Harding Lawson Associates





To:

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health

80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621

Attention: Mr. Lowell Miller

From:

David F. Leland BL

Date:

December 19, 1989

Subject:

November 1989 Ground-Water Treatment System NPDES Monitoring Report

Job No.:

09382,040.02

Remarks:

Please find attached a copy of the "Report for System Monitoring: November 1989, Dewatering Effluent Treatment System, Pacific Renaissance Plaza, Oakland, California," describing the operations and monitoring of the ground-water treatment system located at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza site in Oakland.

DFL/dc/dfl022#1

cc:

A Report Prepared for

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1111 Jackson Street, Room 6000 Oakland, California 94607

REPORT OF SYSTEM MONITORING NOVEMBER 1989 DEWATERING EFFLUENT TREATMENT SYSTEM CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

HLA Job No. 9382,040.02

Submitted on behalf of:

City of Oakland Redevelopment Agency One City Hall Plaza Oakland, California 94612

bу

Laura O. Hollingsworth

Staff Engineer

David F. Leland Associate Hydrologist

Harding Lawson Associates 7655 Redwood Boulevard P.O. Box 578 Novato, California 94948 415/892-0821

December 18, 1989

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	OF TABLES	i
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	TREATMENT SYSTEM OPERATION	2
III	TREATMENT SYSTEM MONITORING	4
IV	RESULTS	5
TABLES		
Appendix	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR TREATMENT SYSTEM SAMPLES	
DISTRIBUT	ION	

i

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Influent Samples
Table 2	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Intermediate Samples
Table 3	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Effluent Samples
Table 4	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Blank Samples

LOH602-R ii

I INTRODUCTION

This report discusses the operation and monitoring of the ground-water treatment system at 10th and Webster streets, Oakland, California from November 1 to November 30, 1989. The system is treating ground water produced from extraction wells located in the area bounded by 9th, 11th, Webster and Franklin streets.

Ground-water extraction is being conducted in conjunction with in situ biological treatment of soil at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza (PRP) site bounded by 9th, Franklin, and Webster streets and the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) property line approximately 100 feet north of the centerline of 10th Street.

This report has been prepared by Harding Lawson Associates (HLA) on behalf of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (Agency) and is submitted in compliance with NPDES Permit CA 0029394, adopted on July 20, 1988, by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (RWQCB). Under the NPDES permit, treatment system discharge limits are 50 parts per billion (ppb) for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) identified as gasoline; 5.6 ppb for lead; 5.0 ppb each for chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloropropane, trichloroethylene, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, benzene, xylenes, and ethylbenzene; 0.5 ppb for toluene; 0.01 ppb for ethylene dibromide; and 0.0 ppb for total residual chlorine. The treatment system is designed to reduce concentrations of hydrocarbons in ground water to less than discharge limits specified in the NPDES permit.

II TREATMENT SYSTEM OPERATION

The ground-water treatment system was installed March 8, 1988, and has been in operation since March 14, 1988. Water is treated by pumping it through four carbon contactors arranged in pairs. Organic compounds in the influent are adsorbed onto the carbon. Each pair of contactors is arranged in parallel, and together constitute a module; the two modules are arranged in series. The system is configured so that water from the ground-water extraction wells may be pumped through either module first. The system also includes a holding tank for influent water, pumps, filters, piping, and instrumentation. Four water sampling ports -- one influent, two intermediate, and one effluent -- enable water samples to be collected throughout the treatment process. The intermediate ports are located between the two modules so the effectiveness of the first pair of contactors in reducing influent concentrations can be monitored. Depending on the configuration of modules, only one of these ports is intermediate in the system at any one time.

Treated effluent is either recycled to the PRP biological treatment system or discharged to the storm drain. From November 1 to December 1, 1989, total effluent discharged from the system was 1,046,600 gallons, based on readings of the flowmeters located on each extraction well. Average flow through the treatment system for the month was 24.2 gallons per minute (gpm). Of the 1,046,600 gallons of treatment system effluent, approximately 94 percent, or 984,760 gallons were recycled to the PRP biotreatment injection system and 6 percent, or 61,840 gallons were discharged to the storm drain.

3 of 5

The carbon contactors were not backwashed and cartridge filters were not changed in November. Bag filters were replaced once every 1 to 2 days as a result of biological fouling. The sand filter was backwashed with fresh water twice a day. No new equipment was added to the system.

The November meter reading on the treatment system was compared with readings from all the individual extraction well flow meters feeding into the treatment system. Prior to October, similar comparisons were not possible because of the operation of dewatering wells at the EBMUD site. These dewatering wells were operated by EBMUD contractors and were not equipped with individual flow meters.

The average flow rate according to the extraction well flow meters for the period from November 1 to December 1 was 24.2 gpm, whereas the average flow rate according to the treatment system flow meter for the same period was 19.2 gpm. The treatment system flow meter does not appear to function properly and is considered to be a less reliable source for flowrate data then the individual extraction well flow meters.

Starting with this report, the individual extraction well flowmeters are used to calculate flowrate information and the totalizer flow reading has been eliminated from the effluent water analysis table (Table 3).

IV RESULTS

Results of treatment system water sample analyses for TPH and for the EPA Test Method 8010, 8020, and 504 compounds analyzed indicate that on the sampling date (November 2, 1989), the carbon treatment system removed most individual constituents to below detection levels in discharge water. Chloroform and 1,2-dichloroethane were detected in the effluent sample at concentrations of 1.5 ppb and 2.8 ppb, respectively. None of the effluent limits set forth in the NPDES permit were exceeded.

TABLE 1. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: INFLUENT SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # DATE	89101101 03/10/89	89140601 04/06/89	89180330 05/03/89	89230801 06/08/89	89270503 07/05/89	8930CSIN 08/01/89	89090741 09/07/89	8910CSIN 10/05/89	89451124 11/02/89
TEST METHOD/ COMPOUNDS									
EPA 8020 Benzene Toluene	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	0.5 0.2	1.2 0.9	11.5 2.5	710 610	6.3 0.7		ND < 0.2
Ethylbenzene Xylenes	ND < 0.2 68	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 26	ND < 0.2 71	46 1100	ND < 2.0 39	ND < 0.2 P 38	ND < 0.2 12
Chlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene All other 8020 compounds	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2	ND < 2.0 ND < 2.0 ND < 2.0	NT NT NT	NT P	NT NT
EPA 8015 TPH (Gasoline)	340	70	70	110	220	6200	ND < 50	120	ND < 50
EPA 8010 1,1-dichloroethene Methylene chioride 1,1-dichloroethane Chloroform 1,1,1-trichloroethane 1,2-dichloroethane Trichloroethene 1,2-dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane Cis-1,3-dichloropropene Tetrachloroethene Chlorobenzene Bromoform 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane Dibromochloromethane All other 8010 compounds	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 1.8 42 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.8 ND < 0.5 1.1 8.8 0.7 16.2 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 9.8 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.7 1.0 ND < 0.7 1.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 8.3 9.8 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 5.0	ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 4.3 ND < 0.5 7.4 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5 ND	ND < 0.5
EPA 504 Ethylene dibromide	ND < 0.01	0.47	ND < 0.01	ND < 0.01	0.09	0.09	ND < 0.02	1.6	2.8
Standard Method 408E Residual chlorine (mg/l)	ND < 0.01	0.05	ND < 0.01	ND < 0.05	ND < 0.01	ND < 0.05	0.5	ND < 0.05	0.1
EPA 360.2 Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	7.5	7.9	NT	14	6.9	20	6.8	5.6	3.4

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit.

NT - Not Tested. All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

TABLE 2. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: INTERMEDIATE SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # DATE	8910110 03/10/8		89180331 05/03/89	89230802 06/08/89	89270502 07/05/89	8930CSIM 08/01/89	89090742 09/07/89	8910CSLT 10/05/89	89451126 11/02/89
TEST METHOD/COMPOUNDS									2022255555555 5
EPA 8020									
Benzene	NT	ND < 0.2	0.3	NT	ND < 0.2	79	ND < 0.2	1.7	NT
Toluene	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	0.7	61	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT
Ethylbenzene	NT	ND < 0.2	0.4	NT	ND < 0.2	2.6	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT
Xylenes	NT	ND < 0.2	0.3	NT	ND < 0.2	140	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT
Chlorobenzene	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	NT	NT
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	NT	NT
All other 8020 compounds	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	NT	NT
EPA 8015									
TPH (Gasoline)	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	ND < 50	NT
EPA 8010									
Methylene chloride	ND < 0.	NO < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
1,1-dichloroethane	ND < 0.		ND < 0.5						
Chloroform	ND < 0.		ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	1.5	5.6	4.7	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
1,1,1-trichloroethane	2.3	2 ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
1,2-dichloroethane	ND < 0.1	0.55	ND < 0.5	1.3	3.4	ND < 0.5	6.2	7.7	7.5
Trichloroethene	ND < 0.5		ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	2.7	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5
Tetrachloroethene	ND < 0.9		ND < 0.5	MD < 0.5					
Chlorobenzene	ND < 0.		ND < 0.5						
Bromoform	ND < 0,		ND < 0.5						
1,3-dichlorobenzene	ND < 0,5		ND < 0.5						
All other 8010 compounds	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit.

NT - Not Tested.

All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

TABLE 3. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: EFFLUENT SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # Date		101103 /10/89		9140603 4/06/89		2180332 5/03/89		230803 /08/89		270501 /05/89		30CSEF /01/89		090740 /07/89		10CSEF /05/89		451127 /02/89
TEST METHOD/COMPOUNDS		======	EEERZZ	ZZZ###		1422222	*=====	======		********	12222	======	*****		*******	EZZZZZZ	======	
EPA 8020																		
Benzene	ND <	0.2	,	< 0.2		0.3	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2
Toluene	ND <	0.2		< 0.2	ND 4		ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2		0.7	ND <	0.2
Ethylbenzene	ND <	0.2		< 0.2	ND 4		ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2
Xylenes	ND <	0.2		< 0.2		0.3	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2
Diphenylhydrazine	ND <	0.2		< 0.2	ND 4		ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	NT	
All other 8020 compounds	ND <	0.2	ND	< 0.2	ND 4	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	NT	
EPA 8015	•																	
TPH (Gasoline)	ND <	50	ND	< 50	ND 4	50	ND <	50	ND <	50	ND <	50	ND <	50	ND <	50	ND <	50
EPA 8010																		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND <	2.0	ND	< 2.0	ND 4	2.0	ND <	2.0	ND <	2.0	ND <	2.0	ND <	2.0	ND <	2.0	ND <	2.0
1.1-dichlorethene	ND <		_	< 0.5	ND 4		ND <		ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	
Methylene chloride	ND <		:	< 0.5	ND 4		4D ,	0.6	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	
1,1-dichloroethane	ND <			< 0.5	ND 4		ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	
Chloroform	ND <	0.5		< 0.5	ND <	= - =	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	MU >	1.5
1.1.1-trichloroethane		2.4		< 0.5	ND <	=== :	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	
1.2-dichloroethane	ND <			< 0.5	ND <	= = =	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	י עוו	0.7	NO 1	1.1	י עה	1.7	י עה	2.8
Trichloroethene	ND <	0.5	ND .		ND <	= =	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	
Tetrachloroethene	ND <	0.5	111	< 0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	
All other 8010 compounds	ND	***	ND		ND		ND	0.5	ND	0.5	ND	0.5	ND `	0.5	ND \	0.5	ND `	0.5
EPA 360.2																		
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)		8.0		7.8	NT			10		3.3		1.0		1.9		1.3		1.8
EPA 504																		
Ethylene dibromide	ND <	0.01	ND .	0.01	ND <	0.01	ND <	0.01	ND <	0.01	ND <	0.02	ND <	0.02	ND <	0.02	ND <	0.01
Standard Method 408E																		
Residual chlorine (mg/l)	ND <	0.01	ND ·	< 0.05	ND <	0.01	ND <	0.05	ND <	0.01		0.05	ND <	0.05	ND <	0.05	ND <	0.05

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathsf{ND}}$ - Not detected at stated detection limit. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NI}}$ - Not Tested.

All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

TABLE 4. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: BLANK SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # DATE			1105 0/89	04/06/89			80334 03/89			30805 08/89		?7051 (05/8		08/01/89			90615 07/89			OCSTB 05/89			1125 12/89
TEST METHOD/COMPOUNDS	ERREEL		.=====	.445		===:	======	:====	:==:		******	:==:	***	*========	====	tt.	202223	1382:	:==:	======	全型性 量	2222	
EPA 8020																							
Benzene	ND		0.2	NT	ND	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2	ND <	0.	.2	NT	NO	•	0.5	ND	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2
Toluene	ND		0.2	NT	ND	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2	ND <	0.	.2	NT	ND		0.5	ND	<	0.2	ND		0.2
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.2	NT	ND	<	0.2		<	0.2	ND <	Ó.		NT	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.2	ND		0.2
Xylenes	ND		0.2	NT			0.7		<	0.2	ND <	0.	.2	NT	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.2	ND		0.2
All other 8020 compounds	ND	<	0.2	NT	ND	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2	ND <	0.	.2	NT	NT			NT			NT		
EPA 8015																							
TPH (Gasoline)	ND	<	50	NT	NT			ND	<	50	ND <	5	50	NT	ND	<	250	ND	<	50	ND	<	50
EPA 8010																							
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	<	2.0	NT	ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0	NT			NT	NT			ND	<	2.0	ND	<	2.0
1,1-dichloroethene	ND		0.5	NT	ND		0.5		<	0.5	ÑŤ			NT	NT				<	0.5	ND		0.5
Methylene chloride			42	NT	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	NT			NT	NT				<	0.5	ND		0.5
1,1,1-trichloroethane			5.9	NT	ND	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	NT			NT	NT			ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	<	0.5	NT	ND		0.5	ND		0.5	NT			NT	NT			ND	<	0.5	ND	< −	0.5
All other 8010 compounds	ND			NT	ND			ND			NT			NT	NT			ND			ND		
EPA 504																							
Ethylene dibromide	NT			MT	NT			NT			NT			NT	NT			ND		0.02	NT		

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit.

NT - Not Tested.
All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

Appendix

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR TREATMENT SYSTEM SAMPLES

PACE. laboratories, inc.

200 Rush Landing Road

Novato, CA 94945

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

November 18, 1989 PACE Project

Number: 491102501

Attn: Mr. David Leland

Harding Lawson Associates

Pacific Rensse Plaza

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MDL	788410 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451124	788420 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451125	788430 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451126
INORGANIC ANALYSIS					
INDIVIDUAL PARAMETERS Chlorine, Total Residual Oxygen, Dissolved	mg/L mg/L	0.05 0.1	0.1 3.4	-	- -
ORGANIC ANALYSIS					
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010 Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Chloroethane Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11) 1,1-Dichloroethene Methylene Chloride	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND ND	ND ND
1,1-Dichloroethane Chloroform	ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5	ND 5.5	ND	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride 1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC) Trichloroethene (TCE) 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND 10 ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND 7.5 ND ND ND ND ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND	ND ND ND	ND ND ND

MDL

Method Detection Limit

ND

Not detected at or above the MDL.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices: Minne

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

Mr. David Leland Page 2 November 18, 1989

PACE Project

Number: 491102501

Pacific Rensse Plaza

laboratories, inc.

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter ORGANIC ANALYSIS	<u>Units</u>	MDL	788410 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451124	788420 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451125	788430 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451126
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010 Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethane Chlorobenzene Bromoform 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene Bromochloromethane (Surrogate Recovery) 1,4-Dichlorobutane (Surrogate Recovery)	ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5	ND ND 106% 105%	ND ND 123% 115%	ND ND 119% 115%
PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT): Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020): Benzene Ethylbenzene Toluene	mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L	0.05 0.0002 0.0002 0.0002	ND	ND ND ND ND ND	-
Xylenes, total	mg/L	0.0002	0.012	ND	-
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB) EPA METHOD 504 1,2-Dibromoethane Date Extracted	ug/L	0.01	2.8 11/15/89	-	-

MDL ND Method Detection Limit

Not detected at or above the MDL.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

Idboratories, inc.

Mr. David Leland Page 3 November 18, 1989 PACE Project

Number: 491102501

Pacific Rensse Plaza

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MDL	788440 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451127
INORGANIC ANALYSIS			
INDIVIDUAL PARAMETERS Chlorine, Total Residual Oxygen, Dissolved	mg/L mg/L	0.05 0.1	ND 1.8
DRGANIC ANALYSIS			
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010 Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Chloroethane Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	ND ND ND ND ND ND
1,1-Dichloroethene Methylene Chloride trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1,1-Dichloroethane Chloroform 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND - ND ND 1.5 ND
Carbon Tetrachloride 1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC) Trichloroethene (TCE) 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND 2.8 ND ND ND ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethane Chlorobenzene	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND ND ND

MDL

Method Detection Limit

ND Not detected at or above the MDL.

PACE. Laboratories, inc.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

Mr. David Leland Page 4 November 18, 1989 PACE Project

Project Number: 491102501

acific Rensse Plaza

ACE Sample Number: late Collected: Date Received: Parameter	Units	MDL	788440 11/02/89 11/02/89 # 89451127
ORGANIC ANALYSIS			

IALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010 Promoform 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 3-Dichlorobenzene 4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene Bromochloromethane (Surrogate Recovery)	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND ND ND 126%	
,4-Dichlorobutane (Surrogate Recovery)			118%	
4-Dichlorobutane (Surrogate Recovery)			118%	

PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT): ND Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) 0.05 mg/L PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020): 0.0002 ND mg/L Benzene 0.0002 ND mg/L Ethylbenzene 0.0002 ND mg/L Joluene o ooos kin

Kylenes, total		mg/L	0.0002	NU
,2-DIBROMOETHANE (,2-Dibromoethane Date Extracted	EDB) EPA METHOD 504	ug/L	0.01	ND 11/15/89

MDL Method Detection Limit
ND Not detected at or above the MDL.

aboratories, nc.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

November 18, 1989 PACE Project

Number: 491102501

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas Irvine, California Asheboro, North Carolina

Mr. David Leland Page

Pacific Rensse Plaza

The data contained in this report were obtained using EPA or other approved methodologies. All analyses were performed by me or under my direct supervision.

Stephén F. Nackord

Director, Sampling and Analytical Services

Day Oran by ofe

ester Hacket

Douglas E. Oram, Ph.D.

	ij,		200 f P.O.	Rush Box (to, C	aliforn	ng P	load	R.	oc.		•							1	С										TODY FORM		ab	: :									EST			71	<u> </u>
Job Nai Pro	o N me oje	lui	Telec m b	er er	415/6	0	9	3 R D	86 DHU	2,	C 14)3 <u>H</u>	9 Da	ء (سو)	el	ai	<u>ua</u>			_		np :o				K	2	MCarter and MErens	-					IJ	u			EDB		and per Still				
SOURCE	-	Water	Sediment TV			F	H, SO.	RES	INE COLO	v. T		/r		MI JM OI LA JM	R B BEI				- Yr	I	vlo	_	AT Dy		T	im	<u>—</u>		station description/ notes Lab #		EPA 601/8010	EPA 602/8020	EPA 624/824(EPA 625/82/U	Priority Piltnt. Metals	Benzene/Toluene/Xylene	Total Petrol. Hydrocarb	Chlorin	EPA 504	された。	D.O. (M				
S S		Ŕ		"	+	5		_	3 (+	4	19	_	5	7	7E	2 4		39	+	1	+-	٥	_	_	_	79	1	78841		ζ.			I	$oldsymbol{\mathbb{L}}$		1	么	小	<u>小</u>	<u> </u>	I			
3 2		忆	_	寸	1			-	2	1	٤	11		3	i	1	23		19	1	1	C	10	40	. 6	5/2	5	₹.	78842		(_}2	◁		\bot	♪	4	\perp	\perp		Ц	
3/	7	K	_	_	1	Г			2	Τ	-	9		5	1	73	L		39		1	2) 5	4/	٤ [24	15]	78843	∐ [<u> </u>		1	_	\perp		\bot	↲	\bot	\downarrow	_	\perp	_	Ш	-
3/2	\hat{a}	X				2	П	:	3/	1		9	4	5	7	15					1	6	2	4/	ع '	5 3	3 2		78844		<u>(</u>			_	♪	X.	_}}	仏		(乣	\perp	丰	Ц	Ц
	1	1		\neg		Г	П	TĨ		Τ	Τ				T	1	Т	T		Т		Τ	Τ	Τ			Ì			JL				\perp	\perp	\perp		\bot	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp	丄		_
	+	T				T		寸	1	1	T	П			7	T		T	T		T	Τ	T	1		T				IJĹ								\bot	\perp	\perp	┸		ᆚ	Ш	
	- -	┪		寸	1	1	П	Ť	1	T	T						T	T			Τ	T			T	T		1										\perp	\perp			1			
	1	t		\dashv	+	1	H	7	\dagger	十	1	Т	П	ヿ	┪	1	T	†	1		T	T	T	T	1	Τ	T	٦		\prod		\prod		\prod											
H	+	1	1		十	t	T	┪		Τ	T	Τ	П		7	1	T	1	T	1	1	T	T	1	7		T	1		76				Τ	\prod	floor	I	floor					L		
\vdash	\dashv	t	╁┈		- -	t	Н	7	1	†	T		П	7	7	1	1	T	T	T		1	T	1	7	T		٦		7[Ī	T	Τ	Ţ	T	T		Т	T	Т	Т	T	\top		
LAB NUMBER Yr Wk Seg								TH	١	OL MTD CD		co			1			AIS	CE	L.L.	.AN	JE	טט	 S	,. 				CHAIN O														TE/	T184	
	_		4-	,,,,,	÷	-	_				+-		_	_	_					_				_		_	1	RΕ	(INQUISHED BY:_(Signature)	REC	EL)	/E) B)	7 : 1	Sign	nati	ure)	,				UA	IE/	HIN	=

	NUI	.A8 M88			***			7 7		QA ODE		MISCELLANEOUS	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD					
Yr	Wk		Seq			EET		L	CD				T	RECINQUISHED BY: (Signature) RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME				
				‡		<u>-</u>	+		-	#		_	Two week transmind	RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME				
						_				#		_	Dissolvad 6 hr turn around time) Please	RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature) RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME				
		-		上		\pm	+			\pm	\pm		call wiresult.	RECEIVED BY: (Signature) DATE/TIME				
							+	-		+	-	-	6/5 VOAS	DISPATCHED BY: (Signatura) DATE/TIME RECEIVED FOR LAB BY: 1/DATE/TIME 11/281 2/08 (Signatura) Anderson 102 (A)				
					Ш								10/4 Other 3	METHOD OF SHIPMENT Delivered in cooleir witee				

DISTRIBUTION

REPORT OF SYSTEM MONITORING **NOVEMBER 1989** DEWATERING EFFLUENT TREATMENT SYSTEM CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA December 18, 1989

Copy No. 4

		Copy No.
1 copy:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1111 Jackson Street, Room 6000 Oakland, California 94607	I
	Attention: Mr. Don Dalke	
2 copies:	City of Oakland Redevelopment Agency One City Hall Plaza Oakland, California 94612	2-3
	Attention: Mr. Peter Chen	
1 сору:	Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621	4
	Attention: Mr. Lowell Miller	
l copy: l copy:	Job File QC/Bound Report File	5
LOH/DFL/	TLW/tra/LOH602-R	

QUALITY CONTROL REVIEWER

Jamara T. Williams Tamara L. Williams Geologist - 3954