

GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

**RECEIVED** By Alameda County Environmental Health 9:29 am, Nov 30, 2015

November 20, 2015

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services Local Oversight Program 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502

Subject: Data Gap Investigation to Evaluate Potential Indoor Air Vapor Intrusion by Soil-Gas related to a Former Residential Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA. (Alameda County Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143 and CA GeoTracker Global ID T10000006106)

Dear Mr. Detterman:

## INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), on behalf of the property owners, presents Alameda County Health Care Services (ACHCS) with the findings of this sampling investigation to evaluate the extent of potential environmental contamination related to a former 350-gallon residential underground heating fuel storage tank (UST) that was removed on December 16, 2013. This report has been prepared to document the implementation of the Stellar Environmental Workplan, dated September 9, 2015 with the incorporation of modifications by ACHCS in their review and approval letter, dated September 10, 2015.

This report presents the results of the current soil-gas sampling and indoor air sampling and an evaluation of the Site residential building crawl space to investigate potential migration of hydrocarbon contaminants in vapor from the former UST and close the data gap impediments to achieving regulatory site closure.

Attached Figure 1 shows the site location and Figure 2 is a site plan showing the locations of current and historical sampling of the former UST. Figures are included in Attachment A

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 2 of 18

#### SUBJECT PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The subject property is located at 811 Paramount Road in Trestle Glen, a historical residential district in Oakland, California. The area has historically been a residential area since the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The property is situated on a ridgeline in the Oakland hills with an average elevation of approximately 210 feet above mean sea level (amsl) and a generally westward and southward topographic slope. Rainwater drains away from the residential front yard area of the former UST site to the street curb gutter where it is channeled into the storm drain system on Paramount Road.

#### Local Hydrogeology

The site is underlain by Late Pleistocene alluvium that generally consist of weakly consolidated slightly weathered poorly sorted irregularly interbedded clay, silt, sand, and gravel. Local heterogeneities in shallow lithology and groundwater levels are typical of the alluvial deposits in this area. Shallow site lithology was determined in the June 2015 investigations by the visual method of the Unified Soils Classification System (USCS) using continuous core soil samples from this investigation. The predominant soil types encountered during the June 2015 exploratory investigation consisted of clay from the ground surface to between 6 and 8 feet below ground surface (bgs). Silt predominated from approximately 6 feet bgs to 29 feet bgs with the exception of a predominance of clay to 20 feet bgs in bore SB1. Gravelly and sandy to silty clay were observed from approximately 24 to 30 feet bgs in bores SB2 and SB3. Clay was encountered at approximately 29 -31 feet bgs in bores SB1 and SB2 and observed to persist to the maximum depth advanced of 36 feet bgs in bore SB2. Groundwater was not encountered during the June 2015 investigation.

#### **Surface Water Bodies**

The nearest surface water bodies are Sausal Creek located approximately 5,000 feet east of the site; Central Reservoir located 5,000 southeast and Lake Merritt Lake located about 5,000 feet west of the site. These water bodies ultimately drain to San Francisco Bay, located approximately 3.75 miles to the west of the site.

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL BACKGROUND

The former UST was discovered during property renovations in 2013 at which time the subject property owners contracted Golden Gate Tank Removal, Inc. (GGT) to remove the UST. The

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 3 of 18

underground storage tank (UST) removal report, dated January 14, 2014 that was prepared by GGT documents the December 2013 removal of one 350-gallon heating oil UST and 32.75 tons of associated fuel impacted soil from the subject site. The UST was found to be in poor condition with at least one visible hole. Soil discoloration and hydrocarbon odors were noted to be associated with overburden soil and soil underlying the UST.

The initial UST soil samples were collected at a depth of 7 feet on both the east end and west end beneath the UST after its removal on December 16, 2013. The analytical at 7 feet bgs on the east end (sample E7) was reported at 9,290 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in the carbon C10-C28 range, which includes the upper C8-C10 range of gasoline (TPHg), the full (C10-C23) range of diesel (TPHd) and into the motor oil (C18-C35) range (TPHmo). The 9,290 mg/kg detection exceeds the applicable Environmental Screening Limits (ESLs) for TPHg, TPHd and TPHmo. Also reported in sample E7 was 1.1 mg/kg ethylbenzene, 1.37 mg/kg total xylenes and 47.3 mg/kg naphthalene, with naphthalene above the ESL. Benzene and toluene were below the laboratory detection limit. The west end sample (sample W7) concentrations at 7 feet bgs were detected at 1,390 mg/kg in the C10-C28 range. The benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) concentrations were near to below Laboratory Reporting Limits (RLs) of 79 µg/kg or less, and naphthalene concentration was 7.72 mg/kg, above its ESL.

Over-excavation to 12 feet bgs was subsequently performed on December 24, 2013. East end sample (sample E12) concentrations decreased two to three orders of magnitude to 28.0 mg/kg of TPH C10-C28, while BTEX and naphthalene concentrations were near to below RLs. The west end sample (sample E12) concentrations increased with depth to 3,960 mg/kg TPHd, and naphthalene concentrations increased to 25.2 mg/kg, in excess of their respective ESLs; BTEX concentrations were near to below RLs. MTBE was not analyzed in any of the samples.

ACHCS in their letter dated December 15, 2014, requested additional investigation of the residual soil contamination that was indicated by detections of TPHd and napththalene above applicable ESLs that was reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013). Stellar Environmental was retained by the property owners to prepare an investigation Workplan which was approved with the incorporation of modifications by ACHCS in their review and approval letter, dated March 30, 2015. The Workplan was implemented by Stellar Environmental in June 2015 and showed no detectable TPHd, TPHmo or fuel related VOCs in site soils indicating the potential residual soil contaminantion is neither laterally or vertically extensive. Groundwater was not encountered in any of the 3 bores that were advanced during the investigation, with the deepest

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 4 of 18

bore extending to 36 feet bgs. The absence of residual soil contaminantion indicates no threat to groundwater by potential contaminants of concern (COCs). However, soil-gas collected from soil-gas well SG5.5 feet bgs showed 880,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> TPHg in excess of the Water Board residential ESL of 300,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for potential risk of vapor intrusion into the nearby building, and is the focus of this current investigation. The detection of residual TPHg soil-gas is anomalous for a residential heating oil UST but appears to rapidly attenuate with depth as there were no detections of COCs at 13 feet bgs immediately below the target contaminant depth where elevated TPHd and naphthalene in soil were reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013). In addition, the June 2015 investigation documented a bioattenuation zone, adequate (recording 3.0 to 3.4 % oxygen) to support biodegradation of the residual petroleum hydrocarbon vapors.

The June 2015 investigation sampling detected no residual soil contamination, showed no threat to groundwater and only limited residual soil-gas detection of 880,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline in excess of the regulatory threshold criteria of 300,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Thus, the only apparent potential exposure risk is soil vapor intrusion into the residential building.

The analytical results from the June 2015 investigation qualified the Site for closure under the strict criteria of the Water Board Low Threat Closure Policy (LTCP), however due to the exceedance of TPHg over the Water Board ESL, ACHCS requested in their letter dated August 19, 2015, re-sampling of soil-gas, an evaluation of the building crawl space and additional sampling of potential toxic vapor intrusion into the Site residence be conducted in the event that the soil-gas sampling results exceeded the applicable ESLs. A Workplan, dated September 9, 2015 was prepared by Stellar Environmental and approved with modifications by ACHCS in their letter dated September 10, 2015.

The soil-gas well SG5.5 was resampled on September 23, 2015 as prescribed in the Workplan. The analytical results were received from the laboratory on October 7, 2015 and showed 240,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> TPHd and 2,000,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> TPHg in excess of the applicable residential ESIs of 68,000 and 300,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectfully. In addition, benzene was detected at 600  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 1,1,2-trichloroethane was detected at 4,300  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, both above their respective ESLs of 42 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 76  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The analytical results of the September 23, 2015 soil-gas sampling were subsequently shared with the ACHCS regulator and as prescribed in the Workplan, sampling of the indoor air was advanced. This report presents the results of the current investigative soil-gas and indoor air sampling and an assessment of the Site residential building crawl-space to evaluate potential

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 5 of 18

vapor intrusion of hydrocarbon contaminants from the former UST and close the data gap impediments to achieving regulatory site closure.

## **REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS**

The Water Board has established ESLs for evaluating the likelihood of environmental impact. ESLs are conservative screening-level criteria for soil and groundwater, designed to be generally protective of both drinking water resources and aquatic environments; they incorporate both environmental and human health risk considerations. ESLs are not cleanup criteria (i.e., health-based numerical values or disposal-based values). Rather, they are used as a preliminary guide in determining whether additional remediation and/or investigation may be warranted.

Different ESLs are published for commercial/industrial vs. residential land use, for sites where groundwater is a potential drinking water resource vs. is not a likely drinking water resource, and for the type of receiving water body. In our professional opinion, the appropriate ESL criteria for the subject site are *residential land use* and *groundwater is a potential drinking water resource;* based on the following:

- Residential land use as zoned by the City of Oakland.
- Groundwater is a potential a drinking water resource based on the location of the site being within the Department of Water Resources (DWR) designated East Bay Plain Groundwater Sub-Basin (DWR 2003) and the designation of this area of Oakland as "Zone A – Significant Drinking Water Resource (Water Board, 1999).
- The receiving body for groundwater discharge is an estuary (San Francisco Bay).

As stated above, ESLs are used as a preliminary guide in determining whether additional investigation, remediation or other action is warranted. Exceeding ESLs may warrant additional actions, such as monitoring plume stability to demonstrate no risk to sensitive receptors in the case of sites where drinking water is not threatened.

## **Regulatory Status**

There was previously no known documentation of the Underground Storage Tank (UST) removal(s) or other information in the regulatory Water Board GeoTracker system. ACHCS is the oversight agency for the UST site cleanups in Oakland and the site was assigned a GeoTracker Global ID No. T10000006106 and ACHCS Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 6 of 18

This report has been prepared to address ACHCS correspondence and to evaluate the site for regulatory closure under the State Water Resources Control Board Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Policy (LTCP) Title 23, 2923 (OAL File No. 2012-0618-02 S), adopted on May 1, 2012 and effective as of August 17, 2012 (Water Board 2012).

## LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS

The required U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) analytical methods from Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical Chemical Methods, SW-846 (EPA, 1986) that were used to analyze the soil-gas sample during this project include

The samples were analyzed using the following methods:

- Volatile organic compounds including, naphthalene, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) - by EPA Method TO15/Gas Range Organics (GRO).
- TPHd and naphthalene by EPA Method TO17 (soil-gas and crawl space air only)
- TPHg scan by Method 8260B (soil-gas only)
- Helium, the leak check compound by ASTM 1946-90 (soil-gas only)

Laboratory-certified clean sampling equipment including summa<sup>TM</sup> canisters, manifolds equipped with a filter, pressure gauge and the appropriate flow controller were used. The soilgas samples were analyzed by McCampbell Analytical (Pittsburg, CA), a California and National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program-ELAP-certified analytical laboratory. Soil-gas for TO15 analysis was collected in 1-liter Summa<sup>TM</sup> canisters. Soil-gas samples for TO17 analysis were collected in sorbent tubes that were wrapped in laboratory-grade aluminum and maintained on ice in a cooler. Indoor and outdoor ambient air samples for TO15 analysis were collected in 6-liter Summa<sup>TM</sup> canisters. Samples collected in Summa<sup>TM</sup> canisters were maintained at ambient temperature and out of direct sunlight. All sampling equipment used was certified clean by the laboratory prior to use. All samples were transported by courier under chain of custody to the analytical laboratory. The analyses were performed at a standard turnaround. Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 7 of 18

#### FIELDWORK IMPLEMENTATION

Field activities discussed under this heading include sampling of soil-gas well SG5.5 and an indoor-air sampling survey conducted on September 23 and October 30, 2015, respectfully, and an evaluation of the Site residential crawl-space.

The current and historical distribution of COCs in soil and soil-gas is shown on Figure 3. The analytical laboratory results are summarized in Table 1 and included on Figures 3 and 4. A photo-documentation of the field activities including significant crawl space features are included Attachment C. The certified laboratory analytical reports and chain-of-custody records are presented in Attachment D.

## SOIL-GAS SAMPLING AND METHODOLOGY

The soil-gas wells were located and designed to evaluate potential for soil-gas intrusion into the residential site building. The two soil-gas wells were constructed during the June 2015 Stellar Environmental investigation in a cluster array approximately 2 feet apart with the subsurface diffuser implants installed at 5.5 and 13 feet bgs and labeled SG5.5 and SG13, respectfully. A stainless-steel mesh air diffuser was set in the 3-inch diameter bore at the target depth, at the midpoint of a 1-foot sand pack and connected to the surface with Teflon<sup>®</sup> tubing (0.177-inch inside diameter) and terminated after the surface with about 2 feet of excess tubing and an inert plastic stopcock valve. Granular bentonite was emplaced over the sand pack and brought to the surface with hydrated bentonite to seal the diffuser and sand pack. The soil-gas well construction details are included on the geologic logs June 2015 Stellar Environmental Investigation report, dated July 20, 2015.

Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC, April 2012) guidelines were followed during set-up and sampling of site soil-gas well SG5.5. As specified in the DTSC guidelines, soil-gas sampling was not conducted during or within five days of a significant rain event (1/2-inch or greater). No significant rain event was noted to occur in Oakland, California between September 18-23, 2015 (NOAA, 2015).

<u>*Pre-Soil-Gas Sampling:*</u> A shut-in test was conducted on the sampling train to check for leaks in the above-ground fittings at each sampling point. The shut-in test was conducted by assembling the above-ground apparatus of the tubing and sample port valve and evacuating the sampling train using a dedicated purge Summa<sup>TM</sup> canister. A shut-in test was conducted using an in-line vacuum gauge and evacuating the sampling train to a measured vacuum of about 100 inches of water, then shutting the vacuum in with a closed valve. The vacuum gauge was observed for

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 8 of 18

about one minute and all above ground connections were considered "air-tight" if the pressure on the gauge did not noticeably dissipate.

The purge volume was calculated for a 1 foot of sand pack with 30% porosity (3-inch bore diameter) plus the length of the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch tubing (0.177-inch inner diameter). Thus, one purge volume for the 5.5 foot deep implant with 7 feet of tubing equals 451 milliliters (mls). Three purge volumes were extracted prior to collecting the soil-gas sample by default as per DTSC guidance.

A Helium Shroud Apparatus was used to test for ambient air leaks around the sampling train, and at the soil-gas tubing interface with the ground surface. The helium shroud apparatus was set over the well head and laboratory grade helium was flooded into the shroud initially to a concentration of approximately 35% helium. A concentration of 25-30 % was maintained in the shroud throughout the sampling procedure and verified every few minutes using a helium meter supplied by the laboratory. The helium shroud apparatus used during this sampling event was rented from McCampbell Analytical laboratory.

A leak test was conducted to evaluate whether adequate seals were established in the sampling train at the connection with the well head and at interface the ground surface. A leak test was conducted at the well before purging and sampling to ensure that the sample was not being diluted by ambient air as evidenced by measuring that no helium was detected. The leak check was conducted by pulling 3 tubing volumes (102 mls for well SG5.5) through the implant tubing at the well head which is sufficient as per DTSC, to check if helium in the shroud is pulled down the bore between the bore and tubing interface which would indicate an ambient leak. The leak checks detected no helium indicating no leakage between the soil-gas tubing interfaces with the ground surface during sample collection.

<u>Soil-Gas Sampling</u> for analysis by Method TO15/gas-range organics for analysis of TVHg, BTEX, MTBE and naphthalene was accomplished using a 1-liter Summa<sup>™</sup> canister equipped with a filter and 150 milliliters per minute (ml/min) flow controller.

The Method TO17 analysis sample was collected using laboratory supplied sorbent tubes packed with Tenax<sup>TM</sup> absorbent and drawing approximately 1 liter of soil-gas through the sorbent tube at approximately 50 ml/min using an in-line flow controller and a Summa<sup>TM</sup> canister attached at the end of the sampling train behind each sorbent tube. The manifold was set up to collect duplicate sorbent tube soil-gas samples in a parallel split arrangement.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 9 of 18

## SOIL-GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The analytical results of the soil-gas sampling are and included on Figure 3 Attachment A and summarized in Tables 1 and 2 included Attachment B.

## TVH Gasoline (Method 8260B)

The method 8260B analysis detected TVH as gasoline (TVHg) at 2,000,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in excess of the Water Board residential ESL of 300,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

## TPH Diesel and Naphthalene (Method TO17 Analysis)

The method TO17 analysis detected 240,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> TPHd in excess of the residential ESL of 68,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

Naphthalene was not detected by the Method TO17 analysis.

## Volatile Organic Compounds (Method TO15 Analysis)

## BTEX, MTBE and Naphthalene

The volatile fuel components; naphthalene, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes were detected; however only benzene detected at 600  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> was above the residential ESL of  $42\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

## Chlorinated Hydrocarbons

Various chlorinated hydrocarbon compounds were detected by the TO15 analysis, however only 1,1,2-trichloroethane (TCA), detected at 4,300  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, was above the applicable ESL of 76  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. TCA is a relatively uncommon solvent and its source is unlikely to be associated with the former heating oil UST in a historically residential area. The origin of the TCA may have been a as heating fuel contaminant or linked to products used during the residential renovation that occurred in 2013 or an analytical laboratory contaminant. Whatever the source, TCA was not detected in the indoor-air survey, discussed below, thus it does not appear to constitute a health risk via vapor intrusion into the adjacent indoor air apace.

## **Quality Control Samples**

One duplicate field QC soil-gas sample was collected for TPHd and analyzed by Method TO17. The manifold was set up to collect the duplicate sorbent tube sample in a parallel split

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 10 of 18

arrangement. The primary sample contained 240,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and the duplicate showed 230,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> which shows a good agreement with a relative percent difference of only 2.98%.

A helium shroud leak detection apparatus was used during the soil-gas collections. Helium was not detected during either the TO-15 or TO-17 sample collection, indicating no leakage in the sampling train during collection.

<u>Laboratory QC samples</u> (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, etc.) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with the requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (Attachment D).

## INDOOR AIR SURVEY METHODOLOGY

Because the soil-gas well SG5.5 sample analytical results showed contaminants exceeding the applicable ESLs concentration criteria, additional sampling for indoor-air was subsequently conducted. Indoor-air was collected in accordance with the DTSC/Cal EPA Vapor Intrusion Guidance (October 2011) procedures and methodology

The residential indoor air evaluation entailed a 24-hour air sampling test per procedures and protocols of the DTSC guidance. Stellar Environmental personnel set up the 24-hour sampling apparatus in two (2) locations: 1) within the residential building subfloor crawl space near the north side of the building, approximately 10 feet from the south side of the former UST excavation (as near to the near the former UST source area as accessible); and 2) an ambient "control" sample location was placed outside the residence, on the back porch, and not below the drip line of any Site landscape trees.

The 24-hour test apparatus was set up at approximately 8:45 AM on Thursday October 29<sup>th</sup> and removed 24 hours later at approximately the same time the next day, October 30, 2015. The crawl space air sampling entailed collection of samples for both Method TO15 and TO17 analysis. The TO17 was collected using 2 in-line sorbent tubes with the second tube, in-line from the intake, held for analysis only in the event that breakthrough in the first tube had occurred. The outdoor air was only analyzed by Method TO15. The air flow regulators controls the air inflow rate and were calibrated by the laboratory for the specific method analyses. The TO15 sample was collected using a 4 ml/minute flow controller the TO17 sample was collected using a 3 ml/minute flow controller.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 11 of 18

The summa sample canisters were identified and labeled when placed in their respective sampling locations at the beginning of the test and the date and time were recorded on the label the next day at the end of the test, thus the potential to confuse the sample locations is minimized.

The sampling locations for the indoor and outdoor air samples are shown on the Figures 2, with the pertinent data shown on Figures 3 through 5.

## INDOOR AIR SURVEY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

In general, the analytical results of the October 29-30, 2015 indoor air survey indicate the residential site air to contain less contaminants than the ambient outdoor air suggesting contaminants detected in the residential indoor air could as easily be attributed to ambient outdoor air sources as they could to residual impacts from the former UST.

The analytical results of the indoor-air and outdoor air samples for those compounds detected in excess of the indoor air ESLs are included on Figure 5 in attachment A. The analytical results of all detected compounds in the indoor-air and outdoor air samples are summarized in Table 3 included in Attachment B. The certified laboratory results are contained in Attachment D.

## TPH Diesel and Naphthalene (Method TO17 Analysis; Indoor-Air)

The method TO17 analysis showed no detection of TPH-diesel above the method detection limit (mdl) of 31  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The Method TO17 analysis of naphthalene reported at 0.51  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> which exceeds its ESL of 0.072  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, however this detection was j-flagged by the laboratory and not considered reliably quantified. Naphthalene was also analyzed by Method TO15, discussed below, and was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit which was below its ESL.

## Volatile Organic Compounds (Method TO15 Analysis; Indoor-Air and Outdoor Air)

<u>Indoor-Air</u>: The indoor-air (crawl space sample IA-1) analysis showed detections of the volatile compounds; benzene, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and toluene. Only the fuel component benzene was detected at 2.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, slightly above its residential indoor air ESL of 0.084  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. All of the other compounds detected were below their respective ESLs. TPHg was not detected in the indoor-air.

Other than a trace detection (< than the ESL) of the fuel component toluene, that may be linked to the heating oil UST, the origin of the remaining detected compounds is somewhat enigmatic with numerous and multiple possible sources that may be attributed to either natural sources or

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 12 of 18

human activity such as laboratory contaminants or possibly materials used in the residential renovation that was taking place at the time of the discovery of the UST in 2013. Chloroform is a common laboratory contaminant and also emitted during the decay of vegetation; 1,4-dioxane is detected in solvents and adhesive products; carbon tetrachloride may be related historical pesticide use and is also detected in some cleaning agents and refrigerants.

<u>*Outdoor-Air:*</u> The outdoor-air (sample OA-1) analysis showed numerous detections of volatile compounds that included; acrylonitrile, acetone, benzene, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, chloromethane, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, dichlorodifluoromethane, toluene naphthalene, ethylbenzene, trichloroflouromethane, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene and xylenes. Four of these compounds detected in the outdoor air: benzene (1.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), carbon tetrachloride (0.41  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), 1,4-dichlorobenzene (0.49  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and naphthalene (0.21  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) were at concentrations in excess of both their comparable indoor-air ESLs and that which was detected in the crawl space air sample.

The analytical results of all compounds detected in the indoor-air and outdoor air samples are summarized in Table 3 in Attachment B.

All of the reported compounds can be detected in outdoor urban air spaces in varying concentrations that fluctuate with seasonally and prevailing wind patterns. Of the detected compounds, only benzene, toluene, naphthalene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, naphthalene and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene would be expected to be associated with petroleum hydrocarbons with the exception of naphthalene that is emitted by some plants. Sources of the other detected compounds are numerous and can be attributed to natural sources and human activities, including laboratory contaminants. Acetone is used in laboratories but also ubiquitous in the environment as is chloroform and chloromethane; 1,2-dichloroethane and 1,2-dichloropropane were also detected in the summa canister certification analysis and may also be laboratory contaminants along with the detections of chloroform, chloromethane, dichlorodifluoromethane, and trichloroflouromethane; 1,4-dichlorobenzene is used as a disinfectant and in pesticides; and acrylonitrile is found in synthetic rubbers and fumigants.

<u>Laboratory QC samples</u> (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, etc.) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with the requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (Attachment D). Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 13 of 18

## **CRAWL SPACE DESCRIPTION**

The Site residential building underlying crawl space was evaluated as part of this investigation. The crawl space was accessed through a 3 x 3 foot door in the basement room wall. The crawl space occupied an L-shaped area of approximately 175 square feet beneath the front and central area of the residence. The crawl space had an irregular ground surface that generally sloped downward toward the south. The crawl space at the north end, nearest the former UST, was approximately 21 inches high and approximately 4.5 feet high at the southern end near the basement access door (measured from the ground to the bottom of the overlying floor joists). The central house heating unit and associated ducting was contained in the space along the western wall. No materials or other items were observed stored in the crawl space and no unusual, natural gas or petroleum odors were noticed during the sampling activities. A total of three vents measuring 4 x 12 inches with fixed horizontal openings were observed to vent the crawl space. The vents were located at the bottom of the crawl space wall, cut into the top of the concrete foundation; two eight feet apart along the eastern wall of the crawl space and one centered on the west wall of the crawl space where the building protruded 4 feet northward.

The areal extent of the crawl space and locations of the outside vents are shown on Figures 2, 3 and 5. The crawl space is shown in cross-section on Figure 4. Photographs of the vents are included in Attachment C.

## SUMMARY AND RECOMENDATIONS

The work documented in this study was conducted in general accordance with standard EPA, Water Board and DTSC methods and protocols established for investigations of this type.

Stellar Environmental previously evaluated the site conditions against the Low Threat Closure Policy (LTCP) criteria (Stellar Environmental 2015b). The results of that investigation qualified the Site for closure under the strict criteria of the LTCP, however due to the exceedance of TPHg over the Water Board Tier 1 ESL, re-sampling of shallow soil-gas from soil-gas well SG5.5, an evaluation of the building crawl space and indoor air sampling were conducted during this study to investigate the potential exposure risk of soil vapor intrusion into the residential building; that being the remaining impediment to full regulatory site closure.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 14 of 18

#### SUMMARY

- A 350-gallon UST was removed in December 2013 along with 32.75 tons of associated fuel impacted soil that was disposed to a permitted non-hazardous landfill facility (GGT 2013).
- The Stellar Environmental June 2015 investigation was advanced to investigate residual contamination that was indicated by detections of TPHd and napththalene above applicable ESLs in the UST excavation confirmation soil sample collected from 12 feet bgs that was reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013).
- No TPHd, TPHmo or fuel related VOCs were detected is site soil during the June 2015 in any of the 3 investigation borings, indicating site soil contaminantion is neither laterally or vertically extensive. In addition, groundwater was not encountered in any of the 3 bores, with the deepest bore extending to 36 feet bgs. The absence of residual soil contaminantion indicates no threat to groundwater by potential COCs.
- Soil-gas collected during the June 2015 investigation from 6 feet bgs (SG5.5) showed 880,000 µg/m<sup>3</sup> TPHg in excess of the Water Board residential ESL of 300,000 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for potential risk of vapor intrusion into the nearby building. The residual TPHg in soil-gas appears to attenuate as there were no detections of COCs in soil gas collected from at 13 feet bgs immediately below the target contaminant depth where elevated TPHd and naphthalene in soil were reported in the UST removal report (GGT 2013). The detected oxygen ranged between 3.0 3.4 % in the soil-gas samples collected in during the is below the ideal LTCP defined 4% oxygen concentration required for a soil "bioattenuation zone". The risk of vapor intrusion into the site residence will continue to attenuate in time since the UST source and associated fuel impacted soil were removed in December 2013.
- Soil-gas well SG5.5 was resampled on September 23, 2015 and showed 240,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> TPHd and 2,000,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> TPHg in excess of the applicable residential ESIs of 68,000 and 300,000  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectfully. In addition, benzene was detected at 600  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 1,1,2-trichloroethane (TCA) was detected at 4,300  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, both above their respective ESLs of 42 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 76  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The analytical results of the September 23, 2015 soil-gas sampling were subsequently shared with the ACHCS regulator and as prescribed in the Workplan, sampling of the residential indoor air was advanced.
- Benzene was the only site contaminant of concern that was detected in the indoor air at  $0.20 \ \mu g/m^3$  slightly above its applicable ESL of  $0.084 \ \mu g/m^3$ . The compounds, TCA, TPHg and TPHd, that were detected above their ESLs in the soil-gas, were not detected

in the indoor-air survey, thus those constituents do not appear to constitute a health risk via potential vapor intrusion into the adjacent indoor air apace.

- The October 29-30, 2015 indoor air survey detected benzene above it's residential indoor-air ESL, however at a concentration less than that detected in the ambient outdoor air suggesting that the benzene in the residential indoor air could likely be attributed to outdoor ambient sources. In addition, to the extent that the crawl space "indoor air" would intrude the indoor building air it would represent a further decrease in condemnation.
- The residential sub-floor crawl space was inspected and contained no potential contaminant source items with the exception of the natural gas piping to the central heating unit that observed in the crawl space. No unusual, natural gas or petroleum odors were noticed during the inspection.
- The natural gas meter to the house was noted to be located at the northwest corner of the residence, approximately 25 feet west of the former UST, with the service piping extending northward and perpendicular to the street main connection. There was no indication of natural gas leaking, such as odor or dead vegetation, and thus the service is not considered a likely Site contaminant source.
- The sensitive receptor and well survey completed during the June 2015 study did not indicate the presence of a downgradient sensitive receptor that would be threatened by the residual soil-gas.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Stellar Environmenal recommends the following:

Additional indoor air sampling could be considered to evaluate seasonal variation in detectable compounds, however our experience in ambient air studies in urban areas has shown a wide array of contaminant detections and it is unclear at the low to trace levels detected here how much of the benzene is due to vapor intrusion from the former UST versus the ambient background levels. Thus it is our opinion that since the indoor air benzene concentaion was less then the background outdoor air concentration, and there are no other constituetns that exceed the indoor air residential ESLs, a regulatory "No Further Action (NFA)" should be granted the property owner and the regultory case closed.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 16 of 18

- Stellar Environmental has uploaded this report and associated data to both ACHCS's fileserver and the Water Board's GeoTracker database and recommends following up with ACHCS following its receipt of this report, to discuss regulatory closure.
- Costs incurred for this investigation are eligible for reimbursement from the State of California Tank Cleanup Fund until regulatory site closure is achieved.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use by the Property Owners (responsible party), the regulatory agencies, and their authorized assigns and/or representatives. No reliance on this report shall be made by anyone other than those for whom it was prepared

We declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report are true and correct to the best of our knowledge. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us at (510) 644-3123.

Sincerely,

MCA track

Mr. Mark A. Jacobson Property Owner-Responsible Party

Henry Ketysch

Mr. Henry Pietropaoli, P.G. Principal Geologist and Project Manager

Ilora Fieder

Ms. Ilona Frieden Property Owner-Responsible Party

(phulles Mala

Mr. Richard S. Makdisi, P.G Principal Geochemist and President

cc: Mr. Amitai Schwartz, Esq—counsel to RPs. Alameda County and California GeoTracker fileserver



Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 17 of 18

#### REFERENCES

- California Department of Water Resources (DWR), 2003. San Francisco Bay Hydrologic Region, California's Groundwater, Update 2003. October.
- Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), 2012. Active Soil-Gas Advisory prepared by the DTSC and Water Board-San Francisco Region. April
- Alameda County Health Care Services. 2014. Request for Data Gap Work Plan; Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143 and GeoTracker Global ID T10000006106, Paramount UST, 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA 94610. December 15.
- Alameda County Health Care Services. 2015. *Review and Approval:* Request for Data Gap Work Plan; Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143 and GeoTracker Global ID T10000006106, Paramount UST, 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA 94610. March 30.
- Environmental Data Resources, Inc., 2015. EDR Radius Map with Geocheck<sup>™</sup> and Offsite Receptor Reports for 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA 94610. May 6.
- Golden Gate Tank Removal, Inc. 2014 Underground Storage Tank Removal, 811 Paramount Road Oakland, CA 94610. January 14.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 1999. East Bay Plains Beneficial Use Study, San Francisco Bay. June 15.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 2007. San Francisco Bay Basin (Region 2) Water Quality Control Board (Basin Plan). January 18.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region (Water Board), 2013. Screening for Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater. Revised December 2013.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climates Services Website: Weather for Oakland, California, May 28 through June 4, 2015.
- State Water Resources Control Board, 2012. Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Field Manual: Guidelines for Site Assessment, Cleanup, and Underground Storage Tank Closure: State of California Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Task Force. September.

Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Mark Detterman Alameda County Health Care Services November 20, 2015 Page 18 of 18

- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. 2015a. Proposed Data Gap Investigation Workplan to Address Potential Impact from a Former Leaking Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA. February 23.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. 2015b. Data Gap Investigation to Address Potential Impact and Request for Closure of a Former Residential Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, CA. (Alameda County Fuel Leak Case No. RO0003143). July 20.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. 2015c. Additional Investigation Workplan to Address Potential Impact of Soil-Gas related to a Former Leaking Underground Heating Oil Tank located at 811 Paramount, Oakland, CA. September 9.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), 1959. Oakland East 7.5-minute Quadrangle, 1:24,000 scale, photorevised 1983.

## ATTACHMENT A

# Figures











## ATTACHMENT B

**Analytical Summary Tables** 

Table 1
Soil-Gas Well SG5.5 - Analytical Results - September 23, 2015
811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

			Contaminants (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )					Leak Check (%)		
Analytical	Sample I.D.				Ethyl-					Helium
Method	depth (feet bgs)	TPHd	TVHg	Benzene	benzene	Toluene	Xylenes	MTBE	Naphthalene	
SW8260B	SG6SA	NA	2,000,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TO17	SG6S	240,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<3.0	<0.050*
TO17	SG6SD	230,000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<3.0	<0.050*
Residential ESL		68,000	300,000	42	490	160,000	52,000	4,700	36	NR

Notes:

Soil-gas sample number in ID refers to sample diffuser depth 'A' indicates sorbent tube TO15 analysis; D = indicates duplicate sample of SG6S

\* = helium leak check during TO17 sorbent tube collection analyzed from in-line Summa

ESL = Environmental Screening Level applicable to both shallow (<3 meters) and deep (>3 meters) soil-gas in residential areas where groundwater is considered a potential drinking water resource, above which additional investigation is recommended (Water Board 2013, Table E-2)

Analytical results in **bold-face** type exceed the applicable residential ESL

Analytical results shown as < and *italicized* indicate a non-detection or less than the laboratory detection limit.

NA = not analyzed; NR = not relevant

TVHg = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline; TPHd = total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

 $\mu g/m^3 =$  micrograms per cubic meter; bgs = feet below ground surface

#### Table 2

## Analytical Results of Method TO15 Detected Compounds in Shallow Soil-Gas Well SG5.5 September 23, 2015 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

Analyte	Sample SG6S	ESL
Benzene	600	42
2-butanone (MEK)	1,800 j	2,200,000
Cyclohexane	24,000	NLP
Ethylbenzene	340	490
4-Ethyltoluene	130 ј	31,000
Heptane	11,000	NLP
Hexane	4,600	NLP
4-methyl-2-pentanone	170 ј	NLP
Methylene chloride	110	26,000
Toluene	94	160,000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4,300	76
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	130	NLP
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	150 ј	NLP
Xylenes	410 ј	52,000
Helium (leak check compound)*	<0.050	NR

Notes:

ESL= Environmental Screening Level for shallow soil-gas at residential sites (Water Board 2013, Table E-2). NLP= no level published; Results in **bold-face** type exceed regulatory ESLs.

All results are reported in micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu g/m^3$ )

j = indicates compound was detected below quantification limit and is a statistical estimated value.

NR = not relevant

\* Helium tracer analyzed by Method ASTM1946-

#### Table 3 Analytical Results of Detected Compounds in Indoor and Outdoor Air October 30, 2015 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California

	Indoor Air	Outdoor Air				
Analyte	(IA-1)	( <b>OA-1</b> )	ESL			
Method TO17 Analysis *						
TPH-diesel	<31	NA	140			
Naphthalene **	0.51 j	NA	0.072			
Method TO15 Analysis	Method TO15 Analysis					
TPH-gasoline	<36	<36	100			
Acetone	<6.0	6.2	32,000			
Acrylonitrile	<0.22	0.36	NLP			
Benzene	0.20	1.0	0.084			
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.062	0.41	0.058			
Chloroform	0.034	0.17	0.46			
Chloromethane	<0.21	0.52	94			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.030	0.49	0.22			
Dichlorodifluoromethane	<0.50	2.4	NLP			
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.0041	0.037	0.12			
1,2,Dichloropropane	<0.0047	0.017	0.24			
1,4-Dioxane	0.021	<0.018	0.32			
Ethylbenzene	<0.44	0.82	0.97			
Naphthalene	<0.050	0.21	0.072			
Toluene	0.56	3.9	310			
Trichloroflouromethane	< 0.57	1.3	NLP			
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	< 0.50	1.0	NLP			
Xylenes	<1.3	3.6	100			

Notes:

ESL= Environmental Screening Level for residential Indoor-Air (Water Board 2013, Table E-3).

NA = not analyzed

\* = TO17 analysis reported to method dection limit, however method could not meet ESL for naphthalene; \*\* = refer to TO15 analysis for naphthalene analysis

j = indicates compound was detected below quantification limit and is a statistical estimated value.

All results are reported in micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu g/m^3$ )

Results in **bold-face** type exceed regulatory ESLs; NLP= no level published

## ATTACHMENT C

**Photo-documentation** 



65			
	<image/>		
Subject : Crawl space vent facing west in central area of residence			
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California			
Date Taken: September 11, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16		
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 03		
Subject: Crawl space access door			
Subject: Crawl space access door Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California			
Subject: Crawl space access door   Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California   Date Taken: September 11, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16		

Subject: Crawl space - view looking north from crawl space access	ss door			
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California	1			
Date Taken: September 11, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16			
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 05			
Subject: Crawl space - view looking toward northeast corner of crawl space				
Subject. Crawi space - view looking toward normeast corner of crawi space				
Date Taken: September 11, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16			
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 06			

Subject: Soil-gas well SG5.5 sampling apparatus			
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California			
Date Taken: September 23, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16		
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 07		
Subject: Summas located in crawl space for indoor air sampling			
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California	1		
Date Taken: June 4, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16		
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 08		

Subject: Summa canister located in backyard porch for outdoor sar	npling			
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California				
Date Taken: October 29, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16			
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 00			
Theorem is the record of the residence o				
Site: 811 Paramount Road, Oakland, California				
Date Taken: October 29, 2015	Project No.: SES 2015-16			
Photographer: H. Pietropaoli	Photo No.: 10			
	•			

STELLAR ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, INC.

## ATTACHMENT D

## Certified Laboratory Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Record



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

# **Analytical Report**

**WorkOrder:** 1509A12

**Report Created for:** Stellar Environmental Solutions

2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkeley, CA 94710

- **Project Contact:** Henry Pietropaoli
- Project P.O.:

Project Name: 2015-16; Residential UST

**Project Received:** 09/24/2015

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 10/07/2015 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 ♦ TEL: (877) 252-9262 ♦ FAX: (925) 252-9269 ♦ www.mccampbell.com NELAP: 4033ORELAP ♦ ELAP: 1644 ♦ ISO/IEC: 17025:2005 ♦ WSDE: C972-11 ♦ ADEC: UST-098 ♦ UCMR3


### **Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions**

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

**Project:** 2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder: 1509A12

#### **Glossary Abbreviation**

95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DI WET	(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
DISS	Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 $\mu m$ filtered and acidified water sample)
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level of Quantitation
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
N/A	Not Applicable
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
PF	Prep Factor
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalents
WET (STLC)	Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)

#### **Analytical Qualifiers**

В	analyte detected in the associated Method Blank and in the sample
J	Result is less than the RL/ML but greater than the MDL. The reported concentration is an estimated value.
S	spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
c2	surrogate recovery outside of the control limits due to matrix interference.
c9	Internal standard is out of acceptance criteria due to matrix interference therefore values are estimated
j1	see attached narrative



### **Case Narrative**

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

Project: 2015-16; Residential UST

**Work Order:** 1509A12 October 07, 2015

TO-15 ANALYSIS

All summa canisters are EVACUATED 5 days after the reporting of the results. Please call or email if a longer retention time is required.

In an effort to attain the lowest reporting limits possible for the majority of the TO-15 target list, high level compounds may be analyzed using EPA Method 8260B.

Polymer (Tedlar) bags are not recommended for TO15 samples. The disadvantages are listed in Appendix B of the DTSC Advisory of April 2012.

TO-17 ANALYSIS

10/2/15 TO-17 GC-37

Samples: SG6S (1509A12-001A) and SG6SD (1509A12-002A)

Due to the high organic content observed in the samples, a quantification of the internal standards was unobtainable. The quantitated TPH-diesel and naphthalene concentrations are calculated using a modified TO-17 analytical procedure which includes an external calibration. The TPH-diesel and naphthalene results are estimated. The quantitated results for diesel exceeded the upper range of the calibration. It is noted that the majority of the calculated TPH-diesel concentration is derived from an observed, lighter eluting TPH-gas range pattern from C5 through C15 of branched and unbranched alkanes.

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager



Stellar Environmental Solutions
9/24/15 15:50
9/28/15
2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	ASTM D 1946-90
Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Unit:	%

		Helium				
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrume	nt	Batch ID
SG6S	1509A12-001B	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00	GC26		111042
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.42	24.74					AK
<u>Analytes</u> Helium		<u>Result</u> ND		<u>RL</u> 0.050	<u>DF</u> 1	Date Analyzed 09/28/2015 18:32

SG6SD	1509A12-002B	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00	GC26		111042
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.56	27.05					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Helium		ND		0.050	1	09/28/2015 18:45

SG6SA	1509A12-003A SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:30 GC26	111042
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure (psia)		Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83		AK
<u>Analytes</u> Helium	<u>Result</u> ND	<u>RL</u> 0.050	DF         Date Analyzed           1         09/28/2015 18:58



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	SW5030B
Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Unit:	$\mu g/m^{\textbf{3}}$

### TPH gas by P&T and GC/MS in $\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	ent	Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:30	GC18		111206
Initial Pressure (psia)	<b>Final Pressure</b>	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83					кво
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)		2,000,000		100,000	2	10/07/2015 16:41
<u>Surrogates</u>		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
Dibromofluoromethane		110		70-130		10/07/2015 16:41



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

#### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	Collected	Instrument		Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2	2015 11:30	GC24		111201
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)					Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83						GM
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone		ND		1300	6000	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Acrolein		ND		120	580	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Acrylonitrile		ND		29	110	100	10/07/2015 09:09
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		76	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Benzene		600		29	160	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Benzyl chloride		ND		41	260	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Bromodichloromethane		ND		9.8	350	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Bromoform		ND		79	520	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Bromomethane		ND		30	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,3-Butadiene		ND		47	110	100	10/07/2015 09:09
2-Butanone (MEK)		1800	J	480	7500	100	10/07/2015 09:09
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		1700	3100	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Carbon Disulfide		ND		32	160	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Carbon Tetrachloride		ND		51	320	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chlorobenzene		ND		40	240	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chloroethane		ND		35	130	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chloroform		ND		41	240	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Chloromethane		ND		20	100	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Cyclohexane		24,000		510	1800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Dibromochloromethane		ND		66	440	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		4.9	12	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		56	390	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		79	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		61	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		ND		62	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Dichlorodifluoromethane		ND		44	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		34	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		ND		6.2	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		40	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		28	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		35	200	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dichloropropane		ND		6.6	240	100	10/07/2015 09:09
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		1.4	230	100	10/07/2015 09:09

(Cont.)





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	Collected	Instrument		Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2	2015 11:30	GC24		111201
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)					Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83						GM
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		26	230	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		60	360	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		34	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,4-Dioxane		ND		4.2	180	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethanol		ND		580	9600	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethyl acetate		ND		29	180	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		34	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Ethylbenzene		340		38	220	100	10/07/2015 09:09
4-Ethyltoluene		130	J	50	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Freon 113		ND		70	390	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Heptane		11,000		610	2100	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		28	540	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Hexane		4600		540	1800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
2-Hexanone		ND		42	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		170	J	39	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		33	180	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Methylene chloride		110	JB	44	880	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Methyl methacrylate		ND		42	210	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Naphthalene		ND		43	530	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Propene		ND		310	8800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Styrene		ND		25	220	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		59	350	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		57	350	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Tetrachloroethene		ND		55	340	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		43	300	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Toluene		94	J	22	190	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		90	380	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		47	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		4300		12	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Trichloroethene		ND		47	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Trichlorofluoromethane		ND		80	280	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		130	J	49	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		150	J	42	250	100	10/07/2015 09:09

(Cont.)





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

#### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date	Collecte	d Instrun	nent	Batch ID
SG6SA	1509A12-003A	SoilGas	09/23/2	2015 11:3	0 GC24		111201
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	re (psia)					Analyst(s)
12.46	24.83						GM
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	MDL	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Acetate		ND		11	1800	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Vinyl Chloride		ND		3.9	130	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Xylenes, Total		420	J	45	660	100	10/07/2015 09:09
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>			<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		88			70-130		10/07/2015 09:09
Toluene-d8		102			70-130		10/07/2015 09:09
4-BFB		118			70-130		10/07/2015 09:09



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	9/24/15 15:50
Date Prepared:	10/2/15-10/3/15
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST

WorkOrder:	1509A12
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO17
Analytical Method:	TO17
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m <sup>3</sup>							
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID			
SG6S	1509A12-001A	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00 GC37	111095			
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed			
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	240,000		1100 1	10/02/2015 20:57			
Naphthalene	ND		3.0 1	10/02/2015 20:57			
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Limits				
4-BFB	0	S	70-130	10/02/2015 20:57			
Analyst(s): KBO			Analytical Comments: c9,c2,j1				
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected Instrument	Batch ID			
SG6SD	1509A12-002A	SoilGas	09/23/2015 11:00 GC37	111095			
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u> <u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed			
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	230,000		1100 1	10/03/2015 01:01			
Naphthalene	ND		3.0 1	10/03/2015 01:01			
<u>Surrogates</u>	<u>REC (%)</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	Limits				
4-BFB	0	S	70-130	10/03/2015 01:01			
<u>Analyst(s):</u> KBO			Analytical Comments: c9,c2,j1				



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	9/28/15	BatchID:	111042
Date Analyzed:	9/28/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	ASTM D 1946-90
Instrument:	GC26	Analytical Method:	ASTM D 1946-90
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	%
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111042

QC Summary Report for ASTM D1946-90								
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits	
Helium	ND	0.0791	0.025	0.10	-	79	60-140	

A QA/QC Officer



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/7/15	BatchID:	111206
Date Analyzed:	10/7/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC18	Analytical Method:	SW8260B
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB-111206

#### QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
TPH(g)	ND	-	25,000	-	-	-	-
Surrogate Recovery							
Dibromofluoromethane	14,200	-		12500	114	-	-

QA/QC Officer

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/6/15	BatchID:	111201
Date Analyzed:	10/6/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Instrument:	GC24	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111201

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	-	2.8	12	-	-	-	-
Acrolein	ND	26.1	0.25	1.2	25	-	104	60-140
Acrylonitrile	ND	28.4	0.065	0.25	25	-	114	60-140
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.182,J	27.6	0.090	0.25	25	-	110	60-140
Benzene	ND	25.6	0.046	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
Benzyl chloride	ND	29.0	0.038	0.25	25	-	116	60-140
Bromodichloromethane	ND	24.4	0.0070	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
Bromoform	ND	27.7	0.038	0.25	25	-	111	60-140
Bromomethane	ND	30.4	0.0075	0.25	25	-	122	60-140
1,3-Butadiene	ND	26.8	0.10	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	-	0.80	12	-	-	-	-
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	25.5	2.7	5.0	25	-	102	60-140
Carbon Disulfide	ND	26.9	0.050	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	25.9	0.040	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
Chlorobenzene	ND	26.0	0.043	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
Chloroethane	ND	27.6	0.065	0.25	25	-	110	60-140
Chloroform	ND	21.6	0.042	0.25	25	-	86	60-140
Chloromethane	ND	24.0	0.048	0.25	25	-	96	60-140
Cyclohexane	ND	25.1	0.75	2.5	25	-	100	60-140
Dibromochloromethane	ND	25.5	0.038	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	23.7	0.0025	0.0060	25	-	95	60-140
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	24.8	0.036	0.25	25	-	99	60-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	25.9	0.065	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	25.6	0.050	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	24.2	0.050	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	24.6	0.044	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	25.0	0.042	0.25	25	-	100	60-140
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	22.4	0.0075	0.25	25	-	90	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	25.4	0.050	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	24.6	0.034	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	26.1	0.044	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	24.0	0.0070	0.25	25	-	96	60-140
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.0122,J	27.0	0.0015	0.25	25	-	108	60-140
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	27.2	0.028	0.25	25	-	109	60-140
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	ND	24.7	0.042	0.25	25	-	99	60-140
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	25.4	0.040	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
1,4-Dioxane	ND	27.0	0.0055	0.25	25	-	108	60-140
Ethanol	0.255,J	-	0.019	25	-	-	-	-

A\_\_\_\_QA/QC Officer

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/6/15	BatchID:	111201
Date Analyzed:	10/6/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Instrument:	GC24	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111201

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Ethyl acetate	ND	27.2	0.039	0.25	25	-	109	60-140
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	25.5	0.040	0.25	25	-	102	60-140
Ethylbenzene	ND	26.0	0.043	0.25	25	-	104	60-140
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	26.7	0.050	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
Freon 113	ND	24.7	0.045	0.25	25	-	99	60-140
Heptane	ND	27.6	0.75	2.5	25	-	110	60-140
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	24.6	0.013	0.25	25	-	98	60-140
Hexane	ND	24.7	0.75	2.5	25	-	99	60-140
2-Hexanone	ND	24.2	0.050	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	30.1	0.046	0.25	25	-	121	60-140
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	25.4	0.044	0.25	25	-	101	60-140
Methylene chloride	0.317,J	23.3	0.065	1.2	25	-	92	60-140
Methyl methacrylate	ND	31.3	0.25	0.25	25	-	125	60-140
Naphthalene	ND	50.9	0.040	0.50	50	-	102	60-140
Propene	ND	-	0.90	25	-	-	-	-
Styrene	ND	27.4	0.030	0.25	25	-	109	60-140
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	22.8	0.042	0.25	25	-	91	60-140
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	24.2	0.040	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
Tetrachloroethene	ND	23.7	0.040	0.25	25	-	95	60-140
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	25.3	0.070	0.50	25	-	101	60-140
Toluene	ND	26.2	0.029	0.25	25	-	105	60-140
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	26.8	0.060	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	24.9	0.043	0.25	25	-	100	60-140
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	25.5	0.011	0.10	25	-	102	60-140
Trichloroethene	ND	24.3	0.042	0.25	25	-	97	60-140
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	25.6	0.070	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	26.8	0.049	0.25	25	-	107	60-140
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	25.7	0.042	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
Vinyl Acetate	ND	29.2	0.015	2.5	25	-	117	60-140
Vinyl Chloride	ND	25.8	0.0075	0.25	25	-	103	60-140
Xylenes, Total	ND	76.7	0.75	0.75	75	-	102	60-140
Surrogate Recovery								
1,2-DCA-d4	452	414			500	91	83	70-130
Toluene-d8	492	513			500	98	103	70-130
4-BFB	477	481			500	95	96	70-130

A\_\_\_\_QA/QC Officer



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1509A12
Date Prepared:	10/2/15	BatchID:	111095
Date Analyzed:	10/2/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO17
Instrument:	GC37	Analytical Method:	TO17
Matrix:	Sorbent Tube	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Residential UST	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-111095

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	ND	6720	1000	8300	-	81	60-140
Naphthalene	ND	3.59	0.50	5	-	72	60-140
Surrogate Recovery							
4-BFB	114	59.8		100	114	60	60-140



#### McCampbell Analytical, Inc. **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD** 1 of 1 Page 1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 WorkOrder: 1509A12 **ClientCode: SESB** (925) 252-9262 ✓ EDF □WriteOn EQuIS □WaterTrax Excel 🖌 Email HardCopy ☐ ThirdParty Report to: Bill to: **Requested TAT:** 5 davs: Henry Pietropaoli Email: hpietropaoli@stellar-environmental.com; r Accounts Payable cc/3rd Party:

Collection Date Hold

9/23/2015 11:00

9/23/2015 11:00

9/23/2015 11:30

Test Legend:

1	HELIUM_LC_SOILGAS(%)
5	TO15-8260_SOIL(UG/M3)
9	TO17_ST(UG/M3)

The following SampID: 003A contains testgroup.

Stellar Environmental Solutions

FAX: 510-644-3859

Client ID

SG6S

SG6SD

SG6SA

2198 Sixth St. #201

510-644-3123

Lab ID

1509A12-001

1509A12-002

1509A12-003

Berkelev, CA 94710

PO:

2	PREDF REPORT
6	TO15-8260GAS_SOIL(UG/M3)
10	

ProjectNo: 2015-16: Residential UST

Matrix

SoilGas

SoilGas

SoilGas

3	PRHESHROUDRENTAL
7	TO158260SCANSIM SOIL(UG/M3)
11	

Stellar Enviormental Solutions

lwheeler@stellar-environmental.com

4

А

5

А

2198 Sixth St. #201

Berkelev, CA 94710

3

В

2

А

1

в

В

А

4	TO15_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)
8	TO15GAS_Scan-SIM_SOIL(UG/M3)
12	

□ J-flag

09/24/2015

09/29/2015

11

12

10

Date Received:

Date Printed:

9

А

А

8

А

**Requested Tests (See legend below)** 

7

А

6

А

Prepared by: Jena Alfaro

#### **Comments:**

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.

2	McCampbell Analytical, Inc.
÷	"When Ouality Counts"

### WORK ORDER SUMMARY

<b>Client Name</b>	: STELLAR E	NVIRONMENTAL S	SOLUTIONS		QC Leve	I: LEVEL 2				Wor	k Order:	1509A12
Project:	2015-16; Res	idential UST			<b>Client Contac</b>	t: Henry Pier	tropaoli			Date F	Received:	9/24/2015
Comments:					Contact's Emai	l: hpietropac rmakdisi@	oli@stellar-envir 9stellar-	ronmental.com	n;			
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	<b>↓</b> EDF	Excel	Fax	✓ Email		opy ThirdPart	y 🗌	J-flag	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Contai /Compo	ners Bottle osites	& Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	TAT	Sediment Content	Hold SubOut
1509A12-001A	SG6S	SoilGas	TO17 (VOCs) TPH-Diesel (C	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <naph 210-C23)&gt;</naph 	nthalene, 1	S	orbent Tube		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-001B	SG6S	SoilGas	ASTM D1946	-90 (Helium)	1		1L Summa		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-002A	SG6SD	SoilGas	TO17 (VOCs) TPH-Diesel (C	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <naph 210-C23)&gt;</naph 	nthalene, 1	S	orbent Tube		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-002B	SG6SD	SoilGas	ASTM D1946	-90 (Helium)	1		1L Summa		9/23/2015 11:00	5 days		
1509A12-003A	SG6SA	SoilGas	TO15 (Soil Ga SCANSIM) (μ	s by SW8260B g/m <sup>3</sup> )	1		1L Summa		9/23/2015 11:30	5 days		
			TO15 (TPH-ga	as by SW8260B	) (µg/m³)					5 days		
			TO15 + Gas w	/ Helium						5 days		

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

				1		-				~ '	10	F	- > / P			
			15p	A	Ha	TO	CHA	IN	OF	CL	JST	OL	JY F	RECOR	D	
McCan	npbel	ll Analytic	al, Inc.	T	TE		IND TIM	<b>T</b> . D	пенП	1	Dav	<u> </u>	Dav	B 3 Day	5 DAY	
1534 Willow	Pass Rd /	Pittsburg Ca 9456	5-1701 1509P			anou		<b>E</b> . K	03nu		Day	. ا <del>تع</del> د	. Duy tas			
www.mccam	pbell.com	/ main@mccamp	bell.com	Ge	coTracl	cer ED	FL	PD	F		PED!	)لیہا D	I	EQuIS 🖵	10 DAY Ledi	
Telephone:	(877) 252-9	262 / Fax: (925) 25	2-9269	US	ST Cle	an Up	Fund Projec	ct 🗔;	Claim #		ale					
Report To: 11 Proto	ant.	Bill To:	Same	Th		AX A	nalysis R	eques	sted		2 la	Heli	um Sł	roud SN#	vi	
Company: Stella	Envire	mental Sc	Jutione	15		6	0				de	Oth	er:			
2198 5	ixth	1 . con	Berkey			CO	e, circl				N	Note	es: Plea	ase Specify uni	ts if different tha	n
hpietoprolicostellar-e	nuronne	nhe E-Mail:		12		nyde	ase ase	1010		atic	2	defai	Ilts VO	Cs is ug/m3 ar	is IPA //	1
Tele: (510) 644-3,	123	Fax: (570	644 3859	4		aldel	e, Et (ple		rane	rom	Ha	16	. Leak	CHECK Comment	2 FOR ON	in
Project #: 2015-16		Project Name	Residentiolu	四百	n3)	omí	CO CO LL/L	TLI	(%) orflo	or A	F	RS	nro	od n a	25%-28	58
Project Location: 8	11 Fa	ramont	OARIan	(ug/	ng/n	H, F	Mer ene, tes) t	anel	eck	and/im	0	·A	7 Se	e BLAK	Fe for De	the.
Sampler Signature:	pny	1 et pono	~	)-15	-15 ( m3)	HPCI	CO2, Setyl	ropi	(IP/	atic a	2	Ma	trix	Co	nnister	
	Collection	1		y TC	TO.	nc. v	as: ( c, Ac ate in	as: F	Leal	liph	P			Pressu	re/ Vacuum	
Field Sample ID (Location)		Canister SN#	Sampler Kit SN#	Cs b	0 by I(g)	D (i)	ylene vlene		k CF	H: A	er:	Igas	1001	Initial	Final	
()	Date Tin	sorbent (C	F)	VO	801 TPF	LEE	Fixe Ethy or ii	Fixe	Hel Lea	API (ple	Oth	Soi	Air	Initiat	Final	
SECC	9/23/ - 1/1	1-014993	3167-770	-						-	X	X		SOMI	min	
5665	75 100	T1972	r	-		1			X	-	ŕ	V		-30	-3.5	-
5665		D/123	75	+		+		+ť		-	X	Ŷ		FOR	Minis	
SG6SQ	-   -	6314						+-+	×		120	X		- 20	-3.5	-
56659	1 112	0 (174	211-771			/			X	-		Ì		-30	-4	
56654	113	6117	3/6/-116	-4	/	Y		+-+				~				
				<u> </u>			+									
1								++								
	·					+		++							-	-
																-
						+										-
	1.4															
Pating autobart Put	Date: Tin	Received Bus				1										$\neg$
Reinquisned by:	F241-1	120	1	T	emp (	°C):		Wo	rk Ord	er #: _				•		
Relinquished By:	Date: Tin	ne: Received By:			onditi	on:										
1/106.	24/11	50/2	200		ustod	Seal	s Intact?	Ves	1	No	N	one				
Relinquished By:	Date: Tin	ne: Received By:			hinne	A Via:	And I	INIS	01							
				0	mpped	1 v 1a.		. /1								×
		R / colo	n. 1.	~	1	DI	c 1.	. 1		st	~	05	de	ntrol	251-	. 1
Note: Apralyze	- 1,01	5 038100	SIM/SCAN G	D	1	RL	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		riec			-	_	, ut		, 14
- EDF nee	eder.	, A Ret	a to m	еC	Anpl	el	SAY	nk	15	06	31	0-	00,	A for	potental /	tor
					/		/							/	a Hagery	10192



#### Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environmenta	I Solutions			Date and T	ime Received:	9/24/2015 3:50:00 PM
Project Name:	2015-16; Residential	UST			LogIn Revi	ewed by:	Jena Alfaro
WorkOrder №:	1509A12	Matrix: SoilGas			Carrier:	Bernie Cummir	ns (MAI Courier)
		Chain of C	ustody	<u>/ (COC) lı</u>	nformation		
Chain of custody	present?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Chain of custody	signed when relinquis	hed and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Chain of custody	agrees with sample la	bels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sample IDs noted	d by Client on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Date and Time of	collection noted by Cl	ient on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sampler's name	noted on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
		Sample	e Rece	eipt Infori	mation		
Custody seals int	act on shipping contai	ner/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🖌
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good condi	tion?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sample container	rs intact?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated t	est?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
		Sample Preservatio	on and	Hold Tin	ne (HT) Info	rmation	
All samples recei	ved within holding time	<del>?</del> ?	Yes		No 🗌		
Sample/Temp Bla	ank temperature			Temp:	2°C		
Water - VOA vials	s have zero headspace	e / no bubbles?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🖌
Sample labels ch	ecked for correct pres	ervation?	Yes	✓	No		
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Metal: <2;	522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
		(Ісе Туре	: WE	TICE )			
UCMR3 Samples Total Chlorine t	<u>::</u> ested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🔽
Free Chlorine to 300.1, 537, 539	ested and acceptable	upon receipt for EPA 218.7,	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹

\* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

# **Analytical Report**

**WorkOrder:** 1510B17

**Report Created for:** Stellar Environmental Solutions

2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkeley, CA 94710

- Project Contact: Henry Pietropaoli
- Project P.O.:

Project Name: 2015-16; Paramount

**Project Received:** 10/30/2015

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 11/13/2015 by:

Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 ♦ TEL: (877) 252-9262 ♦ FAX: (925) 252-9269 ♦ www.mccampbell.com NELAP: 4033ORELAP ♦ ELAP: 1644 ♦ ISO/IEC: 17025:2005 ♦ WSDE: C972-11 ♦ ADEC: UST-098 ♦ UCMR3



### **Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions**

- Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions
- **Project:** 2015-16; Paramount
- **WorkOrder:** 1510B17

#### **Glossary Abbreviation**

95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DI WET	(DISTLC) Waste Extraction Test using DI water
DISS	Dissolved (direct analysis of 0.45 $\mu m$ filtered and acidified water sample)
DLT	Dilution Test
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level of Quantitation
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
N/A	Not Applicable
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Data Not Reported due to matrix interference or insufficient sample amount.
PDS	Post Digestion Spike
PDSD	Post Digestion Spike Duplicate
PF	Prep Factor
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
SPLP	Synthetic Precipitation Leachate Procedure
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalents
WET (STLC)	Waste Extraction Test (Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration)

#### **Analytical Qualifiers**

 J
 Result is less than the RL/ML but greater than the MDL. The reported concentration is an estimated value.

 C
 Analyte detected in the associated Canister Cerification and in the sample at a concentration less than the RL but greater than the MDL.



### **Case Narrative**

Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions

**Project:** 2015-16; Paramount

Work Order: 1510B17 November 05, 2015

TO-15 ANALYSIS

All summa canisters are EVACUATED 5 days after the reporting of the results. Please call or email if a longer retention time is required.

In an effort to attain the lowest reporting limits possible for the majority of the TO-15 target list, high level compounds may be analyzed using EPA Method 8260B.

Polymer (Tedlar) bags are not recommended for TO15 samples. The disadvantages are listed in Appendix B of the DTSC Advisory of April 2012.





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510B17
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	Analytical Method:	TO15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Unit:	$\mu g/m^{\textbf{3}}$

	r	ΓPH gas in μ	g/m <sup>3</sup>			
Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
IA-1	1510B17-001A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:45	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
11.50	11.50					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)		ND		36	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		86		70-130		11/04/2015 19:32
OA-1	1510B17-003A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:50	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.03	13.03					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)		ND		36	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		93		70-130		11/04/2015 18:17



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	NA
Date Prepared:	5/31/15-6/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
Can Cert (IA-1)	1510B17-001B	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:45	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
15.00	15.00					MW
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Acetone		ND		6.0	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Acrolein		ND		0.58	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Acrylonitrile		ND		0.22	1	05/31/2015 01:30
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.42	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Benzene		ND		0.032	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Benzyl chloride		ND		0.53	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Bromodichloromethane		ND		0.0070	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Bromoform		ND		1.1	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Bromomethane		ND		0.39	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,3-Butadiene		ND		0.22	1	05/31/2015 01:30
2-Butanone (MEK)		ND		7.5	1	05/31/2015 01:30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		6.2	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Carbon Disulfide		ND		0.32	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Carbon Tetrachloride		ND		0.0064	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Chlorobenzene		ND		0.47	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Chloroethane		ND		0.27	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Chloroform		ND		0.025	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Chloromethane		ND		0.21	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Cyclohexane		ND		1.8	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.87	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		0.050	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0078	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.030	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Dichlorodifluoromethane		ND		0.50	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		0.41	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		ND		0.0041	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		0.10	1	05/31/2015 01:30
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	05/31/2015 01:30
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2-Dichloropropane		ND		0.0047	1	05/31/2015 01:30
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	05/31/2015 01:30





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	NA
Date Prepared:	5/31/15-6/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	nent	Batch ID
Can Cert (IA-1)	1510B17-001B	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:45	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
15.00	15.00					MW
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		0.71	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		0.42	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,4-Dioxane		ND		0.018	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Ethyl acetate		ND		0.92	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.42	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.44	1	05/31/2015 01:30
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		0.50	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Freon 113		ND		0.78	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Heptane		ND		2.1	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		1.1	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Hexane		ND		1.8	1	05/31/2015 01:30
2-Hexanone		ND		0.42	1	05/31/2015 01:30
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		ND		0.42	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		0.37	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Methylene chloride		ND		0.88	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Methyl methacrylate		ND		0.42	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Naphthalene		ND		0.050	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Propene		ND		8.8	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Styrene		ND		0.43	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Tetrachloroethene		ND		0.069	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		0.60	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Toluene		ND		0.38	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		0.55	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.0055	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Trichloroethene		ND		0.027	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Trichlorofluoromethane		ND		0.57	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	05/31/2015 01:30
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Vinyl Acetate		ND		1.8	1	05/31/2015 01:30





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	NA
Date Prepared:	5/31/15-6/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	ment	Batch ID
Can Cert (IA-1)	1510B17-001B	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:45	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressu	re (psia)				Analyst(s)
15.00	15.00					MW
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.013	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Xylenes, Total		ND		1.3	1	05/31/2015 01:30
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		94		70-130		05/31/2015 01:30
Toluene-d8		98		70-130		05/31/2015 01:30
4-BFB		97		70-130		05/31/2015 01:30





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	NA
Date Prepared:	5/31/15-6/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
Can Cert (OA-1)	1510B17-003B	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:50	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
15.00	15.00					MW
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone		ND		6.0	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Acrolein		ND		0.58	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Acrylonitrile		ND		0.22	1	06/07/2015 03:41
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.42	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Benzene		ND		0.032	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Benzyl chloride		ND		0.53	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Bromodichloromethane		ND		0.0070	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Bromoform		ND		1.1	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Bromomethane		ND		0.39	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,3-Butadiene		ND		0.22	1	06/07/2015 03:41
2-Butanone (MEK)		ND		7.5	1	06/07/2015 03:41
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		6.2	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Carbon Disulfide		ND		0.32	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Carbon Tetrachloride		ND		0.0064	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Chlorobenzene		ND		0.47	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Chloroethane		ND		0.27	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Chloroform		ND		0.025	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Chloromethane		ND		0.21	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Cyclohexane		ND		1.8	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.87	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		0.050	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0078	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.030	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Dichlorodifluoromethane		ND		0.50	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		0.41	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		0.0049		0.0041	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		0.10	1	06/07/2015 03:41
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	06/07/2015 03:41
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2-Dichloropropane		0.0053		0.0047	1	06/07/2015 03:41
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	06/07/2015 03:41





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	NA
Date Prepared:	5/31/15-6/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrum	nent	Batch ID
Can Cert (OA-1)	1510B17-003B	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:50	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
15.00	15.00					MW
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		0.71	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		0.42	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,4-Dioxane		ND		0.018	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Ethyl acetate		ND		0.92	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.42	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.44	1	06/07/2015 03:41
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		0.50	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Freon 113		ND		0.78	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Heptane		ND		2.1	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		1.1	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Hexane		ND		1.8	1	06/07/2015 03:41
2-Hexanone		ND		0.42	1	06/07/2015 03:41
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		ND		0.42	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		0.37	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Methylene chloride		ND		0.88	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Methyl methacrylate		ND		0.42	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Naphthalene		ND		0.050	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Propene		ND		8.8	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Styrene		ND		0.43	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Tetrachloroethene		ND		0.069	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		0.60	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Toluene		ND		0.38	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		0.55	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.0055	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Trichloroethene		ND		0.027	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Trichlorofluoromethane		ND		0.57	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	06/07/2015 03:41
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Vinyl Acetate		ND		1.8	1	06/07/2015 03:41





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	NA
Date Prepared:	5/31/15-6/7/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrume	ent	Batch ID
Can Cert (OA-1)	1510B17-003B	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:50	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
15.00	15.00					MW
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.013	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Xylenes, Total		ND		1.3	1	06/07/2015 03:41
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		96		70-130		06/07/2015 03:41
Toluene-d8		99		70-130		06/07/2015 03:41
4-BFB		97		70-130		06/07/2015 03:41



### **Analytical Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510E
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	Analytical Method:	TO15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Unit:	µg/m³

#### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	d Instrument		Batch ID
IA-1	1510B17-001A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:45	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.03	13.03					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Acetone		ND		6.0	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Acrolein		ND		0.58	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Acrylonitrile		ND		0.22	1	11/04/2015 19:32
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Benzene		0.20		0.032	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Benzyl chloride		ND		0.53	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Bromodichloromethane		ND		0.0070	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Bromoform		ND		1.1	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Bromomethane		ND		0.39	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,3-Butadiene		ND		0.22	1	11/04/2015 19:32
2-Butanone (MEK)		ND		7.5	1	11/04/2015 19:32
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		6.2	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Carbon Disulfide		ND		0.32	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Carbon Tetrachloride		0.062		0.0064	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Chlorobenzene		ND		0.47	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Chloroethane		ND		0.27	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Chloroform		0.034		0.025	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Chloromethane		ND		0.21	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Cyclohexane		ND		1.8	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.87	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		0.050	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0078	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.030	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Dichlorodifluoromethane		ND		0.50	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		0.41	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		ND		0.0041	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		0.10	1	11/04/2015 19:32
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	11/04/2015 19:32
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2-Dichloropropane		ND		0.0047	1	11/04/2015 19:32
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	11/04/2015 19:32





# **Analytical Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510E
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	Analytical Method:	TO15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

V	olatile	Organic	Com	oounds	in	$ug/m^3$
•	onathe	OI Sume	Com	Jounus		Pag/ 111

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	l Instrument		Batch ID
IA-1	1510B17-001A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:45	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.03	13.03					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		0.71	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,4-Dioxane		0.021		0.018	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Ethyl acetate		ND		0.92	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Ethylbenzene		ND		0.44	1	11/04/2015 19:32
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		0.50	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Freon 113		ND		0.78	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Heptane		ND		2.1	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		1.1	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Hexane		ND		1.8	1	11/04/2015 19:32
2-Hexanone		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 19:32
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		0.37	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Methylene chloride		ND		0.88	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Methyl methacrylate		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Naphthalene		ND		0.050	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Propene		ND		8.8	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Styrene		ND		0.43	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Tetrachloroethene		ND		0.069	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		0.60	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Toluene		0.56		0.38	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		0.55	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.0055	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Trichloroethene		ND		0.027	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Trichlorofluoromethane		ND		0.57	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	11/04/2015 19:32
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Vinvl Acetate		ND		1.8	1	11/04/2015 19:32





### **Analytical Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510E
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	Analytical Method:	TO15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

#### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instru	nent	Batch ID
IA-1	1510B17-001A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:45	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressur	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
13.03	13.03					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.013	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Xylenes, Total		ND		1.3	1	11/04/2015 19:32
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		81		70-130		11/04/2015 19:32
Toluene-d8		94		70-130		11/04/2015 19:32
4-BFB		101		70-130		11/04/2015 19:32





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07
Date Prepared:	11/4/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrun	nent	Batch ID
OA-1	1510B17-003A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:50	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
11.50	11.50					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
Acetone		6.2		6.0	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Acrolein		ND		0.58	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Acrylonitrile		0.36		0.22	1	11/04/2015 18:17
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Benzene		1.0		0.032	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Benzyl chloride		ND		0.53	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Bromodichloromethane		ND		0.0070	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Bromoform		ND		1.1	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Bromomethane		ND		0.39	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,3-Butadiene		ND		0.22	1	11/04/2015 18:17
2-Butanone (MEK)		ND		7.5	1	11/04/2015 18:17
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND		6.2	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Carbon Disulfide		ND		0.32	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Carbon Tetrachloride		0.41		0.0064	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Chlorobenzene		ND		0.47	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Chloroethane		ND		0.27	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Chloroform		0.17		0.025	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Chloromethane		0.52		0.21	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Cyclohexane		ND		1.8	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Dibromochloromethane		ND		0.87	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ND		0.050	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND		0.0078	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		ND		0.61	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		0.49		0.030	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Dichlorodifluoromethane		2.4		0.50	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,1-Dichloroethane		ND		0.41	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		0.037	С	0.0041	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,1-Dichloroethene		ND		0.10	1	11/04/2015 18:17
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	11/04/2015 18:17
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		ND		0.40	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2-Dichloropropane		0.017	С	0.0047	1	11/04/2015 18:17
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	11/04/2015 18:17





### **Analytical Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510E
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	Analytical Method:	TO15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

#### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup>

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrument		Batch ID
OA-1	1510B17-003A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:50	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
11.50	11.50					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		ND		0.12	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane		ND		0.71	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,4-Dioxane		ND		0.018	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Ethyl acetate		ND		0.92	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Ethylbenzene		0.82		0.44	1	11/04/2015 18:17
4-Ethyltoluene		ND		0.50	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Freon 113		ND		0.78	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Heptane		ND		2.1	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND		1.1	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Hexane		ND		1.8	1	11/04/2015 18:17
2-Hexanone		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 18:17
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND		0.37	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Methylene chloride		ND		0.88	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Methyl methacrylate		ND		0.42	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Naphthalene		0.21		0.050	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Propene		ND		8.8	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Styrene		ND		0.43	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		ND		0.0070	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Tetrachloroethene		ND		0.069	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Tetrahydrofuran		ND		0.60	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Toluene		3.9		0.38	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		ND		0.75	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND		0.55	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND		0.0055	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Trichloroethene		ND		0.027	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Trichlorofluoromethane		1.3		0.57	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		1.0		0.50	1	11/04/2015 18:17
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		ND		0.50	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Vinyl Acetate		ND		1.8	1	11/04/2015 18:17

(Cont.)





Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Work
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07	Extra
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	Analy
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Unit:

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Analytical Method:	TO15
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Instrument		Batch ID
OA-1	1510B17-003A	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 08:50	GC24		112466
Initial Pressure (psia)	Final Pressure	e (psia)				Analyst(s)
11.50	11.50					AK
Analytes		<u>Result</u>	<u>Qualifiers</u>	<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>	Date Analyzed
Vinyl Chloride		ND		0.013	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Xylenes, Total		3.6		1.3	1	11/04/2015 18:17
Surrogates		<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>		
1,2-DCA-d4		79		70-130		11/04/2015 18:17
Toluene-d8		104		70-130		11/04/2015 18:17
4-BFB		103		70-130		11/04/2015 18:17



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions
Date Received:	10/30/15 16:07
Date Prepared:	11/10/15
Project:	2015-16; Paramount

WorkOrder:	1510B17
<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO17
Analytical Method:	TO17
Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$

#### Volatile Organic Compounds in µg/m<sup>3</sup> **Client ID** Lab ID Matrix **Date Collected Instrument Batch ID** IA-1 1510B17-002A 10/30/2015 08:45 GC37 Indoor Air 112772 Analytes Result Qualifiers MDL <u>RL</u> <u>DF</u> Date Analyzed TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) ND 31 230 11/10/2015 21:02 1 Naphthalene 0.51 J 0.44 0.62 1 11/10/2015 21:02 <u>REC (%)</u> Surrogates Limits 4-BFB 99 70-130 11/10/2015 21:02 Analyst(s): KBO



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510B17
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	BatchID:	112466
Date Analyzed:	11/4/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Instrument:	GC24	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-112466

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Acetone	ND	-	12	-	-	-	-
Acrolein	ND	19.7	1.2	25	-	79	60-140
Acrylonitrile	ND	21.1	0.25	25	-	85	60-140
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	20.4	0.25	25	-	81	60-140
Benzene	ND	19.3	0.25	25	-	77	60-140
Benzyl chloride	ND	20.0	0.25	25	-	80	60-140
Bromodichloromethane	ND	18.4	0.25	25	-	74	60-140
Bromoform	ND	21.6	0.25	25	-	86	60-140
Bromomethane	ND	22.7	0.25	25	-	91	60-140
1,3-Butadiene	ND	20.2	0.25	25	-	81	60-140
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND	-	12	-	-	-	-
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	18.8	5.0	25	-	75	60-140
Carbon Disulfide	ND	20.4	0.25	25	-	81	60-140
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	19.1	0.25	25	-	77	60-140
Chlorobenzene	ND	20.7	0.25	25	-	83	60-140
Chloroethane	ND	21.9	0.25	25	-	87	60-140
Chloroform	ND	16.5	0.25	25	-	66	60-140
Chloromethane	ND	15.8	0.25	25	-	63	60-140
Cyclohexane	ND	19.6	2.5	25	-	78	60-140
Dibromochloromethane	ND	19.4	0.25	25	-	78	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	15.8	0.0060	25	-	63	60-140
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	19.2	0.25	25	-	77	60-140
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	17.2	0.25	25	-	69	60-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	17.9	0.25	25	-	72	60-140
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	17.1	0.25	25	-	68	60-140
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	18.3	0.25	25	-	73	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	19.6	0.25	25	-	78	60-140
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	16.8	0.25	25	-	67	60-140
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	19.5	0.25	25	-	78	60-140
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	20.0	0.25	25	-	80	60-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	18.2	0.25	25	-	73	60-140
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	18.6	0.25	25	-	74	60-140
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	18.5	0.25	25	-	74	60-140
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	17.8	0.25	25	-	71	60-140
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	ND	18.2	0.25	25	-	73	60-140
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	21.4	0.25	25	-	86	60-140
1,4-Dioxane	ND	21.0	0.25	25	-	84	60-140

QA/QC Officer



Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510B17
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	BatchID:	112466
Date Analyzed:	11/4/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Instrument:	GC24	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-112466

Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Ethanol	ND	-	25	-	-	-	-
Ethyl acetate	ND	21.8	0.25	25	-	87	60-140
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	19.8	0.25	25	-	79	60-140
Ethylbenzene	ND	20.3	0.25	25	-	81	60-140
4-Ethyltoluene	ND	20.6	0.25	25	-	82	60-140
Freon 113	ND	18.9	0.25	25	-	76	60-140
Heptane	ND	19.2	2.5	25	-	77	60-140
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	17.5	0.25	25	-	70	60-140
Hexane	ND	21.1	2.5	25	-	85	60-140
2-Hexanone	ND	20.6	0.25	25	-	82	60-140
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND	21.0	0.25	25	-	84	60-140
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	19.7	0.25	25	-	79	60-140
Methylene chloride	ND	18.2	1.2	25	-	73	60-140
Methyl methacrylate	ND	24.0	0.25	25	-	96	60-140
Naphthalene	ND	39.4	0.50	50	-	79	60-140
Propene	ND	-	25	-	-	-	-
Styrene	ND	21.9	0.25	25	-	88	60-140
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	17.6	0.25	25	-	71	60-140
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	19.7	0.25	25	-	79	60-140
Tetrachloroethene	ND	19.3	0.25	25	-	77	60-140
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	21.3	0.50	25	-	85	60-140
Toluene	ND	18.0	0.25	25	-	72	60-140
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	19.9	0.25	25	-	80	60-140
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	17.9	0.25	25	-	72	60-140
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	18.2	0.10	25	-	73	60-140
Trichloroethene	ND	19.6	0.25	25	-	78	60-140
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	19.0	0.25	25	-	76	60-140
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	18.2	0.25	25	-	73	60-140
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	20.5	0.25	25	-	82	60-140
Vinyl Acetate	ND	24.6	2.5	25	-	99	60-140
Vinyl Chloride	ND	18.8	0.25	25	-	75	60-140
Xylenes, Total	ND	61.2	0.75	75	-	82	60-140

QA/QC Officer


# **Quality Control Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510B17
Date Prepared:	11/4/15	BatchID:	112466
Date Analyzed:	11/4/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO15
Instrument:	GC24	Analytical Method:	TO15
Matrix:	Soilgas	Unit:	nL/L
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-112466

	QC Summary Report for TO15						
Analyte	MB LCS Result Result		RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
Surrogate Recovery							
1,2-DCA-d4	377	368		500	75	74	70-130
Toluene-d8	476	439		500	95	88	70-130
4-BFB	484	498		500	97	100	70-130

A QA/QC Officer



# **Quality Control Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1510B17
Date Prepared:	11/10/15	BatchID:	112772
Date Analyzed:	11/10/15	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	TO17
Instrument:	GC37	Analytical Method:	TO17
Matrix:	Sorbent Tube	Unit:	$\mu g/m^3$
Project:	2015-16; Paramount	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-112772

## QC Summary Report for TO17

MB Result	LCS Result	MDL	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits
ND	13,900	140	1000	10000	-	139	60-140
ND	4.88	0.36	0.50	5	-	98	60-140
111	114			100	111	114	60-140
	MB Result ND ND	MB ResultLCS ResultND13,900ND4.88111114	MB Result     LCS Result     MDL       ND     13,900     140       ND     4.88     0.36       111     114	MB Result     LCS Result     MDL     RL       ND     13,900     140     1000       ND     4.88     0.36     0.50       111     114     114     114	MB Result     LCS Result     MDL     RL     SPK Val       ND     13,900     140     1000     10000       ND     4.88     0.36     0.50     5       111     114     100     1000	MB Result     LCS Result     MDL     RL     SPK Val     MB SS %REC       ND     13,900     140     1000     10000     -       ND     4.88     0.36     0.50     5     -       111     114     -     100     111	MB Result     LCS Result     MDL     RL     SPK Val     MB SS %REC     LCS %REC       ND     13,900     140     1000     10000     -     139       ND     4.88     0.36     0.50     5     -     98       111     114     -     100     111     114

A\_\_\_\_QA/QC Officer

## McCampbell Analytical, Inc.



1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701

# **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD**

WorkOrder: 1510B17 **ClientCode: SESB** (925) 252-9262 ✓ EDF WriteOn EQuIS WaterTrax Excel Email HardCopy ThirdParty J-flag Report to: Bill to: **Requested TAT:** 5 days; Henry Pietropaoli Email: hpietropaoli@stellar-environmental.com; r Accounts Payable cc/3rd Party: Stellar Enviormental Solutions Stellar Environmental Solutions Date Received: 10/30/2015 PO: 2198 Sixth St. #201 2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkelev, CA 94710 ProjectNo: 2015-16: Paramount Berkeley, CA 94710 Date Printed: 11/03/2015 510-644-3123 FAX: 510-644-3859 lwheeler@stellar-environmental.com **Requested Tests (See legend below)** Lab ID Client ID Matrix Collection Date Hold 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 12 1 9 11

1510B17-001	Can Cert (IA-1)	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 8:45			В				
1510B17-001	IA-1	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 8:45			A	Α			
1510B17-002	IA-1	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 8:45					Α		
1510B17-002	IA-1 (B)	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 8:45	В				В		
1510B17-003	Can Cert (OA-1)	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 8:50			В				
1510B17-003	OA-1	Indoor Air	10/30/2015 8:50			A	Α			
1510B17-004	Sorbent Purge Can	Indoor Air	10/30/2015		Α					

#### Test Legend:

1	PREDF REPORT
5	O15GAS_SCAN-SIM_INDOOR(UG/M3
9	

2	PRSUMACLEAN
6	TO17_ST(UG/M3)
10	

3	TO15_CERT_ScanSim_Indoor(ug/m3)
7	
11	

4	TO15_SCAN-SIM_Indoor(ug/m3)
8	
12	

**Prepared by: Jena Alfaro** 

Page

1 of 1

The following SampIDs: 001A, 003A contain testgroup.

### **Comments:**

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



## WORK ORDER SUMMARY

<b>Client Name</b>	: STELLAR EN	VIRONMENTAL S	OLUTIONS		QC Level:				Work	Corder:	1510B17
Project:	2015-16; Paran	nount		Clie	nt Contact:	Henry Pietropaoli			Date R	eceived:	10/30/2015
Comments:				Conta	nct's Email:	hpietropaoli@stellar-envi rmakdisi@stellar-	ironmental.co	m;			
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	<b>∠</b> EDF	Excel	☐Fax ✓Email	HardC	opyThirdPart	ty J	-flag	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Container /Composit	s Bottle & Preservative es	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	ТАТ	Sediment Content	Hold SubOut
1510B17-001A	IA-1	Indoor Air	TO15 + TPHga	s for Indoor Air	1	6L Summa		10/30/2015 8:45	5 days		
1510B17-001B	Can Cert (IA-1)	Indoor Air	TO15 (VOCs, S	Scan SIM CERT) (µg/n	n <sup>3</sup> ) 1	6L Summa		10/30/2015 8:45	5 days		
1510B17-002A	IA-1	Indoor Air	TO17 (VOCs) TPH-Diesel (C	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <naphthalene, 10-C23)&gt;</naphthalene, 	, 1	Sorbent Tube		10/30/2015 8:45	5 days		
1510B17-002B	IA-1 (B)	Indoor Air	TO17 (VOCs) TPH-Diesel (C	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <naphthalene, 10-C23)&gt;</naphthalene, 	, 1	Sorbent Tube		10/30/2015 8:45	5 days		
1510B17-003A	OA-1	Indoor Air	TO15 + TPHga	s for Indoor Air	1	6L Summa		10/30/2015 8:50	5 days		
1510B17-003B	Can Cert (OA-1)	Indoor Air	TO15 (VOCs, S	Scan SIM CERT) (µg/n	n <sup>3</sup> ) 1	6L Summa		10/30/2015 8:50	5 days		

NOTES: - STLC and TCLP extractions require 2 days to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., One-day TAT yields results in 3 days from sample submission).

- MAI assumes that all material present in the provided sampling container is considered part of the sample - MAI does not exclude any material from the sample prior to sample preparation unless requested in writing by the client.

McCampbell Analytical, Inc. 1534 Willow Pass Rd. / Pittsburg, Ca. 94565-1701	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD TURN AROUND TIME: RUSH 1 Day 2 Day 3 Day 5 DAY GeoTracker EDF PDF 1 EDD EQUIS 10 DAY
www.mccampbell.com / main@mccampbell.com Telephone: (877) 252-9262 / Fax: (925) 252-9269	UST Clean Up Fund Project L: Claim # 710000006106
Report To: /tenny Pietropas/i Bill To: Same	VAnalysis Requested Helium Shroud SN# NA
Company. 5 fellow cherronaut 20101-000     Berkeley, CA     Aprehopaelic sfellow - envire E-Mail:     Tele: (510)   644-3123     Fax: (510)   644-3123     Project #:   2015-16     Project Location:   811     Project Signature:   140     Project Signature:   140	Notes: Please Specify units if different than defaults VOCs is ug/m3) 7 6 / 10 PCH: Formatchydr, 6 PCH: Formatchydr, 7 PCH: Fo
Field Sample ID (Location) , Date Time Canister SN# Sampler Kit SN#	VOCs by TO-   8010 by TO   8010 by TO   Reith View
IA-1 193/1-0845 1959	$X = \frac{1}{2}$
FA-1(B) 0845 G014770/	B X -30 3m1/m/m
0A-1 0850 1957	X -30 -5
Sorbert gurge can V 1940	-30 -8
Relinquished By: Relinquished By: Relinquished By: Date: Time: Received By: Date: Time: Received By:	Temp (°C) : Work Order #:
Relinquished By: Date: Time: Received By:	Custody Seals Intact?: Yes No None Shipped Via:
(B) break trough sorbert tibe, do	not analyze unless break Hough occurs in 60148963 Page 24 of 2:



### Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environ	mental Solutions			Date and T	Time Received:	10/30/2015 4:07:57 PM
Project Name:	2015-16; Parar	nount			LogIn Revi	ewed by:	Jena Alfaro
WorkOrder №:	1510B17	Matrix: Indoor Air			Carrier:	Bernie Cummir	ns (MAI Courier)
		Chain of C	ustody	<u>/ (COC) lr</u>	nformation		
Chain of custody	present?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Chain of custody	signed when reli	inquished and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Chain of custody	agrees with sam	ple labels?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sample IDs note	d by Client on CO	CC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Date and Time of	collection noted	by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sampler's name	noted on COC?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
		Sample	e Rece	eipt Inforr	<u>nation</u>		
Custody seals int	act on shipping of	container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good	condition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Samples in prope	er containers/bott	tles?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sample containe	rs intact?		Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Sufficient sample	volume for indic	cated test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
		Sample Preservation	on and	Hold Tim	<u>ne (HT) Info</u>	<u>rmation</u>	
All samples recei	ved within holdin	ng time?	Yes	✓	No		
Sample/Temp Bla	ank temperature			Temp:			NA 🖌
Water - VOA vial	s have zero head	dspace / no bubbles?	Yes		No		NA 🗹
Sample labels ch	ecked for correc	t preservation?	Yes	✓	No		
pH acceptable up	oon receipt (Meta	al: <2; 522: <4; 218.7: >8)?	Yes		No		NA 🗹
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?		Yes		No 🗸		
Total Chlorine t	<u>::</u> ested and accep	table upon receipt for EPA 522?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🖌
Free Chlorine t 300.1, 537, 539	ested and accep	table upon receipt for EPA 218.7,	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹

\_\_\_\_\_

\* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

\_\_\_\_

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_