RECEIVED

11:04 am, Jun 22, 2011 Alameda County Environmental Health

FIRST QUARTER 2011 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT AND SITE CLOSURE PETITION

R & H AUTO REPAIR 5315 SAN PABLO AVENUE OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES 1131 HARBOR BAY PARKWAY, SUITE 250 ALAMEDA, CA 94502

February 2011



GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

Environmental Solutions, Inc.

FIRST QUARTER 2011 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT AND SITE CLOSURE PETITION

R & H AUTO REPAIR 5315 SAN PABLO AVENUE OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES 1131 HARBOR BAY PARKWAY, SUITE 250 ALAMEDA, CA 94502

Prepared by:

STELLAR ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, INC. 2198 SIXTH STREET BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94710

February 28, 2011

Project No. 2010-06



2198 Sixth Street, Suite 201-Berkeley, CA 94710 Tel: (510)644-3123 · Fax: (510)644-3859 GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

February 28, 2011

Ms. Barbara Jakub Alameda County Health Care Services 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577

Subject: First Quarter 2011 Groundwater Monitoring Report and Site Closure Petition R&H Auto Repair - 5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California (Alameda County Environmental Health Department Fuel Leak Case No RO0002965)

Dear Ms. Jakub:

Enclosed is the Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. report summarizing recent activities conducted at the referenced site. This report presents the findings of the First Quarter 2011 groundwater monitoring event (the fourth consecutive groundwater monitoring event since May 2010), and includes the data trend analysis supporting a site closure petition.

This report was uploaded to both the State Water Board's GeoTracker system (T0619704141) and the Alameda County Environmental Health Department's Electronic Upload ftp system.

We declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us at (510) 644-3123.

Sincerely,

Jere Billman

Steve Bittman, R.E.A. Senior Geologist

Munder S. Makdin

Richard S. Makdisi, R.G., R.E.A. Principal Geochemist and President

Jasbinder Grewel **Responsible Party**



cc: Mr. and Mr. Grewel; Mr. Kenneth J. Schmier

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.0	INTRODUCTION	. 1
	Project Background Previous Investigations and Regulatory Action Regulatory Status Scope of Report Site Description	. 1 . 1 . 4 . 4
2.0	PHYSICAL SETTING	. 8
	Topography and Drainage Shallow Lithology Groundwater Hydrology	. 8 . 8 10
3.0	FIRST QUARTER 2011 GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING	12
4.0	ANALYTICAL RESULTS, TREND ANALYSES AND FINDINGS	14
	Groundwater Sample Analytical Methods Groundwater Sample Results Quality Control Sample Analytical Results Soil and Groundwater hydrocarbon trend anlayses	14 14 14 15
6.0	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS AND SITE CLOSURE PETITION	18
	Regulatory Considerations	18
5.0	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND PROPOSED ACTIONS	23
	Summary and Conclusions Proposed Actions	23 24
7.0	REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY	25
8.0	LIMITATIONS	26
Appen	ndices	

Appendix A	Groundwater Monitoring Field Records
Appendix B	Analytical Laboratory Reports and Chain-of-Custody Records

P:\2010 Active Projects\2010-06-San Pablo P-II\Reports\Quarterly GW Monitoring\1st Quarter 2011\February-2011 GW Monitoiring and Closure Rpt.doc

TABLES AND FIGURES

Tables Page	ze
Table 1 Groundwater Monitoring Well Construction and Groundwater Elevation Data	12
Table 2 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results – February 11, Hydrocarbons BTEX and MTBE	15
Table 3 Groundwater Sample Analytical Results – February 11, 2011 Fuel Oxygenates 1	15
Table 4 Cumulative Groundwater Analytical Results 1	17

Figures

Page

Figure 1 Site Location Map	. 6
Figure 2 Site Plan	. 7
Figure 4 Groundwater Elevation Map – February 11, 2011	11

1.0 INTRODUCTION

PROJECT BACKGROUND

On behalf of Jasbinder and Gulbinder Grewel, the responsible party (RP) for the subject site located at 5315 San Pablo Avenue in Oakland, California, Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental) has prepared this First Quarter 2011 Groundwater Monitoring Report and site closure petition.

The subject site is located at the northwest corner of San Pablo Avenue and 53^{rd} Street on the Oakland-Emeryville border (see Figure 1) and was an operating Shell service station from 1958 until the mid 1970s. Since the service station ceased operation, the site has been used only for auto repair; however, the fuel and waste oil USTs remained until 2007.

The site has undergone underground storage tank (UST)-related investigations and remediation since 2007, with the three existing monitoring wells on the site installed in May 2010. The initial groundwater monitoring event for those wells occurred during the second quarter 2010 (May). All known environmental documents for the subject property are listed in Section 9.0, References and Bibliography.

The property is currently owned by Kenneth J. Schmier of Emeryville, California.

PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS AND REGULATORY ACTION

Previous site investigation activities are listed below.

2007 Investigations

Two 7,500-gallon gasoline USTs and one 10,000-gallon diesel UST were removed from the southwest portion of the property in September 2007. A 550-gallon waste oil UST was removed from the northwest corner of the property at the same time.

The managing consultant overseeing the tank removals was AEI Consultants of Walnut Creek, California (AEI). No holes were noted in any of the tanks; however, strong petroleum hydrocarbon odor and soil staining was present in the fuel tank excavations. Maximum petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations detected in the initial soil samples, collected from about 11 feet below ground surface (bgs), were as follows: 230 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) of

total volatile hydrocarbons as gasoline (TVHg); and 73 mg/kg of total extractable hydrocarbons as diesel (TEHd). Up to 1,500 mg/kg of TVHg was detected in a soil sample collected at 2 feet bgs beneath the former dispenser area near the south central portion of the site. No detectable petroleum hydrocarbons or volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected in the confirmation soil sample collected from beneath the waste oil tank at 8 feet bgs. No groundwater was encountered in any of the excavations.

2008 Investigations

In January 2008, in an effort to remove hydrocarbon-impacted soil, the gasoline and diesel tank pit were enlarged and the dispenser area deepened. Impacted soil was removed to less than 100 mg/kg in all areas, except for an area containing 160 mg/kg of TVHg on the south side of the property near the 53rd Street sidewalk. Due to the close proximity of the sidewalk and other space constraints, the excavation could not be enlarged further. According to AEI reports reviewed by Stellar Environmental, the depth of the final excavation was approximately 12 feet bgs. No groundwater was encountered during AEI's work at the site. In January 2008, the fuel tank and waste oil UST excavations were backfilled with clean imported material consisting of compacted class II fill with a drain rock cover. Approximately 320 tons of contaminated material was removed to the site as non-hazardous waste and hauled to the Keller Canyon landfill.

The Oakland Fire Department officially transferred oversight responsibility to Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) on March 5, 2008.

An Underground Storage Tank Unauthorized Release (Leak) Report was received by ACEH on May 6, 2008 (AEI Consultants, 2008a).

On June 26, 2008, ACEH requested an investigation to determine if groundwater beneath the site had been affected by residual hydrocarbons in soil. The work plan developed in response (AEI Consultants, 2008b) called for advancing four soil borings to groundwater in areas best judged to assess the extent of known subsurface residual hydrocarbon contamination.

In a letter dated July 3, 2008, ACEH informed the Grewels that the site was required to be "claimed" to the State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker database, and that all reports since 2005 are to be uploaded to the database, along with survey data for all permanent monitoring points.

2009 Investigations

The 2008 work plan (AEI Consultants, 2008b) was approved by ACEH in February 2009, with minor modifications.

In a letter dated July 24, 2009, ACEH informed the Grewels that the site had still not been claimed to the State GeoTracker database and warned of penalties if not in compliance by August 10, 2009.

2010 Investigations

The 2008 work plan (AEI Consultants, 2008b) was implemented by Stellar Environmental in March 2010. Based on analytical results of the four onsite soil borings, it appeared that the lack of significant residual hydrocarbon contamination in soil beneath the site in the 12- to 16-footbgs zone, in and around the former UST area, suggested no significant remaining hydrocarbon contaminant in the soil to act as a source for continued impact to groundwater.

The laboratory results did indicate groundwater beneath the site had been impacted with gasoline and diesel-range hydrocarbons exceeding ESL criteria typical of an older release. The highest concentrations of TVHg (2,300 micrograms per liter $[\mu g/L]$) and TEHd (760 $\mu g/L$) in groundwater were found in the sample collected from boring B3, located near the southwest corner of the site and downgradient of the former USTs. This suggested offsite migration of the residual dissolved hydrocarbons to the southwest which prompted the installation of monitoring wells at ACEH behest.

In May 2010, as a response to the March 2010 work, Stellar Environmental supervised the installation of three monitoring wells on site, and the drilling of two borings downgradient of the property along 53rd Street. In addition, a conduit study was conducted to determine the presence of preferential pathways and sensitive receptors. The results of the May 2010 work is summarized below:

- Site lithology is fairly consistent in the areas tested onsite and offsite along 53rd Street, with an unsaturated clay zone located from near the surface to approximately 17 feet bgs. This low-permeability zone is underlain by a higher-permeability, fine sand and silt zone that extends to at least 25 feet bgs, which is the total explored depth. Groundwater was encountered at about 17 feet bgs during drilling and equilibrated (reflecting the overlying clay confining pressure) at about 11 to 12 feet bgs in site monitoring wells.
- The relatively high hydrocarbon concentration in boring B-3 in March 2010 (compared to the non-detection in the monitoring well located about 5 feet away) is attributed to one of more of the following: the grab-groundwater sample having colloidal particles, an isolated (vertically and laterally) pocket of higher concentration, and/or the difference in depths between the grab sample and the well sample.
- The calculated groundwater flow direction beneath the site is toward the southwest at a gradient of approximately 0.01 feet per foot.

- No significant offsite impacts to soil or groundwater currently exist from the former site UST release. The 72 µg/L of TEHd reported for the offsite grab-groundwater sample point is below the ESL, likely reflecting site sourced attenuation with time.
- Based on the depth to groundwater and the maximum 8-foot depth of the located utilities, there does not appear to be any preferential pathways that could intersect the plume. In addition, there are no nearby downgradient water wells that could be impacted, and no demonstrable risk to sensitive receptors from the residual contamination.

REGULATORY STATUS

The Alameda County Environmental Department of Environmental Health (ACEH) is the lead regulatory agency for the case, acting as a Local Oversight Program (LOP) for the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board). The ACEH Fuel Leak case number is RO0002965. The Water Board GeoTracker global identification number is T0619704141.

The limited Phase II site investigation conducted in March 2010 (Stellar Environmental, 2010) found sufficient evidence of groundwater contamination beneath the site to require permanent onsite groundwater monitoring points, downgradient sampling, and a preferential pathway study. Time constraints associated with site ownership prompted Stellar Environmental to move forward with that work in May 2010, with verbal consent from the ACEH.

The site is in compliance with State Water Resources Control Board's "GeoTracker" requirements for uploading of technical data and reports. Electronic data format files for the AEI work since 2007 and all Stellar Environmental work, have been successfully uploaded to the Water Board's GeoTracker database and to ACEH's file transfer protocol (ftp) system.

SCOPE OF REPORT

This report discusses the work conducted between November 17, 2010 and February 11, 2011 (i.e., the 4th groundwater monitoring and sampling event, conducted on February 11, 2011). In addition this report contains data trend analysis in support of a site closure petition.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The site contains a 1,425-square-foot steel-framed building configured for vehicle service in the northwest portion of the property. The remainder of the 10,650-square-foot parcel is essentially flat, partially paved, and enclosed by a locking chain-link fence. The site is currently occupied by R&H Auto Repair, which has been operated by Mr. and Mrs. Grewel since 1986.

Adjacent land use includes: 53rd Street, with the Emeryville Child Development Center and Emery High School beyond (*to the south*); private residences (*to the west*); San Pablo Avenue

and commercial and residential sites (to the east); and a restaurant, with 54th Street beyond (to the north).

Figure 1 shows the site location. Figure 2 shows the site plan and locations of current groundwater monitoring wells, previous investigative borings and former underground fuel storage tanks (UFSTs).



2010-06-08



2.0 PHYSICAL SETTING

The following evaluation of the site's physical setting—including topography, drainage, and geologic and hydrogeologic conditions—is based on a previous site investigation (AEI Consultants, 2008) and subsurface data collected by Stellar Environmental since March 2010.

TOPOGRAPHY AND DRAINAGE

The site is on a gently sloping alluvial fan approximately 0.7 mile east of San Francisco Bay and approximately 2 miles west of the Oakland Hills. The mean elevation of the subject property is approximately 40 feet above mean seal level (amsl), with a slight general topographic gradient in the surrounding area to the west. However, locally, the target property is essentially flat, with a surface that consists of intermittent asphalt, concrete, and bare ground. The former UST excavation area is covered by ³/₄-inch drain rock.

The nearest surface water body is Temescal Creek, which originates in the Oakland Hills and empties into San Francisco Bay on the west side of Interstate 80 in Emeryville. The creek is nearly entirely culverted underground in the area of the property, flowing through a pair of buried 60-inch pipes, and passes within 150 feet of the property about 12 feet beneath the Emeryville Child Development Center and Emery High School across 53rd Street. The creek daylights approximately 1,400 feet southeast of the property at Temescal Park near 47th and Adeline Streets. Temescal Creek surfaces again in open culverts near Ohlone Way and Shellmound Street in Emeryville as it nears its mouth at the Bay.

SHALLOW LITHOLOGY

Shallow lithology at the site has been determined during site subsurface investigations conducted since 2007 (see Section 9.0, References and Bibliography).

Site-specific lithology has been characterized to a depth of 20 feet bgs in onsite borings B1, B2, and B3; to a depth of 22 feet bgs in boring B4; and to 25 feet bgs in onsite and offsite borings B5 through B9. Subsurface lithology can be described as silty clay to gravelly clay fill with fragments of brick to approximately 2.5 feet bgs. The upper fill is underlain by native, low permeability, stiff, expansive, silty clay to about 17 feet bgs. Between 17 feet and 25 feet bgs, interbedded layers of moist to saturated sandy silt, silty sand, and clayey gravel are present. Geologic cross-section A-A' depicting the shallow site lithology is shown on Figure 3.



2010-06-18

GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY

According to AEI Consultants (2008a), groundwater was not observed in excavations as deep as 12 feet bgs during either the September 2007 initial UST removals or the January 2008 overexcavation work. Initial saturated soil samples were observed at the base of the upper clay layer at about 17 feet bgs during the Stellar Environmental March 2010 soil borings (B1 through B4) and the May 2010 monitoring well installation and offsite boring tests (B5 through B9). The lithology from 17 feet bgs to the total explored depth of 25 feet bgs is typical of a low-yielding, fine-grained water-bearing zone. Equilibrated water levels in the soil borings and wells ranged between approximately 6 and 11 feet bgs.

Regional groundwater flow in the area of the property is approximately to the southwest, toward San Francisco Bay. The initial groundwater monitoring event conducted by Stellar Environmental on May 13, 2010, which used wells MW-1 through MW-3 as data points, demonstrated a southwesterly groundwater flow direction with a relatively flat hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.01 feet/foot. The groundwater flow direction and gradient for the current monitoring event is generally consistent with the initial event, although for the current the gradient was approximately 0.03 feet/foot, steeper than previous events.

Figure 4 is a groundwater potentiometric surface map for the current groundwater monitoring event that occurred on February 11, 2011 (activities discussed in Section 3.0).



2010-06-23

3.0 FIRST QUARTER 2011 GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING

This section presents the groundwater sampling and analytical methods for the current event (First Quarter 2011), conducted on February 11, 2011. This is the fourth consecutive groundwater monitoring event. Table 1 summarizes monitoring well construction and groundwater monitoring data. Groundwater analytical results are presented and discussed in Section 4.0. Groundwater sampling was conducted in accordance with State of California guidelines for sampling dissolved analytes in groundwater associated with leaking USTs (State Water Resources Control Board, 1989).

Specific activities for this event included:

- Measuring static water levels before purging the wells.
- Collecting "post-purge" groundwater samples from the three onsite wells for laboratory analyses for contaminants of concern.

Groundwater monitoring well water level measurements, sampling, and field analyses were conducted by Stellar Environmental personnel. The locations of all site monitoring wells are shown on Figure 2. Well construction information and water level data are summarized in Table 1. Appendix A contains the groundwater monitoring field records for the current event.

		Well Scree	ned Interval	Groundwater	Groundwater	
Well	Well Depth (feet bgs)	Depth (feet)	Elevation (feet)	Level Depth ^(a) February 11, 2011	Elevation ⁽⁶⁾ February 11, 2011	
MW-1	25	15 to 25	14 to 24	11.81	27.53	
MW-2	25	15 to 25	14 to 24	12.16	27.37	
MW-3	25	15 to 25	13 to 23	11.41	26.07	

Table 1Groundwater Monitoring Well Construction and Groundwater Elevation Data5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California

Notes:

^(a) Pre-purge measurement, feet below top of well casing.

^(b) Pre-purge measurement, feet above mean sea level

As the first monitoring task, static water levels were measured in the site wells using an electric water level indicator. Each well was then purged of five wetted casing volumes. After purging,

the water level in each well was allowed to recover to at least 80% of the pre-purge measurement. The groundwater elevations and flow direction are generally consistent with previous measurements. Figure 4 shows the groundwater elevation map with the direction of flow indicated.

Groundwater samples were collected from each well using a peristaltic pump equipped with new polyethylene tubing. Samples were contained in appropriate containers (40-ml VOA vials with hydrochloric acid preservative and 1-liter amber glass jars), labeled, and placed in coolers with "blue ice." All groundwater samples were managed under chain-of-custody procedures from the time of sample collection until samples were received in the laboratory.

Approximately 7.5 gallons of wastewater (purge water and equipment decontamination rinseate) was containerized in a labeled, 55-gallon steel drum and temporarily stored onsite. This non-hazardous monitoring well purge water will continue to be accumulated onsite until it is cost-effective to coordinate its disposal, at which time it will be profiled and disposed of at a permitted wastewater treatment facility.

4.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS, TREND ANALYSES AND FINDINGS

This section presents analytical results of the most recent monitoring event, and analyzes the data trends over the one year of quarterly groundwater monitoring.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL METHODS

Groundwater samples were analyzed in accordance with the methods proposed in the Stellar Environmental technical workplan. Analytical methods included:

- Total volatile hydrocarbons gasoline range (TVHg) BTEX, MTBE, ethyl tertiary-butyl ether (ETBE), diisopropyl ether (DIPE), tertiary-amyl methyl ether (TAME), and tertiary-butyl alcohol (TBA) by EPA Method 8260.
- Total extractable hydrocarbons diesel range (TEHd), by EPA Method 8015C.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE RESULTS

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the analytical results of the current monitoring event. Appendix B contains the certified analytical laboratory report and chain-of-custody record. Figure 5 depicts current event contaminant concentration in groundwater.

Groundwater samples collected from wells MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 did not contain detectable concentrations of TVHg or TEHd. Wells MW-1 and MW-3 did not contain detectable concentrations of BTEX or fuel oxygenates. The groundwater sample from MW-2 contained 1.8 μ g/L of DIPE, but did not contain detectable concentrations of BTEX.

QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Laboratory QC samples (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (Appendix B).



2010-06-24

Table 2

Groundwater Sample Analytical Results – February 11, 2011 Hydrocarbons, BTEX, and MTBE

Well	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
MW-1	< 50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-2	< 50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-3	< 50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
ESLs	100 / 210	100 / 210	1.0 / 46	150 / 650	40 / 130	20 / 100	5.0 / 1,800

Notes:

ESLs = Water Board Environmental Screening Levels for commercial/industrial sites where groundwater *is/is not* a potential drinking water resource MTBE = methyl *tertiary*-butyl ether; TEHd = total extractable hydrocarbons - diesel range; TVHg = total volatile hydrocarbons - gasoline range All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per liter ($\mu g/L$), equivalent to parts per billion (ppb).

Table 3	
Groundwater Sample Analytical Results – February 11	, 2011
Fuel Oxygenates	

Well	EDBE	DIPE	TAME	TBA
MW-1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 2
MW-2	<0.5	1.8	<0.5	<2
MW-3	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2
ESLs	0.5 / 690	NLP	NLP	12 / 18,000

Notes:

ESLs = Water Board Environmental Screening Levels for commercial/industrial sites where groundwater *is/is not* considered a drinking water resource. EDBE = ethyl tertiary-butyl ether; DIPE = diisopropyl ether; TAME = tertiary-amyl methyl ether; TBA = *tertiary*-butyl alcohol

NLP = no level published.

All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per liter ($\mu g/L$), equivalent to parts per billion (ppb).

SOIL AND GROUNDWATER HYDROCARBON TREND ANLAYSES

This section discusses the distribution of the residual hydrocarbon contamination in the soil and groundwater and the hydrochemical and hydrologic trends over the February 2011 and the previous three consecutive quarters of groundwater sampling (Stellar Environmental, 2010a; 2010b; 2010c). These data are compared to regulatory limits and discussed in the context of closure criteria. Historical soil and groundwater data are included in Appendix B.

RESIDUAL SOIL CONTAMINATION

During the 2007-2008 AEI UST removal and the subsequent over-excavation and removal of contaminated soil, the highest reported concentration of hydrocarbons in site soils were 230 mg/kg of TVHg and 73 mg/kg of TEHd. Subsequently, concentrations of hydrocarbons in onsite soil samples collected by Stellar Environmental in March 2010 were 11 mg/kg of TVHg, and 73 mg/kg of TEHd. And for the May 2010 sampling event, the maximum detections were less than 1 mg/kg of TVHg (below the laboratory detection limit) and 6.6 mg/kg of TEHd.

Sample collection depths for the offsite soil samples were within the 15- to 17-foot-bgs unsaturated to capillary fringe zone and at the saturated zone between 19 and 20 feet bgs. These sampling depths are appropriate for evaluating offsite conditions downgradient from a fuel release. None of the soil samples collected from offsite soil borings B5 or B6 contained detectable concentrations of TVHg, BTEX, or MTBE, and only minor concentrations of TEHd (less than 7 mg/kg) were detected in the samples from the 15 to 17 feet bgs. The lack of TVHg, BTEX, and MTBE suggests an older spill with only minor, residual-aged fuel components still present in the capillary fringe (Stellar Environmental, 2010b).

Migration of the dissolved-phase hydrocarbon contamination in groundwater does not appear to have caused additional soil contamination by adsorption onto downgradient soils within the capillary fringe zone to the west of the former UST area.

GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION

Although well MW-3 contained 0.58 μ g/L ethylbenzene and 0.64 μ g/L xylenes for the first event (2nd Quarter 2010), no detectable concentrations of TVHg, TEHd and BTEX were found in any of the wells for the subsequent three consecutive quarters. With the exception of DIPE ranging between 1.6 μ g/L and 2.1 μ g/L in well MW-2 for all four sampling events, no fuel oxygenates have been detected in any of the wells.

The initial dissolved hydrocarbons contamination in the groundwater grab sample collected in March 2010 from boring B3, was likely the result of the high count of colloidal particles with some hydrocarbons in them. The subsequent well sample data never showed the detected concentrations seen in the initial grab-groundwater sample. No significant offsite impacts to groundwater currently exist related to the former site UST release. The 72 μ g/L of TEHd reported for the offsite grab-groundwater sample point B6 is below the ESL, likely reflecting site sourced attenuation with time.

Based on the depth to groundwater and the maximum 8-foot depth of the located utilities, there does not appear to be any preferential pathways that could intersect site groundwater (Stellar Environmental, 2010b). In addition, there are no nearby downgradient water wells that could be impacted, and no demonstrable risk to sensitive receptors from the residual contamination. Table 4 summarizes the cumulative groundwater analytical results over four quarters- 2nd quarter 2010 through 1st quarter 2011.

Well	Sample Date	TVHg	TEHd	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	EDBE	DIPE	TAME	ТВА	MTBE
MW-1	5/13/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
MW-1	8/11/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-1	11/17/10	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-1	2/11/11	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
MW-2	5/13/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.6	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-2	8/11/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	2.1	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-2	11/17/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
MW-2	2/11/11	<50	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.8	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-3	5/13/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	0.58	0.64	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-3	8/11/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
MW-3	11/17/10	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
MW-3	2/11/11	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
ESLs	100 / 210	100 / 210	1.0 / 46	150 / 650	40 / 130	20 / 100	0.5 / 690	NLP	NLP	12 / 18,000	5.0 / 1,800

Table 4Cumulative Groundwater Analytical ResultsHydrocarbons, BTEX, Oxygenates

Notes:

ESLs = Water Board Environmental Screening Levels for commercial/industrial sites where groundwater *is/is not* considered a drinking water resource.

EDBE = ethyl tertiary-butyl ether; DIPE = diisopropyl ether; TAME = tertiary-amyl methyl ether; TBA = *tertiary*-butyl alcohol

NLP = no level published.

All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per liter ($\mu g/L$), equivalent to parts per billion (ppb).

Diesel and Gasoline Distribution

Equilibration of site hydrochemical conditions in the new groundwater monitoring wells after the initial hydropunch samples has shown there are no dissolved hydrocarbons of regulatory concern in any of the wells.

BTEX and MTBE Distribution

No BTEX or MTBE contaminants were detected in any of the wells above their detection limits.

Fuel Oxygenates and Lead Scavengers

Of the fuel oxygenates, only DIPE has been detected in well MW-2, between 1.6 and 2.1 μ g/L. There are no ESL's for DIPE. All four quarters of monitoring clearly show only trace concentrations of this compound.

6.0 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS AND SITE CLOSURE PETITION

The preceding sections presented the site data initially collected and the four consecutive quarters of groundwater monitoring data collected to examine any potential seasonal variation in the groundwater quality. This section presents regulatory considerations and criteria for closure, citing data from the historical investigation and monitoring.

REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

Environmental Screening Levels

The concentrations reported in soil and groundwater samples must be compared to regulatory limits and guidance to evaluate the extent of any potential impact on the property and the environment.

The Water Board has established ESLs for evaluating the likelihood of environmental impact. ESLs are conservative screening-level criteria for soil and groundwater, designed to be generally protective of both drinking water resources and aquatic environments; they incorporate both environmental and human health risk considerations. ESLs are not cleanup criteria (i.e., health-based numerical values or disposal-based values). Rather, they are used as a preliminary guide in determining whether additional remediation and/or investigation may be warranted. Exceedance of ESLs suggests that additional investigation and/or remediation is warranted.

Different ESLs are published for commercial/industrial vs. residential land use, for sites where groundwater is a likely vs. unlikely drinking water resource, and the type of receiving water body. The Water Board's "proposed groundwater management zones and designated areas map" in the *East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report* (Water Board, 1999) shows the property area in a location where groundwater is unlikely to be used for drinking water.

The appropriate ESLs for the subject site are based on the following:

Residential land use (a school is located downgradient of the property) and commercial/industrial use (for the subject property itself). Note that, for groundwater contaminants, all ESLs for the site contaminants are the same for both residential and commercial/industrial land use.

- Groundwater is not likely to be used as a potential drinking water resource based on both the property zoning status (commercial/industrial) and the designation of this area of Oakland as "Zone B – Unlikely to be used as a Drinking Water Resource (Water Board, 1999). Thus, while the Basin Plan considers all groundwater with potential for drinking water, the appropriate ESLs for the subject site are groundwater <u>is not</u> a likely drinking water resource.
- The receiving body for groundwater discharge is an estuary (San Francisco Bay). The closest surface water body, Temescal Creek (150 feet to the south) is culverted at elevation above the groundwater table.

The State of California has also promulgated drinking water standards (Maximum Contaminant Levels [MCLs]) for some of the site contaminants. Drinking water standards may also be utilized by regulatory agencies to evaluate the potential risk associated with groundwater contamination. For the site contaminants, MCLs are generally the same as the ESLs (except that there is no MCL for gasoline).

Once ESLs or drinking water standards are exceeded, the need for and/or type of additional investigative and corrective actions is generally driven by the potential risk associated with the contamination. Minimum regulatory site closure criteria generally applied to fuel leak cases where groundwater is impacted include:

The contaminant source has been removed, including reasonably accessible contaminated soils that pose a long-term impact to groundwater.

This criterion has been met, with all soil sample results below their respective ESL in areas near the former waste oil and fuel USTs most likely to show high residual contamination.

The extent of residual contamination has been fully characterized to obtain sufficient lithologic and hydrogeologic understanding (generally referred to as a Site Conceptual Model).

This criterion has been met with respect to the onsite residual contamination.

Groundwater wells have been installed and are monitored periodically to evaluate groundwater contaminant concentrations and hydrochemical trends.

This criterion has been met with the installation of the three monitoring wells, baseline monitoring onsite and the groundwater monitoring to date. Four consecutive quarterly monitoring events indicating consistent non-detectable concentrations of fuel hydrocarbons in groundwater (except for 0.58 μ g/L ethylbenzene and 0.64 μ g/L xylenes detected in well MW-3 during the first event the fuel oxygenate DIPE at 1.88 μ g/L to 2.18 μ g/L in well MW-2) have established the hydrochemical and hydrologic trends.

The stability of the contaminant plume has been evaluated to determine whether it is moving or increasing in concentration.

This criterion is currently been met to date by completing the four consecutive quarterly groundwater monitoring events to establish if there are any seasonal hydrochemical or hydrologic variations of significance. To date the hydrochemical and hydrologic regime is stable and there is no definable contaminant plume. Thus the four consecutive quarterly groundwater monitoring events show this criterion to be met.

• A determination has been made as to whether the residual contamination poses an unacceptable risk to sensitive receptors.

This criterion has been met. No fuel hydrocarbons exist offsite in concentrations that exceed ESLs, and the sensitive receptor/offsite conduit survey conducted as part of the current phase of work do not indicate the likelihood of such receptors.

RESIDUAL GROUNDWATER CONTAMINANT PLUME

The data show there is no definable groundwater plume. Only one analyte, DIPE, was detected in one well and the concentrations detected were at trace levels of 2.1 μ g/L for a compound that has no published ESL level.

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDROCHEMICAL CORRELATIONS

The last four consecutive monitoring events have indicated that detectable concentrations of DIPE in groundwater are stable do not correlate to groundwater level fluctuations. Site groundwater elevation fluctuations over the last four quarters ranged from 1.42 to 1.49 feet, with the highest levels recorded during the winter and spring quarters.

CONTAMINANT TRANSPORT / CONCEPTUAL MODEL

The site conceptual model suggests that the onsite soil and groundwater contamination originated from leaks and/or spills from the USTs and/or associated piping. However, the low levels of soil and groundwater impact from such leaks and spills suggest no sustained leakage. This is corroborated by the UST removal record, which reported good integrity of the removed tanks. The highest concentration of contamination was located around the pump dispenser in the

shallow soil at 2 feet bgs (1,500 mg/kg of TVHg). The maximum TEHd concentration was reported at 73 mg/kg from the excavation at 11 feet bgs.

The bulk of the petroleum product leaks and spills appear to have originated in the near surface area (as evidenced by the detection of 1,500 mg/kg of TVHg in the dispenser area soil sample) and migrated into the UST pit area, which was part of the removal action in 2007 when the tanks along with 320 tons of contaminated soil were removed (AEI, 2008a). The clay–rich soil that exists around and below the USTs minimized the hydrocarbon migration and allowed for much of the contaminant to be removed in the over-excavation stage.

Below the point where excavation occurred, at about 12 feet bgs, an additional 4 to 5 feet of clay exists before the lithology changes to a more permeable sand-rich water-bearing material. From the apparent shallow spillage/leakage points, the hydrocarbon contamination worked its way slowly downward, likely in inverted cone geometry, through the laterally uniform clay stratigraphy, eventually reaching the perennial groundwater table and silty/sandy materials found at depths of 16 to 18 feet bgs. No vertical preferential pathway based on lithology were noted by AEI in the UST excavation, or reflected in the Stellar Environmental exploratory or well bores. The gasoline-phase contamination showed only trace BTEX in well MW-3 for the first monitoring event and DIPE in well MW-2, below applicable ESLs (Stellar Environmental, 2010b).

The offsite component appears non-existent with no downgradient sensitive receptors (Stellar Environmental, 2010b).

PROJECTED FUTURE TRENDS AND POTENTIAL EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

The trace levels of DIPE and the absence of other detection of hydrocarbons in the groundwater indicated no contaminant plume exists and no pathways of exposure will occur on site or offsite. The interception of a potential plume by a preferential pathway, such as underground utilities downgradient, does not appear to be an issue at this location based on the relatively shallow depth of the utilities compared to the groundwater table depth. All of the utilities beneath 53rd Street are at or well above 12 feet, rendering these conduits unlikely to intersect groundwater and/or to act as preferential pathways.

Whatever residual hydrocarbons are still entrained in the soil that might impact groundwater the concentrations are low enough that natural attenuation can be projected to remedy any residuals. Numerous field and laboratory studies have concluded that the subsurface behavior of petroleum hydrocarbons is significantly impacted by their high capacity to undergo biodegradation (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 1995). A variety of naturally occurring micro-organisms utilize petroleum hydrocarbons as a carbon (food) source. Biodegradation of

hydrocarbons can occur under anaerobic conditions, but is more highly favored in aerobic conditions. Natural attenuation of petroleum in groundwater is very likely occurring at the site.

Soil Vapor Intrusion Potential

Based on the absence of detectable high vapor pressure hydrocarbon components benzene, toluene, and xylenes and ethylbenzene and the semi-confined aquifer conditions that create a lithologic (high clay content) barrier to groundwater and/or vapor, there is no credible potential for vapor intrusion via off-gassing from dissolved contaminants in groundwater.

Residual Contamination During Future Development

Contaminants are contained onsite, and are at concentrations that should not interfere with future site development in terms of associated risk or exposure. Given the data on the trace to non-detected residual petroleum hydrocarbons in soil and groundwater, hydrocarbons are not anticipated to interfere with future site development in terms of associated risk or exposure.

IMPACTS OF RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION ON BENEFICIAL USES

There are no known immediate impacts to the groundwater that affect current beneficial use. The nearest surface water body is San Francisco Bay, located approximately 4,000 feet to the west of the site. Temescal Creek (150 feet to the south) is culverted. Groundwater is not likely to be used as a potential drinking water resource, based on both the property zoning status (commercial/industrial) and the designation of this area of Oakland as "Zone B – Unlikely to be used as a Drinking Water Resource (Water Board, 1999). Thus, while the Water Board Basin Plan considers all groundwater with potential for drinking water, the appropriate designation and ESL criteria for the subject site is groundwater is not a likely drinking water resource.

Downgradient Supply Wells

The California Department of Water Resources (DWR) and Alameda County Department of Public Works databases of production and monitoring wells downgradient of the site, showed that with the exception of four deep wells included on the DWR database that were all located greater than ¹/₂ mile from the property, all the wells listed function as groundwater quality monitoring wells associated with local (not subject site) contamination. (Note that these wells may reflect their own sources of contamination, which could be higher than the subject source. (Stellar Environmental, 2010b).

SITE CLOSURE PETITION

It is Stellar Environmental's opinion that the site has met the regulatory criteria for site closure and such closure should be granted.

5.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND PROPOSED ACTIONS

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- The site has undergone site investigations and remediation since 2007 (SES has been involved since March 2010) to address soil and groundwater contamination associated with the former onsite UFSTs.
- The contaminant source has been removed, including reasonably accessible contaminated soils that pose a long-term impact to groundwater.
- A total of four groundwater monitoring/sampling events have been conducted in the three site wells between May 2010 and the current 1st quarter event.
- Regional groundwater flow in the area of the property is approximately to the southwest, toward San Francisco Bay. The initial groundwater monitoring event conducted by Stellar Environmental on May 13, 2010, which used wells MW-1 through MW-3 as data points, demonstrated a southwesterly groundwater flow direction with a relatively flat hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.01 feet/foot. The groundwater flow direction and gradient for the current monitoring event is generally consistent with the initial event, although for the current event the gradient was approximately 0.03 feet/foot, steeper than previous events.
- Lack of detectable concentrations of TVHg, TEHd, BTEX and fuel oxygenates in all wells for this fourth consecutive monitoring event (Q1-2011), compare closely to the previous (Q4-2010) sampling event in November 2010 with the only detection being DIPE in well MW-2 at 1.8µg/L for the current event which is similar to the concentration of 2.1 µg/L DIPE which was detected in MW-2 for the fourth quarter sampling.
- Based on the depth to groundwater and the maximum 8-foot depth of the located utilities determined during the March 2010 conduit survey, there does not appear to be any preferential pathways, downgradient wells or other sensitive receptors that could intersect site-sourced groundwater.
- No significant offsite impacts to soil or groundwater currently exist from the former site UST release. The 72 µg/L of TEHd reported in the May 2010 offsite grab-groundwater sample point across 53rd Street is below the ESL, likely reflecting site sourced contaminants attenuated with time.

- The property owner will be applying for reimbursements from the California Tank Fund.
- The property has completed the investigations and monitoring to collect sufficient data to make the case for site regulatory closure and thus petitions ACEH to close the site and allow for the decommissioning of the monitoring wells.

PROPOSED ACTIONS

The Responsible Party proposes to implement the following actions to address regulatory concerns:

- Provide this report to ACEH with the intent of receiving regulatory closure.
- Do no more work until ACEH determines if it concurs with the site closure petition.
- Following ACEH approval of regulatory site closure we recommend the three groundwater wells will be properly decommissioned under permit and the investigative derived waste (purge water and soil cuttings) be appropriately disposed of.
- Required Electronic Data Format uploads will be made to the GeoTracker database, and electronic copies of technical reports will be uploaded to ACEH's ftp system.
- Apply to the State Tank Fund to determine eligibility for reimbursements.

7.0 REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

- AEI Consultants, 2008. Underground Storage Tank Removal Final Report, 5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California. February 19.
- AEI Consultants, 2008b. Work Plan Soil and Groundwater Investigation, 5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California. October 31.
- Alameda County Health Care Services Agency, 2009. Fuel Leak Case # RO0002965 and GeoTracker Global ID T0619704141, R&H Auto Repair, 5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California, 94608. February 20.
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 1995, Rice et al.. Recommendations to Improve the Cleanup Process for California's Leaking Underground Fuel Tanks.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 1999. East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report – Alameda and Contra Costa Counties. June.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 2007. Screening for Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater. November.
- State Water Resources Control Board, 1989. Leaking Underground Fuel Tank Field Manual. October
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc., 2010a. Limited Phase II Site Investigation Report, 5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California. March 28.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc., 2010b. Well Installation Report and Preferential Pathway Study, 5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California. June 15.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc., 2010c. Fourth Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report, 5315 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California. December 3.

8.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the use of the R&H Auto Repair property owners, members, property manager, and tenants, and all of their authorized representatives. The information presented in this report is based on a review of site-specific documents provided by the property owner and its agents (e.g., historical environmental assessments and monitoring) and communication with the regulatory agencies. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted methodologies and standards of practice of the area. The personnel performing this assessment are qualified to perform such investigations and have accurately reported the information available, but cannot attest to the validity of that information. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the findings included in the report.

The findings of this report are valid as of the date of this report. Subject property conditions may change with the passage of time, natural processes, or human intervention, which can invalidate the findings and conclusions presented in this report. As such, this report should be updated as needed with monitoring reports, inspection reports, contact information, and monitoring schedules.

APPENDIX A

Groundwater Monitoring Field Records

May 2010

August 2010

November 2010

February 2011



GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project	1: 2010-0	<i>°</i> 6	Çlie	ent: Grewe	el .			
Sampler: Steve Biltman Start Date: May 13 2010								
Well I.D.: MW-(Well Diameter: (circle one) 2 3 4 6 1								
Total Well Depth: Depth to Water:								
Before 25 After 25 Before 11,21 After 11,35								
Depth to	Free Produc	:t: Ø	Thio	kness of Free	a Product (f	teat): O		
Measurem	ants referen	ced to:	evc	Grade	Other:			
Well Diameter VCF Well Diameter VCF 1" 0.04 6" 1.47 2" 0.16 8" 2.61 3" 0.37 10" 4.08 4" 0.65 12" 5.87 5" 1.02 16" 10.43								
0.0	55 ccl		10 -	develop-Sa	imple 5.5	-		
1 Case	Volume		pacified V	olumes =	gallons			
Purging:	Bailer Disposable Middleburg Electric S Extraction OtherC	Bailer ubmersible Pump ristaltic	pump	Samplin	ng: Bailer Disposah Extract: Other_f	ole Bailer ion Port, eristattic Pump		
TIME	TEMP. (F)	PH	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED :	OBSERVATIONS:		
1105				Muddy	STart			
1120				Clear	3 gal	No draw down		
1140				Clear	5.5gal	DTW = 11.35		
Did Well	Dewater?	OIf yes,	gals.	Gallons	Actually Eva	acuated: 5,5		
Sampling	Time: //	40	Sam	pling Date:	May 13	700-7010		
Sample I	.D.: <u>Mu</u>	<u>v-1</u>	Lab	oratory:	c Campb	e/1		
Analyzed for: 2PH-G PTEX TPH-D OTHER: OXY								
Duplicate	e I.D.: , A	3	Clea	aning Blank I	.D.:	~		
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-	G BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER:				



GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project	#: 2010-	.06	Clie	ent: Grei	vel	······				
Sampler:	S. Bit	tinan	Star	t Date: Ma	17 13 20	010				
Well I.D.: MW-2 Well Diameter: (circle one) 2 3 4 6										
Total Well Depth: Depth to Water:										
Before 25 After 25 Before 11-39 After 11-52										
Depth to	Free Produc	:t: 0	Thic	ckness of Free	Product (1	feet):				
Measurer	ments referen	ced to:	eve	Grade	Other:					
	Well Diamete 1" 2" 3" 4" 5")F	VCF 0.04 0.16 0.37 0.65 1.02	Well Diamete 6" 8" 10" 12" 16"	?r	VCF 1.47 2.61 4.08 5.87 10.43				
<u>()</u> 1 Case	754 Sal Nolume	- × _	10 ₀ Specified V	<u>levelop</u> rSan	np <u>le 5</u> gallons	7-4				
Purging	: Bailer Disposable Middleburg Electric Su Extraction Other <u>per</u>	Bailer ubmersibl Pump (sta/tic	Pumps	Samplir	ng: Bailer Disposal Extract: Other	ole Bailer ion Port Deristatic Dums				
TIMB	TEMP. (F)	ВЧ	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATIONS:				
1005				Clear	Start					
1030				Cloudy	2.5 gal	dry-letrecover				
1045				Cloudy	3 gal	dry-let recover				
1105				Clearing	4 gd	dry				
1250						DTW = 11.52-				
	_									
Did Well	1 Dewater? V	es If yes	, gals.	Gallons 2	Actually Eva	acuated: 4 Sal				
Samplin	g Time: /25	10	Sam	pling Date: /	May 13	2010				
Sample :	I.D.: MW.	-2	Lab	oratory: M	c Campb	ell				
Analyzed for: TPH-G HTEX TPH-D OTHER: OKY										
Duplica	te I.D.:	Ð	Clea	aning Blank I	.D.:					
Analyzed (Circle)	d for: TPH-()	G BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER:						



GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

WELL MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project #: 2011-06 Client: Grouped						
Sampler: C B: Hungan Start Date: May 13 2010						
Well I.D.: MIN-3 Well Diameter: (circle one) 2 3 4 6 (1)						
Total Well Depth: Depth to Water:						
Before 25 After 25 Before 10,85 After 12,10						
Depth to Free Product: & Thickness of Free Product (feet): Ø						
Measurements referenced to: EVC Grade Other:						
Well Diameter VCP 1" 0.0 2" 0.1 3" 0.3 4" 0.6 5" 1.0				Well Diameter VCP 6" 1.47 8" 2.61 7 10" 4.08 12" 5.87 16" 10.43		
0,56 x 10 develop + sample 5,6 1 Case Volume Specified Volumes = gallons						
Purging: Bailer Disposable Bailer Middleburg Electric Submersible Extraction Pump Other <u>OctisTaltic</u> Pump						
TIME	TEMP. (F)	рн	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED :	OBSERVATIONS:
1145				muddSand	Start	
1200					2.5 gal	Clear DTW=20
1215					5.5 gcl	Clear STW=22-
1235					<u>}</u>	DTW=12-10
Did Well Dewater? / D If yes, gals. Gallons Actually Evacuated: 5,5						
Sampling Time: 1235 Sampling Date: May 13. 2010						
Sample I.D.: MW-3 Laboratory: Mc Campbell						
Analyzed for: TPH-O BTEX TPH-D OTHER: (NY)						
Duplicate I.D.: Cleaning Blank I.D.:						
Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX TPH-D OTHER: (Circle)						


Project	#: 2010-6		Clie	nt: Grewe	l	 					
Sampler	: S Bit	Timan	Star	t Date: A	us 11 201	0					
Well I.	D.: , MIN-1		Well	. Diameter: (c	ircle one)	2 3 4 6					
Total W	ell Depth:	-	Dept	h to Water:	1 -	1 2 2					
Before	25 AS	ter 23	Befo	Before 12,09 After 17,10							
Depth t	o Frea Produc	:t: ,0 ⁻	Thic	kness of Free	Product (1	feet):					
Measure	ments referen	nced to:	EVC	Grade	Other:						
Well Diameter VCF Well Diameter VCF 1" 0.04 6" 1.47 2" 0.16 8" 2.61 3" 0.37 10" 4.08 4" 0.65 12" 5.87 5" 1.02 16" 10.43											
(1 Cas	9.49 Sel e Volume	_ × _	5 Specified Vo	olumes =	2 . gallons	-46					
Purging	: Bailer Disposable Middleburg Electric St Extraction Other P	Bailer ubmersibl Pump • VisTaltic	e Pump	Samplin	ng: Bailer Disposal Extract: Other_4	ole Bailer ion Port, perista/fic pump					
TIME	TEMP. (F)	PH	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATIONS:					
1135	-			Cloudy	Start						
1155				Clear	2.5						
	_										
	_										
Did Wel	1 Dewater?) If yes	, gals.	Gallons 2	Actually Ev	acuated:2,5					
Samplin	g Time: 12:0	Ð	Sam	pling Date: &	111/2010						
Sample	I.D.: MW-	-1	Labo	oratory: N	c Campbe	ll					
Analyze (Circle	Analyzed for: TPH-G ETEX TPH-D OTHER: ()XY										
Duplica	te I.D.:	Ø	Clea	aning Blank I	.D.: 0						
Analyze (Circle	d for: TPH-()	G BTEX	TPH-D OTI	IER:							



				Grew	el						
Sampler:	S Bittin	an	Sta:	rt Date: Au	<u>s 11 2010</u>)					
Well I.D	.: MW-	2	Wel:	l Diameter: (circle one)	2 3 4 6 1					
Total We	11 Depth:		Dept	th to Water:	17	<u> </u>					
Before 2	5 A:	fter 25	Bef	Before 2,88 After 16.85							
Depth to	Free Produ	ct: Ø	Thi	ckness of Free	a Product (1	teet):					
Measurem	ents refere	nced to:	PVC	Grade	Other:						
	Well Diamet 1" 2" 3" 4" 5"	er	VCF 0.04 0.16 0.37 0.65 1.02	Well Diameto 6" 10" 12" 16"	er	VCF 1-47 2.61 4.08 5.87 10.43					
0 (.18	x	5		2,4						
1 Case	Volume		Specified V	olumes =	gallons						
Purging: Bailer Disposable Bailer Middleburg Electric Submersible Extraction Pump Other Poriofall(pump											
TIME	TEMP. (F)	рн	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATIONS:					
1005				Clear	Start						
	1	1		Clean	75000						
1030					a. Ja						
1030					<u>~</u>						
1030					<u>~</u>						
1030											
JU30 Did Well	Dewater?	b) It yes		Gallons	Actually Ev						
UZO Did Well Sampling	Dewater?	10 I gres 15	s,)gals.2,6 Sam	Gallons .	Actually Ev.	acuated: 2,5					
UζΟ Did Well Sampling Sample I	Dewater? A Time: 11: .D.: MW	10 I gres 25 -2	,)gals.2,6 Sam Lab	Gallons Gallons pling Date: oratory: M	Actually Ev.	acuated: 2,5					
IU30 Did Well Sampling Sample I Analyzed (Circle)	Dewater? A Time: 11: .D.: MW for: TPH-	2) Ι Yes 2) Γ - 2 3) ETEX	s, gals. 2, 6 Sam Lab TEH-D OT	Gallons Gallons pling Date: A oratory: M HER: OXY	Actually Ev.	acuated: 2,5					
USO Did Well Sampling Sample I Analyzed (Circle) Duplicate	Dewater? A Time: 11: .D.: MW for: TPH- a I.D.:	10 I Yes 25 -2 9 HTEX	Jgals. 2.6 Sam Lab TPH-D OT Cle	Gallons Gallons pling Date: A oratory: M HER: OXY aning Blank I	Actually Ev. Lug II d c Campbell	acuated: 2,5					



Project	#: 2010-06		Clie	Ent: Grew	el						
Sampler:Sampler:Sampler:Sampler:Start Date:Aug11LO10Well I.D.:MW-3Well Diameter:(circle one)2346()											
Well I.D.: MW-3 Well Diameter: (circle one) 2 3 4 6 Total Well Depth: Depth to Water: Before) 5 38											
Total We	ll Depth:		Dept	to Water:	16	38					
Before)	S AL	ter do	Beto	pre (2,27	After / D						
Depth to	Free Produc	:t: 0	Thic	ckness of Free		(eet):6					
Measurem	ents referen	iced to:	PVC	Grade	Other:						
Well Diameter VCF Well Diameter VCF 1" 0.04 6" 1.47 2" 0.16 8" 2.61 3" 0.37 10" 4.08 4" 0.65 12" 5.87 5" 1.02 16" 10.43											
D,	51 cal	x	6		2.	.55.					
1 Case	Volume		Specified V	olumes =	gallons						
Purging:	Bailer Disposable Middleburg Electric S Extraction Other Poy	Bailer ubmersible Pump Salle		Samplin	ng: Bailer Disposal Extract: Other	ole Bailer ion Port evistattic pump					
TIMB	TEMP. (F)	ЪĦ	COND.	TURBIDITY:	Volume Removed:	OBSERVATIONS:					
1045	-			Clear	STOLT						
1110				Clear	2.5						
			•								
Did Well	Dewater?) If yes	, gals.	Gallons	Actually Eva	acuated: 2.5					
Sampling	Time:	5	Sam	pling Date:	8/11/10						
Sample I	.D.: Mw-	3	Lab	oratory: Ma	: Campbell	1					
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-0	FTEX	TEH-D OT	HER: OXY							
Duplicat	e I.D.:	Ð	Cle	aning Blank I	.D.: 0						
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-(G BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER:							



Brojost #		/	Clie	nt: (2					
	· 2010-1	2	Star	t Date: 11-1	2-10					
Sampler:	S BITIM	om			1-10					
Well I.D.	· MW - 3	\$	Well	Diameter: (c	ircle one)	2 3 4 6 1				
Total Wel	l Depth:		Dept	h to Water:		115.				
Before o	25 AE	ter25	Befo	re 11.60	After 15.	98				
Depth to	Free Produc	t: <i>É</i>	7 Thic	kness of Free	Product (f	eet):				
Measureme	ents referen	ced to:	EVC	Grade	Other:					
ŀ	Vell Diamete 1" 2" 3" 4" 5"	F	VCF 0.04 0.16 0.37 0.65 1.02	Well Diamete 6" 8" 10" 12" 16"		VCF 1.47 2.61 4.08 5.87 10.43				
	<u></u>				27	-				
<u> </u>	Volume	_ × _	Specified Vo	olumes =	gallons					
I Case volume Specified volume Purging: Bailer Sampling: Bailer Disposable Bailer Disposable Bailer Middleburg Extraction Port Electric Submersible Other_Peristal Tic Pump Other_Peristal Tic Pump										
TIME	TEMP. (F)	PH	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATIONS:				
1130				Clear	e	Start				
1145				Clean	2.75	NO odor				
Did Well	Dewater?	J J If yes	. gals.	Gallons	Actually Ev	acuated: 2,7				
Sampling	Time: 120	0	Sam	pling Date:	1-17-10	<u> </u>				
Sample I	.D.: MW-	3	Lab	oratory: Mc	(compbell	9				
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-	G BTEX	трн-д) от	HER: OXY						
Duplicat	e I.D.:	V	Cle	aning Blank I		5				
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-	G BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER:						



Project #	t:) a 10.	- 6	Clie	ant: (TKD)	······································				
Sampler:	S Rittin		Star	t Date: 12-	19-10					
Well I.D.	·: M14-7	<u>an</u>	Well	. Diameter: (d	circle one)	2 3 4 6 (1)				
Total Wel	11 Depth:		Dept	h to Water:						
Before 2	5 A	Eter 25 -	Befo	pre 12.32	After 17	~ .				
Depth to	Free Produc	et: b	Thic	kness of Free	Product (1	feet):				
Measurem	ents refere	nced to:	PVC	Grade	Other:					
Well Diameter VCF Well Diameter VCF 1" 0.04 6" 1.47 2" 0.16 8" 2.61 3" 0.37 10" 4.08 4" 0.65 12" 5.87 5" 1.02 16" 10.43										
(),	SIgal	x	5		Z, 5					
1 Case	Volume		Specified Vo	olumes =	gallons					
Purging:	Bailer Disposable Middleburg Electric St Extraction Other Dots	Bailer ubmersible Pump fallic	e Juwp	Samplin	ng: Bailer Disposah Extracti Other	Die Bailer ion Port evistaltic , Dump				
TIME	TEMP. (F)	PH	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATIONS:				
1020		·		Clear	S	STart				
1037		·		Cleav	2.5g	Dry				
nid Well	Devator? \	PI IF Ver	, rals) (Gallone	Actually Ev	acuated: 7 5				
Sampling	Time: 102.	50 _ 17	7 . Sam	oling Date:)	-17-10	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
Sample I	.D.: Alir-	7_	Labo	pratory: M.	Canalal	<u> </u>				
Analyzed (Circle)	Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX TPH-D OTHER: (X)									
Duplicate	e I.D.:	3	Clea	aning Blank I	.D.;					
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-	G BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER:						



Project	#: 2010 - 1	6	Cli	ent: Grewe	R						
Sampler:	5 Bittin	an	Sta	rt Date:] -	17:-10						
Well I.D	.: MW-1		Wel	Well Diameter: (circle one) 2 3 4 6							
Total We	11 Depth:		Dep	Depth to Water:							
Before 2	25° A	fter 20	Bef	00.61 Pro	After 15	.63					
Depth to	Free Produ	ct: O	Thi	ckness of Fre	e Product (:	feet): 6					
Measurem	ents referen	nced to:	PVC	Grade	Other:						
	Well Diamet 1" 2" 3" 4" 5"	er	VCF 0.04 0.16 0.37 0.65 1.02	Well Diamet 6" 8" 10" 12" 16"	el	VCF 1.47 2.61 4.08 5.87 10.43					
1 Case	Volume	_ x _	5 Specified V	olumes =	Z. gallons	6					
Purging:	Bailer Disposable Middleburg Electric St Extraction Other	Bailer ubmersibl Pump FISTelTi	e c. Pump	Sampli	ng: Bailer Disposal Extract Other_ f	ble Bailer ion Port Cristaltic pump					
TIME	TEMP. (F)	рН	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATIONS :					
1057				1 lecry	6	Start					
1110				<u>(leav</u>	2.69	No ador					
Did Well	Dewater?	 O If yes	, gals.	Gallons 1	Actually Eva	acuated: 7.6					
Sampling	Time: // 20	ン	Samp	ling Date://	-17-10						
Sample I.	D.: MW-	1	Labo	pratory: Mc	Coupsel	P					
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-G	BTEX	TPH-D OTH	IER: OXY		······					
Duplicate	I.D.:	-	Clea	ning Blank I	.D.: 0						
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-G	BTEX	TPH-D OTH	UER:							



. (

Project	#: 2010-0	5	Cli	ent: Grew	el						
Sampler	S. Bittin	an	Sta	rt Date:]-/	-1						
Well I.I	.: MW-1		Well	L Diameter: (o	ircle one)	2 3 4 6					
Total We	11 Depth:		Dept	th to Water:		· 7					
Before	25 N	Eter 23	Bef	ore 11.81	After / 3	.86					
Depth to	Free Produc	st: 9	Thie	ckness of Free	Product (1	feet):					
Measurer	ments referen	aced to:	PVC	Grade	Other:						
	Well Diamete 1" 2" 3" 4" 5"	51	VCF 0.04 0.16 0.37 0.65 1.02	Well Diamete 6" 10" 12" 16"	er	VCF 1.47 2.61 4.08 5.87 10.43					
0.	52 cal	x	5		2.6						
1 Case	Volume	-	Specified V	olumes =	gallons						
Purging	Furging: Bailer Sampling: Bailer Disposable Bailer Disposable Bailer Middleburg Extraction Port, Electric Submersible Other										
TIME	TEMP. (F)	РЯ	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED :	OBSERVATIONS:					
1345				Clear	Start	······································					
1405				Clear	2,6						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
			•								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
Did Well	Dewater? N	O If yes	s, gals.	Gallons 2	Actually Eva	acuated:					
Sampling	Time: [4]	23	Sam	pling Date:	u[t]						
Sample 1	:.».: Ми-	1	Labo	pratory: MC	Campbel	'l					
Analyzec (Circle)	for: 7PH-0		TEH-D OT	HER: OXY'S							
Duplicat	e I.D.:		Clea	aning Blank I	.D.:						
Analyzed (Circle)	for: TPH-C	G BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER:							



Projec	ect #: 2010 Client: Grewel											
Sample	mpler:S. BittmanStart Date:2-11-11Ll I.D.:Mul-1Well Diameter: (circle one)234											
Well I	.D.: MW-2		Wel	l Diameter: (circle one)	2 3 4 6						
Total	Well Depth:		Dep	th to Water:	. 1	Γ						
Before	25 A	tter 25	Bef	Before J. 16 After 1/col								
Depth	to Free Produc	=t: 02	Thi	ckness of Fre	a Product (1	feet): O						
Measur	ements referen	nced to:	PVC	Grade	Other:							
	Well Diameto 1" 2" 3" 4" 5"););	VCF 0.04 0.16 0.37 0.65 1.02	Well Diamet 6" 8" 10" 12" 16"	Br	VCF 1.47 2.61 4.08 5.87 10.43						
(151000		no	~5	25							
1 Ca	se Volume	- ^ -	Specified V	olumes =	gallons							
Purgin	Purging: Bailer Disposable Bailer Middleburg Electric Submersible Extraction Pump Other Peristellic											
TIME	TEMP. (F)	РН	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATIONS:						
1300				Clear	Start	t						
1325				Clear	2-5ge							
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		<u> </u>										
Did We	11 Dewater?Ye	J If yes	, gals.	Gallons 2	Actually Eva	acuated: Z,5						
Sampli	ng Time: 1330	Ø	Sam	pling Date: Z.	-11-11							
Sample	I.D.: MW-	2	Lab	oratory: MC	Compall	0						
Analyz (Circl	ed for: TPH-G	BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER: OXY'S 6	7 8260							
Duplica	ate I.D.:		Cle	aning Blank I	.D.:							
Analyze (Circle	ed for: TPH-G e)	BTEX	TPH-D OT	HER:								



• • GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

Project #: 2010 -	- 6	Cli	est: Grew	el							
sampler: S Bitti	nan	Sta	rt Date:	2-11-11							
Well I.D.: MW-	3	Wel	1 Diameter: (circle one)	2 3 4	6					
Total Well Depth:		Dep	Depth to Water:								
Before 25	fter 15	Bef	Before . 4 After								
Depth to Free Produ	ict: O	7hi	ckness of Fre	e Product (feet):	-					
Measurements refere	enced to:	TVE	Grade	Other:							
Well Diamet	.e <i>r</i>	VCP 0.04 0.16 0.37 0.65 1.02	Well Diamet 6" 10" 12" 16"	er	VCF 1.47 2.61 4.08 5.87 10.43						
0,54 ccl 1 Case Volume	×	Specified V	olumes =	 gallons	71						
Purging: Bailer Disposable Middleburg Electric S Extraction Other_/Cy	Purging: Bailer Sampling: Bailer Disposable Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port, Electric Submersible Other_peruffalt(
TIME TEMP. (F)	Нq	COND.	TURBIDITY:	VOLUME REMOVED:	OBSERVATI	ions :					
1430			alean	Start							
1450			Cleav	27							
	_										
	_	·									
		•									
Did Well Dewater? /	D ^{If yes,}	gals.	Gallons 2	Actually Eva	acuated: 2	-7					
Sampling Time: /5(TU I	Sam	pling Date: P	-11-11							
Sample I.D.: MW	1-3	Lab	pratory: MC	Campbel	2						
Analyzed for: DPH- (Circle)	G STEX	TPH-D OT	HER: OXY'S		۹						
Duplicate I.D.:		Clea	aning Blank I.	.D.:							
Analyzed for: TPH- (Circle)	G BTEX	TPH-D OTI	iER:		······································						

APPENDIX B

Analytical Laboratory Reports and Chain-of-Custody Records

May 2010

August 2010

November 2010

February 2011

McCampbell An "When Quality	nalytical, Inc.	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269						
Stellar Environmental Solutions	Client Project ID: #2010-06	5; R & H Auto	Date Sampled:	05/11/10-05/13/1				
2198 Sixth St. #201			Date Received:	05/13/10				
	nan	Date Reported:	05/19/10					
Berkeley, CA 94710	Client P.O.:		Date Completed:	05/17/10				

WorkOrder: 1005336

May 19, 2010

Dear Steve:

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the 5 analyzed samples from your project: **#2010-06; R & H Auto,**
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing

McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius Laboratory Manager McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

1005335 Chain of Custody Record Lab job no. _____ Laboratory McCampbell AnalyTrcal Date _____ Method of Shipment Courrier Page _____ of ____ Address 1534 Willow Pass Rol Shipment No. 0 Pittsburg, CA 94565 Airbill No. -Analysis Required 877-257-9262 Coolorsia Stellar Environmental S. Project Owner _ Project Manager Steve Bittman OF Site Address 53/5 San Pablo Ave of Conta Telephone No. 51.0. 644-3123 Dakland CA PTEX Project Name R&H Auto 2 Remarks Fax No. Project Number _ 2010-06 Samplers: (Signature) Ster Preservation Location/ Sample Field Sample Number Date Time Type/Size of Container Depth Cooler Chemical Type 5/3/0 W 4 MW-1 40 ml VOA HCL V + MW-L Amber Liter Y Ø W MW-2 4 Y W 34 HOMIVOA HCL MW-2 Ambor liter 4 W D nw-3 40 ml VOA X W Ч HCL 34 MW-3 5/13 W Amper liter 4 Ø χ 10 5/11/10 B5-W HOMIYOA W HCL 34 X 10 R5-W Amber liter Ø W X B6-W W 34 HOMIVOA Hei X 514 5/1/10 B6-W W Amber liter X Relinguished by: Received by: Signature Me Kill **Beinguished** by Date Received by: Date lu 5/13/ Signature 5/13/10 Printed Melisca Valler Printed Steve Bittman 110 Time Company SES 500 Company Company Turnaround Time: 5 day Relinguished by Date Received by: Date EDF Signature Signature required Comments: Printed Time Printed Time ICE / to Company Company . - reconttato Sbittman @ Stellar-environmental.com GOOD CONDITION HEAD SPACE ABOLENT VOASION

PRESERVATION

1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittshurg CA 94565-1701

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

(925) 2	52-9262					WorkC	Order:	10053	36 (ClientCo	ode: SES	B			
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF		Excel	[Fax	🖌 Email		HardCop	у [ThirdParty	٦	-flag
Report to:						E	Bill to:				R	eque	ested TAT:	5	days
Steve Bittma Stellar Envir 2198 Sixth S Berkeley, C/ (510) 612-875	an ronmental Solutions St. #201 A 94710 51 FAX (510) 644-3859	Email: s cc: PO: ProjectNo: #	bittman@stel 2010-06; R &	llar-environmenta H Auto	ll.com	,int	Acc Ste 219 Bei	counts P Ilar Env 8 Sixth keley, C	'ayable iormental Sol St. #201 CA 94710	utions	L L)ate .)ate .	Received: Printed:	05/13/ 05/13/	/2010 /2010
									Requested	Tests (See legen	d bel	ow)		
Lab ID	Client ID		Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4 5	6	7	8	9 10	11	12
1005336-001	MW-1		Water	5/13/2010		А	А	В							

1005336-001	MVV-1	Water	5/13/2010	A	A	В					
1005336-002	MW-2	Water	5/13/2010	А		В					
1005336-003	MW-3	Water	5/13/2010	А		В					
1005336-004	B5-W	Water	5/11/2010	А		В					
1005336-005	B6-W	Water	5/11/2010	Α		В					

Test Legend:

1 GAS8260_W	2 PREDF REPORT
6	7
11	12

3	TPH(D)_V
8	

4	
9	

5	
10	

The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A, 004A, 005A contain testgroup.

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.

Prepared by: Melissa Valles



"When Ouality Counts"

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environn	nental S	olutions		Date	Date and Time Received: 5/13/2010 6:27:37 PM						
Project Name:	#2010-06; R & H	Auto				Che	cklist	t completed and re	eviewed by:	Melissa Valles		
WorkOrder N°:	1005336	Matrix	Water			Carr	rier:	Rob Pringle (M	AI Courier)			
			<u>Chain</u>	of Cu	stody (C	OC) Inform	natio	on				
Chain of custody	present?			Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Chain of custody	signed when relinqu	ished and	d received?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Chain of custody	agrees with sample	labels?		Yes	✓	No 🗌						
Sample IDs noted	by Client on COC?			Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Date and Time of	collection noted by C	lient on C	OC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Sampler's name r	noted on COC?			Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Sample Receipt Information												
Custody seals int	tact on shipping cont	ainer/coo	ler?	Yes		No 🗆			NA 🔽			
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good con	dition?		Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?	?		Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Sample containe	rs intact?			Yes	\checkmark	No 🗆						
Sufficient sample	e volume for indicated	test?		Yes	✓	No 🗌						
		<u>Sa</u>	mple Prese	vatior	n and Ho	old Time (H	IT) In	formation				
All samples recei	ved within holding tin	ne?		Yes	\checkmark	No 🗌						
Container/Temp E	Blank temperature			Coole	r Temp:	2.4°C			NA 🗆			
Water - VOA vial	ls have zero headspa	ace / no b	oubbles?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	No	o VOA vials submi	itted 🗆			
Sample labels ch	necked for correct pre	eservatior	ר?	Yes	✓	No 🗌						
Metal - pH accept	table upon receipt (p	H<2)?		Yes		No 🗆			NA 🗹			
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?			Yes	✓	No 🗆						
			(Ice Type	e: WE	TICE)						
* NOTE: If the "N	* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.											

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:

McCampbell An	<u>nc.</u>	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269										
Stellar Environmental Solutions	Client	Project ID:	#2010-0)6; R & H Auto	Date Sampled:	05/11/10-0	5/13/10					
2108 Sixth St #201					Date Received:	Date Received: 05/13/10						
2170 SIXIII St. #201	Client	Contact: St	eve Bitt	man	Date Extracted:	05/14/10						
Berkeley, CA 94710	Client	P.O.:			Date Analyzed:	05/14/10						
	MTBE and BTEX by GC/MS*											
Extraction Method: SW5030B Analytical Method: SW8260B Work Order: 1005336												
Lab ID	1005336-001	A 1005336	-002A	1005336-003A	1005336-004A							
Client ID	M W - 1	MW	-2	MW-3	B2-W	Reporting DF	Limit for =1					
Matrix	W	W		W	W							
DF	1	1		1	1	S	W					
Compound			Conce	entration		ug/kg	µg/L					
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	NE)	ND	ND	NA	0.5					
Benzene	ND	NE	1	ND	ND	NA	0.5					
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	NE)	ND	ND	NA	2.0					
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	1.6		ND	ND	NA	0.5					
Ethylbenzene	ND	NE	1	0.58	ND	NA	0.5					
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	NE)	ND	ND	NA	0.5					
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	NE)	ND	ND	NA	0.5					
Toluene	ND	NE)	ND	ND	NA	0.5					
Xylenes	ND	NE	1	0.64	ND	NA	0.5					
	Su	rrogate Rec	overies	(%)								
%SS1:	95	98		96	96							
%SS2:	98	99		98	99							
Comments					b1							
* water and vapor samples are reported in extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe sample ND means not detected above the reportin	μg/L, soil/sludge es in μg/wipe. ng limit/method	detection limi	in mg/k; t; N/A m	g, product/oil/non-a eans analyte not an	queous liquid sample	es and all TC	LP & SPLP					

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment



McCampbell An	<u>nc.</u>	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269									
Stellar Environmental Solutions	Client P	roject ID:	#2010-0)6; R & H Auto	Date Sampled:	05/11/10-0	5/13/10				
2108 Sixth St #201		Date Received:					05/13/10				
2198 SIXIII St. #201	Client C	Contact: St	05/14/10								
Berkeley, CA 94710	Client P	.0.:			Date Analyzed:	05/14/10					
MTBE and BTEX by GC/MS*											
Extraction Method: SW5030B Analytical Method: SW8260B Work Order: 1005336											
	1005336-005A										
Client ID	D0- W					Reporting DF	Limit for =1				
Matrix	W										
DF	1					S	W				
Compound			Conce	entration		ug/kg	μg/L				
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND					NA	0.5				
Benzene	ND					NA	0.5				
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND					NA	2.0				
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND					NA	0.5				
Ethylbenzene	ND					NA	0.5				
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND					NA	0.5				
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND					NA	0.5				
Toluene	1.5					NA	0.5				
Xylenes	ND					NA	0.5				
	Suri	rogate Rec	coveries	(%)							
%SS1:	98										
%SS2:	97										
Comments	b1										
* water and vapor samples are reported in extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe sampl ND means not detected above the reporti	μg/L, soil/sludge/s es in μg/wipe. ng limit/method de	olid samples	s in mg/kş t; N/A m	g, product/oil/non-a eans analyte not aj	aqueous liquid samplo	es and all TC ysis.	LP & SPLP				

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment

	McCampbell Analyti	cal, Inc.	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269							
Stellar Env	ironmental Solutions	Client Project ID:	#2010-06; R & H Auto	Date Sample	ed: 05	/11/10-0	5/13/10			
2198 Sixth	St. #201			Date Receive	ed: 05	/13/10				
-170 51141		Client Contact: St	eve Bittman	Date Extract	ed: 05	/14/10				
Berkeley, C	CA 94710	Client P.O.:		Date Analyz	ed 05.	/14/10				
Extraction metho	od SW5030B	TPH(g) by Purge & Analytical m	t Trap and GC/MS* nethods SW8260B		Wo	rk Order:	1005336			
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)		DF	% SS	Comments			
001A	MW-1	W	ND		1	98				
002A	MW-2	W	ND		1	99				
003A	MW-3	W	ND		1	99				
004A	B5-W	W	ND		1	100	b1			
005A	B6-W	W	ND		1	96	b1			
l l	Reporting Limit for DF =1;	W	50			μg/L				
1	above the reporting limit	S	NA		NA					

* water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

	IcCampbell Analyti "When Ouality Counts"	<u>cal, Inc.</u>	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269						
Stellar Enviro	onmental Solutions	Client Project ID:	#2010-06; R & H Auto	Date Sampled:		05/11/10-05/13/10			
2198 Sixth St	. #201			Date Rec	eived:	05/13/1	0		
		Client Contact: S	Steve Bittman	Date Extr	acted:	05/13/1	0		
Berkeley, CA	.94710	Client P.O.:		Date Ana	lyzed	05/14/1	0-05/17/10		
Extraction method	To 1 SW3510C	tal Extractable Pe Analytical	methods: SW8015B			Work Orde	er: 1005336		
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)		DF	% SS	Comments		
1005336-001B	MW-1	W	ND		1	89			
1005336-002B	MW-2	W	ND		1	91			
1005336-003B	MW-3	W	ND		1	90			
1005336-004B	B5-W	W	ND		1	91	b1		
1005336-005B	B6-W	W		1	99	e2,b1			
Rep ND 1	orting Limit for DF =1; means not detected at or	W	50			μg	/L		
ab	ove the reporting limit	S	NA			N	A		

* water samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in µg/L.

cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:

b1) aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sediment e2) diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable pattern

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager



"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water			QC Matri	x: Water			BatchID: 50647			WorkOrder 1005336		
EPA Method SW8260B	Extra	ction SW	5030B				Spiked Sample ID: 1005342-001A					001A
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acc	eptance	e Criteria (%)	
	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	71.4	76.1	6.32	78.4	77.7	0.968	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Benzene	ND	10	94.6	94.5	0.0846	88	90.2	2.46	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	71.3	71.5	0.240	76.1	77.4	1.59	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	97.9	100	2.52	93.6	95.3	1.72	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	82.5	88.2	6.67	83	83.5	0.687	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	89.6	97.2	8.08	91.3	92.7	1.55	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Toluene	ND	10	91.9	91.7	0.237	90.9	88.1	3.08	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS1:	87	25	95	95	0	93	94	1.67	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS2:	97	25	99	97	1.79	98	97	1.29	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method E NONE	Blank of this	extraction	batch we	re ND les	s than the	method R	L with th	e following	exceptions:			

BATCH 50647 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1005336-001A	05/13/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 4:46 PM	1005336-002A	05/13/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 9:46 PM
1005336-003A	05/13/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 10:29 PM	1005336-004A	05/11/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 11:12 PM
1005336-005A	05/11/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 11:55 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.



QA/QC Officer



"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water			QC Matri	x: Water			Batch	ID: 50647		WorkOrder 1005336		
EPA Method SW8260B	Extra	ction SW	5030B					5	Spiked San	nple ID	: 1005342-0)01A
Analyte	Sample	Sample Spiked MS MSD M					LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acceptance Criteria (%)			
Analyte	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	71.4	76.1	6.32	78.4	77.7	0.968	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Benzene	ND	10	94.6	94.5	0.0846	88	90.2	2.46	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	71.3	71.5	0.240	76.1	77.4	1.59	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Chlorobenzene	ND	10	101	97.7	3.68	100	95.8	4.78	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	89.5	93.4	4.30	98.7	93.8	5.06	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	10	94.4	94.7	0.291	82	81.1	1.07	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	10	96	91.8	4.44	86.4	90.6	4.79	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	97.9	100	2.52	93.6	95.3	1.72	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	82.5	88.2	6.67	83	83.5	0.687	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	89.6	97.2	8.08	91.3	92.7	1.55	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Toluene	ND	10	91.9	91.7	0.237	90.9	88.1	3.08	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Trichloroethene	ND	10	103	101	2.03	95.3	95.9	0.629	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS1:	87	25	95	95	0	93	94	1.67	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS2:	97	25	99	97	1.79	98	97	1.29	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS3:	94	2.5	92	89	3.28	96	92	3.61	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE												

BATCH 50647 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1005336-001A	05/13/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 4:46 PM	1005336-002A	05/13/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 9:46 PM
1005336-003A	05/13/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 10:29 PM	1005336-004A	05/11/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 11:12 PM
1005336-005A	05/11/10	05/14/10	05/14/10 11:55 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) * 2.

MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery. The LCS and LCSD are spikes into a clean, known, similar matrix and they and the surrogate standards reflect the overall validity of their extraction batch. Our control limits are 70-130% recovery and a 30% RPD for the LCS-LCSD and for the Surrogate Standards.





"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water	W.O. Sample Matrix: Water QC Matrix: Water					BatchID: 50648				WorkOrder 1005336			
EPA Method SW8015B	Extraction SW3510C						Spiked Sample ID: N/A						
Analyte	Sample	Sample Spiked MS MSD MS-MS		MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD LCS-LCSD Acceptance Criteria				Criteria (%)	1		
	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD	
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	N/A	1000	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.7	88.4	2.54	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30	
%SS:	N/A	625	N/A	N/A	N/A	102	100	2.39	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30	
All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions:													

BATCH 50648 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1005336-001B	05/13/10	05/13/10	05/16/10 12:22 PM	1005336-002B	05/13/10	05/13/10	05/16/10 1:30 PM
1005336-003B	05/13/10	05/13/10	05/14/10 9:59 PM	1005336-004B	05/11/10	05/13/10	05/14/10 11:07 PM
1005336-005B	05/11/10	05/13/10	05/17/10 10:16 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.



McCampbell An "When Ouality"	nalytical, Inc. v Counts"	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269						
Stellar Environmental Solutions	Client Project ID: #2010-06	Date Sampled:	08/11/10					
2198 Sixth St. #201			Date Received:	08/11/10				
	Client Contact: Steve Bittr	Date Reported:	08/18/10					
Berkeley, CA 94710	Client P.O.:		Date Completed:	08/18/10				

WorkOrder: 1008331

August 18, 2010

Dear Steve:

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the **3** analyzed samples from your project: **#2010-06; R&H Auto,**
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing

McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius Laboratory Manager McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

100833 Chain of Custody Record Lab job no. ____ McCompbell AnalyTical Method of Shipment Courrier Date Laboratory _ Page _____ of ____ 534 Willow Pass Rd Address Shipment No. Pittsburg, CA 94565 877-252-9262 Airbill No. Analysis Required GoolerNo, Stellar Environmental they are Project Owner Project Manager Steve Bittingy 5315 San Pablo ANR Site Address Telephone No. 5.10. 644-3123 No. or Con Oakland CA BIEX RaH Auto Fax No. Remarks Project Name ____ 2010-06 Project Number ____ Mmer Samplers: (Signature) Preservation Location/ Sample Field Sample Number Type/Size of Container Date Time Type Depth Cooler Chemical 81 3 MINie. 40 ml VOA X n Hei h Amber Liter 1/W-V t MW-3 X N 40 ml VOA HCL 4 0 X Amber LiTer W HCL 40 M VDA 3 X 8/11 MM-W Ø X Amber LiTer . ICE/r GOOD CONDITION APPROPRIATE HEAD SPACE ABSENT CONTAINERS DECHLORINATED IN LAB VIO PRESERVED IN LAB VOAS I O&G | METALS | OTHER PRESERVATION Relinguished by Received b Relinquished by Received by: Date Date War Signature . Signature wantha Arepucil Bittman Printed ok Time Time 9/11/10 MAI Company Company Company 5 Relinguished by: Date day Received by: Date Turnaround Time: Required Signature Signature . Comments: email Results to: Printed Time Printed Time Sbittman @ stellar - environmental, com Company . Company _



1534 Willow Pass Rd

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

(925) 252-9262					WorkO	rder: 1	008331	Clie	ntCode: SI	ESB			
	WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF		Excel	F	Fax	🖌 Email	Hard	Сору	ThirdPar	у 🗋	J-flag
Report to:					В	II to:				Req	uested TAT	: 5	days
Steve Bittman	Email:	sbittman@stel	llar-environment	al.com	,inter	Accou	ints Payab	le					
Stellar Environmental Solutions	CC:					Stella	r Enviorme	ental Solutio	ons	D	. D		1/2010
2198 Sixth St. #201	PO:					2198	Sixth St. #	201		Date	e <i>Keceivea</i>	: 08/1	1/2010
Berkeley, CA 94710	ProjectNo:	#2010-06; R&I	H Auto			Berke	ley, CA 94	710		Dat	e Printed:	08/13	3/2010
(510) 612-8751 FAX (510) 644-38	59												
							Ree	quested Te	sts (See leg	jend b	elow)		
Lab ID Client II)	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3 4	5	6 7	8	9 10	11	12

1008331-001	MW-1	Water	8/11/2010	А	А	В					
1008331-002	MW-2	Water	8/11/2010	А		В					
1008331-003	MW-3	Water	8/11/2010	А		В					

Test Legend:

1	GAS8260_W	2	PREDF
6		7	
11		12	

2	PREDF REPORT	
7		
12		

3	TPH(D)_W
8	

4	
9	

5	
10	

The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A contain testgroup.

Prepared by: Samantha Arbuckle

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



"When Ouality Counts"

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environm	ental Solu	utions			Date	and T	Time Received:	8/11/2010	6:56:58 PM	
Project Name:	#2010-06; R&H A	uto				Chec	cklist (completed and re	eviewed by:	Samantha Arbuckle	
WorkOrder N°:	1008331	Matrix <u>W</u>	ater			Carri	er:	Rob Pringle (M	Al Courier)		
			<u>Chain</u>	of Cus	stody (C	OC) Inform	atior	<u>1</u>			
Chain of custody	present?			Yes	✓	No 🗆					
Chain of custody	signed when relinqui	shed and re	eceived?	Yes	✓	No 🗆					
Chain of custody	agrees with sample I	abels?		Yes	✓	No 🗌					
Sample IDs noted	by Client on COC?			Yes	✓	No 🗆					
Date and Time of	collection noted by Cli	ent on COC	??	Yes	✓	No 🗆					
Sampler's name n	noted on COC?			Yes	✓	No 🗆					
Sample Receipt Information											
Custody seals int	tact on shipping conta	iner/cooler?	?	Yes		No 🗆			NA 🔽		
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good cond	lition?		Yes	V	No 🗆					
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?			Yes	✓	No 🗆					
Sample container	rs intact?			Yes	✓	No 🗆					
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated	test?		Yes	✓	No 🗌					
		<u>Samp</u>	ole Preserv	vation	and Ho	ld Time (H	T) Inf	ormation			
All samples receive	ved within holding tim	e?		Yes	✓	No 🗌					
Container/Temp E	Blank temperature			Cooler	Temp:	2.8°C			NA 🗆		
Water - VOA vial	s have zero headspa	ce / no bubl	bles?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	No	VOA vials submi	tted		
Sample labels ch	necked for correct pres	servation?		Yes	✓	No 🗌					
Metal - pH accept	table upon receipt (pH	l<2)?		Yes		No 🗆			NA 🗹		
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?			Yes	✓	No 🗆					
			(Ice Type	: WET	(ICE)					
* NOTE: If the "N	lo" box is checked, se	ee commen	ts below.								

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:

	McCampbell Analyti	cal, Inc.	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269						
Stellar En	vironmental Solutions	Client Project ID:	#2010-06; R&H Auto Date Sampled: 08/11/10						
2198 Sixth	n St #201			Date Received: 08/11/10					
2190 514	151 1201	Client Contact: St	Steve Bittman Date Extracted: 08/12/10						
Berkeley,	CA 94710	Client P.O.:		zed 08	/12/10				
		TPH(g) by Purge &	z Trap and GC/MS*						
Extraction met	hod SW5030B	Analytical m	nethods SW8260B		Wo	rk Order:	1008331		
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)		DF	% SS	Comments		
001A	MW-1	W	ND		1	100			
002A	MW-2	W	ND		1	100			
003A	MW-3	W	ND		1	100			
	Reporting Limit for DF =1;	W	50		I	μ <u>σ/</u> Γ.	I		
	ND means not detected at or above the reporting limit	S	NA			NA			

* water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu g/L$, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in $\mu g/\mu$.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard

DF = Dilution Factor

DHS ELAP Certification 1644



McCampbell An "When Ouality	alyti	cal, In	<u>c.</u>	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269						
Stellar Environmental Solutions		Client Pr	oject ID:	#2010-0)6; R&H Auto	Date Sampled:	08/11/10			
0100 0. 1 0. 1001				Date Received:	08/11/10					
2198 Sixth St. #201		Client Co	ontact: St	teve Bit	tman	Date Extracted:	08/12/10-0	8/13/10		
Berkeley, CA 94710 Client P.O.: Date Analyzed:							08/12/10-0	8/13/10		
Oxygenates, MBTEX & Lead Scavengers by GC/MS*										
Extraction Method: SW5030B Analytical Method: SW8260B								1008331		
Lab ID	10083	31-001A	1008331	-002A	1008331-003A					
Client ID	М	W-1	MW	-2	MW-3		Reporting	Limit for		
Matrix		W	W		W		- DF	=1		
DF		1	1		1		S	w		
Compound				Conce	entration		ug/kg	μg/L		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Benzene		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)		ND	ND		ND		NA	2.0		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)		ND	2.1		ND		NA	0.5		
Ethylbenzene		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Toluene		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Xylenes		ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
		Surr	ogate Rec	overies	s (%)	1				
%SS1:		108	114	Ļ	110					
%SS2:		94	92		95					
%SS2: Comments * water and vapor samples are reported in extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe sample	μg/L, so es in μg/	94 il/sludge/so wipe. method det	92 lid samples	in mg/kş	95 g, product/oil/non-a	queous liquid sample	es and all TC	LP & SPLP		
# surrogate diluted out of range or coelute	es with a	nother peak	x; &) low su	irrogate o	due to matrix inter	ference.	y 515.			

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard DF = Dilution Factor

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

	IcCampbell Analyti "When Ouality Counts"	<u>cal, Inc.</u>		1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269					
Stellar Enviro	onmental Solutions	Client Project	ID: ‡	#2010-06; R&H Auto	pled:	0			
2198 Sixth St	. #201				Date Received: 08/11/10				
		Client Contact	t: Ste	eve Bittman	Date Extr	acted:	08/11/1	0	
Berkeley, CA	. 94710	Client P.O.:	Date Analyzed 08/15/10-08/1						
Extraction method	To 1 SW3510C	tal Extractable Analyt	e Petro	ethods: SW8015B			Work Orde	er: 1008331	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix		TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)		DF	% SS	Comments	
1008331-001B	MW-1	W		ND		1	81		
1008331-002B	MW-2	w		ND		1	101		
1008331-003B	MW-3	W		ND		1	81		
Rep	orting Limit for DF =1;	w		50				/L	
ND i ab	means not detected at or ove the reporting limit	S		NA			με N	A	

* water samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in µg/L.

cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard

DF = Dilution Factor

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:





"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water	QC Matrix: Water						BatchID: 52488 Wo				Order 1008331	
EPA Method SW8260B	Extraction SW5030B Spiked Samp								nple ID	e ID: 1008394-001A		
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acce	eptance	e Criteria (%))
Analyte	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	82.4	87.1	5.58	89.8	90.5	0.859	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Benzene	ND	10	103	104	1.27	101	102	1.21	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	72.7	79.2	8.58	74.2	77	3.76	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Chlorobenzene	ND	10	99.2	100	0.714	98.3	99.8	1.54	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	83	87.8	5.62	92.8	97.3	4.67	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	10	95.1	99.1	4.09	94.3	98	3.80	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	10	97.3	98.4	1.08	125	130	3.43	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	110	114	3.23	104	108	3.43	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	96.3	99.6	3.35	100	103	2.87	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	91.3	96.3	5.30	108	111	3.23	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Toluene	ND	10	99.7	99	0.646	92.4	94.4	2.17	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
Trichloroethene	ND	10	103	103	0	111	112	1.17	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS1:	116	25	104	106	2.04	106	107	1.39	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS2:	94	25	106	106	0	93	93	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
%SS3:	82	2.5	82	80	2.07	80	83	3.79	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method NONE	Blank of this	extraction	batch we	re ND les	s than the	method R	L with th	e following	exceptions:			

BATCH 52488 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1008331-001A	08/11/10	08/12/10	08/12/10 9:03 PM	1008331-002A	08/11/10	08/12/10	08/12/10 9:49 PM
1008331-003A	08/11/10	08/12/10	08/12/10 10:31 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) * 2.

MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery. The LCS and LCSD are spikes into a clean, known, similar matrix and they and the surrogate standards reflect the overall validity of their extraction batch. Our control limits are 70-130% recovery and a 30% RPD for the LCS-LCSD and for the Surrogate Standards.





<u>McCampbell Analytical, Inc.</u>

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015B

QC Matrix: Water BatchID: 52437 W.O. Sample Matrix: Water WorkOrder 1008331 Extraction SW3510C EPA Method SW8015B Spiked Sample ID: N/A MS MSD MS-MSD LCS LCSD LCS-LCSD Sample Spiked Acceptance Criteria (%) Analyte µg/L µg/L % Rec. % Rec. % RPD % Rec. % Rec. % RPD MS / MSD RPD LCS/LCSD RPD TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) N/A 1000 N/A N/A N/A 94.5 96.6 2.15 N/A N/A 70 - 130 30 625 %SS: N/A N/A N/A N/A 85 85 0 N/A N/A 70 - 130 30 All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 52437 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1008331-001B	08/11/10	08/11/10	08/15/10 8:26 AM	1008331-002B	08/11/10	08/11/10	08/17/10 9:05 PM
1008331-003B	08/11/10	08/11/10	08/15/10 4:00 AM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

JK QA/QC Officer

When Ouality	nalytical, Inc.	1534 Willow Pass F Web: www.mccampbell.c Telephone: 877-2	Road, Pittsburg, CA 945 com E-mail: main@mc 52-9262 Fax: 925-252	65-1701 ccampbell.com 2-9269
Stellar Environmental Solutions	Client Project ID: #2010-06	5; R & H Auto	Date Sampled:	11/17/10
2198 Sixth St. #201			Date Received:	11/17/10
	Client Contact: Steve Bittr	nan	Date Reported:	11/24/10
Berkeley, CA 94710	Client P.O.:		Date Completed:	11/24/10

WorkOrder: 1011518

November 24, 2010

Dear Steve:

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the 3 analyzed samples from your project: **#2010-06; R & H Auto,**
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing

McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius Laboratory Manager McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

	Ch	ain of Custody Reco	ord		Lab job no. 10115
Laboratory Mc Gunpbell	Avaly Treat of Shiph	nent Courrier	20		Date
Address 13 59 Writew Paus	U565 Shipment No		48		aga 01
877-252-9262	Airbill No.		1/0	Analysis Required	/
Project Owner	Cooler No.	CDIL	11.7.7	//////	77
Site Address 5315 San Pablo	Aug Project Manager	D. Bittman			/ /
Oakland CA	Telephone No.	510.644-3123	El and	/////	
Project Name 22 H AUT	Ø Fax No	1 m H	The start		Remarks
Project Number 2010-06	Samplers: (Sign	ature) Sta Byttiner /	1/20/10//		/
Field Sample Number	Date Time Sample Type/Size of C	Container Preservation	1 2721 / /		
Mar-1 11-17-10	In Internet	Cooler Chemical	2/1/////		
11		TUDAT 1 HUL	4 1		
///////////////////////////////////////	W Amber				
MW-2	W Yom	VOA Y HU	ZX		
MW-2	W Ambe	rLYD			C.
MW-3	W 40 m	VOA Y HOL	2 X		
- MW-3 11-17-10	W Ambe	rL 4 02	1 X		
/					
10					
Relinquished by Auto Da	te Received b	Date Relinguished by:	Date	Received by: 010 V	, DO Date
Signature		Signature	12. KNIV	Signature	1/17
Printed STRUR BITIMach Tir	ne Printed 06/11	Nale Jine Printer Jold	ring & Time	Printed Melsin	Valk
SEC D	30 12 Ema	XILIZIN IN	EM. Mayer	ALA	141
Company Company	Company	Company Company	Carpornt TD	Company	115
Turnaround Time:	o Day	Relinquished by:	Date	Received by: Signature	Date
Comments:				Signature	
Electric 1		Printed	Time	Printed	Time
	1	Company .		Company	0
EAD SPACE ABSENT CONTAINERS	V	and have a		Southard	

_	S.	Q,
.,	×.	₹
-		-2

1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page 1 of 1

(925) 252-9262				WorkO	order: 101151	8 Client	Code: SESB		
	WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Excel	Fax	🖌 Email	HardCopy	ThirdParty	J-flag
Report to:				В	ill to:		Req	uested TAT:	5 days
Steve Bittman Stellar Environmental Solutions 2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkeley, CA 94710	Email: s cc: PO: ProjectNo: #	sbittman@stellar	-environmenta	al.com,inter	Accounts Pa Stellar Envic 2198 Sixth S	ayable prmental Solutions St. #201	; Dai Dai	te Received:	11/17/2010 11/17/2010
(510) 612-8751 FAX (510) 644-3859		2010-00, K & H	Auto		Derkeley, Cr	4 947 10	Dui	e 1 rinieu.	11/17/2010
						Requested Tests	(See legend k	pelow)	

											J • • • • •	,			
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Collection Date Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1011518-001	MW-1	Water	11/17/2010	А	В										
1011518-002	MW-2	Water	11/17/2010	А	В										
1011518-003	MW-3	Water	11/17/2010	А	В										

Test Legend:

1	GAS8260_W	2	
6		7	
11		12	

2	TPH(D)_W
7	
12	

3	
8	

4	
9	

5		
10		

The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A contain testgroup.

Prepared by: Melissa Valles

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



McCampbell Analytical, Inc. "When Ouality Counts"

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name: Stellar Environmental Solutions						Date	e and [·]	Time Received:	11/17/2010	4:28:46 PM
Project Name:	#2010-06; R & H	Auto				Che	cklist	completed and re	eviewed by:	Melissa Valles
WorkOrder N°:	1011518	Matrix	<u>Water</u>			Carr	ier:	Rob Pringle (M	AI Courier)	
			<u>Chain</u>	of Cu	stody (C	OC) Inform	natio	<u>n</u>		
Chain of custody	present?			Yes	✓	No 🗆				
Chain of custody	signed when relinqu	ished and	d received?	Yes	✓	No 🗆				
Chain of custody	agrees with sample	labels?		Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Sample IDs noted	by Client on COC?			Yes	✓	No 🗆				
Date and Time of	collection noted by Cl	ient on C	OC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆				
Sampler's name r	noted on COC?			Yes		No 🗆				
			Sa	ample	Receipt	Informatio	<u>on</u>			
Custody seals int	tact on shipping conta	ainer/cool	ler?	Yes		No 🗆			NA 🔽	
Shipping containe	er/cooler in good cond	dition?		Yes	✓	No 🗆				
Samples in proper containers/bottles?					✓	No 🗆				
Sample containe	rs intact?			Yes	\checkmark	No 🗆				
Sufficient sample	e volume for indicated	test?		Yes		No 🗌				
		<u>Sa</u>	mple Preser	vatior	and Ho	ld Time (H	T) Int	formation		
All samples recei	ived within holding tim	ie?		Yes		No 🗌				
Container/Temp E	Blank temperature			Coole	r Temp:	5.6°C			NA 🗆	
Water - VOA vial	ls have zero headspa	ice / no b	oubbles?	Yes		No 🗆	No	VOA vials subm	itted 🗹	
Sample labels ch	necked for correct pre	servatior	ו?	Yes	✓	No 🗌				
Metal - pH accept	table upon receipt (pł	H<2)?		Yes		No 🗆			NA 🗹	
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?			Yes	✓	No 🗆				
			(Ice Type	e: WE	TICE))				
* NOTE: If the "N	lo" box is checked, s	ee comm	nents below.							

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:

	McCampbell Analyti	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269					
Stellar En	vironmental Solutions	Client Project ID:	Date Sampled: 11/17/10				
2108 Sivth St #201			Date Received: 11/17/10				
2170 5144	154. 1201	Client Contact: St	eve Bittman	Date Extracted: 11/19/10			
Berkeley,	CA 94710	Client P.O.:		Date Analyzed 11/19/10			
		TPH(g) by Purge &	Trap and GC/MS*				
Extraction met	hod SW5030B	Analytical m	nethods SW8260B		Wo	rk Order:	1011518
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)		DF	% SS	Comments
001A	MW-1	W	ND		1	105	
002A	MW-2	w	ND		1	105	
003A	MW-3	W	ND		1	103	
	Reporting Limit for DF =1:	W	50			μσ/Γ	1
ND means not detected at or above the reporting limit		S	NA			NA	

* water and vapor samples are reported in $\mu g/L$, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in $\mu g/\mu$.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard

DF = Dilution Factor

DHS ELAP Certification 1644



When Ouality Counts"					1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269					
Stellar Environmental Solutions	Client Project ID: #2010-06; R & H Auto			Date Sampled:	11/17/10					
					Date Received:	11/17/10				
2198 Sixth St. #201		Client Co	ontact: St	eve Bit	tman	Date Extracted:	11/19/10			
Berkelev CA 94710		Client D().			Data Analyzad:	11/10/10			
			J			Date Allalyzeu.	11/19/10			
Extraction Method: SW5030B	Oxygen	ates, MB'	FEX & Le	ad Scav	vengers by GC/N	AS*	Work Order	1011518		
Lab ID	10115	18-001A	1011518-	-002A	1011518-003A		work order.	1011518		
Client ID	М	W-1	MW	-2	MW-3		-			
							Reporting DF	the second secon		
Matrix		W	W		W					
DF		1	1	1			S	W		
Compound					Concentration			μg/L		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	1	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Benzene	1	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	1	ND	ND		ND		NA	2.0		
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	1	ND	2.1		ND		NA	0.5		
Ethylbenzene]	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)]	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)]	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Toluene]	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Xylenes	1	ND	ND	D ND			NA	0.5		
		Surr	ogate Rec	overies	s (%)			<u>.</u>		
%SS1:		109	107		106					
%SS2:		97	98		97					
Comments										
* water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe.										
ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.										
# surrogate diluted out of range or coelute	es with a	nother peak	;; &) low su	rrogate	due to matrix inter	ference.				

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard DF = Dilution Factor
	IcCampbell Analyti "When Ouality Counts"	cal, Inc.		1534 Willow F Web: www.mccamp Telephone: 8	Pass Road, Pitts bell.com E-1 377-252-9262	burg, CA nail: main Fax: 925	94565-17 @mccampl -252-9269	01 pell.com
Stellar Enviro	onmental Solutions	Client Project	ID:	#2010-06; R & H Auto	Date Sam	pled:	11/17/1	0
2198 Sixth St.	. #201				Date Rec	eived:	11/17/1	0
		Client Contac	et: Ste	eve Bittman	Date Extr	acted:	11/17/1	0
Berkeley, CA	94710	Client P.O.:			Date Ana	lyzed	11/21/1	0-11/23/10
Extraction method	To 1 SW3510C	otal Extractable Analy	e Petr ytical m	roleum Hydrocarbons* nethods: SW8015B			Work Orde	er: 1011518
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix		TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)		DF	% SS	Comments
1011518-001B	MW-1	w		ND		1	114	
1011518-002B	MW-2	w		ND		1	118	
1011518-003B	MW-3	W	1	98				
Rep	orting Limit for DF =1;	W		50			μg	/L
abo	ove the reporting limit	S		NA			N	A

* water samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in µg/L.

cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard. DF = Dilution Factor

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:





"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water			QC Matri	x: Water			Batch	D: 54457		WorkC	Order 10115	18	
EPA Method SW8260B	Extra	ction SW	5030B				Spiked Sample ID: 1011451-						
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acce	eptance	Criteria (%)	1	
, indigite	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD	
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	92.5	91.5	1.13	82.3	83.3	1.22	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Benzene	ND	10	104	103	0.379	109	112	2.21	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	101	103	1.82	74.5	74.9	0.516	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	103	102	0.868	90	92.5	2.82	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	11	10	99.5	101	0.559	97.8	97.4	0.354	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	2.2	10	104	105	0.570	107	109	1.91	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	102	100	1.21	98.2	99.3	1.12	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	118	117	0.851	106	107	0.776	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
Toluene	ND	10	109	108	0.376	106	108	1.94	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
%SS1:	109	25	93	94	0.332	104	105	0.461	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
%SS2:	95	25	100	100	0	102	103	0.795	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30	
All target compounds in the Method E NONE	Blank of this	extraction	batch we	re ND les	s than the	method R	L with th	e following	exceptions:				

BATCH 54457 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1011518-001A	11/17/10	11/19/10	11/19/10 1:23 AM	1011518-002A	11/17/10	11/19/10	11/19/10 2:05 AM
1011518-003A	11/17/10	11/19/10	11/19/10 2:46 AM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

AR__QA/QC Officer

Laboratory extraction solvents such as methylene chloride and acetone may occasionally appear in the method blank at low levels.



<u>McCampbell Analytical, Inc.</u>

1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269

"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015B

QC Matrix: Water BatchID: 54525 W.O. Sample Matrix: Water WorkOrder 1011518 Extraction SW3510C EPA Method SW8015B Spiked Sample ID: N/A MS MSD MS-MSD LCS LCSD LCS-LCSD Sample Spiked Acceptance Criteria (%) Analyte µg/L µg/L % Rec. % Rec. % RPD % Rec. % Rec. % RPD MS / MSD RPD LCS/LCSD RPD TPH-Diesel (C10-C23) N/A 1000 N/A N/A N/A 116 116 0 N/A N/A 70 - 130 30 625 %SS: N/A N/A N/A N/A 117 117 0 N/A N/A 70 - 130 30 All target compounds in the Method Blank of this extraction batch were ND less than the method RL with the following exceptions: NONE

BATCH 54525 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1011518-001B	11/17/10	11/17/10	11/21/10 7:25 PM	1011518-002B	11/17/10	11/17/10	11/21/10 3:52 PM
1011518-003B	11/17/10	11/17/10	11/23/10 7:12 AM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

JK QA/QC Officer

WcCampbell A	nalytical, Inc. v Counts"	1534 Willow Pas Web: www.mccampbe Telephone: 877	s Road, Pittsburg, CA 9 11.com E-mail: main@ 7-252-9262 Fax: 925-2	4565-1701 mccampbell.com 252-9269
Stellar Environmental Solutions	Client Project ID: #2010-06	5; R&H Auto Repair	Date Sampled:	02/11/11
2198 Sixth St. #201			Date Received:	02/14/11
	Client Contact: Steve Bitte	nan	Date Reported:	02/17/11
Berkeley, CA 94710	Client P.O.:		Date Completed:	02/17/11

WorkOrder: 1102396

February 17, 2011

Dear Steve:

Enclosed within are:

- 1) The results of the **3** analyzed samples from your project: **#2010-06; R&H Auto Repair**,
- 2) A QC report for the above samples,
- 3) A copy of the chain of custody, and
- 4) An invoice for analytical services.

All analyses were completed satisfactorily and all QC samples were found to be within our control limits.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to give me a call. Thank you for choosing

McCampbell Analytical Laboratories for your analytical needs.

Best regards,

Angela Rydelius Laboratory Manager McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

								. 1	16	230	îφ					
Laboratory McCampb	ell Aval	Fical	Me	thod of Shipment	Umi	er er	eco	rd		.5	C				Lab job no Date Page	of _1
Address Pittsburg	(A 943	565	— Shi — Airl — Co	ipment No bill No oler No				/	7	all all	7	Analy	sis Required	1		
Project Owner Site Address 53/5 Oaklan 2 # H	San Pable	Ave	Pro	ephone No. 510.6	3ittu 44-3	1122	-	Fillened	EX Comment	¥/					Bem	arks
Project Number 2010 -	Location/	Time	Fax Sa Sample	mplers: (Signature)	Pre Pre	3. Huar eservation			74.07	PH /						
Mw-1	Depth 2/	1/4	W	40 ml VOA	Y	Chemical /		2 X			$\left[\right]$			\square		
MW-2			W	Amber L 40 ml VOA	Y Y	Itcl	1	ZX	X							
MW-2 MW-3			W	Amber L 40 mi VOA	Y	0 HCL		I Z x	X							
MW-3	2/11	///	W	Amber L	Ÿ	Ø		1	X							
										_		_				
															A (
Relinquished by Stre But	twa 2/1	e Received	hy:	Apr.	Date 2.19	Rational Bignature	L		X	A	Date 4/11	Received	by:	k	~ V n	1 Da
Printed Steve BIL	Man 134	/S Comp		Confort	SHS	Printed	eb V/L	and	NG Jef	The second	Time	Printed	ny_N	NÅ	+1) Tin
Turnaround Time: Comments:	nal 51	Day				Relinquished b Signature _	y:	0			Date	Received	by: 			Da
						Printed		ICE GO	OD CO		Time N	Printed APPF Come	OPRIATI	E RS		Tin
								DE	CHLOR	ATION	VOAS	BF	TALS OT	HER	LAB	



Page 1 of 1

(925) 252-9262				WorkO	rder: 110239	6 Client(Code: SESB		
	WaterTrax	WriteOn		Excel	Fax	🖌 Email	HardCopy	ThirdParty	J-flag
Report to:				В	ill to:		Rec	uested TAT:	5 days
Steve Bittman	Email: s	sbittman@stellar	-environmenta	al.com,inter	Accounts Pa	yable			
Stellar Environmental Solutions	CC:				Stellar Envio	rmental Solutions	;		
2198 Sixth St. #201	PO:				2198 Sixth S	t. #201	Dat	te Received:	02/14/2011
Berkeley, CA 94710	ProjectNo: 3	#2010-06; R&H A	uto Repair		Berkeley, CA	94710	Dat	te Printed:	02/14/2011
(510) 612-8751 FAX (510) 644-3859	·	,	·		,				
						Requested Tests	(See legend b	pelow)	

Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1102396-001	MW-1	Water	2/11/2011		А	В										
1102396-002	MW-2	Water	2/11/2011		А	В										
1102396-003	MW-3	Water	2/11/2011		А	В										

Test Legend:

1	GAS8260_W	2	
6		7	
11		12	

2	TPH(D)_W
7	
12	

3	
8	

4	
9	

5	
10	

The following SampIDs: 001A, 002A, 003A contain testgroup.

Prepared by: Ana Venegas

Comments:

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense.



"When Ouality Counts"

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name: Stellar Environmental Solutions			Date a	Date and Time Received: 2/14/2011 4:54:59 PM					
Project Name: #2010-06; R&H Auto Repair			Check	dist completed and re	eviewed by: Ana Venegas				
WorkOrder N°: 1102396 Matrix Water			Carrie	r: <u>Rob Pringle (M</u>	AI Courier)				
Chain	of Cu	stody (CC	DC) Informa	ation					
Chain of custody present?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?	Yes		No 🗌						
Sample IDs noted by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Date and Time of collection noted by Client on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Sampler's name noted on COC?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
<u>Si</u>	ample	Receipt I	nformation	1					
Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler?	Yes		No 🗆		NA 🔽				
Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Samples in proper containers/bottles?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Sample containers intact?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?	Yes	✓	No 🗌						
Sample Preser	vation	and Hol	<u>d Time (HT</u>) Information					
All samples received within holding time?	Yes		No 🗌						
Container/Temp Blank temperature	Coole	r Temp:	3.6°C		NA 🗆				
Water - VOA vials have zero headspace / no bubbles?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	No VOA vials submi	tted 🗆				
Sample labels checked for correct preservation?	Yes	✓	No 🗌						
Metal - pH acceptable upon receipt (pH<2)?	Yes		No 🗆		NA 🗹				
Samples Received on Ice?	Yes	✓	No 🗆						
(Ісе Тур	e: WE	FICE)							
* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.									

Client contacted:

Date contacted:

Contacted by:

Comments:

McCampbell Analytical, Inc.					1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269					
Stellar Environmental Solutions Client Project ID:)6; R&H Auto	02/11/11				
		Repair				Date Received:	02/14/11			
2198 Sixth St. #201		Client Co	ntact: St	ave Rit	tman	Data Extracted:	02/15/11			
		Chemeter	mact. St	leve Dit	unan	Date Extracted.	02/13/11			
Berkeley, CA 94710		Client P.C).:			Date Analyzed:	02/15/11			
Extraction Method: SW5030B	Oxyge	nated Vola Anal	a tile Orga ytical Method	nics &	BTEX by GC/M 0B	IS*	Work Order:	1102396		
Lab ID	11023	96-001A	1102396	-002A	1102396-003A					
Client ID	MW-1 MW			-2	MW-3		Reporting	Limit for		
Matrix		W	W		W			-1		
DF	1 1				1		S	W		
Compound				Conce	entration		ug/kg	μg/L		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)]	ND	ND	1	ND		NA	0.5		
Benzene]	ND	ND	1	ND		NA	0.5		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)]	ND	ND		ND		NA	2.0		
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)]	ND	1.8		ND		NA	0.5		
Ethylbenzene]	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)]	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)]	ND	ND	1	ND		NA	0.5		
Toluene]	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
Xylenes	1	ND	ND		ND		NA	0.5		
		Surro	ogate Rec	overies	s (%)					
%SS1:		91	90		88					
%SS2:		102	102	2	102					
Comments										
* water and vapor samples are reported in extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe sample ND means not detected above the reportin Recovery of Surrogate Standard; DF = D	μg/L, so es in μg/ ng limit/ ilution F	il/sludge/so wipe. method dete actor	lid samples ection limit	in mg/kş ; N/A m	g, product/oil/non-a eans analyte not aj	queous liquid sample	es and all TC lysis; %SS =	LP & SPLP Percent		

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager

	McCampbell Analyti	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269						
Stellar E	nvironmental Solutions	Client Project ID:	Client Project ID: #2010-06; R&H Auto Date Sample			d: 02/11/11		
2198 Sixt	h St. #201	Repair	Date Receive	ed: 02	/14/11			
Client Contact: S			teve Bittman	Date Extracte	ed: 02/	/15/11		
Berkeley,	CA 94710	Client P.O.:		Date Analyz	ed 02/	/15/11		
Extraction me	thod SW5030B	TPH(g) by Purge & Analytical n	t Trap and GC/MS* nethods SW8260B		Wo	rk Order:	1102396	
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	TPH(g)		DF	% SS	Comments	
001A	MW-1	W	ND		1	107		
002A	MW-2	W	ND		1	107		
003A	MW-3	W	ND		1	107		
	Reporting Limit for DF =1;	W	50			μg/L		
	ND means not detected at or above the reporting limit	S	NA			NA		

* water and vapor samples are reported in µg/L, soil/sludge/solid samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples and all TCLP & SPLP extracts are reported in mg/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe.

ND means not detected above the reporting limit/method detection limit; N/A means analyte not applicable to this analysis.

surrogate diluted out of range or coelutes with another peak; &) low surrogate due to matrix interference.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard

DF = Dilution Factor



	CCampbell Analyti "When Ouality Counts"	1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Web: www.mccampbell.com E-mail: main@mccampbell.com Telephone: 877-252-9262 Fax: 925-252-9269						
Stellar Environmental Solutions		Client Project	ID:	#2010-06; R&H Auto	Date Sampled: 02/11/		02/11/1	1
2198 Sixth St.	#201	Kepan			Date Reco	eived:	02/14/1	1
		Client Contac	et: Ste	eve Bittman	Date Extr	acted:	02/14/1	1
Berkeley, CA	94710	Client P.O.:			Date Ana	lyzed	02/15/1	1-02/16/11
Extraction method	To SW3510C			Work Orde	er: 1102396			
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix		TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)		DF	% SS	Comments
1102396-001B	MW-1	W		ND		1	102	
1102396-002B	MW-2	w		ND		1	105	
1102396-003B	MW-3	W		ND		1	102	
Repo ND n	borting Limit for DF $=1$; neans not detected at or	W S		50 NA			μg N	/L A
abo	ove the reporting limit	5		1111			11.	

* water samples are reported in ug/L, wipe samples in µg/wipe, soil/solid/sludge samples in mg/kg, product/oil/non-aqueous liquid samples in mg/L, and all DISTLC / STLC / SPLP / TCLP extracts are reported in µg/L.

cluttered chromatogram resulting in coeluted surrogate and sample peaks, or; surrogate peak is on elevated baseline, or; surrogate has been diminished by dilution of original extract.

%SS = Percent Recovery of Surrogate Standard. DF = Dilution Factor

+The following descriptions of the TPH chromatogram are cursory in nature and McCampbell Analytical is not responsible for their interpretation:

Angela Rydelius, Lab Manager



"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8260B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water	QC Matrix: Water							BatchID: 56223			WorkOrder 1102396			
EPA Method SW8260B	Extrac	Extraction SW5030B							Spiked Sample ID: 1102361-005B					
Analyte	Sample	Spiked	MS	MSD	MS-MSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS-LCSD	Acc	eptance	e Criteria (%))		
, individ	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	RPD	LCS/LCSD	RPD		
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	ND	10	86.3	87.3	1.22	88.8	90.7	2.09	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
Benzene	ND	10	100	101	0.658	105	113	7.39	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	ND	50	81.2	80	1.47	91.1	89.7	1.55	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
Chlorobenzene	ND	10	98.6	98.8	0.221	107	115	6.65	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	10	94.6	93.8	0.831	111	115	3.12	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	ND	10	102	102	0	102	105	2.45	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	10	119	119	0	110	119	8.13	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
Diisopropyl ether (DIPE)	ND	10	109	109	0	107	112	4.32	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE)	ND	10	102	102	0	101	104	3.03	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	10	106	104	1.95	113	116	2.04	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
Toluene	ND	10	98.4	98.1	0.340	102	110	7.55	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
Trichloroethene	ND	10	103	102	0.734	109	117	7.06	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
%SS1:	96	25	97	96	0.488	87	87	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
%SS2:	101	25	102	101	0.933	102	102	0	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
%SS3:	88	2.5	83	80	3.72	86	84	1.60	70 - 130	30	70 - 130	30		
All target compounds in the Method I	Blank of this	extraction	batch we	re ND les	s than the	method R	L with th	e following	exceptions:					

BATCH 56223 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1102396-001A	02/11/11	02/15/11	02/15/11 8:12 PM	1102396-002A	02/11/11	02/15/11	02/15/11 8:53 PM
1102396-003A	02/11/11	02/15/11	02/15/11 9:36 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / (MS + MSD) * 2.

MS and / or MSD spike recoveries may not be near 100% or the RPDs near 0% if: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) if that specific sample matrix interferes with spike recovery. The LCS and LCSD are spikes into a clean, known, similar matrix and they and the surrogate standards reflect the overall validity of their extraction batch. Our control limits are 70-130% recovery and a 30% RPD for the LCS-LCSD and for the Surrogate Standards.





"When Ouality Counts"

QC SUMMARY REPORT FOR SW8015B

W.O. Sample Matrix: Water QC Matrix: Water						BatchID: 56227 WorkOrder 1102396					96	
EPA Method SW8015B	Extra	Extraction SW3510C Spiked Sample ID: N/A										
Analyte	Sample	Sample Spiked MS MSD MS-MSD LCS LCSD LCS-LCSD Acc						eptance Criteria (%)				
Analyte	µg/L	µg/L	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	% Rec.	% Rec.	% RPD	MS / MSD	MS / MSD RPD LCS/LCSD		
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	N/A	1000	N/A	N/A	N/A	103	103	0	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30
%SS:	N/A	625	N/A	N/A	N/A	102	101	0.517	N/A	N/A	70 - 130	30
All target compounds in the Method NONE	Blank of this	extraction	batch we	re ND les	s than the	method R	L with th	e following	exceptions:			

BATCH 56227 SUMMARY

Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed
1102396-001B	02/11/11	02/14/11	02/15/11 8:19 PM	1102396-002B	02/11/11	02/14/11	02/16/11 5:21 AM
1102396-003B	02/11/11	02/14/11	02/15/11 3:47 PM				

MS = Matrix Spike; MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate; LCS = Laboratory Control Sample; LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate; RPD = Relative Percent Deviation.

% Recovery = 100 * (MS-Sample) / (Amount Spiked); RPD = 100 * (MS - MSD) / ((MS + MSD) / 2).

MS / MSD spike recoveries and / or %RPD may fall outside of laboratory acceptance criteria due to one or more of the following reasons: a) the sample is inhomogenous AND contains significant concentrations of analyte relative to the amount spiked, or b) the spiked sample's matrix interferes with the spike recovery.

N/A = not enough sample to perform matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate.

NR = analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.

QA/QC Officer