# **PERJURY STATEMENT**

Subject: Fuel Lake Case No. Ro0002981 and Geotracker Clobal ID T1000000416, Red Hanger Cleaners, 6335-6339 College Ave., Oakland, CA 94618

" I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge."

Ted Cleveland Nice President – Eastern Region EFI Global, Inc.





Project No. E13243.000 25 August 2015

Alameda County Environmental Health Services **Environmental Protection** 11231 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502

Attention: Mr. Keith Nowell, PG, CHG, Hazardous Materials Specialist

RED HANGER KLEANERS, 6335-6339 COLLEGE AVENUE, OAKLAND, CA Subject: Alameda County Environmental Health Case No. RO0002981 Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Soil Gas Investigation Report

References: (at end of report)

### Dear Mr. Nowell:

On behalf of EFI Global, Inc. and Mr. Ronald Elvidge, site owner, Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. (Youngdahl) is presenting to Alameda County Environmental Health Services (ACEH) this revised Soil Gas Investigation Report (Report) for the Red Hanger Kleaners site (Site), located at 6335-6339 College Avenue in Oakland, California (Figures 1 and 2). Youngdahl has been retained to complete a characterization of soil gas impacts potentially resulting from a tetrachloroethylene (PCE) release identified during previous Phase II investigations, and in accordance with the 24 June 2011 letter from ACEH. This report has been revised from the 27 July Report to address an error made by the analytical laboratory regarding volatile organic compound concentrations previously reported in select indoor air samples collected at the building that once contained Red Hanger Kleaners. This Report presents the following:

- Site Background;
- Narrative of the Site Investigations;
- Laboratory Analytical Results:
- Vapor Intrusion and Indoor Air Health Risk Assessments;
- Findings.

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The Red Hanger Kleaners site is located at 6335-6339 College Avenue in a mixed commercial and residential area of Oakland, and consists of a three-story building, a parking area, and associated landscaping (Figure 2). The building is currently occupied by various tenants, with the ground floor most recently occupied by a dry cleaning facility; with the space now vacant. According to Reference No. 6, the Red Hanger Kleaners business has been located at 6239 College Avenue since about 1987. The site is assigned Alameda County Environmental Health Case No. RO00002981 and California State Water Resources Control Board Geotracker Global ID T1000000416.

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed in 2005 identified a past underground storage tank (UST) and use as a dry cleaning business. A Phase II Environmental Site



Assessment in 2005 identified a release of PCE and chloroform. The suspected UST was searched for as part of this assessment, only to find a filled-in excavation that once likely held a UST. Subsequent assessments identified that the groundwater was not as contaminated as originally indicated and all of the PCE concentrations in soil and groundwater were below human health screening levels.

## 1.1 Site Location and Map

A topographic map based upon the USGS 7.5 minute quadrangles is provided as Figure 1. The site includes a single slab-on-grade structure (no basement) and paved parking areas (Figure 2). Surface runoff is conveyed by the storm sewer system. Wastewater is collected by the sanitary sewer system.

## 1.2 Geology/Hydrogeology

The site is located in the City of Oakland, which is within the Coast Ranges Geomorphic province. According to the 1:50,000 scale Geologic Map and Map Database of the Oakland Metropolitan Area, Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco counties (Reference No. 1), the site is underlain by Holocene age fluvial and alluvial fan deposits described as brown or tan, medium dense to dense, gravely sand or sandy gravel that generally grades upward to sandy or silty clay. Boring logs for the site assessments show silty clays and clayey gravels to be prevalent to the maximum 35-foot exploration depth.

## 2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

There have been a series of investigations by various consultants dating back to 2005. The following is a summary of these investigations. The addresses used for the Site in the reports for these investigations and in some regulatory correspondence are not consistent. The name of "Red Hanger Kleaners" and "Red Hanger Cleaners" was also used interchangeably. The following summaries report the addresses and business name as used in each individual report.

## 2.1 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment – March 2005

AEI Consultants (AEI) was retained by Ellwood Commercial Real Estate to conduct a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I ESA) at 6235 College Avenue. They described the property as being in a mixed commercial and residential area of Oakland on property totaling approximately 11,353 square feet with a three-story building occupied by commercial and office tenants, and with a dry cleaning operation located on the first floor. AEI indicated that the property was improved with a concrete surfaced parking area and associated landscaping. Surrounding property uses were described as being a restaurant to the north, a parking lot and bank to the south, College Avenue and a gas station to the east, and private residences to the west.

AEI reviewed nearby groundwater monitoring data that inferred a groundwater flow direction to the southwest. AEI identified the following recognizable environmental conditions:

 AEI reviewed a building plan which indicated that that an underground storage tank (UST) used for storing gasoline may have been located on the northwest portion of the subject property as late as 1986. The location of the UST was noted as "undetermined", however, a fill pipe was noted on the plans in this location. Building permits dated 1941 listed the occupant of the property as Berkeley Fuel and Supply; however, it is unknown whether the former UST was associated with this business. The subject property was not listed on the regulatory database as a current or former UST site; however, based on the building plan, it is apparent that a UST was formerly located onsite. The location of the former UST is unknown. Based on the unknown management and/or removal practices utilized in connection with the UST, the potential exists that a release of



petroleum hydrocarbons from the UST has impacted the subsurface of the subject property via groundwater.

• AEI's assessment revealed that dry cleaning activities have been conducted on the subject property since at least 1987. Dry cleaning operations typically use chlorinated solvents, particularly tetrachloroethylene (PCE) during the dry cleaning process. These solvents, even when properly stored and disposed of, can be released from these facilities in small, frequent releases through floor drains, cracked concrete, and sewer systems. Chlorinated solvents are highly mobile chemicals that can easily accumulate in soil and migrate to groundwater beneath a facility. Based on this information, the presence of a dry cleaning facility on the subject property represents evidence of a recognized environmental condition.

AEI recommended that a subsurface investigation and a geophysical survey be conducted in connection with the former on-site UST and the long-term dry cleaning operations.

### 2.2 Phase II Subsurface Investigation – May 2005

AEI conducted a geophysical survey using electro-magnetic survey (E-M) Survey and ground penetrating radar (GPR) in the northwest corner of the site and in the area around the dry cleaning machines where the soil borings were planned. The E-M Survey identified an anomaly just inside the gate (northern end of property). GPR was able to identify the sanitary sewer along the back of the building and the storm drain along the west property line. GPR also identified what appeared to be a backfilled excavation (approximately 8 feet deep) that coincided with the E-M Survey anomaly along with a shallow (1.5 to 3.0 feet deep) narrow backfilled trench that ran through the center of the parking area, through the backfilled trench anomaly.

AEI advanced five soil borings to depths ranging from 12 to 25 feet below ground surface (bgs) with soil samples reportedly collected at regular intervals beginning at a depth of 3.0 to 4.0 bgs. The first boring was advanced to a total depth of 25 feet bgs to determine the depth of groundwater. The borings were advanced using a Geoprobe® model 5410 direct-push drilling rig. Soil samples were placed on ice. The depths of samples that were analyzed for borings SB-1 through SB-4 were not clearly specified in the report but appear to most likely be 3 to 4 feet bgs based on the chain of custody document. The depth of the sample analyzed for SB-5 was not clearly indicated but, based on the chain of custody, was likely 11.5 feet bgs. A groundwater sample was collected from boring SB-1 with first water reported at 17½ feet bgs and a water level at 16 feet bgs after 5 minutes.

Soil and groundwater samples were transported to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. with the soil samples from borings SB-1 through SB-4 analyzed for halogenated volatile organic compounds (HVOCs) by EPA Method 8260 (8010 basic list). The soil sample from SB-5 was analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline (TPH-g), benzene, toluene, ethlybenzene, xylenes (BTEX), and methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) by methods SW8015Cm/8021B. The groundwater sample from SB-1 was analyzed for HVOCs by EPA Method 8260B for the basic 8010 list.

No detectable concentrations of TPH-gasoline, TPH-diesel or TPH-motor oil were reported in the soil sample from SB-5. PCE was detected in soil borings SB-1 through SB-4 at concentrations ranging from 0.080 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu$ g/kg) (SB-2) to 0.26  $\mu$ g/kg (SB-4). No other HVOC analytes were detected in the soil samples. Tetrachloroethene (PCE) was detected at a concentration of 48 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L) in the groundwater sample from boring SB-1 and Chloroform was reported at a concentration of 0.83  $\mu$ g/L. No other HVOC analytes were detected in the groundwater sample from boring SB-1 and Chloroform was reported at a concentration of 0.83  $\mu$ g/L. No other HVOC analytes were detected in the groundwater sample from SB-1.



AEI concluded that the presence of low levels of PCE in the soil and groundwater indicated that a small release of PCE had occurred in the area of the dry cleaning facility at the site. The presence of chloroform in the groundwater is probably the result of interaction between PCE and chlorine released by breakdown of the PCE with naturally occurring organic compounds in the soil or groundwater beneath the site. AEI recommended the following actions:

- No further investigation of the suspected UST in the NW corner of the property.
- Due to the fact that a release of hazardous material has been discovered, a copy of this report should be forwarded to ACEH.
- Request an immediate determination as to whether any further action will be required relative to the HVOCs detected.

## 2.3 Phase II Confirmation Sampling – June 2005

On 28 June 2005, EFI Global (EFI) advanced a direct push boring (SB-6) with continuous coring to a depth of 20 feet bgs. The coring was screened with a hand-held photo-ionization detector, with no VOC's detected in the field. The soils were reported to be composed as follows:

0 – 8 feet: brown silty clay; 8 – 12 feet: clay; 12 – 20 feet: clayey silt.

First groundwater was reported at a depth of 20 feet bgs with the static groundwater level at 16 feet bgs. Groundwater samples were collected from the borehole using a dedicated Teflon bailer. No odors were identified in the groundwater samples. The groundwater samples were transported to McCampbell Analytical, Inc. for analysis of VOCs by EPA Method 8260. PCE was reported at a concentration of 15  $\mu$ g/L and chloroform was reported at a concentration of 0.83  $\mu$ g/L.

EFI concluded that the shallow soils contain low concentrations of PCE, but PCE is not present in the deeper unsaturated soils. They considered it to be possible that the low concentration of PCE detected in the groundwater is not attributed to PCE in shallow soil at the site. EFI indicated that the sources of the PCE detected in the groundwater is unknown, but the concentrations appear to be low and not of significant concern at this time. EFI recommended against any further assessment of the PCE and requested that the City of Oakland Fire Department review the additional data in response to a previous request for "no further action".

# 2.4 Local Regulatory Agency File Review, Kays Cleaners, 6251 College Avenue – July 2008

Basics Environmental Inc. (Basics) reviewed the Phase I ESA and Phase II ESA (references No. 2 and 3) completed by AEI Environmental. Basics indicated that EFI prepared a report titled "Request for No Further Action – Red Hanger Cleaners (6235 College Avenue) dated 2 June 2005 and submitted to the Oakland Fire Department for review. EFI summarized the results in the AEI Phase I and Phase II ESA reports. EFI concluded that is possible that the low concentration of PCE detected in the groundwater is not attributed to PCE in shallow soil at the site. EFI indicated that there were once nearby historic dry cleaning businesses as follows: Rockridge Royal Cleaners located at 5445 College Avenue and down-gradient to cross-gradient; Garden Cleaners located at 5808 College Avenue and down-gradient to cross-gradient; and historically adjacent Kay's Cleaner located at 6251 College Avenue and directly up-gradient to the Red Hanger Cleaners. EFI recommended no further assessment of the PCE in the soil and groundwater be done at the site and requested a "no further action" letter from



the City of Oakland Fire Department. Basics Reviewed the report titled "Confirmation Sample Results – Red Hanger Cleaners (6235 College Avenue)" (Reference No. 6).

Basics reported that, on 15 July 2005, the Oakland Fire Department issued a letter stating that "no further action" was required by the Oakland Fire Department. Basics reported that the Oakland Fire Department authority does not extend to sites where groundwater has been impacted. Basics indicated that the extent of PCE in groundwater had not yet been defined horizontally or vertically and the health risk posed by the contaminants had not yet been evaluated.

Basics described their scope as to provide additional file review and further research to evaluate hazardous materials handling practices conducted at 6251 College Avenue. They discovered that Red Hangers Cleaners occupied 6251 College Avenue starting in approximately the year 1970. By 1987, they had moved to 6239 College Avenue.

Basics reviewed hazardous materials plans for 6239 College Avenue from April 1991 through March 2007. They reported that in April 1991, 100 gallons of waste PCE was generated per year and 55 gallons of new PCE was stored on site. Drums possibly containing PCE were stored outside for approximately two years. A May 1993 inspection noted that waste PCE filters were stored in two 15-gallon drums. A February 1997 Hazardous Materials Business Plan indicated that 140 gallons of PCE was stored onsite. A January 2007 inspection indicated that old waste should be properly disposed. A January 2007 and a March 2007 inspection indicated that no secondary containment was provided for a drum of PCE.

Basics reviewed the City of Oakland Building Department files for information pertaining to the former Kay's Cleaners and Red Hanger Cleaners. They reported that, for 6235-6239 College Avenue, in 1986 a building permit was issued for the demolition of a single story structure (6237-6247 College Avenue) and to erect a metal warehouse building. A building permit was issued for the construction of a new three story building in 1986 and a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy was issued to Red Hanger Cleaners.

For 6251-6255 College Avenue, a permit was issued in 1925 for the construction of a threestory building at the corner of 63<sup>rd</sup> Street and College Avenue. In 1964 a Certificate of Completion was issued to Kay's Cleaners (6253 College Avenue). In 1965 a mechanical permit was issued for Red Hanger Cleaners at 6251-6255 College Avenue. Additional tenant improvements were issued in 1988, 2001, 2005, and 2006.

Basics reviewed city directories finding Kay Cleaners listed at 6253-6255 College Avenue in 1953, and at 6253 College Avenue in 1955, 1960, and 1965. In 1970, Kay Cleaners, Inc. was listed at 6251College Avenue. For 1973 and 1977, 6251 College Avenue was listed as Kay's Cleaners. In 1987, 6251 College Avenue was listed as Red Hanger Cleaners. In 1992, 6251 College Avenue was listed as Hazara Oriental Rug and 6235 College Avenue was listed as Office and Red Hanger. In 2002, 6251 College Avenue was listed as Impressions, Inc. and 6235 College Avenue was listed as Office and Red Hanger. In 2002, 6251 College Avenue was listed as Impressions, Inc. and 6235 College Avenue was listed as Office and Red Hanger.

Basics concluded that the building addressed as 6251-6255 College Avenue was occupied by Kay's Cleaners from at least 1953 to 1977. From 1982 to 1987, 6251-6255 College Avenue was listed as Red Hanger Cleaners. In 1987 Red Hanger Cleaners moved to 6235-6239 College Avenue.



Basics indicated that the 6251-6255 College Avenue site is not currently listed as a contaminated facility. However, given the potential for appreciable amounts of hazardous materials used over an extended period of time, they concluded that it is conceivable that soil and/or groundwater may have been impacted.

#### 2.5 2009 Site Characterization Summary Report – 20 January 2010

In a letter dated 15 January 2009, staff of Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) indicated that they had reviewed the case file for Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002981 and Geotracker Global ID T1000000416, Red Hanger Cleaners, 6335-6339 College Ave., Oakland, CA 94618. ACEH requested the submission of a work plan to address determining the horizontal and vertical extent of the dissolved groundwater plume, a characterization of the vertical extent of soil contamination, an assessment of groundwater contamination at the former UST location, and a preferential pathway study including underground utilities and nearby wells. ACEH requested copies of all previous reports by 16 March 2009 and the work plan, including a well survey, by 15 April 2009.

### Site Characterization Workplan

Environmental Resources Management (ERM) submitted a Site Characterization Workplan dated 13 April 2009 to ACEH. ERM summarized the AEI Consultants investigations (References No.2 and No.3), the EFI Global, Inc. investigation (Reference No. 4), and the Basics Environmental local agency file review (Reference No. 6). They also reviewed additional soil sampling conducted by P&D Environmental in May of 2008, describing it as follows:

An additional round of soil and ground water sampling was conducted at the Site in May 2008 by P&D Environmental, Inc. at two locations northeast (presumed upgradient) of the existing dry cleaning machines. The scope and results of that investigation have not been presented in a formal report, but boring logs, data summary tables, and an analytical report associated with those two locations (B7 and B8: Figure 3) were provided to ACEH under separate cover. PCE was detected in one of the soil samples. and in both ground water samples. In addition, chloroform was detected in both ground water samples. Both PCE detections in ground water were higher than the RWQCB screening level (7 µg/L and 12 µg/L). The source of these upgradient detections is unknown. However, one possibility is a former dry cleaning facility previously located adjacent to and northeast of the current Red Hanger Kleaners location at 6251 College Avenue. Basics Environmental (Basics) conducted a local regulatory agency file review for the two dry cleaning facilities, and presented their findings in a 23 July 2008 letter report (submitted to ACEH under separate cover). According to the Basics report, the 6251 address originally housed a dry cleaning operation called Kay's Cleaners, and that facility was apparently later adopted for use by Red Hanger Kleaners, which apparently moved their operations in 1987 to the current location. Currently, the 6251 College Avenue address is occupied by a nail salon.

## Preferential Pathway Study

ERM conducted a site walk to identify obvious evidence of subsurface utilities in the immediate site vicinity (i.e., utility boxes, manholes, etc.). On 8 April 2009, a geophysical survey was completed to identify anomalies suggestive of subsurface pipelines. The maximum utility depth was 5 feet bgs. ERM concluded that groundwater depths are substantially deeper than the utilities, so groundwater would not drain into or follow the utility corridors. However, they indicated that historical dry cleaner operations that released PCE-impacted wastewater to the sanitary sewer or storm sewer lines could also have had releases to the subsurface through cracks and breaks in the lines.



ERM reviewed a well survey obtained from Environmental Data Resources for all wells within 1/4-mile of site and listed in local and regional databases. No federal or state water supply wells were identified within 1/4-mile of the site. One federal public water supply well was located 3/4-mile north of the site and one state well located approximately one mile west-northwest of the site. ERM identified 12 groundwater monitoring wells within 1/4-mile of the site listed on the State Water Resources Control Board Geotracker Database. ERM found no evidence of wells in the immediate site vicinity that were located at hydrogeologic positions likely to serve as preferential pathways for chemical migration onto the site or away from the southwest corner of the site (where PCE was identified in groundwater).

### Scope of Work

ERM defined the scope of work including:

- 1) The securing of permits;
- 2) Marking proposed boring locations and activating an Underground Services Alert;
- 3) The preparation of site specific health and safety plan;
- 4) The collection of soil and grab groundwater samples at nine locations in a two phase field investigation with Phase A being as follows:
  - a) The advancement of borings in the vicinity of the dry cleaning machines to collect soil and groundwater samples.
  - b) The advancement of a boring outside of the building, adjacent to Boring SB-1, downgradient from the dry cleaning machines to first water of 35 feet, whichever comes first;
  - c) A boring in the alley north of the dry cleaning facility and west of the neighboring restaurant, upgradient of the dry cleaning machines.
  - d) A boring in the alley and north of the dry cleaning business and west of the restaurant, upgradient of the dry cleaning machines, and north of the previous boring; and
  - e) A boring within the former UST pit to collect a grab groundwater sample.
- 5) ERM defined Phase B as advancing three borings downgradient of those in which PCE was originally detected with one within the subject property boundaries at the southwest corner and two located off-site within the adjacent Bank of America parking lot.

#### Work Plan Summary

ERM summarized the subsurface stratigraphy of the site and their approach as follows:

According to the prior Phase II investigation, the subsurface stratigraphy at the Site is predominantly silty clays and clayey silts, which would tend to inhibit the vertical migration of chemicals. In addition, the relatively low PCE concentrations observed at the Site do not suggest the presence of a separate fluid phase (i.e., dense nonaqueous phase liquid, of DNAPL, which, in the case of PCE, would tend to sink to the base of a water bearing zone). Therefore, the proposed scope assumes that the PCE concentrations observed in the two depth intervals sampled during Phase A will be comparable. If this is the case, or if the shallow samples contain appreciably higher concentrations than the deeper samples, the borings advanced during Phase B will terminate at a depth just beneath the ground water interface, at approximately 16 to 20 feet bgs.

However, if the deep ground water samples collected during Phase A contain significantly higher concentrations, it will indicate that the vertical extent of PCE occurrence has not been defined adequately, and to more completely address the ACEH concerns, it may be necessary to collect additional ground water samples at intervals deeper than those investigated during Phase A prior to collecting downgradient samples.



ERM planned to collect soil samples during Phase A at 10 feet bgs and from directly above the groundwater contact (assumed to be 15 feet). They planned to collect groundwater samples using a hydropunch or similar groundwater sampling technique.

With the exception of the boring through the former UST pit, all soil and water samples were planned to be analyzed for VOCs by EPA Method 8260B. The soil and water samples from the boring through the UST pit were planned to be analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons Extractable Range by EPA Method 8015 modified; along with Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons quantified as gasoline with benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and fuel oxygenates by EPA Method 8260B.

#### ACEH Review of Workplan

The ACEH reviewed the work plan, conditionally approving it in a letter dated 14 August 2009 (Reference No. 8). Their technical comments were that they required a perjury letter, and that soil sampling also be performed at the capillary fringe, saturated zone, at lithologic changes, and from areas with high Photo-Ionization Detector (PID) readings. For the groundwater samples from below the former UST excavation, they requested additional analyses for ethylene dibromide (EDB) and ethylene dichloride by EPA Method 8260. ACEH requested that the technical report be submitted by January 15, 2010.

#### 2009 Site Characterization Summary Report

ERM-West, Inc. (ERM) submitted a 2009 Site Characterization Report to ACEH dated 20 January 2010 (Reference No. 9).

Two soil borings were advanced outside of the building and downgradient near the dry cleaning machines, and one boring was advanced through the former UST excavation on 11 October 2009. Site access limitations prevented the drilling of borings in the alleyway north of the building. One soil boring was advanced inside the building on 5 December 2009 to characterize the vertical extent of soil PCE contamination. All soil borings were advanced manually with a stainless steel hand auger to 5 feet and then via direct push drilling to the terminus of each boring.

The soils were continuously cored in 4-foot lengths with the exception of the Location A-1 stepout boring, which was pushed directly to the terminous. The soil samples were visually examined to characterize the subsurface geology according to the Unified Soil Classification System, evaluated for visible evidence of contamination, and field screened with a PID for the presence of organic vapors. Visual observations and PID readings were used to determine the appropriate sampling intervals within each boring. Soil samples were collected in acetate liners, covered with Teflon tape, and capped with plastic end caps. All soil samples were sealed in plastic bags and stored in an iced cooler.

Groundwater was first encountered at depths of approximately 35 feet bgs, rising up to approximately 22 feet bgs. Upon reaching groundwater, temporary wells were installed using a HydroPunch sampler and <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe with 5 feet of screen at the bottom. Groundwater samples were collected using polyethylene tubing and a check valve into appropriate laboratory-provided sample containers and stored in an iced cooler.

The soil and groundwater samples from the area of the dry cleaning machines were analyzed for VOCs by EPA Method 8260B. The groundwater sample from below the former UST excavation was analyzed for TPH-gasoline/benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX)/fuel oxygenates, and for water only, ethylene dibromide (EDB; syn: 1,2-dibromoethane)

and ethylene dichloride (EDC; syn:1,2-dichloroethane) by USEPA Method 8260B; and TPH-extractables by USEPA Method 8015-modified.

All borings were backfilled with neat cement. The soil cuttings were stored in one 55-gallon drum and stored on the property in preparation for disposal.

ERM reported the soils to be light brown to dark brown silts, sandy silts, and silty sands, and yellow-brown to orange-brown sandy/gravelly silts to clayey silts and gravelly clays to clays. Groundwater was encountered in three of the borings. One boring that was advanced to 35 feet bgs did not encounter groundwater. No evidence of impacts, such as odor or staining was observed in any of the borings.

The analytical results of this and past investigations are summarized on Figure 3 in the Youngdahl Workplan (Reference No. 16). In general PCE was detected in the soil beneath the dry cleaning machines at concentrations ranging from not-detected at depths of 25 feet bgs and deeper, to 10.6 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu$ g/kg) at 6.5 feet bgs. Outside of the building, PCE was detected in only one sample at a concentration of 4.3  $\mu$ g/kg at 20 feet bgs. Toluene and Acetone were detected in low concentrations. TPH-extractables, TPH-gasoline, BTEX compounds, and fuel oxygenates were not detected in soil samples collected from beneath the former UST excavation. The concentrations of all soil analytes were below applicable screening levels.

PCE was detected in concentrations of 0.91  $\mu$ g/L and 1.9  $\mu$ g/L in the two groundwater samples collected next to the area of the dry cleaning machines. Chloroform was detected in concentrations of 1.7  $\mu$ g/L and 1.9  $\mu$ g/L. TPH-extractables, TPH-gasoline, BTEX compounds, and fuel oxygenates were not detected in the water sample collected from beneath the former UST excavation.

ERM summarized their report with the following:

- The lack of TPH and fuel-related compounds in soil and ground water samples collected in the vicinity of the suspected former UST indicates that the former UST is not a source of TPH impacts to the subsurface.
- The lack of visual or other evidence of VOC impacts and the low reported concentrations of VOCs in unsaturated soils, below applicable screening levels, indicates that there is not a significant VOC source in shallow soils at the Site.
- The low reported concentrations of VOCs in Site ground water, below applicable screening levels, indicate that current VOC concentrations in Site ground water are lower than reported in 2008 and are not representative of significant VOC impacts.

## 2.6 Well Survey Report – June 2010

ERM submitted a Well Survey Report for the Red Hanger Kleaners site to ACEH on 7 June 2010. ERM contacted the Alameda County Public Works Agency, Water Resources Section and the California Department of Water Resource, Division of Planning and Local Assistance for well information. ERM identified 26 wells within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-mile of the subject property. All of the identified wells were associated with environmental investigations being conducted at nearby sites.

ERM concluded that given the locations of these 26 wells relative to the subject property and the southwesterly groundwater flow direction in the vicinity, it is unlikely that the 26 wells would



be potential receptors of groundwater flowing beneath the subject property or conduits to influence groundwater migration from the subject property.

#### **3.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL**

On June 24, 2011, Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) directed the site owner to submit a work plan to evaluate vapor intrusion at the site including beneath the Red Hanger Kleaners building and along the sewer line in the alleyway between the Red Hanger Kleaners and the Great Wall Restaurant. Youngdahl prepared a Workplan (Reference No. 16) which was conditionally approved by ACEH on 27 January 2015 (Reference No. 17).

A detailed Conceptual Site Model was provided in the Youngdahl Workplan for this soil gas investigation (Reference No. 16). The area of investigation is underlain by bedded light brown to dark brown silts, sandy silts, and silty sands, and yellow-brown to orange-brown sandy/gravelly silts to clayey silts and gravelly clays to clays to a depth of at least 35 feet with groundwater ranging from 15 to 22 feet bgs. A case closure letter for an underground storage tanks site at 6201 Claremont Avenue in Oakland (less than 200 feet east of the subject property) (Reference No. 12) showed the groundwater flow direction to be southwesterly with groundwater depths ranging from 11.69 to 23.02 feet bgs.

The identified chemicals of concern are PCE and chloroform. Based on the most recent site assessment (Reference No. 9), the concentrations in the groundwater and soil appear to be below human health risk screening thresholds. The soil ingestion/absorption and groundwater exposure pathways are therefore incomplete. However, the soil gas extent and concentrations were previously unknown, hence this report.

The buildings in the area known to be potentially impacted are the dry cleaning business, offices, a neighboring restaurant, residential properties, and a bank. Most of the area around the buildings is surrounded by both asphaltic concrete and portland cement paving. The site is crossed by various underground utilities which are potential preferential pathways for contaminant migration.

The PCE release is most likely related to dry cleaning businesses in the area (not necessarily only Red Hanger Kleaners). Dry cleaning operations typically use chlorinated solvents, particularly tetrachloroethylene (PCE) during the dry cleaning process. These solvents, even when properly stored and disposed of, can be released from these facilities in small, frequent releases through floor drains, cracked concrete, and sewer systems. Chlorinated solvents are highly mobile chemicals that can easily accumulate in soil and migrate to groundwater beneath a facility.

The potential exposure pathways for PCE and Chloroform are vapor intrusion into buildings and into the air outside of buildings. The potential receptors would be past employees in the former dry cleaning business, a nearby restaurant, a nearby bank and offices, customers of these businesses, and occupants of nearby residences (Figure 2).

#### 4.0 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE OF FIELD INVESTIGATION

The scope of work presented in the work plan was developed to address Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) requirements. The objectives of the sampling and analysis plan was to further evaluate the extent of PCE, chloroform, and any other dry cleaning solvent/solvent degradation product contamination in the soil gas. ACEH conditionally approved the work plan requesting that we retain all 11 soil gas sampling locations for analyses using the mobile laboratory and that we switch to using Summa Canisters and an offsite laboratory for the subslab samples (Reference No. 17). They also requested the addition of one subslab sample in the bolier room. ACEH requested that we use TO-15 to look for low levels of



trichloroethene in the subslab samples. In addition to using the photoionization detector (PID) to measure leak detection compounds in the shroud, ACEH requested that we collect a minimum of three shroud gas samples for testing for leak detection compounds via the mobile laboratory gas chromatography. All additional ACEH requests were complied with.

#### 4.1 Fieldwork Preparation

Prior to initiating field work, Youngdahl employed the services of an underground utility locating service to locate all underground and subslab utilities within the area of planned investigation. Youngdahl marked the site for an Underground Services alert, prepared a Health and Safety Plan, and obtained Summa Canisters along with 200 mL/min flow regulators.

### 4.2 Soil Gas sampling

On 11 March 2015, representatives of TEG and Youngdahl met on site at to conduct the soil gas sampling. The former dry cleaning space had been mostly gutted of all equipment with only debris piled in the northwest corner. A new hot water heater had been installed in the boiler room over a previously selected sampling location.

Soil gas samples were collected at eleven locations (Figure 3) from depths of approximately 5 feet bgs following California Department of Toxic Substances Control and San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board guidelines (Reference No. 14). 1½-inch holes were drilled through the concrete slab. A one inch probe rod with a drop-off point was driven to a maximum depth of 5 feet. A plastic air diffuser was inserted on nylaflow tubing to the bottom of the hole and then retracted 0.5 feet. Monterey sand was then added as the probe rod was withdrawn leaving the diffuser centered in a one-foot section of hole with a sand pack. Hydrated bentonite was then added to the hole to within one-inch of the surface. Each point was allowed to equilibrate for at least two hours before sampling.

Prior to sampling, isopropyl alcohol soaked cotton balls were placed around the outside of the hole with care taken to not allow alcohol to touch the tubing. A shroud was then placed over the sampling point with the tubing exiting the shroud sealed using a paper towel. Each shroud setup was allowed at least a 5-minute period for alcohol fumes to accumulate. Prior to sampling, the presence of alcohol was verified with a PID. Shroud gas samples were collected from three of the sampling points for measurement of alcohol using the mobile laboratory. Prior to sampling, each sampling point was purged of three volumes of gas. The syringe was then used to collect a soil gas sample which was then brought immediately to the onsite mobile laboratory for analysis for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) via EPA Method 8260B.

Upon the completion of sampling all soil gas sampling diffuser tips and tubing were removed from the holes and the holes were backfilled with neat cement grout. The soils gas sampling probed set times, sample collection times and results of the shroud sampling are provided in Table 1.

#### 4.3 Subslab Sampling

Subslab sampling is similar to soil gas sampling. Holes 1½-inch in diameter were drilled through the 6-inch concrete slab and advanced two inches into the engineered soils/sand beneath the slab (total depths of 8 inches). A stainless steel air diffuser mounted on ¼-inch stainless steel tubing was inserted into each hole with approximately 3-inches of Monterey sand. A one inch section of hydrated bentonite was added and neat cement grout was placed to within about 1-inch of the surface. The top of the stainless steel inserts were equipped with a ¼-inch compression fitting with cap. For sampling, ¼-inch nylaflow tubing was connected to each insert. Each subslab sample was allowed to equilibrate for at least two hours and was sampled by first purging each subslab implant of 3 volumes and then drawing a gas sample into a one-liter Summa canister equipped with a 200 ml/minute flow regulator. Upon the completion



of sample collection a protective copper cap was placed over each implant flush with the surface to allow the points to be re-sampled at a future date. Summa canisters were transported under chain-of-custody protocols to Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. in Folsom, California for analysis of VOCs by EPA Method TO-15.

#### 5.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

PCE was detected in every sample with soil gas sample concentrations ranging from as low as 250 ug/m<sup>3</sup> at SV-10 to as high as 24,000 ug/m<sup>3</sup> at SV-9. The subslab samples PCE concentrations ranged from 610 ug/m<sup>3</sup> to 52,00 ug/m<sup>3</sup>. The analytical results are provided in Tables 2 and 3. No compounds other than PCE were detected in the soil gas samples. Low levels of several other compounds were detected in the subslab samples. No trichloroethene was detected in the soil gas samples above the reporting limit of 100 ug/m<sup>3</sup> or in the subslab samples above reporting limits ranging from 6.0 ug/m<sup>3</sup> to 24 ug/m<sup>3</sup>.

QA/QC was performed using method blanks, a probe blank, and one duplicate sample. Laboratory blanks were all non-detect and all surrogates were within acceptable parameters.

#### 6.0 VAPOR INTRUSION HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

As requested by the ACEH, potential vapor intrusion health risks were evaluated at the Red Hanger site using two methods: First, soil gas concentrations were compared to SFBR-RWQCB Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) (SFBR-RWQCB, 2013). Note that ESLs are not available for subslab gas samples. Second, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) Johnson-Ettinger (JE) vapor intrusion model was used to conduct a more refined analysis based on key site-specific information such as soil type, depth to sample collection, etc. The JE model is an environmental transport model that predicts an indoor air concentration based on diffusion and advection of soil gas through overlying soil and the building slab, taking into account site-specific soil and building parameters. The JE modeling was conducted based on both the soil gas samples and the subslab samples. All vapor intrusion risk assessments, the sampling results were evaluated with respect to the recent USEPA response action levels for trichloroethene (TCE) (USEPA, 2014).

#### Assessment of Vapor Intrusion Risks Based on Soil Gas

As discussed previously, only PCE was detected in soil gas samples (Table 2). Table 4 shows the sample locations which exceed the commercial use ESL for PCE of 2100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Seven of the eleven soil gas samples exceed the ESL.

JE modeling was also conducted for each soil gas sample location. JE modeling takes into account the site-specific soil type, the presence of a slab, commercial use exposure parameters, depth at which the sample was collected, etc. It is therefore a more refined method for evaluating vapor intrusion risks. The soil type assumed on site was Silty Loam, as classified by a Certified Hydrogeologist/Engineering Geologist. Standard DTSC exposure parameters were assumed consistent with commercial use. The results of the JE modeling are shown in Table 4. Table 4 shows that although seven soil gas sampling locations exceeded the ESL, the JE modeling shows that only two locations have a potential to exceed the negligible cancer risk level of 1E-06 (one in a million), and none of the locations show a Hazard Quotient (HQ) greater than 1. An HQ greater than 1 indicates some potential for adverse non-cancer health effects.

The above JE analysis can be refined further. For existing buildings, DTSC allows vapor intrusion risks to be evaluated based on the 95 percent upper confidence limit of the mean (95UCLM) of soil gas concentrations, as long as at least eight samples have been collected (see bottom of page 56, DTSC, 2011). This is true for the subject property as 11 soil gas samples have been collected. The USEPA statistical computing software, *ProUCL*, was used to



calculate a 95UCLM for PCE in the soil gas samples of 10,686  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (USEPA, 2013). The *ProUCL* output is shown in **Appendix C**. Additional JE modeling was conducted based on the 95UCLM value, resulting in a final cancer risk of 1E-06 and an HQ of 1.7E-02. These final PCE risk estimates, based on site-specific information and calculated consistent with DTSC vapor intrusion risk guidance, demonstrate negligible health risks associated with PCE in soil gas. The JE model output based on the 95UCLM concentration of 10,686  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> is provided in **Appendix D**.

#### Assessment of Vapor Intrusion Risks Based on Subslab Gas

As noted previously, there are no ESLs for subslab gas, only soil gas. Therefore, vapor intrusion risks based on the three subslab samples were determined using the DTSC JE model as described above for the soil gas samples. Unlike the soil gas, several additional chemicals were detected in subslab gas. These chemicals, along with their maximum detected concentrations, are shown in Table 5. Results of the JE modeling, including cancer risk and the HQ for each chemical are also shown in Table 5. Table 5 shows that of the chemicals detected, only PCE results in significant cancer risk and an exceedance of the HQ. Cumulative cancer risk is 1E-04, which significantly exceeds the negligible risk level of 1E-06. Cumulative non-cancer health risks are indicated by summing the HQs for each individual chemical to obtain the Hazard Index (HI). An HI greater than 1 indicates a potential for adverse non-cancer health effects. Table 5 shows a HI of 1.8, virtually all of which is due to PCE.

### Evaluation of Soil Gas and Subslab Gas Results With Respect to the USEPA Response Action Levels for Trichloroethene

No TCE was detected in soil gas or subslab gas samples, thus the USEPA response action levels for TCE, which were designed to address TCE exposures via vapor intrusion, do not apply.

#### Indoor Air Sampling and Risk Screen

Because the vapor intrusion risk assessment based on subslab gas conducted above indicated potentially significant health risks related to PCE (the only chemical detected in soil gas), indoor air sampling was conducted to confirm the model-predicted health risk estimates. The indoor air sampling was conducted by SCS Engineers (Pleasanton, CA). A complete copy of their report with complete details regarding the sampling and analytical results is provided in Appendix E.

To summarize, the indoor air study consisted of two indoor air samples collected on the first floor of the subject building (IA-1 and IA-2), two samples on the second floor (IA-3 and IA-4), one sample on the third floor (IA-5) and two concurrent ambient (outdoor) background samples (BG-1 and BG-2). Samples were collected in Summa canisters over an 8-hr sampling period and analyzed using EPA Method TO-15 in the very sensitive Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode. The analytical results were compared to the USEPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) for air (commercial/industrial use) in Table 6 (USEPA, 2015). If available, the DTSC-modified version of the USEPA RSL was used for some chemicals (see Table 6 footnotes).

Five chemicals were found to exceed their USEPA RSL (benzene, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, PCE and TCE). However, of these, only PCE was found in the soil or subslab gas, indicating that the other chemicals are not due to vapor intrusion but some other source. Benzene and carbon tetrachloride were detected in indoor air at concentrations very similar to, or even less than, concentrations in background air, thus outdoor air is the expected source for these two chemicals in indoor air. Chloroform and TCE were not detected in soil or subslab gas so an indoor source is expected for these chemical, perhaps cleaning or office products in the case of TCE, used on the second or third floor. Indoor concentrations of chloroform are likely due to bathroom use (emission from the use of chlorinated water). Table 6 also shows that PCE concentrations on all three floors were above the corresponding DTSC-modified RSL for PCE of



2.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Since PCE was found in the subslab gas and not found in background air the PCE is attributed to vapor intrusion.

TCE also slightly exceeded the USEPA ARAL of 8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> on the second floor of the building (Table 6). However, the TCE ARAL was developed to specifically address TCE in indoor air due to vapor intrusion and the available evidence (e.g. TCE not detected in subslab gas) indicates an indoor source for TCE rather than vapor intrusion.

## 7.0 FINDINGS

PCE was released to the environment at Red Hanger Kleaners. While soil gas concentrations of PCE underlying the foundation exceed the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board environmental screening level (ESL) of 2,100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, the concentrations exceeding the soil gas ESL are not pervasive in extent in the soils beneath the building. With the exception of the sewer line, soil gas concentrations appear to attenuate with distance away from the area of the former dry cleaning machines. Cracks were observed in the concrete at the location of SV-6 near the former dry cleaning machines which may have provided preferential pathways for PCE to enter into the soils beneath the foundation. The highest concentration of PCE in soil gas was found at the sewer cleanout. The highest concentration PCE in the subslab samples was found near the former location of the dry cleaning machines next to a crack in the slab.

The vapor intrusion health risk assessment concluded that, based on JE modeling of soil gas data for the subject site, no significant potential for adverse health effects due to vapor intrusion is indicated. However, based on subslab sample results, predicted cancer risks are significantly in excess of the negligible cancer risk threshold of 1E-06 (1E-04). All excess cancer risk is due to PCE. In addition, a potential for adverse non-cancer health effects is indicated by a HQ exceeding 1, also due to PCE. To confirm the JE model-based risk estimates based on subslab gas, indoor air sampling was conducted and the results compared to USEPA RSLs for indoor air (USEPA, 2015). This comparison showed that PCE levels in the building were above the DTSC-modified RSL for PCE. Based on all the data described above it is concluded that elevated PCE levels in the building are due to vapor intrusion.

No TCE was detected in soil gas or subslab gas samples, thus the USEPA ARAL for TCE (USEPA, 2014), which was designed specifically to address TCE exposures via vapor intrusion, may not apply. TCE was detected in indoor air from the second and third stories of the building. However, these TCE concentrations appear to be attributable to some other source located on the second or third floor since TCE was not detected in the soil gas, subslab gas, or indoor air samples from the first floor. The TCE may be due to a cleaning product used by janitorial or building maintenance services or an office product. Toner Aide is an office product (see Appendix F) used for making copies that contains 15-20% TCE and it is possible a product such as this is used in the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> floor offices.

#### 8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Health risks related to vapor intrusion, and PCE in particular, were determined to be negligible for commercial use based on soil gas. However, indoor air risks due to PCE were determined to be significant based on subslab gas and indoor air data. TCE levels in indoor air exceed the USEPA ARAL for vapor intrusion (upper floors only). However, TCE in the subject building cannot be attributed to vapor intrusion and instead appears to be due to the possible use of office or cleaning products on the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> floor of the building. Since the TCE ARAL was developed specifically to address TCE due to vapor intrusion it is not clear that the ARAL applies in this case.



#### 9.0 LIMITATIONS

- 1. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of EFI Global Inc. and their clients for specific application to the Former Red Hanger Kleaners located at 6335-6339 College Avenue in Oakland, California. Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. has endeavored to comply with generally accepted environmental geology practice common to the local area. Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. makes no other warranty, express or implied.
- 2. As of the present date, the findings of this report are valid for the property studied. With the passage of time changes in the conditions of a property may occur, whether they are due to natural processes or to the works of man on this or adjacent properties. Legislation or the broadening of knowledge may result in changes in applicable standards. Changes outside of our control may cause this report to be invalid, wholly or partially. Therefore, this report should not be relied upon after a period of three years without our review nor should it be used or is it applicable for any properties other than those studied.
- 3. The analyses and recommendations contained in this report are based on limited windows into the subsurface conditions and data obtained from subsurface sampling. The methods used indicate subsurface conditions only at the specific locations where samples were obtained, only at the time they were obtained, and only to the depths penetrated. Samples cannot be relied on to accurately reflect the strata variations that usually exist between sampling locations.

If you have questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact us at (916) 933-0633.

NO. 619 EXPIRATION DAT

IDROGE

Very truly yours, Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. Doniel C. Seclique

David C. Sederquist, C.E.G., C.HG.

EFI Global, Inc.

Gary L. Bates, P.G. Director, Environmental Remediation Services

Paul Damian

Paul Damian PhD, MPH, DABT Principal Board Certified Toxicologist Damian Applied Toxicology, LLC

Attachments: Tables Figure 1 – Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Site Location Figure 3 – Site Plan

Appendix A – TEG Laboratory Report

Appendix B – Eurofins Laboratory Report Appendix C – ProUCL Statistical Output Appendix D - Johnson-Ettinger Model Output Based on 95UCLM PCE Concentration Appendix E – SCS Engineer's Indoor Air Sampling Report Appendix F – Product Information for Toner Aide

Distribution: One electronic copy to EFI Global, Inc., Attention Mr. Gary Bates One electronic copy to Alameda County Environmental Health, Attention Mr. Keith Nowell, PG, CHG, Hazardous Materials Specialist

### 6.0 References (chronological order)

- 1. Geologic Map and Map Database of the Oakland Metropolitan Area, Alameda, Contra Costa, And San Francisco Counties, California, by R.W. Graymer, United States Geological Survey, 2000.
- 2. Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, 6235 College Avenue, Oakland, California, prepared by AEI Consultants (Project No. 10693), dated 18 March 2005.
- 3. Phase II Subsurface Investigation Report, 6293 College Avenue, Oakland, California, prepared by AEI Consultants (Project No. 11065), dated 17 May 2005.
- 4. Confirmation Sample Results, Red Hanger Cleaners, 6235 College Avenue, Oakland, California, prepared by EFI Global, Inc. (Project No. 98360-00-051), dated 28 June 2005.
- 5. Vapor Intrusion Pathway: A Practical Guidance, prepared by the Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council, January 2007.
- 6. Local Agency File Review, 6251 College Avenue (Historic Kay's Cleaners), Oakland, California, prepared by Basics Environmental (Project No. 08-ENV1251), dated 23 July 2008.
- 7. Site Characterization Workplan, Red Hanger Kleaners Site, prepared by Environmental Resources Management, dated 13 April 2009.
- 8. Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002981 and Geotracker Global ID T10000000416, Red Hanger Cleaners, 6335-6339 College Ave., Oakland, CA 94618, letter from Alameda County Health Services Environmental Protection, dated 14 August 2009.
- 9. Red Hanger Kleaners, 6239 College Avenue, Oakland, California, 2009 Site Characterization Summary Report, prepared by ERM-West. Inc., dated 20 January 2010.
- 10. Advisory Active Soil Gas Investigation, California Environmental Protection Agency, March 2010.
- 11. Guidance for the Evaluation and Mitigation of Subsurface Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air (Vapor Intrusion Guidance), Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Environmental Protection Agency, October 2011.
- 12. Fuel Leak Case No. RO00000243, Unocal #0018, 6201 Claremont Avenue, Oakland, Case Closure Letter, prepared by Alameda Department of Environmental Health, dated 28 February 2012.
- 13. Vapor Intrusion, Public Participation Advisory, Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Environmental Protection Agency, March 2012.
- 14. Advisory Active Soil Gas Investigation, California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board, April 2012.
- 15. May 2013 update to Environmental Screening Levels, prepared by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, dated 23 Mary 2013. Accessed via the Internet April 2015 at: <a href="http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water\_issues/programs/ESL/Lookup\_Tables\_Dec\_2013\_Summary.pdf">http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water\_issues/programs/ESL/Lookup\_Tables\_Dec\_2013\_Summary.pdf</a>



- 16. USEPA. 2014. Memorandum. EPA Region 9 Response Action Levels and Recommendations to Address Near-Term Inhalation Exposures to TCE in Air from Subsurface Vapor Intrusion. July 9, 2014. San Francisco.
- 17. Red Hanger Kleaners, 6335-6339 College Avenue, Oakland, CA, Alameda County Environmental Health Case No. RO0002981, Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Soil Gas Investigation, Work Plan, prepared by Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc., dated 21 October 2014.
- SCP Case RO2981 Red Hanger Kleaners, 6335-6339 College Ave, Oakland; email from Keith Nowell of Alameda County Environmental Health to Mr. Ron Elvidge conditionally approving the Work Plan dated October 21, 2014.
- 19. USEPA. 2015. Regional Screening Levels. Accessed June 2015 via the Internet at: <u>http://www.epa.gov/Region9/superfund/prg/</u>
- 20. USEPA. 2013. ProUCL Version 5.0.00. User Guide. Office of Research and Development. EPA/600/R-07/041.
- 21. DTSC. 2015. HERO HHRA Note Number: 3, DTSC-Modified Screening Levels (DTSC-SLs). May 2015.

Tables

Sample	Time Placed	Time Sampled	Shroud PID IPA <sup>1</sup> (ppm)	Shroud IPA <sup>1</sup> Laboratory (ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) EPA 8260B	Starting Gauge Pressure (inches HG)	Ending Gauge Pressure (inches HG)
SV-1	08:12	10:26	14.6	2,100,000	-	-
SV-2	08:35	10:55	8.1	NA	-	-
SV-3	08:55	11:18	6.5	NA	-	-
SV-4	09:25	11:47	29.3	2,000,000	-	-
SV-5	09:42	12:37	6.6	NA	-	-
SV-6	09:58	12:59	10.5	NA	-	-
SV-7	10:18	13:22	9.9	NA	-	-
SV-8	10:42	14:02	14.5	NA	-	-
SV-9	11:22	15:14	47.9	8,400,000	-	-
SV-10	11:13	14:50	57.6	NA	-	-
SV-11	10:50	14:25	12.1	NA	-	-
SS-1	12:35	14:37	37.1	NA	-30	-5.0
SS-2	12:50	14:54	7.3	NA	-30	-4.0
SS-3	13:00	15:05	15.1	NA	-29	-4.7
Reporting	g Limits			10,000		

Table 1 – Probe Placement and Shroud Sampling Results

Reporting Limits 1 – Isopropyl alcohol leak check PID – Photo Ionization Detector NA – Not Analyzed SV – Soil Vapor Sample SS – Sub Slab Sample

Sample	Depth (feet)	Location	Tetrachloroethene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) EPA 8260B	Tetrachloroethene (ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) EPA TO-
0)/ 4	-		0.000	15
SV-1	5	Boiler Room	2,300	NA
SV-2	5	Press Area	610	NA
SV-3	5	Press Area	1,400	NA
SV-4	4	Spotting Board	9,100	NA
SV-5	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	5,500	NA
SV-6	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	17,000	NA
SV-7	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	6,600	NA
SV-8	5	Hazardous Waste Storage Area	3,800	NA
SV-9	5	Sewer Cleanout	24,000	NA
SV-10	5	East side of building	250	NA
SV-11	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	1,400	NA
SS-1	Base of slab	Press Area	NA	610
SS-2	Base of slab	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	NA	5,200
SS-3	Base of slab	Boiler Room	NA	1,100
Reporting	g Limit		100	7.5
ESL			2,100	None

NA – Not Analyzed ESL – San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Environmental Screening Level SV – Soil Vapor Sample SS – Sub Slab Sample

	ipic Analytic		
Analysis	SS-1	SS-2	SS-3
Acetone	ND (26)	ND (110)	290
2-Propanol <sup>1</sup>	44	3,500	1,000
Cyclohexane	5.0	ND (16)	ND (5.2)
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	5.2	ND (21)	ND (7.0)
Toluene	48	ND (17)	ND (5.7)
Tetrachloroethene	610	5,200	1,100
Ethyl Benzene	16	ND (20)	ND (6.5)
Total Xylenes	88	21	10
4-Ethyltoluene	20	ND (22)	ND (7.4)
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	7.5	ND (22)	ND (7.4)
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	18	ND (22)	ND (7.4)

Table 3 – Sub-slab Sample Analytical results, EPA TO-15	5 (ug/m°)
---	-----------

ND – Not detected above reporting limit, reporting limit in parentheses <sup>1</sup> Leak Check Compound

Table 4 – Vapor Intrusion-Related Health Risks Due to PCE in Soil Gas at Red Hanger
Kleaners Assuming Commercial Use <sup>1</sup>

Sample ID	Sampling Depth (feet)	Location	PCE Concentration (μg/m³)	Cancer Risk	Hazard Quotient
SV-1	5	Boiler Boom	2 300	2 8E-07	3 7E-03
SV-2	5	Pross Area	<u>610</u>	7 3E-08	9.9E-04
SV-3	5	Press Area	1 400	1.7E-07	2.3E-03
SV-4	4	Spotting Board	9.100	1.3E-06	1.8E-02
SV-5	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	5,500	6.6E-07	8.9E-03
SV-6	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	<mark>17,000</mark>	2.0E-06	2.8E-02
SV-7	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	<mark>6,600</mark>	7.9E-07	1.1E-02
SV-8	5	Hazardous Waste Storage Area	<mark>3,800</mark>	4.6E-07	6.2E-03
SV-9	5	Sewer Cleanout	<mark>24,000</mark>	2.9E-06	3.9E-02
SV-10	5	East Side of Building	250	3.0E-08	4.1E-04
SV-11	5	Dry Cleaning Machine Area	1,400	1.7E-07	2.3E-03
ESL			2,100		

<sup>1</sup>PCE was the only VOC detected in soil gas. ESL = San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Environmental Screening Level for Tetrachloroethene (PCE). Yellow highlight indicates an exceedance of the ESL for PCE. Green Highlight indicates an exceedance of the negligible cancer risk threshold of 1E-06 or a Hazard

Quotient (HQ) of 1.

Chemical	Maximum Detected Subslab Concentration (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Subslab Sampling Location for Maximum Concentration	Cancer Risk	Hazard Quotient
Acetone	290	55-3	NC	1 1E-04
Cycloboxano	5	<u> </u>	NC	8 2E-05
Fthylbenzene	16	<u>SS-1</u>	1.6E-07	1 8F-04
4-Ethyltoluene	20	<u>SS-1</u>		7.6E-04
2-Propanol	3.500	SS-2	NC	3.8E-02
Tetrachloroethene	5,200	SS-2	1.3E-04	1.7E+00
Toluene	48	SS-1	NC	1.8E-03
1,2,4-	18	SS-1	NC	2.9E-02
Trimethylbenzene				
1,3,5-	7.5	SS-1	NC	2.4E-03
Trimethylbenzene				
2,2,4-	5.2	SS-1	NC	5.9E-05
Trimethylbenzene				
Xylenes (total)	88	SS-1	NC	1.0E-02
Hazard Index	NA	1.8E+00		
Cumulative Cancer Ri	isk		<mark>1.3-04</mark>	NA

# Table 5 – Vapor Intrusion-Related Health Risks Based on Maximum Detected Concentrations in Subslab Gas

NC = not a carcinogen

NA = not applicable

Hazard Index (HI) is the sum of Hazard Quotients. An HI of 1 or less indicates negligible risks of non-cancer health effects.

Cumulative cancer risk is the sum of cancer risks for each individual chemical. A cumulative cancer risk of 1E-06 is considered negligible.

These chemicals are not listed in the Johnson-Ettinger vapor intrusion model so the following toxicological surrogate chemicals were used: methylcyclohexane, toluene, isobutanol and pentane for cyclohexane, 4-ethyltoluene, 2-propanol, and 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, respectively.

Yellow highlight indicates an exceedance of the neglible cancer risk threshold of 1E-06 or a Hazard Quotient or HI of 1.

Figures











SITE PHOTOGRAPHS Red Hanger Kleaners Soil Gas Investigation Oakland, California

FIGURE

4



Main Press Area



SITE PHOTOGRAPHS Red Hanger Kleaners Soil Gas Investigation Oakland, California

FIGURE

5



Back of building, east of former dry cleaning machine location.





East of former dry cleaning machine location. Note floor crack.



Appendix A TEG Laboratory Report



24 March 2015

Mr. David Sederquist Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. 1234 Glenhaven Court El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

## SUBJECT: DATA REPORT - Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. Project # E13243.000 Red Hanger Kleaners 6335-6339 College Avenue, Oakland, California

### TEG Project # 50311F

Mr. Sederquist:

Please find enclosed a data report for the samples analyzed from the above referenced project for Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. The samples were analyzed on site in TEG's mobile laboratory. TEG conducted a total of 15 analyses on 3 shroud samples and 12 soil vapor samples.

-- 12 analyses on soil vapors for volatile organic hydrocarbons by EPA method 8260B.

-- 3 analyses on shroud samples for Isopropyl Alcohol by EPA method 8260B.

The results of the analyses are summarized in the enclosed tables. Applicable detection limits and calibration data are included in the tables.

TEG appreciates the opportunity to have provided analytical services to Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. on this project. If you have any further questions relating to these data or report, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Mark Jerpbak Director, TEG-Northern California



# Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. Project # E13243.000 Red Hanger Kleaners 6335-6339 College Avenue Oakland, California

TEG Project #50311F

EPA Method 8260B VOC Analyses of SOIL VAPOR in micrograms per cubic meter of Vapor

SAMPLE NUMBER.	:	Probe Blank	Shroud Sample 1	Shroud Sample 2	Shroud Sample 3	
SAMPLE DEPTH (feet):	÷					
PURGE VOLUME:	:					
COLLECTION DATE:	÷	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	
COLLECTION TIME:		9:25	10:02	11:48	15:15	
DILUTION FACTOR:	, DI	1	100	100	100	
	RL					
Dichlorodifluoromethane	100	nd				
Vinyl Chloride	13	nd				
Chloroethane	100	nd				
Trichlorofluoromethane	100	nd				
1,1-Dichloroethene	100	nd				
1,1,2-Trichloro-trifluoroethane	100	nd				
Methylene Chloride	100	nd				
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	nd				
1,1-Dichloroethane	100	nd				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	nd				
Chloroform	100	nd				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	100	nd				
Carbon Tetrachloride	25	nd			~~	
1,2-Dichloroethane	45	nd				
Benzene	35	nd				
Trichloroethene	100	nd				
Toluene	200	nd				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	100	nd				
Tetrachloroethene	100	nd				
Ethylbenzene	100	nd				
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	100	nd				
m,p-Xylene	200	nd				
o-Xylene	100	nd				
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	100	nd				
Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA) (leak check)	10000	nd	2 1F+6	2 0E+6	8 4F+6	
				2.02.0	0.,	
Surrogate Recovery (DBFM) Surrogate Recovery (1,2-DCA-d4) Surrogate Recovery (Toluene-d8)		88% 98% 93%	88% 95% 89%	88% 106% 90%	89% 113% 94%	
'RL' Indicates reporting limit at a dilu 'nd' Indicates not detected at listed re	tion factor of eporting limits	1 S				

Analyses performed in TEG-Northern California's lab Analyses performed by: Mr. Leif Jonsson

page 1



## Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. Project # E13243.000 Red Hanger Kleaners 6335-6339 College Avenue Oakland, California

TEG Project #50311F

EPA Method 8260B VOC Analyses of SOIL VAPOR in micrograms per cubic meter of Vapor

SAMPLE NUMBER:		SV-1	SV-2	SV-3	SV-4	SV-5	SV-6
SAMPLE DEPTH (feet): PURGE VOLUME:		5.0 3	5.0 3	5.0 3	4.0 3	5.0 3	5.0 3
COLLECTION DATE:		3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15
COLLECTION TIME:		10:26	10:55	11:18	11:47	12:37	12:59
DILUTION FACTOR:	RL	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Vinyl Chloride	13	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Chloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Trichlorofluoromethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1-Dichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2-Trichloro-trifluoroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Methylene Chloride	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1-Dichloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Chloroform	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Carbon Tetrachloride	25	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,2-Dichloroethane	45	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Benzene	35	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Trichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Toluene	200	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Tetrachloroethene	100	2300	610	1400	9100	5500	17000
Ethylbenzene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
m,p-Xylene	200	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
o-Xylene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA) (leak check)	10000	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Surrogate Recovery (DBFM) Surrogate Recovery (1,2-DCA-d4) Surrogate Recovery (Toluene-d8)		86% 95% 93%	88% 100% 92%	89% 104% 93%	83% 98% 88%	92% 105% 95%	89% 105% 91%

'RL' Indicates reporting limit at a dilution factor of 1

'nd' Indicates not detected at listed reporting limits

Analyses performed in TEG-Northern California's lab Analyses performed by: Mr. Leif Jonsson

page 2


# Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. Project # E13243.000 Red Hanger Kleaners 6335-6339 College Avenue Oakland, California

TEG Project #50311F

EPA Method 8260B VOC Analyses of SOIL VAPOR in micrograms per cubic meter of Vapor

SAMPLE NUMBER	:	SV-7	SV-7 dup	SV-8	SV-9	SV-10	SV-11
SAMPLE DEPTH (feet)	:	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	50	50
PURGE VOLUME	:	3	3	3	3	3	3
COLLECTION DATE.	:	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15	3/11/15
COLLECTION TIME		13:22	13:22	14:02	15:14	14:50	14:25
DILUTION FACTOR.		1	1	1	1	1	1
	RL				·····.		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Vinyl Chloride	13	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Chloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Trichlorofluoromethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1-Dichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2-Trichloro-trifluoroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Methylene Chloride	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1-Dichloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Chloroform	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Carbon Tetrachloride	25	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,2-Dichloroethane	45	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Benzene	35	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Trichloroethene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Toluene	200	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Tetrachloroethene	100	6600	6900	3800	24000	250	1400
Ethylbenzene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
m,p-Xylene	200	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
o-Xylene	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	100	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA) (leak check)	10000	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
Surrogate Recovery (DBFM) Surrogate Recovery (1,2-DCA-d4) Surrogate Recovery (Toluene-d8)		87% 110% 96%	83% 102% 91%	89% 108% 94%	88% 108% 92%	80% 101% 87%	89% 109% 94%

'RL' Indicates reporting limit at a dilution factor of 1

'nd' Indicates not detected at listed reporting limits

Analyses performed in TEG-Northern California's lab Analyses performed by: Mr. Leif Jonsson

page 3



Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. Project # E13243.000 Red Hanger Kleaners 6335-6339 College Avenue Oakland, California

TEG Project #50311F

CALIBRATION DATA - Calibration Check Compounds

	Vinyl Chloride	1,1 DCE	Chloroform	1,2 DCP	Toluene	Ethylbenzene
Midpoint	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Continuing Calibra	tion - Midpoint					
3/11/15	9.8	8.1	9.5	9.6	9.5	8.8
	98%	81%	95%	96%	95%	88%

Appendix B Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. Laboratory Report

# seurofins 🚯 Air Toxics

Sample Transportation Notice Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922

#### 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA 95630-4719 (916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page l of

Project Manager David C. Sedenquist				Project Info:			Turn Around		Lab Use	Only		
Collected by: (Print and Sign) David C. Sedenpuist, Fuil C. Sun				RO #					me:	Press	urized by	:
Company Young dach 1 Consulting Group Email dc	50)	rounsdahl	-Mrt	ι.Ο. π_			······	<b>لٹ</b> No	ormal	Date:		
Address 1234 Glenhaven Ct. City El Devolo Hill	State	<u>CA</u> Zip <u>95</u> *	762	Project	# 613243	,000		🖵 Ri	ısh	Press	urization	Gas:
Phone (9(6)933-0633 Fax (9(6)9)	<u> </u>	482		Project	Name Red	hinger 1	Cleaners	sı	ecify		N <sub>2</sub> H	е
			D	ate	Time				Canist	ter Pres	sure/Vac	cuum
Lab I.D. Field Sample I.D. (Location)		Can #	of Co	llection	of Collection	Anal	yses Reques	ted	Initial	Final	Receipt	Final
01A 55-1	·	15727	3/11	115	1437	To-15,	ise proposal	Lee k	-30	- 5		
02A 55-3		36434	3/11	115	14:54	70-15,	isg pus panol	Leck	-30	-4		
03A 55-2		34571	3/1	1/15	15.05	To-15,	Lenk deter	oi ticn	-29	- 7.7		
						<del></del>						
	·									•		
		1					<u> </u>					
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time David C. Sentrest 3/12/15 15:30 Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	uished by: (signature) Date/Time Received by: (signature) Date/Time Notes: wid C. July 3/12/15 15:30 July 5452 3.12-15 1530 Need Certracker EDF on all uished by: (signature) Date/Time Received by: (signature) Date/Time Vesult											
Relinquished by: (signature) Date/Time	Receiv	ved by: (signat	ure) [	Date/Tim	9							
Lab Shipper Name Air Bill #		Te	emp (°	C)	Condition		Custody Sea	als Inta	ct?	Work C	Order #	
Only Vehivers			VA-		6202	d	Yes No		ne	150	3214	



3/25/2015 Mr. Dave Sederquist Youngdahl Consulting Group Inc. 1234 Glenhaven Ct.

El Dorado Hills CA 95762

Project Name: Red Hanger Kleaners Project #: E13243.000 Workorder #: 1503214

Dear Mr. Dave Sederquist

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 3/12/2015 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by TO-15 are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Air Toxics Ltd. for your air analysis needs. Air Toxics Ltd. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kelly Buettner at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Killy Butte

Kelly Buettner Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



LCSD

### WORK ORDER #: 1503214

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT:		Mr. Dave Sederquist Youngdahl Consulting Group Inc. 1234 Glenhaven Ct. El Dorado Hills, CA 95762	BILL TO:	Mr. Dave Seder Youngdahl Con 1234 Glenhaver El Dorado Hills	quist sulting Group In 1 Ct. , CA 95762	ıc.
PHONE:		916-933-0633	<b>P.O.</b> #			
FAX:		916-933-6482	PROJECT #	E13243.000 Red	d Hanger Kleane	ers
DATE RECEIVED:		03/12/2015	CONTACT	Kally Duattnan	8	
DATE COMPLETED	<b>)</b> :	03/25/2015	CONTACT:	Keny Buculler		
					RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAM	<u>IE</u>	<u>TEST</u>		VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	SS-1		TO-15		2.8 "Hg	14.9 psi
02A	SS-3	;	TO-15		3.3 "Hg	14.8 psi
03A	SS-2		TO-15		3.1 "Hg	15 psi
04A	Lab	Blank	TO-15		NA	NA
05A	CCV	7	TO-15		NA	NA
06A	LCS		TO-15		NA	NA

TO-15

CERTIFIED BY:

06AA

layes

03/25/15 DATE:

NA

NA

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704343-14-7, UT NELAP CA009332014-5, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2014, Expiration date: 10/17/2015. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 9563 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

### LABORATORY NARRATIVE EPA Method TO-15 Youngdahl Consulting Group Inc. Workorder# 1503214

Three 1 Liter Summa Canister samples were received on March 12, 2015. The laboratory performed analysis via EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the full scan mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

### **Receiving Notes**

🔅 eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

### Analytical Notes

Dilution was performed on samples SS-3 and SS-2 due to the presence of high level target species.

### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

J - Estimated value.

E - Exceeds instrument calibration range.

S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



# Summary of Detected Compounds EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

### **Client Sample ID: SS-1**

#### Lab ID#: 1503214-01A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
2-Propanol	4.4	18	11	44
Cyclohexane	1.1	1.4	3.8	5.0
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	1.1	1.1	5.2	5.2
Toluene	1.1	13	4.2	48
Tetrachloroethene	1.1	90	7.5	610
Ethyl Benzene	1.1	3.6	4.8	16
m,p-Xylene	1.1	15	4.8	65
o-Xylene	1.1	5.4	4.8	23
4-Ethyltoluene	1.1	4.0	5.4	20
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.1	1.5	5.4	7.5
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.1	3.6	5.4	18

### **Client Sample ID: SS-3**

#### Lab ID#: 1503214-02A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Acetone	15	120	36	290
2-Propanol	6.0	410	15	1000
Toluene	1.5	2.2	5.7	8.1
Tetrachloroethene	1.5	170	10	1100
m,p-Xylene	1.5	2.4	6.5	10

### **Client Sample ID: SS-2**

#### Lab ID#: 1503214-03A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
2-Propanol	18	1400	44	3500
Toluene	4.5	6.0	17	22
Tetrachloroethene	4.5	780	30	5200
m,p-Xylene	4.5	4.9	20	21



# Client Sample ID: SS-1 Lab ID#: 1503214-01A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031708 2.22	Date of Collection: 3/11/15 2:37:00 PM Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 12:18 PM				
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount		
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)		
Freon 12	1.1	Not Detected	5.5	Not Detected		
Freon 114	1.1	Not Detected	7.8	Not Detected		
Chloromethane	11	Not Detected	23	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	1.1	Not Detected	2.8	Not Detected		
1,3-Butadiene	1.1	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected		
Bromomethane	11	Not Detected	43	Not Detected		
Chloroethane	4.4	Not Detected	12	Not Detected		
Freon 11	1.1	Not Detected	6.2	Not Detected		
Ethanol	4.4	Not Detected	8.4	Not Detected		
Freon 113	1.1	Not Detected	8.5	Not Detected		
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.1	Not Detected	4.4	Not Detected		
Acetone	11	Not Detected	26	Not Detected		
2-Propanol	4.4	18	11	44		
Carbon Disulfide	4.4	Not Detected	14	Not Detected		
3-Chloropropene	4.4	Not Detected	14	Not Detected		
Methylene Chloride	11	Not Detected	38	Not Detected		
Methyl tert-butyl ether	1.1	Not Detected	4.0	Not Detected		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.1	Not Detected	4.4	Not Detected		
Hexane	1.1	Not Detected	3.9	Not Detected		
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.1	Not Detected	4.5	Not Detected		
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	4.4	Not Detected	13	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.1	Not Detected	4.4	Not Detected		
Tetrahydrofuran	1.1	Not Detected	3.3	Not Detected		
Chloroform	1.1	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.1	Not Detected	6.0	Not Detected		
Cyclohexane	1.1	1.4	3.8	5.0		
Carbon Tetrachloride	1.1	Not Detected	7.0	Not Detected		
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	1.1	1.1	5.2	5.2		
Benzene	1.1	Not Detected	3.5	Not Detected		
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.1	Not Detected	4.5	Not Detected		
Heptane	1.1	Not Detected	4.5	Not Detected		
Trichloroethene	1.1	Not Detected	6.0	Not Detected		
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.1	Not Detected	5.1	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	4.4	Not Detected	16	Not Detected		
Bromodichloromethane	1.1	Not Detected	7.4	Not Detected		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.1	Not Detected	5.0	Not Detected		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	1.1	Not Detected	4.5	Not Detected		
Toluene	1.1	13	4.2	48		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.1	Not Detected	5.0	Not Detected		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.1	Not Detected	6.0	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	1.1	90	7.5	610		
2-Hexanone	4.4	Not Detected	18	Not Detected		



# Client Sample ID: SS-1 Lab ID#: 1503214-01A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031708 2.22	Date of Collection: 3/11/15 2:37:00 PM Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 12:18 PM				
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
Dibromochloromethane	1.1	Not Detected	9.4	Not Detected		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	1.1	Not Detected	8.5	Not Detected		
Chlorobenzene	1.1	Not Detected	5.1	Not Detected		
Ethyl Benzene	1.1	3.6	4.8	16		
m,p-Xylene	1.1	15	4.8	65		
o-Xylene	1.1	5.4	4.8	23		
Styrene	1.1	Not Detected	4.7	Not Detected		
Bromoform	1.1	Not Detected	11	Not Detected		
Cumene	1.1	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.1	Not Detected	7.6	Not Detected		
Propylbenzene	1.1	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected		
4-Ethyltoluene	1.1	4.0	5.4	20		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.1	1.5	5.4	7.5		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.1	3.6	5.4	18		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.1	Not Detected	6.7	Not Detected		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.1	Not Detected	6.7	Not Detected		
alpha-Chlorotoluene	1.1	Not Detected	5.7	Not Detected		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.1	Not Detected	6.7	Not Detected		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	4.4	Not Detected	33	Not Detected		
Hexachlorobutadiene	4.4	Not Detected	47	Not Detected		

#### Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	93	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	102	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	70-130



# Client Sample ID: SS-3 Lab ID#: 1503214-02A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031717 3.01	Date of Collection: 3/11/15 2:54:00 PM Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 05:12 PM				
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount		
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)		
Freon 12	1.5	Not Detected	7.4	Not Detected		
Freon 114	1.5	Not Detected	10	Not Detected		
Chloromethane	15	Not Detected	31	Not Detected		
Vinyl Chloride	1.5	Not Detected	3.8	Not Detected		
1,3-Butadiene	1.5	Not Detected	3.3	Not Detected		
Bromomethane	15	Not Detected	58	Not Detected		
Chloroethane	6.0	Not Detected	16	Not Detected		
Freon 11	1.5	Not Detected	8.4	Not Detected		
Ethanol	6.0	Not Detected	11	Not Detected		
Freon 113	1.5	Not Detected	12	Not Detected		
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.5	Not Detected	6.0	Not Detected		
Acetone	15	120	36	290		
2-Propanol	6.0	410	15	1000		
Carbon Disulfide	6.0	Not Detected	19	Not Detected		
3-Chloropropene	6.0	Not Detected	19	Not Detected		
Methylene Chloride	15	Not Detected	52	Not Detected		
Methyl tert-butyl ether	1.5	Not Detected	5.4	Not Detected		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.5	Not Detected	6.0	Not Detected		
Hexane	1.5	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected		
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.5	Not Detected	6.1	Not Detected		
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	6.0	Not Detected	18	Not Detected		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.5	Not Detected	6.0	Not Detected		
Tetrahydrofuran	1.5	Not Detected	4.4	Not Detected		
Chloroform	1.5	Not Detected	7.3	Not Detected		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.5	Not Detected	8.2	Not Detected		
Cyclohexane	1.5	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected		
Carbon Tetrachloride	1.5	Not Detected	9.5	Not Detected		
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	1.5	Not Detected	7.0	Not Detected		
Benzene	1.5	Not Detected	4.8	Not Detected		
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.5	Not Detected	6.1	Not Detected		
Heptane	1.5	Not Detected	6.2	Not Detected		
Trichloroethene	1.5	Not Detected	8.1	Not Detected		
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.5	Not Detected	7.0	Not Detected		
1,4-Dioxane	6.0	Not Detected	22	Not Detected		
Bromodichloromethane	1.5	Not Detected	10	Not Detected		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.5	Not Detected	6.8	Not Detected		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	1.5	Not Detected	6.2	Not Detected		
Toluene	1.5	2.2	5.7	8.1		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.5	Not Detected	6.8	Not Detected		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.5	Not Detected	8.2	Not Detected		
Tetrachloroethene	1.5	170	10	1100		
2-Hexanone	6.0	Not Detected	25	Not Detected		



# Client Sample ID: SS-3 Lab ID#: 1503214-02A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	j031717	Date of Collection: 3/11/15 2:54:00 PM				
Compound	 Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)		
Dibromochloromethane	1.5	Not Detected	13	Not Detected		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	1.5	Not Detected	12	Not Detected		
Chlorobenzene	1.5	Not Detected	6.9	Not Detected		
Ethyl Benzene	1.5	Not Detected	6.5	Not Detected		
m,p-Xylene	1.5	2.4	6.5	10		
o-Xylene	1.5	Not Detected	6.5	Not Detected		
Styrene	1.5	Not Detected	6.4	Not Detected		
Bromoform	1.5	Not Detected	16	Not Detected		
Cumene	1.5	Not Detected	7.4	Not Detected		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.5	Not Detected	10	Not Detected		
Propylbenzene	1.5	Not Detected	7.4	Not Detected		
4-Ethyltoluene	1.5	Not Detected	7.4	Not Detected		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.5	Not Detected	7.4	Not Detected		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.5	Not Detected	7.4	Not Detected		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.5	Not Detected	9.0	Not Detected		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.5	Not Detected	9.0	Not Detected		
alpha-Chlorotoluene	1.5	Not Detected	7.8	Not Detected		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.5	Not Detected	9.0	Not Detected		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	6.0	Not Detected	45	Not Detected		
Hexachlorobutadiene	6.0	Not Detected	64	Not Detected		

#### Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	95	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	102	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	95	70-130	



# Client Sample ID: SS-2 Lab ID#: 1503214-03A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031712 9.01	Date of Collection: 3/11/15 3:05:00 PM Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 02:08 PM		1/15 3:05:00 PM 15 02:08 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	4.5	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
Freon 114	4.5	Not Detected	31	Not Detected
Chloromethane	45	Not Detected	93	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	4.5	Not Detected	12	Not Detected
1,3-Butadiene	4.5	Not Detected	10	Not Detected
Bromomethane	45	Not Detected	170	Not Detected
Chloroethane	18	Not Detected	48	Not Detected
Freon 11	4.5	Not Detected	25	Not Detected
Ethanol	18	Not Detected	34	Not Detected
Freon 113	4.5	Not Detected	34	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	4.5	Not Detected	18	Not Detected
Acetone	45	Not Detected	110	Not Detected
2-Propanol	18	1400	44	3500
Carbon Disulfide	18	Not Detected	56	Not Detected
3-Chloropropene	18	Not Detected	56	Not Detected
Methylene Chloride	45	Not Detected	160	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	4.5	Not Detected	16	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	4.5	Not Detected	18	Not Detected
Hexane	4.5	Not Detected	16	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	4.5	Not Detected	18	Not Detected
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	18	Not Detected	53	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	4.5	Not Detected	18	Not Detected
Tetrahydrofuran	4.5	Not Detected	13	Not Detected
Chloroform	4.5	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	4.5	Not Detected	24	Not Detected
Cyclohexane	4.5	Not Detected	16	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	4.5	Not Detected	28	Not Detected
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	4.5	Not Detected	21	Not Detected
Benzene	4.5	Not Detected	14	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.5	Not Detected	18	Not Detected
Heptane	4.5	Not Detected	18	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	4.5	Not Detected	24	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloropropane	4.5	Not Detected	21	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	18	Not Detected	65	Not Detected
Bromodichloromethane	4.5	Not Detected	30	Not Detected
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	4.5	Not Detected	20	Not Detected
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	4.5	Not Detected	18	Not Detected
Toluene	4.5	6.0	17	22
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	4.5	Not Detected	20	Not Detected
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4.5	Not Detected	24	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	4.5	780	30	5200
2-Hexanone	18	Not Detected	74	Not Detected



# Client Sample ID: SS-2 Lab ID#: 1503214-03A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name:	j031712	T12 Date of Collection: 3/11/15 3:05:00 PM		
Dil. Factor:	9.01	Date	of Analysis: 3/17/	15 02:08 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Dibromochloromethane	4.5	Not Detected	38	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	4.5	Not Detected	35	Not Detected
Chlorobenzene	4.5	Not Detected	21	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	4.5	Not Detected	20	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	4.5	4.9	20	21
o-Xylene	4.5	Not Detected	20	Not Detected
Styrene	4.5	Not Detected	19	Not Detected
Bromoform	4.5	Not Detected	46	Not Detected
Cumene	4.5	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	4.5	Not Detected	31	Not Detected
Propylbenzene	4.5	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
4-Ethyltoluene	4.5	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	4.5	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	4.5	Not Detected	22	Not Detected
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	4.5	Not Detected	27	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	4.5	Not Detected	27	Not Detected
alpha-Chlorotoluene	4.5	Not Detected	23	Not Detected
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	4.5	Not Detected	27	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	18	Not Detected	130	Not Detected
Hexachlorobutadiene	18	Not Detected	190	Not Detected

#### Container Type: 1 Liter Summa Canister

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	97	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	104	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	93	70-130



# Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1503214-04A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031707 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 11:16 AM		15 11:16 AM
	Rnt Limit	Amount	Rnt Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.50	Not Detected	2.5	Not Detected
Freon 114	0.50	Not Detected	3.5	Not Detected
Chloromethane	5.0	Not Detected	10	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	0.50	Not Detected	1.3	Not Detected
1,3-Butadiene	0.50	Not Detected	1.1	Not Detected
Bromomethane	5.0	Not Detected	19	Not Detected
Chloroethane	2.0	Not Detected	5.3	Not Detected
Freon 11	0.50	Not Detected	2.8	Not Detected
Ethanol	2.0	Not Detected	3.8	Not Detected
Freon 113	0.50	Not Detected	3.8	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Acetone	5.0	Not Detected	12	Not Detected
2-Propanol	2.0	Not Detected	4.9	Not Detected
Carbon Disulfide	2.0	Not Detected	6.2	Not Detected
3-Chloropropene	2.0	Not Detected	6.3	Not Detected
Methylene Chloride	5.0	Not Detected	17	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.50	Not Detected	1.8	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Hexane	0.50	Not Detected	1.8	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	2.0	Not Detected	5.9	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Tetrahydrofuran	0.50	Not Detected	1.5	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.50	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	Not Detected	2.7	Not Detected
Cyclohexane	0.50	Not Detected	1.7	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	Not Detected	3.1	Not Detected
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	0.50	Not Detected	2.3	Not Detected
Benzene	0.50	Not Detected	1.6	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Heptane	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	2.7	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	Not Detected	2.3	Not Detected
1,4-Dioxane	2.0	Not Detected	7.2	Not Detected
Bromodichloromethane	0.50	Not Detected	3.4	Not Detected
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	Not Detected	2.3	Not Detected
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.50	Not Detected	2.0	Not Detected
Toluene	0.50	Not Detected	1.9	Not Detected
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	Not Detected	2.3	Not Detected
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	Not Detected	2.7	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.50	Not Detected	3.4	Not Detected
2-Hexanone	2.0	Not Detected	8.2	Not Detected



# Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1503214-04A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031707 1.00	Date Date	of Collection: NA of Analysis: 3/17/	/15 11:16 AM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Dibromochloromethane	0.50	Not Detected	4.2	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.50	Not Detected	3.8	Not Detected
Chlorobenzene	0.50	Not Detected	2.3	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.50	Not Detected	2.2	Not Detected
Styrene	0.50	Not Detected	2.1	Not Detected
Bromoform	0.50	Not Detected	5.2	Not Detected
Cumene	0.50	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	Not Detected	3.4	Not Detected
Propylbenzene	0.50	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected
4-Ethyltoluene	0.50	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	Not Detected	2.4	Not Detected
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	Not Detected	3.0	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	Not Detected	3.0	Not Detected
alpha-Chlorotoluene	0.50	Not Detected	2.6	Not Detected
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	Not Detected	3.0	Not Detected
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2.0	Not Detected	15	Not Detected
Hexachlorobutadiene	2.0	Not Detected	21	Not Detected

#### Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
Toluene-d8	95	70-130	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	96	70-130	



# Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1503214-05A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031702 1.00	Date of Collection: NA Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 07:47 AM
Compound	%Recover	У
Freon 12	101	
Freon 114	101	
Chloromethane	105	
Vinyl Chloride	97	
1,3-Butadiene	92	
Bromomethane	107	
Chloroethane	95	
Freon 11	102	
Ethanol	78	
Freon 113	98	
1,1-Dichloroethene	93	
Acetone	96	
2-Propanol	82	
Carbon Disulfide	94	
3-Chloropropene	93	
Methylene Chloride	96	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	84	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	95	
Hexane	91	
1,1-Dichloroethane	92	
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	89	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	95	
Tetrahydrofuran	87	
Chloroform	95	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	94	
Cyclohexane	92	
Carbon Tetrachloride	100	
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	82	
Benzene	97	
1,2-Dichloroethane	101	
Heptane	88	
Trichloroethene	84	
1,2-Dichloropropane	94	
1,4-Dioxane	94	
Bromodichloromethane	99	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	94	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	84	
Toluene	94	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	97	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	99	
Tetrachloroethene	103	
2-Hexanone	87	



# Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1503214-05A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name:	j031702	Date of Collection: NA
	1.00	
Compound		%Recovery
Dibromochloromethane		104
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		98
Chlorobenzene		97
Ethyl Benzene		94
m,p-Xylene		91
o-Xylene		93
Styrene		94
Bromoform		101
Cumene		91
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		111
Propylbenzene		93
4-Ethyltoluene		93
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		92
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		91
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		96
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		99
alpha-Chlorotoluene		93
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		97
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		100
Hexachlorobutadiene		102

#### Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	99	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	70-130



# Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1503214-06A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031703 Date of Collection: NA 1.00 Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 08:11 AM	
		Method
Compound	%Recovery	Limits
Freon 12	109	70-130
Freon 114	109	70-130
Chloromethane	110	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	103	70-130
1,3-Butadiene	97	70-130
Bromomethane	114	70-130
Chloroethane	103	70-130
Freon 11	109	70-130
Ethanol	88	70-130
Freon 113	101	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	100	70-130
Acetone	97	70-130
2-Propanol	92	70-130
Carbon Disulfide	88	70-130
3-Chloropropene	92	70-130
Methylene Chloride	102	70-130
Methyl tert-butyl ether	86	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	86	70-130
Hexane	96	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	96	70-130
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	91	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	111	70-130
Tetrahydrofuran	91	70-130
Chloroform	99	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	100	70-130
Cyclohexane	96	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	106	70-130
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	89	70-130
Benzene	100	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	103	70-130
Heptane	90	70-130
Trichloroethene	87	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	98	70-130
1,4-Dioxane	96	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	104	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	91	70-130
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	87	70-130
Toluene	96	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	101	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	103	70-130
Tetrachloroethene	106	70-130
2-Hexanone	95	70-130



# Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1503214-06A EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

-

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031703 1.00	1703 Date of Collection: NA 1.00 Date of Analysis: 3/17/15 08:11 AM	
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
Dibromochloromethane		109	70-130
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		104	70-130
Chlorobenzene		102	70-130
Ethyl Benzene		97	70-130
m,p-Xylene		100	70-130
o-Xylene		99	70-130
Styrene		99	70-130
Bromoform		107	70-130
Cumene		93	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		114	70-130
Propylbenzene		98	70-130
4-Ethyltoluene		98	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		96	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		94	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		101	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		104	70-130
alpha-Chlorotoluene		98	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		103	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		109	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene		112	70-130

#### Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	96	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	99	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	99	70-130



# Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1503214-06AA EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

File Name:	j031704 Dat	e of Collection: NA
DII. Factor:	1.00 Dat	e of Analysis: 3/1//15 08:36 AM
- ·		Method
Compound	%Recovery	Limits
Freon 12	106	70-130
Freon 114	107	70-130
Chloromethane	104	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	100	70-130
1,3-Butadiene	95	70-130
Bromomethane	111	70-130
Chloroethane	98	70-130
Freon 11	107	70-130
Ethanol	82	70-130
Freon 113	97	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	98	70-130
Acetone	94	70-130
2-Propanol	89	70-130
Carbon Disulfide	85	70-130
3-Chloropropene	88	70-130
Methylene Chloride	98	70-130
Methyl tert-butyl ether	83	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	84	70-130
Hexane	92	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	94	70-130
2-Butanone (Methyl Ethyl Ketone)	91	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	104	70-130
Tetrahydrofuran	89	70-130
Chloroform	96	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	97	70-130
Cyclohexane	94	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	102	70-130
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	86	70-130
Benzene	102	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	105	70-130
Heptane	94	70-130
Trichloroethene	89	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	102	70-130
1,4-Dioxane	97	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	107	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	92	70-130
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	90	70-130
Toluene	98	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	100	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	102	70-130
Tetrachloroethene	108	70-130
2-Hexanone	96	70-130



# Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1503214-06AA EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS FULL SCAN

-

File Name: Dil. Factor:	j031704 1.00	Date of Collec Date of Analys	tion: NA sis:  3/17/15 08:36 AM
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
Dibromochloromethane		109	70-130
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		104	70-130
Chlorobenzene		100	70-130
Ethyl Benzene		98	70-130
m,p-Xylene		96	70-130
o-Xylene		100	70-130
Styrene		99	70-130
Bromoform		107	70-130
Cumene		96	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		116	70-130
Propylbenzene		100	70-130
4-Ethyltoluene		106	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		96	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		95	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		103	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		105	70-130
alpha-Chlorotoluene		101	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		104	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		113	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene		116	70-130

#### Container Type: NA - Not Applicable

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
Toluene-d8	98	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	100	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	70-130

Appendix C ProUCL Statistical Output

	Α	В	С	DI	E	F	G	H	1	J	К	L	
1				UCL	Statis	stics for Unc	ensored Full	Data Sets					
2		Liese Cala	ate d Oatie as										
3	D	User Sele	cted Options	4/0/2015 0.22.40									
4	Da	ite/Time of Ci	Erom File	4/8/2015 8:33:407	AM								
5		Eu	Provision	OFF									
6		Confidence	Coofficient										
7	Number	of Bootstran	Operations	2000									
8													
9													
10	PCE												
11	2												
12						General	Statistics						
14			Total	Number of Observ	ations	11	[		Numbe	r of Distinct C	Observations	10	
15									Numbe	r of Missing C	Observations	0	
16				Mir	nimum	250					Mean	6542	
17				Мах	kimum	24000					Median	3800	
18					SD	7583				Std. E	rror of Mean	2286	
19				Coefficient of Va	riation	1.159					Skewness	1.585	
20													
21	Normal GOF Test												
22			S	hapiro Wilk Test Si	tatistic	0.8			Shapiro W	ilk GOF Test			
23			5% SI	hapiro Wilk Critical	Value	0.85		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
24				Lilliefors Test St	tatistic	0.224		Lilliefors GOF Test					
25			5	% Lilliefors Critical	Value	0.267		Data appe	ear Normal a	t 5% Signific	ance Level		
26		5		Data appea	ar App	roximate No	ormal at 5% S	Significance	Level				
27		11 - 21 21 <sub>1</sub>											
28	-		05% NL		As	suming Nor	mai Distribut			unte el fere Olive			
29			95% NC	05% Student's	+ LICI	10696		95%	OCLS (Adju		(Chap 1005)	11470	
30				95% Students	-IUCL	10000			95% Aujuste		(Chen-1995)	10868	
31									5576 Widdin	eu-1 00L (30	1113011-1370)	10000	
32						Gamma	GOF Test						
33				A-D Test S	tatistic	0.178		Ander	rson-Darling	Gamma GC	)F Test		
34				5% A-D Critical	Value	0.759	Detected	d data appea	ar Gamma D	istributed at !	5% Significan	ice Level	
36				K-S Test S	tatistic	0.128		Kolmo	grov-Smirno	ff Gamma G	OF Test		
37				5% K-S Critical	Value	0.264	Detected	d data appea	ar Gamma D	istributed at §	5% Significan	ice Level	
38				Detected data	appea	r Gamma Di	stributed at 5	5% Significa	nce Level				
39													
40						Gamma	Statistics						
41				k hat	(MLE)	0.824			k	star (bias co	rrected MLE)	0.66	
42			÷	Theta hat	(MLE)	7936		Theta star (bias corrected MLE) 9910				9910	
43				nu hat	(MLE)	18.14		nu star (bias corrected) 14			14.52		
44			M	LE Mean (bias corr	rected)	6542				MLE Sd (bia	as corrected)	8052	
45									Approximate	e Chi Square	Value (0.05)	6.931	
46			Adjus	sted Level of Signif	icance	0.0278			A	djusted Chi S	Square Value	6.095	
47							Dist II						
48		05%	moto Com		As	suming Gar	nma Distribu		livets d C		where the	15500	
49		-3% Approxi	mate Gamma	UCL (use when h	/=50))	13708		95% AC	ijusted Gam	ma UCL (use	e when n<50)	12280	

																	1			
	A	-	B		C		D		E	F	G G	H		1		J		K		L
51		Shapiro Wilk Toot Statistic 0.076 Shapiro Wilk Lagramat 0.05 Toot																		
52					E0/	Shap		K Tes	st Statistic	0.976		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test								
53					5%	Snap		K Crit	ical value	0.85		Data a	opear	_ognorn	hal at	5% Sig	nifica	ince L	evel	
54							Lilliefor	sles	st Statistic	0.106			Lillie	fors Log	gnorm	nal GO	- Tes	st		
55						5% L	illiefor	s Crit	ical Value	0.267		Data a	ppear	_ognorn	nal at	5% Sig	nifica	ince L	evel	
56								Da	ata appea	r Lognormal	at 5% Signif	icance l	_evel							
57																				
58										Lognorma	al Statistics									
59						Min	imum o	of Log	gged Data	5.521						Mean	of lo	gged I	Data	8.069
60						Max	imum o	of Log	gged Data	10.09						SD	of lo	gged I	Data	1.397
61																				
62									Ass	uming Logn	ormal Distrib	ution								
63								95	5% H-UCL	45566				90	% Ch	ebyshe	v (M	VUE)	UCL	17288
64					95	% Che	ebyshe	۷ (M)	VUE) UCL	21801				97.5	% Ch	ebyshe	v (M	VUE)	UCL	28064
65					99	% Che	ebyshe	v (M)	VUE) UCL	40366										
66									2											
67								Ν	lonparam	etric Distribu	ition Free UC	CL Statis	stics							
68						Da	ita app	ear t	o follow a	Discernible	Distribution	at 5% S	ignifica	ance Le	vel					
69																				
70									Nonpa	rametric Dis	tribution Fre	e UCLs								
71								95%	CLT UCL	10302						95%	Jack	knife	UCL	10686
72					95	i% Sta	ndard	Boot	strap UCL	10154						95% B	loots	trap-t	UCL	15322
73						95%	Hall's	Boot	strap UCL	29939				95	% Per	centile	Boot	strap	UCL	10528
74			-	-		95%	6 BCA	Boot	strap UCL	11368										
75					90%	Cheby	/shev(M	Mean	, Sd) UCL	13401				95%	Cheb	yshev(I	Mean	, Sd)	UCL	16507
76				ç	97.5%	Cheby	/shev(N	Mean	, Sd) UCL	20819				99%	Cheb	yshev(I	Mean	, Sd)	UCL	29290
77																				
78										Suggested	UCL to Use	6								
79							95% 5	Stude	nt's-t UCL	10686										
80																				
81	1	Not	e: Sug	gestio	ns rega	arding	the se	lectic	on of a 959	% UCL are p	rovided to he	Ip the us	er to s	elect the	e mos	t appro	priate	e 95%	UCL	
82		Т	hese r	ecomr	menda	tions a	are bas	ed up	oon the re	sults of the s	imulation stu	dies sur	nmariz	ed in Si	ngh, S	Singh, a	nd la	ici (20	02)	
83				а	nd Sin	gh and	d Singh	n (200	03). Howe	ver, simulati	ons results w	ill not co	ver all	Real W	orld d	ata set	s.			
84							For	addit	ional insig	ht the user r	nay want to c	onsult a	statist	ician.						
85																				
05							_						_							

Appendix D Johnson-Ettinger Model Output Based on 95UCLM PCE Concentration

#### USEPA SG-SCREEN Version 2.0, 04/2003 DTSC Modification December 2014

### Department of Toxic Substances Control Vapor Intrusion Screening Model - Soil Gas

#### DATA ENTRY SHEET







Looku Recept	o or	AT <sub>C</sub> (vrs)	AT <sub>NC</sub> (vrs)	ED (vrs)	EF (davs/vr)	ET (hrs/day)	ACH (hour) <sup>-1</sup>
NEW=> Commerc	ial	70	25	25	250	8	1
END	·		· · · ·			(NEW)	(NEW)

#### Scenario: Commercial Chemical: Tetrachloroethylene

Results Summary										
Soil Gas Conc.	Attenuation Factor	Indoor Air Conc.	Cancer	Noncancer						
(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(unitless)	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Risk	Hazard						
1.07E+04	2.5E-04	2.7E+00	1.3E-06	1.7E-02						

#### INTERMEDIATE CALCULATIONS SHEET

# Scenario: Commercial

### Chemical: Tetrachloroethylene

	Vadose zone	Vadose zone	Vadose zone	Vadose zone	Vadose zone	Floor-		
Source-	soil	effective	soil	soil	soil	wall		Bldg.
building	air-filled	total fluid	intrinsic	relative air	effective vapor	seam	Soil	ventilation
separation,	porosity,	saturation,	permeability,	permeability,	permeability,	perimeter,	gas	rate,
LT	$\theta_a^{V}$	S <sub>te</sub>	k <sub>i</sub>	k <sub>rg</sub>	k <sub>v</sub>	X <sub>crack</sub>	conc.	Q <sub>building</sub>
(cm)	(cm <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	(cm <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	(cm)	(µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(cm <sup>3</sup> /s)
137	0.259	0.307	2.89E-09	0.798	2.30E-09	4,000	1.07E+04	6.78E+04
Area of	<b>o</b> 1	<b>A</b> 1	<b>-</b>				Vadose	
enclosed	Crack-	Сгаск	Enthalpy of	Henry's law	Henry's law	vapor	zone	D://
space	to-total	depth	vaporization at	constant at	constant at	viscosity at	effective	Diffusion
below	area	below	ave. soil	ave. soll	ave. soil	ave. soil	diffusion	path
grade,	ratio,	grade,	temperature,	temperature,	temperature,	temperature,	coefficient,	length,
A <sub>B</sub>	η	Z <sub>crack</sub>	$\Delta H_{v,TS}$	H <sub>TS</sub>	H' <sub>TS</sub>	$\mu_{TS}$	D <sup>en</sup> v	L <sub>d</sub>
(cm <sup>2</sup> )	(unitless)	(cm)	(cal/mol)	(atm-m <sup>3</sup> /mol)	(unitless)	(g/cm-s)	(cm²/s)	(cm)
	_					-	-	
1.00E+06	5.00E-03	15	9,410	1.68E-02	6.88E-01	1.80E-04	2.91E-03	137
						Exponent of	Infinito	
			Avorago	Crack			sourco	Infinito
Convoction	Sourco		Average	offoctivo		foundation	indoor	sourco
noth	vapor	Crack	flow rate	diffusion	Aroa of	Poclot	attonuation	bldg
longth	conc	radius	into blda	coofficient	crack	numbor	coefficient	biug.
iengui,	conc.,	Taulus,	into bidg.,		CIACK,		coenicient,	conc.,
Lp	C <sub>source</sub>	r <sub>crack</sub>	Q <sub>soil</sub>		Acrack	exp(Pe)	α	C <sub>building</sub>
(cm)	(µg/m³)	(cm)	(cm³/s)	(cm²/s)	(cm²)	(unitless)	(unitless)	(µg/m³)
15	1.07E+04	1.25	8.33E+01	2.91E-03	5.00E+03	7.00E+24	2.50E-04	2.67E+00

Unit	
risk	Reference
factor,	conc.,
URF	RfC
(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>-1</sup>	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
5.9E-06	3.5E-02

END

#### **RESULTS SHEET**

Scenario: Commercial Chemical: Tetrachloroethylene

#### INCREMENTAL RISK CALCULATIONS:

Incremental	Hazard
risk from	quotient
vapor	from vapor
intrusion to	intrusion to
indoor air,	indoor air,
carcinogen	noncarcinogen
(unitless)	(unitless)

1.3E-06 1.7E-02

MESSAGE SUMMARY BELOW:

END

\_

Appendix E SCS Engineer's Indoor Air Sampling Report

# SCS ENGINEERS

July 27, 2015 Revised August 20, 2015 Project No. 01215124.00

Mr. Gary L. Bates, PG Director, Environmental Remediation Services EFI Global, Inc. 11000 Richmond Avenue, Suite 250 Houston, Texas 77042 Office: (832) 518-5145

### Subject: Revised Limited Phase II Indoor Air Assessment and Reporting, 6335 – 6339 College Avenue, Oakland, California

Dear Mr. Bates:

SCS Engineers (SCS) is pleased to present the results of a Limited Phase II Indoor Air Assessment (Report) to EFI Global, Inc. (EFI) for the property located at 6335 – 6339 College Avenue in Oakland, California (Site, see Figure 1). We have prepared this Report at your request, to allow EFI to better evaluate the indoor environmental conditions at the Site. This Revised Report was prepared to address an error made by the analytical laboratory regarding volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations previously reported in select indoor air samples collected at the Site.

# BACKGROUND

SCS reviewed the April 28, 2015 *Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Soil Gas Investigation Report*, issued by Youngdahl Consulting Group, Inc. (Youngdahl). Based upon our review, we understand the Site consists of a three-story building, a parking area, and associated landscaping. The first floor of the building is unoccupied, and the second and third floors of the building are currently occupied by various tenants. The ground floor was most recently occupied by a dry cleaning facility (Former Red Hanger Cleaners). The Site is listed as Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH) Case No. RO00002981 and California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker Global ID T10000000416.

There have been a series of Site investigations by various consultants dating back to 2005. The investigations identified VOCs in the Site subsurface, and the most recent work performed by Youngdahl was performed to further evaluate the extent of tetrachloroethylene (PCE), chloroform, and other dry cleaning solvent degradation products in soil gas. The recent work consisted of advancing probes to five feet below ground surface (bgs) followed by vapor sample collection for analyses of VOCs using an on-Site mobile laboratory, and collection of sub-slab vapor samples for analysis of VOCs using an off-Site laboratory.

The soil gas sampling reportedly followed California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) and San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) guidelines. Potential vapor intrusion health risks were evaluated at the Site by comparing detected soil gas concentrations to the RWQCB Environmental Screening Levels or ESLs (RWQCB, 2013), and by using the DTSC Johnson-Ettinger (J&E) vapor intrusion model with key Site-specific information, such as soil type and depth to sample collection, to predict an indoor air concentration based on diffusion and advection of soil gas through the building slab, taking into account Site-specific soil and building parameters. Each risk evaluation that was performed assumed commercial use of the property.

Youndahl concluded that PCE was released to the environment at Red Hanger Cleaners, and soil gas underlying the foundation exceeds the RWQCB ESL value of 2,100 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) for PCE although the locations exceeding the soil gas ESL for PCE are limited in extent. With the exception of the sewer line, soil gas concentrations appear to attenuate with distance away from the area of the former dry cleaning machines. Cracks were observed in the concrete at the location of SV-6 near the former dry cleaning machines, which may have provided preferential pathways for PCE to enter into the soils beneath the foundation. The highest concentration of PCE in soil gas was found at the sewer cleanout. The highest concentration of PCE in the sub-slab samples was found near the former location of the dry cleaning machines next to a crack in the slab.

The vapor intrusion health risk assessment modeling was done based on soil gas and sub-slab samples. The modeling based on the soil gas sample results passed the applicable health risk-based screening criteria, while the modeling based on the sub-slab results did not pass applicable health risk based screening criteria. Furthermore, trichloroethylene (TCE) was not detected in soil gas or sub-slab gas samples, and the Youngdahl report concluded that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) response action levels for TCE do not apply.

# SCOPE OF WORK

Based upon review of the Youngdahl Report, and following correspondence with Dr. Paul Damian, toxicologist, SCS collected indoor and ambient air samples to further assess the potential for intrusion of VOC containing vapors in the indoor air at the Site. The work consisted of three primary tasks:

Task 1 - Preliminary Field Work;

Task 2 - Field Work; and,

Task 3 - Reporting.

# **Preliminary Field Activities**

To facilitate an expedient evaluation of the potential for intrusion of VOC-containing vapors into indoor air, a Work Plan was not prepared for submittal to overseeing regulatory agencies. In lieu of a Work Plan, SCS followed DTSC and RWQCB guidelines for investigation of indoor air. SCS prepared a Site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP) for use by SCS personnel during the on-Site activities. SCS notified building management of the proposed work and preformed a pre-sampling survey within the existing building. On May 21, 2015, SCS performed a pre-sampling survey eight days prior to indoor air sampling to identify whether materials containing the identified constituents of potential concern (COPC) were present. The survey also evaluated whether there were any obvious migration pathways from the building sub-slab into the interior air space (such as obvious cracks in the slab or unsealed penetrations). SCS personnel recorded preliminary field observations on field logs which are included as Appendix A to this Report.

### **Field Investigation**

The indoor air sampling event performed on May 29, 2015 included collection of samples from five interior points (IA-1 through IA-5), including two samples from the first floor (IA-1 and IA-2) of the structure, two samples from the second floor (IA-3 and IA-4) of the structure, and one sample from the third floor bathroom area (IA-5). Two outdoor background, or ambient, air samples (BG-1 and BG-2) were also collected. The samples were collected in certified clean (SIM certified) 6 liter Summa<sup>TM</sup> brand passive stainless steel canisters equipped with regulators to collect the samples over an approximate 8 hour period. Indoor air samples were collected from within the adult breathing zone (approximately five feet above floor level) between the hours of 8 am and 4 pm by affixing each Summa<sup>TM</sup> canister to a sampling cane. The building exterior samples were collected in the same manner, but the sample collection began approximately one hour prior to the indoor air sampling per DTSC guidelines.

SCS personnel were on-Site during the sampling to monitor the equipment and verify that tampering did not occur. The first floor (location of former Red Hanger Cleaners) windows and doors were closed during sampling activities, with the exception of sampling personnel entering and exiting the building. The first floor heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system was inoperable during the course of sampling. The second and third floor of the building consists of approximately 20 individual offices. The HVAC system for the second and third floor areas operated under normal conditions, controlled by a thermostat. Indoor air samples were collected from the second floor hallway (IA-3 and IA-4) and third floor bathroom (IA-5) with the building ventilation occurring under typical conditions.

The indoor (IA-1 through IA-5) and outdoor (BG-1 and BG-2) air samples were submitted under Chain of Custody (COC) documentation to Air Toxics Laboratory for analysis of VOCs by USEPA Method TO-15 SIM. SCS requested the air sample analysis be performed to meet or exceed the method detection limit (MDL) requirements specified in the San Francisco Bay RWQCB Letter. Generally the MDL requirements were below the analytical reporting limits listed by the RWQCB ESLs for commercial and industrial land use (RWQCB, 2013). The list of

analytes included the full list of VOCs, including PCE, TCE, and daughter products dichloroethylene (DCE) and vinyl chloride, as well as oxygen, carbon dioxide, methane.

SCS collected five ambient indoor air (IA-1 through IA-5) samples from the Site. Two of the samples were collected from the first floor former Red Hanger Cleaners suite (IA-1 and IA-2) and two of the samples were collected from the second floor office hallways (IA-3 and IA-4) located approximately in the same location, although one floor above the first floor locations. SCS also collected a sample from the third floor of the building (IA-5) in the third floor bathroom in an attempt to identify any potential vertical pathways of indoor air contamination.

The Site location is shown on the attached **Figure 1**. Indoor air sampling locations are shown on the attached **Figure 2**.

### Indoor Ambient Air Investigation Analytical Results

The results of the indoor air sampling activities completed by SCS on May 29, 2015 are presented in **Table 1**. Copies of the revised laboratory analytical reports are attached as **Appendix B** to this Report. The results of the indoor and ambient air sample analysis may be summarized as follows:

The indoor air sample analysis yielded the following results:

- PCE was reported in each sample at concentrations ranging from 3.3 to  $4.1 \,\mu g/m^3$ ;
- TCE was reported in three of five samples at concentrations ranging from 5.1 to 8.8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- 1,2-dichloroethane (DCA) was reported in two samples at concentrations of 0.14 and 0.25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and in one sample at estimated ("J-flagged value") concentration of 0.43  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Benzene was reported in four of five samples at concentrations ranging from 0.40 to 0.62  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Toluene was reported in each sample at concentrations ranging from 1.6 to  $2.0 \,\mu g/m^3$ ;
- Ethylbenzene was reported in four of five samples at concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 0.37  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Xylenes were reported in four of five samples at concentrations ranging from 1.09 to 1.66  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Carbon tetrachloride was reported in four of five samples at concentrations ranging from 0.48 to 0.54 μg/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Chloroform was reported in each sample at concentrations ranging from 0.32 to 3.3  $\mu g/m^3$ ;
- Chloromethane was reported each sample at concentrations ranging from 1.2 to 1.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Freon 12 was reported in each sample at concentrations ranging from 2.5 to  $3.0 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ ; and,
- 1,4-dichlorobenzene was reported in one sample at a concentration of  $0.21 \,\mu g/m^3$ .

The outdoor ambient air samples yielded the following results (for samples BG-1 and BG-2, respectively):

- Benzene was reported in both samples at concentrations of 0.40 and 0.66  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Toluene was reported in both samples at concentrations of 0.91 and 1.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Ethylbenzene was reported in both samples at concentrations of 0.18 and 0.26  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Xylenes were reported in both samples at concentrations of 0.84 and  $1.42 \,\mu g/m^3$ ;
- Carbon tetrachloride was reported in both samples at concentrations of 0.48 and 0.51  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>;
- Chloroform was reported in sample BG-1 at a concentration of  $0.16 \,\mu g/m^3$ ;
- Chloromethane was reported in each sample at a concentration of  $1.3 \,\mu g/m^3$ : and,
- Freon 12 was reported in each sample at a concentration of  $2.5 \,\mu g/m^3$ .

# DISCUSSION

As previously noted, prior to conducting indoor air sampling, SCS performed a building contents survey. Then, to evaluate the condition of indoor air, SCS collected five indoor air samples (IA-1 through IA-5) for analysis, as well as two ambient air samples exterior to the on-Site building (BG-1 and BG-2). Outdoor ambient air sample locations BG-1 and BG-2 were placed on opposite sides of the on-Site building, in locations presumed to be least affected by pedestrian traffic (**Figure 2**). Samples IA-1 and IA-2 were collected from the first floor former Red Hanger Cleaners suite. Samples IA-3 and IA-4 were collected from the second floor hallways in approximately the same locations as those collected on the first floor, although one floor above. Sample IA-5 was collected from the third floor bathroom in an effort to determine if a vertical conduit (i.e. shared sanitary sewer pipeline) existed between the first, second, and third floors. Each building interior sample was collected from an approximate height of five feet above ground surface, considered representative of a breathing zone location.

The results of the indoor and outdoor air sampling event suggest that ambient or background concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX compounds), carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, chloromethane, and Freon 12 are present in the Site vicinity. The most elevated concentrations of the primary COPC, PCE and TCE, were reported in samples IA-4 and IA-5. Those samples were located in approximately the same locations on the second and third floors of the on-Site building – near the bathroom and elevator. The detection of TCE in indoor air on the second and third floors, but not in indoor air on the first floor suggests a source other that the former dry cleaning facility.

The presence of carbon tetrachloride, Freon 12, chloroform, and chloromethane may be wholly attributed to background conditions, to laboratory "carry over", and/or may in part be the result of historic Site operations.

# CLOSING

SCS appreciates the opportunity to work with you on this important project. Should you have any questions, please call us at (925) 426-0080.

Sincerely,

Alex Tuveson, EIT Project Engineer SCS ENGINEERS

1 Rtila

James G. Ritchie, PG, QSD Project Director SCS ENGINEERS



Attachments:

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2 – Indoor Air Sampling Locations Table 1 – Indoor Air Sampling Results Appendix A – Field Logs and Pre-Sample Survey Appendix B – Laboratory Analytical Reports




Source: Google Earth Professional, Imagery Date: 04/05/14

#### S C S ENGINEERS

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS AND CONTRACTORS

6601 KOLL CENTER PARKWAY, SUITE 140 PLEASANTON, CA 94566 PH. (925) 426-0080 FAX (925) 426-0707

PH. (925) 426-0080 FAX	(925) 426-0707	CHECKED BY: ALT
DESIGNED BY: JJM	SCALE: None	APPROVED BY: JGR
DRAWN BY: ALT	DATE: 06/05/15	FILE: 01215124.00

#### **FIGURE 1 - SITE LOCATION MAP**

Former Red Hanger Dry Cleaners 6335-6339 College Avenue Oakland, CA



# Table 1. Indoor Air Analytical Results6335 - 6339 College Avenue, Oakland, California

		VOCs										Atr	Atmospheric Gas		
Sample Location	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	Tetrachloroethylene	Trichloroethylene	Methane	Oxygen	Carbon Dioxide
							$\mu g/m^3$						Pe	ercentage ( <sup>a</sup>	%)
IA-1	05/29/15	0.62	1.6	0.31	1.16	0.50	0.32	1.3	2.5	<0.13	3.5	<0.17	0.00028	22	0.046
IA-2	05/29/15	0.61	1.7	0.37	1.66	0.54	0.34	1.2	2.6	<0.13	3.3	<0.17	0.00030	21	0.045
IA-3	05/29/15	<0.86	2.0	<0.47	<0.94	<0.68	1.8	1.4	3.0	0.43 J	3.5	5.1	0.00025	21	0.079
IA-4 <sup>1</sup>	05/29/15	0.43	1.9	0.30	1.21	0.51	3.3	1.6	2.7	0.25	4.0	8.8	0.00027	21	0.075
IA-5	05/29/15	0.40	1.6	0.25	1.09	0.48	3.2	1.5	2.8	0.14	4.1	6.6	0.00028	21	0.065
BG-1	05/29/15	0.40	0.91	0.18	0.84	0.48	0.16	1.3	2.5	<0.13	<0.21	<0.17	0.00022	21	0.040
BG-2	05/29/15	0.66	1.4	0.26	1.42	0.51	<0.15	1.3	2.5	<0.13	<0.21	<0.17	0.00021	21	0.041
Commerc	ial/Industrial ESL	0.42	1,300	4.9	440	0.29	2.3	390	NE	0.58	2.1	3.0	NE	NE	NE

Notes:

VOCs = volatile organic compounds; analyzed using Method TO-15. VOCs not listed were not detected.

NE = Not Established

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms per cubic meter$ 

 $\mu L/L$  = microliters per liter (also referred to as ppm)

ppm = parts per million

Commercial/Industrial ESL = Table E-3. Ambient and Indoor Air Screening Levels (Commercial/Industrial Exposure). - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, December 2013

**Bold** = Exceeds Commercial/Industrial ESL

J = Estimated Value

1 - Sample yielded 1,4-dichlorobenzene at 0.21  $\mu g/m3.$ 

# APPENDIX A

FIELD LOGS AND PRE-SAMPLE SURVEY

#### ENGINEERS CS

FORMER RED HANGER DET CLEANERS

Pre Sample Survey Checklist

Date 5/21/15 Suite 6239 COLLEGE AVE, OAKLAND, CA

1. Floor Material/Coverings

15 FLOOR - CONCRETE SLAB (W/ SOME TILE ON CONCRETE SLAB NEAR ENTRANCE -2. Floor Condition/Problem Areas, SEE PHOTOS,

MINOR CRACKS IN CONCRETE SLAD FOUNDATION (IST FLOOR, LESS THAN 7/4" WIDE). PREVIOUS PENETRATIONS FROM SOIL VAPOR & SUBSLAB VAPOR SAMPLING, NO ISSUES ON 2 MD 2 3 3D FLOOR. 3. HVAC System

EXLANST, FANT HUAC SYSTEM ON IST FLOOR (NON-OPERATIONAL SINCE IST FLOOR WAS VACATED). HVAC SYSTEM (SEPADATE FROM IS FLOOR) ON 2ND & 300 FLOORS OPERATES AS NEEDED BY INDIVIDUAL TENANTS (APPROX. 25 INDIVIDUAL OFFICES). 4. Observed Chemicals

- · 3 I GALLON CLORDE BLEACH > LOCATED ON FIRST FLOOR, REMAVED BY PROPERTY · 1 I GALLON AMYL ACETATE MANAGER POTRICE ELLWOOD.
- · NO CHEMECALS OTHER CHEMECALS OBSERVED ON IST FLOOR (FORMER RED HANGER LLEANERS)
- · No chemicals observed on 2nd 32D FLOOR. UNABLE TO MAKE CONTACT w/ ALL TENANTS (~25 TENANTS), BUT NO CHENICALS LOCATED. ACCORDING TO PROPERTY MANAGER, PAREICE ELLWOOD, JANITORIAL SERVICE ARRIVES TO CLEAN 202 3 300 FLOORS, BUT NO CHEMICALS ARE STORED ON-SITE.

## PHOTO LOG



Photo 1. Former Red Hanger Cleaners Operating Area.



Photo 2. Former Red Hanger Cleaners Front Reception Area.



Photo 3. Former Red Hanger Cleaners Boiler Room.



Photo 4. Former Red Hanger Cleaners Bathroom.



Photo 5. Former Red Hanger Cleaners (Rear of Suite, Looking Toward Front Door).

EPI	40	BAL
-----	----	-----

# SCS Engineers Air Sampling Log

	Date: 5/	29/15		Site: FOR	NER RE	ED HAN.	ER LIEANER PALIAN (A
	Client: Ø	215124.00	]	Operator:	A. TUVE	SON	E CEAN FF O APOAND, CA
	Sample Location	Summa Serial Numer	Start Time	Initial Pressure (in./Hg)	Finish Time	Ending Pressure (in./HG)	Notes
1	BG-1	5746	0700	30	1500	5	BOFA PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE
•	B4-2	Stelele	0710	30	1510	4.5	REAR BUILDING PARKING LOT/63PD S
	IA-5	3724	0800	30	1600	3	320 FLOOR BATHROOM
1	JA-4	12940	0810	31	1610	5.5	2ND FLOOR REAR HALLWAY
•	IA-3	12693	0820	30.5	1620	6	2 MD FLOOR FRONT HALLMAY
•	IA-2	617264	0830	30	1630	5	12T FLOOR REAR BUILDING
'	IA-I	199/439	0840	30.5	1640	le	1ST FLOOR FRONT BUILDING
ł	tott -	IA-5 FLOW	N CONT	TROLLER	NOTEN	VETTONE	NE PERPERIM AT STRAFTUR
		SEEMED T	O ADJ	IST CORRE	erry A	FRED 1.	2 MENE TODOTNE EN GAUE
		<u>1</u>					- The second sec
L							
		5. C					
L							
L							
L							
L							
L							
L							
L							
L							

Project: 01215124-00 EFE GLOBAC Date: 5/29/15

	21	2413	a		/DERECTION )	\ / \	(INHg)
			(OF)	(MPH)	(WIND IS GOIN	(INHg)	CAN PRESSURE
	Time	Location	Temp	Windspeed	Wind Direction	Pressure	
	0700	B4-1	56	И	SOUTH FAST/C	E) 70 80	30 INSTEAL
	0710	34-2	57.5	1 and 1	AV/A	29.79	30 FALEFTAL
	0750	B/-1	545	2	SE	76 80	27
	0155	BC-2	58	1	CE.	79,19	77
	0300	TA-5	69	15	N/A	19.11	30 JNJIJAL
	QIRO	TA-4	GA S	0	NIA	29.78	31 ENSTEAL
	0380	EA-3	177	ß	NA	7927	30.5 INITIAL
	0830	5-A-2	67	6	NA	79.79	30 INITIAL
	0840	JA-1	66	Ø	N/A	79.79	30.5 INITIAL
	0850	BG-1	54.6	2.5	SE.	29.79	22
	0900	BG-2	61	7	SE	29.79	23
	0910	BIA-5	68	Ø	NA	29.77	23.5
	0920	JA-4	70.5	Ø	NA	29.78	27
	0930	IA-3	72	Ó	N/A	29.77	26
	0940	CA-Z	67	0	N/A	29.79	27
	0950	EA-1	66	Ø	N/A	29.21	765
	1000	BG-1	55	5	SE	29,80	17.5
	1005	BG-2	60	0.5	SE	29.80	18
	1010	JA-5	69	ø	NIA	29,77	19.5
	1015	SA-4	70.5	ø		29.78	22.5
	1020	TA-3	72	Ø		29.78	22
	1025	SA-2	70	Ø		29.80	23
	1030	IA-1	68	6	5	29.80	23.5
	1100	BG-1_	56.5	3,5	SE	29,80	15
	405	BG-2	59	Ø	NA	79.80	15
	1110	A:IA-S	68.5	ġ <del>,</del>	NA	29.78	++
	1115	IA-Y	40	Ø		29.78	19.5
	1120	DA-3	7(	Ø		29,78	19
3	1125	IA-2	69	Ø		29.79	19:5
	1130	IAN	69.5	9		29.80	20
	1200	84-1	58	13	SE	29.81	1
	12.05	134-2	59.5	0.5	SE	29.81	11
	1210	1A-5	68.5	Ø	N/JA	29.77	19
	1715	IA-4	69.5	ø		29:19	15
1000	1200	IA-5	1015	0		29.78	15
YLLS	+250	TA-2	48	a	_	29,80	15
	1750	IA-I	67.5	-4	d'	29,79	15.5
	1300	56-1	66	4	SE	29.78	×
	1595	134-4	66.5	Ø	MA	29.48	8.5
	0510	JAS	69.5		NA	29.75	11
	1220	IAM	TUS			29.76	12
	1500	TAA	12	- <u>P</u>		19.76	12
	105	EA A.	10			29.12	11.5
	1400	P(1)	100 -	15	SE	29.17	12.2
	Hint	Bi-7	66-2	2	SG	29.70	en la
	illio	TA-	13	đ	, TA	29.71	0
	1 III	Section 1		Y	P (1-P	171 + 1	7

PAGE 1 OFZ

SCS Engineers

1

		AIR SAMF	PLE SUMMAF	RY LOG		
Project: O	1215124.00	EFIC	LOBAL			
Date: 512	alis			6		(TA) Ho)
-10	qu	100	1.1	DIRECTION	6.11	(A) Paccoura
IT		(*)	(MPH) (	WINDL'S GOING)	(四~四)	CANTRESSURE
Time	Location	Temp	Windspeed	Wind Direction	Pressure	
1415	IA-4	74	Ø	N/A	29.75	9
1420	LA-3	74	Ø		29.75	9.5
1425	IA-2	70.5	Ø		29.76	9
1430	FA-1	68.5	Ø	Ť	29.77	9
1200	BG-I	62	1	SE	29.76	5 END
1210	BG-2	+3	Ø	NIA	29.75	4.5 END
1520	JA-5	1+5	Ø	M/A	29.72	8
1525	EA-4	74.5	Ø		29.73	-t-
1530	IA-3	75	Ø		29.73	T_
1535	LAN	70.5	9		29.74	6.5
1540	IA-1	7L	9	V	29.74	1 Ch
1600	JA-5	1 18.5	Ø	MA	29.71	15 END
1610	14-4	74,6	9		29-72	5.5 EVID
1020	JA-5	+5.5	2		29.72	Q DUD
1650	TAU	19			29.74	P EVP
1,1090	IA-L	45	- Q	<u> </u>	29.74	CE HVD
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$	
1						
			+			
F						

ZOFZ

ģ

E.

## APPENDIX B

# LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS



8/13/2015 Mr. Ted Sison SCS BT Squared 6601 Koll Center Pkwy Suite 140 Pleasanton CA 94566

Project Name: RED HANGER CLEANERS Project #: 01215124.00 Workorder #: 1506041AR1

Dear Mr. Ted Sison

The following report includes the data for the above referenced project for sample(s) received on 6/1/2015 at Air Toxics Ltd.

The data and associated QC analyzed by Modified TO-15 SIM are compliant with the project requirements or laboratory criteria with the exception of the deviations noted in the attached case narrative.

Thank you for choosing Air Toxics Ltd. for your air analysis needs. Air Toxics Ltd. is committed to providing accurate data of the highest quality. Please feel free to contact the Project Manager: Kelly Buettner at 916-985-1000 if you have any questions regarding the data in this report.

Regards,

Killy Butte

Kelly Buettner Project Manager

A Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Company

180 Blue Ravine Road, Suite B Folsom, CA 95630



### WORK ORDER #: 1506041AR1

#### Work Order Summary

CLIENT:	Mr. Ted Sison SCS BT Squared 6601 Koll Center Pkwy Suite 140 Pleasanton, CA 94566	BILL TO: M SC 66 Su Pl	Ir. Ted Sison CS BT Squared 501 Koll Center Pkwy 1ite 140 easanton, CA 94566	
PHONE:	925-426-0080	<b>P.O.</b> # 01	I-PL00614	
FAX:	925-426-0707	PROJECT # 01	215124.00 RED HANGER	
DATE RECEIVED:	06/01/2015	CONTACT: K	LEANERS elly Buettner	
DATE COMPLETE	<b>D:</b> 06/12/2015		eny Ducturer	
DATE REISSUED:	08/13/2015			
			RECEIPT	FINAL
FRACTION #	NAME	<b>TEST</b>	VAC./PRES.	<b>PRESSURE</b>
01A	BG-1	Modified TO-15 S	IM 4.3 "Hg	5 psi
02A	BG-2	Modified TO-15 S	IM 4.1 "Hg	5.3 psi
03A	IA-1	Modified TO-15 S	IM 4.1 "Hg	5.4 psi
04A	IA-2	Modified TO-15 S	IM 4.1 "Hg	5.2 psi
05A	IA-3	Modified TO-15 S	IM 5.1 "Hg	5.1 psi
06A	IA-4	Modified TO-15 S	IM 4.7 "Hg	5.1 psi
07A	IA-5	Modified TO-15 S	IM 4.3 "Hg	5.2 psi
08A	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA
08B	Lab Blank	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA
09A	CCV	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA
09B	CCV	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA
10A	LCS	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA
10AA	LCSD	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA
10B	LCS	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA
10BB	LCSD	Modified TO-15 S	IM NA	NA

CERTIFIED BY:

layes

08/13/15 DATE:

Technical Director

Certification numbers: AZ Licensure AZ0775, NJ NELAP - CA016, NY NELAP - 11291, TX NELAP - T104704343-14-7, UT NELAP CA009332014-5, VA NELAP - 460197, WA NELAP - C935 Name of Accreditation Body: NELAP/ORELAP (Oregon Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program) Accreditation number: CA300005, Effective date: 10/18/2014, Expiration date: 10/17/2015. Eurofins Air Toxics Inc.. certifies that the test results contained in this report meet all requirements of the NELAC standards

> This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. 180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B FOLSOM, CA - 9563 (916) 985-1000 . (800) 985-5955 . FAX (916) 985-1020

#### LABORATORY NARRATIVE Modified TO-15 SIM SCS BT Squared Workorder# 1506041AR1

Seven 6 Liter Summa Canister (SIM Certified) samples were received on June 01, 2015. The laboratory performed analysis via modified EPA Method TO-15 using GC/MS in the SIM acquisition mode.

This workorder was independently validated prior to submittal using 'USEPA National Functional Guidelines' as generally applied to the analysis of volatile organic compounds in air. A rules-based, logic driven, independent validation engine was employed to assess completeness, evaluate pass/fail of relevant project quality control requirements and verification of all quantified amounts.

Method modifications taken to run these samples are summarized in the table below. Specific project requirements may over-ride the ATL modifications.

Requirement	TO-15	ATL Modifications
ICAL %RSD acceptance criteria	=30% RSD with 2<br compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD	Project specific; default criteria is =30% RSD with 10% of compounds allowed out to < 40% RSD</td
Daily Calibration	+- 30% Difference	Project specific; default criteria is = 30% Difference<br with 10% of compounds allowed out up to =40%.; flag<br and narrate outliers
Blank and standards	Zero air	Nitrogen
Method Detection Limit	Follow 40CFR Pt.136 App. B	The MDL met all relevant requirements in Method TO-15 (statistical MDL less than the LOQ). The concentration of the spiked replicate may have exceeded 10X the calculated MDL in some cases

### **Receiving Notes**

🛟 eurofins

There were no receiving discrepancies.

### **Analytical Notes**

Dilution was performed on samples IA-3 due to the presence of high level non-target species.

Due to laboratory error, data was reissued on 8/13/15 to correct the documented load volume for samples IA-4 and IA-5. As a result, sample results for IA-4 and IA-5 were requantified using the correct dilution factor.

Additionally, the aforementioned dilution narrative was amended to reflect the current reissue changes.

### **Definition of Data Qualifying Flags**

Eight qualifiers may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

B - Compound present in laboratory blank greater than reporting limit (background subtraction not performed).

- J Estimated value.
- E Exceeds instrument calibration range.



S - Saturated peak.

Q - Exceeds quality control limits.

U - Compound analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit, LOD, or MDL value. See data page for project specific U-flag definition.

UJ- Non-detected compound associated with low bias in the CCV

N - The identification is based on presumptive evidence.

File extensions may have been used on the data analysis sheets and indicates as follows:

a-File was requantified

b-File was quantified by a second column and detector

r1-File was requantified for the purpose of reissue



# Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

#### **Client Sample ID: BG-1**

#### Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-01A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.031	0.50	0.15	2.5
Chloromethane	0.078	0.64	0.16	1.3
Chloroform	0.031	0.033	0.15	0.16
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.031	0.077	0.20	0.48
Benzene	0.078	0.13	0.25	0.40
Toluene	0.031	0.24	0.12	0.91
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.041	0.14	0.18
m,p-Xylene	0.062	0.14	0.27	0.61
o-Xylene	0.031	0.054	0.14	0.23

#### **Client Sample ID: BG-2**

#### Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-02A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.031	0.50	0.16	2.5
Chloromethane	0.078	0.63	0.16	1.3
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.031	0.080	0.20	0.51
Benzene	0.078	0.21	0.25	0.66
Toluene	0.031	0.38	0.12	1.4
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.061	0.14	0.26
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.23	0.27	0.99
o-Xylene	0.031	0.099	0.14	0.43

#### **Client Sample ID: IA-1**

#### Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-03A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.032	0.50	0.16	2.5
Chloromethane	0.079	0.63	0.16	1.3
Chloroform	0.032	0.066	0.15	0.32
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.032	0.079	0.20	0.50
Benzene	0.079	0.20	0.25	0.62
Toluene	0.032	0.42	0.12	1.6



# Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

#### **Client Sample ID: IA-1**

Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-03A				
Tetrachloroethene	0.032	0.52	0.21	3.5
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	0.071	0.14	0.31
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.20	0.27	0.87
o-Xylene	0.032	0.066	0.14	0.29

#### **Client Sample ID: IA-2**

#### Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-04A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.031	0.52	0.16	2.6
Chloromethane	0.078	0.60	0.16	1.2
Chloroform	0.031	0.070	0.15	0.34
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.031	0.086	0.20	0.54
Benzene	0.078	0.19	0.25	0.61
Toluene	0.031	0.45	0.12	1.7
Tetrachloroethene	0.031	0.49	0.21	3.3
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.085	0.14	0.37
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.29	0.27	1.2
o-Xylene	0.031	0.11	0.14	0.46

#### **Client Sample ID: IA-3**

#### Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-05A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.11	0.60	0.53	3.0
Chloromethane	0.27	0.68	0.56	1.4
Chloroform	0.11	0.36	0.53	1.8
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.11	0.10 J	0.44	0.43 J
Trichloroethene	0.11	0.95	0.58	5.1
Toluene	0.11	0.53	0.41	2.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.11	0.52	0.73	3.5

#### **Client Sample ID: IA-4**

Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-06A



# Summary of Detected Compounds MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

### Client Sample ID: IA-4

#### Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-06A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.032	0.55	0.16	2.7
Chloromethane	0.080	0.76	0.16	1.6
Chloroform	0.032	0.67	0.16	3.3
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.032	0.081	0.20	0.51
Benzene	0.080	0.13	0.26	0.43
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.032	0.062	0.13	0.25
Trichloroethene	0.032	1.6	0.17	8.8
Toluene	0.032	0.51	0.12	1.9
Tetrachloroethene	0.032	0.60	0.22	4.0
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	0.070	0.14	0.30
m,p-Xylene	0.064	0.20	0.28	0.87
o-Xylene	0.032	0.080	0.14	0.34
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.032	0.035	0.19	0.21

#### **Client Sample ID: IA-5**

#### Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-07A

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.032	0.56	0.16	2.8
Chloromethane	0.079	0.72	0.16	1.5
Chloroform	0.032	0.65	0.15	3.2
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.032	0.076	0.20	0.48
Benzene	0.079	0.12	0.25	0.40
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.032	0.034	0.13	0.14
Trichloroethene	0.032	1.2	0.17	6.6
Toluene	0.032	0.43	0.12	1.6
Tetrachloroethene	0.032	0.60	0.21	4.1
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	0.057	0.14	0.25
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.17	0.27	0.74
o-Xylene	0.032	0.081	0.14	0.35



## Client Sample ID: BG-1 Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-01A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060412sim	Date	of Collection: 5/2	9/15 3:00:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.56	Date	of Analysis: 6/4/1	5 04:37 PM
•	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.031	0.50	0.15	2.5
Freon 114	0.031	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.078	0.64	0.16	1.3
Vinyl Chloride	0.016	Not Detected	0.040	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.078	Not Detected	0.20	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.016	Not Detected	0.062	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.62	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.56	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.031	0.033	0.15	0.16
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.031	0.077	0.20	0.48
Benzene	0.078	0.13	0.25	0.40
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Toluene	0.031	0.24	0.12	0.91
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.031	Not Detected	0.24	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.041	0.14	0.18
m,p-Xylene	0.062	0.14	0.27	0.61
o-Xylene	0.031	0.054	0.14	0.23
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.031	Not Detected	0.19	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	123	70-130	
Toluene-d8	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: BG-2 Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-02A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name: Dil Factor:	e060413sim	Date	e of Collection: 5/2	9/15 3:10:00 PM
Compound	Rpt. Limit (ppbv)	Amount (ppbv)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.031	0.50	0.16	2.5
Freon 114	0.031	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.078	0.63	0.16	1.3
Vinyl Chloride	0.016	Not Detected	0.040	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.078	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.016	Not Detected	0.062	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.62	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.57	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.031	Not Detected	0.15	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.031	0.080	0.20	0.51
Benzene	0.078	0.21	0.25	0.66
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Toluene	0.031	0.38	0.12	1.4
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.031	Not Detected	0.24	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.061	0.14	0.26
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.23	0.27	0.99
o-Xylene	0.031	0.099	0.14	0.43
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.031	Not Detected	0.19	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	121	70-130	
Toluene-d8	104	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	86	70-130	



### Client Sample ID: IA-1 Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-03A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060414sim	Date	of Collection: 5/2	9/15 4:40:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.58	Date	e of Analysis: 6/4/1	5 06:48 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.032	0.50	0.16	2.5
Freon 114	0.032	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.079	0.63	0.16	1.3
Vinyl Chloride	0.016	Not Detected	0.040	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.079	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.016	Not Detected	0.063	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.57	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.032	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.032	0.066	0.15	0.32
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.032	0.079	0.20	0.50
Benzene	0.079	0.20	0.25	0.62
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.032	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Toluene	0.032	0.42	0.12	1.6
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.032	0.52	0.21	3.5
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.032	Not Detected	0.24	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	0.071	0.14	0.31
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.20	0.27	0.87
o-Xylene	0.032	0.066	0.14	0.29
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.032	Not Detected	0.19	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	121	70-130	
Toluene-d8	104	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	84	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: IA-2 Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-04A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060508sim	Date	of Collection: 5/2	9/15 4:30:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.57	Date	of Analysis: 6/5/1	5 02:27 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.031	0.52	0.16	2.6
Freon 114	0.031	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.078	0.60	0.16	1.2
Vinyl Chloride	0.016	Not Detected	0.040	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.078	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.016	Not Detected	0.062	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.62	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.57	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.031	0.070	0.15	0.34
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.031	0.086	0.20	0.54
Benzene	0.078	0.19	0.25	0.61
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Toluene	0.031	0.45	0.12	1.7
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.031	0.49	0.21	3.3
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.031	Not Detected	0.24	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.031	0.085	0.14	0.37
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.29	0.27	1.2
o-Xylene	0.031	0.11	0.14	0.46
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.031	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.031	Not Detected	0.19	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	123	70-130	
Toluene-d8	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87	70-130	



### Client Sample ID: IA-3 Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-05A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060418sim	Dat	e of Collection: 5/2	9/15 4:20:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	5.40	Dat	e of Analysis: 6/4/1	5 10:15 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.11	0.60	0.53	3.0
Freon 114	0.11	Not Detected	0.76	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.27	0.68	0.56	1.4
Vinyl Chloride	0.054	Not Detected	0.14	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.27	Not Detected	0.71	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.054	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.54	Not Detected	2.1	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.54	Not Detected	1.9	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.11	Not Detected	0.44	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.11	Not Detected	0.43	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.11	0.36	0.53	1.8
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.11	Not Detected	0.59	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.11	Not Detected	0.68	Not Detected
Benzene	0.27	Not Detected	0.86	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.11	0.10 J	0.44	0.43 J
Trichloroethene	0.11	0.95	0.58	5.1
Toluene	0.11	0.53	0.41	2.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.11	Not Detected	0.59	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.11	0.52	0.73	3.5
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.11	Not Detected	0.83	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.11	Not Detected	0.47	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.22	Not Detected	0.94	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.11	Not Detected	0.47	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.11	Not Detected	0.74	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.11	Not Detected	0.65	Not Detected

J = Estimated value.

	. ,	Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	118	70-130	
Toluene-d8	104	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	86	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: IA-4 Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-06A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060509simr1	Date	e of Collection: 5/2	9/15 4:10:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.60	Date	e of Analysis: 6/5/1	5 03:32 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.032	0.55	0.16	2.7
Freon 114	0.032	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.080	0.76	0.16	1.6
Vinyl Chloride	0.016	Not Detected	0.041	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.080	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.016	Not Detected	0.063	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.58	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.032	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.032	0.67	0.16	3.3
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.032	0.081	0.20	0.51
Benzene	0.080	0.13	0.26	0.43
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.032	0.062	0.13	0.25
Trichloroethene	0.032	1.6	0.17	8.8
Toluene	0.032	0.51	0.12	1.9
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.032	0.60	0.22	4.0
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.032	Not Detected	0.24	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	0.070	0.14	0.30
m,p-Xylene	0.064	0.20	0.28	0.87
o-Xylene	0.032	0.080	0.14	0.34
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.032	0.035	0.19	0.21

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	125	70-130	
Toluene-d8	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	89	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: IA-5 Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-07A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060510simr1	Date	e of Collection: 5/2	9/15 4:00:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.58	Date	e of Analysis: 6/5/1	5 04:33 PM
	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(ppbv)	(ppbv)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.032	0.56	0.16	2.8
Freon 114	0.032	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.079	0.72	0.16	1.5
Vinyl Chloride	0.016	Not Detected	0.040	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.079	Not Detected	0.21	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.016	Not Detected	0.063	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.16	Not Detected	0.63	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.16	Not Detected	0.57	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.032	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.032	0.65	0.15	3.2
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.032	0.076	0.20	0.48
Benzene	0.079	0.12	0.25	0.40
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.032	0.034	0.13	0.14
Trichloroethene	0.032	1.2	0.17	6.6
Toluene	0.032	0.43	0.12	1.6
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.032	0.60	0.21	4.1
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.032	Not Detected	0.24	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.032	0.057	0.14	0.25
m,p-Xylene	0.063	0.17	0.27	0.74
o-Xylene	0.032	0.081	0.14	0.35
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.032	Not Detected	0.22	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.032	Not Detected	0.19	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	124	70-130	
Toluene-d8	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	86	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-08A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060407sim	Date	of Collection: NA	5 44-00 AM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date	of Analysis: 6/4/1	5 11:20 AW
O a man a sum d	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(vaqq)	(vaqq)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.020	Not Detected	0.099	Not Detected
Freon 114	0.020	Not Detected	0.14	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.050	Not Detected	0.10	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	0.010	Not Detected	0.026	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.050	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.010	Not Detected	0.040	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.10	Not Detected	0.36	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.081	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.020	Not Detected	0.079	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.020	Not Detected	0.098	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.11	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.020	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected
Benzene	0.050	Not Detected	0.16	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.081	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.020	Not Detected	0.11	Not Detected
Toluene	0.020	Not Detected	0.075	Not Detected
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.11	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.020	Not Detected	0.14	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.020	Not Detected	0.15	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.020	Not Detected	0.087	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.040	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.020	Not Detected	0.087	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.14	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.020	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	122	70-130	
Toluene-d8	105	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: Lab Blank Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-08B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060507sim	Date	of Collection: NA	5 04-20 DM
		Date	Di Analysis: 6/5/1	5 UT:50 PIVI
Compound	Rpt. Limit	Amount	Rpt. Limit	Amount
Compound	(pppv)	(vaqq)	(ug/m3)	(ug/m3)
Freon 12	0.020	Not Detected	0.099	Not Detected
Freon 114	0.020	Not Detected	0.14	Not Detected
Chloromethane	0.050	Not Detected	0.10	Not Detected
Vinyl Chloride	0.010	Not Detected	0.026	Not Detected
Chloroethane	0.050	Not Detected	0.13	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.010	Not Detected	0.040	Not Detected
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.10	Not Detected	0.40	Not Detected
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.10	Not Detected	0.36	Not Detected
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.081	Not Detected
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.020	Not Detected	0.079	Not Detected
Chloroform	0.020	Not Detected	0.098	Not Detected
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.11	Not Detected
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.020	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected
Benzene	0.050	Not Detected	0.16	Not Detected
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.081	Not Detected
Trichloroethene	0.020	Not Detected	0.11	Not Detected
Toluene	0.020	Not Detected	0.075	Not Detected
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.11	Not Detected
Tetrachloroethene	0.020	Not Detected	0.14	Not Detected
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.020	Not Detected	0.15	Not Detected
Ethyl Benzene	0.020	Not Detected	0.087	Not Detected
m,p-Xylene	0.040	Not Detected	0.17	Not Detected
o-Xylene	0.020	Not Detected	0.087	Not Detected
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.020	Not Detected	0.14	Not Detected
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.020	Not Detected	0.12	Not Detected

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	130	70-130	
Toluene-d8	106	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	86	70-130	



## **Client Sample ID: CCV** Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-09A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

File Name:	e060403sim	Date of Collection: NA
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/4/15 08:04 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Freon 12		88
Freon 114		81
Chloromethane		115
Vinyl Chloride		104
Chloroethane		88
1,1-Dichloroethene		82
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		96
Methyl tert-butyl ether		107
1,1-Dichloroethane		103
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		96
Chloroform		92
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		92
Carbon Tetrachloride		82
Benzene		82
1,2-Dichloroethane		97
Trichloroethene		77
Toluene		88
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		89
Tetrachloroethene		80
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		94
Ethyl Benzene		91
m,p-Xylene		98
o-Xylene		99
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		90
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		82

#### **Container Type: NA - Not Applicable**

		Method
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	113	70-130
Toluene-d8	100	70-130
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87	70-130



## Client Sample ID: CCV Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-09B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060503sim	Date of Collection: NA
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/5/15 10:08 AM
Compound		%Recovery
Freon 12		94
Freon 114		84
Chloromethane		120
Vinyl Chloride		108
Chloroethane		91
1,1-Dichloroethene		83
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		98
Methyl tert-butyl ether		110
1,1-Dichloroethane		107
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		99
Chloroform		96
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		98
Carbon Tetrachloride		75
Benzene		84
1,2-Dichloroethane		102
Trichloroethene		80
Toluene		91
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		92
Tetrachloroethene		82
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		98
Ethyl Benzene		94
m,p-Xylene		101
o-Xylene		102
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		94
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		86

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	118	70-130	
Toluene-d8	100	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-10A MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name:	e060404sim	Date of	f Collection: NA
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of	t Analysis: 6/4/15 08:56 AM
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
Freon 12		88	70-130
Freon 114		84	70-130
Chloromethane		112	70-130
Vinyl Chloride		106	70-130
Chloroethane		90	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene		81	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		80	70-130
Methyl tert-butyl ether		98	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane		99	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		103	70-130
Chloroform		89	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		90	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride		93	60-140
Benzene		78	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane		93	70-130
Trichloroethene		75	70-130
Toluene		86	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		86	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		77	70-130
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		92	70-130
Ethyl Benzene		87	70-130
m,p-Xylene		94	70-130
o-Xylene		96	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		88	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		80	70-130

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	115	70-130	
Toluene-d8	100	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-10AA MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	e060405sim 1.00	Date Date	of Collection: NA of Analysis: 6/4/15 09:40 AM	
Compound		%Recovery	Metho Limits	d s
Freon 12		88	70-130	)
Freon 114		83	70-130	)
Chloromethane		113	70-130	)
Vinyl Chloride		104	70-130	)
Chloroethane		89	70-130	)
1,1-Dichloroethene		79	70-130	)
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		80	70-130	)
Methyl tert-butyl ether		96	70-130	)
1,1-Dichloroethane		99	70-130	)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		102	70-130	)
Chloroform		89	70-130	)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		90	70-130	)
Carbon Tetrachloride		93	60-140	)
Benzene		78	70-130	)
1,2-Dichloroethane		93	70-130	)
Trichloroethene		75	70-130	)
Toluene		85	70-130	)
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		86	70-130	)
Tetrachloroethene		78	70-130	)
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		93	70-130	)
Ethyl Benzene		89	70-130	)
m,p-Xylene		95	70-130	)
o-Xylene		98	70-130	)
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		90	70-130	)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		82	70-130	)

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	114	70-130	
Toluene-d8	99	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	88	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: LCS Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-10B MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name: Dil Factor:	e060504sim	Date	of Collection: NA
	1.00	Duic	Method
Compound		%Recovery	Limits
Freon 12		91	70-130
Freon 114		85	70-130
Chloromethane		114	70-130
Vinyl Chloride		106	70-130
Chloroethane		90	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene		78	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		79	70-130
Methyl tert-butyl ether		96	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane		101	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		102	70-130
Chloroform		91	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		93	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride		97	60-140
Benzene		80	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane		99	70-130
Trichloroethene		76	70-130
Toluene		88	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		87	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		78	70-130
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		94	70-130
Ethyl Benzene		90	70-130
m,p-Xylene		97	70-130
o-Xylene		99	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		92	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		84	70-130

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	118	70-130	
Toluene-d8	99	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	87	70-130	



## Client Sample ID: LCSD Lab ID#: 1506041AR1-10BB MODIFIED EPA METHOD TO-15 GC/MS SIM

٦

File Name: Dil. Factor:	e060505sim 1.00	Date o Date o	of Collection: NA of Analysis: 6/5/15 11:37 AM
Compound		%Recovery	Method Limits
Freon 12		90	70-130
Freon 114		84	70-130
Chloromethane		114	70-130
Vinyl Chloride		104	70-130
Chloroethane		87	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene		78	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		80	70-130
Methyl tert-butyl ether		96	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane		100	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		103	70-130
Chloroform		92	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		93	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride		97	60-140
Benzene		80	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane		98	70-130
Trichloroethene		76	70-130
Toluene		87	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		87	70-130
Tetrachloroethene		78	70-130
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		94	70-130
Ethyl Benzene		90	70-130
m,p-Xylene		96	70-130
o-Xylene		99	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		92	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		84	70-130

		Method	
Surrogates	%Recovery	Limits	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	119	70-130	
Toluene-d8	98	70-130	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	88	70-130	

Appendix F Product Information Regarding Toner Aide



# PRODUCT TECHNICAL DATA SHEET

# SW208 Toner Aide

No. 208 Toner Aide greatly improves toner generated image quality. Dries in minutes and is 100% transparent. Develops higher resolutions while it enhances the detail clarity. **DESCRIPTION:** 

### USES:

Use No. 208 on paper, vellum, transparency film, any dry toner generated media.

SPECS:	Can Size:	16 oz.
	Net Weight:	12 oz.
	Shipping Weight:	13 lbs.
	Packaged:	12 cans per case
	UPC Number:	0 41911 00208 0
PHYSICAL	Color:	Clear, colorless liquid
CHARACTERISTICS:	Fragrance:	Butyl acetate odor
	Shelf Life:	1 Year +
REGULATORY:	Ozone Depleting Compounds:	None
	Recyclable Packaging:	Yes
	VOC Compliant CARB/OTC:	Yes
	CPSC Flame Extension:	Required to be labeled as extremely flammable per 16 CFR 1500
	24 HOUR MEDICAL EMERGEN- CY:	1-866-836-8855



PRODUCT FEATURES: • Improves toner generated image quality Contains no methylene chloride

- .
- Dries in minutes .

Manufactured by: SPRAYWAY, INC. 1005 Westgate Drive • Addison, IL 60101 800-332-9000 • Fax: 630-543-7797 • www.spraywayinc.com

SW208 81011


### MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

	1. Product and Company Identification		
Product number	208-003		
Product name	Toner Aide		
Effective date	06-Jun-2011		
Company information	Sprayway, Inc. 1005 Westgate Addison, IL 60101 United States		
Company phone	General Assistance 630-543-7600		
Emergency telephone US	800-424-9300		
Emergency telephone outside US	703-527-3887		
Version #	04		
Supersedes date	28-Dec-2007		
аналанан алаан алаан Х	2. Hazards Identification		
Emergency overview	Will be easily ignited by heat, spark or flames. Cancer hazard. Irritating to skin. Irritating to eyes. Irritating to respiratory system. Prolonged exposure may cause chronic effects. EXTREMELY FLAMMABLE CONTENTS UNDER PRESSURE. Aerosol.		
Potential health effects			
Routes of exposure	Inhalation. Skin contact. Ingestion.		
Eyes	Causes eye irritation.		
Skin	This product may be harmful if it is absorbed through the skin. Irritating to skin. Prolonged or repeated contact can result in defatting and drying of the skin which may result in skin irritation and dermatitis (rash)		
Inhalation	Intentional misuse by concentrating and inhaling the product can be harmful or fatal. Irritating to respiratory system. Prolonged inhalation may be harmful		
Ingestion	Exposure by ingestion of an aerosol is unlikely. May cause delayed lung damage. Components of the product may be absorbed into the body by ingestion.		
Target organs	2-Butoxy ethanol may be absorbed through the skin in toxic amounts if contact is repeated and prolonged and may cause blood damage. These effects have not been observed in humans. Central nervous system, Lungs.		
Chronic effects	Unconsciousness. May cause central nervous system disorder (e.g., narcosis involving loss of coordination, weakness, fatigue, mental confusion, and blurred vision) and/or damage. May cause delayed lung damage. Prolonged skin contact may defat the skin and produce dermatitis.		
Signs and symptoms	Discomfort in the chest. Narcosis. Cyanosis. Jaundice. Defatting of the skin. Irritation.		
3	. Composition / Information on Ingredients		

Components		CAS #	Percent
n-Butane		106-97-8	20 - 30
n-Butyl Acetate		123-86-4	20 - 30
Trichloroethylene	and the second	79-01-6	15 - 20
Propane		74-98-6	10 - 15
Acetone	- W1	67-64-1	10 - 15
Stoddard Solvent	The second second second second	8052-41-3	1 - 3
2-Butoxyethanol		111-76-2	1 - 3
Non-hazardous and other components below reportable levels			1 - 2.5

1.1714	4. First Aid Measures			
First aid procedures				
Eye contact	Immediately flush eyes with plenty of water for at least 15 minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing. Get medical attention if irritation develops or persists.			
Skin contact	Remove and isolate contaminated clothing and shoes. Wash off with warm water and soap. Get medical attention if irritation develops or persists. For minor skin contact, avoid spreading material on unaffected skin.			
Inhalation	Move to fresh air. Oxygen or artificial respiration if needed. Do not use mouth-to-mouth method if victim inhaled the substance. Induce artificial respiration with the aid of a pocket mask equipped with a one-way valve or other proper respiratory medical device. I symptoms persist, get medical attention.			
Ingestion	If material is ingested, immediately contact a poison control center. Do not induce vomiting without advice from poison control center. If vomiting occurs naturally, have victim lean forward to reduce risk of aspiration. Do not use mouth-to-mouth method if victim ingested the substance. Induce artificial respiration with the aid of a pocket mask equipped with a one-way valve or other proper respiratory medical device.			
Notes to physician	In case of shortness of breath, give oxygen. Keep victim warm. Keep victim under observation. Symptoms may be delayed.			
	5. Fire Fighting Measures			
Flammable properties	Vapor or gas may spread to distant ignition sources and flash back. Runoff to sewer may cause fire or explosion hazard.			
Extinguishing media				
Suitable extinguishing media	Alcohol foam. Dry chemical. Carbon dioxide (CO2). Do not use water jet. Water may be ineffective.			
Protection of firefighters				
Specific hazards arising from the chemical	Fire may produce irritating, corrosive and/or toxic gases.			
Protective equipment and precautions for firefighters	In the event of fire and/or explosion do not breathe fumes. Wear full protective clothing, including helmet, self-contained positive pressure or pressure demand breathing apparatus, protective clothing and face mask. Containers should be cooled with water to prevent vapor pressure build up. For massive fire, use unmanned hose holders or monitor nozzles; if this is impossible, withdraw from area and let fire burn.			
n and a property of a second	6. Accidental Release Measures			
Methods for containment	Eliminate all ignition sources (no smoking, flares, sparks, or flames in immediate area). Stop leak if you can do so without risk. Move the cylinder to a safe and open area if the leak is irreparable. Stop the flow of material, if this is without risk. Prevent entry into waterways, sewers, basements or confined areas.			
Methods for cleaning up	Should not be released into the environment. Use a non-combustible material like vermiculite, sand or earth to soak up the product and place into a container for later disposal.			
	Small Spills: Wipe up with absorbent material (e.g. cloth, fleece). Clean contaminated surface thoroughly.			
100	7. Handling and Storage			
Handling	Pressurized container: Do not pierce or burn, even after use. Do not handle or store near an open flame, heat or other sources of ignition. Do not smoke while using or until sprayed surface is thoroughly dry. Do not use if spray button is missing or defective. Use only with adequate ventilation. Do not get this material in contact with aver.			
	this material in contact with skin. Wear personal protective equipment. Avoid prolonged exposure.			
Storage	Level 2 Aerosol. Contents under pressure. Do not puncture, incinerate or crush. The pressure in sealed containers can increase under the influence of heat. Keep away from heat, sparks, and flame. Avoid exposure to long periods of sunlight. Store in cool place. Keep in an area equipped with sprinklers. Keep out of the reach of children. Level 2 Aerosol. Do not store incinerate, or heat this material above 120 degrees Fahrenheit.			

Product name: Toner Aide Product #: 208-Toner Aide

MSDS US 2 / 6

#### 8. Exposure Controls / Personal Protection

# Exposure limits

ACGIH				
Components	CAS #	TWA	STEL	Ceiling
n-Butane	106-97-8	1000 ppm	Not established	Not established
n-Butyl Acetate	123-86-4	150 ppm	200 ppm	Not established
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	10 ppm	25 ppm	Not established
Propane	74-98-6	1000 ppm	Not established	Not established
Acetone	67-64-1	500 ppm	750 ppm	Not established
Stoddard Solvent	8052-41-3	100 ppm	Not established	Not established
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	20 ppm	Not established	Not established
OSHA				
Components	CAS #	TWA	STEL	Ceiling
n-Butyl Acetate	123-86-4	150 ppm	Not established	Not established
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	100 ppm	Not established	200 ppm
Propane	74-98-6	1000 ppm	Not established	Not established
Acetone	67-64-1	1000 ppm	Not established	Not established
Stoddard Solvent	8052-41-3	500 ppm	Not established	Not established
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	50 ppm	Not established	Not established

Personal protective equipment Eye / face protection

Wear chemical goggles.

Wear appropriate chemical resistant gloves. Wear appropriate chemical resistant clothing.

**Respiratory protection** 

Skin protection

When workers are facing concentrations above the exposure limit they must use appropriate certified respirators. If permissible levels are exceeded use NIOSH mechanical filter / organic vapor cartridge or an air-supplied respirator.

#### 9. Physical & Chemical Properties

		period and a second second		
Appearance	Compressed liquefied gas.	1. 1846 1	erman in juda laber t	-
Boiling point	114.8 °F (46.1 °C) estimated			
Color	clear colorless			
Density	0.7486 g/cm3 estimated			
Flammability (HOC)	29.3282 kJ/g estimated			
Flash back	Yes			
Flash point	-156 °F (-104.4 °C) Propellant			
Form	Liquid. Aerosol.			
Freezing point	Not available			
Odor	fruity			
pH	Not applicable			
Physical state	Liquid.			
Pressure	50 - 70 psig @ 70F			
Solubility	Negligible			
Specific gravity	0.7487 estimated			

### 10. Chemical Stability & Reactivity Information

Chemical stabilityRisk of ignition.Conditions to avoidHeat, flames and sparks.Hazardous decomposition productsIrritants. Toxic gas. May include oxides of nitrogen.

## 11. Toxicological Information

Acute effects

Acute LD50: 7292 mg/kg estimated, Rat, Dermal

Component analysis - LD50				
Toxicology Data - Selected LD	50s and LC50s			
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	Inhalation LC50 Rat 2.21 mg/L 4 h; Inhalation LC50 Rat 450 ppm 4 h; Oral LD50 Rat 470 mg/kg: Dermal LD50 Rat 2270 mg/kg: Dermal LD50 Rat 220 mg/kg		
Acetone	67-64-1	Oral LD50 Rat 5800 mg/kg		
n-Butane	106-97-8 Inhalation LC50 Rat 658 mg/L 4 h			
n-Butyl Acetate	123-86-4	Inhalation LC50 Rat 390 ppm 4 h; Oral LD50 Rat 10768 mg/kg; Dermal LD50 Rabbit		
Propane	74-98-6	Inhalation LC50 Rat 658 mg/L 4 h		
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	Inhalation LC50 Rat 8000 ppm 4 h; Inhalation LC50 Rat 26300 ppm 1 h; Oral LD50 Rat 4290 mg/kg; Dermal LD50 Rabbit >20 g/kg		
Sensitization	Not exp	ected to be hazardous by OSHA criteria.		
Carcinogenicity	Hazardo	bus by OSHA criteria.		
IARC - Group 2A (Probably Ca	rcinogenic to H	umans)		
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	Monograph 63 [1995]; Supplement 7 [1987]		
Teratogenicity	Not exp	ected to be hazardous by OSHA criteria.		
	later in	12. Ecological Information		
Ecotoxicity	Compor	nents of this product are hazardous to aquatic life.		
	LC50 56	5.29 mg/L estimated, Fish, 96.00 Hours		
	EC50 1	2.21 mg/L estimated, Daphnia, 48.00 Hours,		
stalustae lott - Valaisu	IC50 81	0 mg/L estimated, Algae, 72.00 Hours,		
becaulties stole belleting	1	3. Disposal Considerations		
Waste codes	D001: V D040: V	Vaste Flammable material with a flash point <140 F Vaste Trichloroethylene		
Disposal instructions	Content and its o to drain ignitable	is under pressure. Do not puncture, incinerate or crush. Dispose of this material container at hazardous or special waste collection point. Do not allow this material into sewers/water supplies. If discarded, this product is considered a RCRA e waste, D001. Dispose in accordance with all applicable regulations.		
and other at the post of the start	S. S. Sandard	14. Transport Information		
Department of Transportation (	DOT) Poquiror	nonte		
Basic shinning requiremen	bor) Requirer	nents		
Broper shipping requirement	Consum	aer commodity		
	OPMD	ler commonly		
Subsidiary barard slass	URIV-D			
Additional information	None			
Additional information:	150 00	percenter and state of the second		
Packaging exceptions	156, 30	D setember of a Cold PC		
Packaging non bulk	156, 30	6		
Packaging bulk	None			
IMDG				
Basic shipping requiremen	ts:	and the second		
Proper shipping name	roper shipping name AEROSOLS, toxic, flammable			
Hazard class	2.1			
UN number	1950			
Additional information:				
Packaging exceptions	NOT a l	троту		
Item	STE			
Labels required	21	2		
Labelo required	+6.1	e sa s ddigae han s 2 01		
Transport Category	lf <1L: (	Consumer Commodity		

### ΙΑΤΑ

Basic shipping requirements	s:		<b>A</b>
Proper shipping name	Aerosols 6.1, Pac	s, flammable, containing substances in Division king Group III	
Hazard class	2.1	ni schebrig nas statholptas	
Subsidiary hazard class	6.1		
UN number	1950	and a second second second	
Additional information:			
Packaging exceptions	LTD QT	Y	2
Labels required	2.1, 6.1		V
shine an the side broches and all the	10 10 10 10 10 1	5. Regulatory Information	
US federal regulations	This pro- Standard	duct is a "Hazardous Chemical" as defined by the OSH d, 29 CFR 1910.1200.	HA Hazard Communication
U.S CERCLA/SARA - Section	313 - Emission	Reporting	
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	1.0 % de minimis concentration (applies to R-(OCH2CH R=alkyl C7 or less, or R = phenyl or alkyl substituted ph less, or OR' consisting of carboxylic acid ester, sulfate,	l2)n-OR', where n = 1,2, or 3, enyl, R' = H or alkyl C7 or phosphate, nitrate, or
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	sulfonate, Chemical Category N230)	
Occupational Safety and Health	Administratio	n (OSHA)	
29 CFR 1910 1200 bazardous	e Yes		
chemical	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
CERCLA (Superfund) reportable	quantity		
n-Butyl Acetate: 5000.0000 Trichloroethylene: 100.0000 Acetone: 5000.0000			
Superfund Amendments and Rea	authorization	Act of 1986 (SARA)	
Section 302 extremely hazardous substance	No		
Section 311 hazardous chem	nical Yes		
Hazard categories (311/312)	Immedia Delayed Fire Haz	ate Hazard - Yes Hazard - Yes ard - Yes	
	Reactivi	ty Hazard - No	
Inventory status		<ul> <li>Orthological Constraints</li> <li>Constraints</li> <li>Constraints</li></ul>	
Country(s) or region	Inventory na	ame	On inventory (yes/no)*
China	Inventory of I	Existing Chemical Substances in China (IECSC)	No
Europe	European Inv	ventory of New and Existing Chemicals (EINECS)	No
Europe	European Lis	st of Notified Chemical Substances (ELINCS)	No
Japan	Inventory of I	Existing and New Chemical Substances (ENCS)	No
Korea	Existing Cher	micals List (ECL)	No
United States & Puerto Rico	Toxic Substa	nces Control Act (TSCA) Inventory	Yes
A "Yes" indicates that all compone	ents of this produ	uct comply with the inventory requirements administered by t	ne governing country(s)
State regulations	WARNIN cancer a	NG: This product contains a chemical known to the Stand birth defects or other reproductive harm.	ate of California to cause
U.S Pennsylvania - RTK (Righ	t to Know) List		
2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2	Present	
n-Butane	106-97-8	Present	
n-Butyl Acetate	123-86-4	Environmental hazard	
Propane Stoddard Solvent	74-98-6	Present	
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	Environmental hazard	
		16. Other Information	
Further information	LIMICA		
	TIVIIS	a registered trade and service fildrk of the NPCA.	

Disclaimer

#### Health: 2\* Flammability: 3 Physical hazard: 0

The information provided in this Safety Data Sheet is correct to the best of our knowledge, information and belief at the date of its publication. The information given is designed only as a guidance for safe handling, use, processing, storage, transportation, disposal and release and is not to be considered a warranty or quality specification. The information relates only to the specific material designated and may not be valid for such material used in combination with any other materials or in any process, unless specified in the text. The information provided in this Safety Data Sheet is correct to the best of our knowledge, information and belief at the date of its publication, The information given is designed only as a guidance for safe handling, use, processing, storage, transportation, disposal and release and is not to be considered a warranty or quality specification. The information given is designed only as a guidance for safe handling, use, processing, storage, transportation, the information relates only to the specific material designated and may not be valid for such material used in combination with any other materials or in any process, unless specified in the text.

MSDS sections updated Prepared by This document has undergone significant changes and should be reviewed in its entirety. Regulatory Compliance