

MITIGATION

FILE OR PER NO. } ENVELOPE No. _____ of _____

OWNER INDUSTRIAL ASPHALT
Address 1645 Stanley Blvd.
Pleasanton Phone _____

Contractor
Address _____
Phone _____

OTHER (Specify)
Address _____
Phone _____

CONTACT FOR INVESTIGATION

PLAN REVIEW		By	Date			By	Date
\$ 800.	Rec'd.	Am	4/28				
No.	Plans Rec'd.						
Plans Approved							
Layout Made							
Rejected							
Applicant Notified							
Plans Returned							
Permit Issued							
CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS ACCEPTANCE							
Pre-Plaster/drywall							
Pre-Final							
Final							

	By	Date
POOL Pre-Concrete/Gunite		
POOL Pre-Plaster		
POOL Final		
EXCAVATION Septic Tank		
EXCAVATION Absorption Field		
EXCAVATION Absorption Bed		
EXCAVATION House Sewer		
FINAL Septic Tank		
FINAL Absorption Field		
FINAL Absorption Bed		
OTHER		

Handwritten notes and scribbles in the right column of the table, including "U.G. TANKS" and "1057".

REMARKS		Date	By	REMARKS
3/5/90	GW			Phone call from K. Jeconck, Thiesfelder, who said that the core drilling effort is not going too well, due to auger refusal at about 75 ft. Continuous core samples may not be able to be collected, which could reduce the amount of info. available for the pilot study.
		1/31/91		layers at about 110 feet, and a 10-foot zone of free product at about 15 feet in one boring. Several changes in scope of work discussed as a result of the confining layer discovery. Review RI report and baseline health risk assessment: conclusion that no health risks beyond 1 x 10 ⁻⁶ will occur, and that further remediation will be based solely on GW degradation concerns.
6/8		2/2		(Saturday) On-site for final soil removal.

LOCATION

Vicinity Map

Project # 1552803

Fee Paid \$800.00

Date 6/28/89

REMARKS			REMARKS			REMARKS		
Date	By		Date	By		Date	By	
2/15/91		met w/ Lester & others to discuss ATO; he does not "buy" conclusion of risk assessment, and GW cleanup must occur. I need to send letter to Ind. Asphalt.	5/15	GW	meeting in our office w/ Ind. Asphalt, Kleinfelder, DA, and RWQCB. A remediation system for soil/GW, as we and the RWQCB are requiring, is likely to be quite expensive. However, Kleinfelder will prepare a feasibility study, and submit it to the office and the Board within 90 days (Aug. 15). Rico Duazo will remain RWQCB contact, and we will remain the "lead agency."			
2/21		Prepare letter to Industrial Asphalt.						
3/28		Review 1 st Q 1991 monitoring report, as well as letter "rebuttal" to my 2/21 letter. GW flow direction has changed slightly, is now north; GW levels have risen since last sampling, and there is up to 10 ppb PCB and 440 ppm HCS in MWS. No BTEX in MW-1 or Jamison well. Kleinfelder doubts by its conclusions of risk assessment wants to have meeting w/ us to RWQCB.						