Matthew F. Lintner (No. 150926) SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL 685 Market Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 882-5000

(415) 543-5472

Attorneys for Petitioner COIT SERVICES, INC.

Facsimile:



#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

In the Matter of the Petition of Coit Services, Inc. for Review of a Determination of Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Regarding the Status of Coit Services, Inc. as a Responsible Party for 1137-1167 65th Street, Oakland, California 94608.

File No.

PETITION TO THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

Pursuant to State Water Resources Control Board Resolution 88-23, Coit
Services, Inc. ("Coit" or "Petitioner") hereby petitions the State Water Resources Control Board
for review of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency action naming Coit as a
Responsible Party in connection with certain underground storage tanks located at 1137-1167
65<sup>th</sup> Street, Oakland, CA 94608.

In accordance with Resolution 88-23, Coit offers the following information in support of this petition:

# (1) Name and address of the petitioner:

Coit Services, Inc. 897 Hinckley Road Burlingame, CA 94010

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & KOSENTHAL	685 MARKET STREET, 6" FLOOR	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105	(415) 882-5000	

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(2) The specific action or inaction of the local agency which the State Board is requested to review:

The naming of Coit as a Responsible Party for the site located at 1137-1167 65<sup>th</sup> Street, Oakland, CA 94608, pursuant to Sections 25297.1 and 25297.15 of the California Health & Safety Code, in a letter dated May 12, 1999 entitled "Notice of Responsibility" from the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency.

A copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

(3) The date on which the local agency acted or refused to act or on which the local agency was requested to act:

The Notice of Responsibility letter is dated May 12, 1999. Counsel for Coit has had several conversations with Susan Hugo of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency since the date of that letter, and has requested that Responsible Party status be withdrawn, by letter dated June 3, 1999. See Exhibit B, attached. To date, Alameda County has not withdrawn the Notice of Responsibility.

(4) A full and complete statement of the reasons the action or failure to act was inappropriate:

Coit is not an appropriate Responsible Party for any contamination which may have been released from certain underground tanks which are now or ever have been located at the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site. Coit is not a current owner or operator of the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site. Coit was at no time an operator of the tanks. Coit did own the site for a period of months in 1978 and 1979. However, Coit did not own the site prior to the time operations of the tanks ceased. Finally, there is no evidence of a release during Coit's ownership of the site.

(5) The manner in which the petitioner is aggrieved:

Being identified as a Responsible Party entails duties and obligations for which Coit is not responsible.

(6) The specific action by the State Board or the local agency which the petitioner requests:

Petitioner requests that the State Board direct the Alameda County Health Care -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Services Agency to withdraw Coit from the list of Responsible Parties for the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site.

# (7) A statement of points and authorities in support of legal issues raised in the petition:

First, Petitioner will provide a brief summary of the facts in this case. A California corporation named Trans-Western Service Industries, Inc. (herein referred to as "Trans-Western") conducted dry-cleaning operations at the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site for many years. To Coit's understanding, the site served as a processing center for dry-cleaning storefront operations in the area. Trans-Western ceased dry-cleaning operations at the site, and shortly thereafter, in 1978, Coit acquired the stock of Trans-Western. Trans-Western operated a second central processing facility at another location, which continued to operate during the time Coit owned the stock of the entity. Coit acquired an ownership interest in the 65<sup>th</sup> Street parcel at the time it acquired an ownership interest in the stock of Trans-Western, but the tanks were no longer in use at the time of Coit's ownership of the parcel. In 1979, Coit sold the parcel to Alan Wofsy, a real estate developer. To Coit's understanding, Mr. Wofsy sold the parcel at some point thereafter to Mr. John Nady, the person initially identified by the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency as a Responsible Party at the site, and the person who continues to own the site. In 1981, Coit sold the stock of Trans-Western. These facts are supported by the Declaration of Louis Kearn. attached hereto as Exhibit C.

Given these facts, it does not appear that there is any basis to identify Coit as a Responsible Party. State Water Resources Control Board regulations define "Responsible Party" for purposes of underground storage tank corrective action requirements as one of the following:

- 1. Any person who owns or operates an underground storage tank used for the storage of any hazardous substance;
- 2. In the case of any underground storage tank no longer in use, any person who owned or operated the underground storage tank immediately before the discontinuation of its use:
- 3. Any owner of property where an unauthorized release of a hazardous substance has occurred; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. Any person who had or has control over an underground storage tank at the time of or following an unauthorized release of a hazardous substance.

See 23 California Code of Regulations section 2720.

Coit does not fall into any of these four categories.

With respect to the first category, Coit does not currently own or operate any tanks at the site. See Exhibit C.

With respect to the second category, the tanks were taken out of use by Trans-Western prior to Coit's purchase of the stock of Trans-Western, and prior to Coit having any ownership interest in the parcel. See Exhibit C. Accordingly, Coit can in no sense be said to have owned or operated the tanks "immediately before the discontinuation of [their] use." Indeed, Coit never operated the tanks at any time. Trans-Western did operate the tanks, but Coit has subsequently sold the stock of that entity. Further, even if Coit had not sold the stock of this entity, it would not be appropriate to name Coit as a responsible party for the actions of a wholly-owned subsidiary, absent some showing that it would be justified to disregard corporate form. See, e.g., United States v. Bestfoods, 524 U.S. 51 (1998).

With respect to the third category, again, Coit does not currently own the parcel. See Exhibit C. Although Coit did hold an ownership interest in the parcel for several months in 1978 and 1979, there is no information of which Coit is aware indicating that there was any release during this period of time. Indeed, based upon information received from Susan Hugo of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, it appears that significant amounts of product (presumably stoddard solvent, which was used by Trans-Western) remain in the tanks, a fact which would be inconsistent with a release twenty years ago.

With respect to the fourth category, Coit at no time has had "control" over the tanks, because it never operated them. See Exhibit C. Furthermore, during the only period of time that Coit even had any connection to the tanks (the several months when it held an ownership interest in the parcel), there is again no information indicating that there was a release of a hazardous substance during this period of time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In these circumstances, issuing a Notice of Responsibility to Coit is inconsistent with previous State Board precedent. The State Board has determined that a local agency must show substantial evidence in order to support a finding of responsibility for each party named. See In the Matter of the Petition of U.S. Cellulose and Louis J. & Shirley D. Smith, WO 92-04 (March 19, 1992). There is no indication here that the local agency has any evidence, much less any substantial evidence, for a finding of responsibility. In addition, the State Board has found that a local agency must demonstrate with substantial evidence that a release occurred during the ownership of the "Responsible Party." See In the Matter of the Petition of Alvin Bacharach & Barbara Borsuk, WQ 91-07 (June 20, 1991). As discussed above, Coit is unaware of any such evidence.

Therefore, based on the above points, Coit requests that the State Board instruct the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency to withdraw the Notice of Responsibility issued to Coit with respect to the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site.

(8) A list of the persons, if any, other than the petitioner, known by the local agency to have an interest in the subject matter of the petition. Such list shall be obtained from the agency:

> Mr. John Nady Nady Systems Inc. 6701 Bay Street Emeryville, CA 94608

Mr. Nady, the current owner of the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site, has been identified by the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency as Responsible Party Number 1. According to Ms. Hugo of the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, Coit was identified as a Responsible Party at the site based entirely on information provided by Mr. Nady through his attorney.

(9) A statement that the petition has been sent to the local agency, the appropriate Regional Board, and to any other responsible parties other than petitioner known to the petitioner or the local agency.

Coit confirms that this Petition has been sent to the parties listed in the Proof of Service

accompanying this Petition.

(10) A copy of the request to the local agency for preparation of the local agency record.

See Exhibit D attached hereto.

For the reasons set forth in this Petition, including the attachments hereto, Petitioner respectfully requests that the State Water Resources Control Board direct the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency to withdraw Coit from the list of Responsible Parties for the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site. Coit believes that the evidence presented herein is sufficient to render a decision in the matter. If, however, the Board believes that additional information is required, Coit hereby requests a hearing to present such information.

Dated: June 11, 1999 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL

Mout Sent

# ALAMEDA COUNTY **HEALTH CARE SERVICES**



AGENCY DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

Certified Mail # P 368 729 464 05/12/99

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Notice of Responsibili (510) 567-6700 (6X0) 337-9335 (FAX)

StID# 6394 1137-1167 65th St 1137 65th St (-1167) Oakland, CA 94608

SITE

Date First Reported 03/09/99 Substance: Stoddard Solvent Source : Federally Funded

MultiRPs?: Yes

Mr. Louis Kearn Coit Services, Inc. 897 Hinckley Road Burlingame, Ca 94010

Responsible Party (RP) # 2 (list of all RP's attached)

Pursuant to Sections 25297.1 and 25297.15 of the Health and Safety Code, you are hereby notified that the above site has been placed in the Local Oversight Program and the individual(s) or entity(ies) shown above, or on the attached list, has(have) been identified as the party(ies) responsible for investigation and cleanup of the above site. Section 25297.15 further requires the primary or active Responsible Party to notify all current record owners of fee title before the local agency considers cleanup or site closure proposals or issues a closure letter. For purposes of implementing section 25297.15, this agency has identified ALL RESPONSIBLE PARTIES (see list) as the primary or active Responsible Party. It is the responsibility of the primary or active Responsible Party to submit a letter to this agency within 20 calendar days of receipt of this notice which identifies all current record owners of fee title. It is also the responsibility of the primary or active Responsible Party to certify to the local agency that the required notification have been made at the time a cleanup or site closure proposal is made or before the local agency makes a determination that no further action is required. If property ownership changes in the future, you must notify this local agency within 20 calendar days from when you are informed of the change.

Any action or inaction by this local agency associated with corrective action, including responsible party identification, is subject to petition to the State Water Resources Control Board. Petitions must be filed within 30 days from the date of the action/ inaction. To obtain petition procedures, please FAX your request to the State Water at (916) 227-4349 or telephone (916) 227-4408.

Pursuant to section 25299.37(c)(7) of the Health and Safety Code, a responsible party may request the designation of an administering agency when required to conduct corrective action. Please contact Susan Rugo, Hazardous Materials Specialist (\$10) 567-6700 for futher information about the site designation process.

Date Mar 1 . 399 Richard A. Pantages, Chief

Please Circle One

Reason:

Contract Project Director

C: Lori Casias, SWRCB Susan Hugo, Hazardous Materials Specialist

Report: ReImb97M 5/99

# ALAMEDA COUNTY - DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DIVISION

05/12/99

LIST OF RESPONSIBLE PARTIES FOR

SITE

StID: 6394 1137-1167 65th St 1137 65th St (-1167) Oakland, CA 94608

Mr. John Nady Nady Systems Inc. 6701 Bay Street Emeryville, California 94608

Mr. Louis Kearn Coit Services, Inc. 897 Hinckley Road Burlingame, Ca 94010

Date First Reported 03/09/99 Substance: Stoddard Solvent

Petroleum (X) Yes

Source: F

Responsible Party #1 Property Owner

Responsible Party #2 Contact Person Contact Company

THE MONADNOCK BUILDING
685 MARKET STREET
6TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

(415) 882-5000 FACSIMILE (415) 543-5472

Matthew F. Lintner (415) 882-2429

June 3, 1999

#### VIA FACSIMILE AND U.S. MAIL

Ms. Susan Hugo
Hazardous Materials Specialist
Alameda County
Health Care Services Agency
Environmental Health Services
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Re:

1137 – 1167 65th St., Oakland, CA

Notice of Responsibility dated May 12, 1999 to Coit Services. Inc.

Dear Ms. Hugo:

As we discussed yesterday on the telephone, this firm represents Coit Services, Inc. in connection with the Notice of Responsibility sent by the Alameda County Health Care Services Agency to Coit Services dated May 12, 1999.

Based on our conversation, it is my understanding that you have identified Coit Services because you had received information indicating that Coit was an owner and/or operator of certain underground storage tanks at the 65<sup>th</sup> Street site at the time of their apparent abandonment. As we discussed, this is not the case, and I provide herein some background on the matter which I hope will be of assistance.

A California corporation named Trans-Western Service Industries, Inc. conducted drycleaning operations at the site for many years. To Coit's understanding, the site served as a processing center for dry-cleaning storefront operations in the area. Trans-Western ceased drycleaning operations at the site, and shortly thereafter, in 1978, Coit Services acquired the stock of Trans-Western. Trans-Western operated a second central processing facility at another location which continued to operate during the time Coit owned the stock of the entity. Coit acquired an

CHICAGO KANSAS CITY LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK SAN FRANCISCO ST. LOUIS WASHINGTON, D.C.

Ms. Susan Hugo June 3, 1999 Page 2

ownership interest in the 65<sup>th</sup> Street parcel at the time it acquired an ownership interest in the stock of Trans-Western, but the tanks were no longer in use at the time of Coit's ownership of the parcel. In 1979, Coit sold the parcel to Alan Wofsy, a real estate developer. To Coit's understanding, Mr. Wofsy sold the parcel at some point thereafter to Mr. John Nady, the person you initially identified as a responsible party at the site, and the person who continues to own the site. In 1981, Coit sold the stock of Trans-Western.

Given these facts, it does not appear that there is any basis to identify Coit as a responsible party. State Water Resources Control Board regulations define "responsible party" for purposes of underground storage tank corrective action requirements as one of the following:

- (1) Any person who owns or operates an underground storage tank used for the storage of any hazardous substance;
- (2) In the case of any underground storage tank no longer in use, any person who owned or operated the underground storage tank immediately before the discontinuation of its use;
- (3) Any owner of property where an unauthorized release of a hazardous substance has occurred; and
- (4) Any person who had or has control over a underground storage tank at the time of or following an unauthorized release of a hazardous substance.

See 23 California Code of Regulations section 2720.

Coit does not fall into any of these four categories.

With respect to the first category, Coit does not currently own or operate any tanks at the site.

With respect to the second category, the tanks were taken out of use by Trans-Western prior to Coit's purchase of the stock of Trans-Western, and prior to Coit having any ownership interest in the parcel. Accordingly, Coit can in no sense be said to have owned or operated the tanks "immediately before the discontinuation of [their] use." Indeed, Coit never operated the tanks at any time. Trans-Western did operate the tanks, but Coit has subsequently sold the stock of that entity. Indeed, even if Coit had not sold the stock of this entity, it would not be appropriate to name Coit as a responsible party for the actions of a wholly-owned subsidiary, absent some showing that it would be justified to disregard corporate form. See, e.g., United States v. Bestfoods, 524 U.S. 51 (1998).

Ms. Susan Hugo June 3, 1999 Page 3

With respect to the third category, again, Coit does not currently own the parcel. Although Coit did hold an ownership interest in the parcel for several months in 1978 and 1979, there is no information of which Coit is aware indicating that there was any release during this period of time. Indeed, as you have indicated, there appears to be significant amounts of stoddard solvent remaining in the tanks, a fact which would be inconsistent with a release twenty years ago.

With respect to the fourth category, Coit at no time has had "control" over the tanks, because it never operated them. Furthermore, during the only period of time that Coit even had any connection to the tanks (the several months when it held an ownership interest in the parcel), there is again no information indicating that there was a release of a hazardous substance during this period of time.

I hope that this information will be helpful to you as you evaluate this matter. Based on the above information, Coit requests that you withdraw your letter dated May 12, 1999 which identifies Coit as a responsible party. Coit would greatly appreciate a decision on this matter as soon as practicable. Coit will be obligated to file a petition to the State Water Resources Control Board challenging the improper designation pursuant to Resolution No. 88-23 on or before June 12, 1999, and accordingly, it would be ideal if at all possible to resolve this matter before that time.

Finally, with respect to the citation in your letter to Health & Safety Code section 25297.15, which requires that primary responsible parties identify to your agency all current record owners of fee title, Coit contests that any such requirement is appropriate for Coit, as it is not an appropriate responsible party. Nonetheless, Coit has contacted counsel for Mr. John Nady in this matter, a Mr. Frederic Schrag, and he indicated that his client, Mr. John Nady, is in fact the current holder of fee title to the parcel.

Please give me a call at (415) 882-2429 if there is any further information I can provide as you evaluate this site. Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Matthew F. Lintner

Must fut

Declaration of Louis Kearn

knowledge, sold the parcel to the current owner.

- 6. Trans-Western was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Coit from 1978 through 1981. However, in 1981 Coit sold all of the stock of Trans-Western. To the best of my knowledge, Trans-Western continued to operate as a California corporation for at least several years thereafter. From 1981 through to the present, Coit has had no remaining ownership interest in Trans-Western's stock.
- During the entire time that Coit owned the 65<sup>th</sup> Street parcel, no underground 7. storage tanks were in operation, as Trans-Western had ceased dry-cleaning operations at the 65<sup>th</sup> Street parcel prior to Coit's acquisition of an ownership interest in the parcel.
- 8. During the entire time that Coit owned an interest in the stock of the Trans-Western entity, no underground storage tanks were in operation at the 65<sup>th</sup> Street parcel, as Trans-Western had ceased dry-cleaning operations at the parcel prior to Coit's acquisition of an ownership interest in Trans-Western's stock.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 10th day of June, 1999 at Burlingame, California.



THE MONADNOCK BUILDING
685 MARKET STREET
6TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

(415) 882-5000 FACSIMILE (415) 543-5472

Matthew F. Lintner (415) 882-2429

June 11, 1999

### VIA U.S. MAIL

Ms. Susan Hugo Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Health Care Services Agency Environmental Health Services 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Re: 1137 – 1167 65<sup>th</sup> St., Oakland, CA

Notice of Responsibility dated May 12, 1999 to Coit Services, Inc.

Dear Ms. Hugo:

I write to inform you that a petition has been filed with the State Water Resources Control Board, pursuant to Resolution 88-23, requesting review of your Agency's Notice of Responsibility dated May 12, 1999 to Coit Services, Inc. A copy of the petition is enclosed herewith.

I hereby request that you prepare the local agency record in this matter.

Sincerely,

Matthew F. Lintner

Must fute

27011253v1

CHICAGO KANSAS CITY LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK SAN FRANCISCO ST LOUIS WASHINGTON, D.C.

PROOF OF SERVICE

1		HAND DELIVERY: I caused such document to be served by hand delivery.
2	]	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
3	foregoing Francisco,	is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on June 11, 1999, at San California.
4		
5		Jocasta Wong
6		Joodsta Wolfg
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		— was -
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

SONNENSCHEIN
NATH & ROSENTHAL
685 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO
CA 94105
(415) 882-5000