

RECEIVED

8:45 am, Apr 13, 2010

Alameda County
Environmental Health

RECEIVED APR - 2 2010

March 26, 2010

Jerry Wickham
Alameda County Environmental Health Svcs
1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250
Alameda, CA 94502-6577


Re: Transmittal Letter
Site Location: Springtown Gas
909 Blue Bell Drive, Livermore, CA 94551

Dear Mr. Wickham:

On behalf of Aminifilibadi Masood & Amini Sharbano, Geological Technics Inc. (GTI) prepared the 1st Quarter Groundwater Monitoring Report, dated March 26, 2010 that was sent to your office via electronic delivery per Alameda County's guidelines on April 5, 2010.

I declare under penalty of law that the information and/or recommendations contained in the above referenced document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Respectfully submitted,



Aminifilibadi Masood/Amini Sharbano
Property Owner
909 Blue Bell Drive
Livermore, CA 94551

Geological Technics Inc. _____

REPORT

**Groundwater Monitoring
1st Quarter 2010**

**Springtown Gas
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California**

Project No. 1409.2
March 26, 2010

**Prepared for:
Masood Amini Filibadi and Shahrbanoo Amini
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California 95353**

**Prepared by:
Geological Technics Inc.
1172 Kansas Avenue
Modesto, California 95351
(209) 522-4119
www.gtienv.com**

Geological Technics Inc.

1172 Kansas Avenue
Modesto, California 95351
(209) 522-4119/Fax (209) 522-4227
www.gtienv.com

March 26, 2010

Project No.: 1409.2
Project Name: Springtown Gas (Bluebell)

Masood Amini Filibadi and Shahrbanoo Amini
Springtown Gas
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California 94551

RE: Report – 1st Quarter 2010 Groundwater Monitoring
Springtown Gas, 909 Bluebell Drive, Livermore, California

Dear Masood Amini Filibadi and Shahrbanoo Amini:

Geological Technics Inc. (GTI) has prepared the following Report for the 1st Quarter 2010 groundwater monitoring event performed on February 10, 2010 at Springtown Gas, 909 Bluebell Drive, Livermore, California.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (209) 522-4119.

Respectfully submitted,



Tamorah Bryant, P.E.

cc: Jerry Wickham – ACEHS
USTCFP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0	PHYSICAL SETTING	2
3.0	GROUNDWATER MONITORING	3
3.1	Groundwater Elevation and Flow Direction.....	3
3.2	Groundwater Sampling Procedure.....	3
3.3	Laboratory Analyses.....	4
4.0	CONCLUSIONS	4
5.0	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	5
6.0	LIMITATIONS.....	6
7.0	CERTIFICATION	6

FIGURES

VICINITY MAP	1
GROUNDWATER GRADIENT MAP – February 10, 2010	2
GROUNDWATER GRADIENT ROSE DIAGRAM	3
MTBE CONTOUR MAP	4
TBA CONTOUR MAP	5

APPENDICES

SUMMARY TABLES	A
Table 1: Summary of Groundwater Elevation	
Table 2: Summary of Groundwater Analytical Data	
Table 3: Summary of Water Quality Parameter Data	
Table 4: Summary of Monitoring Well Completion Data	
CERTIFIED LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS	B
GROUNDWATER MONITORING FIELD LOGS	C

Geological Technics Inc.

1172 Kansas Avenue
Modesto, California 95351
(209) 522-4119/Fax (209) 522-4227

REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring 1st Quarter 2010

**Springtown Gas
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California**

Project No. 1409.2
March 26, 2010

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes the results of the 1st Quarter 2010 groundwater monitoring and sampling event that took place on February 10, 2010 at Springtown Gas, 909 Bluebell Drive, Livermore, Alameda County, California (Site).

The average groundwater elevation at the site was 512.51 feet above mean sea level (AMSL) and the groundwater flow was variable for this event. This was the fourth monitoring event in which well P-1 was incorporated into the contours. The additional data point shows that the core of MTBE and TBA plumes are centered on well P-1 whereas before they were centered on STMW-1.

The results of analyses conducted on groundwater samples collected from the four monitoring wells (STMW-1, STMW-2, STMW-3 and P-1) did not detect total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-G) above laboratory reporting limits. Please note that reporting limits for constituents reported in P-1 were elevated due to the 1 to 5 dilution that the California Certified Laboratory applied to the groundwater samples.

The Oxidation Reduction Potential (ORP) factor is consistent with recent data.

During the 1st Quarter 2010, GTI has implemented the Additional Site Characterization and Interim Remedial Action Work Plan that was approved by ACHCSA in their correspondence dated March 13, 2009. The Geoprobe, CPT investigation, and monitoring well installation

were conducted in February and March, 2010, and the hydrogen peroxide injections are anticipated in March and April. A report of findings will be forthcoming.

The following recommendations are made:

- Continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring as directed in the ACEHS correspondence dated July 2009,
- Proceed with hydrogen peroxide injection pilot test as directed.

2.0 PHYSICAL SETTING

The Site is situated in a mixed commercial-residential land-use area of Livermore, California, located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Springtown Boulevard and Blue Bell Drive, approximately 300 feet north of westbound Interstate 580 (Figure 1). The Site occupies approximately 0.74 acres, and is currently an operating service station with mini-mart retailing Chevron-branded gasoline and diesel fuel products. The site contains one UST cluster in the east portion of the Site consisting of one 12,000 gallon capacity unleaded gasoline UST, and a 12,000 gallon capacity segmented UST storing 6,000 gallons of diesel and 6,000 gallons of premium unleaded. A single story mini-mart is located on the southern portion of the Site, and six canopied fuel dispensers are located in the north portion of the Site. No automotive repair facilities exist on the Site. The Site is adjoined by Springtown Boulevard on the west, motel properties on the south and east, and Bluebell Drive on the north. Retail land-use is located on the north side of Bluebell Drive, with residential land-use beyond to the north and northeast.

The Site is located at an elevation of approximately 520 feet above mean sea level in the northeast portion of the Livermore Valley (USGS 1981). The Livermore Valley is a structural basin bounded by faults on the east and west that create the Altamont Hills uplift on the east and the Pleasanton Ridge uplift on the west (CDM&G, 1991). The shallow Pleistocene to recent sediments underlying the basin consist of alluvial deposits that have been informally divided into upper and lower units. The sediment, ranging from coarse-grained gravel to fine-grained mud, was transported northward from the Northern Diablo Range on the southern margin of the basin and deposited in an alluvial fan, braided stream, and lacustrine environments. Because the sediment prograded northward, the coarse-grained sediment makes up nearly 80% of the sediment in the southern part of the basin, but northward and westward interfingers with clay deposits that may be as much as 30 feet thick (DWR, 2004).

Drainages from the south, north, and east converge in the western part of the basin and flow out of the basin toward the Sunol Valley and Alameda Creek west of Pleasanton Ridge. The nearest surface drainages are Las Positas Creek located approximately 1 mile west of the Site, and Cavetano Creek 2 miles west of the Site (USGS 1981).

The alluvial fan, braided stream and lacustrine deposits are the principal aquifers for most domestic and irrigation purposes in the Livermore Valley, although the underlying Livermore

Formation, which may be as much as 4,000 feet thick, yields significant quantities of groundwater on the eastern side of the basin (DWR 2004).

3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING

3.1 Groundwater Elevation and Flow Direction

The average groundwater elevation for the 1st Quarter 2010 monitoring event was 512.51 feet AMSL on February 10, 2010, which corresponds to approximately 6.61 feet below ground surface (bgs). This elevation represents an increase of 1.72 feet since the 3rd Quarter 2009 monitoring event (September 8, 2009), and an elevation decrease of 0.30 feet since the 1st Quarter 2009 monitoring event (March 10, 2009). The groundwater gradient for the 1st Quarter 2010 groundwater monitoring event was variable, which was inconsistent with the previous three events. Groundwater gradient was typically north westerly before data from monitoring well P-1 was incorporated into the calculations.

The gradient direction for the 1st Quarter 2010 groundwater monitoring event is shown on Figure 2 (Groundwater Gradient). The calculated groundwater gradient and flow direction is shown on Figure 3 (Groundwater Gradient Rose Diagram). The groundwater elevation data are summarized in Table 1 included in Appendix A. Table 4 provides a summary of monitoring well completion data.

3.2 Groundwater Sampling Procedure

The 1st Quarter 2010 groundwater monitoring event was conducted on February 10, 2010. GTI monitored groundwater elevations and collected groundwater samples for analyses from four groundwater monitoring wells on the Site. Depth to water in each monitoring well was measured and recorded before groundwater samples were collected from the wells. The wells were purged of at least three well volumes of stagnant water using dedicated Waterra® foot valves and tubing. Purging continued until the temperature, conductivity, and pH of the groundwater stabilized (<10% variation in three consecutive readings), indicating that formation water representative of aquifer conditions was entering the wells. These water quality parameters were measured at intervals of each well volume purged. All purge water was placed in a 55-gallon DOT drums and secured on-site.

Before a sample was collected from each well, the water level was allowed to recharge to at least 80% of its initial level. Dedicated tubing attached to Waterra® foot valves were used to collect groundwater samples from the monitoring wells. The samples were placed into 40-ml VOA vials preserved with hydrochloric acid. Care was taken to minimize sample aeration during sample collection and avoid generating headspace. All samples were checked for the presence of headspace, labeled, recorded on a chain-of-custody, and placed in an ice chest cooled to 4°C for transport to the analytical laboratory. All non-disposable sampling equipment was decontaminated in an Alconox solution and double-rinsed with de-ionized water before initial use and between uses at each monitoring well.

Groundwater monitoring field logs are included in Appendix C. A summary of Water Quality Parameter Data is included in Table 3 of Appendix A.

3.3 Laboratory Analyses

The groundwater samples collected on February 10, 2010, were delivered to Argon Laboratories of Ceres, California (ELAP #2359) for the following analyses:

The laboratory utilized USEPA Method 8260B to analyze the groundwater samples for the following constituents:

- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-G),
- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX),
- Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MtBE), and,
- Di-isopropyl alcohol (DIPE), ethyl-tertiary butyl ether (EtBE), tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), 1,2-dibromoethane (EDB), tert butyl alcohol (TBA), methanol and ethanol

The results and detection limits for the above analyses are listed in Table 2 included in Appendix A. Certified analytical reports are included in Appendix B.

As required under AB2886, the groundwater elevation and laboratory analytical data were submitted electronically to GeoTracker on March 26, 2010 for the groundwater elevation data, (confirmation number 3745629848), and the laboratory analytical data (confirmation number 9605302214).

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The results of the 1st Quarter 2010 groundwater monitoring event indicate the following:

- The average groundwater elevation at the site was 512.51 feet AMSL and the groundwater flow was variable for this event.
- The groundwater gradient and the direction of groundwater flow for the 1st Quarter 2010 monitoring event is consistent with the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quarters of 2009 and inconsistent with the gradients and groundwater flow directions during the first five quarters due to the addition of the new monitoring well P-1, which shows a higher groundwater elevation at this point.
- The results of analyses conducted on groundwater samples collected from the four monitoring wells (STMW-1, STMW-2, STMW-3 and P-1) did not detect total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-G) above laboratory reporting limits. Please note that reporting limits for constituents reported in P-1 were elevated due to the 1 to 5 dilution that the California Certified Laboratory applied to the groundwater samples.
- Concentrations of Methyl tertiary Butyl Ether (MtBE) were detected in groundwater samples collected from three of the sites four monitoring wells STMW-1 (32 µg/l),

STMW-3 (44 µg/l) and P-1 (110 µg/l). Figure 4 is a contour map showing the distribution of MtBE concentrations for the 1st Quarter 2010 monitoring event. The contours suggest the MtBE groundwater plume is localized in the vicinity of monitoring well P-1.

- Concentrations of Tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA) were detected in groundwater samples collected from the sites four monitoring wells STMW-1 (28 µg/l), STMW-2 (110 µg/l), STMW-3 (610 µg/l) and P-1 (5,200 µg/l). Figure 5 is a contour map showing the distribution of TBA concentrations for the 1st Quarter 2010 event. The contours mirror the same conclusion as for the MtBE groundwater plume, the TBA groundwater plume is localized in the vicinity of monitoring well P-1.
- Concentrations of di-isopropyl alcohol (DIPE), ethyl-tertiary butyl ether (EtBE), tert-amyl-methyl ether (TAME), 1,2-dichloroethane (1,2-DCA), 1,2-dibromoethane (EDB), methanol, ethanol, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX) were not detected in groundwater samples collected from the sites four monitoring wells. Please note that reporting limits for constituents reported in P-1 were elevated for the second consecutive quarter due to the 1 to 5 dilution that the California Certified Laboratory applied to the groundwater samples.
- Concentrations of MtBE and TBA detected in the groundwater samples collected from the sites four monitoring wells STMW-1, STMW-2, STMW-3 and P-1 are consistent with historical trends dating back to November 20, 2008.
- Dissolved Oxygen (DO) concentrations increased during the hydrogen peroxide injection pilot test in the injection wells and two extraction wells at the site and depleted to lower levels again after the pilot test was done.
- The Oxidation Reduction Potential (ORP) factor is consistent with recent data.
- During the 1st Quarter 2010, GTI has implemented the Additional Site Characterization and Interim Remedial Action Work Plan that was approved by ACHCSA in their correspondence dated March 13, 2009. The Geoprobe, CPT investigation, and monitoring well installation was conducted in February and March, 2010, and the hydrogen peroxide injections are anticipated in March and April. A report of findings will be forthcoming.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- In response to State Water Resources Control Board Resolution No. 2009-0042, *Actions to Improve Administration of the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Cleanup Fund and UST Cleanup Program*, we recommend to revise the groundwater monitoring schedule as directed by ACEHS in their July, 2009 correspondence and continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring during the 1st and 3rd quarters for this Site.
- Begin hydrogen peroxide injection pilot test as tentatively scheduled.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared in accordance with the generally accepted standard of care and practice in effect at the time Services were rendered. It should be recognized that definition and evaluation of environmental conditions is an inexact science and that the state or practice of environmental geology/hydrology is changing and evolving and that standards existing at the present time may change as knowledge increases and the state of the practice continues to improve. Further, that differing subsurface soil characteristics can be experienced within a small distance and therefore cannot be known in an absolute sense. All conclusions and recommendations are based on the available data and information.

The tasks proposed and completed during this project were reviewed and approved by the local regulatory agency for compliance with the law. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

7.0 CERTIFICATION

This report was prepared by:



Michael van den Enden, B.S.c
Geology



This report was prepared under the direction of:





Tamorah Bryant, P.E.



Figure 1: Vicinity Map
Springtown Gas (Blue Bell)
909 Blue Bell Drive
Livermore, California
Project No. 1409.2





- LEGEND**
- SOIL BORING
 - ⊕ MONITORING WELL
 - ⊗ UST MONITORING WELL
 - ⊗ EXTRACTION WELL
 - ⊘ CPT BORING
 - PROPOSED PILOT TEST INJECTION WELL

By:	MV
Job No:	1409.2
Date:	02/22/10
Scale:	1"=30'
File:	14092 site plan


Geological Technics, Inc.

1172 Kansas Avenue
Modesto, CA 95351
209.522.4119 (tel)
209.522.4227 (fax)


FIGURE 2: Groundwater Gradient Map

SPRINGTOWN GAS (BLUEBELL)
909 BLUEBELL DRIVE
LIVERMORE, CA

Page 1 of 1



Date	Slope	Bearing
1. 9/04/07	0.006 ft/ft	N66°W
2. 12/10/07	0.004 ft/ft	N62°W
3. 9/25/08	0.003 ft/ft	N54°W
4. 12/29/08	0.004 ft/ft	N64°W
5. 3/10/09	variable	variable
6. 6/10/09	variable	variable
7. 9/08/09	variable	variable
8. 2/10/10	variable	variable





LEGEND

- SOIL BORING
- ⊕ MONITORING WELL
- ⊗ UST MONITORING WELL
- ⊗ EXTRACTION WELL
- ⊗ CPT BORING
- PROPOSED PILOT TEST INJECTION WELL

By: MV
Job No: 1409.2 Date: 2/22/10
Scale: 1"=30'
File: 14092 site plan

Geological Technics, Inc.
 1172 Kansas Avenue
 Modesto, CA 95351
 209.522.4119 (tel)
 209.522.4227 (fax)

FIGURE 3: Groundwater Gradient Rose Diagram
 SPRINGTOWN GAS (BLUEBELL)
 909 BLUEBELL DRIVE
 LIVERMORE, CA



LEGEND

- SOIL BORING
- ⊕ MONITORING WELL
- ⊗ UST MONITORING WELL
- ⊗ EXTRACTION WELL
- ⊗ CPT BORING
- PROPOSED PILOT TEST INJECTION WELL



By: MV
Job No: 1409.2 Date: 02/22/10
Scale: 1"=30'
File: 14092 site plan

Geological Technics, Inc.

1172 Kansas Avenue
Modesto, CA 95351
209.522.4119 (tel)
209.522.4227 (fax)

FIGURE 4: MTBE Contour Map

SPRINGTOWN GAS (BLUEBELL)
909 BLUEBELL DRIVE
LIVERMORE, CA



LEGEND

- SOIL BORING
- ⊕ MONITORING WELL
- ⊗ UST MONITORING WELL
- ⊗ EXTRACTION WELL
- ⊘ CPT BORING
- PROPOSED PILOT TEST INJECTION WELL

By:	MV
Job No:	1409.2 Date: 02/22/10
Scale:	1"=30'
File:	14092 site plan

Geological Technics, Inc.
 1172 Kansas Avenue
 Modesto, CA 95351
 209.522.4119 (tel)
 209.522.4227 (fax)

FIGURE 5: TBA Contour Map
 SPRINGTOWN GAS (BLUEBELL)
 909 BLUEBELL DRIVE
 LIVERMORE, CA

Page 1 of 1

Appendix A

Summary Tables

**Table 1
Summary of Groundwater Elevation**

Springtown Gas
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California

Date		STMW-1	STMW1	STMW-2	STMW2	STMW-3	STMW3	P-1	P-1	Avg GW	AVG GW	GW Gradient	
		GW Elev	DTW	GW Elev	DTW	GW Elev	DTW	GW Elev	DTW	Elev	DTW	Slope	Direction
	top of casing*	517.55		519.59		520.37		518.93				ft/ft	
9/4/2007		510.97	6.58	511.59	8.00	510.85	9.52			511.14		0.006	N66°W
12/10/07		511.29	6.26	511.59	8.00	511.25	9.12			511.38		0.004	N62°W
09/25/08		510.69	6.86	510.9	8.69	510.65	9.72	-	-	510.75		0.003	N54°W
11/20/08		510.81	6.74	511.17	8.42	510.82	9.55	-	-	510.93		0.004	N60°W
12/29/08		511.60	5.95	511.9	7.69	511.50	8.87	-	-	511.67		0.004	N64°W
03/10/09		512.60	4.95	512.99	6.60	512.44	7.93	513.20	5.73	512.81	6.30	variable	variable
06/10/09		510.90	6.65	511.21	8.38	510.84	9.53	511.50	7.43	511.11	8.00	variable	variable
09/08/09		510.62	6.93	510.78	8.81	510.59	9.78	511.17	7.76	510.79	8.32	variable	variable
02/10/10		512.39	5.16	512.68	6.91	512.00	8.37	512.95	5.98	512.51	6.61	variable	variable
Historical										511.45	7.31	0.004	N61°W

*TOC elevations surveyed on 9/06/07 by Muir Consulting Inc. NAD 83 and NGVD 29

**Gradient and slope determined from computer generated contours

-" Well P-1 not surveyed until 2/03/09

Table 2
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Data

Springtown Gas
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California

MONITORING WELL	Date	TPHg	B	T	E	X	MtBE	TBA	DIPE	EtBE	TAME	1,2-DCA	EDB	Methanol	Ethanol
		ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l
STMW-1	9/4/2007	220	<10	<10	<10	<10	850	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12/10/2007	210	<5	<5	<5	<5	540	4,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	9/25/2008	230	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	204	704	<0.5	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<20
	11/20/2008	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	14	930	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-	-	-
	12/29/2008	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	15	1,000	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	3/10/2009	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	29	3,000	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	6/10/2009	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	60	3,800	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	9/8/2009	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	52	190	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	2/10/2010	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	32	28	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	STMW-2	9/4/2007	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	42	-	-	-	-	-	-
12/10/2007		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9/25/2008		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<0.5	71	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<20
11/20/2008		90	1.7	6.9	1.7	7.6	2.2	190	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-	-	-
12/29/2008		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	<0.5	56	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
3/10/2009		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	1.5	96	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
6/10/2009		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	1.1	43	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
9/8/2009		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	<0.5	45	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
2/10/2010		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	<0.5	110	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
STMW-3		9/4/2007	59	<1	<1	<1	<1	160	120	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12/10/2007	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	17	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	9/25/2008	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	67	31.7	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<20
	11/20/2008	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	12	<5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-	-	-	-
	12/29/2008	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	2.2	<5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	3/10/2009	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	3	95	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	6/10/2009	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	8.3	45	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	9/8/2009	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	11	29	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	2/10/2010	<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	44	610	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
	P1	11/20/2008	<50	<5	<5	<5	<10	180	2,300	<5	<5	<5	-	-	-
12/29/2008		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	120	3,900	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
3/10/2009		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	240	9,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
6/10/2009		<50	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<1.0	250	6,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<50	<5
9/8/2009		<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<5.0	180	2,900	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<250	<25
2/10/2010		<250	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<5.0	110	5,200	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<250	<25

- Notes:
- TPHg Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline
 - TPHd Total petroleum hydrocarbon:
 - B Benzene
 - T Toluene
 - E Ethylbenzene
 - X Total xylenes
 - MtBE Methyl tertiary butyl ether
 - TBA Tert-butyl alcohol
 - DIPE Di-isopropyl ether
 - EtBE Ethyl-tertiary butyl ether
 - TAME Tert-amyl-methyl ether
 - 1,2-DCA 1,2-Dichloroethane
 - EDB 1,2-Dibromoethane
 - bgs below ground surface
 - ug/l micrograms per liter
 - Not analyzed or not reported

**Table 3
Summary of Water Quality Parameter Data**

Springtown Gas
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California

Monitoring Well	STMW-1						STMW-2						STMW-3						
	Date	pH	E.C.	°C	°F	ORP	DO	pH	E.C.	°C	°F	ORP	DO	pH	E.C.	°C	°F	ORP	DO
9/4/2007	6.37	1462	21.4	70.5	NM	NM		6.43	1405	21.1	70.0	NM	NM	6.14	2115	20	68.0	NM	NM
12/10/2007	6.92	1090	18.5	65.3	NM	NM		7.02	1074	19.8	67.6	NM	NM	6.77	1267	NM	NM	NM	NM
9/25/2008	7.22	1706	21.63	70.9	48.3	0.38		7.15	1652	21.26	70.3	34	0.7	6.84	1838	20.32	68.6	60.2	0.84
10/2/2008	7.16	1701	21.57	70.8	45.6	0.68		7.07	1650	21.14	70.1	51.8	0.58	6.82	1892	20.47	68.8	156	1.81
10/9/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
10/16/2008	7.53	970	21.48	70.7	71.6	36.39		7.07	1611	21.35	70.4	56.7	0.21	7.38	656	20.64	69.2	66.6	37.4
10/23/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
10/30/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
11/6/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
11/20/2008	7.36	1554	20.74	69.3	208.3	11.17		7.20	1782	21.21	70.2	211.4	1.13	7.88	771	20.63	69.1	194.6	15.53
12/29/2008	7.78	1685	18.61	65.5	168.8	41.24		7.64	1577	20.21	68.4	66.9	2.04	7.55	1196	19.69	67.4	141.5	32.54
3/10/2009	7.23	1861	16.14	61.1	401.3	20.56		7.31	1600	17.94	64.3	372.9	0.67	7.10	1555	17.29	63.1	509.3	7.17
6/10/2009	7.24	1624	18.76	65.8	469.2	12.69		7.30	1548	18.58	65.4	348.7	0.38	7.08	1476	17.97	64.3	557.5	2.17
9/8/2009	7.07	NM	21.66	71.0	544.3	NM		7.22	NM	20.88	69.6	250.1	NM	6.83	NM	20.15	68.3	564.2	NM
2/10/2010	7.35	1660	17.09	62.8	531.3	6.77		7.30	1618	18.71	65.7	394.4	0.87	7.20	1642	17.99	64.4	469.0	0.89

Monitoring Well	P-1						VE-1						VE-2							
	Date	pH	E.C.	°C	°F	ORP	DO	pH	E.C.	°C	°F	ORP	DO	pH	E.C.	°C	°F	ORP	DO	
9/4/2007	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
12/10/2007	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
9/25/2008	7.2	1941	20.6	69.1	50.3	1.19		6.9	2072	22.8	73.0	-44.9	3.07	7.1	1933	21.67	71.0	-13.6	6.48	
10/2/2008	7.1	1893	20.44	68.8	59.6	1.18		7.18	1780	22.02	71.6	2.1	8.29	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	
10/9/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	
10/16/2008	7.75	1285	20.61	69.1	85.9	18.23		6.84	1668	22.29	72.1	3.3	1.53	7.16	1912	21.38	70.5	-1.1	7.25	
10/23/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	7.42	1924	19.91	67.8	49.6	8.48	
10/30/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	7.81	1052	20.05	68.1	164.0	172.1	
11/6/2008	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	7.13	1329	19.94	67.9	183.5	9.77	
11/20/2008	7.99	1392	19.96	67.9	180	8.19		6.99	1960	18.91	66.0	38.6	4.82	6.89	1593	19.47	67.0	224.5	9.09	
12/29/2008	7.99	1766	18.99	66.2	285.5	43.92		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	
3/10/2009	7.30	1797	16.81	62.3	473.9	3.03		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	
6/10/2009	7.34	1795	17.85	64.1	455.7	1.09		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	
9/8/2009	7.14	NM	19.98	68.0	312.2	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	
2/10/2010	7.42	1658	17.22	63.0	139.0	0.85		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	

notes:
E.C. Electrical conductivity
°C Degrees centigrade
°F Degrees fahrenheit
ORP Oxygen reduction potential
DO Dissolved oxygen
NM Not measured

**Table 4
Summary of Monitoring Well Completion Data**

Springtown Gas
909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, California

Well Number	Status	Date Drilled	Total Depth (ft)	Boring Diameter (in)	Well Casing Diameter (in)	Casing Type	Slot Size (in)	Sand Type	Well Screen		Filter Pack		Annular Seal		Grout Seal	
									From	To	From	To	From	To	From	To
STMW-1	Active	8/23/2007	20.00	10	2	PVC	0.02	#2/12	10	20	20	8	8	7	7	0
STMW-2	Active	8/23/2007	20.00	10	2	PVC	0.02	#2/12	10	20	20	8	8	7	7	0
STMW-3	Active	8/23/2007	20.00	10	2	PVC	0.02	#2/12	10	20	20	8	8	7	7	0
P1	Active	9/19/2008	20.00	10	4	PVC	0.02	#3/12	10	20	20	8	8	7	7	0

Appendix B

Laboratory Analytical Data Sheets

argon laboratories

18 February 2010

GTI
Geological Technics, Inc.
1101 7th Street
Modesto, CA 95354

RE: Springtown Gas Project Data


Enclosed are the results for sample(s) received on 02/11/10 08:07 by Argon Laboratories. The sample(s) were analyzed according to instructions in accompanying chain-of-custody. Results are summarized on the following pages.

Please see quality control report for a summary of QC data pertaining to this project.

The sample(s) will be stored for 30 days after completion of analysis, then disposed of in accordance with State and Federal regulations. Sample(s) may be archived by prior arrangement.

Thank you for the opportunity to service the needs of your company.

Sincerely,



Hiram Cueto
Lab Manager

Geological Technics Inc.

1172 Kansas Avenue
 Modesto, CA
 (209) 522-4119 Fax 522-4227
 E-mail: gti@gtienv.com



Chain of Custody

Project #: 1409.2		Client/Project Name: Springtown Gas		No. of Containers	Matrix (Soil, Water, Gas, Other)	Preservation Type	*Method 8260B	Analysis Requested										Laboratory: Argon	
Site Address: 909 Bluebell Dr. Livermore, CA		Global ID No.: T06019716197																Temp. @ Shipping: C°	Temp. @ Lab Receipt: C°
Sampled By: (print and sign name) Michael Van den Ende <i>[Signature]</i>																		Purchase Order # 1409-362281	
																		EDF Report: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
														Turnaround Time: <u>S = Standard</u>					
														1 day 2 day 5 day					
														Remarks					
Date	Time	Field I.D.	Sample I.D.													*TPH-g, BTEX, MTBE, ETBE, DIPE, TAME, TBA, 1,2-DCA, EDB, Methanol, Ethanol			
2/10/10	1110		STMW-3	4	W	HCl	X												
	1140		STMW-2																
	1245		STMW-1																
	1345		P-1																
														Reporting limits:					
														TPH-g = 50 µg/l					
														BTEX, 7 Oxy, Methanol,					
														Ethanol = 0.5 µg/l					
Relinquished by: (signature) <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 2/10/10	Time: 1530	Received by: (signature) <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 2/10/10	Time: 1611												
Relinquished by: (signature) <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 2/11/10	Time: 807	Received by: (signature) <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 2/11/10	Time: 8:07												
Relinquished by: (signature)		Date:	Time:	Received by: (signature)		Date:	Time:												

Please return cooler/ice chest to Geological Technics Inc.

Argon Laboratories Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name: Geological Technics Date & Time Received: 02/11/10 8:07

Project Name: Springtown Gas Client Project Number: 1409.2

Received By: S.H. Matrix: Water Soil Sludge

Sample Carrier: Client Laboratory Fed Ex UPS Other

Argon Labs Project Number: K002006

Shipper Container in good condition? N/A Yes No Samples received in proper containers? Yes No

Samples received intact? Yes No

Samples received under refrigeration? Yes No Sufficient sample volume for requested tests? Yes No

Chain of custody present? Yes No Samples received within holding time? Yes No

Chain of Custody signed by all parties? Yes No Do samples contain proper preservative?
N/A Yes No

Chain of Custody matches all sample labels? Yes No Do VOA vials contain zero headspace?
(None submitted) Yes No

ANY "No" RESPONSE MUST BE DETAILED IN THE COMMENTS SECTION BELOW

Date Client Contacted: _____ Person Contacted: _____

Contacted By: _____ Subject: _____

Comments:

Action Taken:

ADDITIONAL TEST(S) REQUEST / OTHER

Contacted By: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____

Call Received By: _____

Comments:





Geological Technics, Inc.
1101 7th Street
Modesto, CA 95354

Project Number: 1409.2
Project Name: Springtown Gas
Project Manager:GTI

Work Order No.:
K002006

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
STMW-3	K002006-01	Water	02/10/10 11:10	02/11/10 08:07
STMW-2	K002006-02	Water	02/10/10 11:40	02/11/10 08:07
STMW-1	K002006-03	Water	02/10/10 12:45	02/11/10 08:07
P-1	K002006-04	Water	02/10/10 13:45	02/11/10 08:07

Approved By

Argon Laboratories, Inc. California D.O.H.S. Cert. #2359



Geological Technics, Inc. 1101 7th Street Modesto, CA 95354	Project Number: 1409.2 Project Name: Springtown Gas Project Manager:GTI	Work Order No.: K002006
---	---	----------------------------

TPH-gas & Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

STMW-3 (K002006-01) Water **Sampled: 10-Feb-10 11:10** **Received: 11-Feb-10 08:07**

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons @	ND	50	ug/L	1	11-Feb-10	EPA 8260B	
Gasoline							
Benzene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Toluene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes, total	ND	1.0	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Methanol	ND	50	"	"	"	"	
Ethanol	ND	5.0	"	"	"	"	
t-Butanol	28	5.0	"	"	"	"	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	32	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Surr. Rec.:		108 %			"	"	

STMW-2 (K002006-02) Water **Sampled: 10-Feb-10 11:40** **Received: 11-Feb-10 08:07**

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons @	ND	50	ug/L	1	11-Feb-10	EPA 8260B	
Gasoline							
Benzene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Toluene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes, total	ND	1.0	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Methanol	ND	50	"	"	"	"	
Ethanol	ND	5.0	"	"	"	"	
t-Butanol	110	5.0	"	"	"	"	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Surr. Rec.:		107 %			"	"	

Approved By

Argon Laboratories, Inc. California D.O.H.S. Cert. #2359



Geological Technics, Inc.
1101 7th Street
Modesto, CA 95354

Project Number: 1409.2
Project Name: Springtown Gas
Project Manager:GTI

Work Order No.:
K002006

TPH-gas & Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

STMW-1 (K002006-03) Water Sampled: 10-Feb-10 12:45 Received: 11-Feb-10 08:07


Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons @	ND	50	ug/L	1	11-Feb-10	EPA 8260B	
Gasoline							
Benzene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Toluene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes, total	ND	1.0	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Methanol	ND	50	"	"	"	"	
Ethanol	ND	5.0	"	"	"	"	
t-Butanol	610	5.0	"	"	"	"	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	44	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.5	"	"	"	"	
Surr. Rec.:		106 %			"	"	

P-1 (K002006-04) Water Sampled: 10-Feb-10 13:45 Received: 11-Feb-10 08:07

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons @	ND	250	ug/L	5	11-Feb-10	EPA 8260B	
Gasoline							
Benzene	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
Toluene	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
Xylenes, total	ND	5.0	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
Methanol	ND	250	"	"	"	"	
Ethanol	ND	25	"	"	"	"	
t-Butanol	5200	25	"	"	"	"	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	110	2.5	"	"	"	"	
Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	2.5	"	"	"	"	
Surr. Rec.:		105 %			"	"	

Approved By

Argon Laboratories, Inc. California D.O.H.S. Cert. #2359



Geological Technics, Inc. 1101 7th Street Modesto, CA 95354	Project Number: 1409.2 Project Name: Springtown Gas Project Manager:GTI	Work Order No.: K002006
---	---	----------------------------

TPH-gas & Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS - Quality Control

Argon Laboratories

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC %REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	-------------	---------------	-----------	-------------	-----	-----------	-------

Batch K000211 - EPA 5030B

Blank (K000211-BLK1)

Prepared & Analyzed: 02/11/10

<i>Surrogate: Fluorobenzene</i>	50.5		ug/L	50		101	70-130			
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons @ Gasoline	ND	50	"							
Benzene	ND	0.5	"							
Toluene	ND	0.5	"							
Xylenes, total	ND	1.0	"							
Ethyl Benzene	ND	0.5	"							
Methanol	ND	50	"							
Ethanol	ND	5.0	"							
t-Butanol	ND	5.0	"							
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.5	"							
Di-Isopropyl Ether	ND	0.5	"							
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.5	"							
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	ND	0.5	"							
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	"							
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.5	"							

LCS (K000211-BS1)

Prepared & Analyzed: 02/11/10

Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	28.2		ug/L	25		113	80-120			
-------------------------	------	--	------	----	--	-----	--------	--	--	--

LCS Dup (K000211-BSD1)

Prepared & Analyzed: 02/11/10

Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	29.9		ug/L	25		120	80-120	6	20	
-------------------------	------	--	------	----	--	-----	--------	---	----	--

Matrix Spike (K000211-MS1)

Source: K002006-01

Prepared & Analyzed: 02/11/10

Toluene	27.1		ug/L	25	ND	108	70-130			
---------	------	--	------	----	----	-----	--------	--	--	--

Matrix Spike Dup (K000211-MSD1)


Source: K002006-01

Prepared & Analyzed: 02/11/10

Toluene	24.8		ug/L	25	ND	99	70-130	9	20	
---------	------	--	------	----	----	----	--------	---	----	--

Approved By

Argon Laboratories, Inc. California D.O.H.S. Cert. #2359



Geological Technics, Inc.
1101 7th Street
Modesto, CA 95354

Project Number: 1409.2
Project Name: Springtown Gas
Project Manager:GTI

Work Order No.:
K002006

Notes and Definitions

DET Analyte DETECTED
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
NR Not Reported
dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
RPD Relative Percent Difference

Approved By

Argon Laboratories, Inc. California D.O.H.S. Cert. #2359

Appendix C

Groundwater Monitoring Field Notes

Project Name: Springtown Gas (Blue Bell)

Well I.D.: STMW-1

Project No.: 1409.2

Date: 2/10/2010

Project Location: 909 Bluebell Drive

Livermore, CA

Samples sent to: Argon

Time	Cumulative Volume Purged (gal)	Temp C°	EC (µS/cm)	pH	ORP (millivolts)	DO (mg/L)	Remarks
12:25	0.0	14.23	1601	7.58	395.1	10.12	Clear, no odor, very few sediments
12:30	2.5	17.26	1643	7.46	536.6	9.16	Clear, no odor, no sediments
12:35	5.0	17.17	1664	7.39	536.5	7.39	Clear, no odor, no sediments
12:40	7.5	17.09	1660	7.35	531.3	6.77	Clear, no odor, no sediments
12:45							Collected samples

Purge Method: Dedicated Waterra Centrifugal pump with dedicated tubing Other

Pumping Rate: 0.50 gal/min

Well Constructed TD (ft):	20.00
* Well TD (ft):	18.99
Silt Thickness (ft):	1.01
Initial DTW (ft):	5.16
Water column height (ft):	13.83
One casing volume (gal):	2.35
** Final DTW (ft):	5.47
Casing diameter (in):	2"

Sample Containers used: 4 # VOAs X preserved non-preserved
 # amber liters preserved non-preserved
 # polys preserved non-preserved
 # polys preserved non-preserved

Notes:

Sampled By: M. van den Enden

Sample Method: Waterra Bailer Other

* = measured ** = @ sampling

Gallons per foot of casing. 2" dia. = 0.17, 3" dia. = 0.38 4" dia. = 0.65, 5" dia. = 1.02, 6" dia. = 1.48

Purged Water Drummed: Yes No

No. of Drums: 3

Project Name: Springtown Gas (Blue Bell)

Well I.D.: STMW-2

Project No.: 1409.2

Date: 2/10/2010

Project Location: 909 Bluebell Drive
Livermore, CA

Samples sent to: Argon

Time	Cumulative Volume Purged (gal)	Temp C°	EC (µS/cm)	pH	ORP (millivolts)	DO (mg/L)	Remarks
11:20	0.0	14.41	1546	7.70	291.9	7.86	Brown, no odor, few sediments
11:26	2.5	18.57	1603	7.41	415.7	1.20	Clear, no odor, no sediments
11:31	5.0	18.59	1607	7.35	409.4	1.17	Clear, no odor, no sediments
11:37	7.5	18.71	1618	7.30	394.4	0.87	Clear, no odor, no sediments
11:40							Collected samples


Purge Method: Dedicated Waterra Centrifugal pump with dedicated tubing Other

Pumping Rate: 0.44 gal/min

Well Constructed TD (ft):	<u>20.00</u>
* Well TD (ft):	<u>19.67</u>
Silt Thickness (ft):	<u>0.33</u>
Initial DTW (ft):	<u>6.91</u>
Water column height (ft):	<u>12.76</u>
One casing volume (gal):	<u>2.17</u>
** Final DTW (ft):	<u>6.97</u>
Casing diameter (in):	<u>2"</u>

Sample Containers used: 4 # VOAs X preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # amber liters ___ preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # polys ___ preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # polys ___ preserved ___ non-preserved

Notes: _____

 Sampled By: M. van den Euden 

Sample Method: Waterra Bailer Other

* = measured ** = @ sampling

Purged Water Drummed: Yes No

No. of Drums: _____

Gallons per foot of casing. 2" dia. = 0.17, 3" dia. = 0.38 4" dia. = 0.65, 5" dia. = 1.02, 6" dia. = 1.48

Project Name: Springtown Gas (Blue Bell)

Well I.D.: STMW-3

Project No.: 1409.2

Date: 2/10/2010

Project Location: 909 Bluebell Drive

Livermore, CA

Samples sent to: Argon

Time	Cumulative Volume Purged (gal)	Temp C°	EC (µS/cm)	pH	ORP (millivolts)	DO (mg/L)	Remarks
10:50	0.0	13.33	1515	8.67	159.8	7.16	Brown, mild odor, few sediments
10:55	2.0	17.99	1631	7.51	155.7	2.18	Brown, mild odor, few sediments
11:00	4.0	17.92	1594	7.32	231.9	2.20	Brown, mild odor, few sediments
11:05	6.0	17.99	1642	7.20	469.0	0.89	Brown, mild odor, few sediments
11:10							Collected samples

Purge Method: Dedicated Waterra Centrifugal pump with dedicated tubing Other

Pumping Rate: 0.40 gal/min

Well Constructed TD (ft):	20.00
* Well TD (ft):	19.57
Silt Thickness (ft):	0.43
Initial DTW (ft):	8.37
Water column height (ft):	11.20
One casing volume (gal):	1.90
** Final DTW (ft):	10.00
Casing diameter (in):	2"

Sample Containers used: 4 # VOAs X preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # amber liters ___ preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # polys ___ preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # polys ___ preserved ___ non-preserved

Notes: Moderately rapid charge.

Sampled By: M. van den Enden

Sample Method: Waterra Bailer Other

* = measured ** = @ sampling

Gallons per foot of casing. 2" dia. = 0.17, 3" dia. = 0.38 4" dia. = 0.65, 5" dia. = 1.02, 6" dia. = 1.48

Purged Water Drummed: Yes No

No. of Drums:

Project Name: Springtown Gas (Blue Bell)

Well I.D.: P-1

Project No.: 1409.2

Date: 2/10/2010

Project Location: 909 Bluebell Drive

Livermore, CA

Samples sent to: Argon

Time	Cumulative Volume Purged (gal)	Temp C°	EC (µS/cm)	pH	ORP (millivolts)	DO (mg/L)	Remarks
12:50	0.0	14.96	1669	7.68	401.9	7.34	Clear, mild odor, no sediments
13:05	9.0	17.23	1649	7.72	177.3	1.26	Clear, mild odor, no sediments
13:20	18.0	17.20	1656	7.53	158.8	0.67	Clear, mild odor, no sediments
13:35	27.0	17.22	1658	7.42	139.0	0.85	Clear, mild odor, no sediments
13:45							Collected samples

Purge Method: Dedicated Waterra Centrifugal pump with dedicated tubing Other

Pumping Rate: 0.60 gal/min

Well Constructed TD (ft):	<u>20.00</u>
* Well TD (ft):	<u>19.30</u>
Silt Thickness (ft):	<u>0.70</u>
Initial DTW (ft):	<u>5.98</u>
Water column height (ft):	<u>13.32</u>
One casing volume (gal):	<u>2.26</u>
** Final DTW (ft):	<u>6.85</u>
Casing diameter (in):	<u>4"</u>

Sample Containers used: 4 # VOAs X preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # amber liters ___ preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # polys ___ preserved ___ non-preserved
 ___ # polys ___ preserved ___ non-preserved

Notes: Moderately rapid recharge.

Sampled By: M. van den Eenden

Sample Method: Waterra Bailer Other

* = measured ** = @ sampling

Purged Water Drummed: Yes No

No. of Drums:

Gallons per foot of casing. 2" dia. = 0.17, 3" dia. = 0.38 4" dia. = 0.65, 5" dia. = 1.02, 6" dia. = 1.48



Geological Technics Inc.

(209) 522-4119 (Office) * (209) 522-4227 (Fax)

1172 Kansas Avenue, Modesto, CA 95351


gti@gtienv.com

**SPRINGTOWN GAS (BLUE BELL) 1409.2
909 BLUE BELL DRIVE, LIVERMORE**

**MONITORING WELL FIELD SUMMARY LOG 2010
DEPTH TO WATER MEASUREMENTS**

	QTR. 1	QTR. 2	QTR. 3	QTR. 4	WELL
DATE	2/10/2010	mm/dd/yy	mm/dd/yy	mm/dd/yy	TD
	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
LOCATION					
P-1	5.98				20.00
STMW-1	5.16				20.00
STMW-2	6.91				20.00
STMW-3	8.37				20.00

*TD Total Depth



NOTE:

ALL MEASUREMENTS ARE MADE FROM THE NORTH SIDE AND TOP EDGE OF THE WELL CASING. THE TOP OF CASING WITH A NOTCH OR PERMANENT MARKINGS, WHICH EVER ONE CONDITION IS APPROPRIATE.