Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

From: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:53 AM

To: 'Dwight Hoenig'

Cc: Paul Meuser; Kendra Meuser

Subject: RE: Mercury Sample Results: 1315 Court Street, Alameda.

Hi Dwight,

If there is a way to get additional lateral samples from sample 9-G I would encourage the collection of them (deeper into sidewall and laterally along sidewall). 6.6 mg/kg is awful close to the 6.7 mg/kg goal for a residential site. I suspect our supervisor, who will provide the final closure signature at this end, would feel more comfortable with signing off on a residential closure with a bit more definition of soil in that area; I know I will (mine is the first signature, her's is the second and final signature). With luck they will also be below the residential goal, but if not, it would be good to remove any additional contamination. Otherwise, the data is pretty encouraging!

Let me know if you have any questions or thoughts.

Mark Detterman

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG

Alameda County Environmental Health

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway

Alameda, CA 94502 Direct: 510.567.6876 Fax: 510.337.9335

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm

From: Dwight Hoenig [mailto:dwight@turnermaclane.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 10:05 AM

To: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health **Cc:** Paul Meuser: Kendra Meuser

Subject: Mercury Sample Results: 1315 Court Street, Alameda.

Good morning Mark!

I just received the analytical data from the 8 confirmation samples we collected at the end of our soil removal action at the Meuser residence. (IN total I collected 3 sidewall samples and 5 bottom hole samples and also included 1 sample from the sediment trap located in the Mercury Vacuum we used during the removal action. Please see the attached Certified Analytical Report.)

I am in the process of drafting a Removal Action Completion Report but wanted to share this data with you now so that you could review it. As you will see....all of the confirmation samples reported values below the action level of 6.7 Mg/Kg...although one side wall sample from the neighboring property was just below this level. (Also...you will see the sample from the Mercury Vacuum seems to indicate there actually was residual mercury in the area!!) Obviously this is I believe the best news we could get!!

The final report will provide all documentation of the removal action as well as a detailed map of the excavation site and the location of the samples. The excavation area is still open... and I know my client is

interested in backfilling as soon as possible. (we did not want to backfill prior to receiving the confirmation data!)

I am a bit jammed up right now on some other projects... but I hope to get you a final report by next week. My purpose in providing this data to you today, is to see if we could review the data with you to obtain your concurrence with obtaining and placing clean fill to get the hole closed up as soon as possible? (although I don'tBest think there is any way to prevent the development of a mud hole given tomorrows rain forecast!?)

Please let me know your thoughts or any questions you have at this point. Thanks so much for your support throughout this effort. Regards, Dwight

--

Dwight Hoenig Turner/Maclane Inc. 3511 La Mesa Drive Hayward, California, 94542 510.881.8811

FAX: 510.314.0108





Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd., Analytical Laboratories, Since 1878

2323 Fifth Street, Berkeley, CA 94710, Phone (510) 486-0900

Laboratory Job Number 272055 ANALYTICAL REPORT

Turner Maclane Inc. Project : STANDARD 3511 La Mesa Drive Location : P. Measer

Hayward, CA 94542 Level : II

Sample ID	<u>Lab ID</u>
7-G-SIDE	272055-001
9-G-SIDE	272055-002
9-F-SIDE	272055-003
6-G	272055-004
9-D	272055-005
8-A	272055-006
7-F	272055-007
9-G	272055-008
VACUUM #1	272055-009

This data package has been reviewed for technical correctness and completeness. Release of this data has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or the Manager's designee, as verified by the following signature. The results contained in this report meet all requirements of NELAC and pertain only to those samples which were submitted for analysis. This report may be reproduced only in its entirety.

Date: 12/08/2015

Signature:

Mike Dahlquist Project Manager

mike.dahlquist@ctberk.com

CA ELAP# 2896, NELAP# 4044-001



CASE NARRATIVE

Laboratory number: 272055

Client: Turner Maclane Inc.

Location: P. Measer
Request Date: 12/01/15
Samples Received: 12/01/15

This data package contains sample and QC results for nine soil samples, requested for the above referenced project on 12/01/15. The samples were received cold and intact.

Metals (EPA 7471A):

No analytical problems were encountered.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Page of Chain of Custody #																			RECEIVED BY: , ,	12//13	TIME:		The state of the s
				(1-77;	~~~ Z	W I	724	/	7	1)	j	1	1]	1			TIME: 16'45			
C&T LOGIN # 272055		(*)	Hoenir	Meelone Lhe	1/88/	Maxlanen	CHEMICAL DESCEDIATIVE	100H 100H 1520¢ 101	1	7	7	7		7	7	7	7		RELINQUISHED BY:	12/1/15 DATE: TIME:	DATE: TIME:	DATE: TIME:	
40	86-0900 86-0532	D. Hen	174m.C.	(unin	~	Email: Duizis @ Turner	MATRIX	Time Collected Water	7	12:00	12/0/5/	7 85	52 4	18 1	7, 75	104 0-	<i>ب</i>		RELING	2/1/24/5	12 74 John		
NS LABORATORIES AL TESTING LABORATORY In Business Since 1878	Phone (510) 486-0900 Fax (510) 486-0532	Sampler:	Report To:	Company:	☐ III ☐ IV Telephone:	E Standard Email: 7	SAMPLING	Date Tin	12/1/245 15:11			h/ ,	6/		.6/	\S/ ''	4:51		SAMPLE	RECEIPT //	Unitact Cold	On Ice	
Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL TESTING LABORATORY In Business Since 1878	2323 Fifth Street Berkeley, CA 94710	ło:	Project Name: R Maus &		Report Level	Turnaround Time: Rush	OI elombs		7-6-Side	9-6-5,24	9-F-Sid	6-6	0-6	8-A		9-6	Vicuum El			Need Les- Mi			
U	2323 Fi Berkele	Project No:	Project N	Project P. O. No:	EDD Format:	Turnarou	Гар	o N	*	. 1		3		3		×c.	3		Notes:		_		

COOLER RECEIPT CHECKLIST



Login # 272055 Date Received 1/1/15 Client Project P. Measer Project P. Measer	Number of coolers <u> </u>
	Plannershy &
Date Opened 17/1 By (print) (sign) Date Logged in By (print) (sign)	J. J.
Did cooler come with a shipping slip (airbill, etc) Shipping info	
2A. Were custody seals present? YES (circle) on cooler Name	_ Date
2B. Were custody seals intact upon arrival? 3. Were custody papers dry and intact when received? 4. Were custody papers filled out properly (ink, signed, etc)? 5. Is the project identifiable from custody papers? (If so fill out top 6. Indicate the packing in cooler: (if other, describe)	ÆŠ NO
☐ Bubble Wrap ☐ Foam blocks ☐ Bags ☐ Cloth material ☐ Cardboard ☐ Styrofoam 7. Temperature documentation: * Notify PM if temperature exceptions in the properties of the pro	☐ None ☐ Paper towels ceeds 6°C
Type of ice used: ⊠ Wet □ Blue/Gel □ None	Temp(°C)
☐ Temperature blank(s) included? ☐ Thermometer#	IR Gun#
⊠ Samples received on ice directly from the field. Cooling pro	cess had begun
8. Were Method 5035 sampling containers present?	YES 10
9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken/unopened?	VES NO
10 Are there any missing / outre gameles?	
,	VES NO
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests?	YES NO
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests?	YES NO YES NO YES NO
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests?	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO
 11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO
 11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample?	YES NO NA YES NO NA
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot#	YES NO NA YES NO NA
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot# 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs?	YES NO N/A YES NO N/A YES NO N/A YES NO N/A
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot# 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples?	YES NO N/A
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot# 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery?	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO N/A
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot#	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO N/A
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot#	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NA
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot# 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? If YES, Who was called? By COMMENTS	YES NO Date:
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot# 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? If YES, Who was called? By COMMENTS	YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO YES NO NA A YES NO Date:
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests? 12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete? 13. Do the sample labels agree with custody papers? 14. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for tests requested? 15. Are the samples appropriately preserved? 16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample? 17. Did you document your preservative check? (pH strip lot# 18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs? 19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores? 20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples? 21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery? If YES, Who was called? By COMMENTS	YES NO Date:



Detections Summary for 272055

Results for any subcontracted analyses are not included in this summary.

Client : Turner Maclane Inc.

Project : STANDARD Location : P. Measer

Client Sample ID : 7-G-SIDE

Laboratory Sample ID :

272055-001

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Mercury	0.23		0.017	mg/Kg	As Recd	1.000	EPA 7471A	METHOD

Client Sample ID : 9-G-SIDE Laboratory Sample ID : 272055-002

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units		IDF	Method	Prep Method
Mercury	0.25		0.016	mg/Kg	As Recd	1.000	EPA 7471A	METHOD

Client Sample ID: 9-F-SIDE Laboratory Sample ID:

272055-003

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Mercury	2.4		0.18	mg/Kg	As Recd	10.00	EPA 7471A	METHOD

Client Sample ID : 6-G

Laboratory Sample ID :

272055-004

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Met	.hod	Prep Met	hod
Mercury	0.31		0.016	mg/Kg	As Recd	1.000	EPA	7471A	METHOD	

Client Sample ID : 9-D

Laboratory Sample ID :

272055-005

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Mercury	1.1		0.18	mq/Kq	As Recd	10.00	EPA 7471 <i>P</i>	METHOD

Client Sample ID : 8-A

Laboratory Sample ID:

272055-006

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Mercury	0.45		0.018	mg/Kg	As Recd	1.000	EPA 7471A	METHOD

Client Sample ID : 7-F

Laboratory Sample ID :

272055-007

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Met	hod	Prep Method
Mercury	0.32		0.015	mg/Kg	As Recd	1.000	EPA	7471A	METHOD

7.0 Page 1 of 2



Client Sample ID : 9-G Laboratory Sample ID :

272055-008

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Mercury	6.6		0.16	mg/Kg	As Recd	10.00	EPA 7471A	METHOD

Client Sample ID : VACUUM #1 Laboratory Sample ID : 272055-009

Analyte	Result	Flags	RL	Units	Basis	IDF	Method	Prep Method
Mercury	1,600		160	mg/Kg	As Recd	10000	EPA 7471A	METHOD

7.0 Page 2 of 2



Mercury by Cold Vapor AA						
Lab #:	272055	Location:	P. Measer			
Client:	Turner Maclane Inc.	Prep:	METHOD			
Project#:	STANDARD	Analysis:	EPA 7471A			
Analyte:	Mercury	Batch#:	229992			
Matrix:	Soil	Sampled:	12/01/15			
Units:	mg/Kg	Received:	12/01/15			
Basis:	as received	Prepared:	12/02/15			

Field ID	Туре	Lab ID	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Analyzed
7-G-SIDE	SAMPLE	272055-001	0.23	0.017	1.000	12/02/15
9-G-SIDE	SAMPLE	272055-002	0.25	0.016	1.000	12/02/15
9-F-SIDE	SAMPLE	272055-003	2.4	0.18	10.00	12/02/15
6-G	SAMPLE	272055-004	0.31	0.016	1.000	12/02/15
9-D	SAMPLE	272055-005	1.1	0.18	10.00	12/02/15
8-A	SAMPLE	272055-006	0.45	0.018	1.000	12/03/15
7-F	SAMPLE	272055-007	0.32	0.015	1.000	12/03/15
9-G	SAMPLE	272055-008	6.6	0.16	10.00	12/03/15
VACUUM #1	SAMPLE	272055-009	1,600	160	10,000	12/03/15
	BLANK	QC815071	ND	0.017	1.000	12/02/15

ND= Not Detected RL= Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 1



Batch QC Report

Mercury by Cold Vapor AA					
Lab #:	272055	Location:	P. Measer		
Client:	Turner Maclane Inc.	Prep:	METHOD		
Project#:	STANDARD	Analysis:	EPA 7471A		
Analyte:	Mercury	Diln Fac:	1.000		
Field ID:	ZZZZZZZZZZ	Batch#:	229992		
MSS Lab ID:	271977-001	Sampled:	11/25/15		
Matrix:	Soil	Received:	11/25/15		
Units:	mg/Kg	Prepared:	12/02/15		
Basis:	as received	Analyzed:	12/02/15		

Type	Lab ID	MSS Result	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
BS	QC815072		0.2083	0.2213	106	80-120		
BSD	QC815073		0.2083	0.2286	110	80-120	3	20
MS	QC815074	0.06972	0.2155	0.3178	115	69-142		
MSD	QC815075		0.2083	0.3154	118	69-142	2	36