

2198 Sixth Street, Suite 201.Berkeley, CA 94710 Tel: (510)644-3123 · Fax: (510)644-3859

Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

May 17, 2016

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services Local Oversight Program 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502

Subject: Data Gap Investigation to Evaluate Potential Indoor Air Vapor Intrusion by Soil-Gas related to a Former Residential Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA. (Alameda County Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143 and CA GeoTracker Global ID T10000006106)

Dear Mr. Detterman:

INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), on behalf of the property owners, presents Alameda County Health Care Services (ACHCS) with the findings of this sampling investigation to evaluate the extent of potential environmental contamination related to a former 350-gallon residential underground heating fuel storage tank (UST) that was removed on December 16, 2013. This report has been prepared to document the implementation of the Stellar Environmental Workplan, dated March 9, 2016 with the incorporation of modifications by ACHCS in their review and approval letter, dated March 10, 2016.

This report presents the results of the current soil sampling, soil-gas sampling and indoor air sampling conducted from March 31 to April 1, 2016, to investigate potential migration of hydrocarbon contaminants in vapor from the former UST and close the data gap impediments to achieving regulatory site closure. In addition, this report includes a revision of the September 23, 2015 soil-gas sampling analysis in which 1,1,2-trichloroethane (TCA) was erroneously detected along with an accompanying explanation from the laboratory. The revised certified analytical report is included in Attachment E.

Attached Figure 1 shows the site location and Figure 2 is a site plan showing the locations of current and historical sampling of the former UST. Figures are included in Attachment A

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 2 of 22

SUBJECT PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The subject property is located at 811 Paramount Road in Trestle Glen, a historical residential district in Oakland, California. The area has historically been a residential area since the turn of the 20th century. The property is situated on a ridgeline in the Oakland hills with an average elevation of approximately 210 feet above mean sea level (amsl) and a generally westward and southward topographic slope. Rainwater drains away from the residential front yard area of the former UST site to the street curb gutter where it is channeled into the storm drain system on Paramount Road.

Local Hydrogeology

The site is underlain by Late Pleistocene alluvium that generally consist of weakly consolidated slightly weathered poorly sorted irregularly interbedded clay, silt, sand, and gravel. Local heterogeneities in shallow lithology and groundwater levels are typical of the alluvial deposits in this area. Shallow site lithology was determined in this current March 2016 and the previous June 2015 investigations by the visual method of the Unified Soils Classification System (USCS) using continuous core soil samples from this investigation. The predominant soil types encountered consisted of clay from the ground surface to between 6 and 8 feet below ground surface (bgs). Silt predominated from approximately 6 feet bgs to 29 feet bgs with the exception of a predominance of clay to 20 feet bgs in bore SB1. Gravelly and sandy to silty clay were observed from approximately 24 to 30 feet bgs in bores SB2 and SB3. Clay was encountered at approximately 29 - 31 feet bgs in bores SB1 and SB2 and observed to persist to the maximum depth advanced of 36 feet bgs in bore SB2. Groundwater was not encountered during the June 2015 or this current investigation.

Surface Water Bodies

The nearest surface water bodies are Sausal Creek located approximately 5,000 feet east of the site; Central Reservoir located 5,000 southeast and Lake Merritt Lake located about 5,000 feet west of the site. These water bodies ultimately drain to San Francisco Bay, located approximately 3.75 miles to the west of the site.

HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL BACKGROUND

The former UST was discovered during property renovations in 2013 at which time the subject property owners contracted Golden Gate Tank Removal, Inc. (GGT) to remove the UST. The underground storage tank (UST) removal report, dated January 14, 2014 that was prepared by

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 3 of 22

GGT documents the December 2013 removal of one 350-gallon heating oil UST and 32.75 tons of associated fuel impacted soil from the subject site. The UST was found to be in poor condition with at least one visible hole. Soil discoloration and hydrocarbon odors were noted to be associated with overburden soil and soil underlying the UST.

The initial UST soil samples were collected at a depth of 7 feet on both the east end and west end beneath the UST after its removal on December 16, 2013. The analytical at 7 feet bgs on the east end (sample E7) was reported at 9,290 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in the carbon C10-C28 range, which includes the upper C8-C10 range of gasoline (TPHg), the full (C10-C23) range of diesel (TPHd) and into the motor oil (C18-C35) range (TPHmo). The 9,290 mg/kg detection exceeds the applicable Environmental Screening Limits (ESLs) for TPHg, TPHd and TPHmo. Also reported in sample E7 was 1.1 mg/kg ethylbenzene, 1.37 mg/kg total xylenes and 47.3 mg/kg naphthalene, with naphthalene above the ESL. Benzene and toluene were below the laboratory detection limit. The west end sample (sample W7) concentrations at 7 feet bgs were detected at 1,390 mg/kg in the C10-C28 range. The benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) concentrations were near to below Laboratory Reporting Limits (RLs) of 79 µg/kg or less, and naphthalene concentration was 7.72 mg/kg, above its ESL.

Over-excavation to 12 feet bgs was subsequently performed on December 24, 2013. East end sample (sample E12) concentrations decreased two to three orders of magnitude to 28.0 mg/kg of TPH C10-C28, while BTEX and naphthalene concentrations were near to below RLs. The west end sample (sample E12) concentrations increased with depth to 3,960 mg/kg TPHd, and naphthalene concentrations increased to 25.2 mg/kg, in excess of their respective ESLs; BTEX concentrations were near to below RLs. MTBE was not analyzed in any of the samples.

ACHCS in their letter dated December 15, 2014, requested additional investigation of the residual soil contamination that was indicated by detections of TPHd and napththalene above applicable ESLs that was reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013). Stellar Environmental was retained by the property owners to prepare an investigation Workplan which was approved with the incorporation of modifications by ACHCS in their review and approval letter, dated March 30, 2015. The Workplan was implemented by Stellar Environmental in June 2015 and showed no detectable TPHd, TPHmo or fuel related volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in site soils indicating the potential residual soil contaminantion is neither laterally or vertically extensive. Groundwater was not encountered in any of the 3 bores that were advanced during the investigation, with the deepest bore extending to 36 feet bgs. The absence of residual soil

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 4 of 22

contaminantion indicates no threat to groundwater by potential contaminants of concern (COCs). However, soil-gas collected from soil-gas well SG5.5 feet bgs showed 880,000 μ g/m³ TPHg in excess of the Water Board residential ESL of 300,000 μ g/m³ for potential risk of vapor intrusion into the nearby building, and is the focus of this current investigation. The detection of residual TPHg in soil-gas is anomalous for a residential heating oil UST but appears to rapidly attenuate with depth as there were no detections of any COCs at 13 feet bgs immediately below the target contaminant depth where elevated TPHd and naphthalene in soil were reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013). In addition, the June 2015 investigation documented 3.0 to 3.4 % oxygen) in shallow soil adjacent to the residential building,

The June 2015 investigation sampling detected no residual soil contamination, showed no threat to groundwater and only limited residual soil-gas detection of 880,000 μ g/m³ total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline in excess of the regulatory threshold criteria of 300,000 μ g/m³. Thus, the only apparent potential exposure risk is soil vapor intrusion into the residential building.

The analytical results from the June 2015 investigation qualified the site for closure under the strict criteria of the Water Board Low Threat Closure Policy (LTCP), however due to the exceedance of TPHg over the Water Board ESL, ACHCS requested in their letter dated August 19, 2015, re-sampling of soil-gas, an evaluation of the building crawl space and additional sampling of potential toxic vapor intrusion into the site residence be conducted in the event that the soil-gas sampling results exceeded the applicable ESLs. A Workplan, dated September 9, 2015 was prepared by Stellar Environmental and approved with modifications by ACHCS in their letter dated September 10, 2015.

The soil-gas well SG5.5 was resampled on September 23, 2015 as prescribed in the Workplan. The analytical results showed 240,000 μ g/m³ TPHd, 2,000,000 μ g/m³ TPHg and 600 μ g/m³ benzene, in excess of their applicable residential ESIs of 68,000, 300,000 μ g/m³, and 48 μ g/m³, respectively. TCA was incorrectly reported by the laboratory to be detected in that sample event, however TCA was later confirmed not detected and the amended analytical laboratory report is attached to this report. The analytical results of the September 23, 2015 soil-gas sampling were subsequently shared with the ACHCS regulator and as prescribed in the Workplan, sampling of the indoor air was advanced. Benzene was the only site contaminant of concern that was detected in the indoor air at 0.20 μ g/m³, slightly above its applicable ESL of 0.084 μ g/m³, however at a concentration less than that detected in the ambient outdoor air suggesting that the benzene in the residential indoor air could be attributed to outdoor ambient sources. The compounds, TPHg and

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 5 of 22

TPHd, that were detected above their ESLs in the soil-gas, were not detected in the indoor-air survey.

The purpose of this current March 2016 investigation work is to address ACHCS's concern that the two previous soil-gas samplings of well SG5.5 have shown an increasing concentration trend in TPH-gasoline and benzene. ACHCS has thus requested additional soil and soil-gas sampling to investigate TPHd, TPHg, benzene and TCA that may possibly be related to the discolored green soil noted on the June 2015 investigation borings SB2 and SG5.5 log that occurred between 3.5 and 6 feet bgs; re-evaluation of oxygen that was previously measured below the LTCP bioattenuation zone criteria of 4%; and a second indoor air survey. Due to a laboratory error discussed above, TCA has been eliminated as a potential contaminant of concern. This report presents the findings of this investigation conducted to evaluate potential vapor intrusion of hydrocarbon contaminants related to the former UST and close the data gap impediments to achieving regulatory site closure.

REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

The Water Board has established ESLs for evaluating the likelihood of environmental impact. ESLs are conservative screening-level criteria for soil and groundwater, designed to be generally protective of both drinking water resources and aquatic environments; they incorporate both environmental and human health risk considerations. ESLs are not cleanup criteria (i.e., health-based numerical values or disposal-based values). Rather, they are used as a preliminary guide in determining whether additional remediation and/or investigation may be warranted.

Different ESLs are published for commercial/industrial vs. residential land use, for sites where groundwater is a potential drinking water resource vs. is not a likely drinking water resource, and for the type of receiving water body. In our professional opinion, the appropriate ESL criteria for the subject site are *residential land use* and *groundwater is a potential drinking water resource;* based on the following:

- Residential land use as zoned by the City of Oakland.
- Groundwater is a potential a drinking water resource based on the location of the site being within the Department of Water Resources (DWR) designated East Bay Plain Groundwater Sub-Basin (DWR 2003) and the designation of this area of Oakland as "Zone A – Significant Drinking Water Resource (Water Board, 1999).
- The receiving body for groundwater discharge is an estuary (San Francisco Bay).

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 6 of 22

As stated above, ESLs are used as a preliminary guide in determining whether additional investigation, remediation or other action is warranted. Exceeding ESLs may warrant additional actions, such as monitoring plume stability to demonstrate no risk to sensitive receptors in the case of sites where drinking water is not threatened.

Regulatory Status

There was previously no known documentation of the Underground Storage Tank (UST) removal(s) or other information in the regulatory Water Board GeoTracker system. ACHCS is the oversight agency for the UST site cleanups in Oakland and the site was assigned a GeoTracker Global ID No. T10000006106 and ACHCS Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143.

This report has been prepared to address ACHCS correspondence and to evaluate the site for regulatory closure under the State Water Resources Control Board Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Policy (LTCP) Title 23, 2923 (OAL File No. 2012-0618-02 S), adopted on May 1, 2012 and effective as of August 17, 2012 (Water Board 2012).

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS

The required U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) analytical methods from Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical Chemical Methods, SW-846 (EPA, 1986) that were used to analyze the soil-gas sample during this project include

Soil Samples were analyzed using the following methods:

- TEH-mo (C18-C36), TEH-hydraulic oil (TEH-ho, C18-C36) and TEH-d (C10-C23) by EPA Method 8015M
- TVH-g and volatile organic compounds (VOCs): full list including; naphthalene, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) by EPA Method 8260B
- Moisture by ASTM 2216-92, required to evaluate COCs in soil on a dry-weight basis (soil samples only)

<u>Soil-Gas and Indoor Air Samples</u> were analyzed using the following methods:

- TPHg and VOCs: full list including; naphthalene, BTEX and MTBE by EPA Method TO-15/Gas Range Organics (GRO).
- TPHg scan by Method 8260B (soil-gas only)

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 7 of 22

- TPHd and naphthalene by EPA Method TO17 (soil-gas only)
- Oxygen and methane by ASTM 1946-90 (soil-gas only)
- Helium, the leak check compound by ASTM 1946-90 (soil-gas only)

Laboratory-certified clean sampling equipment including summa[™] canisters, manifolds equipped with a filter, pressure gauge and the appropriate flow controller were used. The soil and soil-gas samples were analyzed by McCampbell Analytical (Pittsburg, CA), a California and National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program-ELAP-certified analytical laboratory. Soil-gas analyzed by TO15/GRO analysis was collected in 1-liter Summa[™] canisters. Soil-gas samples for TO17 analysis were collected in sorbent tubes that were wrapped in laboratory-grade aluminum and maintained on ice in a cooler. Indoor and outdoor ambient air samples for TO15/GRO analysis were collected in 6-liter Summa[™] canisters. Samples collected in Summa[™] canisters. Samples collected in Summa[™] canisters were maintained at ambient temperature and out of direct sunlight. All sampling equipment used was certified clean by the laboratory prior to use. All samples were transported by courier under chain of custody to the analytical laboratory. The analyses were performed at a standard turnaround.

FIELDWORK IMPLEMENTATION

Field activities discussed under this heading were conducted on March 31st and April 1, 2016 and included collecting samples of soil, soil-gas, indoor-air and doing an inventory of site chemicals. Soil-gas was collected from well SG5.5 prior to auguring the adjacent (approximately 1.5 feet away) bore SB4 to avoid disturbing the subsurface environment before the soil-gas collection.

The analytical laboratory results are summarized in the tables included in Attachment B. A photo-documentation of the field activities is included in Attachment D. The certified laboratory analytical reports and chain-of-custody records are presented in Attachment E.

SOIL SAMPLING METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

One soil boring identified as SB4 was advanced utilizing a stainless steel hand auger in a location east and adjacent (within 2 feet) of soil-gas well SG5.5 to investigate the source of the contaminants TPHg, TPHd, benzene and 1,1,2-trichloroethane that were previously documented in soil-gas above their ESLs. Two soil samples from 3-3.5 and 5-5.5 feet bgs were collected by boring with the hand auger to the top of the target depth followed by retrieving the soil sample

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 8 of 22

with a hand-held drive hammer sampling tool. The boring lithology consisted of an upper 6 inches of garden soil overlying light brown silty clay. Light-green discoloration was noted in bore SB4 between 3-5 feet bgs and a light oil odor was noticeable in the 5 foot sample. The soil was collected in a stainless-steel sleeve held in the drive end of the sampler that is inserted into the borehole and driven from the top to the bottom of the target depth interval. The sampler retrieves a relatively undisturbed 1.5-inch-diameter, 6-inch-long soil sample from the desired depth. The samples contained in the steel sleeves were sealed with TeflonTM tape and non-reactive plastic caps, labeled, and placed in a chilled cooler. The drive hammer sampler was decontaminated with phosphate free soap and a triple rinsed between the two sampling locations.

The location of bore SB4 is shown on Figures 2 through 5 contained in Attachment A. The analytical results of the soil samples are included on Figure 3 and summarized in Tables 1 and 2 in Attachment B.

TPH Motor Oil/Hydraulic oil (C18-C36) and Diesel (C10-23)

The analysis of the 3 foot sample showed no detection of TPHmo/ho and a trace (17 mg/kg) TPHd. The 5 foot soil sample analysis contained 81 mg/kg TPHmo/ho below its ESL but showed 360 mg/kg TPHd in excess of the residential ESL of 230 mg/kg.

TVH Gasoline

TVHg was below laboratory detection in the 3 foot sample but was detected at 36 mg/kg TVHg in the 5 foot sample, but below the ESL of 100 mg/kg.

Volatile Organic Compounds (Full List Method 8260)

No VOCs including the fuel components; naphthalene, MTBE, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes were detected in the 3 foot soil sample. The 5 foot sample showed trace detections of the following compounds; sec-butyl benzene, 4-isopropyl toluene, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, 1,2,3-trichlorobenzene, however all were below their respective ESLs, where published.

<u>Laboratory QC samples</u> (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, etc.) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with the requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (Attachment D). Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 9 of 22

SOIL-GAS SAMPLING AND METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

The soil-gas wells were located and designed to evaluate potential for soil-gas intrusion into the residential site building. The two soil-gas wells were constructed during the June 2015 Stellar Environmental investigation in a cluster array approximately 2 feet apart with the subsurface diffuser implants installed at 5.5 and 13 feet bgs and labeled SG5.5 and SG13, respectively. A stainless-steel mesh air diffuser was set in the 3-inch diameter bore at the target depth, at the midpoint of a 1-foot sand pack and connected to the surface with Teflon[®] tubing (0.177-inch inside diameter) and terminated after the surface with about 2 feet of excess tubing and an inert plastic stopcock valve. Granular bentonite was emplaced over the sand pack and brought to the surface with hydrated bentonite to seal the diffuser and sand pack. The soil-gas well construction details are included on the geologic logs contained in the June 2015 Stellar Environmental Investigation report, dated July 20, 2015.

Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC, April 2012) guidelines were followed during set-up and sampling of site soil-gas well SG5.5. As specified in the DTSC guidelines, soil-gas sampling was not conducted during or within five days of a significant rain event (1/2-inch or greater). No significant rain event was noted to occur in Oakland, California from March 26 to 31, 2015 (NOAA, 2016).

<u>*Pre-Soil-Gas Sampling:*</u> A shut-in test was conducted on the sampling train to check for leaks in the above-ground fittings at each sampling point. The shut-in test was conducted by assembling the above-ground apparatus of the tubing and sample port valve and evacuating the sampling train using a dedicated purge SummaTM canister. A shut-in test was conducted using an in-line vacuum gauge and evacuating the sampling train to a measured vacuum of about 100 inches of water, then shutting the vacuum in with a closed valve. The vacuum gauge was observed for about one minute and all above ground connections were considered "air-tight" if the pressure on the gauge did not noticeably dissipate.

The purge volume was calculated for a 1 foot of sand pack with 30% porosity (3-inch bore diameter) plus the length of the ¹/₄-inch tubing (0.177-inch inner diameter). Thus, one purge volume for the 5.5 foot deep implant with 7 feet of tubing equals 451 milliliters (mls). Three purge volumes were extracted prior to collecting the soil-gas sample by default as per DTSC guidance.

A Helium Shroud apparatus was used to test for ambient air leaks around the sampling train, and at the soil-gas tubing interface with the ground surface. The helium shroud apparatus was set Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 10 of 22

over the well head and laboratory grade helium was flooded into the shroud initially to a concentration of approximately 35% helium. A concentration of 22 - 28% was maintained in the shroud throughout the sampling procedure and verified every few minutes using a helium meter supplied by the laboratory. The helium shroud apparatus used during this sampling event was rented from McCampbell Analytical laboratory.

A leak test was conducted to evaluate whether adequate seals were established in the sampling train at the connection with the well head and at interface the ground surface. A leak test was conducted at the well before purging and sampling to ensure that the sample was not being diluted by ambient air as evidenced by measuring that no helium was detected. The leak check was conducted by pulling 3 tubing volumes (102 mls for well SG5.5) through the implant tubing at the well head which is sufficient as per DTSC, to check if helium in the shroud is pulled down the bore between the bore and tubing interface which would indicate an ambient leak. The leak checks detected no helium indicating no leakage between the soil-gas tubing interfaces with the ground surface during sample collection.

<u>Soil-Gas Sampling</u> for analysis by Method TO15/GRO for analysis of full list VOCs and TVHg was accomplished using a 1-liter SummaTM canister equipped with a filter and 150 milliliters per minute (ml/min) flow controller.

The Method TO17 analysis sample was collected using laboratory supplied sorbent tubes packed with TenaxTM absorbent and drawing approximately 1 liter of soil-gas through the sorbent tube at approximately 50 ml/min using an in-line flow controller and a SummaTM canister attached at the end of the sampling train behind each sorbent tube. The manifold was set up to collect duplicate sorbent tube soil-gas samples in a successive series arrangement.

Soil-Gas Well SG5.5 Analytical Results

The analytical results of soil-gas sampling well SG5.5 are included on Figure 3 in Attachment A and summarized in Tables 3 and 4 in Attachment B.

TPH Diesel and Naphthalene (Method TO17 Analysis)

TPHd was detected 460,000 μ g/m³ and at 680,000 μ g/m³ in the duplicate, both samples in excess of the residential ESL of 68,000 μ g/m³. This shows an increase since the October 2015 sampling event.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 11 of 22

Naphthalene was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit of 17 μ g/m3 in either the primary or duplicate sample.

TVH Gasoline and Volatile Organic Compounds (Method TO15 Analysis)

TVH Gasoline BTEX, MTBE and Naphthalene

TVHg was detected at 690,000 μ g/m3 in excess of the Water Board residential ESL of 300,000 μ g/m3. This is a lowering from the October 2015 sampling event which showed 2,000,000 μ g/m3.

The volatile fuel components; benzene, toluene, and xylenes were detected; however only benzene, detected at 140 μ g/m³ was above its residential ESL of 48 μ g/m³. This shows a lowering from the October 2015 sampling event.

Chlorinated Hydrocarbons

Various chlorinated hydrocarbon compounds were detected by the TO15 analysis, however this event showed the compounds methylene chloride (MC) at 650 μ g/m³; tetrachloroethene (PCE) at 7,500 μ g/m³ above their ESLs. There was no detection (>0.70 μ g/m³) of 1,1,2-trichloroethane (TCA) which was reported at 4,300 μ g/m³ in the September 2015 event and following this March 2016 event, it was determined to have been reported in error and a corrective action was prepared by the laboratory and has been included in Attachment E. Neither MC or PCE were previously detected in any of the media sampling.

Oxygen and Methane

Oxygen content in soil-gas well SG5.5 was analyzed to evaluate the LTCP "bioattenuation zone" and bioremediation potential of the site. Detected oxygen was measured at 1.2 % which shows a lowered concentration compared to the 3.0 % measured in this well in June 2015. The reason for this lowering may be the significantly higher soil moisture content due to the 2015-2016 El Nino rain season, however, both sampling events are below the LTCP criteria concentration of 4% that supports active biodegradation.

Methane was detected in soil gas sample SG6 at a concentration of 1,900 μ L/L or 0.19%. This is approximately equal to the 0.21 % in June 2015 and below the lower explosive limit of 5%. The methane may possibly be indicative of anaerobic decomposition of organic material, including residual fuel product.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 12 of 22

Quality Control Samples

Field QC samples: One duplicate field QC soil-gas sample was collected for TPHd and analyzed by Method TO17. The manifold provided by the laboratory was set up to collect the duplicate in consecutive order. The primary sample contained 460,000 μ g/m³ and the duplicate showed 680,000 μ g/m³ which shows a fair agreement with a relative percent difference of 19.3%.

A helium shroud leak detection apparatus was used during the soil-gas collections. Helium was not detected during either the TO-15 indicating no leakage in the sampling train during collection. The TO-17 samples showed 0.13% helium in both the primary and duplicate sample analytical results. This small detection indicates a leak in the above ground manifold since the field leak check prior to sampling detected no helium.

Laboratory QC samples (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, etc.) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with the requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (Attachment D).

INDOOR AIR SURVEY METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

Because the soil-gas well SG5.5 sample analytical results showed contaminants exceeding the applicable ESL criteria, additional sampling for indoor-air was also conducted. Indoor-air was collected in accordance with the DTSC/Cal EPA Vapor Intrusion Guidance (October 2011) procedures and methodology.

The residential indoor air evaluation entailed a 24-hour air sampling test per procedures and protocols of the DTSC guidance. Stellar Environmental personnel set up the 24-hour sampling apparatus in three (3) locations: 1) within the residential building subfloor crawl space near the north side of the building, approximately 10 feet from the south side of the former UST excavation (as near to the near the former UST source area as accessible); 2) inside the basement activity room; and 3) an ambient "control" sample location was placed outside the residence, on the back porch, and not below the drip line of any site landscape trees.

The 24-hour test apparatus was set up at approximately 8:30 AM on Thursday March 31st and removed 24 hours later at approximately the same time the next day, April 1, 2016. The air flow

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 13 of 22

regulators controls the air inflow rate and was calibrated to 4 ml/minute by the laboratory for the TO15/GRO method sample collection.

The SummaTM sample canisters were identified and labeled when placed in their respective sampling locations at the beginning of the test and the date and time were recorded on the label the next day at the end of the test, thus the potential to confuse the sample locations is minimized.

The sampling locations for the indoor and outdoor air samples are shown on Figures 2 through 5, with the analytical data shown on Figure 5.

Indoor Air Survey Analytical Results

The analytical results of the March 31 - April 1, 2016 indoor air survey indicated the residential indoor air to contain more contaminants than the ambient outdoor air which is the opposite situation of what was observed in the October 2015 survey. In general, the indoor air crawl space air showed 15 compounds detected, 4 of which exceeded their respective ESLs; the basement room air had 25 compound detections with 6 over their ESLs; and the outdoor air showed 12 compounds detected with 3 in excess of their ESLs.

Benzene, naphthalene and carbon tetrachloride are the only compounds that were detected in all three air samples. TPHg was only detected in the basement room air (sample OA-2) and was the only compound that was also detected in soil gas from well SG5.5.

The analytical results of the indoor-air and outdoor air samples for those compounds detected in excess of the indoor air ESLs are included on Figure 5 in Attachment A. The analytical results of all detected compounds in the indoor-air and outdoor air samples are summarized in Table 5 included in Attachment B. The certified laboratory results are contained in Attachment D.

Volatile Organic Compounds (Method TO15/GRO Analysis; Indoor-Air and Outdoor Air)

<u>Indoor-Air: Crawl-Space</u>: The indoor-air (sample IA-1) analysis showed 15 compound detections of which benzene, carbon tetrachloride, 1,4-dichlorobenzene and naphthalene were detected slightly above their respective ESLs. All of the other compounds detected were below their respective ESLs. TPHg was not detected in the indoor-air. The crawl space was inspected in October 2015 and was measured at the north end, nearest the former UST to be approximately 21 inches high and approximately 4.5 feet high at the southern end near the basement access door (measured from the ground to the bottom of the overlying floor joists).

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 14 of 22

Indoor-Air: Basement Room: The indoor-air (sample IA-2) analysis showed 25 compound detections of which TPHg, benzene, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, 1,4-dichlorobenzene and naphthalene were detected above their respective ESLs. All of the other compounds detected were below their respective ESLs. The basement is estimated to have a standard 8 foot high ceiling.

<u>*Outdoor-Air:*</u> The outdoor-air (sample OA-1) analysis showed 25 volatile compound detections of which benzene, carbon tetrachloride and naphthalene were detected slightly above their respective ESLs. All of the other compounds detected were below their respective ESLs.

All of the reported compounds can be detected in outdoor urban air spaces in varying concentrations that fluctuate with seasonally and prevailing wind patterns. Of the detected compounds, only TPHg, BTEX, naphthalene and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene would be expected to be associated with hydrocarbons related to the former UST. The 1,4-dichlorobenzene is common in pesticides and disinfectants. The origin of the remaining detected compounds including the MC and PCE that was detected this March 2016 could be attributed to multiple possible sources either natural, or a result of human activities. The compounds may be laboratory contaminants, garden maintenance products, possibly materials used in the residential renovation that was taking place at the time of the discovery of the UST in 2013 or products discarded in the UST before it was abandoned and rediscovered in 2013.

Laboratory QC samples (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, etc.) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with the requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (Attachment D).

HOUSEHOLD CHEMICAL INVENTORY

To evaluate potential sources of contaminants in indoor air, a chemical inventory was conducted on March 31, 2016. It was noted during the inspection that all chemical products observed were commercially available products in their original packaging, with no signs of spillage. Several of the products contained various aerosol petroleum distillates, Stoddard Solvent, butane, propane, isobutene, but none of the contaminants of concern specifically targeted in this investigation. Professional dry cleaned clothes were not observed. The chemical inventory is contained in Attachment C. Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 15 of 22

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMENDATIONS

The work documented in this study was conducted in general accordance with standard EPA, Water Board and DTSC methods and protocols established for investigations of this type.

This March 2016 sampling investigation and the previous investigations indicate that residual contamination related to the former UST does not pose a threat to groundwater. The only remaining potential exposure risk is via soil vapor intrusion into the residential building which will continue to attenuate in time. Stellar Environmental previously prepared a site conceptual model and evaluated the site conditions against the Low Threat Closure Policy (LTCP) criteria (Stellar Environmental 2015b). The results of the June 2015 investigation qualified the site for closure under the strict criteria of the LTCP, however due to the exceedance of TPHg over the Water Board Tier 1 ESL, re-sampling of shallow soil-gas from soil-gas well SG5.5, an evaluation of the building crawl space and indoor air sampling were conducted during September to October 2015. This current March 2016 investigation showing the highest concentration of contaminants in the basement room air space, suggests a possible modification to the original conceptual model. The service piping from the UST into the building likely was routed along the eastern side of the building (it being the most direct route) and entered the basement to the prenatural gas heater. None of that is evident now because the basement has been redone. This is further corroborated by the crawl space having a significant lesser indoor air space than the basement that is further away from the UST.

This additional work was advanced in consultation with the regulator to evaluate potential exposure risk of soil vapor intrusion into the residential building; that being the remaining impediment to full regulatory site closure. This March 2016 sampling event also included additional boring SB4 for collection of shallow soil samples from 3 and 5 feet bgs to investigate the source contamination.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- A 350-gallon UST was removed in December 2013 along with 32.75 tons of associated fuel impacted soil that was disposed to a permitted non-hazardous landfill facility (GGT 2013).
- The Stellar Environmental June 2015 investigation was advanced to investigate residual contamination that was indicated by detections of TPHd and napththalene above applicable ESLs in the UST excavation confirmation soil sample collected from 12 feet bgs that was reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013).

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 16 of 22

- No TPHd, TPHmo or fuel related VOCs were detected in site soil from any of the 3 investigation borings during the in June 2015 investigation, indicating site residual soil contamination is not extensive. Additional boring SB4 was advanced in March 2016, one foot east of SG5.5, along the approximate edge of the former UST excavation for the collection of soil samples to investigate the source of the soil-gas detection in soil-gas well SG5.5. The 3-foot deep soil sample detected trace (17 mg/kg) TPHd and the 5-foot deep sample showed TPHmo/ho at 81 mg/kg and 360 mg/kg. The TPHd is in excess of its residential ESL of 230 mg/kg. TVHg was below laboratory detection in the 3 foot sample but was detected at 36 mg/kg TVHg in the 5 foot sample, but below the residential ESL of 100 mg/kg. No VOCs including the fuel components; naphthalene, MTBE, BTEX were detected in the 3 foot soil sample; however the 5 foot sample showed trace detections of the following compounds; sec-butyl benzene, 4-isopropyl toluene, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, 1,2,3-trichlorobenzene, however all below applicable ESLs.
- Soil-gas collected during the June 2015 investigation from 6 feet bgs (SG5.5) showed 880,000 µg/m³ TPHg in excess of the Water Board residential ESL of 300,000 µg/m³ for potential risk of vapor intrusion into the nearby building.
- The residual TPHg in soil-gas appears to attenuate with depth as there were no detections of COCs in soil gas collected from 13 feet bgs immediately below the target contaminant depth where elevated TPHd and naphthalene in soil were reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013). The March 2016 sampling of soil-gas well SG5.5 showed increasing concentration in TPH diesel, but a significant decrease in benzene and TPH-gasoline compared to the September 2015 event.
- Soil-gas well SG5.5 was sampled on September 23, 2015 and showed 240,000 μ g/m³ TPHd and 2,000,000 μ g/m³ TPHg in excess of the applicable residential ESIs of 68,000 and 300,000 μ g/m³, respectively. In addition, benzene was detected at 600 μ g/m³ and 1,1,2-trichloroethane (TCA) was detected at 4,300 μ g/m³, both above their respective ESLs in September 2015. However soil-gas sampling during this March 2016 event showed no detection of TCA but detected methylene chloride (MC) at 650 μ g/m³; and tetrachloroethene (PCE) at 7,500 μ g/m³, both above their ESLs. Following recept of the March 2016 results, the laboratory determined that the TCA had previously been reported in error and retracted the September 2015 detection as documented in the laboratory certifed corrective action, dated May 22, 2016. The erroneously reported TCA was determined to be an unidentified compound by the laboratory.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 17 of 22

- PCE and MC were detected in soil-gas well SG5.5 above their ESLs this March 2016, and also confirmed by the laboratory to not to have been detected in September 2015. However, considering that the lab already retracted their original finding of the TCA and neither MC or PCE were previously detected in any of the previous samples or other media prior to this event, these detections are considered unreliable and likely false positives or likely laboratory contaminants. In addition, the property history does not indicate any other reasonable chemical source for the chlorinated VOC compound detections in the soil gas.
- The non-fuel related CVOCs detected in the site soil-gas samples were collected in batchcertified (versus individually-certified SummaTM canisters used in the indoor air samples). The CVOC contamination is thus attributed to be false positive results related to the batch-certified Summa canisters.
- Oxygen was measured during this March 2016 event at 1.2 % in soil-gas well SG5.5. This shows a lowering concentration trend compared to the last measurement in June 2015 that showed 3.0 - 3.4 % in the soil-gas collected from soil-gas wells SG5.5 and SG13, respectively. The reason for this lowering may be the significantly higher soil moisture content due to the 2015-2016 El Nino rain season, however, both sampling events showed oxygen below the LTCP criteria concentration of 4% that supports active biodegradation.
- Methane was detected in soil gas sample SG6 at a concentration of 1,900 µL/L or 0.19% during this March 2016 event and is approximately equal to the 0.21 % detected in June 2015 and below the lower explosive limit of 5% with no flamability risk potential. The methane may possibly be indicative of anaerobic decomposition of organic material, including residual fuel product.
- To evaluate potential sources of contaminants in indoor air, a household chemical inventory conducted on March 31, 2016 revealed no chemical products other than commercially available products in their original packaging, with no signs of spillage. In addition, the owners, who have lived in the house since 1987 and who were also acquainted with the previous owner, were interviewed and have no knowledge of any site activities that used chemicals other than those used in routine household and garden maintenance that could be attributed to the detection of solvents such as PCE, methylene chloride or the unidentified compound(s) that was previously reported as TCA but since retracted by the laboratory.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 18 of 22

- The recent soil boring SB4 sampling results showed some residual TPH-diesel in the 5 foot soil sample slightly above the applicable ESL. No VOCs other than trace TPH-gasoline were detected and it is considered technically impractical to try to remove (excavate) little stringers of contaminated soil (that has contamination >ESL) that were left in the periphery of the tank excavation that we believe are the main source of the soil-gas detections.
- Groundwater was not encountered in any of the 3 bores advanced in June 2015, with the deepest bore extending to 36 feet bgs. The absence of residual soil contaminantion indicates no threat to groundwater by potential COCs and deep groundwater is unlikely to be impacted and thus no volatilization risk from underlying groundwater exists.
- The sensitive receptor and well survey completed during the June 2015 study did not indicate the presence of a downgradient sensitive receptor that would be threatened by the residual soil-gas.
- The March 2016 indoor air from the central basement room (sample IA2) showed TPH-gasoline, naphthalene and 1,4-dichlorobenzene above their ESLs. Benzene and carbon tetrachloride were also above their ESLs but these can be discounted along with most of the naphthalene when compared to the outdoor air. This is similar to the October 29-30, 2015 indoor air survey results that detected benzene at a concentration less than in the ambient outdoor air suggesting that the benzene in the residential indoor air could likely be attributed to outdoor ambient sources.
- The residential sub-floor crawl space was inspected in October 2015, observed to be adequately vented and contained no potential contaminant source items with the exception of the natural gas piping to the central heating unit observed in the crawl space and no unusual, natural gas or petroleum odors were noticed during the October 2015 inspection.
- The risk of vapor intrusion into the site residence by UST source contaminants will continue to attenuate in time since the UST and associated fuel impacted soil were removed in December 2013.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL SITE INVESTIGATION

Stellar Environmenal has discussed the analytical results of this March 2016 investigation with the property owner and the ACHCS caseworker and makes the following recommendation for additional site investigation:

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 19 of 22

- We suggest trying to resolve the issue of evaluating the indoor air exposure risk by conducting another indoor air survey as done in March 2016, with the addition of one more sample collected in the main ground floor living room, above the basement room for analysis by Method TO15/GRO. The proposed survey would include 24-hour sampling in four locations: 1) within the residential building subfloor crawl space (location IA1); 2) inside the basement activity room (location IA2); 3) inside the ground floor of the residence (proposed location to be IA3); and 4) an ambient "control" sample placed outside the residence (location OA1).
- The TO-15/GRO indoor air samples should be run only for the hydrocarbon analytes. (TPHg, naphthalene, MTBE, BTEX) and 1,4-dichlorobenzene which are the risk drivers in the basement space and related to the former UST) in order to eliminate household interference from other constituents. The indoor air samples were collected in "individually certified clean" SummaTM canisters that have not shown any suspected false positive detections as did the "batch cleaned" SummaTM that were provided by McCampbell Laboratory. We suggest it is not necessary to change laboratories for the indoor air analysis, however ACHCS may suggest we do.
- If the basement indoor air space still shows hydrocarbon analytes above ESLs but the main living space above does not, then we propose a remedy of installing an interior air ventilation/filter system.
- If ACHCS requires additional sampling and evaluation of what appears to be the false positive CVOC results in soil-gas well SG5.5, we propose to use a different lab, tentatively selected to be Curtis & Tompkins of Berkeley, to eliminate the potential for laboratory false positives suspected with McCampbell laboratory. We would also propose to use individually certified versus batch certified SummaTM canisters.
- Upon the results of the proposed sampling, we will evaluate the site for regulatory case closure.
- Stellar Environmental has uploaded this report and associated data to both ACHCS's fileserver and the Water Board's GeoTracker database and recommends following up with ACHCS following its receipt of this report, to discuss regulatory closure.
- Costs incurred for this investigation are eligible for reimbursement from the State of California Tank Cleanup Fund until regulatory site closure is achieved.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 20 of 22

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use by the Property Owners (responsible party), the regulatory agencies, and their authorized assigns and/or representatives. No reliance on this report shall be made by anyone other than those for whom it was prepared.

We declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report are true and correct to the best of our knowledge. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us at (510) 644-3123.

Sincerely,

MCA testa

Mr. Mark A. Jacobson Property Owner-Responsible Party

Herry Retysch

Mr. Henry Pietropaoli, P.G. Principal Geologist and Project Manager

Ilora Fieder

Ms. Ilona Frieden Property Owner-Responsible Party

(Mala Mala

Mr. Richard S. Makdisi, P.G Principal Geochemist and President

cc: Mr. Amitai Schwartz, Esq—counsel to RPs. Alameda County Health Care and California GeoTracker fileserver



Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 21 of 22

REFERENCES

- California Department of Water Resources (DWR), 2003. San Francisco Bay Hydrologic Region, California's Groundwater, Update 2003. October.
- Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), 2012. Active Soil-Gas Advisory prepared by the DTSC and Water Board-San Francisco Region. April
- Alameda County Health Care Services. 2014. Request for Data Gap Work Plan; Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143 and GeoTracker Global ID T10000006106, Paramount UST, 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA 94610. December 15.
- Alameda County Health Care Services. 2015. *Review and Approval:* Request for Data Gap Work Plan; Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143 and GeoTracker Global ID T10000006106, Paramount UST, 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA 94610. March 30.
- Environmental Data Resources, Inc., 2015. EDR Radius Map with Geocheck[™] and Offsite Receptor Reports for 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA 94610. May 6.
- Golden Gate Tank Removal, Inc. 2014 Underground Storage Tank Removal, 811 Paramount Road Oakland, CA 94610. January 14.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 1999. East Bay Plains Beneficial Use Study, San Francisco Bay. June 15.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 2007. San Francisco Bay Basin (Region 2) Water Quality Control Board (Basin Plan). January 18.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (Water Board), 2016. Screening for Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater. Revised February 22.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climates Services Website: Weather for Oakland, California, March 25 through April 1, 2016.
- State Water Resources Control Board, 2012. Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Field Manual: Guidelines for Site Assessment, Cleanup, and Underground Storage Tank Closure: State of California Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Task Force. September.

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services May 17, 2016 Page 22 of 22

- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. 2015a. Proposed Data Gap Investigation Workplan to Address Potential Impact from a Former Leaking Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA. February 23.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. 2015b. Data Gap Investigation to Address Potential Impact and Request for Closure of a Former Residential Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA. (Alameda County Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143). July 20.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. 2015c. Additional Investigation Workplan to Address Potential Impact of Soil-Gas related to a Former Leaking Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount, Oakland, CA. September 9.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. 2015d. Data Gap Investigation to Evaluate Potential Indoor Air Vapor Intrusion by Soil-Gas related to a Former Residential Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA. November 20.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), 1959. Oakland East 7.5-minute Quadrangle, 1:24,000 scale, photorevised 1983.

ATTACHMENT A

Figures



2015-16-01







2015-16-19



ATTACHMENT B

Analytical Summary Tables

Table 1Current and Historical Soil Sample Analytical Results811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

Sample ID	Depth (feet bgs)	TPHmo/ho	TPHd	TPHg	benzene	toluene	ethylbenzene	xylenes	MTBE	Naphthalene	
June 2, 2015 Soil Samples (mg/kg)											
SG5.5-5	5	<1.2	<6.0	NA	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	
SG13-9.5	9.5	<1.2	<5.8	NA	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	
SB1-13	13	<1.2	<6.0	NA	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	
SB1-18	18	<1.2	<6.0	NA	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	
SB1-25	25	<1.2	<6.0	NA	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	
SB2-13	13	<1.2	<5.7	NA	<0.0057	< 0.0057	<0.0057	< 0.0057	< 0.0057	< 0.0057	
SB2-18	18	<1.2	<5.8	NA	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	
SB2-22	22	<1.2	<5.6	NA	<0.0056	<0.0056	<0.0056	<0.0056	<0.0056	<0.0056	
SB3-13	13	<1.2	<6.0	NA	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	<0.0060	
SB3-20	20	<1.2	<5.8	NA	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	<0.0058	
SB3-24	24	<1.2	<5.9	NA	<0.0059	<0.0059	<0.0059	<0.0059	<0.0059	<0.0059	
March 31, 2016 Soil Samples (mg/kg)											
SB4-3.5	3	<5.9	17	<1.2	<0.0019	<0.0026	<0.0024	<0.0029	<0.0015	<0.00071	
SB4-5.5	5	81	360	36	<0.0020	< 0.0027	<0.0024	<0.0031	<0.0016	<0.00073	
ESL		5,100	230	100	0.044	2.9	1.4	2.3	0.023	0.023	

Notes:

TPHmo= total petroleum hydrocarbons as motor oil/hydraulic oil

TPHd = total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

 $TPHg = total \ petroleum \ hydrocarbons \ as \ gasoline$

MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether

ESL = Environmental Screening Levels for residential sites where groundwater is considerd a potential drinking water resource (Water Board, 2016).

Analytical results shown as < italicized indicate a non-detection or less than the laboratory reporting limit.

All concentrations are expressed in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). Analytical results in bold type exceed the ESLs.

Sample concentrations reported on a dry weight basis. Moisture content in the soils ranged from 10.5 to 18.1 %. Moisture analyses included in Appendix D bgs = below ground surface

Table 2Analytical Results of Detected VOCs in Soil in Bore SB4 – March 31, 2016811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

	Sampl			
Analyte	SB4-3.5	SB4-5.5	ESL	
sec-butyl benzene	<0.0040	0.012	NLP	
4-Isopropyl toluene	<0.0037	0.014	NLP	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.0015	0.0017 ј	0.018	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	<0.00083	0.0017 jb	1.5*	

Notes:

ESL= Environmental Screening Level for shallow soil at residential sites (Water Board 2016).

ESL for 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene shown

NLP= no level published; Results in **bold-face** type exceed regulatory ESLs.

Analytical results shown as < and *italicized* indicate a non-detection (ND) or less than the laboratory detection limit. All results are reported in milligram per kilogram (mg/kg)) on a dry wt basis, moisture ranged from 10.5 to 18.1 %. . Moisture analyses included in Appendix D

j = indicates compound was detected below quantification limit and is a statistical estimated value.

 \mathbf{b} = analyte detected in the associated method blank and in the sample

Table 3
Current and Historical Analytical Results of Soil-Gas in Well SG-5.5 -
811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

	Contaminants (µg/m ³)									es (%)	Leak Check (%)
			_	Ethyl-					O ₂	Methane	Helium
Sample I.D.	TPHd	TVHg	Benzene	benzene	Toluene	Xylenes	MTBE	Naphthalene			
June 4, 2015											
SG6	NA	880,000	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	<250	3.0	0.21	<0.050
SG6s	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<2.7	NA	NA	<0.068*
September 23, 2015											
SG6SA	NA	2,000,000	600	340	94	410 ј	<33	<43	NA	NA	NA
SG6s	240,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<3.0	NA	NA	<0.050*
SG6Sd	230,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<3.0	NA	NA	<0.050*
March 31, 20	March 31, 2016										
SG5.5	NA	690,000	140	<110	7,500	390	<92	<260	1.2	0.19	<0.050
SG5.5s	460,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<17.0	NA	NA	0.13*
SG5.5sd	680,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<17.0	NA	NA	0.13*
ESL	68,000	300,000	48	560	160,000	52,000	5,400	41	NR	NR	NR

Notes:

's' indicates sorbent tube TO17 analysis; d = indicates duplicate sample; * = helium leak check during TO17 sorbent tube collection analyzed from in-line Summa

ESL = Environmental Screening Level applicable to both shallow (<3 meters) and deep (>3 meters) soil-gas in residential areas where groundwater is considered a potential drinking water resource, above which additional investigation is recommended (Water Board 2016)

Analytical results in **bold-face** type exceed ESL

Analytical results shown as < and *italicized* indicate a non-detection or less than the laboratory detection limit.

NA = not analyzed; NR = not relevant

TVHg = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline; TPHd = total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms per cubic meter$

Table 4
Analytical Results of Detected Compounds in Soil-Gas in Well SG5.5
811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

	Sample		
Analyte	September 23, 2015	March 31, 2016	ESL
Acetone	<1,300	4,300	15,000,000
Benzene	600	140	48
2-butanone (MEK)	1,800 j	<3,800	2,600,000
t-butyl alcohol	<1,700	2,700	NLP
Cyclohexane	24,000	5,400	NLP
Dichlorodiflouromethane	<44	1,100	NLP
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	<1.4	180	NLP
Ethanol	<580	13,000	NLP
Ethyl acetate	<29	96	NLP
Ethylbenzene	340	<110	560
4-Ethyltoluene	130 ј	<120	NLP
Heptane	11,000	2,100	NLP
Hexane	4,600	1,200	NLP
4-methyl-2-pentanone	170 ј	<100	NLP
Methylene chloride	110	650	510
Styrene	<25	150	470,000
Tetrachloroethene	<55	7,500	240
Toluene	94	7,500	160,000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<12	<0.70	88
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	130	130	NLP
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	150 ј	<120	NLP
Xylenes	410 ј	390	52,000
Helium (leak check)*	<0.050	<0.050	NR

Notes:

ESL= Environmental Screening Level for shallow soil-gas at residential sites (Water Board 2016). NLP= no level published; Results in **bold-face** type exceed regulatory ESLs.

Analytical results shown as < and *italicized* indicate a non-detection (ND) or less than the laboratory detection limit. All results are reported in micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$)

j = indicates compound was detected below quantification limit and is a statistical estimated value. NR = not relevant

* Helium tracer analyzed by Method ASTM194

Table 5:

Current and Historical Analytical Results of Detected Compounds in Indoor and Outdoor Air 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

	Indoor Air (IA-1)	Outdoor Air (OA-1)	Indoor Air (Crawl Space) (IA-1)	Indoor Air (Basement Room) (IA-2)	Outdoor Air (OA-1)	DCI
Analyte	October .	30, 2015		ESL		
TDH diasal	-21	NA	NA	NA	ΝA	140
	<j1< td=""><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>0.82</td></j1<>	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.82
	0.51 j	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.83
Method TO15 Analysis						
TPH-gasoline	<36	<36	<36	260	<36	100
Acetone	<6.0	6.2	<6.0	62	<6.0	31,000
Acrolein	ND	ND	<0.58	5.3	<0.58	NLP
Acrylonitrile	<0.22	0.36	<0.22	<0.22	<0.22	NLP
Benzene	0.20	1.0	0.28	0.36	0.36	0.084
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ND	0.0074	0.022	<0.0070	0.076
2-Butadiene (MEK)	ND	ND	<7.5	7.5	<7.5	5,200
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.062	0.41	0.43	0.50	0.42	0.067
Chloroform	0.034	0.17	0.18	0.35	0.11	0.12
Chloromethane	<0.21	0.52	0.49	1.1	0.79	19
Cyclohexane	ND	ND	<1.8	2.8	<1.8	NLP
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.8	8.7	0.063	NLP
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.030	0.49	1.8	8.7	<0.030	0.22
Dichlorodifluoromethane	<0.50	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.2	NLP
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.0041	0.037	0.048	0.067	0.050	0.11
1,2,Dichloropropane	<0.0047	0.017	0.022	0.039	0.024	0.28

Table 5 with notes continued next page

Table 5 Continued

Analyte	Indoor Air (IA-1) October 30	Outdoor Air (OA-1) 0, 2015	Indoor Air (Crawl Space) (IA-1)	Indoor Air (Basement Room) (IA-2) April 1, 2016	Outdoor Air (OA-1)	ESL
Method TO15 Analysis - con	tinued	,		* '		
1,4-Dioxane	0.021	<0.018	0.041	<0.018	<0.018	0.36
Ethylbenzene	<0.44	0.82	<0.44	<0.44	<0.44	1.1
2-Hexanone	ND	ND	<0.42	0.67	<0.42	NLP
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	ND	ND	<0.42	0.70	<0.42	NLP
Naphthalene	<0.050	0.21	0.14	1.0	0.14	0.083
Styrene	ND	ND	<0.43	1.9	<0.43	940
1,1,1,2-Tetrachlorethane	ND	ND	<0.0070	0.0091	0.0077	0.38
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ND	0.075	0.074	<0.069	0.48
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	ND	<0.60	12	<0.60	NLP
Toluene	0.56	3.9	0.92	3.0	0.65	310
Trichloroflouromethane	<0.57	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2	NLP
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	<0.50	1.0	<0.50	<0.50	<050	2.1
Xylenes	<1.3	3.6	<1.3	1.5	<1.3	100

Notes:

ESL= Environmental Screening Level for residential Indoor-Air (Water Board 2016, Tier 1).

Results in **bold-face** type exceed regulatory ESLs; NLP= no level published

NA = not analyzed

* = TO17 analysis reported to method dection limit, however method could not meet ESL for naphthalene;

** = refer to TO15 results for additional method naphthalene analysis

j = indicates compound was detected below quantification limit and is a statistical estimated value.

All results are reported in micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$)

ATTACHMENT C

Chemical Inventory
Chemical Inventory - March 31, 2016				
811 Paramount Road, Oakland				
Quantity	Product	Active Chemicals		
1.3 qt	ZEP Pressure Washer Cleaner	Tetrasodium EDTA; C9-11 Alcohols ; Sodium Xylene Sulfonate; Sodium Orthosilicate; Sodium Sulfate; NaOH		
2 qt	Capt'n Jacks Deadbug Brew	Spinosad or D-forosamine		
4 oz	Gorilla Glue	diphenyl diisocyanate		
2.5 qt	Benjamin Moore Latex Paint	Titanium dioxide, Titanium dioxide, Sodium C14-C16 olefin sulfonate		
1 pt	Zinser Shellac	Ethanol, Acetone, Liquefied Petroleum, n-Butanol, 2-Propanol		
2.5 lbs	HDX Weed and Grass Killer	Glyphosate, Isopropylamine salt		
8 oz	WD-40 Solvent	Aliphatic Hydrocarbon, Petroleum Base Oil		
12oz	Martens Wood Preservative	Pentachlorophenol, Hexachlorobenzene, Hexachlorodibenzo-8- dioxin		
56 ozz	Oxy Clean Stain Remover	Hydrogen Peroxide, Dipropylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether		
3 -32 oz	Scotch Guard	Acetone, Isopropyl Alcohol, Light Alkylate Petroleum Naphtha, Fluorochemical		
14 Oz	Spot Shot Carpet Stain Remover	2-Butoxyethanol, Liquefied Petroleum Gas		
16 oz	Natures Miracle Stain and Odor remover	Isopropyl Alcohol		
16 oz	Boos Block Butcher Block Oil	di alpha tocopherol, White Mineral Oil, Carnauba Wax		
1 qt	Tilex Mold cleaner	NaOCI, NaOH		
1 qt	Glass Plus Glass Cleaner	biodegradable surfactant		
32 oz	ZEP Drain Cleaner	NaOCI, NaOH, KOH		
18 oz	Raid Insect Killer	1-cyclohexene-1,2- dicarboximido, 2-methylpropenyl, cyclopropanecarboxylate, butane, propane, isobutane		
17.5 oz	Hot shot ant Killer	Mineral spirits, Propylene glycol monobutyl, ether, Hydrocarbon Propellant		
14 oz	Turtle Wax Velour Cleaner	Isobutane, Propane, Stoddard Solvent		
64 oz	Turtle Wax Zip Wax	water, soap, additives		
8 oz	Brasso Metal Polish	STODDARD SOLVENT, OLEIC ACID, KAOLIN, AMMONIUM HYDROXIDE		
12 oz	Butcher Block conditioning	Mineral oil, Beeswax, Carnauba wax		
8 oz	Amazons Cutting Board Sealer	Mineral oil, Beeswax, Carnauba wax		
20 oz	Niagra Spray Starch	Starch, Liquefied Petroleum, isobutane		
28 oz	Turbo Power Lime Away	uronium hydrogen sulphate, Urea, methyl-, polymer with oxirane		
30 oz	Speeds Toilet Care	Phosphoric acid		
17.7 oz	Pledge Furniture Polish	butane, propane, isobutane		
34 oz	Method Gel Hand Wash	PROPRIETARY NON-HAZARDOUS INGREDIENTS		
1 lb, 3 oz	Chlorox Disinfectant Wipes	ammonium chloride, benzyl ammonium chloride		
8 oz	Carpenters Wood Glue	PROPRIETARY NON-HAZARDOUS INGREDIENTS		
2 qt	Chlorox Bleach	Sodium hypochlorite, Sodium hydroxide		

ATTACHMENT D

Photo-documentation

Subject : Helium shroud soil-gas sampling apparatus over soil-gas	well SG5.5
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California	Desired No. CEC 2015 1/
Date Taken: March 31, 2016	Project No.: SES 2015-16
Subject: Auger down to 5 feet below surface located about 1 foot n	hortheast of soil-gas well SG5.5
Subject: Auger down to 5 feet below surface located about 1 foot n Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California	hortheast of soil-gas well SG5.5

(**)**

Subject : Drive hammer sampling apparatus used for soil collection					
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California					
Date Taken: March 31, 2016	Project No.: SES 2015-16				
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 03				
Subject: Basement room bougebold chemicals removed to outside	artan before indoor air survey				
Subject: Basement room household chemicals removed to outside garden before indoor air survey					
Data Takani Marah 21, 2016	Drojost No + SES 2015 16				
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 04				

د،

Subject: Ground floor, household chemicals stored under kitchen s	<image/>			
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California				
Date Taken: March 31, 2016	Project No · SES 2015-16			
Date Taken. Water 31, 2010	Photo No : 05			
Subject: Indoor air basement room sampling location IA-2				
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California				
Date Taken: March 31, 2016	Project No.: SES 2015-16			
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli Photo No.: 06				

ATTACHMENT E

Certified Laboratory Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Record



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

Analytical Report

WorkOrder:1604041Report Created for:Stellar Environmental Solutions2198 Sixth St. #201
Berkeley, CA 94710Project Contact:Richard MakdisiProject P.O.:2015-16; Residential Heating UST InvestigationProject Received:04/01/2016

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 04/11/2016 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 TEL: (877) 252-9262 FAX: (925) 252-9269 www.mccampbell.com CDPH ELAP 1644 NELAP 4033ORELAP



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client:	Stellar Environmental	Solutions
---------	-----------------------	-----------

- **Project:** 2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation
- **WorkOrder:** 1604041

Glossary Abbreviation

%D	Serial Dilution Percent Difference
95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DI WET	(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
DISS	Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 µm filtered and acidified water sample)
DLT	Dilution Test (Serial Dilution)
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level of Quantitation
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
N/A	Not Applicable
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
PDS	Post Digestion Spike
PDSD	Post Digestion Spike Duplicate
PF	Prep Factor
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
ST	Sorbent Tube
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalents
WET (STLC)	Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation

WorkOrder: 1604041

Analytical Qualifiers

В	analyte detected in the associated Method Blank and in the sample
J	Result is less than the RL/ML but greater than the MDL. The reported concentration is an estimated value.
d7	strongly aged gasoline or diesel range compounds are significant in the TPH(g) chromatogram
e3	aged diesel is significant
e4	gasoline range compounds are significant.
e8	kerosene/kerosene range/jet fuel range
i1	results are reported on a dry weight basis



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions				
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10				
Date Prepared:	4/1/16				
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation				

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg-dry

Volatile Organics by P&T and GC/MS (Basic Target List)

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix		Date Collected		Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-3.5	1604041-001A	Soil		03/31/20	16 11:30	GC10	118932
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		MDL	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
Acetone	ND		0.046	0.12	1		04/10/2016 13:41
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0012	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Benzene	ND		0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Bromobenzene	ND		0.0020	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Bromochloromethane	ND		0.0018	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Bromodichloromethane	ND		0.0014	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Bromoform	ND		0.00094	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Bromomethane	ND		0.0024	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		0.0064	0.024	1		04/10/2016 13:41
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.0062	0.059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
n-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0041	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
sec-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0040	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
tert-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0035	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Carbon Disulfide	ND		0.0020	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		0.0020	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Chlorobenzene	ND		0.0021	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Chloroethane	ND		0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Chloroform	ND		0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Chloromethane	ND		0.0020	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
2-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.0026	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
4-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.0025	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Dibromochloromethane	ND		0.0013	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		0.0014	0.0047	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0015	0.0047	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Dibromomethane	ND		0.0017	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0017	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0021	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0021	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		0.0013	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0020	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND		0.0017	0.0047	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0020	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0018	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0017	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0015	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41



Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions					
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10					
Date Prepared:	4/1/16					
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation					

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg-dry

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix		Date Co	ollected	Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-3.5	1604041-001A	Soil		03/31/20 ⁻	16 11:30	GC10	118932
Analytes	Result		MDL	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	(0.0021	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.0018	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	(0.0017	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND		0.0017	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Ethylbenzene	ND	(0.0024	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	(0.0015	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Freon 113	ND	(0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		0.0059	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Hexachloroethane	ND	(0.0029	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
2-Hexanone	ND	(0.0029	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Isopropylbenzene	ND		0.0026	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND		0.0037	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0015	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Methylene chloride	ND	(0.0042	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	(0.00094	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Naphthalene	ND	(0.00071	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
n-Propyl benzene	ND		0.0034	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Styrene	ND		0.0017	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	(0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	(0.0015	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Tetrachloroethene	ND	(0.0027	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Toluene	ND		0.0026	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	(0.00083	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	(0.0013	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	(0.0021	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Trichloroethene	ND	(0.0020	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	(0.0019	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	(0.0022	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND		0.0028	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		0.0032	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Vinyl Chloride	ND		0.0018	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.0029	0.0059	1		04/10/2016 13:41



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions					
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10					
Date Prepared:	4/1/16					
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation					

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg-dry

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix		Date C	ollected	Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-3.5	1604041-001A	Soil		03/31/20	016 11:30	GC10	118932
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF		Date Analyzed
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>			<u>Limits</u>			
Dibromofluoromethane	96			70-130			04/10/2016 13:41
Toluene-d8	95			70-130			04/10/2016 13:41
4-BFB	78			70-130			04/10/2016 13:41
Benzene-d6	78			60-140			04/10/2016 13:41
Ethylbenzene-d10	86			60-140			04/10/2016 13:41
1,2-DCB-d4	74			60-140			04/10/2016 13:41
Analyst(s): HK			<u>Anal</u>	<u>ytical Com</u>	<u>ments:</u> i1		





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions					
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10					
Date Prepared:	4/1/16					
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation					

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg-dry

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix		Date Co	ollected 1	Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-5.5	1604041-002A	Soil		03/31/20 ⁻	16 11:50	GC16	118932
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	Qualifiers	MDL	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
Acetone	ND		0.048	0.12	1		04/10/2016 05:30
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND		0.0012	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Benzene	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Bromobenzene	ND		0.0021	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Bromochloromethane	ND		0.0018	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Bromodichloromethane	ND		0.0015	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Bromoform	ND		0.00098	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Bromomethane	ND		0.0024	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		0.0066	0.024	1		04/10/2016 05:30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND		0.0065	0.061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
n-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0043	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
sec-Butyl benzene	0.012		0.0042	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
tert-Butyl benzene	ND		0.0037	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Carbon Disulfide	ND		0.0021	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		0.0021	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Chlorobenzene	ND		0.0022	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Chloroethane	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Chloroform	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Chloromethane	ND		0.0021	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
2-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.0027	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
4-Chlorotoluene	ND		0.0026	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Dibromochloromethane	ND		0.0013	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		0.0015	0.0049	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND		0.0016	0.0049	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Dibromomethane	ND		0.0017	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0017	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0022	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		0.0022	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		0.0013	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		0.0021	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND		0.0017	0.0049	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0021	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0018	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0017	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND		0.0016	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions					
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10					
Date Prepared:	4/1/16					
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation					

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg-dry

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix		Date Col	lected	Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-5.5	1604041-002A	Soil		03/31/201	6 11:50	GC16	118932
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>		Date Analyzed
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND		0.0022	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.0018	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		0.0017	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND		0.0017	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.0024	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND		0.0016	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Freon 113	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		0.0061	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Hexachloroethane	ND		0.0031	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
2-Hexanone	ND		0.0031	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Isopropylbenzene	ND		0.0027	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
4-Isopropyl toluene	0.014		0.0038	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND		0.0016	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Methylene chloride	ND		0.0044	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		0.00098	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Naphthalene	ND		0.00073	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
n-Propyl benzene	ND		0.0035	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Styrene	ND		0.0017	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.0017	J	0.0016	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Tetrachloroethene	ND		0.0028	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Toluene	ND		0.0027	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.0019	JB	0.00085	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		0.0013	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		0.0022	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Trichloroethene	ND		0.0021	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		0.0020	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND		0.0023	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND		0.0029	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		0.0033	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Vinyl Chloride	ND		0.0018	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.0031	0.0061	1		04/10/2016 05:30



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions					
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10					
Date Prepared:	4/1/16					
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation					

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	mg/kg-dry

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date C	ollected Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-5.5	1604041-002A	Soil	03/31/20	16 11:50 GC16	118932
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	Qualifiers MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane	99		70-130		04/10/2016 05:30
Toluene-d8	104		70-130		04/10/2016 05:30
4-BFB	94		70-130		04/10/2016 05:30
Benzene-d6	85		60-140		04/10/2016 05:30
Ethylbenzene-d10	103		60-140		04/10/2016 05:30
1,2-DCB-d4	77		60-140		04/10/2016 05:30
Analyst(s): AK		Ana	lytical Com	<u>ments:</u> i1	



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10
Date Prepared:	4/1/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Unit:	mg/Kg-dry

Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-3.5	1604041-001A	Soil	03/31/2016 11:30 GC19	118930
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)	ND		1.2 1	04/04/2016 21:22
MTBE			0.059 1	04/04/2016 21:22
Benzene			0.0059 1	04/04/2016 21:22
Toluene			0.0059 1	04/04/2016 21:22
Ethylbenzene			0.0059 1	04/04/2016 21:22
Xylenes			0.018 1	04/04/2016 21:22
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>		Limits	
2-Fluorotoluene	110		70-130	04/04/2016 21:22
<u>Analyst(s):</u> IA			Analytical Comments: i1	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-5.5	1604041-002A	Soil	03/31/2016 11:50 GC19	118930
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)	36		1.2 1	04/04/2016 23:23
МТВЕ			0.061 1	04/04/2016 23:23
Benzene			0.0061 1	04/04/2016 23:23
Toluene			0.0061 1	04/04/2016 23:23
Ethylbenzene			0.0061 1	04/04/2016 23:23
Xylenes			0.018 1	04/04/2016 23:23
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		Limits	
2-Fluorotoluene	97		70-130	04/04/2016 23:23

Analytical Comments: d7,i1

Analyst(s): IA



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	ASTM D2216-92
Analytical Method:	E8000C
Unit:	wet wt%

Percent Moisture					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrumer	t Batch ID	
SB4-3.5	1604041-001A	Soil	03/31/2016 11:30 WetChem	119105	
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
% Moisture	15.2		0.100 1	04/06/2016 14:40	
<u>Analyst(s):</u> AL					
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrumer	at Batch ID	
SB4-5.5	1604041-002A	Soil	03/31/2016 11:50 WetChem	119105	
Analytes	Result		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
% Moisture	18.1		0.100 1	04/06/2016 14:50	

Analyst(s): AL





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrd
Date Received:	4/1/16 19:10	Extraction
Date Prepared:	4/1/16	Analytica
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation	Unit:

WorkOrder:	1604041
Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Unit:	mg/Kg-dry

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Coll	ected Instrument	Batch ID
SB4-3.5	1604041-001A	Soil	03/31/2016	11:30 GC11B	118929
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	17		1.2	1	04/04/2016 17:18
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	ND		5.9	1	04/04/2016 17:18
TPH-Hydraulic Oil (C18-C36)	ND		5.9	1	04/04/2016 17:18
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
C9	88		70-130		04/04/2016 17:18
Analyst(s): TK			Analytical Comme	<u>nts:</u> e8,e3,e4,i1	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Coll	ected Instrument	Batch ID
Client ID SB4-5.5	Lab ID 1604041-002A	Matrix Soil	Date Coll 03/31/2016	ected Instrument 11:50 GC11B	Batch ID 118929
Client ID SB4-5.5 Analytes	Lab ID 1604041-002A <u>Result</u>	Matrix Soil	Date Coll 03/31/2016 <u>RL</u>	ected Instrument 11:50 GC11B DF	Batch ID 118929 Date Analyzed
Client ID SB4-5.5 <u>Analytes</u> TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	Lab ID 1604041-002A <u>Result</u> 360	Matrix Soil	Date Coll 03/31/2016 <u>RL</u> 6.1	ected Instrument 11:50 GC11B DE 5	Batch ID 118929 Date Analyzed 04/04/2016 16:39
Client ID SB4-5.5 <u>Analytes</u> TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	Lab ID 1604041-002A <u>Result</u> 360 81	Matrix Soil	Date Coll 03/31/2016 <u>RL</u> 6.1 31	ected Instrument 11:50 GC11B DF 5 5	Batch ID 118929 Date Analyzed 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39
Client ID SB4-5.5 <u>Analytes</u> TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36) TPH-Hydraulic Oil (C18-C36)	Lab ID 1604041-002A <u>Result</u> 360 81 81	Matrix Soil	Date Coll 03/31/2016 RL 6.1 31 31	ected Instrument 11:50 GC11B DF 5 5 5	Batch ID 118929 Date Analyzed 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39
Client ID SB4-5.5 <u>Analytes</u> TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36) TPH-Hydraulic Oil (C18-C36) <u>Surrogates</u>	Lab ID 1604041-002A Result 360 81 81 81 81 81	Matrix Soil	Date Coll 03/31/2016 RL 6.1 31 31 Limits	ected Instrument 11:50 GC11B DF 5 5 5	Batch ID 118929 Date Analyzed 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39
Client ID SB4-5.5 Analytes TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36) TPH-Hydraulic Oil (C18-C36) Surrogates C9	Lab ID 1604041-002A Result 360 81 81 REC (%) 94	Matrix Soil	Date Coll 03/31/2016 RL 6.1 31 31 Limits 70-130	ected Instrument 11:50 GC11B DF 5 5 5	Batch ID 118929 Date Analyzed 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39 04/04/2016 16:39

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604041
Date Prepared:	4/1/16	BatchID:	118932
Date Analyzed:	4/4/16	Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC16, GC18	Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:	mg/kg
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-118932 1604031-001AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	-	0.039	0.10	-	-	-	-
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	0.0380	0.0010	0.0050	0.050	-	76	53-116
Benzene	ND	0.0420	0.0016	0.0050	0.050	-	84	63-137
Bromobenzene	ND	-	0.0017	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromochloromethane	ND	-	0.0015	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromodichloromethane	ND	-	0.0012	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromoform	ND	-	0.00080	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Bromomethane	ND	-	0.0020	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	-	0.0054	0.020	-	-	-	-
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	0.184	0.0053	0.050	0.20	-	92	41-135
n-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.0035	0.0050	-	-	-	-
sec-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.0034	0.0050	-	-	-	-
tert-Butyl benzene	ND	-	0.0030	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Carbon Disulfide	ND	-	0.0017	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	-	0.0017	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.0430	0.0018	0.0050	0.050	-	86	77-121
Chloroethane	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Chloroform	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Chloromethane	ND	-	0.0017	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	-	0.0022	0.0050	-	-	-	-
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	-	0.0021	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Dibromochloromethane	ND	-	0.0011	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	-	0.0012	0.0040	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.0426	0.0013	0.0040	0.050	-	85	67-119
Dibromomethane	ND	-	0.0014	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0014	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0018	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	-	0.0018	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	-	0.0011	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	-	0.0017	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	0.0441	0.0014	0.0040	0.050	-	88	58-135
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.0275	0.0017	0.0050	0.050	-	55	42-145
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	-	0.0015	0.0050	-	-	-	-
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.0014	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	-	0.0013	0.0050	-	-	-	-

A QA/QC Officer Page 13 of 22

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604041
Date Prepared:	4/1/16	BatchID:	118932
Date Analyzed:	4/4/16	Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC16, GC18	Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:	mg/kg
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-118932 1604031-001AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.0018	0.0050	-	-	-	-
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.0015	0.0050	-	-	-	-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	-	0.0014	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	0.0396	0.0014	0.0050	0.050	-	79	52-129
Ethylbenzene	ND	-	0.0020	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	0.0408	0.0013	0.0050	0.050	-	82	53-125
Freon 113	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	-	0.0050	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Hexachloroethane	ND	-	0.0025	0.0050	-	-	-	-
2-Hexanone	ND	-	0.0025	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Isopropylbenzene	ND	-	0.0022	0.0050	-	-	-	-
4-Isopropyl toluene	ND	-	0.0031	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.0405	0.0013	0.0050	0.050	-	81	58-122
Methylene chloride	ND	-	0.0036	0.0050	-	-	-	-
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	-	0.00080	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Naphthalene	0.00239,J	-	0.00060	0.0050	-	-	-	-
n-Propyl benzene	ND	-	0.0029	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Styrene	ND	-	0.0014	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	-	0.0013	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Tetrachloroethene	ND	-	0.0023	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Toluene	ND	0.0498	0.0022	0.0050	0.050	-	99	76-130
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.00167,J	-	0.00070	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.00163,J	-	0.0011	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	-	0.0018	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Trichloroethene	ND	0.0419	0.0017	0.0050	0.050	-	84	72-132
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	-	0.0016	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	-	0.0019	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	-	0.0024	0.0050	-	-	-	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	-	0.0027	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Vinyl Chloride	ND	-	0.0015	0.0050	-	-	-	-
Xylenes, Total	ND	-	0.0025	0.0050	-	-	-	-

A QA/QC Officer Page 14 of 22

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604041
Date Prepared:	4/1/16	BatchID:	118932
Date Analyzed:	4/4/16	Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC16, GC18	Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:	mg/kg
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-118932 1604031-001AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Surrogate Recovery								
Dibromofluoromethane	0.130	0.109			0.12	104	87	70-130
Toluene-d8	0.154	0.133			0.12	123	107	70-130
4-BFB	0.0128	0.0123			0.012	102	99	70-130
Benzene-d6	0.104	0.0946			0.10	105	95	60-140
Ethylbenzene-d10	0.108	0.120			0.10	108	120	60-140
1,2-DCB-d4	0.0844	0.0857			0.10	84	86	60-140

Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MSD Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.0363	0.0380	0.050	ND	73	76	56-94	4.64	20
Benzene	0.0432	0.0450	0.050	ND	86	90	60-106	4.14	20
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	0.162	0.178	0.20	ND	81	89	56-140	9.79	20
Chlorobenzene	0.0380	0.0400	0.050	ND	76	80	61-108	5.12	20
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.0369	0.0401	0.050	ND	74	80	54-119	8.29	20
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	0.0426	0.0444	0.050	ND	85	89	48-115	4.35	20
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.0431	0.0446	0.050	ND	86	89	46-111	3.34	20
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.0408	0.0424	0.050	ND	82	85	53-111	4.06	20
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.0410	0.0431	0.050	ND	82	86	61-104	4.95	20
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.0406	0.0425	0.050	ND	81	85	58-107	4.57	20
Toluene	0.0446	0.0468	0.050	ND	89	94	64-114	4.66	20
Trichloroethene	0.0384	0.0401	0.050	ND	77	80	60-116	4.35	20
Surrogate Recovery									
Dibromofluoromethane	0.112	0.111	0.12		90	89	70-130	1.08	20
Toluene-d8	0.128	0.127	0.12		102	102	70-130	0	20
4-BFB	0.0124	0.0118	0.012		99	94	88-121	4.55	20
Benzene-d6	0.0939	0.0976	0.10		94	98	60-140	3.87	20
Ethylbenzene-d10	0.102	0.109	0.10		102	109	60-140	7.03	20
1,2-DCB-d4	0.0762	0.0786	0.10		76	79	60-140	3.04	20

_____QA/QC Officer Page 15 of 22

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604041
Date Prepared:	4/1/16	BatchID:	118930
Date Analyzed:	4/2/16	Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC7	Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:	mg/Kg
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-118930 1604030-001AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8021B/8015Bm

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
TPH(btex)	ND	0.524	0.40	0.60	-	87	70-130
MTBE	ND	0.0896	0.050	0.10	-	90	70-130
Benzene	ND	0.0916	0.0050	0.10	-	92	70-130
Toluene	ND	0.0854	0.0050	0.10	-	85	70-130
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.0984	0.0050	0.10	-	98	70-130
Xylenes	ND	0.307	0.015	0.30	-	102	70-130
Surrogate Recovery							
2-Fluorotoluene	0.117	0.122		0.10	117	122	70-130

Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MSD Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
TPH(btex)	0.492	0.480	0.60	ND	82	80	70-130	2.45	20
MTBE	0.0834	0.0814	0.10	ND	83	81	70-130	2.42	20
Benzene	0.0873	0.0896	0.10	ND	86	88	70-130	2.58	20
Toluene	0.0784	0.0834	0.10	ND	78	83	70-130	6.31	20
Ethylbenzene	0.0905	0.0943	0.10	ND	91	94	70-130	4.10	20
Xylenes	0.287	0.286	0.30	ND	96	95	70-130	0.542	20
Surrogate Recovery									
2-Fluorotoluene	0.111	0.116	0.10		111	117	70-130	4.66	20

A QA/QC Officer Page 16 of 22



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:
Date Prepared:	4/5/16	BatchID:
Date Analyzed:	4/6/16	Extraction Met
Instrument:	WetChem	Analytical Met
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation	

1604041
119105
ASTM D2216-92
E8000C
wet wt%

QC Summary Report for E8000C (% Moisture)

SampID	Sample Result	Sample DF	Dup / Serial Dilution Result	Dup / Serial Dilution DF	RPD	Acceptance Criteria (%)
1604041-001A	15.2	1	15.5	1	2.12	<15

QA/QC Officer Page 17 of 22

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604041
Date Prepared:	4/1/16	BatchID:	118929
Date Analyzed:	4/2/16 - 4/4/16	Extraction Method:	SW3550B
Instrument:	GC11B, GC39A	Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Matrix:	Soil	Unit:	mg/Kg
Project:	2015-16; Residential Heating UST Investigation	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-118929 1604028-001AMS/MSD

QC Report for SW8015B w/out SG Clean-Up

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	M %	BSSL REC %	.CS 6REC	LCS Limits
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	ND	44.8		1.0	40	-	1	12	70-130
TPH-Motor Oil (C18-C36)	ND	-		5.0	-	-	-		-
Surrogate Recovery									
C9	24.7	21.8			25	99	8 (7	70-130
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/MS Limits	D RPD	D RPD Limit
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	44.7	39.2	40	2.851	105	91	70-130	13.0	30
Surrogate Recovery									
C9	21.8	22.4	25		87	89	70-130	2.61	30

A QA/QC Officer Page 18 of 22

McCampt	Dell Analytical,	, Inc.			CHA	IN-O	F-CU	STO	DY RE	ECOR	D		Page	1 of	1	
Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262					WorkOrder: 1604041			ClientCode: SESB				QuoteID:		5798		
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Exce	I	EQuIS	🖌 Ema	ail	HardCo	ру	ThirdP	' arty	J-fl	эg	
Report to:						Bill to:				F	Reque	sted TAT	•	5 days;		
Richard Makdis	si	Email: rr	makdisi@stella	ar-environmental.	com;sbittm	Acc	ounts Paya	able								
Stellar Environr	mental Solutions	cc/3rd Party:					Stellar Enviormental Solutions									
2198 Sixth St. #	#201	PO:	2198 Sixth St. #201					1	Date 1	Received:		04/01/2016				
Berkeley, CA 9	94710	ProjectNo: 2	015-16; Reside	ential Heating US	Т	Berk	keley, CA 9	4710		1	Date 1	Logged:		04/01/2	2016	
510-644-3123	FAX: 510-644-3859	Ir	nvestigation			lwhe	eeler@stell	ar-enviror	mental.co	om						
								Reque	sted Tests	s (See lege	nd be	low)				
Lab ID	Client ID		Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	2	3	4	56	7	8	9	10	11	12	
1604041-001	SB4-3.5		Soil	3/31/2016 11:30		AA	А	A								

3/31/2016 11:50

А

А

Α

Α

Test Legend:

1604041-002

1	8260B_S (J)
5	
9	

2	G-MBTEX_S
6	
10	

Soil

3	PERmoist_S
7	
11	

4	TPH_S
8	
12	

Prepared by: Briana Cutino

The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A contain testgroup.

SB4-5.5

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.

WORK ORDER SUMMARY

Client Name	: STELLAR H	ENVIRONMENTAL S	SOLUTIONS		QC Level:					Wor	k Order: 1604041
Project:	2015-16; Re	sidential Heating UST	Investigation		Client Contact:	Richard M	akdisi			Date	Logged: 4/1/2016
Comments:					Contact's Email:	rmakdisi@ environme	stellar- ntal.com;sbittm	an@stellar-			
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Excel	Fax	🖌 Email	HardC	opy ThirdPart	y 📃	I-flag
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Container /Composi	rs Bottle tes	& Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	TAT	Sediment Hold SubOut Content
1604041-001A	SB4-3.5	Soil	E8000C (Perce	nt Moisture)	1	Stainless	s Steel tube 2"x6"		3/31/2016 11:30	5 days	
			Multi-Range Tl	PH(g,d,mo)						5 days	
			SW8260B (VO	Cs)						5 days	
1604041-002A	SB4-5.5	Soil	E8000C (Perce	nt Moisture)	1	Stainless	s Steel tube 2"x6"		3/31/2016 11:50	5 days	
			Multi-Range Tl	PH(g,d,mo)						5 days	
			SW8260B (VO	Cs)						5 days	

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.



Lab job no. ____

Chain of Custody Record

	Laboratory <u>McCampbell Ar</u> Address <u>1534 Willow Pa</u> Pittsburg, CA 9	Me Sh	Method of Shipment Lab Courier						0	Date Page of										
	877-252-9262	•			— Air — Co	bill No			_		$\left[\right]$		Nox C	N .	Analy	vsis Require	d /			
	Project Owner <u>Mark Jaco</u> 811 Paran Oakland, 0	obson nount Road CA			Pro	oject Manager <u>Rich</u>	ard Makdis	si	_	ere	Da	ualmers	Lot		//					
	Project Name <u>Residentia</u> 2015-16 Project Number	I Heating U	ST Inv	estigati	<u>on</u> Fa Sa	x No(510) 6	144-3859	Pirla		1	No. of	N						Rem	arks	
[Field Sample Number	Location/ Depth	Date	Time	Sample Type	Type/Size of Container	Pre	servation Chemical	V		/Ŋ	14	7					/ /		
	SB4-3.5	3-3.5	3/3/	1130	Soil	6"ss sker	e yes	no	No	1	X	K					<	$\langle I \rangle$	>	
	5157 - 5,5	5-3.5	F	1150	K	F		V		, 	×	×						\nearrow		
														1						
																		0	γ	
	Relinquished by: Signature	hL	Date 4/1/1	Received Signa	ture	210	Date	Relinquished I Signature	by:	2	_	l	-it	Date	Received Signat		W	63	Date	
	Printed Tetym	<u>ental</u>	Time 240	Printe	d	a AS	— Time	Printed	6	c/ 11	ayo	1)-	~ 50 -	Time	Printed	- A	٨A	T	Time	О
	Company	Star	Ju	Comp	any 1	MARI	1290	Company . Relinquished	oy:		K	1	-16	Date	Compa Received	any by:			Date	
	Comments: sample	s on Ice		L	0	ESLC		Signature _					—		Signat	ure				
10-00-000	- Re pa	t on	a	- D	ra	weigh	GASI	Company _						Time	Compa	any			Time	
οι L	🚖 Stellar Environmental	Solutions			5	<i>.</i>	-								219	3 Sixth St	reet #2	201, Berkeley,	CA 94710	



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environmenta	al Solutions			Date and Time Received:	4/1/2016 16:50			
Project Name:	2015-16; Residentia	I Heating UST Investigation			Date Logged:	4/1/2016			
WorkOrder №:	1604041	Matrix: Soil			Received by:	Briana Cutino			
Carrier:	Bernie Cummins (MA	<u>Al Courier)</u>			Logged by:	Briana Cutino			
	Chain of Custody (COC) Information								
Chain of custody	present?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Chain of custody	signed when relinquis	hed and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Chain of custody	agrees with sample la	bels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample IDs note	d by Client on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Date and Time of	f collection noted by C	lient on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sampler's name	noted on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample Receipt Information									
Custody seals int	tact on shipping contai	ner/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹			
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good cond	ition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample containe	rs intact?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated	test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
		Sample Preservation	on and	Hold Ti	ime (HT) Information				
All samples recei	ived within holding tim	e?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample/Temp Bla	ank temperature			Temp	o: 3°C				
Water - VOA vial	s have zero headspac	e / no bubbles?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🔽			
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct pres	ervation?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Metal: <2;	522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🔽			
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
		(Ісе Туре	e: WE	TICE)				
UCMR3 Samples Total Chlorine t	s: tested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹			
Free Chlorine t 300.1. 537. 539	ested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 218.7,	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🖌			

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

Comments:



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

Analytical Report

WorkOrder:	1604039	Amended:	04/26/2016				
Report Created for:	Stellar Environmental So	lutions					
	2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkeley, CA 94710						
Project Contact:	Henry Pietropaoli						
Project P.O.: Project Name:	2015-16; Residential UST						
Project Received:	04/01/2016						

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 04/13/2016 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 ♦ TEL: (877) 252-9262 ♦ FAX: (925) 252-9269 ♦ www.mccampbell.com CDPH ELAP 1644 ♦ NELAP 4033ORELAP



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder: 1604039

Glossary Abbreviation

%D	Serial Dilution Percent Difference
95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DI WET	(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
DISS	Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 µm filtered and acidified water sample)
DLT	Dilution Test (Serial Dilution)
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level of Quantitation
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
N/A	Not Applicable
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
PDS	Post Digestion Spike
PDSD	Post Digestion Spike Duplicate
PF	Prep Factor
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
ST	Sorbent Tube
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalents
WET (STLC)	Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder: 1604039

Analytical Qualifiers

В	analyte detected in the associated Method Blank and in the sample
E	value above quantitation range
J	Result is less than the RL/ML but greater than the MDL. The reported concentration is an estimated value.
S	Surrogate spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
a2	sample diluted due to cluttered chromatogram
c4	surrogate recovery outside of the control limits due to coelution with another peak(s) / cluttered chromatogram.
c10	estimated value.
e4	gasoline range compounds are significant.
j1	see attached narrative

Quality Control Qualifiers

F2 LCS/LCSD recovery and/or RPD is out of acceptance criteria.



Case Narrative

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential UST

Work Order: 1604039 April 14, 2016

TO-15 ANALYSIS

All summa canisters are EVACUATED 5 days after the reporting of the results. Please call or email if a longer retention time is required.

In an effort to attain the lowest reporting limits possible for the majority of the TO-15 target list, high level compounds may be analyzed using EPA Method 8260B.

Polymer (Tedlar) bags are not recommended for TO15 samples. The disadvantages are listed in Appendix B of the DTSC Active Soil Gas Advisory of July 2015.

TO-17 ANALYSIS

The reporting limit for naphthalene in samples SG5.5s and SG5.5s dwere raised due to high organic content resulting in coelution at the expected retention time. Naphalene itself was not resolved or observed, however other naphalene-type compounds such as Decahydro-dimethyl-Naphalene were observed resulting in an elevated baseline for Naphalene's quantitation ion. For this reason detection limits down to the regulatory limits are not obtainable.





Client:Stellar Environmental SolutionsDate Received:4/1/16 18:53Date Prepared:4/6/16Project:2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

		Helium				
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrume	nt	Batch ID
SG5.5	1604039-001A	SoilGas	03/31/2016 10:25	GC26		119100
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.80	25.59					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Helium		ND		0.050	1	04/06/2016 09:05

SG5.5s	1604039-002A SoilGas	03/31/2016 10:50 GC26	119100	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		
13.11	26.13		AK	
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed	
Helium	0.13	0.050 1	04/06/2016 09:18	

SG5.5sd	1604039-003A SoilGas	03/31/2016 11:15 GC26	119100
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
14.15	28.30		AK
Analytes	Result	<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium	0.13	0.050 1	04/06/2016 09:31



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/4/16-4/15/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	uL/L

		Light Gas	ses			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	nent	Batch ID
SG5.5	1604039-001A	SoilGas	03/31/2016 10:25	GC26		119005
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.80	25.59					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Methane		1900		2.0	1	04/04/2016 10:56
Oxygen		12,000		4000	1	04/15/2016 08:42



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

TPH gas						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	nent	Batch ID
IA 1	1604039-004A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.37	13.37					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)		ND		36	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		108		70-130		04/05/2016 15:04

IA 2	1604039-005A Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30 GC29	119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
14.18	14.18		AK
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	<u>RL</u>	DF Date Analyzed
TPH(g)	260	36	1 04/05/2016 16:04
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Limits</u>	
1,2-DCA-d4	97	70-130	04/05/2016 16:04

OA 1	1604039-006A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.82	13.82					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)		ND		36	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		94		70-130		04/05/2016 17:04



Stellar Environmental Solutions		
4/1/16 18:53		
4/8/16		
2015-16; Residential UST		

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

TPH gas									
Client ID	Lab ID	Lab ID Matrix Date Collected Instrument			Batch ID				
SG5.5	1604039-001A	SoilGas	03/31/2016 10:25	GC29		119084			
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)					Analyst(s)			
12.80	25.59					AK			
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed			
TPH(g)		690,000		36,000	50	04/08/2016 08:46			
<u>Surrogates</u>		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>					
1,2-DCA-d4		104		70-130		04/08/2016 08:46			


Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
IA 1	1604039-004A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.37	13.37					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone		ND		6.0	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Acrolein		ND		0.58	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Acrylonitrile		ND		0.22	1	04/05/2016 15:04
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Benzene		0.28		0.032	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Benzyl chloride		ND		0.53	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Bromodichloromethane		0.0074		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Bromoform		ND		1.1	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Bromomethane		ND		0.39	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,3-Butadiene		ND		0.22	1	04/05/2016 15:04
2-Butanone (MEK)		ND		7.5	1	04/05/2016 15:04
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		6.2	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Carbon Disulfide		ND		0.32	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Carbon Tetrachloride		0.43		0.0064	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Chlorobenzene		ND		0.47	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Chloroethane		ND		0.27	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Chloroform		0.18		0.025	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Chloromethane		0.49		0.21	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Cyclohexane		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.87	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		0.050	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0078	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		1.8		0.61	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		1.8		0.030	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Dichlorodifluoromethane		2.2		0.50	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		0.41	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		0.048		0.0041	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		0.10	1	04/05/2016 15:04
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	04/05/2016 15:04
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	04/05/2016 15:04
1,2-Dichloropropane		0.022		0.0047	1	04/05/2016 15:04
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	04/05/2016 15:04





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrument		Batch ID	
IA 1	1604039-004A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)	
13.37	13.37					AK	
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		0.71	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,4-Dioxane		0.041		0.018	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Ethyl acetate		ND		0.92	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.44	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Freon 113		ND		0.78	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Heptane		ND		2.1	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		1.1	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Hexane		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
2-Hexanone		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		0.37	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Methylene chloride		ND		0.88	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Methyl methacrylate		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Naphthalene		0.14		0.050	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Propene		ND		8.8	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Styrene		ND		0.43	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Tetrachloroethene		0.075		0.069	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		0.60	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Toluene		0.92		0.38	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		0.55	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.0055	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Trichloroethene		ND		0.027	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Trichlorofluoromethane		1.1		0.57	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 15:04	
Vinyl Acetate		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 15:04	



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds	
----------------------------	--

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
IA 1	1604039-004A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.37	13.37					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.013	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Xylenes, Total		ND		1.3	1	04/05/2016 15:04
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		91		70-130		04/05/2016 15:04
Toluene-d8		101		70-130		04/05/2016 15:04
4-BFB		95		70-130		04/05/2016 15:04



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	nent	Batch ID
IA 2	1604039-005A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
14.18	14.18					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone		62		60	10	04/05/2016 18:35
Acrolein		5.3		0.58	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Acrylonitrile		ND		0.22	1	04/05/2016 16:04
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Benzene		0.36		0.032	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Benzyl chloride		ND		0.53	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Bromodichloromethane		0.022		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Bromoform		ND		1.1	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Bromomethane		0.55		0.39	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,3-Butadiene		ND		0.22	1	04/05/2016 16:04
2-Butanone (MEK)		7.5		7.5	1	04/05/2016 16:04
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		6.2	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Carbon Disulfide		ND		0.32	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Carbon Tetrachloride		0.50		0.0064	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Chlorobenzene		ND		0.47	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Chloroethane		ND		0.27	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Chloroform		0.35		0.025	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Chloromethane		1.1		0.21	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Cyclohexane		2.8		1.8	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.87	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		0.050	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0078	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		8.7		0.61	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		8.7		0.030	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Dichlorodifluoromethane		2.2		0.50	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		0.41	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		0.067		0.0041	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		0.10	1	04/05/2016 16:04
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	04/05/2016 16:04
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2-Dichloropropane		0.039		0.0047	1	04/05/2016 16:04
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	04/05/2016 16:04





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
IA 2	1604039-005A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
14.18	14.18					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		0.71	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,4-Dioxane		ND		0.018	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Ethyl acetate		6.0		0.92	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.44	1	04/05/2016 16:04
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Freon 113		ND		0.78	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Heptane		ND		2.1	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		1.1	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Hexane		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 16:04
2-Hexanone		0.67		0.42	1	04/05/2016 16:04
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		0.70		0.42	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		0.37	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Methylene chloride		ND		0.88	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Methyl methacrylate		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Naphthalene		1.0		0.050	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Propene		ND		8.8	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Styrene		1.9		0.43	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		0.0091		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Tetrachloroethene		0.074		0.069	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Tetrahydrofuran		12		0.60	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Toluene		3.0		0.38	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		0.55	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.0055	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Trichloroethene		ND		0.027	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Trichlorofluoromethane		1.2		0.57	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 16:04
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Vinyl Acetate		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 16:04





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds	5
----------------------------	---

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
IA 2	1604039-005A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
14.18	14.18					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DE	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.013	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Xylenes, Total		1.5		1.3	1	04/05/2016 16:04
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		89		70-130		04/05/2016 16:04
Toluene-d8		102		70-130		04/05/2016 16:04
4-BFB		98		70-130		04/05/2016 16:04



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	nent	Batch ID
OA 1	1604039-006A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.82	13.82					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone		ND		6.0	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Acrolein		ND		0.58	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Acrylonitrile		ND		0.22	1	04/05/2016 17:04
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Benzene		0.36		0.032	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Benzyl chloride		ND		0.53	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Bromodichloromethane		ND		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Bromoform		ND		1.1	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Bromomethane		ND		0.39	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,3-Butadiene		ND		0.22	1	04/05/2016 17:04
2-Butanone (MEK)		ND		7.5	1	04/05/2016 17:04
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		6.2	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Carbon Disulfide		ND		0.32	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Carbon Tetrachloride		0.42		0.0064	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Chlorobenzene		ND		0.47	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Chloroethane		ND		0.27	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Chloroform		0.11		0.025	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Chloromethane		0.79		0.21	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Cyclohexane		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.87	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		0.050	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0078	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		0.063		0.030	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Dichlorodifluoromethane		2.2		0.50	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		0.41	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		0.050		0.0041	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		0.10	1	04/05/2016 17:04
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	04/05/2016 17:04
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2-Dichloropropane		0.024		0.0047	1	04/05/2016 17:04
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	04/05/2016 17:04





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	ID Lab ID M		Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
OA 1	1604039-006A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.82	13.82					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		0.71	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,4-Dioxane		ND		0.018	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Ethyl acetate		ND		0.92	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.44	1	04/05/2016 17:04
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Freon 113		ND		0.78	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Heptane		ND		2.1	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		1.1	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Hexane		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 17:04
2-Hexanone		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 17:04
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		0.37	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Methylene chloride		ND		0.88	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Methyl methacrylate		ND		0.42	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Naphthalene		0.14		0.050	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Propene		ND		8.8	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Styrene		ND		0.43	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		0.0077		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Tetrachloroethene		ND		0.069	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		0.60	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Toluene		0.65		0.38	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		0.55	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.0055	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Trichloroethene		ND		0.027	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Trichlorofluoromethane		1.2		0.57	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 17:04
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Vinyl Acetate		ND		1.8	1	04/05/2016 17:04





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/5/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile	Organic	Compounds
----------	---------	-----------

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	nent	Batch ID
OA 1	1604039-006A	Indoor Air	04/01/2016 08:30	GC29		119097
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	re (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.82	13.82					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DE	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.013	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Xylenes, Total		ND		1.3	1	04/05/2016 17:04
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		86		70-130		04/05/2016 17:04
Toluene-d8		102		70-130		04/05/2016 17:04
4-BFB		93		70-130		04/05/2016 17:04



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 16:50
Date Prepared:	4/8/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date (Date Collected		iment	Batch ID	
SG5.5	1604039-001A	SoilGas	03/31/2	016 10:25	GC29		119084	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)					Analyst(s)	
12.80	25.59						AK	
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed	
Acetone		4300		260	3000	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Acrolein		ND		12	290	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Acrylonitrile		ND		8.8	55	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		53	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Benzene		140		0.80	80	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Benzyl chloride		ND		13	130	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Bromodichloromethane		ND		0.70	180	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Bromoform		ND		29	260	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Bromomethane		ND		15	98	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,3-Butadiene		ND		12	55	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
2-Butanone (MEK)		ND		260	3800	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		2700		1400	1600	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Carbon Disulfide		ND		11	80	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Carbon Tetrachloride		ND		0.65	160	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Chlorobenzene		ND		6.0	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Chloroethane		ND		11	67	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Chloroform		ND		0.85	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Chloromethane		ND		6.3	52	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Cyclohexane		5400		13	880	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.85	220	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		1.2	6.2	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.60	200	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		20	150	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		15	150	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	150	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Dichlorodifluoromethane		1100		13	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		36	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		66	J	0.30	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		19	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		10	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		7.1	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2-Dichloropropane		44	J	0.45	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.35	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 16:50
Date Prepared:	4/8/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds								
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date (Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID	
SG5.5	1604039-001A	SoilGas	03/31/2016 10:25		GC29		119084	
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)					Analyst(s)	
12.80	25.59						AK	
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		180		23	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		18	180	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		8.5	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,4-Dioxane		ND		0.25	92	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Ethanol		13,000		290	4800	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Ethyl acetate		96		7.4	92	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		34	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Ethylbenzene		100	J	8.8	110	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		8.8	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Freon 113		ND		16	200	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Heptane		2100		7.3	1000	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		19	270	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Hexane		1200		12	900	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
2-Hexanone		ND		8.4	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		ND		10	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		21	92	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Methylene chloride		650		16	440	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Methyl methacrylate		ND		10	100	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Naphthalene		67	JB	2.2	260	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Propene		ND		440	4400	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Styrene		150		8.7	110	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.50	180	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		1.6	180	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Tetrachloroethene		7500		0.70	170	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		8.2	150	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Toluene		7500		7.6	95	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		23	190	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		25	140	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.70	140	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Trichloroethene		31	J	1.4	140	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
Trichlorofluoromethane		ND		17	140	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		130		11	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		15	120	50	04/08/2016 08:46	





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 16:50
Date Prepared:	4/8/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	Collected	Instru	nent	Batch ID
SG5.5	1604039-001A	SoilGas	03/31/2	2016 10:25	GC29		119084
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)					Analyst(s)
12.80	25.59						AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Acetate		ND		30	900	50	04/08/2016 08:46
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.40	65	50	04/08/2016 08:46
Xylenes, Total		390		20	330	50	04/08/2016 08:46
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>			<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		94			70-130		04/08/2016 08:46
Toluene-d8		100			70-130		04/08/2016 08:46
4-BFB		108			70-130		04/08/2016 08:46





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/11/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO17
Analytical Method:	TO17
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Col	lected 1	Instrument	Batch ID
SG5.5s	1604039-002A	SoilGas	03/31/2016	i 10:50	GC37	119417
Analytes	<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>RL</u>	DF	<u>Volume (L)</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	460,000	E	1100	1	0.89	04/11/2016 14:02
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>Limits</u>			
4-BFB	35	S	70-130			04/11/2016 14:02
<u>Analyst(s):</u> KBO			Analytical Comme	<u>ents:</u> c4,	e4,c10	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Col	lected 1	Instrument	Batch ID
SG5.5sd	1604039-003A	SoilGas	03/31/2016	6 11:15	GC37	119417
Analytes	Result	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>Volume (L)</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	680,000	E	1000	1	0.96	04/11/2016 17:59
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Limits			
4-BFB	42	S	70-130			04/11/2016 17:59
<u>Analyst(s):</u> KBO			Analytical Comme	ents: c4,	e4,c10	





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	4/1/16 18:53
Date Prepared:	4/11/16
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
Extraction Method:	TO17
Analytical Method:	TO17
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds						
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected Ir	strument	Batch ID
SG5.5s	1604039-002A	SoilGas	03/31/20	16 10:50 G	C37	119417
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	<u>Volume (L)</u>	Date Analyzed
Naphthalene	ND		17	1	0.89	04/11/2016 14:02
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>Limits</u>			
4-BFB	36	S	70-130			04/11/2016 14:02
<u>Analyst(s):</u> KBO			Analytical Com	<u>ments:</u> j1,a2	2,c4	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Co	ollected Ir	strument	Batch ID
SG5.5sd	1604039-003A	SoilGas	03/31/20	16 11:15 G	C37	119417
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	<u>Volume (L)</u>	Date Analyzed
Naphthalene	ND		17	1	0.96	04/11/2016 17:59
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>Limits</u>			
4-BFB	44	S	70-130			04/11/2016 17:59
<u>Analyst(s):</u> KBO			Analytical Com	<u>ments:</u> j1,a2	2,c4	



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604039
Date Prepared:	4/6/16	BatchID:	119100
Date Analyzed:	4/6/16	Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Instrument:	GC26	Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	%
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119100

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Helium	ND	0.0799	0.025	0.10	-	80	60-140

_____QA/QC Officer Page 23 of 36

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604039
Date Prepared:	4/4/16 - 4/15/16	BatchID:	119005
Date Analyzed:	4/4/16 - 4/15/16	Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Instrument:	GC26	Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Matrix:	SoilGas	Unit:	uL/L
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119005

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Methane	ND	109	1.0	100	-	109	70-130
Oxygen	ND	4920	2000	7000	-	70	70-130

QA/QC Officer



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604039
Date Prepared:	4/6/16	BatchID:	119097
Date Analyzed:	4/5/16	Extraction Method:	TO15
Instrument:	GC29	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Indoor Air	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB-119097

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
TPH(g)	ND	-	36	-	-	-	-
Surrogate Recovery							
1,2-DCA-d4	98.0	-		100	98	-	-
Toluene-d8	101	-		100	101	-	-
4-BFB	97.9	-		100	98	-	-





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Prepared:	4/6/16
Date Analyzed:	4/4/16 - 4/5/16
Instrument:	GC29
Matrix:	Indoor Air
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
BatchID:	119097
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119097

QC Summary Report for TO15

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	12.7	6.0	12	-	106	60-140
Acrolein	ND	13.0	0.58	11.65	-	112	60-140
Acrylonitrile	ND	12.5	0.22	11	-	113	60-140
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	21.8	0.42	21	-	104	60-140
Benzene	ND	14.8	0.032	16	-	92	60-140
Benzyl chloride	ND	29.8	0.53	26.5	-	113	60-140
Bromodichloromethane	ND	31.7	0.0070	35	-	91	60-140
Bromoform	ND	57.0	1.1	52.5	-	109	60-140
Bromomethane	ND	27.6	0.39	19.5	-	142, F2	60-140
1,3-Butadiene	ND	12.7	0.22	11	-	115	60-140
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	15.7	7.5	15	-	105	60-140
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	16.2	6.2	15.5	-	105	60-140
Carbon Disulfide	ND	17.0	0.32	16	-	106	60-140
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	30.7	0.0064	32	-	96	60-140
Chlorobenzene	ND	23.7	0.47	23.5	-	101	60-140
Chloroethane	ND	14.6	0.27	13.5	-	108	60-140
Chloroform	ND	21.2	0.025	24.5	-	87	60-140
Chloromethane	ND	9.05	0.21	10.5	-	86	60-140
Cyclohexane	ND	17.7	1.8	17.5	-	101	60-140
Dibromochloromethane	ND	44.0	0.87	43.5	-	101	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	64.8	0.050	49	-	132	60-140
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	36.9	0.0078	39	-	95	60-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	28.6	0.61	30.5	-	94	60-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	28.8	0.61	30.5	-	95	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	28.4	0.030	30.5	-	93	60-140
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	23.6	0.50	25	-	95	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	19.7	0.41	20.5	-	96	60-140
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	19.0	0.0041	20.5	-	93	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	19.5	0.10	20	-	98	60-140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	20.8	0.40	20	-	104	60-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	20.2	0.40	20	-	101	60-140
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	22.9	0.0047	23.5	-	97	60-140
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	23.0	0.12	23	-	100	60-140
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	22.9	0.12	23	-	100	60-140
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	ND	35.5	0.71	35.5	-	100	60-140
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	22.9	0.42	21	-	109	60-140
1,4-Dioxane	ND	17.4	0.018	18.5	-	94	60-140

Page 26 of 36

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Prepared:	4/6/16
Date Analyzed:	4/4/16 - 4/5/16
Instrument:	GC29
Matrix:	Indoor Air
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
BatchID:	119097
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119097

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Ethyl acetate	ND	18.0	0.92	18.5	-	97	60-140
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	21.0	0.42	21	-	100	60-140
Ethylbenzene	ND	21.4	0.44	22	-	98	60-140
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	24.9	0.50	25	-	100	60-140
Freon 113	ND	37.8	0.78	39	-	97	60-140
Heptane	ND	21.0	2.1	21	-	100	60-140
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	50.8	1.1	54	-	94	60-140
Hexane	ND	20.3	1.8	18	-	113	60-140
2-Hexanone	ND	23.0	0.42	21	-	110	60-140
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	20.6	0.42	21	-	98	60-140
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	17.4	0.37	18.5	-	94	60-140
Methylene chloride	ND	17.3	0.88	17.5	-	99	60-140
Methyl methacrylate	ND	21.2	0.42	20.8	-	102	60-140
Naphthalene	ND	58.4	0.050	53	-	110	60-140
Propene	ND	ND	8.8	8.5	-	98	60-140
Styrene	ND	22.2	0.43	21.5	-	103	60-140
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	37.1	0.0070	35	-	106	60-140
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	40.1	0.0070	35	-	115	60-140
Tetrachloroethene	ND	33.2	0.069	34.5	-	96	60-140
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	13.4	0.60	15	-	89	60-140
Toluene	ND	18.9	0.38	19	-	100	60-140
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	38.0	0.75	37.5	-	101	60-140
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	25.3	0.55	27.5	-	92	60-140
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	26.3	0.0055	27.5	-	96	60-140
Trichloroethene	ND	24.2	0.027	27.5	-	88	60-140
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	27.6	0.57	28.5	-	97	60-140
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	24.5	0.50	25	-	98	60-140
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	26.9	0.50	25	-	108	60-140
Vinyl Acetate	ND	21.5	1.8	18	-	119	60-140
Vinyl Chloride	ND	13.7	0.013	13	-	105	60-140
Xylenes, Total	ND	64.5	1.3	66	-	98	60-140
Surrogate Recovery							
1,2-DCA-d4	89.6	86.8		100	90	87	70-130
Toluene-d8	100	96.4		100	100	96	70-130
4-BFB	94.5	93.8		100	94	94	70-130



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Prepared:	4/6/16
Date Analyzed:	4/6/16
Instrument:	GC29
Matrix:	SoilGas
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
BatchID:	119084
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119084

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	60.7	2.6	30	60	-	101	60-140
Acrolein	ND	66.2	0.12	2.9	58.25	-	114	60-140
Acrylonitrile	ND	57.6	0.090	0.55	55	-	105	60-140
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	103	0.55	1.0	105	-	98	60-140
Benzene	0.0473,J	75.9	0.0080	0.80	80	-	95	60-140
Benzyl chloride	ND	154	0.13	1.3	132.5	-	116	60-140
Bromodichloromethane	ND	154	0.0070	1.8	175	-	88	60-140
Bromoform	ND	265	0.29	2.6	262.5	-	101	60-140
Bromomethane	ND	125	0.15	1.0	97.5	-	128	60-140
1,3-Butadiene	ND	61.6	0.12	0.55	55	-	112	60-140
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	88.1	2.6	38	75	-	117	60-140
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	74.9	14	16	77.5	-	97	60-140
Carbon Disulfide	ND	85.3	0.11	0.80	80	-	107	60-140
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	150	0.0065	1.6	160	-	94	60-140
Chlorobenzene	ND	120	0.060	1.2	117.5	-	103	60-140
Chloroethane	ND	72.3	0.12	0.65	67.5	-	107	60-140
Chloroform	ND	104	0.0085	1.2	122.5	-	85	60-140
Chloromethane	ND	48.2	0.065	0.50	52.5	-	92	60-140
Cyclohexane	ND	88.3	0.13	9.0	87.5	-	101	60-140
Dibromochloromethane	ND	217	0.0085	2.2	217.5	-	100	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	298	0.012	0.060	245	-	121	60-140
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	187	0.0060	2.0	195	-	96	60-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	145	0.20	1.5	152.5	-	95	60-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	144	0.16	1.5	152.5	-	94	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.0497,J	140	0.0075	1.5	152.5	-	92	60-140
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	116	0.12	1.2	125	-	93	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	96.9	0.36	1.0	102.5	-	94	60-140
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	91.3	0.0030	1.0	102.5	-	89	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	97.4	0.19	1.0	100	-	97	60-140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	105	0.10	1.0	100	-	105	60-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	101	0.070	1.0	100	-	101	60-140
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	116	0.0045	1.2	117.5	-	99	60-140
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	117	0.0035	1.2	115	-	102	60-140
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	115	0.23	1.2	115	-	100	60-140
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	ND	174	0.18	1.8	177.5	-	98	60-140
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	103	0.085	1.0	105	-	98	60-140
1,4-Dioxane	ND	90.8	0.0025	0.90	92.5	-	98	60-140

_____QA/QC Officer



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Prepared:	4/6/16
Date Analyzed:	4/6/16
Instrument:	GC29
Matrix:	SoilGas
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1604039
BatchID:	119084
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119084

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Ethanol	ND	67.8	2.9	48	47.5	-	143, F2	60-140
Ethyl acetate	ND	88.3	0.075	0.90	92.5	-	95	60-140
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	97.4	0.34	1.0	105	-	93	60-140
Ethylbenzene	ND	111	0.090	1.1	110	-	101	60-140
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	127	0.090	1.2	125	-	102	60-140
Freon 113	ND	187	0.16	2.0	195	-	96	60-140
Heptane	ND	104	0.075	10	105	-	99	60-140
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	262	0.19	2.7	270	-	97	60-140
Hexane	ND	101	0.12	9.0	90	-	112	60-140
2-Hexanone	ND	117	0.085	1.0	105	-	112	60-140
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	102	0.10	1.0	105	-	97	60-140
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	85.7	0.21	0.90	92.5	-	93	60-140
Methylene chloride	ND	87.0	0.16	4.4	87.5	-	99	60-140
Methyl methacrylate	ND	109	0.10	1.0	104	-	105	60-140
Naphthalene	0.280,J	300	0.022	2.6	265	-	113	60-140
Propene	ND	40.2,J	4.4	44	42.5	-	95	60-140
Styrene	ND	110	0.085	1.1	107.5	-	103	60-140
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	173	0.0050	1.8	175	-	99	60-140
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	205	0.016	1.8	175	-	117	60-140
Tetrachloroethene	0.0163,J	168	0.0070	1.7	172	-	98	60-140
Tetrahydrofuran	0.631,J	73.7	0.080	1.5	75	-	98	60-140
Toluene	ND	96.8	0.075	0.95	95	-	102	60-140
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	214	0.22	1.9	187.5	-	114	60-140
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	126	0.25	1.4	137.5	-	91	60-140
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	134	0.0070	1.4	137.5	-	97	60-140
Trichloroethene	ND	122	0.014	1.4	137.5	-	88	60-140
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	134	0.17	1.4	142.5	-	94	60-140
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	128	0.11	1.2	125	-	102	60-140
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	138	0.15	1.2	125	-	110	60-140
Vinyl Acetate	ND	101	0.30	9.0	90	-	112	60-140
Vinyl Chloride	ND	67.2	0.0040	0.65	65	-	103	60-140
Xylenes, Total	ND	332	0.20	3.3	330	-	101	60-140



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604039
Date Prepared:	4/6/16	BatchID:	119084
Date Analyzed:	4/6/16	Extraction Method:	TO15
Instrument:	GC29	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	SoilGas	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119084

	QC Sum	mary Re	port for '	ГО15				
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Surrogate Recovery								
1,2-DCA-d4	429	414			500	86	83	70-130
Toluene-d8	504	481			500	101	96	70-130
4-BFB	463	461			500	93	92	70-130

QA/QC Officer Page 30 of 36



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604039
Date Prepared:	4/11/16	BatchID:	119417
Date Analyzed:	4/11/16	Extraction Method:	TO17
Instrument:	GC37	Analytical Method:	TO17
Matrix:	Sorbent Tube	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119417

QC Summary Report for TO17 MB LCS RL SPK Analyte MB SS LCS Result Result Val %REC %REC TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) ND 11,000 1000 10000 110 -Surrogate Recovery 4-BFB 101 92.3 100 101 92



LCS

Limits

60-140

60-140



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1604039
Date Prepared:	4/11/16	BatchID:	119417
Date Analyzed:	4/11/16	Extraction Method:	TO17
Instrument:	GC37	Analytical Method:	TO17
Matrix:	Sorbent Tube	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-119417

QC Summary Report for TO17 MB LCS RL SPK LCS Analyte MB SS LCS Result Result Val %REC %REC Limits Naphthalene ND 4.27 2.7 5 85 60-140 -Surrogate Recovery 4-BFB 105 101 100 105 101 60-140



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.



1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

А

А

Α

Page 1 of 1

(925) 252-9262	4565-1701				Work	Orde	er: 1604	4039	(ClientCo	de: SE	SB	Quo	teID:	5798	
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	✓ EDF	E	xcel		EQuIS	∠ E	Email	Ha	ardCopy	Thirc	lParty	J-fla	g
Report to:						E	Bill to:					Rec	uested TA	т:	5 days;	
Henry Pietropaoli Stellar Environment 2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkeley, CA 9471 510-644-3123	tal Solutions 0 FAX: 510-644-3859	Email: hj cc/3rd Party: PO: ProjectNo: 2(pietropaoli@ste	ellar-environment	al.com;	; r	Accou Stellar 2198 S Berkel Iwheel	nts Pay Envior Sixth St. ey, CA er@ste	rable mental S . #201 94710 Ilar-envi	Solutions ronmenta	l.com	Dai Dai	te Receive te Logged	ed: !:	04/01/2 04/01/2	016 016
									Req	uested Te	ests (See	e legend	below)			
Lab ID	Client ID		Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	B 9	10	11	12
1604039-001	SG5.5		SoilGas	3/31/2016 10:25		А	А	A	A		A	A	A			
1604039-002	SG5.5s		SoilGas	3/31/2016 10:50		Α								A		

А

 \square

3/31/2016 11:15

4/1/2016 8:30

4/1/2016 8:30

4/1/2016 8:30

Test Legend:

1604039-003

1604039-004

1604039-005

1604039-006

1	HELIUM_LC_SOILGAS(%)
5	TO15_SCAN-SIM_Indoor(ug/m3)
9	TO15GAS_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)

2	LG_SUMMA_SOILGAS
6	TO15_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)

SoilGas

Soil

Soil

Soil

10 TO17_ST(UG/M3)

3	PREDF REPORT
7	TO15-8260_SOIL(UG/M3)
11	

4	PRHELIUM SHROUD
8	TO15GAS_SCAN-SIM_INDOOR(UG/M3
12	

А

А

А

Α

Prepared by: Jena Alfaro

The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A, 004A, 005A, 006A contain testgroup.

SG5.5sd

IA 1

IA 2

OA 1

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



WORK ORDER SUMMARY

Client Name	: STELLAR EN	VIRONMENTAL S	OLUTIONS		QC Level:				Wor	k Order:	1604039
Project:	2015-16; Resi	dential UST		Cl	lient Contact: H	enry Pietropaoli			Date	Logged:	4/1/2016
Comments:				Cor	ntact's Email: hj rr	pietropaoli@stellar-envi nakdisi@stellar-	ronmental.com	n;			
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	✓ EDF	Excel	Fax Fmail	HardCo	opy ThirdPart	y 🔲	J-flag	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Containers /Composites	Bottle & Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	ТАТ	Sediment Content	Hold SubOut
1604039-001A	SG5.5	SoilGas	ASTM D1946- <methane_4, c<="" td=""><td>90 (Light Gases) xygen></td><td>1</td><td>1L Summa</td><td></td><td>3/31/2016 10:25</td><td>5 days</td><td></td><td></td></methane_4,>	90 (Light Gases) xygen>	1	1L Summa		3/31/2016 10:25	5 days		
			TO15 + Gas w/	Helium					5 days		
1604039-002A	SG5.5s	SoilGas	TO17 with Hel	ium as a Leak Check	x 1	Sorbent Tube		3/31/2016 10:50	5 days		
1604039-002B	SG5.5s	SoilGas			1	1L Summa		3/31/2016 10:50			
1604039-003A	SG5.5sd	SoilGas	TO17 with Heli	ium as a Leak Check	к 1	Sorbent Tube		3/31/2016 11:15	5 days		
1604039-003B	SG5.5sd	SoilGas			1	1L Summa		3/31/2016 11:15			
1604039-004A	IA 1	Soil	TO15 + TPHga	s for Indoor Air	1	6L Summa		4/1/2016 8:30	5 days		
1604039-005A	IA 2	Soil	TO15 + TPHga	s for Indoor Air	1	6L Summa		4/1/2016 8:30	5 days		
1604039-006A	OA 1	Soil	TO15 + TPHga	s for Indoor Air	1	6L Summa		4/1/2016 8:30	5 days		

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

	nnh		Analytica	linc			251	CH	A	IN	0	FC	:US	STC	DD	ΥI	RECORE	D
	npc Dev B			701 O	E U	RN	ARC	UND T	IME	: R	USH		1 Da	ay 🛄	2 [Day L] 3 Day 🛄 5	DAY
www.mccam	pbell.c	om / m	nain@mccampbell	.com null	Geo	Tra	cker E	DF	_	PD	F 🖵		1	EDD [1	EQuIS 🛄 10	DAY
Telephone:	(877) 2	52-9262	2 / Fax: (925) 252-92	269 100 10	UST	r Cl	ean U	p Fund P	roject		Clain	n #	14	1910				
Report To: H. Pietropa	1/0		Bill To:				1	Analys	is Re	ques	sted		44	EE	Ieliu	n Sl	hroud SN# A	0239935
Company: Stellar E	st	me	the SO/ Utro	94710	0		Ć,	ircle	TLL				0	t I	lotes:	Plea	ase Specify units	if different than
hpietropholi Estella	=envir	mment	(E-Mail:-com		2.6		ıyde,	hane, ase ci	cle) 1			itic		d	efault	s VC	Cs is ug/m3 and	fixed gas is
Tele: (510) 644 31	23		Fax: (510) 6	44 3859	X		aldef	(ple:	se cir		Drane	roma	-	n les	L/L.	Leak	c check default is	IPA.
Project #: 2015-16 Project Location: 8//	PAR	amou	nt Pd Ork	and CA	g/m3	(cm)	Form	ethan c, CO	(plea	nL/I	Vorfi(%)	lor A	23	T	1	S	1. 12	7-202
Sampler Signature:	es Pi	A	sh		15 (u)	Bn) o	CH,	2,M)N2	pane	Check PA, 1	c and	ug/n	14	re		nroug 20	L 2040
	Colle	ction	3		TO-	1-01	IC. 4P	CS) s: CC Acet	e in 1 s:(02	s: Pro	eak (oetha	rcle)	2	Matri	<u> </u>	Can	nister
Field Sample ID (Location)			Canister SN#	Sampler Kit SN#	Cs by	y by	D (ir	d Ga	id Ga	ed Ga	k Che	diflur H: Ali	ase ci		gas		Pressure	er vacuum
	Date	Time	or sorbert tule		VO(101	LEE	Fixe Ethy	Or II Fixe	Fixe	Heli	1,1- APF	(ple		Ind	Air	Initial	Final
565.5	3/31/16	1025	51984	man 31611317	X			X	X		X				X	0	+30	-4
5G5.55	Í	1050	6-01489155	man 3167998			_				_			$\langle $	1		50m/n	vi
565.55		1050	6311	- F		_		-			X		-				-28.5	- 3.5
565.55		1115	G-0148180										-P	5			Soml	MIN
SGSissd	~	1115	1117		-	+	-				4		+	4		-,	-20	-0.5
	\triangleright					1	-				-		+		-			
TA I	4/1/16	0930	4782	GL	X											X	-30	-3.5
IA2	1	0830	7788	/	X				_)	K.	- 30	- 0,5
OAL	V	083	2734	- k	X	_							_			$\langle $	- 30	-3.0
								-		$\left - \right $								<u>20</u>
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:	- /			_											1
Her Pochal	4/1/16	1340	p	12	Ter	mp ((°C) :			Wo	rk Oı	der #:					<u> </u>	is 🗽
Relinquished By:	Date:	Time:	Received By:		Co	ndit	ion:		<u> </u>								- 5	
Refinquished By:	Date	165 Time:	Received By:		Cu	stod	y Sea	ls Intact	?: Y	es		No_		Non	e			
Tunquisico bj.	- Saler				Shi	ppe	d Via	:	01	JN1	1-en	/		t.			- 7 - 8	
A mart Da-	1.10		Dest.				/	tt	5-	70	20	/		T				
Price Res	ial	MIL	at z L	, see.	70	01	re_),	1	0							



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environmenta	al Solutions			Date and Time Received:	4/1/2016 16:50
Project Name:	2015-16; Residential	IUST			Date Logged:	4/1/2016
WorkOrder №:	1604039	Matrix: Soil/SoilGas			Received by:	Jena Alfaro
Carrier:	Client Drop-In				Logged by:	Jena Alfaro
		Chain of	Custody	y (COC) Ir	nformation	
Chain of custody	present?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Chain of custody	signed when relinquisl	hed and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Chain of custody	agrees with sample la	bels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample IDs noted	d by Client on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Date and Time of	collection noted by Cl	lient on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sampler's name	noted on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	
		Sam	ple Rece	eipt Inforr	nation	
Custody seals int	act on shipping contai	ner/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good condi	ition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sample container	rs intact?		Yes	✓	No 🗌	
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated t	test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	
		Sample Preservat	tion and	Hold Tim	ne (HT) Information	
All samples recei	ved within holding time	e?	Yes	✓	No	
Sample/Temp Bla	ank temperature			Temp:	3.5°C	
Water - VOA vial	s have zero headspace	e / no bubbles?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct pres	ervation?	Yes	✓	No	
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Metal: <2;	522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?		Yes	✓	No	
		(Ice Ty	pe: WE	TICE)		
UCMR3 Samples	<u>::</u>				_	
Total Chlorine t	ested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 📖	NA 🗹
Free Chlorine to 300.1, 537, 539	ested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 218.7	7, Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

_ _

_____ Comments: ST's Received on ICE.



McCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL INC.

"When Quality Counts"

MCCAMPBELL ANALYTICAL INC. LABORATORY NONCONFORMANCE/CORRECTIVE ACTION/PREVENTATIVE ACTION **REPORT (NC/CAR/PR)**

Identification										
Originator Jennifer	Analytical A	Area: VOC/TO15	Date: 4-22-16	NCR # 16-28						
Nonconformance/Preventative Action Description (Describe the nonconformance; ensure the applicable requirements, planned activities, procedures, specifications, drawing, standards, serial numbers, etc. are noted.)										
On 4-18-16, client questioned why the analysis collected in the sample in April 2016 report was positive for PCE and negative for 112 TCA that was detected in Sept 2015 and whether this could be a mix up.										
The chemists evaluated the two samples in question. Unfortunately we found that compound 1,1,2 Trichloroethane was indeed miss-identified in sample SG6sa (1509a12-003A). The chemist said that the chromatogram is cluttered. He also confirmed that this peak is neither PCE nor any other compound in our NIST Library.										
Corrective/Preventive Action and Root Cause										
Corrective/Preventative Action and Root Cause (Describe for each cause what action(s) will be taken with the item or process, including as applicable, the completion dates, disposition of material, and responsible staff for each action. Describe, as applicable, what actions are needed to prevent recurrence of the identified nonconformance, such as process improvement, procedure revision, training plan, etc.										
The client questioned the results from work order 1509A12 on 4-18-16 in response to the data from work order 1604039 not matching up with historical data. The compound in question was 1,1,2- Trichloroethane. Originally 4300 ug/M3 was reported in sample 1509A12-003A. After a careful re-evaluation of the analytical run the TO15 analysts have confirmed that this compound was mis-identified. The compound was mis-identified due to the presence of ions 97 and 83. The ratio of these qualifying ions was not an acceptable match to that of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane and was initially overlooked. Moving forward to ensure this does not happen again, the comparative ion spectrum will be updated. Chemists have been made aware of this issue and will be required to have a second opinion when the spectrums are questionable or co-elution is suspected.										
	Closing	the Nonconforman	e							
Action Completed Client was notified	X	Quality Assurance Verif	cation Completed							
Angela Rydelius 04/2	28/16	Theresa Johnson Name QA Dept.	4/28/2016 Date							

Laboratory Manager Date

Name



"When Quality Counts"

FOLLOW UP TO CORRECTIVE ACTION

DATE: 4/28/2016

NCR # 16-28

Results of the follow up: Training was provided to the VOC department to ensure all analysts understand the importance of questioning the spectrums when they appear suspect. A second reviewer will help the process of not misidentification of analytes.

QA will provide a follow up in 2 weeks (by 5/13/2016) to see if there has been a reoccurenace of the issue. -Theresa Johnson 4/28/2016

Results of any additional follow up:

Closing the Nonconformance

If the result of the follow up requires further corrective action, an additional NC/CAR/PR form needs to be initiated.

Quality Assurance Verification Completed

Theresa Johnson

Name QA Dept

Date



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

Analytical Report

WorkOrder:	1509A12	Amended:	04/20/2016
Report Created for:	Stellar Environmental So	lutions	
	2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkeley, CA 94710		
Project Contact:	Henry Pietropaoli		
Project Name:	2015-16; Residential US	Г	
Project Received:	09/24/2015		

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 10/07/2015 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 ♦ TEL: (877) 252-9262 ♦ FAX: (925) 252-9269 ♦ www.mccampbell.com CDPH ELAP 1644 ♦ NELAP 4033ORELAP



Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder: 1509A12

Glossary Abbreviation

%D	Serial Dilution Percent Difference
95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DI WET	(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
DISS	Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 µm filtered and acidified water sample)
DLT	Dilution Test (Serial Dilution)
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level of Quantitation
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
N/A	Not Applicable
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
PDS	Post Digestion Spike
PDSD	Post Digestion Spike Duplicate
PF	Prep Factor
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
ST	Sorbent Tube
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalents
WET (STLC)	Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)

Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder: 1509A12

Analytical Qualifiers

В	analyte detected in the associated Method Blank and in the sample
J	Result is less than the RL/ML but greater than the MDL. The reported concentration is an estimated value.
S	spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
c2	surrogate recovery outside of the control limits due to matrix interference.
c9	Internal standard is out of acceptance criteria due to matrix interference therefore values are estimated
j1	see attached narrative



Case Narrative

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential UST

Work Order: 1509A12 October 07, 2015

TO-15 ANALYSIS

All summa canisters are EVACUATED 5 days after the reporting of the results. Please call or email if a longer retention time is required.

In an effort to attain the lowest reporting limits possible for the majority of the TO-15 target list, high level compounds may be analyzed using EPA Method 8260B.

Polymer (Tedlar) bags are not recommended for TO15 samples. The disadvantages are listed in Appendix B of the DTSC Advisory of April 2012.

TO-17 ANALYSIS

10/2/15 TO-17 GC-37

Samples: SG6S (1509A12-001A) and SG6SD (1509A12-002A)

Due to the high organic content observed in the samples, a quantification of the internal standards was unobtainable. The quantitated TPH-diesel and naphthalene concentrations are calculated using a modified TO-17 analytical procedure which includes an external calibration. The TPH-diesel and naphthalene results are estimated. The quantitated results for diesel exceeded the upper range of the calibration. It is noted that the majority of the calculated TPH-diesel concentration is derived from an observed, lighter eluting TPH-gas range pattern from C5 through C15 of branched and unbranched alkanes.

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager



Stellar Environmental Solutions
9/24/15 15:50
9/28/15
2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

		Helium				
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrume	nt	Batch ID
SG6S	1509A12-001B	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00	GC26		111042
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.42	24.74					AK
<u>Analytes</u> Helium		<u>Result</u> ND		<u>RL</u> 0.050	<u>DF</u> 1	Date Analyzed 09/28/2015 18:32

SG6SD	1509A12-002B	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00	GC26		111042
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.56	27.05					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium		ND		0.050	1	09/28/2015 18:45

SG6SA	1509A12-003A SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:30 GC26	111042
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83		AK
<u>Analytes</u> Helium	<u>Result</u> ND	<u>RL</u> 0.050	DF Date Analyzed 1 09/28/2015 18:58



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions		
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50		
Date Prepared:	10/7/15		
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST		

WorkOrder:	1509A12			
Extraction Method:	SW5030B			
Analytical Method:	SW8260B			
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$			

TPH gas by P&T and GC/MS in $\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	ent	Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:30	GC18		111206
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	Final Pressure (psia)				
12.46	24.83					КВО
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)		2,000,000		100,000	2	10/07/2015 16:41
<u>Surrogates</u>		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane		110		70-130		10/07/2015 16:41


Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date (Collected	Instru	iment	Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2	015 11:30	GC24		111201
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)					Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83						GM
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone		ND		1300	6000	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Acrolein		ND		120	580	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Acrylonitrile		ND		29	110	100	10/07/2015 09:09
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		76	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Benzene		600		29	160	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Benzyl chloride		ND		41	260	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Bromodichloromethane		ND		9.8	350	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Bromoform		ND		79	520	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Bromomethane		ND		30	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,3-Butadiene		ND		47	110	100	10/07/2015 09:09
2-Butanone (MEK)		1800	J	480	7500	100	10/07/2015 09:09
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		1700	3100	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Carbon Disulfide		ND		32	160	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Carbon Tetrachloride		ND		51	320	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chlorobenzene		ND		40	240	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chloroethane		ND		35	130	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chloroform		ND		41	240	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chloromethane		ND		20	100	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Cyclohexane		24,000		510	1800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Dibromochloromethane		ND		66	440	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		4.9	12	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		56	390	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		79	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		61	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		ND		62	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Dichlorodifluoromethane		ND		44	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		34	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		ND		6.2	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		40	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		28	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		35	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dichloropropane		ND		6.6	240	100	10/07/2015 09:09
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		1.4	230	100	10/07/2015 09:09
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		26	230	100	10/07/2015 09:09





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds	
----------------------------	--

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	Collected	Instru	iment	Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2	2015 11:30	GC24		111201
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)					Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83						GM
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		60	360	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		34	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,4-Dioxane		ND		4.2	180	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethanol		ND		580	9600	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethyl acetate		ND		29	180	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		34	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethylbenzene		340		38	220	100	10/07/2015 09:09
4-Ethyltoluene		130	J	50	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Freon 113		ND		70	390	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Heptane		11,000		610	2100	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		28	540	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Hexane		4600		540	1800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
2-Hexanone		ND		42	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		170	J	39	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		33	180	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Methylene chloride		110	JB	44	880	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Methyl methacrylate		ND		42	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Naphthalene		ND		43	530	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Propene		ND		310	8800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Styrene		ND		25	220	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		59	350	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		57	350	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Tetrachloroethene		ND		55	340	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		43	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Toluene		94	J	22	190	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		90	380	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		47	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		12	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Trichloroethene		ND		47	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Trichlorofluoromethane		ND		80	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		130	J	49	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		150	J	42	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Vinyl Acetate		ND		11	1800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Vinyl Chloride		ND		3.9	130	100	10/07/2015 09:09

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
Extraction Method:	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2	2015 11:30	GC24		111201
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)					Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83						GM
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Xylenes, Total		420	J	45	660	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>			<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		88			70-130		10/07/2015 09:09
Toluene-d8		102			70-130		10/07/2015 09:09
4-BFB		118			70-130		10/07/2015 09:09





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/2/15-10/3/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
Extraction Method:	TO17
Analytical Method:	TO17
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m ³				
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID
SG6S	1509A12-001A	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00 GC37	111095
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	240,000		1100 1	10/02/2015 20:57
Naphthalene	ND		3.0 1	10/02/2015 20:57
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Limits	
4-BFB	0	S	70-130	10/02/2015 20:57
Analyst(s): KBO			Analytical Comments: c9,c2,j1	
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID
SG6SD	1509A12-002A	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00 GC37	111095
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL DF</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	230,000		1100 1	10/03/2015 01:01
Naphthalene	ND		3.0 1	10/03/2015 01:01
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Limits	
4-BFB	0	S	70-130	10/03/2015 01:01
<u>Analyst(s):</u> KBO			Analytical Comments: c9,c2,j1	



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	9/28/15	BatchID:	111042
Date Analyzed:	9/28/15	Extraction Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Instrument:	GC26	Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	%
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111042

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90							
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Helium	ND	0.0791	0.025	0.10	-	79	60-140

A QA/QC Officer



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/7/15	BatchID:	111206
Date Analyzed:	10/7/15	Extraction Method:	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC18	Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB-111206

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
TPH(g)	ND	-	25,000	-	-	-	-
Surrogate Recovery							
Dibromofluoromethane	14,200	-		12500	114	-	-

QA/QC Officer

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/6/15	BatchID:	111201
Date Analyzed:	10/6/15	Extraction Method:	TO15
Instrument:	GC24	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111201

QC Summary Report for TO15

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	-	2.8	12	-	-	-	-
Acrolein	ND	26.1	0.25	1.2	25	-	104	60-140
Acrylonitrile	ND	28.4	0.065	0.25	25	-	114	60-140
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.182,J	27.6	0.090	0.25	25	-	110	60-140
Benzene	ND	25.6	0.046	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
Benzyl chloride	ND	29.0	0.038	0.25	25	-	116	60-140
Bromodichloromethane	ND	24.4	0.0070	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
Bromoform	ND	27.7	0.038	0.25	25	-	111	60-140
Bromomethane	ND	30.4	0.0075	0.25	25	-	122	60-140
1,3-Butadiene	ND	26.8	0.10	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	-	0.80	12	-	-	-	-
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	25.5	2.7	5.0	25	-	102	60-140
Carbon Disulfide	ND	26.9	0.050	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	25.9	0.040	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
Chlorobenzene	ND	26.0	0.043	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
Chloroethane	ND	27.6	0.065	0.25	25	-	110	60-140
Chloroform	ND	21.6	0.042	0.25	25	-	86	60-140
Chloromethane	ND	24.0	0.048	0.25	25	-	96	60-140
Cyclohexane	ND	25.1	0.75	2.5	25	-	100	60-140
Dibromochloromethane	ND	25.5	0.038	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	23.7	0.0025	0.0060	25	-	95	60-140
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	24.8	0.036	0.25	25	-	99	60-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	25.9	0.065	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	25.6	0.050	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	24.2	0.050	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	24.6	0.044	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	25.0	0.042	0.25	25	-	100	60-140
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	22.4	0.0075	0.25	25	-	90	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	25.4	0.050	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	24.6	0.034	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	26.1	0.044	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	24.0	0.0070	0.25	25	-	96	60-140
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.0122,J	27.0	0.0015	0.25	25	-	108	60-140
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	27.2	0.028	0.25	25	-	109	60-140
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	ND	24.7	0.042	0.25	25	-	99	60-140
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	25.4	0.040	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
1,4-Dioxane	ND	27.0	0.0055	0.25	25	-	108	60-140
Ethanol	0.255,J	-	0.019	25	-	-	-	-

A____QA/QC Officer

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/6/15	BatchID:	111201
Date Analyzed:	10/6/15	Extraction Method:	TO15
Instrument:	GC24	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111201

QC Summary Report for TO15

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Ethyl acetate	ND	27.2	0.039	0.25	25	-	109	60-140
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	25.5	0.040	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
Ethylbenzene	ND	26.0	0.043	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	26.7	0.050	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
Freon 113	ND	24.7	0.045	0.25	25	-	99	60-140
Heptane	ND	27.6	0.75	2.5	25	-	110	60-140
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	24.6	0.013	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
Hexane	ND	24.7	0.75	2.5	25	-	99	60-140
2-Hexanone	ND	24.2	0.050	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	30.1	0.046	0.25	25	-	121	60-140
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	25.4	0.044	0.25	25	-	101	60-140
Methylene chloride	0.317,J	23.3	0.065	1.2	25	-	92	60-140
Methyl methacrylate	ND	31.3	0.25	0.25	25	-	125	60-140
Naphthalene	ND	50.9	0.040	0.50	50	-	102	60-140
Propene	ND	-	0.90	25	-	-	-	-
Styrene	ND	27.4	0.030	0.25	25	-	109	60-140
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	22.8	0.042	0.25	25	-	91	60-140
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	24.2	0.040	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
Tetrachloroethene	ND	23.7	0.040	0.25	25	-	95	60-140
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	25.3	0.070	0.50	25	-	101	60-140
Toluene	ND	26.2	0.029	0.25	25	-	105	60-140
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	26.8	0.060	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	24.9	0.043	0.25	25	-	100	60-140
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	25.5	0.011	0.10	25	-	102	60-140
Trichloroethene	ND	24.3	0.042	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	25.6	0.070	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	26.8	0.049	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	25.7	0.042	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
Vinyl Acetate	ND	29.2	0.015	2.5	25	-	117	60-140
Vinyl Chloride	ND	25.8	0.0075	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
Xylenes, Total	ND	76.7	0.75	0.75	75	-	102	60-140
Surrogate Recovery								
1,2-DCA-d4	452	414			500	91	83	70-130
Toluene-d8	492	513			500	98	103	70-130
4-BFB	477	481			500	95	96	70-130



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/2/15	BatchID:	111095
Date Analyzed:	10/2/15	Extraction Method:	TO17
Instrument:	GC37	Analytical Method:	TO17
Matrix:	Sorbent Tube	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111095

QC Summary Report for TO17

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	ND	6720	1000	8300	-	81	60-140
Naphthalene	ND	3.59	0.50	5	-	72	60-140
Surrogate Recovery							
4-BFB	114	59.8		100	114	60	60-140



McCampbell Analytical, Inc. **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD** 1 of 1 Page 1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 WorkOrder: 1509A12 **ClientCode: SESB** (925) 252-9262 ✓ EDF □WriteOn EQuIS WaterTrax Excel 🖌 Email HardCopy ☐ ThirdParty □ J-flag Report to: Bill to: **Requested TAT:** 5 davs: Henry Pietropaoli Email: hpietropaoli@stellar-environmental.com; r Accounts Payable cc/3rd Party: Stellar Environmental Solutions Stellar Enviormental Solutions

Collection Date Hold

9/23/2015 11:00

9/23/2015 11:00

9/23/2015 11:30

Tes	t Legend:
1	HELIUM_LC_SOILGAS(%)

2198 Sixth St. #201

510-644-3123

Lab ID

1509A12-001

1509A12-002

1509A12-003

Berkelev, CA 94710

5	TO15-8260_SOIL(UG/M3)
0	TO17 ST(UC/M2)
3	1017_31(00/103)

The following SampID: 003A contains testgroup.

2	PREDF REPORT							
6	TO15-8260GAS_SOIL(UG/M3)							
10								

PO:

FAX: 510-644-3859

Client ID

SG6S

SG6SD

SG6SA

ProjectNo: 2015-16: Residential UST

Matrix

SoilGas

SoilGas

SoilGas

3	PRHESHROUDRENTAL
7	TO158260SCANSIM_SOIL(UG/M3)
11	

2198 Sixth St. #201

Berkelev, CA 94710

3

В

2

А

1

в

в

А

lwheeler@stellar-environmental.com

4

А

5

А

4	TO15_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)
8	TO15GAS_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)
12	

Date Received:

Date Printed:

9

А

А

8

А

Requested Tests (See legend below)

7

А

6

А

09/24/2015

09/29/2015

11

12

10

Prepared by: Jena Alfaro

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.

2	McCampbell Analytical, Inc.
÷ .	"When Ouality Counts"

WORK ORDER SUMMARY

Client Name	: STELLAR E	NVIRONMENTAL S	SOLUTIONS		QC Leve	LEVEL 2				Wor	k Order:	1509A12
Project:	2015-16; Res	idential UST			Client Contact	t: Henry Piet	ropaoli			Date F	Received:	9/24/2015
Comments:					Contact's Emai	l: hpietropao rmakdisi@	li@stellar-envir stellar-	ronmental.com	n;			
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	∠ EDF	Excel	Fax	🖌 Email		opy ThirdPart	y 🗌	J-flag	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Contai /Compo	ners Bottle osites	& Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	TAT	Sediment Content	Hold SubOut
1509A12-001A	SG6S	SoilGas	TO17 (VOCs) TPH-Diesel (C	(µg/m ³) <naph 10-C23)></naph 	thalene, 1	Se	orbent Tube		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-001B	SG6S	SoilGas	ASTM D1946-	90 (Helium)	1	1	l L Summa		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-002A	SG6SD	SoilGas	TO17 (VOCs) TPH-Diesel (C	(µg/m ³) <naph 10-C23)></naph 	thalene, 1	Se	orbent Tube		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-002B	SG6SD	SoilGas	ASTM D1946-	90 (Helium)	1	1	IL Summa		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-003A	SG6SA	SoilGas	TO15 (Soil Ga SCANSIM) (μ	s by SW8260B g/m³)	1	1	IL Summa		9/23/2015 11:30	5 days		
			TO15 (TPH-ga	s by SW8260B)) (µg/m³)					5 days		
			TO15 + Gas w	/ Helium						5 days		

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

				2							-
	ICA	192	tole	CHA	AIN	OF	CU	STC	DY	RECORL	
McCampbell And	lytical, Inc.	TID	NAD	OTIND TIM	1 17, p	1 ICU D	1 Г		2 Day	1 3 Day 🗍	5 DAY
1534 Willow Pass Rd / Pittsburg (a 94565-1701 1509A	In	IN AR		LE. K	ریہ ۲۰۵۰	Ľ.		2 Day -		
www.mccampbell.com / main@m	accampbell.com	GeoT	racker	EDF 🖵	PD	F	.0	EDDL	li 1	EQuIS 🖽 10) DAY Ledi
Telephone: (877) 252-9262 / Fax:	(925) 252-9269	UST (Clean I	Jp Fund Proje	ect 🔲	Claim #	1	2 C			±
Report To: 11 Pictor 2201, Bill'	To: Same	k	A	Analysis I	Reques	sted	62	H	lium Sl	hroud SN#	v l
Company: Stella Enviromente	Q Solutione	IV's		0				2 01	her:		
2198 Sixth	n Berkey	=	CC CC	e, circl	nr/		2	NO	tes: Plea	ase Specify units	s if different than
hpietoproligstellar-environment [E-Mai	1:	12	hyde	ase	cle		atic	de	faults VC	Cs is ug/m3 and	1 fixed gas is
Tele: (510) 644-3123 Fax:	(510) 644 3859	t	aldel	e, Et	Se CII	Irane	Lom	\$ 15	Chan	CHECK COMMENT	Telivh
Project #: 2015-16 Proj	ect Name: Residentiol US	13) (E	omi	CO CO	JL/L	(%) orflo /m3	OLA	ENR.	Spro	od n 2	-5%-289
Project Location: 8/1 Far Amo,	not OARIan	/gu)	H, F	Met ene,	1 1 (]	eck (, N(and/ g/m	1 5	\$ Se	20 BLAK	e for not
Sampler Signature: pry fet	June	-15 (m3)	CO2, cetyl	rop;	(IP/	atic a	N	latrix	Car	nister
Collection		TO.	(ug/) nc.	as: OCs) as: Cast	as: (Leal	liph	0		Pressur	e/ Vacuum
Field Sample ID (Location) Can	ister SN# Sampler Kit SN#	Cs by	(g) I(g)	ylen vlen	D D D	k Ch	H: A	er:	100	Initial	Final
Date Time Sorf	ent (G)	VO(TPH	Tota Fixe Ethy or ii	Fixe	Hel Lea	API (ple	Soi	Ind	Initial	Fillar
SECS 9/23/-2140 1-014	19933 3167-776		+					XX		Saml	min
5665	1735 010113 172 r					X				-30	-3.5
SCCS CA	14775		+					XX	2	FOR	Almin
SG6SQ GO	7/15 73/A					×		X	-	- 20	-3.5
56659	1-4 211-771	X	V			X		1		-30	-4
3663A 1130 E	3/6/-116		A			<u> </u>	1				
	·····		+								
			+-+-								-
			+				++			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
									-		
			+				+-+				
Date: Time: Receive	d Bun						<u> </u>			I	
The trop 1 FE45 1170		Tem	ip (°C)	:	Wo	rk Orde	r #:			·	
Relinquished By: Date: Time: Receive	d By:	Con	dition:								
1-1-96-24-5-150/		Cust	tody Se	eals Intact?	Yes	N	0	None			
Relinquished By: Date: Time: Receive	d By:	Shin	ned V	ia: co	init	eΛ		_			
		Smp	ped v	<u> </u>	(*, 1	
	old and	1	Ð	10 1	> 1	noo	t	no	side	ntral	ESIE
works Apraigne 1015 038	LOU SIMISCANG	or	R	11		IC	-01-	, _,			
EDF needed. A	- Ketar, to me	Can	pbe	el SA	ple	15	065	510-	- 00,	1 A for P	otental /
				,						. /	anagerispie



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environmenta	I Solutions	Date and Time Received: 9/24/2015 3:50:00 PM						
Project Name:	e: 2015-16; Residential UST				LogIn Revi	ewed by:	Jena Alfaro		
WorkOrder №:	1509A12	Matrix: SoilGas			Carrier:	Bernie Cummin	ns (MAI Courier)		
		<u>Chain of C</u>	ustody	<u>/ (COC) lı</u>	nformation				
Chain of custody	present?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Chain of custody	signed when relinquis	hed and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Chain of custody	agrees with sample la	bels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample IDs noted	d by Client on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Date and Time of	collection noted by Cl	ient on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sampler's name	noted on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
		Sample	e Rece	eipt Infori	mation				
Custody seals int	act on shipping contai	ner/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹		
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good condi	tion?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample container	rs intact?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated t	est?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
		Sample Preservatio	on and	Hold Tin	ne (HT) Info	rmation			
All samples recei	ved within holding time	9?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample/Temp Bla	ank temperature			Temp:	2°C				
Water - VOA vials	s have zero headspace	e / no bubbles?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹		
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct pres	ervation?	Yes	✓	No				
pH acceptable upon receipt (Metal: <2; 522: <4; 218.7: >8)?					No		NA 🗹		
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
		(Ісе Туре	: WE	TICE))				
UCMR3 Samples Total Chlorine t	:: ested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🖌		
Free Chlorine to 300.1, 537, 539	ested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 218.7,	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹		

* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

Comments: