPLE D         PD         upper fifte         cs         cs         Comparison         PERMANCE A           1         -	BEDFUT         SOLE DORVED         SAMPLE ID         PRO         Jest first of the product of the grave in the grave in the status of the grave in the status of the s	WELL	-ID: <u>SB-3</u>		_ STOP:	100	0	DRILLIN	IG METHOD:	Direct Push	SAMPLE METHOD	D:	Macrocore
1       -	1       1	DEPTH (FEET)	SOIL BORING	SAMPLE ID	PID	MOIST	JRE COLOR	CONSI	STENCY	GRAIN SIZE	CLASSIFICAT	70 <sub>N</sub>	REMARKS & ODORS
	TOTAL BORING DEPTH. 101 PAGE NO. 1 OF 1 STIMATED FIRST ENCOUNTERED GROUNDWATER DEPTH: 101	1		SB-3 @14ft	45 ppm 69 ppm	Dry Damp Moist Wet	Drk Brown Lt. Brown Lt. Brown Lt Brown Lt Brown	Stiff Loose Soft Very Loose Soft	Air Knife to 6.5' Clay; no plasticity, fine 1 low plasticity (90, 10, 0 Clayey sand; fine (45, 5, 50, 0) Clay; medium pla (95, 5, 0, 0) Clay with fine grave Sandy gravel; fine sa Clay; medium pla Sandy gravel; fin- subangular/subre (10, 0, 25, 65) Clay; medium pla (90, 10, 0, 0)	gravels; (85% clay, 10% s , 0) e sands, low plastici asticity I, no plasticity, no mat nd/gravel, angular grain asticity (90, 10, 0, 0) e gravel, medium s bunded grains, som	it, 0% sand, <5% gravel) ty rix (80, 0, 0, 20) s (15, 5, 25, 55) ) and, e clay;	CL SC CL GM CL GM	None None None None None
	24	20 — 21 — 22 — 23 —											
	23	20 — 21 — 22 —											

# **APPENDIX I**

Laboratory Analytical Reports

# <u>TestAmerica</u>

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

# ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. TestAmerica Irvine 17461 Derian Ave Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92614-5817 Tel: (949)261-1022

TestAmerica Job ID: 440-66062-1 Client Project/Site: ARCO 2162, San Leandro

For: Broadbent & Associates, Inc. 875 Cotting Lane Suite G Vacaville, California 95688

Attn: Kristene Tidwell

tootheen &

Authorized for release by: 1/9/2014 10:29:37 AM

Kathleen Robb, Project Manager II (949)261-1022 kathleen.robb@testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

# **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Sample Summary	3
Case Narrative	4
Client Sample Results	5
Method Summary	11
Chronicle	12
QC Sample Results	14
QC Association	22
Definitions	24
Certification Summary	25
Chain of Custody	26
Receipt Checklists	27

# Sample Summary

Client: Broadbent & Associates, Inc. Project/Site: ARCO 2162, San Leandro TestAmerica Job ID: 440-66062-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received	3
440-66062-1	SB-1	Water	12/23/13 09:20	12/24/13 11:00	
440-66062-2	SB-2	Water	12/23/13 08:30	12/24/13 11:00	
440-66062-3	SB-3	Water	12/23/13 09:55	12/24/13 11:00	5
440-66062-4	SB-1 @ 14'	Solid	12/23/13 09:15	12/24/13 11:00	5
440-66062-5	SB-2 @ 14'	Solid	12/23/13 08:25	12/24/13 11:00	
440-66062-6	SB-3 @ 14'	Solid	12/23/13 09:50	12/24/13 11:00	
					8
					9
					13

# Job ID: 440-66062-1

# Laboratory: TestAmerica Irvine

#### Narrative

Job Narrative 440-66062-1

# Comments

No additional comments.

#### Receipt

The samples were received on 12/24/2013 11:00 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.2° C.

Method(s) 5030B, 8015B, 8260B: The following sample(s) was received with headspace in the sample vial: SB-3 (440-66062-3). 3 vials were affected.

The original custody seal on one of the vials was removed.

The following sample(s) was collected in improper containers: SB-1 (440-66062-1), SB-2 (440-66062-2), SB-3 (440-66062-3). The client requests 8015 DRO however no appropriate containers for the analysis was received. Containers received were VOA vials.

# GC/MS VOA

Method(s) 8260B: The following sample(s) submitted for volatiles analysis was received with insufficient preservation (pH >2):pH is 5 SB-1 (440-66062-1).

No other analytical or quality issues were noted.

#### GC VOA

Method(s) 8015B: The following sample(s) was received with headspace in the sample vial: SB-3 (440-66062-3).

Method(s) 8015B: The following sample(s) submitted for volatiles analysis was received with insufficient preservation (pH > 2): SB-1 (440-66062-1). pH=4

No other analytical or quality issues were noted.

#### GC Semi VOA

No analytical or quality issues were noted.

Organic Prep

No analytical or quality issues were noted.

VOA Prep

No analytical or quality issues were noted.

**Client Sample ID: SB-1** 

\_

Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:20

Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-1

# 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

\_

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Benzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Ethanol	ND		150	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	15		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
o-Xylene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	0.92		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		10	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Toluene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Naphthalene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 17:08	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	118		80 - 120		-		01/02/14 17:08	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	118		76 - 132				01/02/14 17:08	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	116		80 - 128				01/02/14 17:08	1
- Method: 8015B/5030B - Gasolin	e Range Organi	ics (GC)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
GRO (C6-C12)	59		50	ug/L			12/31/13 23:27	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	97		65 - 140		-		12/31/13 23:27	1

Client Sample ID: SB-2 Date Collected: 12/23/13 08:30 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

Lab	Sample	ID:	440-66062-2

N	latrix	: W	ater

Method: 8260B/5030B - Volatile	e Organic Compo	ounds (GC/	MS)					
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Benzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Ethanol	ND		150	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	6.0		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
o-Xylene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		10	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Toluene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Naphthalene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 17:35	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	114		80 - 120		-		01/02/14 17:35	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	108		76 - 132				01/02/14 17:35	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	115		80 - 128				01/02/14 17:35	1
_ Method: 8015B/5030B - Gasoliı	ne Range Organi	cs (GC)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
GRO (C6-C12)	ND		50	ug/L			12/31/13 23:54	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	106		65 - 140		-		12/31/13 23:54	1

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-3

# 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Matrix: Water

# Client Sample ID: SB-3 Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:55 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

\_

Method: 8260B/5030B - Volatile	e Organic Comp	ounds (GC/	MS)					
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Benzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Ethanol	ND		150	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
o-Xylene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		10	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Toluene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Naphthalene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 18:02	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	115		80 - 120		-		01/02/14 18:02	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	110		76 - 132				01/02/14 18:02	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	116		80 - 128				01/02/14 18:02	1
_ Method: 8015B/5030B - Gasoli	ne Range Organi	ics (GC)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
GRO (C6-C12)	ND		50	ug/L			01/01/14 00:22	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	102		65 - 140		-		01/01/14 00:22	1

# Client Sample ID: SB-1 @ 14' Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:15 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

# Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-4 Matrix: Solid

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compounds	(GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Benzene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Ethanol	ND		0.30	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		0.0040	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
o-Xylene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.099	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Toluene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.0040	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 12:23	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	113		79 - 123				12/30/13 12:23	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	105		79 - 120				12/30/13 12:23	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	101		60 - 120				12/30/13 12:23	1
Method: 8015B - Gasoline Ran	ge Organics - (G	C)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
GRO (C6-C12)	ND		0.38	mg/Kg			01/03/14 22:05	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	91		65 - 140				01/03/14 22:05	1
Method: 8015B - Diesel Range	Organics (DRO)	(GC)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
DRO (C10-C28)	ND		5.0	mg/Kg		12/31/13 13:44	12/31/13 19:06	1

ND DRO (C10-C28) 5.0 mg/Kg 12/31/13 13:44 12/31/13 19:06 %Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Surrogate 40 - 140 12/31/13 13:44 12/31/13 19:06 n-Octacosane 73

TestAmerica Irvine

Dil Fac

1

# Client Sample ID: SB-2 @ 14' Date Collected: 12/23/13 08:25

Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

n-Octacosane

# Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-5

Matrix: Solid

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Benzene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
lsopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
Ethanol	ND		0.30	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
n,p-Xylene	ND		0.0040	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
o-Xylene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
ert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
ert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.099	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
oluene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
(ylenes, Total	ND		0.0040	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
laphthalene	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg			12/30/13 10:53	1
urrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
oluene-d8 (Surr)			79 - 123				12/30/13 10:53	1
-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		79 - 120				12/30/13 10:53	1
ibromofluoromethane (Surr)	92		60 - 120				12/30/13 10:53	1
/lethod: 8015B - Gasoline Ran	ge Organics - (G	C)						
	ge e gamee (e	Ouglifier			п	Droparad	Analyzod	Dil Fac
Analyte	Result	Quaimer	RL	Unit		Frepareu	Analyzeu	
SRO (C6-C12)	Result ND		0.38	mg/Kg			01/06/14 12:31	1
Analyte GRO (C6-C12) Surrogate	Result ND	Qualifier	0.38	Unit mg/Kg		Prepared	01/06/14 12:31	1 Dil Fac
Analyte GRO (C6-C12) Surrogate -Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	ND %Recovery 99	Qualifier	RL           0.38           Limits           65 - 140	Unit mg/Kg		Prepared	Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31           Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31	1 
Analyte GRO (C6-C12) Surrogate I-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	Organics (DPO)		0.38	mg/Kg		Prepared	Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31           Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31	1 Dil Fac 1
nalyte IRO (C6-C12) <i>urrogate</i> -Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) Nethod: 8015B - Diesel Range nalyte	Organics (DRO)	Qualifier (GC) Qualifier	Limits 65 - 140	Unit mg/Kg		Prepared	Analyzed 01/06/14 12:31 Analyzed 01/06/14 12:31	Dil Fac
Analyte GRO (C6-C12) Surrogate 1-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) Method: 8015B - Diesel Range Inalyte JRO (C10-C28)	Result           ND           %Recovery           99           Organics (DRO)           Result           ND	Qualifier (GC) Qualifier	RL           0.38           Limits           65 - 140           RL           5.0	Unit mg/Kg	<u>D</u>	Prepared           Prepared           Prepared           12/31/13 13:44	Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31           Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31           Analyzed           12/31/13 19:27	1 <i>Dil Fac</i> 1 Dil Fac 1
Analyte GRO (C6-C12) Surrogate 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) Method: 8015B - Diesel Range Analyte DRO (C10-C28) Surrogate	Organics (DRO) Result ND Organics (DRO) Result ND	Qualifier (GC) Qualifier	RL       0.38       Limits       65 - 140       RL       5.0	Unit mg/Kg Unit mg/Kg	D	Prepared Prepared 12/31/13 13:44 Prepared	Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31           Analyzed           01/06/14 12:31           Analyzed           12/31/13 19:27           Analyzed	1 Dil Fac 1 Dil Fac 1 Dil Fac

RL

0.0020

0.0050

0.30

Unit

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Result Qualifier

ND

ND

ND

# Client Sample ID: SB-3 @ 14' Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:50 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

Analyte

Benzene

Ethanol

Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)

Analyzed

12/30/13 12:53

12/30/13 12:53

12/30/13 12:53

Prepared

D

# Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-6 Matrix: Solid

Dil Fac

1

1

1

5

8
9

Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		0.0040	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
o-Xylene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.10	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
Toluene	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.0040	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0020	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.0050	mg/Kg		12/30/13 12:53	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	113		79 - 123			12/30/13 12:53	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		79 - 120			12/30/13 12:53	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95		60 - 120			12/30/13 12:53	1
_ Method: 8015B - Gasoline Ran	ge Organics - (G	C)					

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
GRO (C6-C12)	ND		0.38	mg/Kg			01/06/14 12:57	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	91		65 - 140				01/06/14 12:57	1
Method: 8015B - Diesel Range	Organics (DRO)	(GC)						
Analyte DRO (C10-C28)	Result ND	Qualifier	RL	Unit mg/Kg	D	Prepared 12/31/13 13:44	Analyzed 12/31/13 19:48	Dil Fac
Analyte DRO (C10-C28) Surrogate	Result ND %Recovery	Qualifier Qualifier	RL 5.0	Unit mg/Kg	<u> </u>	Prepared 12/31/13 13:44 Prepared	Analyzed 12/31/13 19:48 Analyzed	Dil Fac 1 Dil Fac

# **Method Summary**

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL IRV = TestAmerica Irvine, 17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614-5817, TEL (949)261-1022

# Client: Broadbent & Associates, Inc. Project/Site: ARCO 2162, San Leandro

Method Description

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Gasoline Range Organics - (GC)

Diesel Range Organics (DRO) (GC)

Gasoline Range Organics (GC)

Method

8260B

8015B

8015B

8260B/5030B

8015B/5030B

Protocol References:

Laboratory References:

Protocol SW846

SW846

SW846

SW846

SW846

Laboratory

TAL IRV

TAL IRV

TAL IRV

TAL IRV

TAL IRV

5
6
8
9

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-1

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-3

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-4

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-5

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Water

# 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-2 Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: SB-1
Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:20
Data Received: 12/24/13 11:00

1												_
ſ	_	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared			
	Ргер Туре	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
	Total/NA	Analysis	8260B/5030B		1	10 mL	10 mL	153720	01/02/14 17:08	AA	TAL IRV	
	Total/NA	Analysis	8015B/5030B		1	10 mL	10 mL	153679	12/31/13 23:27	TL	TAL IRV	

# Client Sample ID: SB-2 Date Collected: 12/23/13 08:30 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

<b>[</b>	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Ргер Туре	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B/5030B		1	10 mL	10 mL	153720	01/02/14 17:35	AA	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Analysis	8015B/5030B		1	10 mL	10 mL	153679	12/31/13 23:54	TL	TAL IRV

# Client Sample ID: SB-3

# Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:55 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B/5030B		1	10 mL	10 mL	153720	01/02/14 18:02	AA	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Analysis	8015B/5030B		1	10 mL	10 mL	153679	01/01/14 00:22	TL	TAL IRV

# Client Sample ID: SB-1 @ 14'

Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:15 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

Γ	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	5.04 g	10 mL	153275	12/30/13 12:23	MP	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Analysis	8015B		1	5.2 g	10 mL	154083	01/03/14 22:05	PH	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Prep	CA LUFT			30.03 g	1 mL	153630	12/31/13 13:44	SJ	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Analysis	8015B		1	30.03 g	1 mL	153586	12/31/13 19:06	KW	TAL IRV

# Client Sample ID: SB-2 @ 14' Date Collected: 12/23/13 08:25 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Ргер Туре	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	5.05 g	10 mL	153275	12/30/13 10:53	MP	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Analysis	8015B		1	5.25 g	10 mL	154254	01/06/14 12:31	TL	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Prep	CA LUFT			30.08 g	1 mL	153630	12/31/13 13:44	SJ	TAL IRV
Total/NA	Analysis	8015B		1	30.08 g	1 mL	153586	12/31/13 19:27	KW	TAL IRV

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-6

Matrix: Solid

# Client Sample ID: SB-3 @ 14'

Date Collected: 12/23/13 09:50 Date Received: 12/24/13 11:00

Prep Type Total/NA	Batch Type Analysis	Batch Method 8260B	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount 5.01 g	Final Amount 10 mL	Batch Number 153275	Prepared or Analyzed 12/30/13 12:53	Analyst	_ Lab TAL IRV
Total/NA	Analysis	8015B		1	5.23 g	10 mL	154254	01/06/14 12:57	TL	TAL IRV
Total/NA Total/NA	Prep Analysis	CA LUFT 8015B		1	30.07 g 30.07 g	1 mL 1 mL	153630 153586	12/31/13 13:44 12/31/13 19:48	SJ KW	TAL IRV TAL IRV

# Laboratory References:

TAL IRV = TestAmerica Irvine, 17461 Derian Ave, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614-5817, TEL (949)261-1022

Lab Sample ID: MB 440-153275/4

Analysis Batch: 153275

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

107

105

Matrix: Solid

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank** 

Prep Type: Total/NA

Method: 8260B	- Volatile	Organic	Compounds	(GC/MS)
---------------	------------	---------	-----------	---------

Analyte	Re	esult	Qualifier	RL		Unit		D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Benzene		ND		0.0020		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)		ND		0.0050		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Ethanol		ND		0.30		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.0050		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.0020		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
m,p-Xylene		ND		0.0040		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)		ND		0.0050		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
o-Xylene		ND		0.0020		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.0050		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		0.10		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Toluene		ND		0.0020		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0020		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Xylenes, Total		ND		0.0040		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
1,2-Dichloroethane		ND		0.0020		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
Naphthalene		ND		0.0050		mg/Kg				12/30/13 08:56	1
		MB	MR								
Surrogate	%Peco	vorv	Qualifier	Limite					Proparad	Analyzod	Dil Eac
Toluene_d8 (Surr)		112	Quanner						riepareu	12/30/13 08:56	1
A Promofluorobonzono (Surr)		105		79 - 123						12/30/13 00:56	1
4-Bromondorobenzene (Sum)		105		79 - 120						12/30/13 08.50	1
Analysis Batch: 153275											otantot
				Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte				Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit		D %Rec	Limits	
Benzene				0.0500	0.0523		mg/Kg		105	65 - 120	
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)				0.0500	0.0611		mg/Kg		122	60 - 140	
Ethanol				0.500	0.503		mg/Kg		101	35 - 160	
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)				0.0500	0.0615		mg/Kg		123	60 _ 140	
Ethylbenzene				0.0500	0.0548		mg/Kg		110	70 <sub>-</sub> 125	
m,p-Xylene				0.100	0.109		mg/Kg		109	70 - 125	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)				0.0500	0.0636		mg/Kg		127	60 - 140	
o-Xylene				0.0500	0.0577		mg/Kg		115	70 - 125	
I ert-amyl-methyl ether (IAME)				0.0500	0.0638		mg/Kg		128	60 - 145	
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)				0.250	0.249		mg/Kg		99	70 - 135	
Toluene				0.0500	0.0564		mg/Kg		113	70 - 125	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)				0.0500	0.0575		mg/Kg		115	70 - 130	
1,2-Dichloroethane				0.0500	0.0549		mg/Kg		110	60 - 140	
Naphthalene				0.0500	0.0559		mg/Kg		112	55 - 135	
	LCS	LCS									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qual	ifier	Limits							
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	114			79 - 123							

TestAmerica Irvine

79 - 120

60 - 120

Limits

79 - 123

79 - 120

60 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCS 440-153275/6

Matrix: Solid

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Surrogate

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

LCS LCS

%Recovery Qualifier

112

110

102

Prep Type: Total/NA

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

# 8

# Client Sample ID: SB-2 @ 14' Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-2 @ 14'

Prep Type: Total/NA

# Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 153275

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-5 MS

Analysis Batch: 153275

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)

Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Benzene	ND		0.0499	0.0574		mg/Kg		115	65 - 130	
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.0499	0.0679		mg/Kg		136	60 - 150	
Ethanol	ND		0.499	0.608		mg/Kg		122	30 - 165	
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.0499	0.0672		mg/Kg		135	60 - 145	
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.0499	0.0608		mg/Kg		122	70 - 135	
m,p-Xylene	ND		0.0998	0.121		mg/Kg		122	70 - 130	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0499	0.0672		mg/Kg		135	55 - 155	
o-Xylene	ND		0.0499	0.0642		mg/Kg		129	65 <sub>-</sub> 130	
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0499	0.0693		mg/Kg		139	60 - 150	
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.250	0.315		mg/Kg		126	65 - 145	
Toluene	ND		0.0499	0.0624		mg/Kg		125	70 - 130	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0499	0.0613		mg/Kg		123	65 - 140	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0499	0.0588		mg/Kg		118	60 - 150	
Naphthalene	ND		0.0499	0.0576		mg/Kg		115	40 - 150	

	MS	MS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
Toluene-d8 (Surr)			79 - 123
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	106		79 - 120
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	103		60 - 120

# Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-5 MSD Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 153275

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Benzene	ND		0.0498	0.0550		mg/Kg		110	65 _ 130	4	20
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.0498	0.0643		mg/Kg		129	60 - 150	6	25
Ethanol	ND		0.498	0.592		mg/Kg		119	30 - 165	3	40
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.0498	0.0639		mg/Kg		128	60 - 145	5	30
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.0498	0.0584		mg/Kg		117	70 _ 135	4	25
m,p-Xylene	ND		0.0996	0.116		mg/Kg		117	70 - 130	4	25
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0498	0.0642		mg/Kg		129	55 - 155	5	35
o-Xylene	ND		0.0498	0.0617		mg/Kg		124	65 _ 130	4	25
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0498	0.0665		mg/Kg		134	60 _ 150	4	25
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.249	0.310		mg/Kg		124	65 - 145	2	30
Toluene	ND		0.0498	0.0597		mg/Kg		120	70 - 130	5	20
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0498	0.0583		mg/Kg		117	65 - 140	5	25
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0498	0.0561		mg/Kg		113	60 - 150	5	25

Spike

Added

0.0498

Limits

79 - 123

79 - 120

60 - 120

MSD MSD

0.0544

Result Qualifier

Unit

mg/Kg

D

%Rec

109

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-5 MSD

Analysis Batch: 153275

4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)

Analysis Batch: 153720

Lab Sample ID: MB 440-153720/4

Matrix: Solid

Analyte

Naphthalene

Surrogate

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Matrix: Water

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Sample Sample

MSD MSD

Qualifier

MB MB

ND

114

106

100

%Recovery

Method: 8260B/5030B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Result Qualifier

Client Sample ID: SB-2 @ 14'

%Rec.

Limits

40 - 150

Prep Type: Total/NA

RPD

6

RPD

Limit

40

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank** Prep Type: Total/NA

Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
	01/02/14 08:29	1
	01/02/14 08:29	1
	01/02/14 08:20	1

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample** 

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Benzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Ethanol	ND		150	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
o-Xylene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		10	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Toluene	ND		0.50	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1
Naphthalene	ND		1.0	ug/L			01/02/14 08:29	1

	MB	МВ				
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	109		80 - 120		01/02/14 08:29	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	100		76 - 132		01/02/14 08:29	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	112		80 - 128		01/02/14 08:29	1

# Lab Sample ID: LCS 440-153720/23 Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 153720

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	25.0	26.5		ug/L		106	70 - 130	
1,2-Dichloroethane	25.0	25.0		ug/L		100	57 - 138	
Benzene	25.0	24.3		ug/L		97	68 - 130	
Ethanol	250	254		ug/L		102	50 - 149	
Ethylbenzene	25.0	24.5		ug/L		98	70 - 130	
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	25.0	25.2		ug/L		101	60 - 136	
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	25.0	24.4		ug/L		98	58 _ 139	

**TestAmerica** Irvine

Prep Type: Total/NA

# Method: 8260B/5030B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 440-153720/23 Matrix: Water Analysis Batabi 152720					Client	Sample	ID: Lab Co Prep Ty	ntrol Sample pe: Total/NA
Analysis Batch. 155720	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
m,p-Xylene	50.0	51.8		ug/L		104	70 - 130	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	25.0	25.5		ug/L		102	63 <sub>-</sub> 131	
o-Xylene	25.0	26.2		ug/L		105	70 - 130	
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	25.0	28.0		ug/L		112	57 - 139	
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	125	128		ug/L		102	70 - 130	
Toluene	25.0	25.4		ug/L		102	70 - 130	
Naphthalene	25.0	22.1		ug/L		88	60 - 140	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	108		80 - 120
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	101		76 - 132
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	112		80 - 128

# Lab Sample ID: 440-65700-F-3 MS Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 153720

	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		250	285		ug/L		114	70 - 131	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		250	263		ug/L		105	56 _ 146	
Benzene	210		250	455		ug/L		98	66 - 130	
Ethanol	ND		2500	2330		ug/L		93	54 _ 150	
Ethylbenzene	370		250	577	EY	ug/L		84	70 - 130	
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		250	275		ug/L		110	70 - 130	
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	19		250	274		ug/L		102	64 - 138	
m,p-Xylene	300		500	816		ug/L		103	70 - 133	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		250	282		ug/L		113	70 - 130	
o-Xylene	26		250	293		ug/L		107	70 - 133	
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		250	307		ug/L		123	68 - 133	
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	130		1250	1330		ug/L		96	70 - 130	
Toluene	51		250	307		ug/L		103	70 - 130	
Naphthalene	510		250	722		ug/L		85	60 - 140	

	MS	MS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)			80 - 120
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	106		76 - 132
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	117		80 - 128

# Lab Sample ID: 440-65700-F-3 MSD Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 153720

2	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		250	284		ug/L		114	70 - 131	1	25
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		250	266		ug/L		106	56 - 146	1	20
Benzene	210		250	441		ug/L		92	66 _ 130	3	20
Ethanol	ND		2500	2520		ug/L		101	54 - 150	8	30
Ethylbenzene	370		250	559	EY	ug/L		77	70 - 130	3	20

TestAmerica Irvine

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

5

8

# Method: 8260B/5030B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 440-65700-F- Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 153720	3 MSD						Client Sa	ample IC	): Matrix Sp Prep T	oike Dup ype: Tot	olicate tal/NA
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Ethyl-t-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		250	283		ug/L		113	70 - 130	3	25
Isopropyl Ether (DIPE)	19		250	287		ug/L		107	64 - 138	5	25
m,p-Xylene	300		500	809		ug/L		102	70 <sub>-</sub> 133	1	25
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		250	284		ug/L		114	70 - 130	1	25
o-Xylene	26		250	292		ug/L		106	70 - 133	0	20
Tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME)	ND		250	305		ug/L		122	68 - 133	1	30
tert-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	130		1250	1410		ug/L		102	70 - 130	6	25
Toluene	51		250	304		ug/L		101	70 - 130	1	20
Naphthalene	510		250	710		ug/L		80	60 - 140	2	30
	MSD	MSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	113		80 - 120								
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	103		76 - 132								
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	113		80 - 128								

# Method: 8015B - Gasoline Range Organics - (GC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 440-154083 Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 154083	:/4										Client S	ample ID: M Prep Ty	/lethod /pe: To	Blank tal/NA
Analysis Baten. 104000		мв	мв											
Analyte	R	esult	Qualifier	RL			Unit		D	Pi	repared	Analyze	∋d	Dil Fac
GRO (C6-C12)		ND		0.40			mg/Kg	1			•	01/03/14 1	9:57	1
•	a. =	мв	мв							_				
Surrogate	%Reco	overy	Qualifier	Limits					_	РІ	repared	Analyz	ed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)		97		65 - 140								01/03/14 1	9:57	1
 I ab Sample ID: I CS 440-15408	3/2								Clie	ont	Sample	ID: I ah Co	ntrol S	amnle
Matrix: Solid	0/2								011		oumpio	Pron Ty	ne To	tal/NΔ
Analysis Batch: 154083													,pc. 10	
Analysis Datch. 134005				Spike	LCS	LCS						%Rec.		
Analyte				Added	Result	Qual	ifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits		
GRO (C4-C12)				1.60	1.51			ma/Ka		_	94	70 - 135		
( )								5 5						
	LCS	LCS												
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qua	lifier	Limits										
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	101			65 - 140										
	00/0											ah Cantra	Comu	- D
Lab Sample ID: LCSD 440-1540	183/3								ient 5	am			Sampi	
Matrix: Solid												Prep 1	/pe: 10	tai/NA
Analysis Batch: 154083				Califo		1.001	_					% Dee		
Amelia				<b>Зріке</b>	LUSD	LUSI		11		_	0/ <b>D</b> = =	%Rec.		RPD
					Result	Quai	itier	Unit		_	%Rec			
GRU (U4-U12)				1.60	1.44			mg/Kg			90	70 - 135	5	20
	LCSD	LCS	D											
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qua	lifier	Limits										
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	105			65 - 140										

# Method: 8015B - Gasoline Range Organics - (GC) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 440-66386-A- Matrix: Solid	1 MS							Client	Sample ID Prep T	: Matrix ype: To	Spike Stal/NA
Analysis Batch: 154083											
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.		
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits		
GRO (C4-C12)	ND		1.50	1.08		mg/Kg		72	60 - 140		
	MS	MS									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	78		65 - 140								
Lab Sample ID: 440-66386-A-	1 MSD					Ċ	Client S	Sample II	): Matrix S	nike Du	plicate
Matrix: Solid									Prep T	vpe: To	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 154083										,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
GRO (C4-C12)	ND		1.55	1.07		mg/Kg		69	60 - 140	1	30
	MED	MED									
Surragata	MSD % Basavary	MSD	Limito								
A-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	74		65 140								
	74		05 - 740								
Lab Sample ID: MB 440-1542	54/4							Client S	Sample ID:	Method	Blank
Matrix: Solid									Prep I	ype: Io	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 154254											
Analyte	P	wid wid esult Qualifier	DI		Unit		п	Propared	Analy	boz	Dil Eac
GR0 (C6-C12)				- 	01111 ma/K	a		riepaieu	01/06/14	12:05	1
		HB	0.10		ing/it	9			01100/11	12.00	
		MB MB									
Surrogate	%Reco	overy Qualifier	Limits	-				Prepared	Analy	zed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)		93	65 - 140						01/06/14	12:05	1
I ab Sample ID: I CS 440-1542	254/2						Clier	nt Sample	e ID: I ab C	ontrol S	ample
Matrix: Solid									Prep T	vpe: To	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 154254										,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
			Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.		
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits		
GRO (C4-C12)	·		1.60	1.58		mg/Kg		99	70 - 135		
	1.00	1.05									
Summamoto	LCS % December 1	LUS	Lincita								
A Promofluorobonzono (Surr)	<sup>3</sup> Recovery	Quaimer	65 140								
	101		05 - 140								
I ab Sample ID: I CSD 440-15	4254/3					Cli	ent Sa	mple ID:	I ab Contro	ol Samo	le Dun
Matrix: Solid						•	ont ou		Prep T	vpe: To	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 154254										<b>JP0</b> . 10	
			Spike	LCSD	LCSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
GRO (C4-C12)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.60	1.54		mg/Kg		96	70 - 135	3	20
	1000	1000									
Surrogato	«Booover	Qualifier	Limite								
A Promofluorobenzono (Surr)	<sup>70</sup> Recovery	Quanner	65 140								
	100		00 - 140								

# Method: 8015B - Gasoline Range Organics - (GC) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-6 M Matrix: Solid	S							Clier	it Sample I Prep T	D: SB-3 ype: To	@ 14' tal/NA
Analysis Batch. 154254	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.		
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits		
GRO (C4-C12)	ND		1.55	1.43		mg/Kg		92	60 - 140		
	MS	MS									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	98		65 - 140								
Lab Sample ID: 440-66062-6 M Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 154254	SD							Clier	it Sample I Prep T	D: SB-3 ype: To	@ 14' tal/NA
· · · · · <b>,</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
GRO (C4-C12)	ND		1.54	1.35		mg/Kg		88	60 - 140	5	30
	MSD	MSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	93		65 - 140								

# Method: 8015B/5030B - Gasoline Range Organics (GC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 440-153679 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 153679	9/3										Client S	ample ID: Metho Prep Type: T	d Blank 'otal/NA
Analysis Baten: 100010		мв	МВ										
Analyte	R	esult	Qualifier	R	RL.		Unit		D	P	repared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
GRO (C6-C12)		ND		5	50		ug/L					12/31/13 20:43	1
		MR	MB										
Surrogate	%Reco	verv	Qualifier	Limits						Р	repared	Analvzed	Dil Fac
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)		97		65 - 140					-			12/31/13 20:43	1
										4	0		0
Lab Sample ID: LCS 440-15367	9/2								CI	ent	Sample	ID: Lab Control	Sample
Matrix: Water												Prep Type: I	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 153679				Calife								% <b>D</b> = =	
A b -d				<b>Зріке</b>	LUS	5 LU		11		_	0/ <b>D</b>	%Rec.	
				Added	Resul		ualifier	Unit		<u> </u>	%Rec		
GRO (C4-C12)				800	693	)		ug/L			07	00 - 120	
	LCS	LCS											
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qua	lifier	Limits									
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	95			65 - 140									
_ I ah Sample ID: 440-66272-∆-2°	1 MS										Client	Sample ID: Matri	ix Snike
Matrix: Water											onone	Pren Tyne: T	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 153679													
Analysis Datch. 100070	Sample	Sam	ple	Spike	MS	5 MS	s					%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qual	lifier	Added	Resul	t Qu	- ualifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits	
GRO (C4-C12)	87			800	743	3		ug/L		_	82	65 - 140	
	MS	мs											
Surrogate	%Recoverv	Qua	lifier	Limits									
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	95			65 - 140									

n-Octacosane

3 4 5

# Method: 8015B/5030B - Gasoline Range Organics (GC) (Continued)

 Lab Sample ID: 440-66272-A	-21 MSD						Clie	nt Sa	ample ID	: Matrix S	pike Du	plicate
Matrix: Water										Prep <sup>-</sup>	Type: To	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 153679												
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD			_		%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit			%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limi
GRO (C4-C12)	87		800	784		ug/L			87	65 - 140	5	20
	MSD	MSD										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		65 - 140									
Method: 8015B - Diesel F	Range Orgar	nics (DRO)	(GC)									
		. ,	. ,						01			
Lab Sample ID: MB 440-1530	630/1-A								Client S	ample ID:	Method	Biank
Matrix: Solid										Prep	Type: To	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 153586										Prep	Batch:	153630
Amelute			ы		11		<u> </u>		u a u a u a d	Analy		
	K		RL					40/2	repared		zea	DIIFac
URU (U 10-020)		NU	5.0		mg/Kę	9		12/3	1/13 13:44	12/31/13	10.59	
		MB MB										
Surrogate	%Reco	overy Qualifier	Limits					Р	repared	Analy	zed	Dil Fac
n-Octacosane		61	40 - 140					12/3	1/13 13:44	12/31/13	16:59	
—												
Lab Sample ID: LCS 440-153	3630/2-A						С	lient	Sample	ID: Lab C	ontrol	Sample
Matrix: Solid										Prep <sup>-</sup>	Гуре: Т	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 153586										Prep	Batch:	153630
			Spike	LCS	LCS					%Rec.		
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits		
DRO (C10-C28)			33.3	16.3		mg/Kg		_	49	45 _ 115		
	105	105										
Surrogate	%Recoverv	Qualifier	Limits									
n-Octacosane	58		40 140									
			10 - 110									
	-2-A MS								Client	Sample ID	): Matrix	x Spike
Matrix: Solid										Prep	Type: To	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 153586										Prep	Batch:	153630
·	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS					%Rec.		
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit		D	%Rec	Limits		
DRO (C10-C28)	ND		33.3	17.1		mg/Kg		·	51	40 - 120		
	MS	MS										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
n-Octacosane _	67		40 - 140									
- I ah Samplo ID: 440 66247 C							Clice	nt C	ample ID	· Matrix C	nike Du	unligate
Las Sample ID. 440-00347-G	-2-D IVI3D						Cilei	11.30		. WIALTIX 3		otal/NA
Matrix. Juliu										Prep	Batab	152620
Analysis Dalen: 153500	Sampla	Sample	Snike	Men	MSD					Prep %Rec	Batch:	10000
Analyte	Bosult	Qualifier	Added	Recult	Qualifier	Unit		Р	%Pac	/inec.	חסס	Limi
			33.2	10 4		malka		_		40 120		200
210 (010-020)	ND		55.5	13.4		mg/ng			50	70 - 120	12	30
	MSD	MSD										
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits									
n-Octacosane	76		40 - 140									

TestAmerica Irvine

76

# GC/MS VOA

# Analysis Batch: 153275

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
440-66062-4	SB-1 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
440-66062-5	SB-2 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
440-66062-5 MS	SB-2 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
440-66062-5 MSD	SB-2 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
440-66062-6	SB-3 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCS 440-153275/5	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCS 440-153275/6	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
MB 440-153275/4	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	

# Analysis Batch: 153720

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
440-65700-F-3 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8260B/5030B	
440-65700-F-3 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8260B/5030B	
440-66062-1	SB-1	Total/NA	Water	8260B/5030B	
440-66062-2	SB-2	Total/NA	Water	8260B/5030B	
440-66062-3	SB-3	Total/NA	Water	8260B/5030B	
LCS 440-153720/23	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8260B/5030B	
MB 440-153720/4	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8260B/5030B	

# GC VOA

# Analysis Batch: 153679

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
440-66062-1	SB-1	Total/NA	Water	8015B/5030B	-
440-66062-2	SB-2	Total/NA	Water	8015B/5030B	
440-66062-3	SB-3	Total/NA	Water	8015B/5030B	
440-66272-A-21 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Water	8015B/5030B	
440-66272-A-21 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Water	8015B/5030B	
LCS 440-153679/2	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8015B/5030B	
MB 440-153679/3	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8015B/5030B	

# Analysis Batch: 154083

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
440-66062-4	SB-1 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
440-66386-A-1 MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
440-66386-A-1 MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
LCS 440-154083/2	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
LCSD 440-154083/3	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
MB 440-154083/4	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	

# Analysis Batch: 154254

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
440-66062-5	SB-2 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
440-66062-6	SB-3 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
440-66062-6 MS	SB-3 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
440-66062-6 MSD	SB-3 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
LCS 440-154254/2	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
LCSD 440-154254/3	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	
MB 440-154254/4	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	

# GC Semi VOA

# Analysis Batch: 153586

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
440-66062-4	SB-1 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	153630
440-66062-5	SB-2 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	153630
440-66062-6	SB-3 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	153630
440-66347-G-2-A MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	153630
440-66347-G-2-B MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	153630
LCS 440-153630/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	153630
MB 440-153630/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8015B	153630

# Prep Batch: 153630

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
440-66062-4	SB-1 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	CALUFT	
440-66062-5	SB-2 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	CA LUFT	
440-66062-6	SB-3 @ 14'	Total/NA	Solid	CA LUFT	
440-66347-G-2-A MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Solid	CA LUFT	
440-66347-G-2-B MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	CA LUFT	
LCS 440-153630/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	CA LUFT	
MB 440-153630/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	CA LUFT	

# Qualifiers

# GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
EY	Result exceeds normal dynamic range; reported as a min. est.	

# Glossary

TEQ

GC/MS VOA		
Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
EY	Result exceeds normal dynamic range; reported as a min. est.	5
Glossary		6
Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.	
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis	
%R	Percent Recovery	
CNF	Contains no Free Liquid	8
DER	Duplicate error ratio (normalized absolute difference)	
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor	9
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample	
DLC	Decision level concentration	10
MDA	Minimum detectable activity	
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit	
MDC	Minimum detectable concentration	
MDL	Method Detection Limit	
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)	
NC	Not Calculated	12
ND	Not detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)	
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit	
QC	Quality Control	
RER	Relative error ratio	
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)	
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points	
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)	

Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

# Laboratory: TestAmerica Irvine

All certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	FPA Region	Certification ID	Expiration Date
Alaska	State Program	<u>10</u>	CA01531	<u></u>
Arizona	State Program	9	AZ0671	10-13-14
California	LA Cty Sanitation Districts	9	10256	01-31-15
California	NELAP	9	1108CA	01-31-14
California	State Program	9	2706	06-30-14
Guam	State Program	9	Cert. No. 12.002r	01-23-14 *
Hawaii	State Program	9	N/A	01-31-14
Nevada	State Program	9	CA015312007A	07-31-14
New Mexico	State Program	6	N/A	01-31-14
Northern Mariana Islands	State Program	9	MP0002	01-31-14
Oregon	NELAP	10	4005	09-12-14
USDA	Federal		P330-09-00080	06-06-14
USEPA UCMR	Federal	1	CA01531	01-31-15

 $^{\ast}$  Expired certification is currently pending renewal and is considered valid.



# ratory Management Program LaMP Chain of Custody Record

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

ł

BP Site Node Path: BP 2162										Req Due Date (mm/dd/yy): Rush TAT:									i <b>at:</b> Y	/es	No											
	7		BP	Facility No:					2	162						Lab Work Order Number:																
ſ	ab Nar	ne: Test America			Faci	cility Address: 15135 Hesperian Blvd												Consultant/Contractor: Broadbent and Associa									Associates					
Ī	ab Add	dress: 17461 Denan Ave, Suite 100, la	rvine, CA - 9261	4	City,	ty, State, ZIP Code: San Leandro, California												Consi	ultant/0	Contra	actor F	roject No:	:			QE						
Ī	Lab PM	: Kathleen Robb			Lead	d Reg	ulato	y Age	ncy:		Alame	eda Co	ounty l	Enviro	nmer	ital He	alth			Addre	ss:	875 C	otting	Lane, Si	uite G,	Vacavill	e,Californ	nia				
Ī	Lab Pho	one: 94-261-1022			Cali	iomia	Glob	al ID I	lo.:		T0600	010008	34							Consi	ultant/0	Contra	actor F	M:	۲	(ristene )	ridweii					
Ī	Lab Shi	pping Accnt: 1103-6633-7			Enfo	s Pro	posa	No/V	VR N	o:	00604	1-0002	/ WR	24568	32					Phone: 707-455-7290 / 707-455-7295 (1)									I: <u>ktidw</u>	<u>ell@b</u>	roadber	<u>ntinc.com</u>
Ī	Lab Bot	tte Order No:			Acc	ountin	g Mo	de:	-	Prov	ision	x	000	с-ви		000	-RM			Email	EDD	To:		<u>ktidwell</u>	@br	oadber	itinc. <u>cc</u>	<u>m</u>	and to	lab enf	osdoc@t	noo.q
ļ	Other In	nio:			Stag	je:				Ac	tivity:									Invoic	æ To:				BP	<u>×</u>			Contra	actor		
Ī	BP Proj	ect Manager (PM): Chuck Carmel		•		Mat	trix		No	. Cor	ntaine	ers / I	Prese	ervati	ive					Requ	Jeste	d An	alyse	s				R	eport Ty	ype &	QC Lev	el
ļ	BP PM	Phone: 925-275-3803	-																	i		പ								Stand	lard <u>x</u>	
t	BP PM	Email: <u>charles.carmel@bp.com</u>	<u>1</u>		1				alner:									1.1	16	Ø	(j	- Xa							Full Dat	a Pack	age	
Page 26 (	Lab No.	Sample Description	Date	Time	Soil / Solid	Water / Liquid	Air / Vapor	Is this location a well?	Total Number of Cont	Unpreserved	H2SO4	HN03	HCI	Methanol		GRO	Dr.O	BTEX /DiPI	ETBE/TA	12-264 /ED	TBA/Ethia	Nophthal	-				Note San and	e: If sam; nple* in c I InItial an	Co ole not coll omments a y preprinte	iected, ir and sing ad sampi	<b>its</b> idicate "No le-strike ou le descripti	t on.
약. 2		SB-1	12/23/2013	0920	Г	x		n	6		_		x			×	×	X	Х	×	Y	۶										_
7	- 1	. SB-2	12/23/2013	0830		x		n	6				x			$\square$	7		Τ													
ſ		SB-3	12/23/2013	0955 ,	1	×		n	6		_		x			Π					$\top$									·		
ľ			12/23/2013	0915	×			л	1	x																						
Ī		SB-2 @ 14'	12/23/2013	0825	×			n	1	x											• {											
ľ			12/23/2013	0950	×			n	1	x						1	L		Ļ	Τ			٢									
ľ		TB-2162-12232013	12/23/2013			x		n	2																			40	μαj	>		_
Ī																										-						
				-													. <u> </u>														<u>.</u>	
														_			_															1
	Sample	r's Name: James Ramos					∕ R	elino	luist	ned E	By / A	ffiliat	іоп			Da	rte	Tir	ne					Accept	ed By	y / Affili	ation				Date	Time
Sampler's Company: Broadbent & Associates A-A-A-								/e	1776	lbe	urt		12/2	3/3	1700	0			,					ì		<u> </u>	$\bot$					
	Shipment Method: Fed Ex Ship Date: 12/22/13										Ĺ							L.			[]	<u> </u>	-						_	$\bot$		
Shipment Tracking No: The Revision of the State of the St										11:00																						
1/9	Specia	al Instructions:									<u>,</u>												_	4								
/20		THIS LINE - LAB USE C	ONLY: Custody	Seals In Place	¥ (es	ilvo	Ī	Те	mp B	lank	Yes/I	No		Cooler	Tem	p on F	eceip	t _),	5	°F/0	2	Ti	ip Bla	Nes / N	No	MS/	'MSD Sa	imple St	ubmitted:	Yes (N	0	
14	BP Ren	nediation Management COC - Effective $+, k^{+}$	SO22	23, 2011- June 2344	30, 2 -/	012 191	(	5			-				{	R-1	53		1.5	२ <sup>€</sup> (	_								BF	'LaMP (	COC Rev.	7, Aug 23, 201

5

Client: Broadbent & Associates, Inc.

# Login Number: 66062

List Number: 1 Creator: Kim, Guerry

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a survey meter.</td <td>True</td> <td></td>	True	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	Present
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	False	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time.	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	N/A	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	False	Headspace larger than 1/4" in one or more vials, one vial with accpt. headspace
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

List Source: TestAmerica Irvine
#### **APPENDIX J**

Well Search Results



# **Atlantic Richfield Company**

Shannon Couch Remediation Management Project Manager

PO Box 1257 San Ramon, CA 94583 Phone: (925) 275-3804 Fax: (925) 275-3815 E-Mail: shannon.couch@bp.com

October 31, 2011

Mr. Paresh Khatri Alameda County Health Care Agency Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

Re: SENSITIVE RECEPTOR SURVEY ARCO Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California 94578 ACEH Case No. RO0000190

Dear Mr. Khatri:

I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, that the information contained in the attached document is true and correct.

Regards,

Shannon Couch Remediation Management Project Manager Atlantic Richfield Company, a BP-affiliated company

Enclosure: Sensitive Receptor Survey





October 31, 2011

Mr. Paresh Khatri Alameda County Health Care Agency Department of Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

#### RE: SENSITIVE RECEPTOR SURVEY ARCO Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California 94578 ACEH Case No. RO0000190

Dear Mr. Khatri:

On behalf of Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO), Closure Solutions, Inc. (Closure Solutions) has prepared this *Sensitive Receptor Survey* (Survey) for the ARCO Service Station No. 2162, located at 15135 Hesperian Boulevard, San Leandro, California (Site). Closure Solutions performed the Survey to identify the presence of water wells within a 0.5-mile radius of the Site. The Site setting, information on groundwater depth, groundwater flow direction, survey methods, and survey results are presented below. Additional information, including sensitive land uses is not included in this report.

# 1.0 SITE SETTING

The Site is located on the southwest corner of the intersection between Hesperian Boulevard and Ruth Court in San Leandro, California. The Site is currently an active ARCO service station and is located in a mixed commercial and residential area. Current Site facilities include a service station building, four dispenser islands covered by a canopy, and four 10,000 gallon underground storage tanks.

# 2.0 GROUNDWATER DEPTH AND FLOW DIRECTION

Groundwater monitoring and sampling has been conducted at the Site since 1992. Based on information contained in historical Site reports, depth to groundwater beneath the Site is approximately 8 feet below ground surface. Groundwater flow direction is predominately to the southwest.

# 3.0 WELL SURVEY METHODS

To obtain information on the type and location of wells within a 0.5-mile radius of the Site, Closure Solutions requested a signed authorization form from the Alameda County Health Care Agency to access confidential well information. The signed authorization was then provided to the Department of Water Resources (DWR) for access to all available well completion reports for wells installed in the vicinity of the Site. The DWR furnished 216 well completion reports for wells installed in the Site vicinity. These wells were located in Section 31 in Township 02S, Range 02W, Section 1 in Township 03S, Range 03W, and Section 6 in Township 03S, Range 02W, Mount Diablo Meridian.

To assemble the survey information, Closure Solutions grouped the reports into the following categories:

- Reports that referenced well locations by current street addresses that could be verified using online resources (Google Earth or equivalent);
- Reports that referenced well locations by distance from a current street, intersection, or other known location such as a creek or park;
- Reports that referenced well locations by distance from a corner of a map Section;
- Reports that referenced well locations by outdated street addresses, route numbers, or street names/intersections that were changed/no longer existed;
- Reports that were illegible; and
- Reports for wells that had been destroyed.

Well locations referenced by current street addresses or by distances from a known location or street intersection were verified on a map to obtain distance from the Site. If the well location was within 0.5 mile of the Site, the well location was plotted on the survey map. Wells located outside the 0.5 mile radius were not plotted.

For wells that were referenced by distance from a corner of a Section, Closure Solutions accessed Montana State University's Graphical Locater website and the Earthpoint website to obtain maps of the referenced Section within the Township and Range. Once this information was obtained and verified, wells identified within 0.5 miles of the Site were plotted on the well survey map.

In cases where well completion reports contained street names or route numbers that no longer existed, either available Township, Range, and Section information was used to plot locations, or additional research was conducted to obtain information on historical street and route names. In a few cases, well locations could not be verified using the referenced locations or addresses provided, or the report was illegible. These wells were not included on the well survey map.

# 4.0 WELL SURVEY RESULTS

Based on Closure Solutions' review of information provided by the DWR, seven wells were identified within a 0.5-mile radius of the Site, as described below:

- One well was identified as an irrigation well, was installed in 1956, and is located approximately 2,250 feet west-southwest (down-gradient) of the Site;
- One well was identified as an irrigation well, was installed in 1977, and is located approximately 2,350 feet west-southwest (down-gradient) of the Site;
- One well was identified as an irrigation well, was installed in 1977, and is located approximately 1,050 feet northwest (cross-gradient) of the Site;
- One well was identified as an irrigation well, was installed in 1977, and is located approximately 1,100 feet northwest (cross-gradient) of the Site;
- One well was identified as an irrigation well, was installed in 1977, and is located approximately 2,250 feet northwest (cross-gradient) of the Site;
- One well was identified as a domestic well, was installed in 1977, and is located approximately 2,350 feet northwest (cross-gradient) of the Site;
- One well was identified as an irrigation well, was installed in 1977, and is located approximately 2,500 feet north-northeast (up-gradient) of the Site;

The approximate locations of the wells identified above within a 0.5-mile radius of the Site are presented on Figure 1. Please note that for the purposes of this well survey, cathodic protection wells and wells associated with environmental cases are not included in the results.

Well information including map ID, approximate distance and direction from the Site, well type, installation date, and screen interval is summarized in Table 1. Due to privacy concerns, the DWR well completion reports or specific information regarding the wells, including exact well location, are not included in any copy of this document.

## 5.0 SURFACE WATER

The nearest surface water body is the Estudillo Canal, a concrete-lined drainage channel, which is located approximately 800 feet to the southeast (cross-gradient) of the Site. This channel ultimately connects to the San Francisco Bay, which is located approximately three miles west-southwest (down-gradient) of the Site.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please contact Charlotte Evans at (925) 566-8567, or by e-mail at cevans@closuresolutions.com.

Sincerely, Closure Solutions, Inc.

HIM

Charlotte Evans Project Geologist

faint

Matthew Farris, P.G. Project Geologist



Attachments:

Figure 1

Approximate Well Locations Within a 0.5-Mile Radius of the Site

Table 1Wells Located Within a 0.5-Mile Radius of the Site

cc: Ms. Shannon Couch, Atlantic Richfield Company



U TTI U.2 0.4 Copyright © and (P) 1988–2010 Microsoft Corporation and/or its suppliers. All rights reserved. http://www.microsoft.com/streets/ Contain mapping and direction data © 2010 NAVTEQ. All rights reserved. The Data for areas of Canada includes information taken with permission from Canadian authorities, including: © Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada. © Queen's Printer for Ontain. NNVTEQ and NAVTEQ ON BOARD are trademarks of NAVTEQ. © 2010 Tale Atlas North America, Inc. All rights reserved. Tale Atlas and Tale Atlas North America are trademarks of Tele Atlas, Inc. © 2010 by Applied Geographic Systems. All rights reserved.

### Table 1 - Wells Located Within 0.5-Mile Radius

ARCO Service Station No. 2162 15135 Hesperian Boulevard San Leandro, California

Map ID No.	Approximate Distance from Site	Well Type	Installation Date	Screen Interval
1	2,250 ft. WSW	irr	Aug-56	15-30 ft.
2	2,350 ft. WSW	irr	Nov-77	20-60 ft.
3	1,050 ft. NW	irr	Mar-77	20-40 ft.
3	1,100 ft. NW	irr	Mar-77	17-37 ft.
4	2,250 ft. NW	irr	May-77	20-48 ft.
4	2,350 ft. NW	dom	May-77	25-45 ft.
5	2,500 ft. NNE	irr	Aug-77	10-30 ft.

Abbreviations:

ft = feet N = North S = South E = East W = West dom = domestic well irr = irrigation well mun = municipal well pub = public well unk = unknown APPENDIX K

Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Field Methods

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL FIELD METHODS

Field methods discussed herein were implemented to provide for accuracy and reliability of field activities, data collection, sample collection, and handling. Discussion of these methods is provided below.

#### 1.0 Equipment Calibration

Equipment calibration was performed per equipment manufacturer specifications before use.

2.0 Depth to Groundwater and Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid Measurement

Depth to groundwater was measured in wells identified for gauging in the scope of work using a decontaminated water level indicator. The depth to water measurement was taken from a cut notch or permanent mark at the top of the well casing to which the well head elevation was originally surveyed.

Once depth to water was measured, an oil/water interface meter or a new disposable bailer was utilized to evaluate the presence and, if present, to measure the "apparent" thickness of light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) in the well. If LNAPL was present in the well, groundwater purging and sampling were not performed, unless sampling procedures in the scope of work specified collection of samples in the presence of LNAPL. Otherwise, time allowing, LNAPL was bailed from the well using either a new disposable bailer, or the disposal bailer previously used for initial LNAPL assessment. Bailing of LNAPL continued until the thickness of LNAPL (or volume) stabilized in each bailer pulled from the well, or LNAPL was no longer present. After LNAPL thickness either stabilized or was eliminated, periodic depth to water and depth to LNAPL measurements were collected as product came back into the well to evaluate product recovery rate and to aid in further assessment of LNAPL in the subsurface. LNAPL thickness measurement, the field sampler noted the bailer entry diameter and chamber diameter to enable correction of thickness measurements. Recovered LNAPL was stored on-site in a labeled steel drum(s) or other appropriate container(s) prior to disposal.

#### 3.0 Well Purging and Groundwater Sample Collection

Well purging and groundwater sampling were performed in wells specified in the scope of work after measuring depth to groundwater and evaluating the presence of LNAPL. Purging and sampling were performed using one of the methods detailed below. The method used was noted in the field records. Purge water was stored on-site in labeled steel drum(s) or other appropriate container(s) prior to disposal or on-site treatment (in cases where treatment using an on-site system is authorized).

#### 3.1 Purging a Predetermined Well Volume

Purging a predetermined well volume is performed per ASTM International (ASTM) D4448-01. This purging method has the objective of removing a predetermined volume of stagnant water from the well prior to sampling. The volume of stagnant water is defined as either the volume of water contained within the well casing, or the volume within the well casing and sand/gravel in the annulus if natural flow through these is deemed insufficient to keep them flushed out.

This purging method involves removal of a minimum of three stagnant water volumes from the well using a decontaminated pump with new disposable plastic discharge or suction tubing, dedicated well tubing, or using a new disposable or decontaminated reusable bailer. If a new disposable bailer was used for assessment of LNAPL, that bailer may be used for purging. The withdrawal rate used is one that minimizes drawdown while satisfying time constraints.

To evaluate when purging is complete, one or more groundwater stabilization parameters are monitored and recorded during purging activities until stabilization is achieved. Most commonly, stabilization parameters include temperature, conductivity, and pH, but field procedures detailed in the scope of work may also include monitoring of dissolved oxygen concentrations, oxidation reduction potential, and/or turbidity<sup>1</sup>. Parameters are considered stable when two (2) consecutive readings recorded three (3) minutes apart fall within ranges provided below in Table 1. In the event that the parameters have not stabilized and five (5) well casing volumes have been removed, purging activities will cease and be considered complete. Once the well is purged, a groundwater sample(s) is collected from the well using a new disposable bailer. If a new disposable bailer was used for purging, that bailer may be used to collect the sample(s). A sample is not collected if the well is inadvertently purged dry.

Parameter	Stabilization Criterion		
Temperature	± 0.2ºC (± 0.36ºF)		
рН	± 0.1 standard units		
Conductivity	± 3%		
Dissolved oxygen	± 10%		
Oxidation reduction potential	± 10 mV		
Turbidity <sup>1</sup>	± 10% or 1.0 NTU (whichever is greater)		

 Table 1. Criteria for Defining Stabilization of Water-Quality Indicator Parameters

#### 3.2 Low-Flow Purging and Sampling

"Low-Flow", "Minimal Drawdown", or "Low-Stress" purging is performed per ASTM D6771-02. It is a method of groundwater removal from within a well's screened interval that is intended to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As stated in ASTM D6771-02, turbidity is not a chemical parameter and not indicative of when formation-quality water is being purged; however, turbidity may be helpful in evaluating stress on the formation during purging. Turbidity measurements are taken at the same time that stabilization parameter measurements are made, or, at a minimum, once when purging is initiated and again just prior to sample collection, after stabilization parameters have stabilized. To avoid artifacts in sample analysis, turbidity should be as low as possible when samples are collected. If turbidity values are persistently high, the withdrawal rate is lowered until turbidity decreases. If high turbidity persists even after lowering the withdrawal rate, the purging is stopped for a period of time until turbidity settles, and the purging process is then restarted. If this fails to solve the problem, the purging/sampling process for the well is ceased, and well maintenance or redevelopment is considered.

minimize drawdown and mixing of the water column in the well casing. This is accomplished by pumping the well using a decontaminated pump with new disposable plastic discharge or suction tubing or dedicated well tubing at a low flow rate while evaluating the groundwater elevation during pumping.

The low flow pumping rate is well specific and is generally established at a volume that is less than or equal to the natural recovery rate of the well. A pump with adjustable flow rate control is positioned with the intake at or near the mid-point of the submerged well screen. The pumping rate used during low-flow purging is low enough to minimize mobilization of particulate matter and drawdown (stress) of the water column. Low-flow purging rates will vary based on the individual well characteristics; however, the purge rate should not exceed 1.0 Liter per minute (L/min) or 0.25 gallon per minute (gal/min). Low-flow purging should begin at a rate of approximately 0.1 L/min (0.03 gal/min)<sup>2</sup>, or the lowest rate possible, and be adjusted based on an evaluation of drawdown. Water level measurements should be recorded at approximate one (1) to two (2) minute intervals until the low-flow rate has been established, and drawdown is minimized. As a general rule, drawdown should not exceed 25% of the distance between the top of the water column and the pump in-take.

To evaluate when purging is complete, one or more groundwater stabilization parameters are monitored and recorded during purging activities until stabilization is achieved. Most commonly, stabilization parameters include temperature, conductivity, and pH, but field procedures detailed in the scope of work may also include monitoring of dissolved oxygen concentrations, oxidation reduction potential, and/or turbidity<sup>1</sup>. The frequency between measurements will be at an interval of one (1) to three (3) minutes; however, if a flow cell is used, the frequency will be determined based on the time required to evacuate one cell volume. Stabilization is defined as three (3) consecutive readings recorded several minutes apart falling within ranges provided in Table 1. Samples will be collected by filling appropriate containers from the pump discharge tubing at a rate not to exceed the established pumping rate.

#### 3.3 Minimal Purge, Discrete Depth, and Passive Sampling

Per ASTM D4448-01, sampling techniques that do not rely on purging, or require only minimal purging, may be used if a particular zone within a screened interval is to be sampled or if a well is not capable of yielding sufficient groundwater for purging. To properly use these sampling techniques, a water sample is collected within the screened interval with little or no mixing of the water column within the casing. These techniques include minimal purge sampling which uses a dedicated sampling pump capable of pumping rates of less than 0.1 L/min (0.03 gal/min)<sup>2</sup>, discrete depth sampling using a bailer that allows groundwater entry at a controlled depth (e.g. differential pressure bailer), or passive (diffusion) sampling. These techniques are based on certain studies referenced in ASTM D4448-01 that indicate that under certain conditions, natural groundwater flow is laminar and horizontal with little or no mixing within the well screen.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  According to ASTM D4448-01, studies have indicated that at flow rates of 0.1 L/min, low-density polyethylene (LDPE) and plasticized polypropylene tubing materials are prone to sorption. Therefore, TFE-fluorocarbon or other appropriate tubing material is used, particularly when tubing lengths of 50 feet or longer are used.

#### 4.0 Decontamination

Reusable groundwater sampling equipment were cleaned using a solution of Alconox or other acceptable detergent, rinsed with tap water, and finally rinsed with distilled water prior to use in each well. Decontamination water was stored on-site in labeled steel drum(s) or other appropriate container(s) prior to disposal.

#### 5.0 Sample Containers, Labeling, and Storage

Samples were collected in laboratory prepared containers with appropriate preservative (if preservative was required). Samples were properly labeled (site name, sample I.D., sampler initials, date, and time of collection) and stored chilled (refrigerator or ice chest with ice) until delivery to a certified laboratory, under chain of custody procedures.

#### 6.0 Chain of Custody Record and Procedure

The field sampler was personally responsible for care and custody of the samples collected until they were properly transferred to another party. To document custody and transfer of samples, a Chain of Custody Record was prepared. The Chain of Custody Record provided identification of the samples corresponding to sample labels and specified analyses to be performed by the laboratory. The original Chain of Custody Record accompanied the shipment, and a copy of the record was stored in the project file. When the samples were transferred, the individuals relinquishing and receiving them signed, dated, and noted the time of transfer on the record.

#### 7.0 Field Records

Daily Report and data forms were completed by staff personnel to provide daily record of significant events, observations, and measurements. Field records were signed, dated, and stored in the project file.