

**From:** [Ravi Nandwana](#)  
**To:** [Shawn Munger](#); [Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health](#)  
**Cc:** [Morgan Johnson](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Jordan Ranch  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 13, 2015 9:54:22 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

We agree with this approach and would like to get complete/unconditional closure on this property as soon as possible.

Thank you,

Ravi Nandwana  
5000 Hopyard Road, Suite 170  
Pleasanton, CA 94588  
(925) 892-1218 direct  
(925) 683-9321 cell

---

**From:** Shawn Munger [mailto:SMUNGER@engeo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 13, 2015 8:20 AM  
**To:** 'Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health'  
**Cc:** Ravi Nandwana; Morgan Johnson  
**Subject:** RE: Jordan Ranch

Will go with the offhaul.

We will prepare a workplan for your review.

Thank You,

**Shawn Munger**  
ENGEO Incorporated

---

**From:** Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health [mailto:[jerry.wickham@acgov.org](mailto:jerry.wickham@acgov.org)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 13, 2015 8:05 AM  
**To:** Shawn Munger  
**Subject:** RE: Jordan Ranch

Shawn,

A report on reuse of the soil after construction would still be required for alternative 1 below.

For alternative 2, we would not require a report on reuse since the soil would be disposed. We would just need the confirmation sample results and documentation from the disposal. If you would like to implement this option, I suggest submitting a brief plan regarding the confirmation sampling.

Regards,  
Jerry Wickham  
Alameda County Environmental Health  
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway  
Alameda, CA 94502  
510-567-6791  
[jerry.wickham@acgov.org](mailto:jerry.wickham@acgov.org)

---

**From:** Shawn Munger [<mailto:SMUNGER@engeo.com>]

**Sent:** Monday, January 12, 2015 9:08 AM

**To:** Wickham, Jerry, Env. Health

**Subject:** Jordan Ranch

**Importance:** High

Good Morning Jerry,

I have discussed your most recent letter with the owner and the highlighted requirement for the "reuse implementation report" is problematic.(see attachment)

Basically, Mr. Nandwana has indicated he cannot facilitate a sale with a prospective developer with this encumbrance on the property. It will be several years before the site is graded and constructed; therefore, this implantation report could not be issued until after construction.

He asked that I discuss two alternatives with you:

1. Re-test the treated soil. If concentrations are below detection limits would you remove the requirement for the report?
2. Off haul the treated soil and recover confirmation samples across the footprint of the treatment cell. I assume you could remove the requirement for the report based on this scenario.

Let me know your position with regard to these two alternatives.

Thank You,

**Shawn Munger, CHG, PG, EM**

Principal



2213 Plaza Drive  
Rocklin, CA 95765  
(916) 786-8883  
Direct: (916) 580-6535  
Mobile: (916) 416-9000  
(888) 279-2698 Fax

[www.engeo.com](http://www.engeo.com)



If you have feedback for ENGEO please take a moment and fill out a quick [survey](#)

\*Voted one of the Top 10 Best Places to Work in the United States of America!\*

\*\*\*\*\*Due to the potential that information by electronic media can deteriorate, be damaged, lost or modified unintentionally or otherwise, use of this electronic data by anyone other than ENGEO Incorporated shall be at the sole risk of such user and without liability or legal exposure to ENGEO Incorporated. The recipient is responsible for verifying the accuracy of data against governing hard copy documentation. Recipient assumes all risks in the changing or modification of data and revisions or updating of hard copy documents. \*\*\*\*\*