Detterman, Mark, Env. Health

From:	Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Sent:	Monday, February 01, 2016 2:26 PM
То:	'Megan Walsh'; Leslie Mulholland; Roe, Dilan, Env. Health
Subject:	RE: Mulholland Residence; Fuel Leak Case No. RO3070; 132 Guilford Road, Piedmont

Megan and Ms. Mulholland,

I have left a voice mail and sent an email to Mr. Strauch this morning in regards to the well installation. I have not heard back; however, I mentioned the reasonable potential for sulfurous and general poor water quality as encountered in water from their backyard neighbor's well, should this be important to their consideration to install a well. I also mentioned there is an unknown potential for contamination from the UST to affect shallow groundwater.

I would advise against folding in testing for shallow UST related contamination with the water well installation. Due to the nature of drilling a deep well, the installation process most likely uses a drilling mud with a number of additives that can make understanding the results of the testing very difficult.

I believe that Mach 2 from 1 to 3 looks fine. I'll reserve the time with Dilan, and find a room here.

Mark Detterman Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502 Direct: 510.567.6876 Fax: 510.337.9335 Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm

From: Megan Walsh [mailto:meganwalshesq@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, February 01, 2016 1:56 PM
To: Leslie Mulholland; Detterman, Mark, Env. Health; Roe, Dilan, Env. Health
Subject: Re: Mulholland Residence; Fuel Leak Case No. RO3070; 132 Guilford Road, Piedmont

Mark,

Thank you for getting back to me last week. Let's shoot for meeting on Wednesday March 2 from 1-3pm.

With the well project up the street I imagine our agenda might look significantly different. If there is information from County Public Works that will be helpful in the discussion please pass it along to me with a cc to Mrs. Mulholland.

Obviously, we have gone from a high (anticipating closure) to a fairly devastating low with closure looking further and further away. If there is work that might be folded in to the well drilling (i.e. testing, etc.) please let us know your thoughts so that we might communicate with the neighbors.

Thanks, Megan

On Thu, Jan 21, 2016 at 5:42 PM, Leslie Mulholland <<u>airleslie@hotmail.com</u>> wrote:

wed March 2 is fine...either morning or afternoon is fine...whatever works best for you and Abby. Leslie

From: Megan Walsh <<u>meganwalshesq@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2016 2:59 PM
To: <u>airleslie@hotmail.com</u>
Subject: Fwd: Mulholland Residence; Fuel Leak Case No. RO3070; 132 Guilford Road, Piedmont

Do any of these times look good to you?

You pick! :)

Megan

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Detterman, Mark, Env. Health** <<u>Mark.Detterman@acgov.org</u>> Date: Wed, Jan 20, 2016 at 10:13 AM Subject: RE: Mulholland Residence; Fuel Leak Case No. RO3070; 132 Guilford Road, Piedmont To: Megan Walsh <<u>meganwalshesq@gmail.com</u>> Cc: "Roe, Dilan, Env. Health" <<u>Dilan.Roe@acgov.org</u>>, "<u>airleslie@hotmail.com</u>" <<u>airleslie@hotmail.com</u>>

Megan,

It looks like March 1^{st} to 4^{th} are pretty wide open. If you still prefer Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays, then that would be either March 2 and March 4. Is morning or afternoon better? Say 10 - 12 AM or 1 to 3 PM for either possibility. Likely we won't need the entire time, but it'll be there should it be needed. Let me know.

Thanks,

Mark Detterman

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG

Alameda County Environmental Health

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway

Alameda, CA 94502

Direct: <u>510.567.6876</u>

Fax: 510.337.9335

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm

From: Megan Walsh [mailto:meganwalshesq@gmail.com] Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2016 10:32 AM

To: Detterman, Mark, Env. Health
Cc: Roe, Dilan, Env. Health; <u>airleslie@hotmail.com</u>
Subject: Re: Mulholland Residence; Fuel Leak Case No. RO3070; 132 Guilford Road, Piedmont

Hi Mark,

Sorry it's taken a bit to get back to you. Can we look for some times in March?

Thanks,

Megan

510.206.0462

meganwalshesq@gmail.com

On Fri, Jan 8, 2016 at 3:42 PM, Detterman, Mark, Env. Health <<u>Mark.Detterman@acgov.org</u>> wrote:

And Happy 2016 to you as well Megan.

In regards to meeting, and with the limitation to Monday's, Wednesday's, and Friday's, February is very full at this time. You should know that Monday's are generally out for Dilan due to another program. However, Dilan and I do have a couple of dates and times available. They tend to go quick, so let me know as soon as you can.

Friday Feb 5^{th} 10 – 5; (assume a 2 hr window)

Friday Feb 26^{th} ; 10 - 5 (same)

We can discuss your questions in the meeting.

Mark Detterman

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG

Alameda County Environmental Health

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway

Alameda, CA 94502

Direct: 510.567.6876

Fax: <u>510.337.9335</u>

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

http://www.acgov.org/aceh/lop/ust.htm

Happy 2016, Mark and Dilan,

I would love to discuss this site with you; perhaps in early February so that Mrs. Mulholland can join us. Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays are best.

I have some questions as to why sampling of a cross-gradient well outside of the State Board estimated plume extent is necessary. I'd like to understand the reasoning given the costs.

Also, I'd like to confirm that if we were to place a deed restriction on the property it would only be for notification purposes. I question any benefit derived from this action given the nature of the property.

Thanks very much,

Megan

On Thu, Dec 31, 2015 at 4:53 PM, Detterman, Mark, Env. Health <<u>Mark.Detterman@acgov.org</u>> wrote:

Ms. Walsh,

Dilan and I have completed our Low Threat Closure Policy review of the subject site. I have attached a file with a draft closure summary form that we prepared during the evaluation for your review. Based on our evaluation and the data that you provided to us in your letter March 12, 2015, it appears the site may be eligible for closure under this policy. However, in order to verify human health protection from residual contamination at the site to current and future property owners of the subject site, as well as current and future owners of the parcel where the downgradient well is located at 120 Hazel Lane, the following items must be completed:

1 Sampling of the downgradient well to confirm that residual contamination does not impact the well. Although you report that the current property owners of 120 Hazel Lane

(Elwin and Jennifer Berlekamp) do not presently use the well, there is no assurance that future property owners will not.

2 Potential deed restriction for the subject site – Due to residual contamination remaining in the front year in the vicinity of the former UST pit, it appears appropriate to have a legal mechanism to protect and inform current and future property owners, and construction workers from direct contact with residual contamination in the former tank pit area.

Alternatively additional characterization could be done to delineate soil and groundwater impacts associated with the former UST.

We have highlighted text in portions of the attached file that pertain to these issues. We would like to schedule a teleconference call with you to discuss the appropriate path forward in the new year.

Please let us know when you have availability.

Mark Detterman

Senior Hazardous Materials Specialist, PG, CEG

Alameda County Environmental Health

1131 Harbor Bay Parkway

Alameda, CA 94502

Direct: <u>510.567.6876</u>

Fax: <u>510.337.9335</u>

Email: mark.detterman@acgov.org

PDF copies of case files can be downloaded at:

--

Megan K. Walsh, Esq.

meganwalshesq@gmail.com

510.206.0462

www.walshlawca.com

--

Megan K. Walsh, Esq.

meganwalshesq@gmail.com

510.206.0462

www.walshlawca.com

--Megan K. Walsh, Esq. <u>meganwalshesq@gmail.com</u> <u>510.206.0462</u> <u>www.walshlawca.com</u>

--Megan K. Walsh, Esq. <u>meganwalshesq@gmail.com</u> 510.206.0462 www.walshlawca.com