

RECEIVED

2:07 pm, Apr 19, 2007

Alameda County Environmental Health

April 2, 2007

Jerry Wickham, P.G. Hazardous Materials Specialist ALAMEDA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502-6577

Project No. 33107-007526.00

Subject: Subsurface Investigation Report Clorox Services Company, 7280 Johnson Drive, Pleasanton, CA Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002859

Dear Mr. Wickham:

Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. (Bureau Veritas) has completed the enclosed Investigation Report on behalf of Clorox Services Company (Clorox) for the subject site. We collected soil and grab groundwater samples as requested in your March 1, 2007 letter. Based on the field observations and sample data, Bureau Veritas recommends no additional investigation or remediation at the Site. On behalf of Clorox, we request that ACHCSA provide closure for SLIC number RO0002859.

Please review the enclosed Report and please contact me at (925) 426-2681 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Zimmerman, P.E., R.E.A. Senior Project Manager Environmental Services

MJZ/mjz

Enclosure

cc: Chet Green, Clorox Services Company

Bureau Veritas North America, Inc.

Subsurface Investigation Report

Clorox Services Company 7280 Johnson Drive Pleasanton, California

April 2, 2007 Project Number 33107-007526.00.00

> Prepared for Clorox Services Company Pleasanton, California

Bureau Veritas North America

6920 Koll Center Parkway Pleasanton, California 94566 925.426.2600 www.us.bureauveritas.com

CONTENTS

<u>Sections</u>	<u>on</u>	Page	<u>e</u>
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2.0	BAC	KGROUND	1
3.0	SCO	PE OF WORK	1
4.0	PRE-	FIELD ACTIVITIES	2
5.0	FIEL	D ACTIVITIES	2
	5.1	SOIL SAMPLING	3
	5.2	GRAB GROUNDWATER SAMPLING	3
	5.3	WASTE DISPOSAL	4
	5.4	CHEMICAL ANALYSES	4
6.0	INVE	STIGATION FINDINGS	4
	6.1	GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS	4
	6.2	SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS	4
	6.3	QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	5
7.0	CON	CLUSIONS	5
8.0	REC	OMMENDATIONS	5

<u>Tables</u>

Figures

1 5	Site Lo	ocation	Map
-----	---------	---------	-----

2 Site Plan

Appendices

- А
- County Letters Soil Boring Permit В

Contents

(continued)

C D

Soil Boring Log Groundwater Analytical Laboratory Report

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. (Bureau Veritas) prepared this *Subsurface Investigation Report* ("Report") on behalf of Clorox Services Company (Clorox) regarding potential groundwater impacts in the vicinity of the former diesel underground storage tank (UST) at the Clorox facility located at 7280 Johnson Drive in Pleasanton, California (the "Site"). The Site location is presented in Figures 1 and 2.

This Report documents the completion of field activities as presented in our *Groundwater Sample Workplan* dated February 28, 2007, which was approved by Alameda County Health Care Services Agency (ACHCSA) in a letter dated March 1, 2007 (Appendix A). The Site is identified as Fuel Leak Case Number RO0002859 and GeoTracker Global ID T0600100447.

As required by the ACHCSA, Bureau Veritas uploaded this Report to the ACHCSA Local Oversight Program (LOP) website and uploaded this Report and its data to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) GeoTracker database.

2.0 BACKGROUND

On December 28, 2006, ACHCSA requested by letter that Clorox collect at least one grab groundwater sample at the Site in relation to a UST that was removed in April 2004. The May 2004 *UST Closure Report* documented the UST closure and stated that confirmation soil samples from the UST excavation did not contain constituents of concern. The May 2004 report indicated total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) quantified as diesel (TPH-d) was detected at 24 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) in the stockpiled soil that was removed and disposed offsite. ACHCSA's December 2006 letter requested a groundwater sample based on the concentration of TPH-d detected in the stockpiled soil (Appendix A).

On January 24, 2007, Bureau Veritas contacted Jerry Wickham of ACHCSA to discuss the agency's rationale for the proposed sample and to confirm the minimum sampling and analysis requirements for this Investigation. Mr. Wickham confirmed that ACHCSA wanted a minimum of one grab groundwater sample based on the soil stockpile sample because the Site is in a sensitive area where groundwater may be a potential source of drinking water. Mr. Wickham indicated the sample should be analyzed for TPH-d, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX, collectively), and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE). In the March 1, 2007 approval letter, ACHCSA also requested that Bureau Veritas log and field screen the soils from the direct-push boring and collect soil samples. ACHCSA requested that the soil samples be analyzed if evidence of contamination in soil was observed during either during field screening activities. In addition, Bureau Veritas determined it would be prudent to analyze the soil samples if the grab groundwater sample results reported detections.

3.0 SCOPE OF WORK

Bureau Veritas performed the following scope of work to complete this investigation:

- Conducted Pre-Field Activities to plan and permit the Field Activities. Performed pre-field activities that included obtaining appropriate drilling permits and updating our site.
- Completed Field Activities to collect the samples.
- Prepared this Report.

4.0 PRE-FIELD ACTIVITIES

Prior to conducting the field activities described in Section 5.0, Bureau Veritas completed the following pre-field activities:

- Secured site access with the property owner.
- Obtained drilling permit No. 27037 from the Alameda County Zone 7 Water Agency (Zone 7) for the subsurface groundwater investigation (Appendix B).
- Developed a site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP)for the proposed work at the Site in accordance with the requirements of the State of California General Industry Safety Order (GISO) 5192 and Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1910.120 (29 CFR 1910.120). A copy of the HASP was kept onsite during field activities. The HASP detailed the work to be performed, safety precautions, emergency response procedures, nearest hospital information, and onsite personnel responsible for managing emergency situations.
- Marked the area with white paint where drilling was planned and contacted Underground Service Alert (USA) to mark underground utilities in the designated work area. USA was contacted at least 48 hours prior to drilling, as required by law.
- Retained CSU Surveying of Pleasanton, California, a private utility locating contractor, to clear the proposed soil boring location of underground utilities.

5.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

Below is a summary of the field activities completed during this investigation. On March 2, 2007, Bureau Veritas supervised the advancement of two exploratory borings (SB-1 and SB-1B) as presented on Figure 2. As requested by ACHCSA, one boring (SB-1) was logged, field screened, and soil samples were collected. The second boring, approximately 6 inches from SB-1B, was advanced using a Hydropunch® sampler in order to collect a groundwater sample.

5.1 SOIL SAMPLING

Bureau Veritas contracted with Gregg Drilling & Testing, Inc. (Gregg), a California-licensed (C-57) drilling company, to advance the boreholes. Gregg utilized truck-mounted direct–push (GeoProbe) equipment to advance Boring SB-1 to a depth of 48 feet below ground surface (bgs), which was the depth of first-encountered groundwater. Soil cores approximately 2 inches in diameter were obtained using a core barrel sampler. Soil samples were typically collected from the bottom of each 4-foot sampling interval. Representative soil samples were cut from select plastic liners and sealed with Teflon tape and plastic end caps.

Field screening of soil cores was performed using a photoionization detector (PID) to evaluate the potential presence of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the headspace of select soil samples. To initiate the headspace testing procedure, soil samples were removed from plastic liners, placed into labeled plastic bags, sealed, and allowed to equalize. After sufficient time had elapsed for vapor build-up inside the bags, the bags were punctured with the probe tip of the PID to allow measurement of the ionizable substances in the headspace. Measurements of the headspace were obtained as parts per million (ppm) for total VOCs.

The soil samples were placed in a pre-chilled ice chest pending transportation to the analytical laboratory. The sample information was recorded onto a chain-of-custody document that accompanied the samples to the laboratory and indicated that all soil samples were to be put on hold pending the results of the groundwater sample since no visible staining, odor, or PID readings were observed.

5.2 GRAB GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Bureau Veritas attempted to collect a grab groundwater sample from Boring SB-1B utilizing a closedsystem Hydropunch® sampler. The Hydropunch® was advanced beyond the drill bit into undisturbed soil, and then retracted to allow water to flow into the sampling chamber by exposing the screen. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 44 feet bgs but not in sufficient volume to collect a sample using the Hydropunch® sampler. Therefore, one-inch diameter, schedule 40# PVC casing was temporarily placed into Boring SB-1 to collect a grab groundwater sample. The lower 10 feet of casing in SB-1 consisted of 0.10-inch slotted screen to allow for water to enter the temporary well point.

The grab-groundwater sample was collected using a decontaminated stainless steel bailer. Upon retrieval, the grab groundwater sample was transferred into appropriate laboratory-supplied containers, capped, sealed, labeled with identifying information, and placed in a pre-chilled ice chest for transportation to the analytical laboratory under formal chain-of-custody documentation.

Upon collection of samples, the PVC casing was withdrawn from the open borehole (SB-1) and the remaining borehole annuli were backfilled with neat cement to grade in accordance with the methods approved by Zone 7. Upon completion of grouting, the surface was repaired to its pre-existing condition.

5.3 WASTE DISPOSAL

Drill cuttings generated during the investigation were placed in a 5-gallon plastic container that was clearly labeled and sealed for temporary onsite storage pending offsite disposal.

5.4 CHEMICAL ANALYSES

One grab groundwater sample was submitted to Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. (STL), a state-certified analytical laboratory located in Pleasanton, California, for analysis by the following approved United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methods as requested by the ACHCSA:

- TPH-d by EPA Method 8015M with silica gel cleanup (SGCU).
- BTEX, MTBE, with fuel oxygenates, and Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by EPA Method 8260B.

The grab groundwater sample was analyzed on a standard 5-business day turn-around basis.

6.0 INVESTIGATION FINDINGS

6.1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

A summary of the grab groundwater analytical results is provided on Table 1. Below is a summary of the chemicals detected in the grab groundwater sample collected during this sampling event.

- TPH-d was detected at 80 micrograms per liter (ug/L).
- GRO, BTEX, MTBE, and other fuel oxygenates were not detected above the laboratory method detection limits.

A copy of the grab groundwater analytical laboratory report is presented in Appendix D.

6.2 SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

No visible staining, odor, or PID readings were observed during the field activities; the results of the headspace tests performed on the soil samples placed in sealed plastic bags were recorded on the boring log sheets (Appendix C). The soil samples submitted to the laboratory were not analyzed since the field screening indicated no soil contamination was present at SB-1 and the grab groundwater sample results did not have detections that indicated a petroleum release occurred. Bureau Veritas asked the laboratory to dispose of the soil samples that were put on hold.

6.3 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

The analytical laboratory data was reviewed by Bureau Veritas to establish its validity and to ensure the laboratory data was complete and accurate. We verified that hold times for each analytical method were achieved and that the laboratory achieved the specific data quality objectives for each selected analytical method. A review of the data validation process indicates that the laboratories completed all QA/QC activities required for the sample such as blanks, lab control samples, matrix spikes, and duplicates. Minor QA/QC issues, which are common for these analyses, are noted in the laboratory reports presented in Appendix D. The QA/QC parameters for the samples were within acceptable limits and suggest that the data is useful for its intended purpose.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

The results of the field screening indicated no soil contamination was present at SB-1. Based on the field observations and the grab groundwater sample results, it does not appear a petroleum release occurred associated with the former UST in the area of SB-1. With the exception of the TPH-d detection, no chemicals were detected in grab groundwater sample SB-1. The TPH-d detection of 80 ug/L does not appear to warrant further investigation or remediation based on the following:

- The groundwater detection is below the RWQCB Environmental Screening Level (ESL) established at 100 ug/L for TPH-d in areas where groundwater is a potential source of drinking water¹. The ESL is a conservative risk-based screening level concentration often used by agencies in the Bay Area to evaluate investigation data. A detection of a chemical at a concentration below the ESL typically does not require further investigation or remediation since it should not have an impact on groundwater.
- The laboratory narrative (Appendix D) indicates that a peak of the SB-1 chromatogram is discrete and does not occur in the same pattern as the standard diesel chromatogram. Based on this narrative and a discussion with the laboratory, the TPH-d detection does not appear to be caused by diesel. SGCU up was used as a preparation method prior to analyzing the groundwater sample for TPH-d. AS SGCU is effective in removing the majority of non-petroleum (i.e., natural) organics, it is possible that the TPH-d detection represents a false positive of residual non-petroleum organics that could not be removed by SGCU.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

On behalf of Clorox, Bureau Veritas recommends no additional investigation or remediation at the Site. Based on the field observations and sample results, we request that ACHCSA provide closure for SLIC number RO0002859.

¹ Screening for Environmental Concerns at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater (4th edition, February 2005) is a technical report prepared by staff of the California Regional Water Quality Board, San Francisco Bay Region.

This Report prepared by:

Allison Florence Consultant Environmental Services

This Report reviewed by:

Michael Zimmerman, P.E., R.E.A. Senior Project Manager Environmental Services

This Report reviewed by:

Craig Pelletier, P.G. Project Manager Environmental Services April 2, 2007

Me



TABLES

Table 1Groundwater Sample ResultsClorox Services Company7280 Johnson DrivePleasanton, CaliforniaBureau Veritas

Sample ID	Date	TPH-d (ug/L)	Benzene (ug/L)	Ethylbenzene (ug/L)	MTBE (ug/L)	TAME (ug/L)	Toluene (ug/L)	Xylenes (ug/L)	TBA (ug/L)	DIPE (ug/L)	GRO (ug/L)	Ethyl tert- butyl ether (ug/L)
SB-1	3/2/2007	80	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<50	<0.50
RWQ	CB ESL	100	1.0	30	5.0	N/A	40	20	12	N/A	N/A	N/A

Notes:

DIPE = Diisopropyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8260B

GRO = Gasoline range organics analyzed by EPA Method 8260B

MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8260B

N/A = No ESL has been established for this compound

RWQCB ESL = San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Environmental Screening Level for shallow soil

where groundwater is a potential source of drinking water, February 2005

TAME = Tertiary-amyl methyl ether analyzed by EPA Method 8260B

TBA = Tertiary butyl alcohol analyzed by EPA Method 8260B

TPH-d = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons quantified as diesel analyzed by EPA Method 8015M

ug/L = micrograms per liter

FIGURES





APPENDIX A

LETTERS FROM THE COUNTY

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

AGENCY

Certified Mail #7002 2030 0006 9574 1730 December 27, 2006

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

NOT	ICE	OF	RES	PON	SIBILIT	Y

Site Name & Address: CLOROX SERVICES COMPANY 7280 JOHNSON DR PLEASANTON, CA 94588

Local ID:	RO0002859
Related ID:	NA
RWQCB ID:	
Global ID:	T060010044

600100447

Responsible Party:

CHET GREEN CLOROX SERVICES COMPANY 7200 JOHNSON DR **PLEASANTON CA 94588-8004**

Date First Reported: 5/21/2004

Substance: 12034 Diesel fuel oil and additives. Nos.1-D. 2-D. 2-4

Funding for Oversight: LOPF - LOP Federal Fund

Multiple RPs?: No

Pursuant to sections 25297.1 and 25297.15 of the Health and Safety Code, you are hereby notified that the above site has been placed in the Local Oversight Program and the individual(s) or entity(ies) shown above, or on the attached list, has (have) been identified as the party(ies) responsible for investigation and cleanup of the above site. Section 25297.15 further requires the primary or active Responsible Party to notify all current record owners of fee title before the local agency considers cleanup or site closure proposals or issues a closure letter. For purposes of implementing section 25297.15, this agency has identified CLOROX SERVICES COMPANY as the primary or active Responsible Party. It is the responsibility of the primary or active Responsible Party to submit a letter to this agency, within 20 calendar days of receipt of this notice that identifies all current record owners of fee title. It is also the responsibility of the primary or active Responsible Party to certify to the local agency that the required notifications have been made at the time a cleanup or site closure proposal is made or before the local agency makes a determination that no further action is required. If property ownership changes in the future, you must notify this local agency within 20 calendar days from when you are informed of the change.

Any action or inaction by this local agency associated with corrective action, including responsible party identification, is subject to petition to the State Water Resources Control Board. Petitions must be filed within 30 days from the date of the action/inaction. To obtain petition procedures, please FAX your request to the State Water Board at (916) 341-5808 or telephone (916) 341-5650.

Pursuant to section 25296.10(c)(6) of the Health and Safety Code, a responsible party may request the designation of an administering agency when required to conduct corrective action. Please contact this office for further information about the designation process.

Please contact your caseworker WICKHAM, JERRY, at this office at (510)567-6791 if you have questions regarding vour site.

ARIU-LEVI, Chief

Date: 12/2 1/86

Contract Project Director

Action: Add Reason:

ALAMEDA COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH LUFT LOCAL OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

ATTACHMENT A - RESPONSIBLE PARTIES DATA SHEET

December 27, 2006

Site Name & Address: CLOROX SERVICES COMPANY 7280 JOHNSON DR PLEASANTON, CA 94588 Local ID: RO0002859 Related ID: NA RWQCB ID: Global ID: T0600100447

All Resposible Parties

RP has been named a Primary RP - CHET GREEN CLOROX SERVICES COMPANY 7200 JOHNSON DR | PLEASANTON, CA 94588-8004

Responsible Party Identification Background

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) names a "Responsible Party," as defined under 23 C.C.R Sec. 2720. Section 2720 defines a responsible party 4 ways. An RP can be:

- 1. "Any person who owns or operates an underground storage tank used for the storage of any hazardous substance."
- 2. "In the case of any underground storage tank no longer in use, any person who owned or operated the underground storage tank immediately before the discontinuation of its use."
- 3. "Any owner of property where an unauthorized release of a hazardous substance from an underground storage tank has occurred."
- 4. "Any person who had or has control over an underground storage tank at the time of or following an unauthorized release of a hazardous substance."

ACEH has named the responsible parties for this site as detailed below.

Existence of Unauthorized Release

One 10,000-gallon Underground Storage Tank, that last contained diesel fuel, was removed from the site on April 16, 2004. Laboratory analytical results from the tank removal sampling indicated low levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel fuel in the tank excavation backfill material.

Responsible Party Identification

Clorox Services Company is a responsible party for the fuel leak because the unauthorized release occurred during the time that Clorox Services Company owned and operated the UST (Definition 2), Clorox Services Company is the current owner of the property where an unauthorized release has occurred (Definition 3), and Clorox Services Company had control of the UST at the time of or following an unauthorized release (Definition 4).

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES



AGENCY DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

> ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

December 28, 2006

Mr. Chet Green Clorox Services Company 7200 Johnson Drive Pleasanton, CA 94588-8004

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002859 and Geotracker Global ID T0600100447, Clorox Services Company, 7280 Johnson Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588 – Request for Work Plan

Dear Mr. Green:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the case file for the above referenced site, including the report entitled, "Closure Report for Diesel Underground Storage Tank," dated May 21, 2004. The report, which was prepared on your behalf by Clayton Group Services, presents the results of tank removal activities conducted at 7280 Johnson Drive in April 2004. Total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel were detected in one composite soil sample collected from the soil stockpile. The site is within the Livermore-Amador Valley, which is an area where groundwater is actively used as a drinking water supply. Groundwater within the Livermore-Amador Groundwater Basin constitutes a valuable current and future resource. Due to the location of your site within a groundwater basin where groundwater is used for drinking water, we request that you collect a minimum of one groundwater sample at the site to evaluate whether groundwater has potentially been affected by a fuel release.

Please submit a work plan detailing your proposal to investigate potential soil and groundwater contamination by March 23, 2007. This report is being requested pursuant to the Regional Water Quality Control Board's (Regional Board) authority under Section 13267 of the California Water Code.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

ACEH's case files for the subject site contains only the report entitled, "Closure Report for Diesel Underground Storage Tank," dated May 21, 2004. We request that you submit copies of any other reports you have documenting additional investigation activities or other relevant work related to this UST system/site with the work plan requested below. Mr. Chet Green December 28, 2006 Page 2

TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Mr. Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

March 23, 2007 – Work Plan for Subsurface Investigation

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program ftp site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions." Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.

Submission of reports to the Alameda County ftp site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Geotracker website. Submission of reports to the Geotracker website does not fulfill the requirement to submit documents to the Alameda County ftp site. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitor wells, and other data to the Geotracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all necessary reports was required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (<u>http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic reporting</u>).

PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to

Mr. Chet Green December 28, 2006 Page 3

present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

LANDOWNER NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 25297.15, the active or primary responsible party for a fuel leak case must inform all current property owners of the site of cleanup actions or requests for closure. Furthermore, ACEH may not consider any cleanup proposals or requests for case closure without assurance that this notification requirement has been met. Additionally, the active or primary responsible party is required to forward to ACEH a complete mailing list of all record fee title holders to the site.

In the future, for you to meet these requirements when submitting cleanup proposals or requests for case closure, ACEH requires that you:

1. Notify all current record owners of fee title to the site of any cleanup proposals or requests for case closure;

2. Submit a letter to ACEH which certifies that the notification requirement in 25297.15(a) of the Health and Safety Code has been met;

3. Forward to ACEH a copy of your complete mailing list of all record fee title holders to the site; and

4. Update your mailing list of all record fee title holders, and repeat the process outlined above prior to submittal of any additional *Corrective Action Plan* or your *Request for Case Closure*.

Your written certification to ACEH (Item 2 above) must state, at a minimum, the following:

A. In accordance with Section 25297.15(a) of the Health & Safety Code, I, (<u>name of primary responsible party</u>), certify that I have notified all responsible landowners of the enclosed proposed action. (Check space for applicable proposed action(s)):

cleanup proposal (Corrective Action Plan)

request for case closure

_____ local agency intention to make a determination that no further action is required

local agency intention to issue a closure letter

- OR -

B. In accordance with section 25297.15(a) of Chapter 6.7 of the Health & Safety Code, I, (name of primary responsible party), certify that I am the sole landowner for the above site.

(Note: Complete item A if there are multiple site landowners. If you are the sole site landowner, skip item A and complete item B.)

Mr. Chet Green December 28, 2006 Page 4

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please be aware that you may be eligible for reimbursement of the costs of investigation from the California Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Fund). In some cases, a deductible amount may apply. If you believe you meet the eligibility requirements, I strongly encourage you to call the Fund for an application.

AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 567-6791.

Sincerely,

Jerry Wickham, P.G. Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Colleen Winey, QIC 80201 Zone 7 Water Agency 100 North Canyons Parkway Livermore, CA 94551

> Danielle Stefani Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department 3560 Nevada Street Pleasanton, CA 94566

Michael Hurd Clayton Group Services, Inc. 6920 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 216 Pleasanton, CA 94566

Donna Drogos, ACEH Jerry Wickham, ACEH File

Alameda County Environmental Cleanup	ISSUE DATE: July 5, 2005		
Oversight Programs	REVISION DATE: December 16, 2005		
(LOP and SLIC)	PREVIOUS REVISIONS: October 31, 2005		
SECTION: Miscellaneous Administrative Topics & Procedures	SUBJECT: Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions		

Effective January 31, 2006, the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities.

REQUIREMENTS

- Entire report including cover letter must be submitted to the ftp site as a single portable document format (PDF) with no password protection. (Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.)
- It is preferable that reports be converted to PDF format from their original format, (e.g., Microsoft Word) rather than scanned.
- Signature pages and perjury statements must be included and have either original or electronic signature.
- Do not password protect the document. Once indexed and inserted into the correct electronic case file, the document will be secured in compliance with the County's current security standards and a password. Documents with password protection will not be accepted.
- Each page in the PDF document should be rotated in the direction that will make it easiest to read on a computer monitor.
- Reports must be named and saved using the following naming convention:

RO#_Report Name_Year-Month-Date (e.g., RO#5555_WorkPlan_2005-06-14)

Additional Recommendations

 A separate copy of the tables in the document should be submitted by e-mail to your Caseworker in Excel format. These are for use by assigned Caseworker only.

Submission Instructions

- 1) Obtain User Name and Password:
 - a) Contact the Alameda County Environmental Health Department to obtain a User Name and Password to upload files to the ftp site.
 - i) Send an e-mail to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u>
 - or
 - ii) Send a fax on company letterhead to (510) 337-9335, to the attention of Alicia Lam-Finneke.
 - b) In the subject line of your request, be sure to include "ftp PASSWORD REQUEST" and in the body of your request, include the Contact Information, Site Addresses, and the Case Numbers (RO# available in Geotracker) you will be posting for.

2) Upload Files to the ftp Site

- a) Using Internet Explorer (IE4+), go to <u>ftp://alcoftp1.acgov.org</u>
 - (i) Note: Netscape and Firefox browsers will not open the FTP site.
- b) Click on File, then on Login As.
- c) Enter your User Name and Password. (Note: Both are Case Sensitive.)
- d) Open "My Computer" on your computer and navigate to the file(s) you wish to upload to the ftp site.
- e) With both "My Computer" and the ftp site open in separate windows, drag and drop the file(s) from "My Computer" to the ftp window.
- 3) Send E-mail Notifications to the Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs
 - a) Send email to <u>dehloptoxic@acgov.org</u> notify us that you have placed a report on our ftp site.
 - b) Copy your Caseworker on the e-mail. Your Caseworker's e-mail address is the entire first name then a period and entire last name at acgov.org. (e.g., firstname.lastname@acgov.org)
 - c) The subject line of the e-mail must start with the RO# followed by Report Upload. (e.g., Subject: RO1234 Report Upload)

PAGE 01/04

ALAMEDA COUNTY HEALTH CARE SERVICES

AGENCY DAVID J. KEARS, Agency Director

> ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suile 250 Alameda, CA 94502-6577 (510) 567-6700 FAX (510) 337-9335

March 1, 2007

Mr. Chet Green Clorox Services Company 7200 Johnson Drive Pleasanton, CA 94588-8004

Subject: Fuel Leak Case No. RO0002859 and Geotracker Global ID T0600100447, Clorox Services Company, 7280 Johnson Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588 – Work Plan Approval

Dear Mr. Green:

Alameda County Environmental Health (ACEH) staff has reviewed the case file for the above referenced site, including the recently submitted work plan entitled, "Groundwater Sample Workplan," dated February 28, 2007. The Work Plan, which was prepared on your behalf by Bureau Veritas, proposed advancing one soil boring and collection and analysis of one grab groundwater sample. The proposed scope of work is acceptable provided that the technical comments below are addressed and incorporated during the proposed field investigation. Submittal of a revised Work Plan is not required unless an alternate scope of work outside that described in the Work Plan or technical comments below is proposed.

We request that you address the following technical comments, perform the proposed work, and send us the reports described below. Please provide 72-hour advance written notification to this office (e-mail preferred to jerry.wickham@acgov.org) prior to the start of field activities.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

ACEH's case files for the subject site contains only the report entitled, "Closure Report for Diesel Underground Storage Tank," dated May 21, 2004, which reports the results from the 2004 removal of a diesel UST and dispenser. We previously requested that you submit copies of any other reports you have documenting additional investigation activities or other work that is relevant to the fuel release case. We note that a UST and dispenser were removed in 1989 from an area immediately south of the current fuel release site. Information on the geology and hydrogeology and analytical results from the monitoring wells and any other investigation conducted in this area of the immediately to the south is directly relevant to the diesel UST release currently being investigated. Therefore, please submit all data and reports for the five monitoring wells and other investigation related to the three USTs removed in 1989. ACEH files do not include information on a fuel leak investigation related to the 1989 UST removal.

Post-It ^a Fax Note	7671	Date-3/1107 # 01 Pages + 4
TO Mite Zim	xerran	From Scon Wicthan
Co./Dept. Bring and	Venita	Co.
Phone #		Phone # 510-567-6791
Fax \$ 725-426-1	2106	Føx //

Mr. Chet Green March 1, 2007 Page 2

TECHNICAL COMMENTS

- 1. Proposed Soil Sampling. We request that soils from the proposed direct push soil boring be screened in the field as the boring is advanced. Soil samples are to be collected for laboratory analysis from any zones where visible staining, odor, or elevated PID readings are observed. Please note that if visible staining, odor, or elevated PID readings are observed, a sufficient number of soil samples must be collected to characterize the vertical interval over which the contamination occurs. Any soil samples collected from intervals of visible staining, odor, or elevated PID readings are to be analyzed for TPH as gasoline and TPH as diesel by EPA Method 8015 and BTEX and MTBE by EPA Method 8260. Please present boring logs, screening results, and any analytical data for soil samples in the Site Investigation Report requested below.
- Proposed Groundwater Sampling and Analyses. The proposed grab groundwater sampling methods are acceptable. We request that the groundwater sample be analyzed for TPH as gasoline in addition to the proposed analyses for TPH as diesel, BTEX, and fuel oxygenates. Please present results in the Site Investigation Report requested below.
- 3. Monitoring Wells. Please confirm that the five monitoring wells shown on Figure 2 of the Work Plan have been decommissioned. As described above in the Request for Information, data from these wells and any subsurface investigation conducted in this area are relevant to the current fuel leak release and are to be submitted to ACEH with the Site Investigation Report requested below.

TECHNICAL REPORT REQUEST

Please submit technical reports to Alameda County Environmental Health (Attention: Mr. Jerry Wickham), according to the following schedule:

• July 2, 2007 – Site Investigation Report

These reports are being requested pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25296.10. 23 CCR Sections 2652 through 2654, and 2721 through 2728 outline the responsibilities of a responsible party in response to an unauthorized release from a petroleum UST system, and require your compliance with this request.

ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS

Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Programs (LOP and SLIC) require submission of all reports in electronic form to the county's ftp site. Paper copies of reports will no longer be accepted. The electronic copy replaces the paper copy and will be used for all public information requests, regulatory review, and compliance/enforcement activities. Instructions for submission of electronic documents to the Alameda County Environmental Cleanup Oversight Program ftp site are provided on the attached "Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions." Please do not submit reports as attachments to electronic mail.

Submission of reports to the Alameda County ftp site is an addition to existing requirements for electronic submittal of information to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)

Mr. Chet Green March 1, 2007 Page 3

Geotracker website. Submission of reports to the Geotracker website does not fulfill the requirement to submit documents to the Alameda County ftp site. In September 2004, the SWRCB adopted regulations that require electronic submittal of information for groundwater cleanup programs. For several years, responsible parties for cleanup of leaks from underground storage tanks (USTs) have been required to submit groundwater analytical data, surveyed locations of monitor wells, and <u>other</u> data to the Geotracker database over the Internet. Beginning July 1, 2005, electronic submittal of a complete copy of all necessary reports was required in Geotracker (in PDF format). Please visit the SWRCB website for more information on these requirements (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ust/cleanup/electronic reporting).

PERJURY STATEMENT

All work plans, technical reports, or technical documents submitted to ACEH must be accompanied by a cover letter from the responsible party that states, at a minimum, the following: "I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report is true and correct to the best of my knowledge." This letter must be signed by an officer or legally authorized representative of your company. Please include a cover letter satisfying these requirements with all future reports and technical documents submitted for this fuel leak case.

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION & CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Business and Professions Code (Sections 6735, 6835, and 7835.1) requires that work plans and technical or implementation reports containing geologic or engineering evaluations and/or judgments be performed under the direction of an appropriately registered or certified professional. For your submittal to be considered a valid technical report, you are to present site specific data, data interpretations, and recommendations prepared by an appropriately licensed professional and include the professional registration stamp, signature, and statement of professional certification. Please ensure all that all technical reports submitted for this fuel leak case meet this requirement.

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLEANUP FUND

Please note that delays in investigation, later reports, or enforcement actions may result in your becoming ineligible to receive grant money from the state's Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Senate Bill 2004) to reimburse you for the cost of cleanup.

AGENCY OVERSIGHT

If it appears as though significant delays are occurring or reports are not submitted as requested, we will consider referring your case to the Regional Board or other appropriate agency, including the County District Attorney, for possible enforcement actions. California Health and Safety Code, Section 25299.76 authorizes enforcement including administrative action or monetary penalties of up to \$10,000 per day for each day of violation.

Mr. Chet Green March 1, 2007 Page 4

If you have any questions, please call me at (510) 567-6791.

Sincerely,

RAL

Jerry Wickham, P.G. Hazardous Materials Specialist

Enclosure: ACEH Electronic Report Upload (ftp) Instructions

cc: Colleen Winey, QIC 80201 Zone 7 Water Agency 100 North Canyons Parkway Livermore, CA 94551

> Danielle Stefani Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department 3560 Nevada Street Pleasanton, CA 94566

Michael Zimmerman Bureau Veritas 6920 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 216 Pleasanton, CA 94566

Donna Drogos, ACEH Jerry Wickham, ACEH File **APPENDIX B**

SOIL BORING PERMITS

ZONE 7 WA	TER AGENCY MORE, CALIFORNIA 94551 VOICE (925) 454-5000 FAX (925) 454-5728
DRILLING PER	MIT APPLICATION
FOR APPLICANT TO COMPLETE	FOR OFFICE USE
LOCATION OF PROJECT 72910 Juhnsun Drive Fleasantin, CA	PERMIT NUMBER27037
	APN941-1300-014-00
CCNfl.CCEfl.Accuracy=fl.Accuracy=fl.	PERMIT CONDITIONS
	(Circled Permit Requirements Apply)
Name <u>Cloyor Services CO.</u> Address <u>7260 Juhnsim DV</u> Phone <u>725-425-442-1</u> City <u>LASEN-IM</u> <u>CIR</u> <u>Zip</u> <u>04588</u>	 A. GENERAL 1. A permit application should be submitted so as to arrive at the Zone 7 office five days prior to proposed starting date. 2. Submit to Zone 7 within 60 days after completion of nemitted
Address 1012 4011 Ctr. 244 Have Services Fax 925-426-0100 Address 1012 4011 Ctr. 2444 #210 Phone 925-424-21411 City Plens Anith of Zip 94524	 Work the original Department of Water Resources Water Wall Drillers Report or equivalent for well projects or drilling logs and location sketch for geotechnical projects. Permit is void if project not begun within 90 days of approval date.
TYPE OF PROJECT Geotechnical Investigation Well Construction Geotechnical Investigation Cathodic Protection Geotechnical Investigation Water Supply Geotechnical Investigation Water Supply Contamination Monitoring Well Destruction	 B. WATER SUPPLY WELLS 1. Minimum surface seal thickness is two inches of cement grout placed by tramie. 2. Minimum seal depth is 50 feet for municipal and industrial wells or 20 feet for domestic and irrigation wells unless a lesser depth is specially approved.
PROPOSED WELL USE Irrigation Irrigation New Domestic Irrigation Image: State	 An access port at least 0.5 inches in diameter is required on the wellhead for water level measurements. A sample port is required on the discharge pipe near the wellhead. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS INCLUDING
DRILLING METHOD: Mud Rotary G Air Rotary Holiow Stern Auger Cable Tool Direct Push St. Other G	 PIEZOMETERS Minimum surface seal thickness is two inchas of cement grout placed by tremie. Minimum seal depth for monitoring wells is the maximum depth prostilable as 20 fort
DRILLING COMPANY Gran Drilling DRILLER'S LICENSE NO. 485145	D. GEOTECHNICAL. Backfill bore hole with compacted cuttings or heavy bentonite and upper two feet with compacted material. In areas of known or suspected contamination, tremied cement grout
WELL PROJECTS Drill Hole Diameter in. Maximum Casing Dlameter in. Depth 75 Surface Seal Depth ft. Number I	Shall be used in place of compacted cuttings. CATHODIC. Fill hole above anode zone with concrete placed by tramie. WELL DESTRUCTION. See attached. SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Submit to Zone Z within 50 down after the
SOIL BORINGS Number of Borings Maximum Hole Diameterin. Depthft.	completion of permitted work the well installation report <u>Including all</u> soil and water laboratory analysis results.
ESTIMATED STARTING DATE 2/2/07 ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 2/2/07	Approved MMMAN HONA Date 2/23/07
I hereby agree to comply with all requirements of this permit and Alameda County Ordinance No. 73-68. APPLICANT'S	Wyman Hong

Date 2/2/07

ATTACH SITE PLAN OR SKETCH

SIGNATURE_

Fice

Revised: April 27, 2005

APPENDIX C

SOIL BORING LOGS

	B U V E		A U A S	•	LO S BO)g OI RI	OF L NG		Project No.: 33 ⁴ Project Name: Clo Location: 728 Logged By: A. I Start Date: 3/2/20 Finish Date: 3/2/20 Driller: Gregg Hammer Weight: Borehole Complet Depth To ∑ (ft) Time: Date:	07-007526.00 rox 30 Johnson Dr., Ple Florence 007 Start Tim 007 Finish Ti N/A ion Data: Neat Cen 41	asanton, CA le: 08:05 Eleva me: 11:15 Boring Drill Method: Di Drop: N/ ment Grout Depth To <u></u> (ft) Time: Date:	BORING NO. SB-1 tion (ft, msl): g Diameter (in): 2" rect Push 'A
SAMPLE INTERVAL	SAMPLE RECOVERY (in)	SAMPLE ID	PID READING (ppm)	TIME		DEPTH (ft) Sample	GRAPHIC LOG	NSCS		DESCF	RIPTION	
				0805		1	-		CONCRETE BACKFILL/PEA-	GRAVEL (hand-aug	ered)	
		5.0	0.0	0831		4		CL	CLAY (hand-aug	ered)		
	32	8.0	0.0	0833		6			black to gray, ve	ry compact, medium	plasticity, dry, no oc	lor
	20	12.0	0.0	0838		9 9 10 11 11						
	48	16.0	0.0	0844		12		CL	CLAY black to gray, ve	ry compact, medium	plasticity, dry, no oc	lor
	48	20.0	0.0	0853		16 17 18 18 19			CLAY black to gray, ve	ry compact, medium	plasticity, dry, no oc	lor

	LOG OF SOIL BORING								i	Project No.: 33107-007526.00 Project Name: Clorox Location: 7280 Johnson Dr., Pleasanton, CA Logged By: A Elorence
SAMPLE INTERVAL	SAMPLE RECOVERY (in)	SAMPLE ID	PID READING (ppm)	TIME			DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE GRAPHIC LOG	USCS	DESCRIPTION
	48	24.0	0.0	0852			21- 22- 23-			CLAY black to gray, very compact, medium plasticity, dry, no odor
	46	28.0	0.0	0858			24 - 25 - 26 - 27 -		C	soft, medium plasticity, moist CLAY black to gray, orange mottling, very compact, medium plasticity, dry, no odor
	46	32.0	0.0	0908			20 - 29 - 30 - 31 -		UL .	
	48	36.0	0.0	1015			32 - 33 - 34 - 35 -			CLAY black to gray, medium soft, medium plasticity, dry, no odor
	48	40.0	0.0	1025			36 - 37 - 38 - 39 - 40 -			CLAY black, soft, very plastic, moist, no odor
	42	44.0	0.3	1105		Ţ	41 42 43 44		СН	wet
\mid							-			

	LOG OF SOIL BORING									Project No.: 33107-007526.00 Project Name: Clorox Location: 7280 Johnson Dr., Pleasanton, CA Logged By: A. Florence	BORING NO.
SAMPLE INTERVAL	SAMPLE RECOVERY (in)	SAMPLE ID	PID READING (ppm)	TIME			DEPTH (ft)	sample GRAPHIC LOG	uscs	DESCRIPTION	
	48	48.0	0.0	1115			46 - 47 - 48 -		СН	CLAY black, soft, very plastic, moist, no odor	
	48	48.0	0.0	1115			47 - 48 - 49 - 50 - 51 - 52 - 53 - 54 - 55 - 56 - 57 - 58 - 59 - 60 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 64 - 65 - 66 -			EOB at 48 feet bgs.	
							67 - 68 - 69 -				

APPENDIX D

GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORTS

SEVERN TRENT **STL**

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Job Number: 720-8047-1

Job Description: Clorox

For: Bureau Veritas Clayton Group Services, Inc. 6920 Koll Center Parkway Suite 216 Pleasanton, CA 94566

Attention: Ms. Allison Florence

Mar

Dimple Sharma Project Manager I dsharma@stl-inc.com 03/13/2007 Revision: 1

cc: Mike Zimmerman

Project Manager: Dimple Sharma

Client: Bureau Veritas Date: 03/13/2007

Semi Volatiles GC Analysis

Other - Observation

DRO concentration is due to presence of a discrete peak.

Affected Items 720-8047-G-1-A +A

Batch: 720-19042 Method: 720-8015B_DRO

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - Detections

Client: Bureau Veritas

Job Number: 720-8047-1

Lab Sample ID Analyte	Sample ID Client Sample ID lyte Result / Qualifier		Reporting Limit Units Method		
720-8047-1	W-1				
<i>Silica Gel Cleanup</i> Diesel Range Organi	cs [C10-C28]	80	50	ug/L	8015B

METHOD SUMMARY

Client: Bureau Veritas

Description	Lab Location	Method	Preparation Method
Matrix: Water			
Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS Purge-and-Trap	STL SF STL SF	SW846 826	0B SW846 5030B
Nonhalogenated Organics using GC/FID -Modified (Diesel Range Organics)	STL SF	SW846 801	5B
Separatory Funnel Liquid-Liquid Extraction	STL SF		SW846 3510C SGC

LAB REFERENCES:

STL SF = STL San Francisco

METHOD REFERENCES:

SW846 - "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Client Matrix	Date/Time Sampled	Date/Time Received
720-8047-1	W-1	Water	03/02/2007 1245	03/02/2007 1600

Client Sample ID: W-1 Lab Sample ID: 720-8047-1 Date Sampled: 03/02/2007 1245 Client Matrix: Water Date Received: 03/02/2007 1600 8260B Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS Method: 8260B Analysis Batch: 720-19120 Instrument ID: Varian 3900E c:\varianws\data\200703\03 Preparation: 5030B Lab File ID: Dilution: 1.0 Initial Weight/Volume: 10 mL Date Analyzed: 03/07/2007 1549 Final Weight/Volume: 10 mL Date Prepared: 03/07/2007 1549 Qualifier RL Analyte Result (ug/L) Benzene ND 0.50 Ethylbenzene ND 0.50 MTBE ND 0.50 TAME ND 0.50 Toluene ND 0.50 Xylenes, Total ND 1.0 TBA ND 5.0 DIPE ND 1.0 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)-C5-C12 ND 50 Ethyl tert-butyl ether ND 0.50 Surrogate %Rec Acceptance Limits Toluene-d8 (Surr) 102 77 - 121 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 93 73 - 130

Analytical Data

Job Number: 720-8047-1

Client: Bureau Veritas

Client: Bureau	Veritas		Job Number: 720-8047-1
Client Sample ID	: W-1		
Lab Sample ID: Client Matrix:	720-8047-1 Water		Date Sampled:03/02/20071245Date Received:03/02/20071600
80	15B Nonhalogenated O	rganics using GC/FID -Modified	(Diesel Range Organics)
Method: Preparation: Dilution: Date Analyzed: Date Prepared:	8015B 3510C SGC 1.0 03/07/2007 0001 03/06/2007 1053	Analysis Batch: 720-19042 Prep Batch: 720-18987	Instrument ID: HP DRO5 Lab File ID: N/A Initial Weight/Volume: 250 mL Final Weight/Volume: 1 mL Injection Volume: Column ID: PRIMARY
Analyte		Result (ug/L)	Qualifier RL
Diesel Range Orga	anics [C10-C28]	80	50
Surrogate		%Rec	Acceptance Limits
o-Terphenyl		78	50 - 130
Capric Acid (Surr)		0	0 - 5

Analytical Data

DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS

Lab Section

Qualifier

Description

Client: Bureau Veritas

QC Association Summary

		Report			
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Basis	Client Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
GC/MS VOA					
Analysis Batch:720-1912	20				
LCS 720-19120/2	Lab Control Spike	Т	Water	8260B	
LCSD 720-19120/1	Lab Control Spike Duplicate	Т	Water	8260B	
MB 720-19120/4	Method Blank	Т	Water	8260B	
720-8047-1	W-1	Т	Water	8260B	
<u>Report Basis</u> T = Total					
GC Semi VOA					
Prep Batch: 720-18987					
LCS 720-18987/2-AA	Lab Control Spike	А	Water	3510C SGC	
LCSD 720-18987/3-AA	Lab Control Spike Duplicate	А	Water	3510C SGC	
MB 720-18987/1-AA	Method Blank	А	Water	3510C SGC	
720-8047-1	W-1	А	Water	3510C SGC	
Analysis Batch:720-1904	42				
LCS 720-18987/2-AA	Lab Control Spike	А	Water	8015B	720-18987
LCSD 720-18987/3-AA	Lab Control Spike Duplicate	А	Water	8015B	720-18987
MB 720-18987/1-AA	Method Blank	А	Water	8015B	720-18987
720-8047-1	W-1	А	Water	8015B	720-18987

Report Basis

A = Silica Gel Cleanup

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

Quality Control Results

Job Number: 720-8047-1

Method Blank - Batch: 720-19120

Client: Bureau Veritas

Lab Sample ID:MB 720-19120/4Client Matrix:WaterDilution:1.0Date Analyzed:03/07/2007Date Prepared:03/07/20071046

Analysis Batch:	720-19120
Prep Batch: N/A	
Units: ug/L	

Method: 8260B Preparation: 5030B

Instrument ID: Varian 3900E Lab File ID: c:\varianws\data\200703\03 Initial Weight/Volume: 10 mL Final Weight/Volume: 10 mL

Analyte	Result	Qual	RL
Benzene	ND		0.50
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.50
MTBE	ND		0.50
TAME	ND		0.50
Toluene	ND		0.50
Xylenes, Total	ND		1.0
TBA	ND		5.0
DIPE	ND		1.0
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)-C5-C12	ND		50
Ethyl tert-butyl ether	ND		0.50
Surrogate	% Rec	Acceptance Lir	nits
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	95	77 - 121	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	97	73 - 130	

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

Page 11 of 14

nent.	Duieau	venias	

Job Number: 720-8047-1

Lab Control Spike/ Lab Control Spike Duplicate Recovery Report - Batch: 720-19120				Method: 8260B Preparation: 5030B		
LCS Lab Sample IE Client Matrix: Dilution: Date Analyzed: Date Prepared:	D: LCS 720-19120/2 Water 1.0 03/07/2007 0940 03/07/2007 0940	Analysis Batch: 720- Prep Batch: N/A Units: ug/L	-19120	Instrument ID: Varian 3900E Lab File ID: c:\varianws\data\200703\03 Initial Weight/Volume: 10 mL Final Weight/Volume: 10 mL		
LCSD Lab Sample Client Matrix: Dilution: Date Analyzed: Date Prepared:	ID: LCSD 720-19120/1 Water 1.0 03/07/2007 1002 03/07/2007 1002	Analysis Batch: 720- Prep Batch: N/A Units: ug/L	-19120	Instrument ID: Varian 3900E Lab File ID: c:\varianws\data\200703\030 Initial Weight/Volume: 10 mL Final Weight/Volume: 10 mL		

	o	% Rec.					
Analyte	LCS	LCSD	Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	LCS Qual	LCSD Qual
Benzene	87	89	69 - 129	2	25		
МТВЕ	95	88	65 - 165	8	25		
Toluene	102	105	70 - 130	3	25		
Surrogate	L	.CS % Rec	LCSD %	Rec	Accep	otance Limits	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	1	02	101		7	7 - 121	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	g	4	89		7	3 - 130	

Client: Bureau Veritas

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

o-Terphenyl

65

Page 12 of 14

66

Client Matrix:	Water	Prep Batch: 72	0-18987		Lab File ID: N/A
Dilution:	1.0	Units: ug/L			Initial Weight/Volume: 250 mL
Date Analyzed:	03/07/2007 0001				Final Weight/Volume: 1 mL
Date Prepared:	03/06/2007 1053				Column ID: PRIMARY
Analyte		Resu	It	Qual	RL
Diesel Range O	organics [C10-C28]	ND			50
Surrogate		% F	Rec		Acceptance Limits
o-Terphenyl		71			50 - 130
Capric Acid (Su	rr)	0			0 - 5
Lab Control	Spike/				Method: 8015B
Lab Control	Spike Duplicate Recovery	Report - Batch	: 720-18987		Preparation: 3510C SGC
					Silica Gel Cleanup
LCS Lab Samp	le ID: LCS 720-18987/2-AA	Analysis Batch	n: 720-19042		Instrument ID: HP DRO5
Client Matrix:	Water	Prep Batch:	720-18987		Lab File ID: N/A
Dilution:	1.0	Units: ug/L			Initial Weight/Volume: 250 mL
Date Analyzed:	03/07/2007 0001				Final Weight/Volume: 1 mL
Date Prepared:	03/06/2007 1053				
					Column ID: PRIMARY
LCSD Lab Sam	ple ID: LCSD 720-18987/3-AA	Analysis Batch	n: 720-19042		Instrument ID: HP DRO5
Client Matrix:	Water	Prep Batch:	720-18987		Lab File ID: N/A
Dilution:	1.0	Units: ug/L			Initial Weight/Volume: 250 mL
Date Analyzed:	03/07/2007 0001				Final Weight/Volume: 1 mL
Date Prepared:	03/06/2007 1053				Injection Volume:
					Column ID: PRIMARY
		<u>% Rec.</u>			
Analyte		LCS LCSI	D Limit	RPI) RPD Limit LCS Qual LCSD Qual
Diesel Range C	Organics [C10-C28]	60 60	50 - 130) 1	30
Surrogate		LCS % R	ec LCSE	0 % Rec	Acceptance Limits

Analysis Batch: 720-19042

Method Blank - Batch: 720-18987

Lab Sample ID: MB 720-18987/1-AA

Method: 8015B

Preparation: 3510C SGC Silica Gel Cleanup Instrument ID: HP DRO5

50 - 130

Job Number: 720-8047-1

CHAIN OF CUSTODY 720-8047

104(350) Page(_ of <u>(</u>.

14

Page 13 of

Lab: STL

TAT: 5-day

leport results to:												-				
lame	Allison Flo	orence			-							t r	Project Inte	ormation	007526 00	
Company	Clayton G	Group Service	ces		-							ł	Project NO.	<u>- 33107-</u>	007520.00	<u> </u>
Aailing Address	6920 Koll Center Parkway, Ste. 216					-							vame			
City, State, Zip	Pleasanton, California 94566				-							L	ocation	7280 J0	onnson Drive, Plea	asanton
elephone No.	(925) 426	-2600				.=										
⁻ ax No.	(925) 426-0106					Analyses Requested										
special instructions and/or s	specific regulat	ory requireme	nts:		015M (with silica gel clean	T <u>BE, and fuel</u> tes 8260 <u>B</u>										ive
				·	<u>0</u> .0	X. M lena							Same	ala Condi	tion/Comments	erva
n	Date	Time	Matrix/ Media	No. of	친여	MAKE IN THE							Jaing			Pres
sample identification	Sampled	Sampled	Micala	Ocinto.												HCL and
W-1	3/2/2007	1245	water	7	X	×			_			↓				ice
TEAPBI WM. K.																
PULLET																
			<u> </u>	1	+	-			+		-					
	/		<u> </u>						_			+	<u>_</u>			+
																4
	+															
									-						<u> </u>	
	1									+	<u> </u>	╉──┼				
	+		1							1 1	1					
					<u> </u>											
Collected by:	Allisin	Frein						Colle	tor's	s Signa	ature:	$\frac{1}{\mathcal{A}}$			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Collected by: Relinguished by:	Ailisin	Fren	Date/Time					Colle	tor's	Signa	ature:	A	2 2 anhul	km	 Date/Time	132 20)
Collected by: Relinquished by: Relinquished by:	Allisin	Fluin	 Date/Time Date/Time					Colle Recei Recei	tor's ved l	Signa by:	ature:	A +07	2 2 an hul		Date/Time	4,3:24 2,07

LOGIN SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECK LIST

Client: Bureau Veritas

Job Number: 720-8047-1

Login Number: 8047

Question	T/F/NA	Comment
Radioactivity either was not measured or, if measured, is at or below background	NA	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	NA	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
There are no discrepancies between the sample IDs on the containers and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time.	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
VOA sample vials do not have headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4") in diameter.	True	
If necessary, staff have been informed of any short hold time or quick TAT needs	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	