#### **Harding Lawson Associates**



### Transmittal/Memorandum

To:

Alameda County Department of Environmental Health

80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621

Attention: Mr. Lowell Miller

From:

David Leland Dail Le Cul

Date:

November 22, 1989

Subject:

October 1989 Ground-Water Treatment System NPDES Monitoring Report

Job No.:

09382,040.02

Remarks:

Please find attached a copy of the "Report of System Monitoring: October 1989, Dewatering Effluent Treatment System, Pacific Renaissance Plaza, Oakland, California," describing the operations and monitoring of the ground-water treatment system located at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza site in Oakland.

DL/jmg/d1130#4m

cc:

### A Report Prepared for

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1111 Jackson Street, Room 6000 Oakland, California 94607

REPORT OF SYSTEM MONITORING OCTOBER 1989 DEWATERING EFFLUENT TREATMENT SYSTEM CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

HLA Job No. 9382,040.02

Submitted on behalf of:

City of Oakland Redevelopment Agency One City Hall Plaza Oakland, California 94612

by

Charles E. Myrick Project Engineer

David F. Leland Associate Hydrologist

Harding Lawson Associates 7655 Redwood Boulevard P.O. Box 578 Novato, California 94948 415/892-0821

November 22, 1989

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TA	BLES	ii
I	INTRODUCTION	1
11	TREATMENT SYSTEM OPERATION	2
Ш	TREATMENT SYSTEM MONITORING	4
IV	RESULTS	5
TABLES		
Appendix	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR TREATMENT SYSTEM SAMPLES	
DISTRIBUT	ION	

J10608-Н i

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Influent Samples
Table 2	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Intermediate Samples
Table 3	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Effluent Samples
Table 4	Treatment System Water Analysis:	Blank Samples

#### I INTRODUCTION

This report discusses the operation and monitoring of the ground-water treatment system at 10th and Webster streets, Oakland, California from October 1 to October 31, 1989. The system is treating ground water produced from extraction wells located in the area bounded by 9th, 11th, Webster and Franklin streets. Ground-water extraction is being conducted in conjunction with in situ biological treatment of soil at the Pacific Renaissance Plaza (PRP) site bounded by 9th, Franklin, and Webster streets and the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) property line approximately 100 feet north of the centerline of 10th Street.

This report has been prepared by Harding Lawson Associates (HLA) on behalf of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (Agency) and is submitted in compliance with NPDES Permit CA 0029394, adopted on July 20, 1988, by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (RWQCB). Under the NPDES permit, treatment system discharge limits are 50 parts per billion (ppb) for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) identified as gasoline; 5.6 ppb for lead; 5.0 ppb each for chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloropropane, trichloroethylene, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, benzene, xylenes, and ethylbenzene; 0.5 ppb for toluene; 0.01 ppb for ethylene dibromide; and 0.0 ppb for total residual chlorine. The treatment system is designed to reduce concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in ground water to less than discharge limits specified in the NPDES permit.

J10608-H 1 of 5

### II TREATMENT SYSTEM OPERATION

The ground-water treatment system was installed March 8, 1988, and has been in operation since March 14, 1988. Water is treated by pumping it through four carbon contactors arranged in pairs. Organic compounds in the influent are adsorbed onto the carbon. Each pair of contactors is arranged in parallel, and together constitute a module; the two modules are arranged in series. The system is configured so that water from the ground-water extraction wells may be pumped through either module first. The system also includes a holding tank for influent water, pumps, filters, piping, and instrumentation. Four water sampling ports -- one influent, two intermediate, and one effluent -- enable water samples to be collected throughout the treatment process. The intermediate ports are located between the two modules so the effectiveness of the first pair of contactors in reducing influent concentrations can be monitored. Depending on the configuration of modules, only one of these ports is intermediate in the system at any one time.

Treated effluent is either recycled to the PRP biological treatment system or discharged to the storm drain. From October 1 to November 1, 1989, total effluent discharged from the system was 849,000 gallons, based on readings of the totalizing flowmeter located in the discharge line. Average flow for the month was 19 gallons per minute (gpm). Of the 849,000 gallons of treatment system effluent, approximately 95 percent, or 806,000 gallons was recycled to the PRP biotreatment injection system and 5 percent, or 43,000 gallons was discharged to the storm drain.

The carbon contactors were backwashed with fresh water on October 2, 5, and 27. Cartridge filters were changed on October 29. Bag filters were replaced once every one to two days as a result of biological fouling. The new sand filter was backwashed with fresh water on October 5, 20, and 27.

Prior to the month of October, one or more extraction wells installed by Viking Drillers for the EBMUD dewatering system continued to discharge to the HLA carbon treatment system. As of October 1, all Viking extraction wells had either been shut off or, in the case of one well, converted into an HLA extraction well complete with an individual flow meter. As a result, the meter reading on the treatment system can now be compared with readings from all the individual extraction well flow meters feeding into the treatment system. The average flow rate according to the extraction well flow meters for the period from October 1 to November 1 was 23.8 gpm, whereas the average flow rate according to the treatment system flow meter for the same period was 19.0 gpm; it appears that the treatment system flow meter does not function properly over the range of flow rates which the system treats. Several alternatives are being considered to solve this problem, including improving the performance of the existing meter or replacing the meter.

J10608-H

#### III TREATMENT SYSTEM MONITORING

During this reporting period, treatment system samples were collected on October 5 from the influent, intermediate, and effluent sampling ports. A field blank was submitted with samples collected on this date in conjunction with activities at the PRP site.

All treatment system samples collected were analyzed by Pace Laboratories, Novato, California, a California-certified laboratory. All samples were analyzed for halogenated organics by EPA Test Method 8010, for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes by EPA Test Method 8020, and for TPH as gasoline by EPA Test Method 8015. Influent, effluent, and blank samples were analyzed for ethylene dibromide by EPA Test Method 504. Influent and effluent samples were analyzed for residual chlorine by Standard Method 408E, and for dissolved oxygen by EPA Test Method 360.2.

Results of analyses of samples collected February 8 through October 5, 1989 are summarized in Tables 1 through 4. Analytical results for samples collected in October are discussed in this report.

J10608-H

#### IV RESULTS

Results of treatment system water sample analyses for TPH and for the EPA Test Method 8010, 8020, and 504 compounds analyzed indicate that on the sampling date (October 5, 1989), the carbon treatment system removed most individual constituents to below detection levels in discharge water. Toluene and 1,2-dichloroethane were detected in the effluent sample at concentrations of 0.7 ppb and 1.7 ppb, respectively. The measured toluene concentration exceeds the 0.5 ppb effluent limit for toluene. Toluene was detected in the influent sample at a concentration of 1.7 ppb, but was not detected in the intermediate sample.

The presence of toluene in the effluent sample may be the result of sample contamination or exhaustion of the carbon beds. To assess these possibilities, analytical results from treatment system samples collected in early November will be reviewed and evaluated for patterns of exceedences. Responses to any consistent exceedences will be proposed in the November monitoring report.

TABLE 1. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: INFLUENT SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # 89060801 89101101 89140601 89180330 89230801 89270503 8930CSIN 89090741 8910CS1N DATE 02/08/89 03/10/89 04/06/89 05/03/89 06/08/89 07/05/89 08/01/89 09/07/89 10/05/89 TEST METHOD/ COMPOUNDS EPA 8020 Benzene ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 0.5 1.2 11.5 710 6.3 2.2 Toluene 1.1 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 0.2 0.9 610 0.7 2.5 1.7 Ethylbenzene ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND 0.2 ND 0.2 ND 0.2 46 MD < 2.0 ND < 0.2 **Xvlenes** RD < 0.2 68 ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 26 71 1100 39 38 Ch l orobenzene ND < 0.2 ND < ND < ND < 0.2 0.2 0.2 ND 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 2.0 NT < NT 1.2-Dichlorobenzene ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 0.2 0.2 ND < ND < ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 2.0 NT NT All other 8020 compounds ND < 0.2 ND < 0.2 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND ND < < 0.2 ND < 0.2 ND < 2.0 NT NT **EPA 8015** TPH (Gasoline) 90 340 70 70 110 220 6200 ND 50 120 **EPA 8010** 1,1-dichloroethene 0.5 ND < ND < 0.5 0.8 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 Methylene chloride 6.3 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 9.8 0.6 ND < 0.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 1.1-dichloroethane 1.2 3.2 ND < 1.1 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 Chloroform 1.5 0.65 ND < 0.5 8.8 4.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 1,1,1-trichloroethane 0.5 1.8 0.7 ND < 0.5 ND < ND < ND < ND < 0.5 0.5 5.0 0.5 ND < 0.5 1,2-dichloroethane 8.6 42 16.2 7.6 6.8 8.1 ND < 8.3 5.0 6.6 Trichloroethene 420 ND < 0.5 3.6 10.3 NO < 14 9.B 5.0 ND < 0.5 1.2-dichloropropane ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < ND < 0.5 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND 5.0 ND < ND < 0.5 0.5 **Bromodichloromethane** ND < ND < 0.5 0.5 0.5 ND < ND < 0.7 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < ND < 5.0 0.5 ND < 0.5 Cis-1,3-dichloropropene ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 0.65 ND < 0.5 0.5 1.0 ND < NO < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 Tetrachloroethene ND ND < ∢ 0.5 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < ND < ND < 0.5 0.5 0.5 5.0 ND < ND < 0.5 Chlorobenzene ND < ND 0.5 ND < < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 Bromoform 0.5 ND < ND < ND < 0.5 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 0.5 1,1,2,2-tetrachioroethane ND < ND < 0.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 Dibromochloromethane ND < 0.5 ND < 5.0 ND < 0.5 ND < 0.5 All other 8010 compounds ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND EPA 504 Ethylene dibromide 0.05 ND < 0.010.47 0.09 ND < 0.01ND < 0.01 0.09 ND < 0.02 1.6 Standard Method 408E Residual chlorine (mg/l) 0.01 0.01 0.05 ND < 0.010.05 0.05 ND ND 0.01 ND 0.5 ND < 0.05EPA 360.2 Dissolved oxygen (mg/l) 7.5 6.6 7.9 NT 14 6.9 20 6.8 5.6

-----

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit.

NT - Not Tested.

All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

TABLE 2. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: INTERMEDIATE SAMPLES

HLA SAMPLE ID # DATE		60802 08/89		01102 10/89		140602 /06/89		80331 03/89		230802 708/89		70502 705/89		0CSIM 01/89		90742 07/89		0CS1T 05/89
TEST METHOD/COMPOUNDS	******	ZZZZZZ:			.227286	Setence:	23222841	******	i e b a e b a e		126223E		******	******		ZEEE22:	******	1074228
EPA 8020																		
Benzene	NT		NŢ		ND <			0.3	NT		ND <	0.2		79	ND <	0.2		1.7
Toluene	NT		NT		ND <		ND <	0.2	NT			0.7		61	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2
Ethylbenzene	NT		NT		ND <	0.2		0.4	NT		ND <	0.2		2.6	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2
Xylenes Chlorobenzene	NT NT		NT NT		ND <	0.2	AID.	0.3	NT		ND <	0.2		140	ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	NŤ		NT		ND <	0.2 0.2	ND <	0.2 0.2	NT NT		ND <	0.2 0.2	ND <	0.2	NT		NT	
All other 8020 compounds	NT		NT		ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2	NT		ND <	0.2	ND <	0.2 0.2	NT NT		NT NT	
EPA 8015																		
TPH (Gasoline)	NT		NT		NT		НT		NT		NT		NT		NT		ND <	50
EPA 8010																		
Methylene chloride		1.5	ND <	0.5	ND <		ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5
1,1-dichloroethane		1.3	ND <	0.5	ND <		ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5
Chloroform	HD.	1.4	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5		1.5		5.6		4.7	ND <	0.5
1,1,1-trichloroethane 1,2-dichloroethane	ND <	0.5 8.2	MD -	2.2 0.5	ND <	0.5 0.55	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	MD <	0.5	ND <	0.5
Trichloroethene		9.7	ND <	0.5	ND <		ND <	0.5 0.5	ND <	1.3 0.5	No.	3.4	ND <	0.5		6.2	ND <	0.5
Tetrachloroethene	ND <	ó.s	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	NO <	0.5	ND <	0.5 0.5	ND <	2.7 0.5	ND <	0.5 0. <del>5</del>	ND <	7.7
Chlorobenzene	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5 0.5
Bromoform	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	ŏ.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5
1,3-dichlorobenzene	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	Ŏ.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	0.5	ND <	Ŏ.5
All other 8010 compounds	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit. NT - Not Tested.

All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

LA SAMPLE ID # ATE OTAL FLOW (THOUSAND GALLONS) VERAGE FLOW (GPM)		906080 2/08/8 7784 12.	39 .3		9101103 3/10/89 8000.0 23.0		04, 8	140603 /06/89 3495.9 23.9		05, 8	180332 /03/89 3948.7 23.7	Ō	6/( 9)	30803 08/89 778.1 30.5	C	7/ 10	70501 05/89 953.4 30.2		08/	00CSEF 01/89 2120.6 30.0		09/	090740 /07/89 3566.4 27.1		10	10CS /05/ 4424 21	89 .0
EST METHOD/COMPOUNDS		EE5221	HEERE	33£	BECEEEE		==:		:Ea#	223	######################################	(BERER:	<b>7</b> 2:	essere	####	EE:		2227	42£	:Ezzzzz	XCCE	:222	)2EEEEE3	; E E E 3	RTĘ:	##==	ΞŻ
PA 8020																											
Benzene	ND	< 0.	.2	ND .	< 0.2	ND	<	0.2			0.3	ND 4	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2	ND	<	0.2	ND		0.2	NE	) <	۸	.2
Toluene	-	0.8	38	ND .	< 0.2	ND		Ŏ.2	ND	<	0.2		<	ŏ.2	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	MC	,		.7
Ethylbenzene	ND	< 0.	2	ND 4		ND		Ŏ.Ž		<	0.2	2.5	<	ŏ.2	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	NO	) <		:ź
Kylenes	ND	< 0.	2	ND 4		ND		0.2		•	0.3		ς.	0.2	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	NC			:ź
Diphenylhydrazine	ND	< 0.		iD 4	0.2	ND		0.2	NO	<	0.2		•	0.2		₹	0.2	ND		0.2	ND		0.2	NO			:ź
All other 8020 compounds	ND .	< 0.	2	VD ·	i - =	ND		0.2		<	0.2		<	0.2		<	0.2	ND		0.2	NT		0.2	NI		v	- =
PA 8015																											
TPH (Gasoline)	ND .	< 5	0	ID 4	50	ND	<	50	ND	<	50	ND 4	•	50	ND	<	50	ND	<	50	ND		50	ND	١ <		50
PA 8010																				-							•
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND .	< 2.	^	ın .		ш	_	2.0		_			_													_	_
1,1-dichlorethene	ND .			(D <		ND ND		2.0	ND		2.0	ND <		2.0		<	2.0	ND		2.0	ND		2.0	ND		2.	
Methylene chloride	ND.	` 1.						0.5	ND		0.5	ND ◀	•	0.5	***	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND		Q.	
1.1-dichloroethane		- 1-		(D 4		ND		0.5	ND		0.5			0.6		<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND		0.	
Chloroform				ID ←		ND		0.5	ND		0.5	ND <		0.5		<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND		0.	
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND -			ID 4		ND		0.5	ND		0.5	ND ◀		0.5		<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5		<b>×</b>	0.	
1.2-dichloroethane	MD .				2.4	ND		0.5	ND		0.5	ND <		0.5		<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	NĐ	<	0.5	ND	> ∢	0.	
richloroethene		9.		D 4		ND		0.5	ND		0.5	ND <		0.5	ND ·	<	0.5			0.7			1.1			- 1,	. 7
retrachloroethene		<sub>-</sub> 2.		D <		ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND <	•	0.5	ND 4	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND	۱ ≼	0.	
All other 8010 compounds	ND ·	· 0.		D 4	0.5	ND	<	0.5	NO	<	0.5	ND <	•	0.5	ND ·	<	0.5	ND	<	0.5	ND		0.5	ND		0.	.5
itt other auto compounds	ND			iD		ND			ND			ND			ND			ND			ND			ND	)		
PA 504																											
Ethylene dibromide	,	0.0	6 1	ID <	0.01	ND	<	0.01	ND	<	0.01	ND <		0.01	ND .	<	0.01	ND	<	0.02	ND	<	0.02	ND	<	0.0	)2
tandard Method 408E																											
Residual chlorine (mg/l)	ND 4	0.0	1 1	D <	0.01	ND	<	0.05	ND	<	0.01	ND <		0.05	ND -	<	0.01	ND	<	0.05	ND	<	0.05	ND	<	0.0	15
A 360.2																						-		1.0	-		
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)		9.	~		8.0			7.8	NT					10			3.3										.3

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit. NT - Not Tested. All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

TABLE 4. TREATMENT SYSTEM WATER ANALYSIS: BLANK SAMPLES

	*********	***********				EEEEEEEEE	**********	********	
HLA SAMPLE ID #	89060805	89101105		89180334	89230805	89270515		89090615	8910CSTB
DATE	02/08/89	03/10/89	04/06/89	05/03/89	06/08/89	07/05/89	08/01/89	09/07/89	10/05/89
TEST METHOD/COMPOUNDS		: <b>22</b> 22222222		IEZB\$#\$ZZGGGZC:	:02444##########	######################################	***********	######################################	######################################
EPA 8020									
Benzene	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ЖT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.2
Toluene	0.95	ND < 0.2	ÑŤ	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ŇŤ	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.2
Ethylbenzene	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.2
Xylenes	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	0.7	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ŇŤ	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.2
All other 8020 compounds	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	NT	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ND < 0.2	ŇŤ	NT	NT
EPA 8015									
TPH (Gasoline)	ND < 50	ND < 50	NT	NT	ND < 50	ND < 50	NT	ND < 250	ND < 50
EPA 8010									
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND < 2.0	ND < 2.0	NT	ND < 2.0	ND < 2.0	NT	NT	NT	ND < 2.0
1,1-dichloroethene	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	NT	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	NT	NT	NT	ND < 0.5
Methylene chloride	2.9	42	ÄŤ	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	ŇŤ	ÑŤ	NT	ND < 0.5
1,1,1-trichloroetha <b>ne</b>	ND < 0.5	5.9	NT	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	NT	NT '	NT	ND < 0.5
1,2-dichloroethane	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	NT	ND < 0.5	ND < 0.5	NT	ÑŤ	NT	ND < 0.5
All other 8010 compounds	ND	ND	NT	ND	ND	NT	ŇŤ	NT	ND
EPA 504									
Ethylene dibromide	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	ND < 0.02

ND - Not detected at stated detection limit. NT - Not Tested. All results reported in parts per billion (ppb) except where indicated.

### Appendix

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR TREATMENT SYSTEM SAMPLES



### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices: Minne

6726 PC9

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas

October 25, 1989

Mr. David Leland Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945

RE: PACE Project No. 491005.504

Dear Mr. Leland:

Enclosed is the report of laboratory analyses for samples received October 05, 1989.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Stephen F. Nackord

Director, Sampling and Analytical Services

Enclosures

## laboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas

October 25, 1989

PACE Project Number: 491005504

PACE WP Number: LAB1099

Harding Lawson Associates 200 Rush Landing Road

Novato, CA 94945

Attn: Mr. David Leland

Pacific Ren. Plaza

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter	Units	MDL	779430 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSIN	779440 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSEF	779450 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSIN
INORGANIC ANALYSIS					
INDIVIDUAL PARAMETERS Chlorine, Total Residual Oxygen, Dissolved	mg/L mg/L	0.05 0.1	- 5.6	- 1.3	ND -
ORGANIC ANALYSIS					
PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT): Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):	mg/L	0.05	- -	- -	0.12
Benzene	mg/L	0.0002	-	_	0.0022
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	0.0002		-	ND _
Toluene	mg/L	0.0002	-	-	0.0017
Xylenes, total	ma/L	0.0002	-	-	0.038
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	2.0	-	-	ND
Chloromethane	ug/L	2.0	-	-	ND
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	2.0	-	-	ND
Bromomethane	ug/L	2.0	-	-	ND
Chloroethane	ug/L	2.0	-	-	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	ug/L	2.0	-	-	ND
1.1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.5	-	_	ND
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	0.5	-	-	ND
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.5	_	-	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	0.5	-	-	ND
Chloroform	ug/L	0.5	-	-	ND
1.1.1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	ug/L	0.5	-	-	ND

MDL

Method Detection Limit

ND

# PACE. laboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas

Mr. David Leland Page 4 October 25, 1989

PACE Project Number: 491005504

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MDL	779460 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSEF	779470 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSIN	779480 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSEF
ORGANIC ANALYSIS					
UNI OCCURRED VOI ATTLE COMPOUNDS EDA COLO					
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010 1,2-Dichloropropane	ua/1	Λ.Ε	ND		
■Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	-	-
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	ug/L	0.5 0.5	ND ND	-	-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L ug/L	0.5	ND ND	-	-
_cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L ug/L	0.5	ND	-	-
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L ug/L	0.5	ND	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
- y - y	ug/ c	0.5	NU	_	-
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
Bromoform	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
	- 3,				
_1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.5	ND	_	_
■Bromochloromethane (Surrogate Recovery)			108%	-	-
1,4-Dichlorobutane (Surrogate Recovery)			96%	_	-
<b>1</b>					
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB) EPA METHOD 504					
1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L	0.02	<b>-</b> .	1.6	ND
Date Extracted			-	10/17/89	10/17/89
<b>T</b>					

MDL Na Method Detection Limit

# PACE. laboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas

October 25, 1989

PACE Project Number: 491005504

Mr. David Leland Page 5

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter	Units	MDL	779490 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSIT	779500 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSTB	779510 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSTB
ORGANIC ANALYSIS					
PURGEABLE FUELS AND AROMATICS					
TOTAL FUEL HYDROCARBONS, (LIGHT):			-	-	-
Purgeable Fuels, as Gasoline (EPA 8015) PURGEABLE AROMATICS (BTXE BY EPA 8020):	mg/L	0.05	ND -	ND -	-
Benzene	mg/L	0.0002	0.0017	ND	_
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	0.0002		ND	_
Toluene	mg/L	0.0002		ND	-
Xylenes, total	mg/L	0.0002	ND	ND	-
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	ND	-
Chloromethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	ND	•
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	2.0	ND	ND	-
Bromomethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	ND	_
Chloroethane	ug/L	2.0	ND	ND	_
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	ug/L	2.0	ND	ND	-
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	_
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	-
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	_
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	_
Chloroform	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	-
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	_
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ug/L	0.5	7.7	ND	_
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	_
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	-
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	-
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	•
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	-

MDL Method Detection Limit ND

# PACE. laboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas

Mr. David Leland Page 6

October 25, 1989

PACE Project Number: 491005504

PACE Sample Number: Date Collected: Date Received: Parameter ORGANIC ANALYSIS	Units	MDL	779490 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSIT	779500 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSTB	779510 10/05/89 10/05/89 8910CSTB
HALOGENATED VOLATILE COMPOUNDS EPA 8010 cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethane Chlorobenzene Bromoform	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND ND ND	ND ND ND ND ND ND	- - - -
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene Bromochloromethane (Surrogate Recovery) 1,4-Dichlorobutane (Surrogate Recovery)	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	ND ND ND ND 124% 107%	ND ND ND ND 124% 104%	- - - -
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB) EPA METHOD 504 1,2-Dibromoethane Date Extracted	ug/Ľ	0.02	-	-	ND 10/17/89

MDL

Method Detection Limit

### i pace. Laboratories, inc.

### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Offices:

Minneapolis, Minnesota Tampa, Florida Coralville, Iowa Novato, California Leawood, Kansas

Mr. David Leland Page 7 October 25, 1989

PACE Project Number: 491005504

The data contained in this report were obtained using EPA or other approved methodologies. All analyses were performed by me or under my direct supervision.

Stephen F. Nackord

Director, Sampling and Analytical Services

Douglas E. Oram, Ph.D.

Organic Chemistry Manager

	-1	200 ( P.O.	<b>ding</b> Rush L Box 61	andir 07	ıg Ro	bad	300	iate	\$		•		•			C									TODY FORM	La	b;		F	<i>&gt;</i> 4.	Œ	2	19	10	OF	∎ 5 <sup>ç</sup>	<u>50</u>
Job		415/d Telec	ito, Cal 892-08 copy: 4	21  15/8	92-1	586	2	0	3 <i>9</i>	. 0	类						1	Sa	m	рl	er	s:.		F	Pot Nelson	F			AI	VAL	LYSIS	RE	EQL	JEST	ED		
Nam	NUI	mio	er:	_		$\overline{\mathcal{P}}$	RA	5	<u> </u>		7						•													او ا	ا اه						
Proj	ect	.0C	lan	ag	er	<u></u>	D	6	lar	1	,							Re	C	or	de	r:	(Sign		Potent L. Nelson ture Required)				Metals	e/Toluene/Xylene	Arocarb			37XC)			
	٨	ΙΑΙ	RIX		#C &	ONT PRI	All	NER: RV.	S		N	AMP JMB	ER		T				n/	ATE				$\ $	STATION DESCRIPTION/	/8010	3020	3240		olue	<b>=</b>	16		9	9		
SOURCE CODE	Į.	iment	Soil		Unpres.	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> HNO <sub>3</sub>	0	7			NL	OR LAE JMB	B ER						<i>U</i>	415					NOTES	§	A 602/8		EPA 625/8270 Priority Plitnt.	Benzene/T	otal Petrol	5//	2	<b>3</b> 8	Ser.		
SS	Water	Sed	Soi		5	뒤	4	77		/r	W	ĸ	S	eq		Yr	Ī٨	νo	D	y	T	im	е		FD3#	EPA	EPA	EPA	ᆲ풑	8	<u> </u>	B	49	20	2		
23	X						1		e	9	7	20	6		٧Ě	9	Z	O	0	5	1	5 47	15		No. 245						oxdot	$\Box$	$\Box$			$\perp$	
73	X				3			3	8	9	7	5 C	5	7	M	=9	1	0	0	5	16	5/2	75		7							×	×	××		SE	<b>/</b> //
23	У				1				e	9	1	0	5	1	1	7	1	0	0	5	1	5	15	][	1/1/34 779A713	]						$\square$	$\prod$	$oxed{oxed}$	又	20	<b>M</b>
23	λ				Z				$\mathcal{E}$	9	7	5 C	9	1	7 k	- 7	1	Ō	0	5	//	7 7	3	l	771949								*	X			
23	X					Т	I		E	7	刀	06	5	E	FE	<b>7</b>	7	0	0	5	7	7	10	lF	N94946 X	$\Pi$			Т	П	X	$\prod$	П		$\sqcap$	-57	2ma
23	ΤX				3			3	18	9	7]	oc	5	E	FE	7	$\overline{V}$	0	0	5	7	7/	10	16	X77948 (3					$\Box$	$oldsymbol{\mathbb{T}}$	X	冈	XX		75	en
2,3	У	1			1				8	9	1	26	5	F	F	3/2	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	Ö	()	5	/	7/2	10	lF	1111167	$\prod$				П		$\prod$	П		X	П	T
23					2			3	e	9	7	5/	5	T	3	39	1	0	0	5	1	50	>5	IF	77950 12) 779516	][]						X	V.	ΧX	$\Box$		$\prod$
									I					$\prod$		$\prod$	$oxed{L}$					$oxed{T}$				$\prod$				$\prod$			$\Box$	$\prod_{i}$			$\coprod$
									<u> </u>					$\bigsqcup$											/												
																									•						,		-				

			LA UM		R				EPT IN		М	OL TD		0/ (O)			MISCELLANEOUS	CHAIN O	F CUST	ODY RECORD		
Yr		W	k	•	Sec	}		-	EE	T	Ľ	a;						RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	Lecen	VED BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME
$\bot$				$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	Ţ	$oldsymbol{\perp}$		Ţ	$\bot$	Ļ			$\Box$	$\Box$			Polar L. Nelson		7 dandewa		
+	+	-	-	+	+		╁	+	-	+	╀	┦┦	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+		RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)		VED BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME
$\pm$	1			1	$\perp$	+	1	1	1	1			$\dashv$		_	1		RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEI	VED BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME
$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$		$\perp$	$\downarrow$	1	4	$\downarrow$	1	$\downarrow$	1	1	Ц			$\prod$	$\downarrow$						(TIDAE
+	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	╀	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+		RELINQUISHED BY: (Signature)	RECEI	VED BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME
土					$\dagger$	1	1	1	1	$\uparrow$	1				_	1		DISPATCHED BY: (Signature) DATE	/TIME	RECEIVED FOR LAB BY: (Signature)	DATE	TIME
T										T									<u> </u>	10.3		<u> </u>
T					T	T	T	1	T		T	П	П	╗		1		METHOD OF SHIPMENT				

### **DISTRIBUTION**

# REPORT OF SYSTEM MONITORING OCTOBER 1989 DEWATERING EFFLUENT TREATMENT SYSTEM CHINATOWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA November 22, 1989

### COPY NO. <u>4</u>

		Copy No.
1 copy:	California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1111 Jackson Street, Room 6000 Oakland, California 94607	1
	Attention: Mr. Don Dalke	
2 copies:	City of Oakland Redevelopment Agency One City Hall Plaza Oakland, California 94612	2-3
	Attention: Mr. Peter Chen	
1 copy:	Alameda County Department of Environmental Health 80 Swan Way, Room 200 Oakland, California 94621	4
	Attention: Mr. Lowell Miller	
I copy: 1 copy:	Job File QC/Bound Report File	5 6

CEM/DFL/TLW/bag/J10608-H

QUALITY CONTROL REVIEWER

Imara L. Williams Geologist - 3954