



Underground Contamination Investigations, Groundwater Consultants, Environmental Engineering

## QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT

(sampled November 11, 1994)

RIX INDUSTRIES 6460 Hollis Street Emeryville, CA

November 30, 1994

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	FIELD WORK	5
	Monitoring Well Sampling	
	Wastewater Generation	6
III.	RESULTS OF WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	
	Shallow Groundwater Flow Direction	7
	Shallow Water Table Hydraulic Gradient	7
	Historical Water Level Measurements	7
ıv.	SHALLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS	13
	Laboratory Analysis	11
	Results of Laboratory Analysis	1:

ATTACHMENT A -- Well Sampling Logs

ATTACHMENT B -- Analytical Results: Groundwater

### I. INTRODUCTION

The site location is the property at 6460 Hollis Street in Emeryville, California. The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.

The current occupant a the property, Rix Industries, has been present for more than twenty years. The current Rix Industries operation involves the construction of compressor parts, as well as compressor performance testing. In conjunction with a previous paint formulation plant that occupied the property prior to Rix Industries, ten (10) underground chemical storage tanks have been present for a number of years on the property. Five (5) of the underground tanks are present within the existing Rix Industries fabrication building.

On June 27, 1992, three shallow groundwater monitoring wells were installed on the site (wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3) by Hageman-Aguiar, Inc. The locations of the monitoring wells are shown in Figure 2, along with the locations of the ten (10) underground storage tanks. The report of that investigation was issued on July 24, 1992.

On July 30, 1994, the five (5) underground storage tanks inside the facility were closed-in-place under the direction of Hageman-Aguiar, Inc., in accord with Alameda County Department of Environmental Health's tank closure requirements. Prior to being filled with a neat cement slurry, each tank had its contents removed.

On November 11, 1994 all three on-site monitoring wells were sampled for the laboratory analysis for dissolved petroleum constituents, alcohols and ketones, and volatile organic



HOLLIS STREET

compounds. This sampling represents the second "round" of quarterly sampling, following the soil and groundwater investigation (well installations) previously conducted at the site by Hageman-Aguiar in July 1992.

#### II. FIELD WORK

### Monitoring Well Sampling

On November 11, 1994, groundwater samples were collected from each of the three (3) on-site monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3.

Prior to groundwater sampling, each well was purged by bailing approximately 10 casing volumes of water. Field conductivity, temperature, and pH meters were present on-site during the monitoring well sampling. As the purging process proceeded, the three parameters were monitored. Purging continued until readings appeared to have reasonably stabilized. After the water level in the well had attained 80% or more of the original static water level, a groundwater sample was collected using a clean teflon bailer. The water sample was placed inside appropriate 40 mL VOA vials and 1-liter amber bottles free of any headspace. The samples were immediately placed on ice, then transported under chain-of-custody to the laboratory at the end of the work day.

At the time each monitoring well was sampled, the following information was recorded in the field: 1) depth-to-water prior to purging, using an electrical well sounding tape, 2) identification of any floating product, sheen, or odor prior to purging, using a clear teflon bailer, 3) sample pH, 4) sample temperature, and 5) specific conductance of the sample.

Copies of the well sampling logs are included as Attachment A.

### Wastewater Generation

All water removed from the wells during development and purging was drummed and stored on-site until the results of laboratory analyses were obtained. Based upon these results, this water should be collected by a licensed waste hauler and transported as a hazardous liquid waste under proper manifest to an appropriate TSD facility for treatment and disposal. The disposal of wastewater is the responsibility of the property owner (waste generator), and is beyond the scope of work as described in this report.

### III. RESULTS OF WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

### Shallow Groundwater Flow Direction

Shallow water table elevations were measured on November 11, 1994. These measurements are shown in Table 1. Figure 3 presents a contour map for the shallow groundwater table beneath the site. As shown in this figure, the data from these monitoring wells indicate that the shallow groundwater beneath the site flows in the westerly direction.

### Shallow Water Table Hydraulic Gradient

Figure 3 presents the contour map for the shallow groundwater table beneath the site. As shown in this figure, the shallow groundwater table beneath the site appears to be relatively flat, with a calculated hydraulic gradient of  $dH/dL = 1.0^{\circ}/13.5^{\circ} \approx 0.0740$ .

### Historical Water Level Measurements

Table 2 presents the results of all water level measurements collected between July 7, 1992, and the present time.

TABLE 1.

Shallow Water Table Elevations
November 11, 1994

Well	Top of Casing Elevation (feet)	Depth to Water (feet)	Water Table Elevation (feet)
MW-1	100.00	2.08	97.92
MW-2	100.04	1.89	98.15
MW-3	101.99	2.38	99.61

Datum is the top-of-rim on MW-1 well box set at 100.00 feet.

HOLLIS STREET

TABLE 2.

Historical Water Table Elevations (feet)

	Date of Measurement								
Well	7-7-92	8-11-94	11-11-94						
MW-1 MW-2 MW-3	96.10 96.38 97.64	95.87 96.08 97.65	97.92 98.15 99.61						
Hydraulic Gradient	0.070	0.080	0.072						
Flow Direction	w	W	w						-

#### IV. SHALLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS

### Laboratory Analysis

All analyses were conducted by a California State DOHS certified laboratory in accordance with EPA recommended procedures (Priority Environmental Lab, Milpitas, CA). All Groundwater samples were analyzed for 1) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline, Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Total Xylenes; 2) Kerosene, Diesel and Mineral Spirits; 3) Isopropanol, sec-Butanol, Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK), Methyl Isobutyl Ketone (MIBK) and Acetone; and, 4) Volatile Organic Compounds.

### Results of Laboratory Analysis

Table 3 presents the results of the laboratory analysis of the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline, Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, Total Xylenes.

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline were detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 440  $\mu$ g/L (ppb) 810  $\mu$ g/L (ppb) and 920  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), respectively. In addition, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Total Xylenes were detected in the ground-water samples from wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of up to 3.7  $\mu$ g/L (ppb) 4.3  $\mu$ g/L (ppb) and 16  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), respectively.

TABLE 3.

Shallow Groundwater Sampling Results

Well	Date	TPH as Gasoline (ug/L)	Benzene (ug/L)	Toluene (ug/L)	Ethyl- benzene (ug/L)	Total Xylenes (ug/L)
MW-1	07-07-92	680	<b>3.8</b>	ND	38	3.4
	08-11-94	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	11-11-94	440	ND	<b>0.8</b>	2.6	6.2
MW-2	07-07-92	1,400	ND	12	69	530
	08-11-94	4,800	ND	1.2	5.6	18
	11-11-94	810	ND	1.2	4.3	11
MW-3	07-07-92	9,300	ND	3,600	ND	700
	08-11-94	4,300	ND	10	2.6	10
	11-11-94	920	ND	3.7	3.2	16
Detection Limit		50	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

ND = Not Detected

Table 4 presents the results of the laboratory analysis of the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Kerosene, Diesel and Mineral Spirits.

As shown in Table 4, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel were detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 at concentrations of 1,000  $\mu \rm g/L$  (ppb) and 620  $\mu \rm g/L$  (ppb), respectively. In addition, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Mineral Spirits were detected in the groundwater samples from wells MW-1 and MW-2 at concentrations of 190  $\mu \rm g/L$  (ppb) and 160  $\mu \rm g/L$  (ppb), respectively.

For this round of groundwater sampling, no detectable concentrations of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Kerosene were detected in any of the shallow groundwater samples.

Table 5 presents the results of the laboratory analysis of the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 for Acetone, Isopropanol, Methyl Ethyl Ketone, Methyl Isobutyl Ketone and Sec-butanol.

Acetone was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 2,700  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), 1,100  $\mu$ g/L (ppb) and 810  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), respectively.

Isopropanol was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 17,000  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), 4,600  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), and 6,700  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), respectively.

TABLE 4.

Shallow Groundwater Sampling Results

Well	Date	TPH as Kerosene (ug/L)	TPH as Diesel (ug/L)	TPH as Mineral Spirits (ug/L)	Oil & Grease (ug/L)
MW-1	07-07-92 08-11-94 11-11-94	6,100 960 ND	6,100 590 1,000	6,400 ND 190	14 
MW-2	07-07-92 08-11-94 11-11-94	17,000 490 ND	17,000 320 620	20,000 ND 160	19 
MW-3	07-07-92 08-11-94 11-11-94	<b>20,000</b> <b>470</b> ND	20,000 310 ND	<b>21,000</b> ND ND	<b>28</b>
Detection Limit		50	50	50	50

ND = Not Detected

15

TABLE 5.
Shallow Groundwater Sampling Results
Alcohols & Ketones

Monitoring Well	Date	Acetone (ug/L)	lso- Propanol (ug/L)	Methyl Ethyl Ketone (ug/L)	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone (ug/L)	Sec- Butanol (ug/L)
MW-1	07-07-92	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	08-11-94	<b>210</b>	9,100	<b>230</b>	180	<b>710</b>
	11-11-94	<b>2,700</b>	17,000	1,500	420	ND
MW-2	07-07-92	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	08-11-94	ND	410	ND	ND	<b>90</b>
	11-11-94	<b>1,100</b>	4,600	<b>18,000</b>	<b>360</b>	ND
MW-3	07-07-92	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	08-11-94	ND	9,400	<b>370</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>820</b>
	11-11-94	<b>810</b>	6,700	<b>40,000</b>	<b>22,000</b>	ND
Detection Lim	it	50 to 400	100 to 1,000	50 to 1,000	50 to 100	50 to 200

ND = Not Detected

Methyl Ethyl Ketone was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 1,500  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), 18,000  $\mu g/L$  (ppb) and 40,000  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), respectively.

Methyl Iso-butyl Ketone was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 420  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), 360  $\mu g/L$  (ppb) and 22,000  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), respectively.

For this round of groundwater sampling, no detectable concentrations of Sec-butanol were detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3.

Copies of the laboratory certificates for these water sample analyses are included as Attachment B.

Table 6 presents the results of the laboratory analysis of the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 for Volatile Organic Compounds.

- 1,1-Dichloroethane was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 33  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), 17  $\mu g/L$  (ppb) and 47  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), respectively.
- 1,1-Dichloroethene was detected in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3 at a concentrations of 29  $\mu g/L$  (ppb).
- cis 1,2-Dichloroethene was detected in the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 27  $\mu g/L$  (ppb) and 320  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), respectively.

trans-1,2-Dichloroethene was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 18  $\mu g/L$  (ppb) and 7  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), respectively.

Tetrachloroethene was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 34  $\mu g/L$  (ppb) and 110  $\mu g/L$  (ppb), respectively.

1,1,1- Trichloroethane was detected in groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3 at a concentration of 12  $\mu \rm g/L$  (ppb).

Trichloroethene was detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-2 and MW-3 at concentrations of 20  $\mu$ g/L (ppb) and 290  $\mu$ g/L (ppb), respectively.

18

TABLE 6.

Shallow Groundwater Sampling Results
Volatile Organic Compounds

Monitoring Well	Date	EPA Method	1,1-Dichloro- ethane (ug/L)	1,1-Dichloro- ethene (ug/L)	cis-1,2-Dichloro- ethene (ug/L)	trans- 1,2-Dichloro- ethene (ug/L)
MW-1	07-08-92	601	36	ND	ND	ND
	11-11-94	8240	33	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	07-08-92	601	<b>22</b>	ND	99	ND
	11-11-94	8240	17	ND	27	18
MW-3	07-08-92	601	30	ND	630	ND
	11-11-94	8240	47	<b>29</b>	320	7
Detection Limit			1.0 to 5.0	1.0 to 5.0	1.0 to 5.0	1.0 to 5.0

ND = Not Detected

### 7

### **TABLE 6. (Continued)**

# Shallow Groundwater Sampling Results Volatile Organic Compounds

Monitoring Well	Date	EPA Method	Tetrachloro- ethene (ug/L)	1,1,1-Trichloro- ethane (ug/L)	Trichloroethene (ug/L)	Vinyl Chloride (ug/L)
MW-1	07-08-92	601	ND	ND	ND	ND
	11-11-94	8240	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-2	07-08-92	601	52	ND	21	<b>46</b>
	11-11-94	8240	34	ND	20	ND
MW-3	07-08-92	601	2,200	81	300	ND
	11-11-94	8240	110	12	290	<b>67</b>
Detection Li	imit		1.0 to 5.0	1.0 to 5.0	1.0 to 5.0	1.0 to 10

ND = Not Detected

Vinyl Chloride was detected in groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3 at a concentrations of 67  $\mu g/L$  (ppb).

Copies of the laboratory certificates for these water sample analyses are also included as Attachment B.

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT RIX INDUSTRIES 6460 Hollis Street, Emeryville, California

November 30, 1994

No. C-34262

No. C-34262

ROPESSIONAL PROPERTY NO. C-34262

ROPESSIONAL PROPESSIONAL PROPERTY NO. C-34262

ROPESSIONAL PROPERTY NO. C-342625

Gerard F. Aarons

Geologist

## ATTACHMENT A

WELL SAMPLING LOGS

### WELL SAMPLING LOG

Project/No. RIX INDUSTRIES Page of
Site Location EMERY VILLE, CA  Date /1-/1-94
Well No. Pak
Weather PT. CLOUDY SB F Completed 1600
EVACUATION DATA
Description of Measuring Point (MP) WELL BOX AT GRADE
Total Sounded Depth of Well Below MP 15.02  Diameter
- Depth to Water Below MP 2.08 of Casing 2
= Water Column in Well 12.94
Gallons in Casing $\frac{2-1}{30\%}$ + Annular Space $\frac{\times 10}{(30\%)}$ = Total Gallons $\frac{2-1}{(30\%)}$
Gallons Pumped Prior to Sampling 2/
Evacuation Method PVC BAILER
SAMPLING DATA / FIELD PARAMETERS
Inspection for Free Product: Nove States (thickness to 0.1 inch, if any)
Time 1534 1539 1545 1552
Gals Removed 0 7 14 21
Temperature 17.9 18.1 17.7 18.0
Conductivity (NOT AVAILABLE)
PH 7.8 7.7 7.5 7.4
color 1 Odor CLR/HC GRY/HC GRY/HC GRY/HC
Turbidity Lon HIGH HIGH HIGH
Comments: None

### WELL SAMPLING LOG

Project/No. KIX TNOUSTRIES Page 2 of 3
Site Location <u>EMERYVILLE</u> , CA  Well No. <u>MW 2</u> Weather <u>FT. CLOUDY</u> 58°F Completed <u>1700</u>
EVACUATION DATA
Description of Measuring Point (MP) WELL BOX AT GRADE
Total Sounded Depth of Well Below MP 15.23
- Depth to Water Below NP 1-89 of Casing 2
= Water Column in Well 13,34
Gallons in Casing $\frac{2}{\sqrt{30\%}}$ + Annular Space $\frac{2}{\sqrt{30\%}}$ = Total Gallons $\frac{2}{\sqrt{30\%}}$
Gallons Pumped Prior to Sampling 21
Evacuation Method PVC BAILER
SAMPLING DATA / FIELD PARAMETERS
Inspection for Free Product: None Detected (thickness to 0.1 inch, if any)
Time 1637 1641 1647 1653
Gals Removed 0 7 14 21
Temperature 16.6 17.9 18.5 18.2
conductivity (NOT AVAILABLE)
ph 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.7
color 1 odor CLAJHE Gey/HE Gey/HE GEY/HE
Turbidity Low HIGH HIGH HIGH
Comments: Nove

### WELL SAMPLING LOG

Project/No. KIX LINDUSTRIES Page 5 of 3
Site Location EMERYVILLE, CA  Well No. 11-11-94
Well No
EVACUATION DATA
Description of Measuring Point (MP) NEW EOX AT GONDE
Total Sounded Depth of Well Below MP 17,40
- Depth to Water Below MP 2.38 of Casing 2"
Water Column in Well 15.02
Gallons in Casing $\frac{2.4}{4}$ + Annular Space $\frac{(\times 10)}{(30\% \text{ porosity})}$ = Total Gallons $\frac{24}{4}$
Gallons Pumped Prior to Sampling 24
Evacuation Method PVC BAILER
SAMPLING DATA / FIELD PARAMETERS
Inspection for Free Product: Nove Detected (thickness to 0.1 inch, if any)
Time 1611 1615 1619 1625
Gals Removed 0 8 16 24
Temperature 17,7 18,0 18,3 18,5
Conductivity (NOT AVAILABLE)
ph 6,8 6,7 6,7 6,6
color 1 odor OLR/HC GRY/HC GRY/HC GRY/HC
Turbidity Low HIGH HIGH HIGH
Comments: NONE

## ATTACHMENT B

ANALYTICAL RESULTS: GROUNDWATER



# PRIORITY ENVIRONMENTAL LABS

Precision Environmental Analytical Laboratory

November 17, 1994

PEL # 9411037

HAGEMAN - AGUIAR, INC.

Attn: Jeffrey Roth

Re: Three water samples for Gasoline/BTEX and TEPH analyses.

Project name: RIX Industries

Project location: Hollis St., - Emeryville, CA.

Date sampled: Nov 11, 1994

Date extracted: Nov 14-17, 1994

Date submitted: Nov 14, 1994

Date analyzed: Nov 14-17, 1994

### **RESULTS:**

SAMPLE I.D.	Kerosene	Gasoline	Diesel	Benzene	Toluene	e Ethyl Benzene	Total Xylenes	Mineral Spirits
1.0.	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)		(ug/L)		(ug/L)
MW 1	N.D.	440	1000	N.D.	0.8	2.6	6.2	190
MW 2 MW 3	N.D.	810 920	620 N.D.	N.D.	1.2 3.7	4.3 3.2	11 16	160 N.D.
Blank	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Spiked Recove	ery	88.5%	103.79	88.3%	90.2%	91.09	100.5%	<del></del>
Detecti limit	on 50	50	50	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	50
	of 3510/ sis 8015	5030 / 8015	3510 8015	/ 602	602	602	602	3510 / 8015

David Duong Laboratory Director

1764 Houret Court Milpitas, CA. 95035 Tel: 408-946-9636 Fax: 408-946-9663



## PRIORITY ENVIRONMENTAL LABS

Precision Environmental Analytical Laboratory

November 21, 1994

PEL # 9411037

HAGEMAN - AGUIAR, INC.

Attn: Jeffrey Roth

Re: Three water samples for Acetone, Isopropanol, MEK, MIK, and

SEC-Butanol analyses.

Project name: RIX Industries

Project location: Hollis St., - Emeryville, CA.

Date sampled: Nov 11, 1994

Date submitted: Nov 14, 1994

Date extracted: Nov 14-20, 1994

Date analyzed: Nov 14-20, 1994

### **RESULTS:**

SAMPLE I.D.	Acetone (ug/L)	Isopropanol (mg/L)	MEK (mg/L)	MIK (ug/L)	SEC-Butanol (ug/L)
MW 1 MW 2 MW 3	2700 1100 810	17 4.6 6.7	1.5 18 40	420 360 22000	N.D. N.D. N.D.
Blank	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Detection limit	100	1.0	1.0	100	50
Method of Analysis	8015	8015	8015	8015	8015

David Duong Laboratory Director

1764 Houret Court Milpitas, CA. 95035 Tel: 408-946-9636 Fax: 408-946-9663

CHAIN OF CUSTODY .... 25429

															Ne Git		
HOLL EME	OD ADDRESS:  NO. 157  15  EYVILLO	RIES 57. E, C	9		373	MAN - AGU 2 Mt. Diablo Blvd., yette, CA 94549			ALYS QUES	SIS STED	(8 <sup>2</sup> / <sub>2</sub>		A LANGE	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	REM	a 10 1	
CROSS REFERENCE NUMBER	DATE	TIME	8 0 1 1	W T E R	STAT	TION LOCATIO	PN		/	A A	24/	MET	Y O		REM	ARK	
mw 1	11-11-94	1600		X	MONITO	OR WELL			X	X	X	X	X	X	PUREE		
MN Z	11-11-94	1700		×	/		# 2		X	X	X	X	X	Χ	TRAI		<b>ا</b> ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
mn 3	11-11-94	1630		X	V	<u> </u>	#3		X	X	X	X	$\lambda$	X	ME	ZH	<u>el</u> )
														-	<u> </u>		
	·	<del></del>	<b></b>		<del></del>			-								<u></u>	
								╂─┤									
		<u>-</u>															
		<del></del>					· ·										
} <del></del>																	
	_																
												ļ					
		L,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1 1: 1:/ (St)	/ PEOCNED By. (6:						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l loas		
RELINQUISHED BY	Conductor	, the				DATE /1-14-94	RECEIVED BY: (Signa	wa)							DA1 TIM		4-1-4
RELINQUISHED BY	: (Signature)					DATE TIME	RECEIVED BY: (Signa	sture)					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DAT TIM		
RELINQUISHED BY	: (Signature)				<u> </u>	DATE	RECEIVED BY: (Signa	eture)							DA1 TIM		
RELINQUISHED BY	: (Signature)				<u> </u>	TIME	RECEMED FOR LABO	DRATORY	/ BY: (S	ignature	<del>)</del>			_	DAT		14/94
	• • • • • •					TIME	Danidous			>					TIM		: ( <i>o 7</i> 14

## HAGEMAN-AGUIAR, INC.

SAMPLE ID: MWI

AEN LAB NO: 9411192-01A AEN WORK ORDER: 9411192

CLIENT PROJ. ID: RIX INDUSTRIES

DATE SAMPLED: 11/11/94 DATE RECEIVED: 11/14/94

REPORT DATE: 11/22/94

ANALYTE	METHOD/ CAS#	RESULT	REPORTING LIMIT	UNITS	DATE ANALYZEI	
VOCs in Water by 9240	EPA 8240					
Acetone	67-64-1	ND	100	da.		
Benzene	71-43-2	ND	100		11/16/94	
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	ND	5	-3/	11/16/94	
Bromoform	75-25-2	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
Bromomethane	74-8 <del>3-</del> 9	ND	5	Contraction	11/16/94	
2-Butanone	78-93-3	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94	
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	=	100	ug/L	11/16/94	
Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94	
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	MD	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
Chloroethane	75-00-3	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	110-75-8	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94	
Chloroform	67~66-3	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94	
Chloromethane	74-87-3	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
Dibromochloromethane	<del>-</del>	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94	
1,1-Dichloroethane	124-48-1 75-34-3	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
1,2-Dichloroethane		33 *	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
1,1-Dichloroethene	107-06-2	ИD	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
1,2-Dichloropropane	156-60-5	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	78-87-5	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
range 1 % Dichioropropene	10061-01-5	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
rans-1,3-Dichloropropene Sthylbenzene	10061-02-6	ND	5	ug/L		
:-Hexanone	100-41-4	8 *	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
	591 78-6	ND	50	ug/L ug/L	11/16/94	
Methylene Chloride	75 <b>-</b> 09-2	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
-Methyl-2-pentanone tyrene	108-10-1	ND	50	ug/L ug/L	11/16/94	
	100-42-5	ND	5	ug/⊥	11/16/94	
.1,2,3-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	ND	5	_	11/16/94	
etrachloroethene	127-18-4	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
oluene	108-88-3	ND	_	ug/L	11/16/94	
,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
richloroethene	79-01-5	ND ND	5	nā\r	11/16/94	
inyl Acetate	108-05 4	=	5	ug/L	11/16/94	
inyl Chloride	75-01-4	ND	50	ug/L	11/16/94	
ylenes, Total	1330-20-7	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94	
		16 *	10	ug/L	11/16/94	

ND = Not detected at or above the reporting limit

<sup>\* =</sup> Value above reporting limit

### HAGEMAN-AGUIAR, INC.

SAMPLE ID: MW2

AEN LAB NO: 9411192-02A AEN WORK ORDER: 9411192

CLIENT PROJ. ID: RIX INDUSTRIES

DATE SAMPLED: 11/11/94 DATE RECEIVED: 11/14/94 REPORT DATE: 11/22/94

METHOD / REPORTING ANALYTE DATE CAS# RESULT LIMIT UNITS ANALYZED VOCs in Water by 8240 EPA 8240 Acetone 67-64-1 ND Benzene 100 ug/L 11/16/94 71-43-2 ND Bromodichloromethane 5 ug/L 11/16/94 75-27-4 ND 5 Bromoform ug/L 11/16/94 75-25-2 ND 5 Bromomethane ug/L 11/16/94..... 74-83-9 ND 10 2-Butanone ug/L 11/16/94 78-93-3 ND 100 Carbon Disulfide ug/L 11/16/94 75-15-0 ND Carbon Tetrachloride 10 ug/L 11/16/94 56-23-5 ND Chlorobenzene 5 ug/L 11/16/94 108-90-7 ND 5 Chloroethane ug/L 11/15/94 75-00-3 ND 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether 10 ug/L 11/16/94 110-75-8 ND 10 Chlorotorm ug/L 11/16/94 67-66-3 ND 5 ug/L Chloromethane 11/15/94 74-87-3 ND 10 Dibromochloromethane ug/L 11/16/94 124-48-1 ND 5 ug/L 1,1-Dichloroethane 11/16/94 75-34-3 17 \* 5 1,2-Dichloroethane ug/L 11/16/94 107-06-2 ND 5 1,1-Dichloroethene ug/L 11/16/94 75-35-4 ND 5 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene ug/L 11/16/94 156-59-2 27 \* 5 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene ug/L 11/16/94 156-60-5 18 \* 5 1,2-Dichloropropane ug/L 11/16/94 78-87-5 ND 5 cis-1,3 Dichloropropens ug/L 11/16/94 10061-01-5 ND 5 ug/L trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 11/16/94 10061-02-6 ND 5 Ethylbenzene ug/L 11/16/94 100-41-4 120 -5 ug/L 2-Hexanone 11/16/94 591-78-6 ND 50 Methylene Chloride ug/L 11/16/94 75-09-2 ND 5 ug/L 4-Methyl-2-pentanone 11/16/94 108-10-1 ND 50 ug/L Styrene 11/16/94 100-42 5 ND 5 ug/L 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 11/16/94 79-34-5 ND 5 Tetrachloroethene uq/L 11/16/94 127-18-4 34 \* 5 ug/L Toluene 11/16/94 108-88-3 6 \* 5 ug/L 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 11/16/94 71-55-6 ND 5 1,1,2-Trichloroethane ug/L 11/16/94 79-00-5 ND 5 ug/L Trichloroethene 11/16/94 79-01-6 20 \* 5 ug/L Viny) Acetate 11/16/94 108-05-4 ND 50 Vinyl Chloride ug/L 11/16/94 75-01-4 ND 10 Xylenes, Total ug/L 11/16/94 1330-20-7 610 \* 10 ug/L 11/21/94

ND = Not detected at or above the reporting limit

<sup>\* =</sup> Value above reporting limit

## HAGEMAN-AGUIAR, INC.

SAMPLE ID: MW3

AEN LAB NO: 9411192-03A AEN WORK ORDER: 9411192

CLIENT PROJ. ID: RIX INDUSTRIES

DATE SAMPLED: 11/11/94 DATE RECEIVED: 11/14/94

REPORT DATE: 11/22/94

ANALYTE	Method/ Cas#	Result	REPORTI LIMIT	ng Units	Date Analyze:
VOCs in Water by 8240	EPA 8240				
Acetone	67-64-1				
Benzene		MD	100	ug/L	22 (2 = 12
Bromodichloromethane	71-43-2	ND	5	3/	11/16/94
Bromoform	75-27-4	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94
Bromomethane	75-25-2	ND	_	ug/L	11/16/94
2-Butanone	74-93-9	ND	10	~5/ <del>~</del>	11/16/94
Carbon Disulfide	78-93-3	ND	100	ug/L	11/16/94
Carbon Tetrachloride	75 <b>-</b> 15-0	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94
Chlorobenzene	56-23-5	ND		ug/L	11/16/94
Chloroethane	108-90-7	√ MD	5	nā\r	11/16/94
	75-00-3	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether Chloroform	110-75-8	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94
Chloromothane	67-66 3	ND	10	ug/L	11/16/94
onroromothane	74-87-3	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94
Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1		10	ug/L	11/16/94
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	47 *	5	ug/L	11/16/94
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	NO.	5	ug/L	11/16/94
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	29 *	5	ug/L	11/16/94
rans-1,2-Dichloroethere	156-60-5	330 *	5	ug/L	11/21/94
.,2-Dichloropropage	78-87 <b>-</b> 5	7 *	5	ug/L	11/16/94
115-1,3-Dichloropropens		ND	5	ug/L	
tans-1,3-Dichloropropens	10061-01-5	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94
thylbenzene	10061-02-6	MD	5	ug/L	11/16/94
-Hexanone	100-41-4	26 *	5	ug/L	11/16/94
ethylene Chloride	591-78-6	ND	50	ug/L	11/16/94
-Methyl-2-pentanone	75-09-2	ND	5		11/16/94
tyrene	108-10-1	ND	50	ug/L	11/16/94
1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	100-42-5	ND		ug/L	11/16/94
etrachloroethene	79-34-5	ND		ug/L	11/16/94
luene	127-18-4	110 +	5	ug/L	11/16/94
1 1 m-1	108-88-3	440 *	5	na\r	11/16/94
1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6		5	ug/L	11/21/94
1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	12 *	5	ug/L	11/16/94
1Chioroethene	79-01-6	ND	5	ug/L	11/16/94
nyl Acetate	108-05-4	290 *		ug/L	11/21/94
nyl Chloride	75-01-4	ND		ug/L	11/16/94
lenes. Total	1330-20-7	67 *	10	ug/L	11/16/94
	-000-20-7	190 +		ug/L	11/16/94

ND  $\approx$  Not detected at or above the reporting limit

<sup>\* =</sup> Value above reporting limit

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PROJECT NAME	LNAUS	720,000			SAMPLER ISIO	160	2	<del></del>				94.	11/92
Hou	HOWS ST. EMERYVILLE, CA				HAGEMAN - AGUIAR INC			ANALYSIS					7//
-276	EMERYVILLE, CA					Lafayette, CA 94549			REQUESTED				
CROSS REFERENCE NUMBER	DATE	TIME	\$ 0 ! L	WATE	(415)284-1661 (415)284-1664 (FAX) STATION LOCATION			(3)////////////////////////////////////					
mw/	11-11-94	1600		÷	- Marin				//			//	REMARKS
MW 2.	11-11-94	1300		文	MONI	TOR WE		1×	1	<del>-</del>	1-1	-/-	
MNB	11-11-94	1630		<b>S</b>			# 2	×		<del>                                     </del>	╂╼╂╌	-14	ORM TH
<del></del>							#3	×		1-	<del>                                     </del>		
<b> </b>					<del></del>					<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		<u> </u>
												+-	
												1	
								╍╁╌╌╏					
								╌╂╌╌┟		1_1			
										<del>                                     </del>			
										╁┷╁			
										<del>                                     </del>			•
RELINQUISHED BY: 5	gnature)		L					<del></del>		-			
REUNQUISHED BY: (S	<u>S</u>	~				DATE /1-14-94	RECEIVED BY: (Signature	9				<u> </u>	
						TIME /355	RECEIVED BY: (Signature		_				DATE
RELINQUISHED BY: (8	(matura)					TIME		7				<del></del>	DATE
ELMOUISHED BY: (3)		<del></del>				DATE	RECEIVED BY: (Signature)	<del>)</del>					TIME
	huegate)		·····	····		DATE	_						DATE
-	*******************************					TIME	MEMBER FOR LABORAT	ORY BY: (Sign	shrey			·	DATE 1/14/4
							and the	overg	Low				TIME 1355