

RECEIVED

Project No. **7828.000.001**

May 22, 2012

Dilan Roe Alameda County Environmental Health 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502-6577 1:39 pm, May 23, 2012

Alameda County Environmental Health

Subject: Jordan Ranch Property (Case # R00002918) Dublin, California

ADDENDUM TO SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION STATUS REPORT

References: ENGEO, Soil and Groundwater Remediation Status Report, Jordan Ranch, Dublin, California, ACEH Case No. R00002918, January 27, 2012.

Alameda County Environmental Health email correspondence, April 13, 2012.

Dear Ms. Roe:

On behalf of BJP-ROF Jordan Ranch, LLC, we are providing this addendum to the referenced remediation status report. The purpose of this addendum is to address comments received from Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) regarding the data presented in the remediation status report.

SOIL EXCAVATION DOCUMENTATION

We have revised the Figures (attached) to provide additional excavation details and photo documentation. Figure 2 lists the latitude and longitude of the lateral excavation limits, depicts the distance from the excavation to the site boundaries, and shows the location of product lines encountered during the excavation. Figure 3 provides a vertical profile of the excavation, and depicts the locations of excavation confirmation samples, soil staining, and the depth to the water table. A detailed discussion of the excavation observations and activities is provided in the remediation status report.

SOIL AND GROUNDWATER DATA

As discussed in the remediation status report, soil samples were collected from the sidewalls and base of the excavation, the non-impacted soil stockpile, the ex-situ treatment cell (preremediation) and ex-situ cell (post remediation). Table 1 (attached) provides a summary of the soil sample analytical data. Additionally, Table 2 (attached) provides a summary of all groundwater analytical data collected from the monitoring wells through January 2012. Grab groundwater analytical data from all previous investigations is summarized in the corrective action plan (CAP).

SOIL REMEDIATION AND REUSE

Soil generated during the remedial excavation was characterized and remediated in accordance with the *Region 2 Technical Reference Document, Characterization and Reuse of Petroleum Hydrocarbon Impacted Soil as Inert Waste, October 20, 2006* and the approved CAP.

As discussed in the remediation status report, the initial soil removed from the upper 5 feet of the excavation was screened with a photo ionization detector (PID). This soil exhibited no signs of impact and is believed to consist of topsoil that was used as backfill after the UST excavation. Therefore, this soil was segregated into a separate stockpile. We collected eight discrete samples from the 200 cubic yard (yd³) stockpile and submitted two 4 to 1 composite (SP 1-4/SP 5-8) for analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg), diesel (TPHd) by EPA Test Method 8015M, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by EPA Test Method 8260B. As shown in Table 1, the samples exhibited trace detections of TPHd well below the established cleanup goal.

Soil that was excavated between 5 feet below ground surface (bgs) and the base of the excavation was spread in the ex-situ treatment cell to undergo bioaugmentation. Following completion of the bioaugmentation treatment, we collected 18 discrete samples (DS1-18) from the 450 yd³ stockpile, based on the frequency of one sample per 25 yd³ required by EPA SW-846 (Figure 2). The 18 discrete samples were submitted for analysis of TPHg, TPHd, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and methyl tert butyl ether. As shown in Table 1, two samples locations (DS2/DS12) exhibited TPHd concentrations above the established cleanup goal. Approximately 12 yd³ and 18 yd³ were subsequently removed from locations DS2 and DS12; based on real-time monitoring with a PID. Approximately 42 tons of soil from these two locations was disposed at Hay Road Landfill. The remaining 16 sample locations exhibited concentrations less than the cleanup goals established in the CAP and the *Tier 1 limits* in *Table 2* of the *Technical Reference Document*. The remaining 420 yd³ is proposed for unrestricted reuse.

In accordance with *Section 6.0 Reporting Requirements*, items 1-9, and 11 are included in the remediation status report and this addendum, and will be provided to the RWQCB-Region 2 upon request. Items 10 and 12 are included in the signed owner's soil reuse statement (Appendix A).

POST-REMEDIATION GROUNDWATER MONITORING

A post-remediation groundwater monitoring event was conducted during the first quarter 2012. The groundwater first quarter analytical results are shown in comparison to the cleanup goals in Table 2 (attached). Subsequently, we cleared the obstruction from MW-4 and the well was sampled during the second quarter 2012. The first quarter and second quarter groundwater monitoring events will be summarized in separate quarterly monitoring reports. We contacted Zone 7 Water Agency to discuss the missing well MW-3. Zone 7 agreed that all possible methods for locating the well have been attempted. Zone 7 stated that when submitting the well

Alameda County Environmental Health Department Jordan Ranch Property ADDENDUM TO SOIL AND GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION STATUS REPORT

7828.000.001 May 22, 2012 Page 3

abandonment permit application for the other monitoring wells, it should be noted on the application that MW-3 cannot be located. At this time, we propose to not replace MW-3. Review of the historical groundwater data for MW-3 shows that detectable concentrations of TPHg and benzene were exhibited during only one of the four quarterly events. During the most recent sampling event, no detections were reported. Based on the analytical data, the groundwater plume appears to be located to the east of MW-3, and is adequately delineated by MW-1, MW-2, MW-4, and MW-5.

If you have any questions regarding this addendum, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

ENGEO Incorporated

Morgan Johnson, REA, QSD Environmental Scientist

HYDRO No. HG 413 Shawn Munger, CHG OF Principal

Attachments: Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Site Plan Figure 3 – Excavation Vertical Profile Figure 4 – Excavation Photograph Table 1 – Soil Remediation Analytical Data Table 2 – Cumulative Monitoring Well Analytical Data Appendix A – Owners' Signed Soil Reuse Statement Appendix B – Perjury Statement
Copies: Mr. Ravi Nandwana, BJP-ROF Jordan Ranch, LLC

Copies: Mr. Ravi Nandwana, BJP-ROF Jordan Ranch, LLC Mr. Kevin Fryer, BJP-ROF Jordan Ranch, LLC



FIGURES

Figure 1 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 2 – Site Plan Figure 3 – Excavation Vertical Profile Figure 4 – Excavation Photograph





-Expect Excell

G:\Drafting\DRAFTING2_Dwg\7828\000\GW-MONITORING\7828000001-2-SitePlan-0512.dwg Plot Date: 5-16-12 spatters

APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF PRE-BIOAUGMENTATION SAMPLE

BL-4

SITE PLAN	PROJECT NO.: 7828	ROJECT NO.: 7828.000.001				
JORDAN RANCH	SCALE: AS SHOW	2				
DUBLIN, CALIFORNIA	DRAWN BY: SRP	CHECKED BY: SM				



G: \Drafting\DRAFTING2_Dwg\7828\000\GW-MONITORING\7828000001-3-ExcavationVerticalProfile-0512.dwg Plot Date: 5-16-12 spatters

ORIGINAL FIGURE PRINTED IN COLOR





TABLES

Table 1 – Soil Remediation Analytical DataTable 2 – Cumulative Monitoring Well Analytical Data

TABLE 1 Soil Remediation Analytical Data Jordan Ranch

Cample ID	Date	Depth	Location	TPHg	TPHd	TPHmo	Bnz	Tol	EB	Xyl	n-B	sec-B	4-Iso	Iso	1,2,4-TMB	1,3,5-TMB	Nap	MTBE	n-Pro
Sample ID	Sampled	(ft bgs)	Location	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
SW-1	9/2/2011	8	NW Sidewall	4.8	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SW-2	9/2/2011	8	WS Sidewall	5.2	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SW-3	9/2/2011	8	SW Sidewall	4.6	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SW-4	9/2/2011	8	ES Sidewall	4.5	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SW-5	9/2/2011	8	SE Sidewall	4.1	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SW-6	9/2/2011	8	EN Sidewall	4.2	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SW-7	9/2/2011	8	NE Sidewall	4.2	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SW-8	9/2/2011	8	WN Sidewall	5.1	< 0.5	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
B-1A	9/6/2011	25	South Base	3,700	790	NA	<1	12	21	110	10	<1	<1	8.2	68	25	9.4	<1	15
B-2A	9/6/2011	25	South Base	1,800	65	NA	<1	15	25	140	8.6	<1	1.3	5	33	23	13	<1	11
B-3A	9/6/2011	25	North Base	480	47	NA	<1	3	9.4	49	3.1	<1	<1	<1	31	12	6.8	<1	4.7
B-4A	9/6/2011	25	North Base	480	110	NA	<1	3	4.6	27	3.8	<1	<1	<1	18	10	4.1	<1	4
SP 1-4	9/6/2011	0-0.5	Non Imp Stockpile	< 0.5	4.9	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
SP 5-8	9/6/2011	0-0.5	Non Imp Stockpile	< 0.5	4.6	NA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
BL-1	9/16/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Baseline	32	0.11	0.066	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	0.062	0.16	0.018	0.018	< 0.0047	0.39	0.3	0.2	< 0.0047	0.02
BL-2	9/16/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Baseline	0.019	0.034	<49	< 0.0047	< 0.0049	0.0073	< 0.00025	0.22	0.031	0.027	0.012	< 0.00013	0.00029	0.27	< 0.0049	0.036
BL-3	9/16/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Baseline	30	0.063	<50	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	0.033	0.45	0.32	0.054	0.045	0.024	0.42	0.73	1.1	< 0.0047	0.08
BL-4	9/16/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Baseline	12	15	<49	< 0.023	< 0.023	< 0.023	0.17	0.12	< 0.023	< 0.023	< 0.023	0.098	0.28	0.28	< 0.023	< 0.023
DS-1	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	32	NA	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0094	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0047	NA
DS-2*	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.25	170	NA	< 0.005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.01	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0005	NA
DS-3	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	92	NA	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	< 0.0096	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0048	NA
DS-4	11/29/2011	0.5-1	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.23	2.1	NA	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0094	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0047	NA
DS-5	11/29/2011	0.5-1	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	92	NA	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	< 0.0096	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0048	NA
DS-6	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.23	1.6	NA	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0091	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0046	NA
DS-7	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	92	NA	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0095	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0047	NA
DS-8	11/29/2011	0.5-1	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.23	< 0.99	NA	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0093	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0046	NA
DS-9	11/29/2011	0.5-1	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	1.6	13	NA	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	0.012	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0048	NA
DS-10	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	1.4	NA	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0047	< 0.0094	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0047	NA
DS-11	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	<1.1	41	NA	< 0.0049	0.22	< 0.0049	< 0.0098	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0049	NA
DS-12*	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	300	NA	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	< 0.0097	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0049	NA
DS-13	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	0.4	14	NA	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	< 0.0097	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0049	NA
DS-14	11/29/2011	0.5-1	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	3.1	NA	< 0.0047	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	< 0.0095	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0049	NA
DS-15	11/29/2011	1-1.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.23	< 0.98	NA	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0092	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0046	NA
DS-16	11/29/2011	0.5-1	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.25	4.8	NA	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	< 0.0049	< 0.0099	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0049	NA
DS-17	11/29/2011	1.5-2	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.23	1.7	NA	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0092	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0046	NA
DS-18	11/29/2011	0-0.5	Ex-Situ Cell-Post	< 0.24	4.5	NA	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	< 0.0048	< 0.0097	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.0048	NA
·	(Cleanup G	oal	100 ¹	100 ¹	100 ¹	0.0441	2.9 ¹	3.3 ¹	1.5 ¹	2.5 ²	2.5 ²	0.044 ¹	0.64 ²	0.0021 ²	0.0012^{2}	3.4 ³	0.023 ¹	0.99 ²
¹ Site specifi	Site specific cleanup level approved in the Corrective Action Plan																		

²EPA IV Regional Screening Level for groundwater protection

³Regional Water Quality Control Board Region 2 Environmental Screening Level for leaching to groundwater *Soil surrounding sample was excavated and transported to a landfill. NA-Not Analyzed



TABLE 2
Cumulative Monitoring Well Analytical Data
Jordan Ranch Monitoring Wells

Well ID	Date	TPHd (ug/L)	TPHg (ug/L)	Benzene (ug/L)	Toluene (ug/L)	Ethyl-Benzene (ug/L)	Total Xylenes (ug/L)	MTBE (ug/L)			
	12/6/2005	NA	64	2	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5			
	7/26/2006	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0			
MW-1	4/10/2008	NA	<50	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<50			
	8/24/2010	<50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<1.0	< 0.5			
	1/10/2012	<50	<50	< 0.5	1.1	1.1	2.4	< 0.5			
	12/6/2005	NA	3,400	470	<25	55	120	800			
	7/26/2006	150	650	130	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	510			
MW-2	4/10/2008	NA	8,700	1,600	350	370	790	810			
	8/24/2010	<50	15,000	780	93	1,200	2,600	170			
	1/10/2012	1.1	4,200	32	10	210	337	<4			
	12/6/2005	NA	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5			
	7/26/2006	<50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5.0			
MW-3	4/10/2008	NA	430	45	34	22	90	< 0.5			
	8/24/2010	<50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<1.0	< 0.5			
	1/10/2012			Well inadverta	Well inadvertantly covered by grading operations						
	12/6/2005	NA	70	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5			
	7/26/2006	<50	<50	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5			
MW-4	4/10/2008	NA	830	29	19	16	54	1,200			
	8/24/2010	<50	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	80			
	1/10/2012	Obstruction in well casing									
	12/6/2005	NA	53,000	13,000	1,300	930	4,400	7,000			
	7/26/2006	560	15,000	4,100	580	200	870	2,200			
MW-5	4/10/2008	NA	66,000	24,000	7,600	2,200	9,200	<130			
	8/24/2010	<50	74,000	7,500	11,000	2,700	13,000	100			
	1/10/2012	2.1	60,000	1,600	3,700	1,800	5,400	<4			
Cleanup Goal		210 ¹	100 ²	1 ²	150 ²	300 ²	1,750 ²	13 ³			

NOTES:

TPHg = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline

TPHd = Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether

(ug/L) = micrograms per liter or parts per billion

¹Regional Water Quality Control Board R2 Environmental Screening Level for Drinking Water Table F-3

²Cleanup goal approved in Corrective Action Plan

³California Department of Public Health Maximum Contaminant Level





APPENDIX A

Owners' Signed Soil Reuse Statement

7828.000.001 May 22, 2012

Owner's Soil Reuse Statement

The 420 cubic yards of petroleum impacted hydrocarbon soil (PHIS) proposed for resuse meets the definition of inert waste based on characterization and comparison to Tier 1 limits in accordance with the Region 2 Technical Reference Document, Characterization and Reuse of Petroleum Hydrocarbon Impacted Soil and Inert Waste, October 20, 2006. The PHIS will be buried at least three feet beneath the ground surface, at least five feet above the groundwater table, at least 100 feet from a surface water body, not within a floodplain, and will be protected against erosion.

Submitted by Responsible Party: Aut-A_

Robert PaoadovicH BJP-ROF Jordan Ranch, LLC 5000 Hopyard Road, #170 Pleasanton, CA 94588





APPENDIX B

Perjury Statement

7828.000.001 May 22, 2012 Subject: Jordan Ranch Property – Former Leaking Underground Storage Tank Dublin, California

PERJURY STATEMENT

"I declare, that to the best of my knowledge at the present time, the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document are true and correct."

Submitted by Responsible Party: A, +A

Robert PADAJOVICH BJP-ROF Jordan Ranch, LLC 5000 Hopyard Road, #170 Pleasanton, CA 94588