

9/30/96 Meeting @ RWQCB

Ekotek - EK I, A. Safford, T. Erler, W. Wick, K. Pickford <sup>working w/w. Wick</sup>  
also P. Caherty, D. Breugal, R. Creps, J. Kessler

- 1) Addal offsite (south) investigation - 6 AP's, 5 colinear, 1-High St.
- 2) Risk Assessment evaluate resident exposure
- 3) RAP.

<sup>PIP's</sup> High St. for VC opposite side of at of Shell station:  
will be providing a WP

Risk Assessment


- commercial bld operator .03 HI,  $9 \times 10^{-6}$
- maintenance worker inhalation of vapors from soil + GW

.02 HI,  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$

VC - used max. conc found in GW ( $\sim 4 \text{ mg/l}$ )

draft will be given: for Kari review

RAP - passive FP removal - propose 23 <sup>passive</sup> screening wells driven by  
technics of FP.



S.A. - concerned must re: VC + examining other technology in  
a feasibility study.

- R.M. Plan - resp of developer (T. Erler)

## Questions:

- 1) What about FP in the area adjacent to the most? Wasn't F.P. found there at one time?
- 2) Why isn't a more aggressive F.P. method proposed? How about ~~ex~~ extraction trenches, leading to an extraction sump?
- 3) What about adding off-site wells?
- 4) What if the conc. doesn't attenuate even w/ FP removal?