By Alameda County Environmental Health at 2:37 pm, May 16, 2014

RECEIVED

# FIRST SEMI-ANNUAL 2014 GROUNDWATER MONITORING, PRODUCT EXTRACTION, AND LIMITED SUBSURAFCE INVESTIGATION REPORT

## BRIDGEWATER APARTMENTS PHASE I PARKING GARAGE 6400 CHRISTIE AVENUE EMERYVILLE, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

EMERYBAY COMMERCIAL ASSOCIATION EMERYVILLE, CA 94608

May 2014



Geoscience & Engineering Consulting

Enybronmental Solutions, Inc.

## FIRST SEMI-ANNUAL 2014 GROUNDWATER MONITORING, PRODUCT EXTRACTION, AND LIMITED SUBSURAFCE INVESTIGATION REPORT

## BRIDGEWATER APARTMENTS PHASE I PARKING GARAGE 6400 CHRISTIE AVENUE EMERYVILLE, CALIFORNIA

**Prepared for:** 

## EMERYBAY COMMERCIAL ASSOCIATION 6475 CHRISTIE AVENUE, SUITE 550 EMERYVILLE, CA 94608

**Prepared by:** 

STELLAR ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, INC. 2198 SIXTH STREET BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94710

May 14, 2014

Project No. 2007-65



2198 Sixth Street, Suite 201-Berkeley, CA 94710 Tel: (510)644-3123 · Fax: (510)644-3859

GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTING

May 14, 2014

Mr. Mark Detterman Hazardous Materials Specialist Alameda County Department of Environmental Health Local Oversight Program 1131 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 250 Alameda, California 94502

Subject: First Semiannual 2014 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction, and Limited Subsurface Investigation Report, Bridgewater Apartments Phase I Condo Parking Garage 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California

Dear Mr. Detterman:

Enclosed is the Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. report summarizing the site investigation and semiannual monitoring activities conducted in January through April 2014 at the referenced site. This report is being submitted on behalf of the owner and Responsible Party, Emerybay Commercial Association. The subject site activities included a limited subsurface investigation in the area between monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-18, a product extraction event and the first semiannual 2014 groundwater monitoring event.

This report summarizes the 21<sup>st</sup> sampling event conducted at the site since 1988, and includes results of a limited subsurface investigation conducted between wells MW-3 and MW-18 in an effort to better understand the 2013 increase in diesel concentration in well MW-3. Although the bulk of the residual contamination beneath the site remains concentrated around wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14 and MW-15, and the plume underlying the parking garage had been relatively stable until late 2012, construction dewatering occurring at the re-development site across 64<sup>th</sup> Street during 2013 influenced groundwater flow direction, and was suspected to have mobilized contaminants towards MW-3. In accordance with regulatory requirements, an electronic copy of this report has been uploaded to ACEH and to the State Water Resources Control Board's GeoTracker system.

We declare, under penalty of perjury, that the information and/or recommendations contained in the attached document or report are true and correct to the best of our knowledge. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact us at (510) 644-3123.

Sincerely,

New Markdin

Richard S. Makdisi, P.G. Principal Geochemist & President

Katly Collins

No 4852 right of CALIFORN

Ms. Katherine Collins Emerybay Commercial Assoc.

P:/PROJECTS/BAY CENTER (2007-65)/Reports/2014 GWM/1st Semiannual Event/RO#2799\_1st Semiannal GW Event-March 2014.doc

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

## Section

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
	Project Background1Site and Vicinity Description1Previous Investigations1Objectives and Scope of Work6Regulatory Oversight6
2.0	PHYSICAL SETTING
	Topography and Drainage7Geology7Groundwater Hydrology8
3.0	MARCH 2014 GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING ACTIVITIES10
	Sampling Methods and Activities10Current Monitoring Event10
4.0	REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS, ANALYTICAL RESULTS, AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS
	Regulatory Considerations    13      Groundwater Sample Results    14
5.0	FREE-PHASE HYDROCARBON PRODUCT REMEDIATION SYSTEM 23
	LNAPL Remediation System Construction23Historical Free Product Extraction24Effectiveness of 2013 surfactant injection24March 2014 Product Removal Event25Discussion29
6.0	GRAB-GROUNDWATER SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION
7.0	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND PROPOSED ACTIONS
	Findings and Conclusions

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Section	n	Page
	Recommendations	41
8.0	REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY	
9.0	LIMITATIONS	

## Appendices

Appendix A	Historical Groundwater Well Analytical Results
Appendix B	Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheets
Appendix C	Analytical Laboratory Report and Chain-of-Custody Record
Appendix D	Historical Groundwater Elevation Data
Appendix E	Historical Product Extraction Data Table
Appendix F	Purge water Disposal Information and Enviro-Clean MSDS
Appendix G	March 2014 Soil Boring Logs
Appendix H	March 2014 Photo-documentation
Appendix I	March 2014 Grab Groundwater Sample Analytical Laboratory Report and Chain-
	of-Custody Record

## **TABLES AND FIGURES**

Tables Page
Table 1March 27, 2014 Groundwater Monitoring Well Constructionand Groundwater Elevation Data 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California11
Table 2Groundwater Sample Analytical Results – March 27, 20146400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California
Table 3Passive Skimmer Product Extraction in Trenches– March 25 and 26, 20146400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California
Table 4Active Product Extraction – 6400 Christie Avenue,Emeryville, California, March 25 and 26, 2014
Table 5Grab Groundwater Analytical Results – 6400 Christie Avenue,Emeryville, California, March 11, 201434
Figures Page
Figure 1 Site Location Map
Figure 2 Site Plan
Figure 3 Monitoring Well and Trench Locations
Figure 4 Groundwater Elevation Map – March 2013
Figure 5 Groundwater Monitoring Well Analytical Results – March 2013 15
Figure 6 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Plume as Gasoline – March 2013 17
Figure 7 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Plume as Diesel – March 2013 18
Figure 8 Historical Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel – Wells MW-5 and MW-6 20
Figure 9 Historical Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel – Wells MW-11 and MW-12.21
Figure 10 Historical Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel – Wells MW-3 and MW-18.22
Figure 11 Free Product Extraction Comparison- Yearly Basis
Figure 12 Soil Boring Locations, March 11, 2014
Figure 13 Ground Water Grab Sample Analytical Results, March 11, 2014

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

## **PROJECT BACKGROUND**

The subject property, located at 6400 Christie Avenue in Emeryville, California, is owned by the Emerybay Commercial Association, for which Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental) provides environmental consulting services. The site has undergone fuel tank-related investigations and remediation since 1988 (by Stellar Environmental since 2007). All known environmental documents for the subject property are listed in the References and Bibliography section (Section 7.0) of this report. Previous remediation and investigation activities are outlined in the final subsection of this chapter.

## SITE AND VICINITY DESCRIPTION

The project site is located at 6400 Christie Avenue in Emeryville, California (see Figure 1). The project site, is wholly developed with an open ground-floor parking area and apartment complex known as the Bridgewater Apartments and parking garage. The area of monitoring and product extraction is primarily located in the northeastern portion of the parking garage. Figure 2 is a site plan. The site is bordered to the east by the Emery Bay Phase II Condos and parking garage, to the north by 65<sup>th</sup> Avenue, beyond Christie Avenue and to the west by the Bay Center Offices, and to the south by 64<sup>th</sup> Avenue. The surrounding area is developed with apartment complexes, offices, and commercial stores.

## PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

Historical groundwater well analytical results are presented in Appendix A, and are discussed in detail in Section 5.0 of this report.

The subject property parcel was developed as early as 1958 with the Garrett Motor Freight Station, associated with Delta Lines, Inc. The Delta Lines complex contained an "Oil and Gas" building, located at the site of the present-day apartment complex and parking garage. The trucking terminal remained on the property until 1986, when it was demolished to build the present-day structures. Twelve underground fuel storage tanks (UFSTs) containing diesel and gasoline were removed from the property parcels in 1987, at which time soil and groundwater contamination was discovered.





2007-65-80

The subsurface contamination originated from the trucking terminal that was operated by the Garrett Freight Line and Delta Lines, and existed at the site of the Bridgewater Apartments before its development in the late 1980s. Site investigations identified a total of 12 UFSTs in three areas of the trucking terminal. These UFST areas were referred to as: 1) Tank Pits A and B (each containing one 10,000-gallon diesel tank); 2) Tank Pit TC-1 (four 12,000-gallon diesel tanks, two 10,000-gallon diesel tanks, and one 6,000-gallon gasoline tank); and 3) Tank Pit WO-1 and WO-2 (one 6,000-gallon tank, one 4,000-gallon tank, and one 1,000-gallon tank). Nine UFSTs were located beneath the current footprint of the Apartment complex, while three were beneath the Emery Bay Phase II Condo complex to the east. Figure 2 shows the historical locations where the tanks were removed.

To remediate the hydrocarbon contamination beneath the affected area beneath the parking garage, a light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) groundwater pump-and-treat system was installed by Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI) in 1989. The system extracted approximately one million gallons of groundwater, yielding approximately 100 gallons of LNAPL from recovery well RW-1 from July 1990 to March 1991. Three monitoring wells had previously been installed in 1985. GTI installed (and repaired) several more monitoring wells between 1987 and 1990, for a total of seven monitoring wells and one extraction well by 1990. The system and groundwater monitoring wells were designed and monitored as a condition of discharge permits granted by the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). The first groundwater monitoring event for wells MW-1 through MW-6 occurred in December 1988. The second monitoring event, which also included MW-E and RW-1, was conducted in March 1989. Subsequently, the groundwater extraction system operated by GTI was closed in late 1990 when corrosion and other mechanical problems caused the system to fail. Recovery of LNAPL continued manually on RW-1 until 1991, and a third groundwater sampling event occurred in February 1991. In 1994, the GTI system was abandoned. Appendix A contains the historical analytical results. Figure 3 shows the locations of the monitoring wells and trenches.

No groundwater monitoring events had occurred at the site between 1991 and 2004, when PES Environmental, Inc. (PES) was retained to evaluate and implement remediation of the residual contamination at the TC-1 (former location of seven UFSTs) area. (Note: Harding Lawson Associates conducted soil and groundwater sampling on the Phase II Apartment complex area to the east during this time, but not for the purpose of product extraction or remediation.) In 2004, PES installed an additional 10 groundwater monitoring wells (monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2 were either abandoned or paved over with asphalt during construction), bringing the current total to 17 monitoring wells and one extraction well in the Bridgewater Apartments parking garage area. The first groundwater monitoring event for the current wells was conducted in March 2004 and the second event conducted in December 2006. A previous Stellar Environmental report



(Stellar Environmental, 2007) discusses previous site remediation and investigations, site geology and hydrogeology, and residual site contamination. Tabular summaries of historical groundwater well water elevations and analytical results are included in Appendices D and A, respectively.

## **OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE OF WORK**

This report discusses the following activities conducted/coordinated by Stellar Environmental in the current semi-annual monitoring period:

- LNAPL passive product extraction from Trenches A and C, and active product extraction on select groundwater monitoring wells, trench sump wells, and recovery well RW-1
- Measuring water levels in site wells to determine groundwater flow direction
- Sampling of site wells for contaminant analysis
- Collection and laboratory analyses of grab groundwater samples from three borings advanced between wells MW-3 and MW-18
- Evaluation of hydrochemical and groundwater elevation trends in the context of plume stability and case closure assessment

#### **REGULATORY OVERSIGHT**

Alameda Department of Environmental Health (ACEH) is the lead regulatory agency for the case, acting as a Local Oversight Program for the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board). There are currently no ACEH or Water Board cleanup orders for the site; however, all site work has been conducted under the oversight of ACEH. ACEH assigned the site to its fuel leak case system (RO #2799), and the case officer is currently Mr. Mark Detterman (who replaced Ms. Barbara Jakub of ACEH in mid-2010). In a November 2008 meeting with the Responsible Party (represented by Ms. Sarah Irving), Stellar Environmental (represented by Ms. Teal Glass and Mr. Richard Makdisi), and ACEH (represented by Ms. Jakub and Ms. Donna Drogos), it was agreed that quarterly sampling could be reduced to a semiannual schedule with the stipulation that an indoor air and preferential pathway study be completed. Stellar Environmental submitted a letter on November 24, 2008 to ACEH documenting the change in sampling frequency. The Indoor Air Survey and Preferential Pathway Report (Stellar Environmental, 2009b) was submitted to ACEH on April 6, 2009. Stellar Environmental has conducted additional indoor air surveys in the ground floor office area in March 2010 and December 2013. The case has been assigned No. SLT2O05561 in the Water Board's GeoTracker system. Electronic uploads of required data/reports are submitted to both ACEH and the State Geotracker database.

## 2.0 PHYSICAL SETTING

The following evaluation of the physical setting of the site—including topography, drainage, and geologic and hydrogeologic conditions—is based on previous (1986 through 2006) site investigations conducted by others, and site inspections and subsurface data collection by Stellar Environmental in 2007 and 2008.

## TOPOGRAPHY AND DRAINAGE

The mean elevation of the property is about 13 feet above mean sea level, and the general topographic gradient in the vicinity of the property is to the southwest, although the regional gradient is to the west-southwest.

The nearest receiving water body is San Francisco Bay, located approximately 700 feet to the west of the subject property. East of the site lies the Oakland/Berkeley Hills, which rise to an elevation of approximately 1,000 feet and are situated 2.5 miles east of the subject property. The subject property is not listed within a 100- or 500-year flood zone.

Storm drains from the roof collect storm runoff for discharge onto the asphalt-paved parking lots. Drainage collected in storm sewers from the parking lot and from Christie, 64<sup>th</sup>, and 65<sup>th</sup> Streets discharges into San Francisco Bay. Several storm drains exist in the parking lot area and on the surrounding streets.

## GEOLOGY

The subject property area is underlain with material mapped "Qhbm," designated early pleistocene alluvium, that is moderately consolidated, deeply weathered, poorly sorted, irregularly interbedded clay, silt, sand, and gravel. A geotechnical survey conducted in 1985 revealed that the upper 15 to 20 feet of soil consists of a combination of fill and soft bay sediment. The upper 1 to 2 feet of soil is generally pavement and imported fill. This is underlain by approximately 20 feet of firm soil consisting of primarily dense, silty sand with intermittent layers of silty and sandy clay. Stiff to very stiff clay lies a depth of approximately 40 feet and extends to the depth of the borings, approximately 101.5 feet (Geomatrix, 1988).

The closest major fault, the Hayward Fault, is located about 3 miles east of the property. While the site is located in a seismically active area, it is not within an Alquist-Priolo Special Studies active

fault zone, the legislatively defined zone of restricted land use 200 feet around an active fault due to the high probability of ground rupture.

## **GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY**

Regulatory agency records indicate that the direction of shallow groundwater flow in the site vicinity is to the west-northwest, toward San Francisco Bay. However, water levels and flow direction in this area are influenced by tidal patterns, natural topography modifications and the historical LNAPL, resulting in a relatively slow moving and stable plume pattern.

The groundwater flow direction measured during the March 2014 monitoring event was generally towards the southwest. The localized, approximately southerly direction to groundwater flow in the area of MW-3 noted in the two previous monitoring events, thought to be the result of construction dewatering that had been occurring during 2013 at the re-development site across 64<sup>th</sup> Street, was not as pronounced for the current event, since that de-watering has been discontinued since February of 2014. According to current and historical water level data obtained from onsite monitoring wells, depth to groundwater beneath the site ranges from approximately 6 to 11 feet below ground surface (bgs). Groundwater elevations recorded during the March 2014 sampling event ranged from 7.01 (MW-3) to 10.49 (MW-6) feet above mean sea level. Some groundwater mounding may be occurring in area of MW-4 and MW-6 due to contributions from irrigation. The average groundwater gradient for the current monitoring event was 0.003 foot/foot.

Figure 4 is a groundwater elevation map from the recent groundwater-monitoring event (activities discussed in Section 4.0).



## 3.0 MARCH 2014 GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

This section presents the groundwater sampling and analytical methods for the most recent event. Table 1 summarizes monitoring well construction and groundwater monitoring data. Groundwater analytical results are summarized in Section 4.0.

#### SAMPLING METHODS AND ACTIVITIES

Activities for this event include:

- Measuring static water levels in all 18 wells
- Collecting post-purge groundwater samples from the 18 wells for laboratory analysis of the following contaminants:
  - benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes (BTEX)
  - methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE)
  - total volatile hydrocarbons as gasoline (TVHg)
  - total extractable hydrocarbons as diesel (TEHd)

The site monitoring well sampling locations are shown on Figure 3. Well construction information and water level data are summarized in Table 1. Appendix B contains the groundwater monitoring field records.

#### **CURRENT MONITORING EVENT**

Blaine Tech Services conducted groundwater monitoring well level measurements, purging, sampling, and field analyses on March 27, 2014 under the supervision of Stellar Environmental personnel. Groundwater sampling was conducted in accordance with State of California guidelines for sampling dissolved analytes in groundwater associated with leaking UFSTs. As the first task of the monitoring event, static water levels and free product levels were measured in the 18 wells using an electric water level indicator. The depth of free product was recorded, and the water level was adjusted to reflect the groundwater elevation.

#### Table 1

#### March 27, 2014

## Groundwater Monitoring Well Construction and Groundwater Elevation Data 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California

Well	Well Depth (feet bgs)	Screened Interval	Top of Well Casing Elevation <sup>(a)</sup>	Depth to Free Product (TOC)	Thickness of Free Product (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (March 27, 2014)
MW-3	25	5 to 20	16.65	9.61	0.03	7.01
MW-4	25	5 to 20	16.29	NP	NP	8.57
MW-5	25	5 to 20	16.72	NP	NP	7.04
MW-6	25	5 to 20	16.82	NP	NP	10.49
MW-7	20	5 to 20	17.73	NP	NP	6.91
MW-8	16	5 to 16	17.84	10.19	0.03	7.62
MW-9	20	5 to 20	17.84	NP	NP	7.83
MW-10	20	5 to 20	17.83	9.64	0.28	7.91
MW-11	20	5 to 20	17.76	NP	NP	7.43
MW-12	20	5 to 20	17.83	NP	NP	8.28
MW-13	20	5 to 20	17.66	NP	NP	8.08
MW-14	20	5 to 20	17.60	NP	NP	8.12
MW-15	20	5 to 20	17.80	NM	NM	7.62
MW-16	20	5 to 20	17.74	NP	NP	7.93
MW-17	20	5 to 20	18.17	NP	NP	8.17
MW-18	20	5 to 20	16.35	NP	NP	7.16
MW-E	47	7 to 40	17.47	NP	NP	7.32
RW-1	30	unknown	16.70	9.12	0.01	NM
ТА-Е	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.20	NM	NM	NM
ТА-М	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.21	NM	NM	NM
TA-W	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.28	NM	NM	NM
TB-E	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.24	NM	NM	NM
TB-M	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.30	NM	NM	NM
TB-W	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.33	NM	NM	NM
ТС-Е	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.07	NM	NM	NM
TC-M	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.37	NM	NM	NM
TC-W	11-13	6-8 to 11-13	17.32	NM	NM	NM

Notes:

<sup>(a)</sup> Relative to mean sea level.

(b) Depth to groundwater and/or of free product could not be determined because free product density would not allow a clear delineation.

bgs = below ground surface

TOC = below top of casing

NP = no free product in well)

NM = depth to groundwater and/or free product could not be determined due to the presence of product

MW-3 through MW-6 and MW-E are 2-inch PVC. MW-7 through MW-18 are 3/4-inch PVC. RW-1 is 10-inch steel.

P:/PROJECTS/BAY CENTER (2007-65)/Reports/2014 GWM/1st Semiannual Event/RO#2799\_1st Semiannal GW Event-March 2014.doc

Approximately 50 gallons of purge water and equipment decontamination rinse water from the current groundwater sampling event was placed in the onsite 1,100 gallon above ground storage tank (AST) located in a locked fenced area on the northeast corner of the property. In addition, approximately 1,050 gallons of water and 0.338 gallons of product were removed/purged from wells during the active product removal including about 2 ounces of product that was recovered by the passive product skimmer in trench well TA-E.

Safety Kleen Corporation visited the site on May 13, 2014 to vacuum out and transport the estimated 1,100 gallons of water and oil to its recycling facility under manifest using EPA ID No. CAL000374146 (see Appendix F).

## 4.0 REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS, ANALYTICAL RESULTS, AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

This section presents the analytical results of the most recent monitoring event and summarizes the relevant regulatory considerations. Appendix C contains the certified analytical laboratory report and chain-of-custody record.

## **REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS**

As specified in the East Bay Plain Groundwater beneficial Use Evaluation Report by the San Francisco Bay Region Water Board (Water Board, 1999), all groundwater is considered a potential source of drinking water unless otherwise indicated by the Water Board, and is assumed to ultimately discharge to a surface water body and potentially impact aquatic organisms. The subject property is listed as occurring within Zone B, designated as groundwater that is unlikely to be used as a drinking water resource. The basin is shallow in this area, with depths of less than 300 feet. Groundwater in this area is used for backyard irrigation, industrial supply, and commercial irrigation. There is a low likelihood that this water will be used as a public water supply in the near future.

The Water Board publishes Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) for residential and commercial/industrial properties where groundwater <u>is/is not</u> a likely drinking water resource. As stipulated in the ESL document (Water Board, 2013), ESLs are not cleanup criteria; rather, they are conservative screening-level criteria designed to be protective of both drinking water resources and aquatic environments. The groundwater ESLs are composed of one or more components—including ceiling value, human toxicity, indoor air impacts, and aquatic life protection. Exceedance of ESLs suggests that additional remediation and/or investigation (e.g., monitoring plume stability to demonstrate no risk to sensitive receptors where drinking water is not threatened) may be warranted. Because the subject property is a residential property where groundwater is not a likely drinking water resource, the contaminant levels at the site will be compared to the ESLs for these criteria.

Contaminants detected above the ESLs during this sampling event include gasoline, diesel, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes. In general, concentrations of gasoline and diesel have decreased as compared to both the previous quarter and the same quarter last year.

#### **GROUNDWATER SAMPLE RESULTS**

Table 2 and Figure 5 summarize the contaminant analytical results of the current monitoring event samples.

	Analytical Results						
Well ID	TVHg	TEHd	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
MW-3	270	1,600	1.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
MW-4	<50	380	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
MW-5	<50	2,300	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
MW-6	65	830	0.81	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 2.0
MW-7	1,900	8,200	440	22	14	63	<29
MW-8	23,000	13,000	6,800	96	620	200	<200
MW-9	140	7,300	9.8	2.0	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
MW-10	6,200	3,300	940	43	<0.5	5.3	<40
MW-11	2,000	5,700	60	<0.5	3.8	15.2	<2.0
MW-12	10,000	4,500	2,500	39	68	55	<100
MW-13	20,000	19,000	3,700	120	710	361	<200
MW-14	6,900	8,200	2,100	220	170	155	<110
MW-15	12,000	2,200	3,900	75	30	54	<2.0
MW-16	76	5,900	11	1.2	<0.5	0.94	<2.0
MW-17	8,600	2,600	1,800	150	320	118	<67
MW-18	<50	8,200	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<2.0
MW-E	9,500	5,600	3,200	110	240	178	<140
RW-1	410	4,700	1.3	1.0	2.4	3.4	<2.0
ESLs <sup>(a)</sup>	100 / 500	100 / 640	1.0 / 27	40 / 130	30 / 43	20 / 100	5.0 / 1,800

# Table 2Groundwater Sample Analytical Results – March 27, 20146400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California

Notes:

<sup>(a)</sup> Water Board Environmental Screening Levels for residential sites where groundwater <u>is/is not</u> a drinking water resource (Water Board, 2013).

MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether

TEHd = total extractable hydrocarbons - diesel range (equivalent to total extractable hydrocarbons - diesel range)TVHg = total volatile hydrocarbons - gasoline range (equivalent to total volatile hydrocarbons - gasoline range)

All concentrations are expressed in micrograms per liter ( $\mu g/L$ ), equivalent to parts per billion (ppb). Results listed in **bold-face type** are at or above the ESLs where groundwater *is not* a drinking water resource.



2007-65-83

#### Hydrocarbon Contaminants

Hydrocarbon concentrations in groundwater show an overall significant decrease compared to the previous semi-annual monitoring event in September 2013. However, during the March 2014 sampling event, several wells had reported hydrocarbon concentrations greatly in excess of the Water Board ESLs. The hydrocarbon dissolved concentrations in wells can be significantly affected by the purging of accumulated hydrocarbons product, so large swings in concentration (both reductions and increases) are possible due to this occurrence. In addition, the 2013 introduction of a surfactant (see Section 5) into wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14 with the goal of reducing the accumulated heavy product fraction in those wells is likely to affect dissolved concentrations.

Increases in March 2014 TVHg concentrations compared to the March 2013 monitoring event were observed in wells MW-6, MW-11, MW-12, MW-17 and RW-1. This represents five wells exhibiting an increase in TVHg as compared to seven wells for the March 2013 sampling event. The remaining wells either remained below laboratory detection limits (in wells MW-4, MW-5 and MW-18) or exhibited a decrease in TVHg concentration.

Gasoline was detected in MW-7, MW-8, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, MW-15, MW-17 and MW-E above the TVHg ESL where groundwater is not a likely drinking water resource (500 micrograms per liter [ $\mu$ g/L]). Gasoline was also detected in MW-3, MW-6, MW-16 and RW-1 but at concentrations below the ESL. This represents two fewer wells above the TVHg ESL as compared to the March 2013 sampling event.

With the exception of well MW-4, TEHd was detected in all site wells above the TEHd ESL of 640  $\mu$ g/L, but overall, concentrations of TEHd decreased in 16 of the 18 wells sampled as compared to 5 of 18 wells that showed decreases in the March 2013 sampling event.

The highest concentrations of TVHg (23,000  $\mu$ g/L) was detected in well MW-8 with the highest TEHd concentration (19,000  $\mu$ g/L) being observed in well MW-13. Both of these concentrations represent a decrease as compared to the March 2013 monitoring results. The concentration of hydrocarbons in well MW-8 has decreased significantly below the March 2013 concentrations of 39,000  $\mu$ g/L TVHg and 38,000  $\mu$ g/L TEHd, with March 2014 concentrations in MW-8 being 23,000  $\mu$ g/L TVHg and 13,000  $\mu$ g/L TEHd. Fluctuating concentrations of TVHg and TEHd in wells MW-8 and MW-13, may be attributed to LNAPL recovery and introduction of surfactant in those wells in 2013.

The average concentration of TEHd and TVHg has deceased for the current event as compared to March 2013 concentrations, which may be attributable to reduction of persistent LNAPL in wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14. Figures 6 and 7 are isoconcentration maps of TVHg and TEHd concentrations in groundwater based on the March 2014 analytical results.



2007-65-84



2007-65-85

Figure 8 depicts historical groundwater analytical trends for TEHd in downgradient wells MW-5 and MW-6. Figure 9 depicts historical groundwater analytical trends for TEHd in source wells MW-11 and MW-12. Figure 10 depicts historical groundwater analytical trends for TEHd in crossgradient wells MW-3 and MW-18.

In monitoring wells MW-7, MW-8, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, MW-15, MW-17, and MW-E concentrations of benzene exceeded the ESL of 27  $\mu$ g/L where groundwater is not a drinking water resource. Comparing March 2013 results to March 2014 results showed a decrease in benzene in 13 of 18 wells. A slight increase in Benzene was detected in wells MW-3 and MW-17. Benzene was detected in MW-3, MW-6, MW-9, MW-16 and RW-1, but at concentrations below the ESL. The biggest changes in benzene concentrations were seen in wells MW-8, MW-13 and MW-15 which showed approximately 30% decreases.

Toluene was detected at or above the ESL of 130  $\mu$ g/L in monitoring well MW-14 as compared to 5 of 18 wells exceeding the Toluene ESL in March 2013. Toluene was detected in wells MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, MW-10, MW-12, MW-13, MW-15, MW-16, MW-17, MW-E and RW-1 but at levels below the ESL.

Ethylbenzene was detected above the  $43-\mu g/L$  ESL in monitoring wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, MW-17 and MW-E. This represents exceedence of the Ethylbenzene ESL in 6 wells for March 2014 as compared to 8 wells in March 2013. For March 2014, Ethylbenzene was also detected in wells MW-7, MW-11, MW-15, and RW-1 but at levels below the ESL.

Total xylene concentrations in wells MW-8, MW-13, MW-14, MW-17 and MW-E were above the  $100-\mu g/L$  ESL. This represents 5 of 18 wells exceeding the total xylene ESL for 2014 as compared to 7 of 18 wells in March 2013. Total xylenes were also detected in MW-7, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-15, MW-16 and RW-1 but below the ESL.

MTBE was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit in any of the 18 monitoring wells. Well MW-3 contained 8.6  $\mu$ g/L for the March 2013 monitoring event.

## **Quality Control Sample Analytical Results**

Laboratory quality control (QC) samples (e.g., method blanks, matrix spikes, surrogate spikes, etc.) were analyzed by the laboratory in accordance with the requirements of each analytical method. All laboratory QC sample results and sample holding times were within the acceptance limits of the methods (Appendix C).







## 5.0 FREE-PHASE HYDROCARBON PRODUCT REMEDIATION SYSTEM

This section describes the historical extraction of the free product from the Emery Bay Phase I Condo parking garage, the construction details of the current LNAPL remediation system located on the northeastern portion of the garage, and the most recent product removal activities conducted on March 25 and 26, 2014 (immediately prior to the sampling event on March 27). Appendix E summarizes historical product removal.

#### LNAPL REMEDIATION SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION

In an attempt to maximize free product removal, PES constructed three trenches, each containing three sump wells, in the northeastern area of the Emery Bay Phase I Condo parking garage. Historically, this area has had the highest concentrations of contamination and accumulation of free product. The trenches (TA, TB, and TC) extend to depths of approximately 12.5 to 13 feet bgs, while the collection sumps (TA-W, TA-M, TA-E, TB-W, TB-M, TB-E, TC-W, TC-M, and TC-E) extend to approximately 11 to 13 feet bgs. The sumps were constructed using 10-inch-diameter schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing. Blank casing was used from approximately 0.5 feet bgs to between 6 and 8 feet bgs. Slotted 0.06-inch PVC was used from between 6 and 8 feet bgs to 6 inches from the total depth of the trench. The trenches were then backfilled with high-porosity, high-permeability gravel designed to promote LNAPL migration (PES, 2007). Passive skimmers, manufactured by QED Environmental Systems (of Oakland, California) were then placed in each of the sumps in Trench A and in one of the sumps (TC-E) in Trench C.

The skimmers operate by floating on the surface of the water. Water and free product collect in a filtration reservoir, which allows water to pass through. A tube connected to the reservoir then filters the free product into a collection reservoir located below the water surface. The reservoir can be emptied by opening a valve located on the bottom of the cylindrical shaped reservoir. Each of these skimmers is attached to the sump lid by a rope, and can be removed and transferred to another sump as needed.

## HISTORICAL FREE PRODUCT EXTRACTION

As mentioned under the "Previous Investigations" subsection in Section 1.0, in approximately 1986, contaminated soil and groundwater were discovered during the removal of 12 UFSTs from the Emery Bay Phase I and Phase II parcels. To dewater the excavation during the Phase I and Phase II Condo construction, a groundwater extraction and remediation system was installed by GTI in 1988. Approximately 1 million gallons of water yielding 100 gallons of hydrocarbon product was removed from RW-1 during its operation (PES, 2007). However, corrosion and other mechanical problems caused the system to fail in 1991, and it was decommissioned in 1994. In February 2008, Stellar Environmental removed all of the old parts of the system from the well vault.

In 2004, PES began manual extraction on RW-1, and was reported to have removed approximately 48 gallons of LNAPL (PES, 2004a)—although it is unclear whether the removed material was pure product or product mixed with water. To accelerate free product removal, PES constructed a new LNAPL hydrocarbon remediation system (described below) between April and May 2004 (PES, 2007). Several extraction events were conducted by PES from May 2004 through March 2007; the extraction events yielded a total of approximately 51 gallons of LNAPL. No extraction events were conducted by PES in 2005; approximately 50 gallons of hydrocarbons was removed in 2006; and approximately 0.6 gallon of hydrocarbons was removed by PES between January and November 2007. In November and December 2007, after Stellar Environmental was retained for the project, the skimmer system yielded 2.82 gallons. Figure 11 graphs the comparison of free product extraction on a yearly basis.

No historical product extraction reports were provided to Stellar Environmental by the previous owner or by PES. Therefore, there is little to no information on how active product extraction occurred during 2004 and 2006. Based on better defined recovery in 2008 through 2011, the volume of free product indicated to have been recovered during 2004 and 2006 appears unrealistically high, suggesting that free-phase project mixed with water was reported as free-phase product recovery.

## **EFFECTIVENESS OF 2013 SURFACTANT INJECTION**

Prior to the March and September semi-annual product removal activities conducted in 2013, the injection of a non-hazardous surfactant into wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14 was used to test the surfactant ability to dissolve the viscous hydrocarbon buildup in a number of key wells. This was also being done to determine whether the surfactant application would result in significant decreases in the dissolved concentrations in key wells and if better recovery could be achieved at key downgradient wells. Many of the centrally located wells on the site contain thick, sticky, degraded product that has made well purging and sampling increasingly difficult. Equipment lowered down

into the well casings comes back out coated with a tar like substance that is difficult or impossible to clean, and may account for low water yield in some wells due to sand pack and well screen fouling. Four of the worst wells in this regard are MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14. All these wells are constructed with <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch diameter PVC casing, and are screened to total depth across the same interval of 5 to 20 feet bgs, except for MW-8 which is screened from 5 to 16 feet bgs. In order to attempt to clean the well casings and emulsify the tar thought to exist in the well pack, a surfactant was chosen as a solution. Surfactants are designed to change the interfacial tension between the water and NAPL and desorb the residual LNAPLs entrained in the soil matrix by micro-emulsifying the organic particles, and forming a micelle. In the case of weathered LNAPLs, surfactants have been used to decrease the viscosity of the material, resulting in increased and more efficient recovery. Surfactants can also be considered bioremediation enhancing and vapor suppression agents. The continued use of mobile multi-phase extraction such as has been occurring at the site twice-yearly since 2008 allows a focused remediation effort at a targeted area of the site, and increases the effective radius of influence of the pumping. This combined approach involves the in-situ application of a surfactant mixture, under pressure, into the site subsurface. The injection is followed by high-vacuum induced multi-phase recovery from an extraction well, via a mobile vacuum truck.

Histocial use of EnviroClean<sup>®</sup> has proved effective at this site. On March 13, 2013 and September 10, 2013 Stellar Environmental mobilized to the site in order to inject EnviroClean<sup>®</sup> supplied by Enviro Clean Services LLC, into wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14. EnviroClean<sup>®</sup> is described by the manufacturer as a non-flammable, non-toxic, water-based, proprietary blend of non-toxic, non-ionic ethoxylated octylphenolic surfactants that has been specifically engineered as a cleanup/mitigation agent for a wide range of hydrocarbon products. EnviroClean<sup>®</sup> product information is included in Appendix F. A working solution of 4% EnviroClean<sup>®</sup> was mixed per manufacturer recommendations using clean water. Approximately 5 gallons of the solution was introduced to each well using a funnel. The well casing and screen in each well were then scrubbed using a stiff bristle brush attached to an extension. After the scrubbing, approximately 15 gallons of the working EnviroClean<sup>®</sup> solution was injected under pressure into each of the selected wells using a dual-diaphragm pump. The wells were then undisturbed until the product removal phase of the monitoring events on March 25 and 26, 2013 and on September 24 and 25, 2013.

#### MARCH 2014 PRODUCT REMOVAL EVENT

Historical product yield from the trench recovery system has been unproductive and inconsistent, with the 1-liter passive skimmer collection reservoirs not filling up completely, or filling up with water rather than product. The highest hydrocarbon product yield has likely occurred from active pumping on recovery well RW-1 or at various other wells. Table 3 shows the allocation of free product removed from the collection skimmers in Trenches A and C.



Table 3Passive Skimmer Product Extraction in Trenches– March 25 and 26, 20146400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California

Trench ID	Number of Skimmers in Well	Total Product Removed (gallons)
ТА-Е	2	0.015
TA-M	2	0.0
TA-W	2	0.0
ТВ-Е	0	NM
ТВ-М	0	NM
TB-W	0	NM
ТС-Е	1	0.0
ТС-М	0	NM
TC-W	0	NM
Total Hydrocarbon P	roduct Removed	0.0

Note:

 $\overline{NM}$  = Not measured. No skimmer installed in the well.

Stellar Environmental conducted both passive and active product removal events during the 2 days prior (March 25 and 26) to the groundwater sampling event (March 27) to determine the recharge rate of free product in wells. A total of approximately 1,050 gallons of groundwater yielding approximately 0.338 gallons (Table 4) of free product were removed during the March 2014 active product removal event.

Table 4					
Active Product Extraction – March 25 and 26, 2014					
6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California					

Well	Total Gallons of Product Removed	Well	Total Gallons of Product Removed
MW-3	0.08	MW-17	0
MW-4	0	MW-18	0
MW-5	0	MW-E	0.01
MW-6	0	RW-1	0.09
MW-7	0	TA-E	0.03
MW-8	0	TA-M	0.03
MW-9	0	TA-W	0.015
MW-10	0.023	TB-E	0
MW-11	0	TB-M	0
MW-12	0	TB-W	0
MW-13	0	TC-E	0.015
MW-14	0	TC-M	0.015
MW-15	0	TC-W	0.015
MW-16	0.015		
Total Hydrocarbon Produ		0.338	

Notes:

NP = not purged

Product removal estimates are based on the total amount of free product measured in the purge drum after pumping each well (0.635 gallons total)

The removal activities can be summarized as follows:

On March 25-26, 2014 Stellar Environmental removed a total 230 gallons of groundwater from TA-W, TA-E and TA-M along with 0.075 gallons of product. The skimmer in well TA-E contained water and 0.015 gallons of oily product, while the skimmers in wells TA-M and TA-W were filled with water with little or no free product. Stellar Environmental removed a total of 150 gallons of water from trench wells TB-E, TB-M and TB-W along with a trace of free product. Stellar Environmental removed a total of 200 gallons of water from trench wells TC-E, TC-M and TC-W along with about 0.045 gallons of product. 250 gallons of water with 0.09 gallons of free product were pumped from recovery well RW-1.

- On March 25 and 26, 2014, a total of approximately 0.338 gallons of petroleum product was removed along with the 1,050 gallons of liquid that was pumped from all of the monitoring wells, trench wells and former extraction wells. The product volume was estimated based on free-product accumulation in the extraction drum after pumping each well. Higher product removal was realized from the individual product purging of the site wells and trench wells through pumping from the water surface prior to the sampling event than was recovered from the "skimmers" designed for the product removal. Product removal from monitoring and recovery wells was most pronounced at MW-3, MW-10, MW-16 and RW-1.
- All of the purge water and free product extracted during these events was contained onsite in the 1,100-gallon AST located in the northeastern gated area of the garage. Safety Kleen Corporation vacuum out and transported the hydrocarbon contaminated water on May 13, 2014 to its recycling facility in Newark, California.

#### DISCUSSION

As mentioned under the "Historical Free Product Extraction" subsection, no product extraction was conducted by PES in 2005. "Product" removal in 2006 was reported at a significant 52 gallons by PES; however, it was not achieved through collection from the trench hydrocarbon skimmers, but rather through active pumping; in addition, the "product" referred to by PES appears to actually have been a mixture of petroleum product and water. The PES report provides no documentation (e.g., manifests) of the removal of actual recovered petroleum product. The recovery by PES from the start of 2007 through October 2007 (when Stellar Environmental assumed environmental consulting activities) was limited to 0.6 gallon collected from the skimmers. In addition, there had been no removal of free product from well RW-1 since 2004, at which time approximately 50 gallons of free-floating product was reportedly (PES, 2004c) removed by active pumping although antidotal evidence suggests that much was purge water versus pure free product. The majority of petroleum product appears in fact to have been removed by active pumping and removal activities rather than from the trench well skimmers. Much of this may also have been a mixture of water and hydrocarbons.

Based on these data Stellar Environmental concludes that the trench recovery system on its own has never been particularly effective. In 2007, passive extraction of free product through trench well skimmers removed only 3.41 gallons. Stellar Environmental removed approximately 5.65 gallons of free product from these passive skimmers during the 2008 removal events. Since 2011, the skimmers have contained only water and a trace of oil when checked. Approximately 14.99 gallons of product were removed by active pumping on wells during 2008, 28.65 gallons in 2009, 39.52 gallons in 2010, 2.65 gallons in 2011, 0.798 gallons in 2012 and 0.955 in 2013 indicating that the

active pumping of site wells to be an effective means of product removal as compared to the passive skimmer system. Differences in volumes recovered can be attributed to fluctuations in groundwater levels and to an overall reduction of free product as active pumping continues year to year.

As demonstrated by the analytical data, active pumping on certain wells has generally reduced gasoline concentrations; however, wells not included in the pumping schedule showed a lesser or no decrease. Diesel concentrations seem to be less affected by active pumping, even in wells that were included in the pumping schedule, such as RW-1. The very high hydrocarbon concentrations detected in well MW-13 in former monitoring events until the March 2103 sampling was likely due to the sample containing LNAPL, which was likely at least partially to have been emulsified with the 2013 surfactant injections, reducing the possibility that the sample would contain LNAPL. Inconsistent trends in the hydrocarbon/BTEX concentrations in wells MW-13 and MW-8 showing a marked decrease in MW-13 and a marked increase in MW-8 after the 2013 surfactant injection, may show more consistent trend lines after subsequent sampling events. The across the board general decrease in hydrocarbon and BTEX concentrations in most site wells for the March 2014 sampling event, although encouraging, does not yet represent a trend.

The increase of diesel concentrations observed in well MW-3 for the 2013 monitoring events is indicated by the data to have been due to the de-watering activities, that until February 2014, had been on-going at the construction site across 64<sup>th</sup> Street, which resulted in the southward pull of the groundwater plume, modifying the historical groundwater flow direction and magnitude. Residual hydrocarbons left in the soil after the USTs were removed from the site in the 1980's, is likely to continue to be a source of contamination to groundwater at the site. Additional surfactant injections, in addition to more active remediation, including introduction of bio-remedial enhancing products into selected wells may be useful to reduce the concentrations to levels acceptable to the regulatory community and to achieve eventual regulatory closure.

The outward effect of the two surfactant injection events in 2013 into monitoring wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14, based on observations made during product removal for the current monitoring event, was continued apparent reduction in the viscous hydrocarbon substance in those wells. A significant increase in water yield from those wells has not been observed. The measured recovery volume of product (in gallons) from the four wells for the March 2013 monitoring event, compared to the current monitoring event was 0.08/0.0 (MW-8), 0.0/0.0 (MW12), 0.08/0.0 (MW-13) and 0.0/0.0 (MW-14) representing a decrease in recovery due to emulsification of product. The total measured recovery volume of product (in gallons) from the 18 wells for the March 2013 monitoring event, compared to the current 2014 monitoring event decreased from 0.635 gallons to 0.338 gallons.
## 6.0 GRAB-GROUNDWATER SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

This section describes the rationale, methods and results of a limited subsurface investigation that involved grab groundwater collection in areas onsite suspected to have been affected by construction dewatering that occurred across 64<sup>th</sup> Street from the site during 2013.

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Stellar Environmental Solutions conducted the groundwater investigation in the areas between wells MW-3 on the southern edge of the site and MW-18 in the garage, with the goal to locate a suspected source of residual subsurface hydrocarbons. The reason for this additional work was based on the recent uptrend in diesel concentration noted in well MW-3 since the late 2012 initiation of construction de-watering at the 6340 Christie Avenue (ACHCSA Case # RO0000057) property across 64<sup>th</sup> Street, south of the subject property garage area.

The apparent impact of the dewatering on the subject site can be described in terms of both the changes to the site hydrology—with the change in the groundwater flow regime from west/northwest to having a southern component—as well as a hydrochemical impact. The hydochemical impact, as documented in well data described above, had been to draw the hydrocarbon plume southward, increasing the concentrations of TEHd by orders of magnitude at well MW-3 near the subject property south border, compared to the previous 5 years of monitoring that showed stable results. The TEHd concentration at MW-3 on the southern property line increased from 15,000  $\mu$ g/L TEHd in April 2013 to 250,000  $\mu$ g/L TEHd in September 2013. The relatively stable TEHd concentration present in well MW-18 since 2012, suggests a possible undiscovered source between these two wells that was impacting well MW-3.

In addition to possible undiscovered sources, the impact to the previous equilibrium of the plume also raises a concern of mobilizing the existing areas of hydrocarbon contamination near MW-18 near the former location of two 10,000 gallon diesel USTs (Tank Pits A and B) by mobilizing sources of hydrocarbons in an area previously indicated to be immobile.

The construction dewatering at 6340 Christie Street that occurred during 2013, ceased by February of 2014. The current monitoring event at the subject property took place on March 27<sup>th</sup>, 2014 indicated a significant reduction in TEHd concentration well MW-3, from 250,000  $\mu$ g/L TEHd in September 2013 to 1,600  $\mu$ g/L TEHd in March 2014. The additional characterization work described below,

was conducted to gain a better understanding of the hydrologic and hydrochemical model as affected by recent site conditions and to integrate that data into the current overall monitoring results in order to evaluate the best manner to achieve eventual site closure.

## **GRAB GROUNDWATER SAMPLING**

The rationale for the groundwater sampling was based on the recent changes to site conditions, as summarized above. Specifically, the purpose of the sampling effort was to characterize the groundwater in the areas between MW-18 and MW-3 with the goal of determining the source of the diesel/long–chain hydrocarbons impacting MW-3.

In order to best characterize the area, Stellar Environmental attempted to collect five groundwater samples in the area described above. The sampling plan called for collecting grab groundwater samples from the first encountered water bearing zone estimated to be 15 to 20 feet bgs from five locations. Due to difficult drilling conditions caused by encountering buried debris, a total of three groundwater samples were collected instead of the planned five groundwater samples. Continuous soil cores brought to the surface from each of the five borings were inspected and logged for a qualitative evaluation of soil conditions. The location of the borings are shown on Figure 12.

Stellar Environmental was onsite for the grab-groundwater sampling on March 11<sup>th</sup>, 2014. Prior to the sampling date, the area was marked by Stellar Environmental for underground utility clearance, and a soil boring permit was obtained from Alameda County Department of Public Works. Cascade Drilling of Richmond, California operated a Geoprobe Model 6600 direct push sampling rig under the supervision of Stellar Environmental for all borings.

## **Sampling Methods and Observations**

Soil from each four-foot driven interval recovered, were collected in 1.5-inch diameter acetate sleeves. The soil and fill materials recovered were described in the field and inspected for signs of gross hydrocarbon contamination. Boring logs describing soil/fill composition are included in Appendix G.

Groundwater samples were collected from 1-inch diameter, pvc temporary wells installed in the borings where sufficient depth was achieved. Groundwater from the wells was sampled using a peristaltic pump and new polyurethane tubing designed for the purpose. Samples were contained in 40 ml VOA bottles preserved with HCL.

After the samples were collected, all borings were backfilled using neat cement grout to within 6inches to the surface as required by The Alameda County Department of Public Works. Concrete



2007-65-73

was used to restore the surface of each boring location. Photographs of selected locations and features are presented in Appendix H. The following observations were made at the borings:

**Boring B1.** The concrete slab in this area of the garage is approximately 6-inches thick and is underlain by 4-inches of asphalt. The fill material beneath the asphalt consists of clayey gravel and sandy clay with another 6-inch layer of hard asphalt at 3.5 to 4 feet bgs. Underlying the second asphalt layer is silty clay to clayey silt followed by a black, organic saturated clay containing wood fragments to a depth of about 19 feet bgs. A stiff, native silty clay was encountered between 19 and 20 feet bgs which was the total drilled depth of the boring. No obvious hydrocarbon contamination was observed. Groundwater was encountered at about 17 feet bgs in Boring B1 which rose to about 9 feet bgs in the well allowing collection of sample B1-SES-W.

**Boring B2.** The concrete slab in this area of the garage is approximately 5-inches thick and is underlain by 6-inches of base rock. The fill material beneath the baserock consists of sand, clay and brick. Refusal was met in this boring at a depth of approximately 6-feet bgs preventing deeper drilling. No groundwater was encountered in Boring B2.

**Boring B3.** The concrete slab in this area of the garage is approximately 5-inches thick and is underlain by about 9 feet of clayey gravel fill containing brick, glass and metal debris. Underlying the fill layer is silty clay extending to a depth of about 18 feet bgs below which a clayey sand was encountered. No obvious hydrocarbon contamination was observed. Groundwater was encountered at about 16.5 feet bgs in Boring B3 which rose to about 11 feet bgs in the well allowing collection of sample B3-SES-W.

**Boring B4.** The asphalt surface in this outdoor parking area is approximately 3-inches thick and is underlain by about 4-inches of baserock. The fill material beneath the baserock consists of sand, clay and brick. Refusal was met in this boring at a depth of approximately 5-feet bgs preventing deeper drilling. No groundwater was encountered in Boring B4.

**Boring B5.** The asphalt surface in this outdoor parking area is approximately 3-inches thick and is underlain by about 4-inches of baserock. The fill material beneath the asphalt consists of clayey gravel containing brick fragments which extends to about 14 feet bgs. From 14 feet bgs to 20 feet bgs, subsurface materials consisted of silty clay interbedded with saturated clayey sand layers. Moderate hydrocarbon contamination was observed in the capillary fringe zone (about 16 to 17 feet bgs) above the occurrence of groundwater. Groundwater was encountered at about 18 feet bgs in Boring B5 which rose to about 9.5 feet bgs in the well allowing collection of sample B5-SES-W.

#### ANALYTICAL METHODS AND RESULTS

Groundwater samples were preserved on ice and transported under chain-of-custody record to McCampbell Analytical in Pittsburg, California, a California Certified Laboratory. All of the groundwater samples were subsequently analyzed for the following:

- benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes (BTEX)
- methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE)
- total volatile hydrocarbons as gasoline (TVHg)
- total extractable hydrocarbons as diesel (TEHd)

### **Analytical Results**

Up to 250  $\mu$ g/L TVHg and 3,300  $\mu$ g/L TEHd was detected in sample B5-ES-W. Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected on March 11, 2014 are summarized in Table 5 below, are depicted on Figure 13, and have been incorporated into the isoconcentration maps for TVHg and TEHd (Figures 6 and 7). The certified laboratory analytical reports are contained in Appendix I.

Table 5		
Grab Groundwater Sample Analytical Results, I	March 11,	2014

Contaminant	B1-SES-W (µg/L)	B3-SES-W (µg/L)	B5-SES-W (µg/L)	Groundwater ESL (µg/L)
TVHg	< 50	< 50	250	500
TEHd	480	2,000	3,300	640
Benzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	16	27
Toluene	< 0.5	< 0.5	2.1	130
Ethylbenzene	< 0.5	0.61	1.5	43
Total Xylenes	< 0.5	< 0.5	4.5	100
MTBE	< 5	< 5	< 5	1,800

#### Bridgewater Apartments, Emeryville, California

Notes:

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$ 

ESL = Water Board Environmental Screening Levels for residential land use where groundwater is not a potential drinking water source (Water Board, 2013)

MTBE = methyl tertiary-butyl ether

TEHd = total extractable hydrocarbons as diesel

TVHg = total volatile hydrocarbons as gasoline

Results shown in **bold-face type** exceed the ESLs



2007-65-81

Based on observations made during the March 11, 2014 drilling and on the laboratory analytical results of the groundwater samples collected, the following observations are made and conclusions drawn:

- Diesel range hydrocarbons are the primary contaminants in groundwater, exceeding the diesel ESL in two of three samples. This finding is consistent with known groundwater contamination on site. TEHd concentration in groundwater was highest in boring B5 (3,300 µg/L) in the area near well MW-3 at the extreme southern end of the site. TVHg was detected in one of the three grab sample locations (B5) at a concentration of 250 µg/L which is below the 500 µg/L ESL for TVHg.
- Based on soil and fill samples recovered during the drilling of the five borings, the composition of the upper 10 to 15 feet of subsurface materials consists of non-engineered fill that contains clay, gravel, brick, sand, glass and metal. This condition is consistent with the known history of the surrounding area of Emeryville west of the railroad right-of-way.
- Although no obvious source for the heavy oil accumulating in well MW-3 was found to be located between wells MW-3 and MW-18 during the advancement of the soil borings, this apparent lack of another hydrocarbon source near that area where an historical source was indicated supports the idea that the increase southward is the result of the dewatering at the southern construction site.. The recent spike in TEHd concentrations in MW-3, which have again decreased for the current monitoring event to near historic lows, strongly suggests the construction dewatering across 64<sup>th</sup> Street during 2013 is responsible, with the southward pull of the hydrocarbon plume offsite resulting in the observed fluctuations in TEHd concentrations in WH-3.

## 7.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND PROPOSED ACTIONS

### FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS.

- The subject property parcel was developed as early as 1958 with the Motor Freight Station, associated with Delta Lines, Inc. The Delta Lines complex contained an "Oil and Gas" building, located at the site of the present-day Emery Bay Phase I Condo complex and parking garage. In 1986, the building was demolished, and 12 UFSTs containing diesel and gasoline were removed from the Emery Bay Phase I and Phase II Condo complex parcels. Soil and groundwater contamination was discovered.
- In response to the contamination, an LNAPL groundwater pump-and-treat system was installed in 1989, but failed in 1991. Active pumping of free product began again in 2004, and a product extraction system consisting of passive product removal was installed in 2006. Groundwater monitoring events have been sporadically conducted since 1988; quarterly groundwater monitoring events were conducted for the first time in 2008. The quarterly sampling was reduced to a semiannual frequency in 2009.
- The site currently contains 17 monitoring wells, 1 recovery well, and 9 product extraction trench wells. This is the 21<sup>st</sup> sampling event conducted at the site since 1988.
- Site subsurface materials consist of non-engineered fill containing brick, glass and metal in the upper 10 feet which is underlain by silty clay interbedded with saturated clayey sand layers. Stiff clay extends from a depth of approximately 40 feet to approximately 102 feet.
- The series of injections of a non-hazardous surfactant into wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14 appears to have reduced if not eliminated LNAPL in those wells and corresponds with a significant decrease in TVHg and TEHd concentrations overall. Benzene has also decreased overall (13 of 18 wells). Upcoming monitoring events may establish a trend and will enable decisions regarding adding additional surfactant in wells that contain heavy, degraded product.
- The groundwater flow direction measured during the March 2014 monitoring event was generally towards the southwest. The localized, approximately southerly direction to groundwater flow in the area of MW-3 noted in the two previous monitoring events, thought to be the result of construction dewatering that had been occurring during 2013 at the re-

development site across 64<sup>th</sup> Street, was not as pronounced for the current event, since that de-watering has been discontinued since February of 2014.

- Groundwater elevations recorded during the March 2014 sampling event ranged from 7.01 (MW-3) to 10.49 (MW-6) feet above mean sea level. Some groundwater mounding may be occurring in area of MW-4 and MW-6 due to contributions from landscape irrigation. The average groundwater gradient for the current monitoring event was 0.003 foot/foot.
- Current contaminants of concern include TVHg, TEHd, and BTEX. Current groundwater concentrations exceeded the ESLs for these contaminants in several wells. MTBE was not detected in an any well during the current event.
- The highest concentrations of TVHg and TEHd were observed in wells MW-8 (23,000 µg/L and 13,000 µg/L) and MW-13 (20,000 µg/L and 19,000 µg/L), which represents a decrease in TVHg and TEHd concentrations compared to the March 2013 sampling event. This may be attributable to emulsification of heavy product in those wells as a result of the surfactant injections they received in 2013. The concentrations of hydrocarbons in wells MW-8 and MW-13 have decreased significantly from the historic high concentrations of 73,000 µg/L TVHg and 140,000 µg/L TEHd observed in MW-8 and 2,700,000 µg/L TVHg and 7,200,000 µg/L TEHd in well MW-13. The decrease can also be attributed to the effective LNAPL recovery in 2008 through 2014.
- Overall, the concentration of TEHd and TVHg in site wells has decreased for the current 2014 monitoring event compared to the March 2013 monitoring event, with 16 of 18 wells showing lower TEHd, and 13 of 18 wells showing lower TVHg.
- Increases in March 2014 TVHg concentrations compared to the March 2013 monitoring event were observed in wells MW-6, MW-11, MW-12, MW-17 and RW-1. This represents five wells exhibiting an increase in TVHg as compared to seven wells for the March 2013 sampling event. The remaining wells either remained below laboratory detection limits (in wells MW-4, MW-5, and MW-18) or exhibited a decrease in TVHg concentrations.
- TVHg was detected in MW-7, MW-8, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, MW-15, MW-17 and MW-E above the TVHg ESL where groundwater is not a likely drinking water resource (500 micrograms per liter [µg/L]). TVHg was also detected in MW-3, MW-6, MW-16 and RW-1 but at concentrations below the ESL. This represents two fewer wells above the TVHg ESL as compared to the March 2013 sampling event.
- With the exception of well MW-4, TEHd was detected in all site wells above the TEHd ESL of 640 µg/L, but overall, concentrations of TEHd decreased in 16 of the 18 wells sampled as compared to 5 of 18 wells that showed decreases in the March 2014 sampling event.

- In monitoring wells MW-7, MW-8, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, MW-15, MW-17, and MW-E concentrations of benzene exceeded the ESL of 27 µg/L where groundwater is not a drinking water resource. Comparing March 2013 results to March 2014 results showed a decrease in benzene in 13 of 18 wells. A slight increase in Benzene was detected in wells MW-3 and MW-17. Benzene was detected in MW-3, MW-6, MW-9, MW-16 and RW-1, but at concentrations below the ESL. The biggest changes in benzene concentrations were seen in wells MW-8, MW-13 and MW-15 which showed approximately 30% decreases.
- Toluene was detected at or above the ESL of 130 µg/L in monitoring well MW-14 as compared to 5 of 18 wells exceeding the Toluene ESL in March 2103. Toluene was detected in wells MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, MW-10, MW-12, MW-13, MW-15, MW-16, MW-17, MW-E and RW-1 but at levels below the ESL.
- Ethylbenzene was detected above the 43-µg/L ESL in monitoring wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14, MW-17 and MW-E. This represents exceedence of the Ethylbenzene ESL in 6 wells for March 2014 as compared to 8 wells in March 2013. For March 2014, Ethylbenzene was also detected in wells MW-7, MW-11, MW-15, and RW-1 but at levels below the ESL.
- Total xylene concentrations in wells MW-8, MW-13, MW-14, MW-17 and MW-E were above the 100 µg/L ESL. This represents 5 of 18 wells exceeding the total xylene ESL for 2014 as compared to 7 of 18 wells in March 2013. Total xylenes were also detected in MW-7, MW-10, MW-11, MW-12, MW-15, MW-16 and RW-1 but below the ESL.
- MTBE was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit in any of the 18 monitoring wells. Well MW-3 contained 8.6 µg/L for the March 2013 monitoring event.
- Stellar Environmental conducted passive skimmer product removal on the trench wells during the March 2014 removal event. The skimmers in all trench wells so equipped were filled with water and traces of product.
- Stellar Environmental also conducted active product removal on the trench wells, source area wells, recovery well, and select monitoring wells during the March 2014 event. A total of approximately 1,050 gallons of groundwater that includes approximately 0.338 gallons of free-floating petroleum product from all the wells was removed with the estimate based on free-product accumulation in the extraction drum after pumping each well.
- The trench recovery system, where free product is designed to collect in 1-liter skimmers, is ineffective in removing free product. Active pumping at various wells appears to have some effect in lowering gasoline concentrations, and appears to be affecting the concentrations of diesel.

- Surfactant injections into wells MW-8, MW-12, MW-13 and MW-14 in 2013 have reduced or eliminated LNAPL in those wells, and may have contributed to a decrease in concentrations of dissolved hydrocarbons and MBTEX in those wells and other site wells as compared to the March 2013 monitoring event.
- Based on the March 11, 2014 grab groundwater sampling conducted in areas between wells MW-3 and MW-18, diesel range hydrocarbons appear to be the primary contaminants in groundwater in that area, exceeding the diesel ESL in two of three samples. The TEHd concentration in groundwater was highest in boring B5 by the area near well MW-3 at the extreme southern end of the site at a concentration of 3,300 µg/L. TVHg was detected in one of the three grab sample locations (B5) at a concentration of 250 µg/L which is below the 500 µg/L ESL for TVHg where groundwater is not considered a drinking water resource.
- Although no obvious source for the heavy oil accumulating in well MW-3 was found to be located between wells MW-3 and MW-18 during the advancement of the soil borings, this apparent lack of another hydrocarbon source near that area may support the idea that the residual heavy LNAPL that has been observed in well MW-3, accumulated over a period years since 1988 when the well was installed. The recent spike in TEHd concentrations in MW-3, which have again decreased for the current monitoring event to near historic lows, may have been the result of the construction dewatering that occurred across 64<sup>th</sup> Street during 2013, with possible mobilization of hydrocarbons offsite resulting in the observed fluctuations in TEHd concentrations in well MW-3.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Passive free product skimmers in trench wells A and C should be removed because they are no longer effective, yet are covered with oily residue that likely adds to the dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations beneath the site. Active product removal, which appears to be more effective that the passive system, should be continued on a semiannual basis immediately prior to the sampling event.
- Inject surfactant into selected interior monitoring wells and/or trench wells, including well MW-3 at the south end of the site where free-floating product is apparent, to dissolve the product and possibly allow better recovery of remnant hydrocarbons
- Introduce oxygen releasing bioremediation compound into selected interior monitoring wells and/or trench wells after the surfactant injection, to accelerate reduction of dissolved hydrocarbons in groundwater.
- Groundwater monitoring should be continued on a semiannual basis to document contaminant concentrations over time.

- Continue to evaluate emergent best available technologies to cost-effectively remediate the site to move it toward full regulatory site closure.
- Complete an indoor air monitoring event in the condominium sales/admin office based on results from the 2013 indoor air sampling event findings.
- Electronic uploads to ACEH's ftp system and the State Water Board's GeoTracker system should be continued as required.

## 8.0 REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aqua Science Engineers (Aqua), 1986a. Hydrocarbon Contamination Abatement Plan for Bay Center, Emeryville, CA. May 23.
- Aqua Science Engineers (Aqua), 1986b. Report Soil Sampling and Determination of Hydrocarbon Contamination from Tank Removal at the Bay Port Development, 64<sup>th</sup> and Lacoste Street, Emeryville, CA. May 27.
- Aqua Science Engineers (Aqua), 1986c. A Proposal for Installing a Fuel Contamination and Recovery System. August 27.
- Aqua Science Engineers (Aqua), 1986d. Phase II Extent of Groundwater Contamination Investigation, Bay Center. August 27.
- Aqua Science Engineers (Aqua), 1986e. Project Report Soils Gas Investigation, Bay Center. August 27.
- Aqua Science Engineers (Aqua), 1986f. Request for Additional Information Regarding Aeration and Sampling Soils Contaminated with Motor Fuel Hydrocarbons. Information addressed to the Alameda County Health Care Services, Hazardous Materials Unit. July 28.
- Aqua Science Engineers (Aqua), 1986g. Additional Information Regarding Aeration and Sampling Soils Contaminated with Motor Fuel Hydrocarbons. July 11.
- Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 1987. Letter to the Martin Company authorizing the contaminated groundwater and oil recovery system. April 13.
- Chan, Barney, 2007. Project Officer, Alameda County Department of Environmental Health. Personal communication to Richard Makdisi of Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. April 10.
- Creps, Rob, 2007. PES Environmental, Inc. Project Manager for the Phase I Apartment Complex Remediation. Personal communication to Teal Glass and Richard Makdisi of Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. April 19.

- Earth Metrics, Inc., 1986a. Draft Soils Contamination Characterization for Garret Freight Lines Emeryville Site, 64<sup>th</sup> Street and Lacoste, Emeryville, CA. March 14.
- Earth Metrics, Inc., 1986b. Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Bay Center Apartment Complex in the Redevelopment Project Area of the City of Emeryville. May.
- Earth Metrics, Inc., 1986c. Draft Work Plan for Soils Contamination Characterization of Bay Center Site, Emeryville, CA. May 19.
- Earth Metrics, Inc., 1986d. Soils and Groundwater Contamination Characterization of Bay Center Site, Emeryville, CA. August 20.
- Earth Metrics, Inc., 1987. Safety Plan for Bay Center Offices and Apartments in Emeryville, CA. September 15.
- Geomatrix, 1988. Observation and Testing of Earthwork Construction, Bay Center Apartments. May 20.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1987a. Letter to Alameda County Health Department Hazardous Materials Division citing irregularities in the Aqua Science Laboratory Results. August 19.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1987b. Report of Further Subsurface Hydrocarbon Investigation, Emeryville, CA, Bay Center Project. September 8.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1989a. Well Replacement and Groundwater Assessment Report, Bay Center Project, Emeryville, CA. June.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1989b. Water Treatment System Start-Up Report, Bay Center Project, Christie and 64<sup>th</sup> Streets, Emeryville, CA. April 10.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1990a. First Quarter Sampling Event. Laboratory Analyses at the Bay Center Project. July 24.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1990b. Letter to the Bay Center Apartment Associates detailing problems with the groundwater extraction system. August 14.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1990c. Quarterly Report, Bay Center Apartment Associates, Bay Center Project, Christie and 64<sup>th</sup> Streets, Emeryville, CA. October 31.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1991a. Quarterly Report, Bay Center Project, Christie and 64<sup>th</sup> Streets, Emeryville, CA. January.

Groundwater Technology, Inc. (GTI), 1991b. Quarterly Status Report. April 15.

- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), 1991. Preliminary Hazardous Materials Site Assessment. December 16.
- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), 1992a. Results of Soil and Groundwater Investigation. May 6.
- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), 1992b. Hazardous Waste Management Plan. May 26.
- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), 1992c. Conceptual Design of Venting System, Emerybay II Apartments. November 24.
- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), 1993. Results of Soil Sampling, Emerybay II Apartments. April 21.
- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), 1994. Results of Services During Construction, Emerybay Apartments Phase II. May 19.
- Johnson, Mark, 2007. Project Officer, Regional Water Quality Control Board. Personal communication to Teal Glass of Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. April 11.
- Martin Company, 1986a. Letter to Lowell Miller of Alameda County Health Care Services documenting agreements for the construction workplan involving contaminated soil. June 5.
- Martin Company, 1986b. Letter to Tom Owens of Emeryville Community Developers, Inc. documenting recognized contamination issues. May 21.
- Martin Company, 1986c. Letter to Rafat Shahid of Alameda County Health Care Services documenting agreement of drum removal. May 16.
- Martin Company, 1986d. Letter to the State Water Resources Control Board documenting unused underground storage tanks. December 11.
- PES Environmental, Inc. (PES), 2004a. Status Report, Investigation of Subsurface Petroleum Hydrocarbon Residuals. Bay Center Apartments, Christie Avenue and 64<sup>th</sup> Street, Emeryville, CA. April 5.
- PES Environmental, Inc. (PES), 2004b. Investigation for Missing Wells. April 5.

PES Environmental, Inc. (PES), 2004c. Status Report. August 30.

- PES Environmental, Inc. (PES), 2007. Construction Implementation and Semi-Annual Operations Report. Free-Phase Hydrocarbon Product Remediation System. EmeryBay Commercial Association, Christie Avenue and 64<sup>th</sup> Street, Emeryville, CA. March 30.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 1999. East Bay Plain Groundwater Basin Beneficial Use Evaluation Report.
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board), 2008. Environmental Screening Levels for residential properties on shallow soils where groundwater is a drinking water resource / is not a drinking water resource. Written February 2005, revised May 2008.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2007. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment 6425-6475 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. April 17.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2008a. 2007 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Product Extraction Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. January 28.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2008b. Quarter One 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Product Extraction Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. May 7.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2008c. Second Quarter 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Product Extraction Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. July 18.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2008d. Third Quarter 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Product Extraction Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. October 15.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2009a. Fourth Quarter 2008 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. January 16.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2009b. Indoor Air and Preferential Pathway Survey Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. April 4.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2009c. First Semiannual 2009 Groundwater Monitoring Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. April 29.

- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2009d. Second Semiannual 2009 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. December 31.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2011a. Indoor Air Sampling Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. April 6, 2010.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2010b. First Semiannual 2010 Groundwater Monitoring Report. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. April 21.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2011. Second Semiannual 2010 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. January 4.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2011. First Semiannual 2011 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. May 6.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2011. Second Semiannual 2011 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. October 27.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2012. First Semiannual 2012
  Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay
  Condo Phase I Parking Garage 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. June 11.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2012. Second Semiannual 2012 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. November 12.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2013. First Semiannual 2013 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. June 4.
- Stellar Environmental Solutions, Inc. (Stellar Environmental), 2013. Second Semiannual 2013 Groundwater Monitoring, Product Extraction Report, and Annual Summary. EmeryBay Condo Phase I Parking Garage – 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA. December 6.

## 9.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Emerybay Commercial Association, their authorized representatives and assigns, and the regulatory agencies. No reliance on this report shall be made by anyone other than those for whom it was prepared.

The findings and conclusions presented in this report are based on a review of previous investigators' findings at the site, as well as site investigations conducted by SES in 2007, 2008, and 2009. This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted methodologies and standards of practice. The SES personnel who performed this limited remedial investigation are qualified to perform such investigations and have accurately reported the information available, but cannot attest to the validity of that information. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the findings, conclusions, and recommendations included in the report.

The findings of this report are valid as of the date of this report. Site conditions may change with the passage of time, natural processes, or human intervention, which can invalidate the findings and conclusions presented in this report. As such, this report should be considered a reflection of the current site conditions as based on the activities completed.

## **APPENDIX A**

## Historical Groundwater Well Analytical Results

# TABLE A Historical Groundwater Monitoring Well Groundwater Analytical Results Petroleum and Aromatic Hydrocarbons (µg/L) 6400 Christie Avenae, Emeryville, California

				MW	-1				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
1	Dec-88	380	17,000		8,600	940	250	570	NA
2	May-89	130	24,000		16,000	2,100	300	1,200	NA
3	Feb-91	<10	22,000		6,800	3,500	410	2,000	NA
1			Monitor	ing well aban	loned - date r	melear			

				MW	-2						
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE		
1	Dec-88	72	22		0	0	0	0			
2	May-89	40	18		0	0	0	0			
3	Feb-91	83	0		0	0	0	0			
	Monitoring well abandoned - date unclear										

				MW	-3				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
1	Dec-88	<10	4,200		77	1,400	140	560	
2	May-89	110	1,800		64	250	61	110	
3	Feb-91	NS							
4	Mar-04	3,400	440	3,900	0	0	1.5	0	9.7
5	Dec-06	350	280	230	0	0	0	0	2.0
6	Dec-07	960	150		0	0	0	0	0
7	Mar-08	6,600	450		0	0	1.8	0	4.3
8	Jun-08	4,500	440		0	0	4.0	0	9.5
9	Sep-08	1,700	280		0	0	1.0	0.0	0
10	Dec-08	2,300	240		0	0	1.1	0.0	0
11	Mar-09	4,300	260		1.3	0	1.8	0.5	2.9
12	Sep-09	5,000	330		2.5	0	0.0	0.0	0
13	Mar-10	4,500	230	670	1.7	0	1.0	0.0	2.7
14	Sep-10	5,100	470		0	0.64	0.0	1.6	2.9
15	Mar-11	2,600	540		47	28	7.6	11.8	17
16	Sep-11	7,800	290		13	1.5	0.0	2.0	9.5
17	Mar-12	1,900	430		3.3	0	0.0	2.5	2.7
18	Sep-12	7,200	380		18	14	6.0	25.3	0
19	Mar-13	15,000	470	NA	1.3	0.68	2.1	2.1	8.6
20	Sep-13	250,000	530	NA	0	0	0.0	2.4	5.6
21	Mar-14	1,600	270	NA	1.4	0	0.0	0.0	0

				MW	7-4				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
1	Dec-88	0	100		2.0	1.0	0	2.0	
2	May-89	60	18		1.0	0.0	0	0	
3	Feb-91	0	0		0	0	0	0	
4	Mar-04								
5	Dec-06	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Dec-07	710	0		0	0	0	0	0
7	Mar-08	680	57		0	0	0	0	0
8	Jun-08	620	0		0	0	0	0	0
9	Sep-08	440	0		0	0	0	0	0
10	Dec-08	730	0		0	0	0	0	0
11	Mar-09	940	0		0	0	0	0	0
12	Sep-09	660	0		0	0	0	0	0
13	Mar-10	680	0	380	0	0	0	0	0
14	Sep-10	770	71		0	0	0	0	0
15	Mar-11	590	0		0	0	0	0	2.4
16	Sep-11	380	0		0	0	0	0	0
17	Mar-12	340	0		0	0	0	0	0
18	Sep-12	350	0		0	0	0	0	0
19	Mar-13	390	0	NA	0	0	0	0	0
20	Sep-13	250	0	NA	0	0	0	0	0
21	Mag 14	280	0	NIA	0	0	0	0	0

				MW	-5				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
1	Dec-88	530	890		0.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	
2	May-89	90	5.0		1.0	0	0	0	
3	Feb-91	58	0		0.6	0	0	0	
5	Dec-06	330	0	0	0.60	0	0	0	0
6	Dec-07	5,100	1.3		1.3	0	0	1.23	0
7	Mar-08	4,500	0		0.53	0	0	0	0
8	Jun-08	3,300	0		0.64	0	0	0	0
9	Sep-08	4,200	0		0	0	0	0	0
10	Dec-08	5,200	0		0.61	0	0	0	0
11	Mar-09	5,800	0		0	0	0	0	0
12	Sep-09	5,600	0		0	0	0	0	0
13	Mar-10	4,300	0	5,400	4.9	0	0.0	0.0	0
14	Sep-10	4,500	0		0.58	0	0	0	2.0
15	Mar-11	4,900	0		1.3	0	0	0	5.9
16	Sep-11	4,400	0		0	0	0	0	0.0
17	Mar-12	3,600	0		0	0	0	0	0.0
18	Sep-12	4,100	0		0	0	0	0	0.0
19	Mar-13	3,900	0	NA	0	0	<0.5	0	0.0
20	Sep-13	3,100	0	NA	0.65	0	0	0	0.0
21	Mar-14	2,300	0	NA	0	0	0	0	0.0

				MW	-6				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
1	Dec-88	0	52		1.0	0	0	0	
2	May-89	140	31		1.0	0	0	0	
3	Feb-91	130	40		0.8	0	0	0	
5	Dec-06	200	43	0	1.1	0	0	0	0
6	Dec-07	1,000	0		0.98	0.81	0	0.5	0
7	Mar-08	940	0		0.87	1.0	0	0	0
8	Jun-08	1,100	56		0.92	0	0	0	2.9
9	Sep-08	1,000	0		0.91	0	0	0	0
10	Dec-08	1,400	0		1	0	0	0	0
11	Mar-09	1,200	0		0	0	0.0	0.0	0
12	Sep-09	1,500	0		0.79	0	0.0	0.0	0
13	Mar-10	910	0	1,500	1.9	0	0.0	0.0	0
14	Sep-10	1,200	72		1.0	0	0	0	0
15	Mar-11	1,900	0		1.3	0	0	0	3.9
16	Sep-11	1,900	0		1.8	0	0	0	0
17	Mar-12	2,300	0		0.82	0	0	0	0
18	Sep-12	2,200	0		0.85	0	0	0	0
19	Mar-13	1,600	0	NA	0.83	0	0	0	0
20	Sep-13	1,100	0	NA	1.70	0	0	0	0
21	Mar.14	830	65	NA	0.81	0	0	0	0

				MW	7-7				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in M	farch 2004				
1	Mar-04	1,600	490	1,900	240	100	14	56	0
2	Dec-06	420	0	470	0	0	0	0	0
3	Dec-07	6,300	3,100		640	28	48	231	0
4	Mar-08	7,000	360		140	5.8	11	58	0
5	Jun-08	5,400	1,700		480	15	28	139	0
6	Sep-08	9,400	1,200		330	12	21	88	0
7	Dec-08	8,700	2,200		640	100	43	185	0
8	Mar-09	8,700	1,700		510	33	47	220	0
9	Sep-09	6,800	540		310	9.5	27	117	0
10	Mar-10	8,700	330	6,800	68	2.2	10	31.6	0
11	Sep-10	10,000	1,300		580	54	35	163	0
12	Mar-11	8,100	630		160	5.3	14	65	0
13	Sep-11	8,000	2,900		900	46	51	284	0
14	Mar-12	7,900	740		220	150	14	140	0
15	Sep-12	10,000	1,700		660	35	32	137	0
16	Mar-13	8,600	3,000	NA	950	39	30	149	0
17	Sep-13	12,000	2,100	NA	540	29	17	89	0
18	Mar-14	8,200	1,900	NA	440	22	14	63	0

				MW	/-8				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in ?	March 2004				
1	Mar-04	140,000	51,000	56,000	19,000	720	2,400	3,300	0
2	Dec-06	2,400	29,000	0	13,000	0	640	500	0
3	Dec-07	5,900	30,000		11,000	180	650	561	0
4	Mar-08	21,000	47,000		10,000	260	1,200	458	0
5	Jun-08	7,300	27,000		9,300	140	790	290	0
6	Sep-08	13,000	35,000		11,000	190	900	402	0
7	Dec-08	7,600	19,000		6,800	110	380	236	0
8	Mar-09	10,000	22,000		9,400	200	640	358	0
9	Sep-09	9,200	26,000		8,600	100	630	230	170
10	Mar-10	11,000	19,000	1,900	6,200	120	830	149	0
11	Sep-10	7,600	7,800		8,800	110	620	212	0
12	Mar-11	8,800	19,000		8,100	130	890	149	0
13	Sep-11	18,000	13,000		8,000	140	860	178	0
14	Mar-12	9,800	380		100	3	5.9	20	0
15	Sep-12	24,000	73,000		18,000	520	2,300	670	0
16	Mar-13	38,000	39,000	NA	9,400	160	1,600	255	0
17	Sep-13	2,100	14,000	NA	3,800	140	35	86	440
18	Mar-14	13,000	23,000	NA	6,800	96	620	200	0

				MW	/-9				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in ?	March 2004				
1	Mar-04	1,300	95	1,500	4.7	0.68	0	0	0
2	Dec-06	Jan-00	92	0	2.8	0	0	0	0
3	Dec-07	8,400	84		4.7	1.1	0	1.9	0
4	Mar-08	8,600	100		4.1	1.1	0	0	2.0
5	Jun-08	5,900	98		4.9	0	0	0	2.3
6	Sep-08	9,300	130		4.6	0	0	0	0
7	Dec-08	7,800	95		4	0.54	0	0	0
8	Mar-09	9,400	130		4.6	0	0	0	0
9	Sep-09	8,200	100		4	0	0.0	0.0	0
10	Mar-10	6,500	140	4,000	5.2	0	0.0	0.0	0
11	Sep-10	6,400	170		4.8	0.77	0.0	0.0	0
12	Mar-11	11,000	150		5.9	0.61	0.0	0.5	0
13	Sep-11	9,400	62		4.2	0	0	0	0
14	Mar-12	9,400	140		6.2	0.61	0	0.51	0
15	Sep-12	10,000	130		7.2	0	0.53	0.92	0
16	Mar-13	8,500	170	NA	14.0	0.73	0.7	0.63	0
17	Sep-13	11,000	130	NA	12.0	0	0.92	0	4.9
10	26-24	7,200	140	37.4	0.0	2	0	0	0

				MW	-10				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in ?	farch 2004				
1	Mar-04	840,000	14,000	0	4,000	77	200	120	0
2	Dec-06	19,000	12,000	0	4,600	42	90	52	0
3	Dec-07	4,700	13,000		5,300	96	42	86	0
4	Mar-08	280,000	10,000		2,600	50	37	58.7	0
5	Jun-08	4,800	10,000		3,800	62	24	61	0
6	Sep-08	4,700	1,200		350	11	3.4	11	0
7	Dec-08	3,200	2,900		550	45	15	56	0
8	Mar-09	6,200	8,200		890	46	78	130	0
9	Sep-09	6,100	1,700		1,200	35	19	31	0
10	Mar-10	3,900	7,800	960	1,200	46	34	56	54
11	Sep-10	3,500	3,400		1,500	47	18	44	0
12	Mar-11	4,500	3,700		1,200	81	25	46.4	0
13	Sep-11	3,800	4,600		720	49	26	52.4	0
14	Mar-12	3,500	2,400		240	27	10	33.6	0
15	Sep-12	13,000	6,600	1	1,800	89	130	46	2
16	Mar-13	24,000	15,000	NA	1,300	66	130	94	<50
17	Sep-13	3,800	4,600	NA	900	87	29	56	<50
18	Mar.14	3,300	6,200	NA	940	43	0	53	0

Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	MW	-11 Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in	May 2004				
1	Dec-06	0.0	920	0.0	26	4.5	1.8	5.4	0.0
2	Dec-07	6,900	1,500		320	44	53	140	0
3	Mar-08	7,500	1,200		120	7.6	10	24.9	3.0
4	Jun-08	5,100	2,000		190	11	7.7	16.3	0.0
5	Sep-08	5,600	2,200		260	20	34	60	0.0
6	Dec-08	7,800	2,100		270	14	7.6	15.6	0.0
7	Mar-09	7,100	1,400		200	6.4	7.3	10.4	0.0
8	Sep-09	6,400	1,900		320	13	9.8	15.2	2.0
9	Mar-10	6,500	1,600	6,900	150	0	3.9	12.8	2.9
10	Sep-10	5,500	1,300		330	15	9.2	17.3	0.0
11	Mar-11	6,500	3,400		1300	22	9.6	19.9	0.0
12	Sep-11	4,400	3,600		1200	36	16	39.1	0.0
13	Mar-12	4,600	5,700		2100	27	12	16.7	0.0
14	Sep-12	5,200	4,100		1,500	33	0	18	0.0
15	Mar-13	8,400	1,800	NA	97	18	19	30	0.0
16	Sep-13	7,900	1,900	NA	60	0	3.6	13	27.0
17	Mar.14	5,700	2,000	NA	60	0	3.8	14.2	0.0

				MW	-12				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in	May 2004				
1	Dec-06	0	19,000	0	9,100	51	0	110	0
2	Dec-07	2,700	17,000		8,000	110	25	115	0
3	Mar-08	3,300	33,000		9,200	140	85	116	0
4	Jun-08	3,000	17,000		6,600	95	50	110	0
5	Sep-08	3,100	14,000		6,200	79	18	83	0
6	Dec-08	3,600	19,000		7,900	140	72	124	0
7	Mar-09	4,100	14,000		6,100	150	130	111	0
8	Sep-09	3,000	2,000		4,500	80	14	51	0
9	Mar-10	4,000	15,000	1,900	6,200	110	73	101	0
10	Sep-10	3,100	4,900		5,900	97	47	73	0
11	Mar-11	3,300	15,000		7,900	180	200	127	0
12	Sep-11	1,800	8,600		2,700	85	31	63	0
13	Mar-12	2,500	17,000		6,300	160	180	124	0
14	Sep-12	2,300	10,000		4,600	160	210	85	0
15	Mar-13	9,800	9,100	NA	2,600	110	170	111	<2.0
16	Sep-13	7,800	9,400	NA	2,400	130	130	125	520
17	Mar-14	7,800	10,000	NA	2,500	89	68	55	0

				MW	-13				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in .	April 2004				
1	Dec-06	12,000	87,000	2,100	18,000	470	2,400	3,500	0
2	Dec-07		68,000		19,000	650	1,700	2,440	0
3	Mar-08	1,100,000	98,000		19,000	820	2,300	3,190	0
4	Jun-08	71,000	44,000		12,000	510	1,600	1,950	0
5	Sep-08	440,000	52,000		0	500	1,600	1,500	0
6	Dec-08	1,100,000	2,700,000		23,000	0	40,000	45,000	0
7	Mar-09	2,000,000	330,000		25,000	1,300	6,400	8,500	0
8	Sep-09	38,000	1,400,000		19,000	2,500	19,000	21,300	0
9	Mar-10	15,000	43,000	670	12,000	310	1,600	1,140	0
10	Sep-10	3,100,000	1,700,000		21,000	2,300	30,000	17,200	7,000
11	Mar-11	13,000	86,000		44,000	400	3,200	912	7,000
12	Sep-11	15,000	49,000		16,000	380	1900	850	0
13	Mar-12	1,100,000	260,000		23,000	1500	5700	4100	0
14	Sep-12	7,200,000	60,000		22,000	580	2,100	1,700	0
15	Mar-13	23,000	27,000	NA	5,600	260	1,300	1,080	0
16	Sep-13	39,000	19,000	NA	3,400	180	760	515	0
17	Mar-14	19,000	20,000	NA	3,700	120	710	361	0

				MW	-14				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
		-		Installed in .	April 2004				
1	Dec-06	0.0	8,300	0	3,700	240	230	260	0
2	Dec-07	2,600	6,800		3,100	150	220	168	0
3	Mar-08	4,400	18,000		4,400	330	340	245	0
4	Jun-08	2,600	7,700		2,600	180	200	141	0
5	Sep-08	2,500	4,100		1,300	50	80	61	0
6	Dec-08	2,800	2,300		830	27	45	30.7	0
7	Mar-09	3,200	13,000		4,300	870	260	283	0
8	Sep-09	2,100	530		630	14	28	17	0
9	Mar-10	3,900	6,700	3,100	2,400	400	140	185	0
10	Sep-10	2,500	2,000		1,700	44	98	89	0
11	Mar-11	2,800	16,000		6,600	1600	450	600	0
12	Sep-11	5,900	20,000		6,600	690	550	740	0
13	Mar-12	4,400	13,000		3,000	1400	340	870	0
14	Sep-12	9,900	31,000		4,800	2400	740	2450	0
15	Mar-13	21,000	11,000	NA	2,300	340	280	371	0
16	Sep-13	24,000	7,200	NA	1,900	200	160	197	0
17	Mar.14	8,200	6,900	NA	2,100	220	170	155	0

				MW	-15				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in .	April 2004				
1	Dec-06	0	9,200	0	3,700	0	60	57	0
2	Dec-07	3,300	8,100		3,000	48	28	44.5	0
3	Mar-08	3,000	13,000		3,600	66	210	59.5	0
4	Jun-08	2,900	15,000		5,800	61	230	56.4	0
5	Sep-08	3,400	18,000		7,800	73	270	59.9	0
6	Dec-08	3,000	20,000		7,600	95	300	84.2	0
7	Mar-09	3,400	17,000		7,200	91	170	60	0
8	Sep-09	2,700	2,400		6,200	71	68	42	0
9	Mar-10	3,700	14,000	910	5,900	74	170	69	0
10	Sep-10	3,500	5,800		8,100	95	170	71	0
11	Mar-11	3,200	11,000		5,600	88	110	66.1	0
12	Sep-11	2,200	15,000		6,400	100	71	77.7	0
13	Mar-12	3,500	16,000		7,200	110	160	177	0
14	Sep-12	3,500	28,000		12,000	300	380	297	0
15	Mar-13	3,100	15,000	NA	6,100	170	360	266	0
16	Sep-13	2,800	17,000	NA	4,100	92	76	144	0
17	Mar.14	2.200	12.000	NA	3.900	75	30	54	0

				MW	-16				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in .	April 2004				
1	Dec-06	0	190	0	11.0	1.4	0	0	0
2	Dec-07	8,500	71		13	2.6	0	1.46	0
3	Mar-08	12,000	60		11	0.73	0	0	0
4	Jun-08	10,000	120		13	2.2	0	0	2
5	Sep-08	8,200	64		9.9	1.9	0	0	0
6	Dec-08	8,800	60		11	2.8	0	0.53	0
7	Mar-09	14,000	78		12	2.3	0	0	0
8	Sep-09	10,000	0		9.3	1.6	0	0	2.2
9	Mar-10	12,000	70	4,700	12	2.1	0.56	1.35	0
10	Sep-10	9,800	77		12	1.9	0	0.55	2
11	Mar-11	9,900	64		13	1.6	0	2.3	16
12	Sep-11	10,000	74		17	2.3	0	1.33	0
13	Mar-12	8,400	66		12	1.8	0	1.07	0
14	Sep-12	7,700	84		17	1.5	0.57	0.69	0
15	Mar-13	8,100	80	NA	15	1.4	0	0.75	0
16	Sep-13	9,800	66	NA	13	1.7	0	1.38	0
17	Mar-14	5,900	76	NA	11	1.2	0	0.94	0

				MW	-17				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in .	April 2004				
1	Dec-06	0	14,000	0	3,400	1,100	480	0	0
2	Dec-07	2,900	5,000		1,100	260	110	206	0
3	Mar-08	3,100	6,800		1,200	110	91	94	21
4	Jun-08	2,900	7,200		1,100	45	75	66	0
5	Sep-08	3,300	5,500		900	63	69	69	0
6	Dec-08	3,200	7,100		1,100	530	190	390	0
7	Mar-09	3,000	5,400		770	150	87	161	0
8	Sep-09	3,000	2,500		120	3.1	11	1.6	0
9	Mar-10	3,400	5,000	1,900	910	66	73	93	0
10	Sep-10	2,800	3,500		1,400	62	46	76	0
11	Mar-11	3,900	6,100		1,100	44	55	70	0
12	Sep-11	2,400	4,600		850	49	51	64	0
13	Mar-12	2,200	5,800		1,500	57	58	67	0
14	Sep-12	1,400	4,800		1,300	45	100	41	0
15	Mar-13	2,900	7,200	NA	1,200	89	220	110	0
16	Sep-13	2,100	8,000	NA	1,400	150	220	122	0
17	Mag 14	2.600	8 600	NTA.	1 800	150	320	118	0

				MW	-18				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
				Installed in	May 2004				
1	Dec-06	0	120	0	22	6.2	3.2	6.2	0
2	Dec-07	8,600	0		0.98	0	0	0	0
3	Mar-08	9,800	0		0.52	0	0	0	2.0
4	Jun-08	8,800	0		0	0	0	0	3.1
5	Sep-08	8,600	0		0	0	0	0	0.0
6	Dec-08	9,300	0		0	0	0	0	0.0
7	Mar-09	10,000	0		0	0	0	0	0.0
8	Sep-09	11,000	0		0	0	0.0	0.0	0
9	Mar-10	9,400	0	2,700	0	0	0.0	0.0	0
10	Sep-10	6,400	1,800		2200	45	64.0	78.0	0
11	Mar-11	10,000	68		5.5	1.1	0.0	1.3	17
12	Sep-11	9,800	0		0.58	0	0.0	0.0	0
13	Mar-12	9,200	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0
14	Sep-12	11,000	160	0	5.1	0	5.7	0.6	0
15	Mar-13	9,600	0	NA	0	0	0.0	0.0	0
16	Sep-13	11,000	0	NA	0.52	0	0.0	0.0	0
				-		-	1		

				MW	-E				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
1	Dec-88	100	5,400		3,200	690	97	330	
4	Mar-04	470	810	0	340	6.1	2.2	7.7	0
5	Dec-06	280	1,900	0	910	0	10	0	0
6	Dec-07	6,900	7,000		3,300	50	51	80	0
7	Mar-08	6,300	2,700		780	17	20	20.9	12
8	Jun-08	5,200	7,400		2,900	43	85	50	0
9	Sep-08	7,800	11,000		3,800	170	130	257	0
10	Dec-08	9,400	9,100		3,400	110	180	182	0
11	Mar-09	5,600	850		270	7.5	13	17.5	0
12	Sep-09	6,200	510		1,200	22	37	37.2	0
13	Mar-10	3,800	2,400	5,100	1,000	20	37	26.9	4.9
14	Sep-10	6,600	1,800		2,200	45	64	78	0
15	Mar-11	5,900	4,400		2,600	46	64	90	0
16	Sep-11	7,600	3,600		4,500	150	340	402	0
17	Mar-12	5,800	6,500		2,600	50	52	84	0
18	Sep-12	8,300	7,800		5,500	190	430	431	0
19	Mar-13	7,700	21,000	NA	5,900	210	850	970	0
20	Sep-13	9,400	15,000	NA	3,800	120	470	351	0
21		\$ 600	0.500		2 200	110	240	179	0

20	Sep-15	2,400	10,000	1074	2,000	120	410	551	· ·
21	Mar-14	5,600	9,500	NA	3,200	110	240	178	0
				RW	-1				
Sampling Event No.	Date Sampled	TEH-d	TVH-g	TEH-mo	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes	MTBE
1	Dec-88								
2	May-89								
3	Feb-91								
4	Mar-04								
5	Dec-06	0	640	0	100	1.3	2	1.6	0
6	Dec-07	2,100	770		110	0	3.8	1.96	0
7	Mar-08	11,000	890		100	4.2	4.4	2.0	0
8	Jun-08	1,500	1,200		290	4.8	10	4.8	0
9	Sep-08	1,900	1,400		280	9.8	10	6.7	0
10	Dec-08	54,000	1,100,000		500	0	3,200	530	0
11	Mar-09	2,800	950		180	3.6	13	3	0
12	Sep-09	770	360		120	3.1	11	2	0
13	Mar-10	810	200	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Sep-10	980	860		170	4.0	5.6	2.8	8.0
15	Mar-11	810	310		15	4.4	2.5	3.9	8.8
16	Sep-11	440	230		28	2.7	1.7	1.5	0.0
17	Mar-12	1,900	502		70	2.0	2.2	2.1	0.0
18	Sep-12	890	790		150	9.6	5.5	12.0	0.0
19	Mar-13	2,800	280	NA	2.7	1.7	2.5	1.9	0.0
20	Sep-13	1,500	420	NA	10.2	6.0	0.0	5.7	0.0
21	Mar.14	4,700	410	NA	1.3	1.0	2.4	3.4	2.8

## **APPENDIX B**

## Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheets

## WELL GAUGING DATA

Project # 140327-PCI Date 3/27/14 Client Stellar Site 65th & Bay St., Energuille, A

Well ID	Time	Well Size	Sheen /	Depth to Immiscible	Thickness of Immiscible	Volume of Immiscibles Removed	Depth to water	Depth to well	Survey Point: TOB or	
MW-3	0828	2	36	9.61 th	K SPH,	gifficult y wegewit	9.64			INOLES
MW-4	0640	2					7,72	24.88		
MW-5	0644	2					9.68	24-86		
Mer-6	0650	2					6.33	23.30		
MW-7	0710	3/4			N. Contraction		10.82	19.80		
MW-8	0801	34	510	10.19	1 -		10-22			
Mw-9	0716	3/4					10-01	19.73		
MW-10	·0807	) <sub>4</sub>	slo	9.64			9.92	<u> </u>		
MW-11	0726	3/4					10.33	19.70		
MW-12	0734	Bly					9.55	19.06		
MU-13	• ०४ १५	3ĺų					9.58	19.51		
MW-H	0819	3 jy					9.48	19-60		
MW-15	0823	3[4					10.10	18-82		
MW-16	0741	3/4					9.81	19.20		
MW-17	0656	3/4					10,00	[9.57]		
MU-18	0747	3/4					9.19	19-67		
MW-E RW-I	0754	2	510	9.12	Thick B	laute SPM	10.15	45.58		

Diffici 17 to accurately meas

BLAINE TECH SERVICES, INC. SAN JOSE SACRAMENTO LOS ANGELES SAN DIEGO SEATTLE www.blainetech.com WELLHEAD INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	V	VELLHE	AD INSP	ECTION	I CHEC	KLIST	Page _	of
Client Steller	(				Date	3/27/11	f	
Site Address 65	5th & Bay	st. En	renzvill	e				
Job Number (4	0327-PC	, 		Tech	nician	PC		
Well ID	Well Inspected - No Corrective Action Required	Water Bailed From Wellbox	Wellbox Components Cleaned	Cap Replaced	Lock Replaced	Other Action Taken (explain	Well Not Inspected (explain	Repair Order Submitted
MW-3	X			*****		below)	below)	
Mw-4	×							
MU-5	X							
MW-6	X							
MW-7								K
MW- 8								K
MW-9								X
MW-10	K							
MV-11	<u>×</u>							
MW-12			i					
MW-13_	x							
MW-14	<u> </u>							
MW-15	X							
MW-16								<u>K</u>
MW-17								R
WW-10								
NOTES: MW	-17 2/2 h	polts M	1550hz	No lo	ck. s()	plap. or	Mw-7.	threader-18
Mu-6, Mi	<u>55 MW-1</u>	4 mu	3-7N 0	ak				
Mw-	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	Mosing						·····
Mw-	9 1/2 "	×C			*******		s.	
Mur	-82/e «	u						

5

WELLHEAD INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Page 2 of A

Client <u>SES</u>				Date	3/24/14	4		
Site Address	5th & Bay	st, Er	nevyvill	e				
Job Number <u> </u>	0327-Pa	•		Tech	nician	PC		
Well ID	Well Inspected - No Corrective Action Required	Water Bailed From Wellbox	Wellbox Components Cleaned	Cap Replaced	Lock Replaced	Other Action Taken (explain below)	Well Not Inspected (explain below)	Repair Order Submitted
MW-K								ĸ
RU-1								K
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-		
								-
						······		
,								
		-						

NOTES:

## **TEST EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG**

PROJECT NAM	NE Bay Center	Apts En	ery wille	PROJECT NUM	1BER 140327-	<i>qcl</i>	
EQUIPMENT NAME	EQUIPMENT NUMBER	DATE/TIME OF TEST	STANDARDS USED	EQUIPMENT READING	CALIBRATED TO: OR WITHIN 10%:	TEMP <i>. *</i> ć	INITIALS
Myront	621/2.08	3/27/14	4.017.01.00H	4.0017.00 110.00	Y	129	۹ <i>८</i>
		3/2-5/14		401/7-00/10-00 3900	J	12.5	
			~				

 $\left( \right)$ 

Project #:	Project #: 140327-PC1					Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions				3
Sampler: 📢	Ċ			Date:		3 /28	/ 14			
Well I.D.:	MW- 3			Well D	iameter	: 2	3 4	6	8	
Total Well	Depth (TD	): ``		Depth to Water (DTW): 9,64						
Depth to Fr	ee Product	: 9.61		Thickness of Free Product (feet): D.03						
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. M	leter (if	req'd):		YSI	HACH	
DTW with	80% Recha	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20)	) + DT	W]:			
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Ba Positive Air E Electric Subm	ailer Displaceme tersible	ent Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	>	Sampl	ing Method: Other:		Bailer in Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	5
(( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	fied Volun	es Calculated Vo	_Gals. blume	Well Diamete 1" 2" 3"	er Multij 0.04 0.16 0.37	olier Well I 4" 6" Other	Diamete	r <u>Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turl (N)	oidity TUs)	Gals.	Removed		Observations	
0920	Primp fo	r 6 mi	nutes Prior	to sample LDAPI			DAPL			
0926	Stop Pa	WIND.			•					
		``````````````````````````````````````								
					)					
Did well de	water?	Yes	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evac	uated: -			
Sampling D	ate: 3 / 56	/ 14	Sampling Tim	e: 09'	5D	Depth	to Wate	r: -		
Sample I.D.	: MW- 3			Labora	tory:	Curtis	s & Tomp	okins	5	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates $(5)$	Other:	See CO	С		
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	:	@ Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if app	licable):			
Analyzed for	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:			****			
D.O. (if req	mg/L	Р	ost-pur	ge:			<sup>mg</sup> /L			
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pi	e-purge:		mV	P	ost-pur	ge:			mV

Project #:	140327-P	C1		Client:	Client: Stellar Environmental So			
Sampler:	PC			Date:		3/27/14		
Well I.D.:	MW-H			Well D	Diameter	: © 3 4	6 8	
Total Well I	Depth (TD	): 24,8	8	Depth	to Water	r (DTW): チ.て	-2	
Depth to Free Product:					less of F	ree Product (fee	et):	
Referenced to: PVC Grade					leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with 8	80% Recha	urge [(H	eight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20)	) + DTW]: [[.	15	
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Ba Positive Air D Electric Subm	ailer Displaceme ersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	Well Diamete	Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
2-7 (( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	3 fied Volum	$= \frac{8.1}{\text{Calculated Vo}}$	_Gals. lume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Other	0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or C	pН	Cond. (mS or µS)	Tur (N	bidity TUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
0909	15.4	6.46	1056	9	0	2.7		
0917	15.3	7.07	1006	(7	2	5.4		
0925	15.0	7.27	991.1	9	9	8.1		
Did well de	water?	Yes	NZ	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated: 8	5.1	
Sampling D	ate: 3/27/	′ 14	Sampling Time	e: Dag	0	Depth to Wate	r: 11.00	
Sample I.D.	: MW- 4			Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygen	ates (5) 🤇	Other: See CO	C	
EB I.D. (if applicable):					Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):			
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygen	ates (5)	Other:		
D.O. (if req	'd): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Р	ost-purge:	""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV	

Project #:	140327-P	C1		Client: Stellar Environmental Solu			nmental Solutions	
Sampler: 5	PC			Date:		3/77/14		
Well I.D.:	MW-5			Well Diameter: 2 3 4 6 8				
Total Well	Depth (TD	): 24.5	36	Depth to Water (DTW): 9.68				
Depth to Fr	ee Product		1 2	Thickn	less of F	ree Product (fee	et):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with	80% Recha	rge [(H	eight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20	) + DTW]: [2,	72	
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Ba Positive Air D Electric Subm	<u>iiler</u> > iisplaceme ersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	Well Diamet	Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
<u>2_4</u> 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Specif	3 ied Volum	$= \frac{7.2}{\text{Calculated Vo}}$	_Gals. lume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Other	0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or O	pН	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turl (N	oidity ſUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
0952	16.4	7.87	2101	5	36	24		
0959	well	dere	tevel					
1400	16.6	B.41	2167	25	36			
Did well de	water?	Tes	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated: L	1.2	
Sampling D	ate: 3 /23/	/14	Sampling Time	e: {4 <i>0</i> 0	)	Depth to Wate	r: 10.11	
Sample I.D.	.: MW-5			Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5) 🤇	Other: See CO	С	
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	•	@ Time	Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):				
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:		
D.O. (if req	'd): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Р	ost-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV	

Project #:	roject #: 140327-PC1					Stellar Enviror	nmental Solutions	
Sampler:	PC			Date:		3/27/14		
Well I.D.:	MW-6			Well D	iameter	: 🕢 3 4	6 8	
Total Well	Depth (TD	): 235.	30	Depth to Water (DTW): 6.33				
Depth to Fr	ee Product			Thickness of Free Product (feet):				
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. M	eter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with	80% Recha	urge [(H	eight of Water	Column	x 0.20)	) + DTW]: 9.5	72	
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Ba Positive Air D Electric Subm	iiler Displacemen ersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump		Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
2-7 (1 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	З fied Volum	$= \frac{8.1}{\text{Calculated Vo}}$	Gals. lume	1" 2" 3"	er      Multiplier      Well        0.04      4"        0.16      6"        0.37      Othe	Diameter <u>Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 r radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or °C	pН	Cond. (mS or as)	Turb (NT	oidity TUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
1020	14.1	11.32	1339	22		2.7	0001	
1028	14.2	11:37	1361	<u> </u>	(	5,4		
1036	14.1	11.40	1352	g	) :	Bal	Ţ	
				1				
Did well de	water?	Yes (	NO	Gallons	s actuall	y evacuated:	8.1	
Sampling D	Date: 3 /24	/ 14	Sampling Time	e: 101	(4	Depth to Wate	er: 71(	
Sample I.D	.: MW- 6			Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tom	pkins	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other: See CC	C	
EB I.D. (if	applicable	):	@ Time	Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):				
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:		
D.O. (if rec		<sup>mg</sup> /L	F	ost-purge:	mg/L			
O.R.P. (if r	eq'd): Pi	re-purge:	τ,	mV Post-purge:			mV	

Project #:	140327-F	PC1		Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions					
Sampler: $\heartsuit$	<u>د</u>			Date:		3/27/14			
Well I.D.:	MW-7-			Well Diameter: 2 3 4 6 8 (3/4)					
Total Well	Depth (TE	):19.8	0	Depth to Water (DTW): \ 0.82					
Depth to Fr	ee Product	t:		Thickı	Thickness of Free Product (feet):				
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	Aeter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH		
DTW with	80% Rech	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20	) + DTW]: 5	2.62		
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable B Positive Air I Electric Subn	ailer Displaceme nersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic	1 	Sampling Method	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing New Trub Ma		
O. D. (1 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	ろ fied Volum	$\underline{=} \underbrace{O}_{\text{calculated Vo}}$	_Gals. Jume	Well Diamet 1" 2" 3"	er Multiplier Well 0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Othe	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline Diameter & Multiplier \\ 0.65 \\ 1.47 \\ r & radius^2 * 0.163 \end{array}$		
Time	Temp (°F or O	pН	Cond. (mS)or μS)	Tur (N	bidity TUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations		
1120	14.8	8:37	11.07	2		0.20			
1125	15.2	8.42	12,49	3	09	0.4			
1130	15.0	8,46	12.41	2	51	0-6			
Did well de	water?	Yes	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated:	0-6		
Sampling D	ate: 3 /24/	/ 14	Sampling Time	e: 114	4	Depth to Wate	r: 12.60		
Sample I.D.	: MW-7-	-		Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins		
Analyzed fo	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygen	ates (5)	Other: See CO	С		
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	•	@ Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):			
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygen	ates (5)	Other:			
D.O. (if req	d): Pr	e-purge:	.:	<sup>mg</sup> / <sub>L</sub> Post-purge:			mg/L		
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV		

Project #:	Project #: 140327-PC1					Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions			
Sampler:	DW			Date:		3/27/14	_		
Well I.D.:	MW- 发			Well Diameter: 2 3 4 6 8 $(\frac{3}{4})$					
Total Well	Depth (TD	):		Depth to Water (DTW): \0-22					
Depth to Fr	ee Product	: 10.1	9	Thickness of Free Product (feet):					
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH		
DTW with	80% Recha	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20	) + DTW]:			
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable B Positive Air I Electric Subm	ailer Displaceme nersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	Well Diamete	Sampling Method: Other: er Multiplier Well 0.04 4"	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing Man Tubing Diameter Multiplier 0.65		
1 Case Volume	Jals.) X Speci	fied Volum	nes Calculated Vo	_Gals. lume	3"	0.37 Other	r radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163		
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turl (N	oidity FUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations		
*	332	Begar	2 parsini	well 200 ml/min LOARL					
× 13	38 F	urse	Stopped						
			X						
Did well de	water?	Yes (	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated:			
Sampling D	ate: 3 /27	/ 14	Sampling Time	e: 13	40	Depth to Wate	r: 10,50		
Sample I.D.	: MW- 🖇	/ )		Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins		
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:) See CO	С		
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	•	( <i>a</i> ) Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):			
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:			
D.O. (if req	'd): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Р	ost-purge:	mg/L		
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV		

Project #:	Project #: 140327-PC1					Client: Stellar Environmental Solu				
Sampler: 7	20			Date:		3 /24/14	-			
Well I.D.:	MW-9			Well D	iameter	: 2 3	4	6	8 34	
Total Well	Depth (TD	): L G. 7	13	Depth to Water (DTW): [ O-O ]						
Depth to Fr	ee Product	- • - •		Thickn	Thickness of Free Product (feet):					
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. M	leter (if	req'd):		YSI	HACH	
DTW with	leight of Water	Columr	n x 0.20)	) + DTW]:	([.	95				
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable B Positive Air I Electric Subn	ailer Displaceme 1ersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	2	Sampling M	1ethod: Other:	Q	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	¥
0-2 (1 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	S fied Volum	$= \underbrace{O}_{\text{Calculated Vo}} $	_Gals. Jume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 0.16 0.37	4" 6" Other		<u>Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F on C	pН	Cond. (mS or as)	Turb (N7	oidity TUs)	Gals. Rem	oved		Observations	
1208	19.3	9.68	2445	12	.(	0-2				
1212	15-4	9.64	2516	9	le	0-4				
1217	15.5	9.69	2476	80	2	0-6				
Did well de	water?	Yes 🤇	Né	Gallons	s actuall	y evacuate	ed: Ø	-6		
Sampling D	ate: 3 /2+1	/ 14	Sampling Time	e: {221		Depth to	Wate	r: ()	.80	
Sample I.D.	: MW- 9			Laborat	tory:	Curtis & '	Tomp	kins		
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ites (5)	Øther) Se	ee CO	С		
EB I.D. (if a	EB I.D. (if applicable):					(if applical	ble):			
Analyzed for	Oxygenates (5) Other:									
D.O. (if req	d): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Р	ost-purge:			I	<sup>mg</sup> /L
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:			1	nV

Project #:	roject #: 140327-PC1				Client: Stellar Environmental Solution			
Sampler: 5	)A			Date:		3/27/14		
Well I.D.:	MW-LO	)		Well I	Diameter	: 2 3 4	6 8 3/4	
Total Well	Depth (TE	): ~		Depth to Water (DTW): 9,92				
Depth to Fr	ee Product	t: 9.64		Thickness of Free Product (feet):				
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	Aeter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with	80% Rech	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20)	) + DTW]:		
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable B Positive Air I Electric Subn	ailer Displaceme nersible	ent Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltio tion Pump	1 ) -	Sampling Method Other	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing New Hubin	
1 Case Volume	Gals.) XSpeci	fied Volun	$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1}$	Gals. lume	Well Diamete 1" 2" 3"	Multiplier      Well        0.04      4"        0.16      6"        0.37      Othe	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline Diameter & Multiplier \\ 0.65 \\ 1.47 \\ r & radius^2 * 0.163 \end{array}$	
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or μS)	Tur (N	bidity TUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
*	1307 (	egan	parsing	well	150	m/min	LNAPL	
K	1313	Stoppe	d'oursé			r r		
Did well de	water?	Yes (	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated:		
Sampling D	ate: 3/27	414	Sampling Time	: 131	5	Depth to Wate	r: 10715	
Sample I.D.	: MW-/ (	2		Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tom	okins	
Analyzed fo	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygen	ates (5)	Other: See CO	C ···	
EB I.D. (if a	pplicable)	•	@ Time	Duplic	ate I.D. (	(if applicable):	•••	
Analyzed fo	r: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygenates (5) Other:				
D.O. (if req'	d): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Pe	ost-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if re	q'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Po	ost-purge:	mV	
Project #:	PC1		Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions					
-------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	--
Sampler:	2 <u>C</u>		······	Date:		3/27/14	2	
Well I.D.:	MW-		······································	Well D	liameter	r: 2 3 4	6 8 (3/4)	
Total Well	Depth (TI	)): [9.7	6	Depth	Depth to Water (DTW): 10,33			
Depth to Fr	ee Produc	t:		Thickn	ess of F	Free Product (fe	eet):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. M	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with	80% Rech	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20	)+DTW]: 12	1-20	
Purge Method:	ent Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	Well Diamet	Sampling Method Other	: Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing : Description			
0_2_(( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	3 fied Volum	$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{6}$	_Gals. lume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Othe	0.65 1.47 rr radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or C	pН	Cond. (mS or US)	Turb (NT	idity ʿUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
1240	14.9	8-01	2281	9,	6	0,2	ødor	
1244	150	7.91	2266	li	21	0.4		
1248	15.0	7.93	2248	8	2	076		
Did well dev	water?	Yes (	Nō	Gallons	actuall	y evacuated:	2-6	
Sampling D	ate: 3 /2-7/	14	Sampling Time	:125	Ч	Depth to Wate	r: 17 07-	
Sample I.D.:	: MW- \\		-	Laborate	ory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins	
Analyzed for	r: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygenat	es (5)	Other See CO	С	
EB I.D. (if a	pplicable)	•	(2) Time	Duplicat	te I.D. (	if applicable):		
Analyzed for	r: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D (	Oxygenat	es (5)	Other:		
D.O. (if req'	d): Pro	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Po	ost-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if re	q'd): Pro	e-purge:	*	mV	Po	ost-purge:	mV	

Project #:	140327-F	PC1		Client:	ļ	Stellar Envi	ronme	ental Solutions
Sampler:	°c			Date:	-	3 /24/ 14		
Well I.D.:	MW- (2			Well Diam	eter:	2 3	4 6	8 (3bg)
Total Well	Depth (TD	): 19_0	26	Depth to W	Depth to Water (DTW): 955			
Depth to Fr	ee Product	- •		Thickness	of Fre	ee Product (	feet):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. Meter	:(if r	eq'd):	YSI	НАСН
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water				Column x 0	).20) ·	+ DTW]: ((	.45	
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable B Positive Air I Electric Subn	ailer Displaceme nersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump		Sampling Meth	hod:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing New Tubing
O.2_(( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	3 fied Volum	$= \underbrace{\partial \cdot \mathbf{b}}_{\text{Calculated Vo}}$	_Gals.	Diameter	Multiplier         V           0.04         4           0.16         6           0.37         6	Vell Diame " " Other	<u>eter Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or(µŠ)	Turbidity (NTUs)	7	Gals. Remov	ed	Observations
1304	147	7.97	985.9	58		0-2		
1309	14.6	7.77	987.5	100		0.4		
1314	14.7	7.76	991.7	118		0-6		
Did well de	water?	Yes (	No	Gallons act	ually	evacuated:	D.6	r
Sampling D	vate: 3 /27/	/ 14	Sampling Time	e: 1318	I	Depth to Wa	ater:	11.01
Sample I.D.	: MW- \7	-	· 5	Laboratory	: (	Curtis & To	mpkin	IS
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygenates (	5) (0	Other:) See	COC	
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	:	Time     Tim     Time     Time     Time     Time     Time     Time     Time	Duplicate I	.D. (i	fapplicable	e):	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygenates (	5) (	Other:		•: •
D.O. (if req		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Po	st-purge:		mg/L		
O.R.P. (if req'd): Pre-purge: mV Post-purge: mV							mV	

3

Project #:	Project #: 140327-PC1				Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions			
Sampler:	Dn			Date:		3/2714		
Well I.D.:	MW- (3			Well I	Diameter	: 2 3 4	6 8 74	
Total Well	Depth (TD	): 19,5	54	Depth to Water (DTW): 9.58				
Depth to Fr	ee Product	•		Thickr	less of F	ree Product (fe	et):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20)	) + DTW]:	1.57		
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable B Positive Air I Electric Subn	ailer Displaceme 1ersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peris <del>taltie</del> tion Pump		Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
0-2 (1 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X	<u>S</u> fied Volum	$= \frac{0.5}{\text{Calculated Vo}}$	_Gals. lume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Othe	0.65 1.47 r radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pH	Cond. (mS or uS)	Tur (N	oidity ΓUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
1355	15.0	7,75	19:47	1	-3	O.Z		
1359	14.8	7,33	1972	6	68 0.4			
1404	14.9	7.29	1979	6	2	0.6		
							"	
Did well de	water?	Yes (	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated:	0.0	
Sampling D	ate: 3 127	# 14	Sampling Time	e: 14	05	Depth to Wate	r: 9,80	
Sample I.D.	: MW- l	3	· ·	Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other See CO	С	
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	):	@ Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):		
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:		
D.O. (if req	D.O. (if req'd): Pre-purge: $\frac{mg}{L}$ Post-purge: $\frac{mg}{L}$							
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV	

 $\left( \right)$ 

Project #:	C1		Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions					
Sampler: P	C			Date:		3 / 28/ 14		
Well I.D.:	MW- [4			Well D	Diameter	: 2 3 4	6 8 (3/4)	
Total Well	Depth (TD	):19.6	20	Depth	Depth to Water (DTW): Q. 4 g			
Depth to Fr	ee Product	*		Thickn	ess of F	ree Product (fe	et):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with 8	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20	) + DTW]: ( (. v	TU		
Purge Method:	ailer Displaceme tersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	>	Sampling Method Other	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing		
$\mathcal{Q}$ $\mathcal{Q}$ $\mathcal{Q}$ (0) 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Specit	ع fied Volum	$= \frac{0.6}{\text{Calculated Vo}}$	_Gals. lume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Othe	0.65 1.47 r radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pН	Cond. (mS or µS)	Turl (N	bidity ΓUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
0834	14.9	8.09	1105	20	2	0.2		
0836	14.9	7.86	1090		2	D.Y		
0842	14.9	7.59	1018	9	0	0.6		
Did well de	water?	Yes (	Nø	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated: 6	2.6	
Sampling D	ate: 3 /28/	′ 14	Sampling Time	e: 081	16	Depth to Wate	r: [[.[2	
Sample I.D.	: MW- [ዛ	<u></u>		Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tom	okins	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other: See CO	C	
EB I.D. (if a	pplicable)	•	(2) Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):		
Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxygenates (5) Other:						Other:		
D.O. (if req'	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> / <sub>L</sub> Post-purge:			mg/L		
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV	

Project #:	Project #: 140327-PC1				Client: Stellar Environmental Solution			
Sampler:	2			Date:		3/28/14	~	
Well I.D.:	MW-15			Well Diameter: 2 3 4 6 8 $(3/4)$				
Total Well	Depth (TD	): \8_8	7 7	Depth	Depth to Water (DTW): 10.18			
Depth to Fr	ee Product	•		Thickn	ess of F	ree Product (fe	et):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Wate				Colum	n x 0.20	) + DTW]: [ .	91	
Purge Method:	ailer Displaceme Iersible	( nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	Well Diamet	Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing		
0-2 (( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X	3 fied Volum	$= \frac{O - G}{Calculated Vo}$	_Gals. Jume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Other	0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pН	Cond. (mS or pS)	Turl (N	oidity FUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
0859	[5.0	7248	1005	2	5	0-2		
0903	(5.0	7.36	1008	<u>,</u>	>[	0-4		
0907	150	7.33	1009	L	)]	0-6		
Did well de	water?	Yes (	Na	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated:	).6	
Sampling D	ate: 3 /28/	' 14	Sampling Time	e: 09	.12	Depth to Wate	r: 1(-61	
Sample I.D.	: MW-16			Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates $(5)$	Other: See CO	С	
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	•	@ Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):		
Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D Oxyg					ates (5)	Other:		
D.O. (if req	d): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> / <sub>L</sub> Post-purge:			mg/L	
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:	an con a guarda a successiva da con a constructiva da constructiva da constructiva da constructiva da construct	mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV	

Project #:	140327-P	°C1		Client:		Stellar Enviro	nmental Solutions		
Sampler:	PC			Date:		3 1271 14			
Well I.D.:	MW-16		-	Well D	Diameter	r: 2 3 4	6 8 (3/4)		
Total Well	Depth (TD	): 19,2	6	Depth	Depth to Water (DTW): 9.81				
Depth to Fr	ee Product	• • •		Thickr	Thickness of Free Product (feet):				
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH		
DTW with	80% Recha	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20	) + DTW]: 16	9		
Purge Method:     Bailer     Waterra     Sampling Method:     Bailer       Disposable Bailer     Disposable Bailer     Disposable Bailer     Disposable Bailer       Positive Air Displacement     Extraction Pump     Extraction Pomp       Electric Submersible     Other     Other						Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Fubing			
0-2 (( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X	S fied Volum	$= \frac{O-C}{Calculated Vo}$	_Gals. lume	<u>Well Diamet</u> 1" 2" 3"	ter Multiplier Well 0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Other	Diameter Multiplier 0.65 1.47 r radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163		
Time	Temp (°F or C	pН	Cond. (mS or as)	Turl (N	bidity ΓUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations		
1328	151	10.34	8237	21	1	0.2			
1339	15.2	10.57	3336	26	Ð	0.4			
1338	15.2	10.21	3438	16	,	0-6			
Did well de	water?	Yes	₩₽	Gallon	s actual	ly evacuated:	0.6		
Sampling D	ate: 3 /2-1/	′ 14	Sampling Time	e: 1534	5	Depth to Wate	r: 10.04		
Sample I.D.	: MW-\(	2	þ	Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins		
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other: See CO	С		
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	•	( <i>d</i> ) Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):			
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:			
D.O. (if req	'd): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	F	ost-purge:	mg/L		
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	F	Post-purge:	mV		

P		W	ELL MONIT	ORING	<b>DATA</b>	SHEET		
Project #:	140327-P	C1		Client:		Stellar Env	viror	nmental Solutions
Sampler: PC						3/24/14		
Well I.D.: MW-17-					iameter	: 2 3	4	6 8(3/4)
Total Well	Depth (TD	):19.5	2	Depth to Water (DTW): (0.00				
Depth to Free Product:					Thickness of Free Product (feet):			
Referenced to: <u>PVC</u> Grade D.O. Meter (if req'd): YSI HACH							YSI HACH	
DTW with	30% Recha	arge [(H	leight of Water	Columr	1 x 0.20)	) + DTW]:	11-9	0
Purge Method:	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	>	Sampling Me	ethod: Dther:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing			
1/Case Volume	Gals.) XSpecif	<u>3</u> ied Volum	$= \frac{\partial_{-} G}{Calculated Vo}$	_Gals. Jume	Well Diamete 1" 2" 3"	er <u>Multiplier</u> 0.04 0.16 0.37	Well [ 4" 6" Other	Diameter <u>Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pН	Cond. (mS or uS)	Turb (NT	idity Us)	Gals. Remo	ved	Observations

1096 15-2 8-32 806:3	20 0.2								
1100 15.3 7.92 799.1	11 0.4								
1104 15.3 7.89 793.7	10 0.6								
Did well dewater? Yes So Gallons actually evacuated: 0.6									
Sampling Date: 3/g1/14 Sampling Time: 10.02 Depth to Water: 10.02									
Sample I.D.: MW- 7	Laboratory: Curtis & Tompkins								
Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-I	D Oxygenates (5) Other: See COC								
EB I.D. (if applicable):	Duplicate I.D. (if applicable):								
Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-I	D Oxygenates (5) Other:								
D.O. (if req'd): Pre-purge:	<sup>mg</sup> / <sub>L</sub> Post-purge: <sup>mg</sup> / <sub>L</sub>								
O.R.P. (if req'd): Pre-purge:	mV Post-purge: mV								

Project #: 140327-PC1				Client: Stellar Environmental Solutions			
Sampler: 1	<i>с</i>			Date:	3 /25/ 14		
Well I.D.:	MW- 18			Well Diameter	: 2 3 4	6 8 (3/4)	
Total Well	Depth (TD	): \q.(,	12	Depth to Water (DTW): 9.19			
Depth to Fr	ee Product	•		Thickness of F	ree Product (fe	et):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. Meter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Wate				Column x 0.20	) + DTW]: [[,2	5	
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Ba Positive Air E Electric Subm	ailer Displaceme aersible	( nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
0-2_(( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Specif	3 fied Volum	$= \frac{O_{c}}{Calculated Vo}$	_Gals. 1" 1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Other	0.65 1.47 r radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pН	Cond. (mS or aS)	Turbidity (NTUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
0612	(5.0	7-21	6947	816	QQ	block	
0816	1520	7.23	7359	600	0-4		
0820	(5-2	7226	7489	511	0-6	V .	
Did well de	water?	Yes (	Ñø	Gallons actuall	y evacuated: D	6	
Sampling D	ate: 3 /28/	′ 14	Sampling Time	0824	Depth to Wate	r: 9_91	
Sample I.D.	: MW- 😽		······	Laboratory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins	
Analyzed fo	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygenates (5)	Other: See CO	С	
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	•	@ Time	Duplicate I.D.	(if applicable):		
Analyzed fo	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygenates (5) Other:			
D.O. (if req'	d): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> / <sub>L</sub> Post-purge:			
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV Post-purge:			

KNIN K K	* CONTROD TO TO		~~~~
WELL	MONITORING	DATA	SHEET

Project #:	Project #: 140327-PC1					Stellar Enviror	nmental Solutions	
Sampler:	ř.			Date:		3/28/14		
Well I.D.:	MW- 😢			Well D	Diameter	: 2 3 4	6 8	
Total Well	Depth (TD	): 46.	54	Depth	Depth to Water (DTW): 10.15			
Depth to Fr	ee Product	•		Thickr	ess of F	ree Product (fee	et):	
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH	
DTW with 8	80% Recha	arge [(H	leight of Water	Colum	n x 0.20	) + DTW]: (*	7.24	
Purge Method:	Bailer Disposable Ba Positive Air E Electric Subm	ailer Displaceme nersible	nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	3	Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
5-7 (( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Speci	<b>3</b> fied Volum	$= \frac{7}{\text{Calculated Vo}}$	Gals. lume	<u>well Diametr</u> 1" 2" 3"	er Multiplier Well 1 0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Other	Diameter <u>Multiplier</u> 0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163	
Time	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Temp} \\ (^{\circ}\text{F or } \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{C}}) \end{array}$	pН	Cond. (mS or 15)	Turl (N	oidity FUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations	
0750	6.3	8.68	2738	2	9	5-7		
0758	nel	Levate	ved Q. Ce-2	gal				
1008	16:2	7.96	2819	Í (	20	(citation-		
	· ·							
Did well de	water?	Yes	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated:le.	2	
Sampling D	ate: 3/98/	′ 14	Sampling Time	e: 100	っち	Depth to Wate	r: 11. <i>0</i> 0	
Sample I.D.	: MW- ビ			Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tomp	okins	
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other: See CO	С	
EB I.D. (if a	pplicable)	•	( <i>d</i> ) Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):		
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygena	ates (5)	Other:		
D.O. (if req'	d): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Р	ost-purge:	mg/L	
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV	

Project #:	140327-P	C1		Client: Stellar Environmental Solution			nmental Solutions
Sampler: P	Ċ			Date:		3/28/14	
Well I.D.:	Rtw- 1			Well D	Diameter	: 2 3 4	6 8 0
Total Well	Depth (TD	): ~		Depth to Water (DTW): 9.13			
Depth to Fr	ee Product	: 9.12	,	Thickr	ess of F	ree Product (fee	et): 0.01
Referenced	to: 🔇	PVC	Grade	D.O. N	leter (if	req'd):	YSI HACH
DTW with 80% Recharge [(Height of Water				Colum	n x 0.20)	) + DTW]:	
Purge Method:	ailer Displaceme ersible	( nt Extrac Other	Waterra Peristaltic tion Pump	) Well Diamete	Sampling Method: Other:	Bailer Disposable Bailer Extraction Port Dedicated Tubing	
(( 1 Case Volume	Gals.) X Specil	fied Volum	= nes Calculated Vo	_Gals. lume	1" 2" 3"	0.04 4" 0.16 6" 0.37 Other	0.65 1.47 radius <sup>2</sup> * 0.163
Time	Temp (°F or °C)	pН	Cond. (mS or µS)	Tur (N	bidity TUs)	Gals. Removed	Observations
0942	Run	Primo	for lemin	orier.	to samp	le	LNAPL
0948				<b>`</b>			
					territettet		
Did well de	water?	Yes	No	Gallon	s actuall	y evacuated:	1
Sampling D	ate: 3 / 🔬	′ 14	Sampling Time	e: 09	52	Depth to Wate	r:
Sample I.D.	: <b>R</b> W-1			Labora	tory:	Curtis & Tom	okins
Analyzed for	or: TPH-G	BTEX	MTBE TPH-D	Oxygen	ates (5)	Other: See CO	С
EB I.D. (if a	applicable)	:	@ Time	Duplic	ate I.D.	(if applicable):	
Analyzed for: TPH-G BTEX MTBE TPH-D			MTBE TPH-D	Oxygen	ates (5)	Other:	
D.O. (if req	'd): Pr	e-purge:		<sup>mg</sup> /L	Р	ost-purge:	<sup>mg</sup> /L
O.R.P. (if re	eq'd): Pr	e-purge:		mV	Р	ost-purge:	mV

# **APPENDIX C**

# March 2014 Groundwater Monitoring Event

Analytical Laboratory Report and Chain-of-Custody Record



and setting to the

H



#### Laboratory Job Number 255046 ANALYTICAL REPORT

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Lab ID</u>
MW-4	255046-001
MW-5	255046-002
MW-6	255046-003
MW-17	255046-004
MW-7	255046-005
MW-9	255046-006
MW-11	255046-007
MW-12	255046-008
MW-16	255046-009
MW-8	255046-010
MW-10	255046-011
MW-13	255046-012

This data package has been reviewed for technical correctness and completeness. Release of this data has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or the Manager's designee, as verified by the following signature. The results contained in this report meet all requirements of NELAC and pertain only to those samples which were submitted for analysis. This report may be reproduced only in its entirety.

Signature:

Tracy Babjar Project Manager tracy.babjar@ctberk.com (510) 204-2226

Date: <u>04/03/2014</u>

CA ELAP# 2896, NELAP# 4044-001



#### CASE NARRATIVE

Laboratory number: Client: Project: Location: Request Date: Samples Received: 255046 Stellar Environmental Solutions 2007-65 Bay Center Apts 03/27/14 03/27/14

This data package contains sample and QC results for twelve water samples, requested for the above referenced project on 03/27/14. The samples were received cold and intact.

#### TPH-Purgeables and/or BTXE by GC (EPA 8015B and EPA 8021B):

MW-17 (lab # 255046-004), MW-7 (lab # 255046-005), and MW-13 (lab # 255046-012) had pH greater than 2. No other analytical problems were encountered.

#### TPH-Extractables by GC (EPA 8015B):

No analytical problems were encountered.

Laboratory CURTS Address 2323 FIE BERKELE	TH S Y, CA	<u>mpk</u> T.	CMS	Me Sh Aii	ethod of Shi hipment No.	pment	<b>1-1</b> 0	DRI	VER	101	- LAS	s C	01F	Lier	-	 An	alvsis R	equired		Date Page _	of	<u></u>
Project Owner Site Address 6400 CA BERKEZ Project Name BAY CO Project Number 2007	HRISTI EY, CA UTER - 65	DE A APA	hje Rtm	Cc Pr Te R	ooler No oject Manag lephone No x No amplers: <i>(Si</i> g	ger <b>E. M</b> (510) 644- (510) 644- gnature)	<b>ak Di</b> 3123 3859 Hiy	s i			Mo. c.	H-D Comainer	H-5,0015 m	X BOIS	198 Lange						Remar	ks
Field Sample Number	Location/ Depth	Date	Time	Sample Type	Type/Size	of Container	Pre Cooler	eservation Chem	ical			/ 6	/ n	7 /								
MW-4		3/27/M	04:30	GW	VoawH	el/maini		HCI	NR		X	X	X									
MW-5			1400	١		AGNE		ľ			K	X	く									
MLTS			1048								K	X	X							,		
MW-17			100								X	X	X			-			·			
Mhr-7			1144			····					K	X	X									
MW - 9			1727								Ŕ	X	x						·			
MA 61-11	·*· · · · ·		(15)								1×	Ŕ	X							-		
n/12-17-			1314		1							X	~								· · · · · - ·	
$M_{1/2}$		$\vdash$	134			·						X	K									······
			240		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>					X	X	X					+				
INU D			1313		1						X	X	V									
V(W = (0			1405									L K										
Relinguished by 1	<u>v</u>	Date	Beceived	Lbv:		$\frac{v}{D}$	Date	Relino	uished by:						ate	Receiv	ed by:					Dat
Signature 100	~	107/11/1	Signa	ure	iff	<u>Jg</u> e	13/.,	Sig	nature					-		Sigr	nature					
Printed Daniel Alle	n f	7/7 Time	Printe	Tra	cy I	26"		Pri	nted						ime	Prin	ted					Tim
Blaire Tor	6	430		C	SIT		143	¥								_						
Company		150	Comp	any				Co	mpany						ote	Con	npany _					Da
Turnaround Time: 57400	=							Sig	nature					_   '		Sig	nature _					
Comments: EUF FA	CI -			CL.	}				ated							Prin	ted					
GLODAL IN H	シレ		103	20	<u> </u>		<u> </u>							-   '	n De							
	<u> </u>							. Co	mpany					_		Cor	npany _					1

3 of 41

## COOLER RECEIPT CHECKLIST

ct	Curtis & Tompkins, Ltd.
----	-------------------------

Login # $\frac{255046}{255046}$ Date Received $3 34 44$ Number	of coolers $\mathcal{A}$
Client SES Project BAY CENTER	APARTMENT
	7-65)
Date Opened $3/27/49$ By (print) $1/2$ (sign) $5/44$	aKanca
Date Logged in $\rightarrow$ By (print) $m_{2}$ (sign) $\sqrt{\gamma}$	
1. Did cooler come with a shipping slip (airbill, etc) Shipping info	YES NO
2A. Were custody seals present? □ YES (circle) on cooler on s How many Name Date_	amples 🕅 NO
2B. Were custody seals intact upon arrival?	YES NO (N/A)
3. Were custody papers dry and intact when received?	YES NO
4. Were custody papers filled out properly (ink, signed, etc)?	NO NO
5. Is the project identifiable from custody papers? (If so fill out top of form	) <b>(ES</b> NO
6. Indicate the packing in cooler: (if other, describe)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ Bubble Wrap ☐ Foam blocks 🔀 Bags [	] None
7. Temperature documentation: * Notify PM if temperature exceeds 6	Paper towers
Type of ice used: $A$ Wet $\square$ Blue/Gel $\square$ None Temp(	°C)
Samples Received on ice & cold without a temperature blank; ter	np. taken with IR gun-
$\boxtimes$ Samples received on ice directly from the field. Cooling process	had begun
8. Were Method 5035 sampling containers present?	YES
If YES, what time were they transferred to freezer?	
9. Did all bottles arrive unbroken/unopened?	YES NO
10. Are there any missing / extra samples?	YES NO
11. Are samples in the appropriate containers for indicated tests?	YES NO
12. Are sample labels present, in good condition and complete?	TES NO
14. Was sufficient amount of some south for tasts as must all	YES NO
15. Are the samples appropriately preserved?	VES NO
16. Did you check preservatives for all bottles for each sample?	YES NO MA
17. Did vou document vour preservative check?	VES NO WA
18. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for unpreserved VOAs?	VES NO N/A
19. Did you change the hold time in LIMS for preserved terracores?	YES NO N/A
20. Are bubbles > 6mm absent in VOA samples?	TES NO N/A
21. Was the client contacted concerning this sample delivery?	YES (NO)

COMMENTS

.

Rev 10, 11/11



Cu	rtis & Tompkins	Laboratories A	nalytical Repor	t
Lab #: 255046 Client: Stellar Env Project#: 2007-65	ironmental Solutio	Location: ons Prep:	Bay Center EPA 5030B	Apts
Matrix: Wate Units: ug/L Batch#: 2095	er 09	Sampled: Received:	03/27/14 03/27/14	
Field ID: MW-4 Type: SAMPL Lab ID: 25504	E 6-001	Diln Fac: Analyzed:	1.000 03/28/14	
Analyte	Re	esult	RL	Analysis
MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes	ND ND ND ND ND ND		2.0         EPA           0.50         EPA	8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
o-xyiene	ND		0.50 EPA	0UZIB
o-xyiene Surrogate	ND %REC 1	Limits Analy	sis	0021B
O-Xylene Surrogate Bromofluorobenzene (F Bromofluorobenzene (P	ND <b>%REC 1</b> 'ID) 98 'ID) 112	Limits Analy 77-128 EPA 8015B 75-132 EPA 8021B	U.SU EPA	00215
SurrogateBromofluorobenzene (FBromofluorobenzene (PField ID:MW-5Type:SAMPLLab ID:25504	ND *REC 1 *ID) 98 *ID) 112 *E :6-002	Limits Analy 77-128 EPA 8015B 75-132 EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	1.000 03/28/14	00218
Surrogate         Bromofluorobenzene (F         Bromofluorobenzene (P         Field ID:       MW-5         Type:       SAMPL         Lab ID:       25504         Analyte	ND <b>%REC 1</b> 7ID) 98 7ID) 112 .E .6-002 Re	<b>Limits Analy</b> 77-128 EPA 8015B 75-132 EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	0.50 EPA sis 1.000 03/28/14 RL	Analysis
Surrogate         Bromofluorobenzene (F         Bromofluorobenzene (P         Field ID:       MW-5         Type:       SAMPL         Lab ID:       25504         Analyte         Gasoline C7-C12         MTBE         Benzene         Toluene         Ethylbenzene         m,p-Xylenes         o-Xylene	ND *REC 1 *ID) 98 PID) 112 *E :6-002 Re ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	Limits Analy 77-128 EPA 8015B 75-132 EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	0.50 EPA sis 1.000 03/28/14 RL 50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA	Analysis 8015B 8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
Surrogate         Bromofluorobenzene (F         Bromofluorobenzene (P         Field ID:       MW-5         Type:       SAMPL         Lab ID:       25504         Analyte         Gasoline C7-C12         MTBE         Benzene         Toluene         Ethylbenzene         m,p-Xylenes         o-Xylene	ND *REC 1 *ID) 98 DID) 112 *E :6-002 Re ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND	Limits Analy 77-128 EPA 8015B 75-132 EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed: Sult	0.50 EPA sis 1.000 03/28/14 RL 50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA 0.50 EPA	Analysis 8015B 8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B

Page 1 of 6



Cur	tis & Tompkins Labor	ratories Analy	tical Repor	t
Lab #: 255046 Client: Stellar Env. Project#: 2007-65	ironmental Solutions	Location: Prep:	Bay Center EPA 5030B	Apts
Matrix:Wate:Units:ug/LBatch#:2095	r 09	Sampled: Received:	03/27/14 03/27/14	
Field ID: MW-6 Type: SAMPL Lab ID: 25504	E 6-003	Diln Fac: Analyzed:	1.000 03/28/14	
Analyte	Pequit	DT.		Analygig
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	NEBUIC 65 Y ND 0.81 ND ND ND ND	50 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EPA E 0 EPA E 50 EPA E 50 EPA E 50 EPA E 50 EPA E 50 EPA E 50 EPA	8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
Currence moth o	eped timita	Jana Jara i a		
Bromofluorobenzene (F	$\frac{8REC}{77-128}$	EPA 8015B		
Bromofluorobenzene (P	ID) 112 75-132	EPA 8021B		
Field ID: MW-17 Type: SAMPLI Lab ID: 25504	E 6-004	Diln Fac: Analyzed:	33.33 03/28/14	
Analyte	Result	RL		Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	8,600 ND 1,800 150 320	1,700 67 17 17 17	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA	8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	92 26	17 17	EPA EPA	8021B 8021B
m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene Surrogate	92 26 <b>%REC Limits</b>	17 17 Analysis	EPA EPA	8021B 8021B



Cu	urtis & Tompkins La	boratories Anal	ytical Report	
Lab #: 255046 Client: Stellar En Project#: 2007-65	vironmental Solutions	Location: Prep:	Bay Center Ap EPA 5030B	ts
Matrix:WatUnits:ug/Batch#:209	cer 'L 9509	Sampled: Received:	03/27/14 03/27/14	
Field ID: MW-7 Type: SAMP Lab ID: 2550	2 DLE D46-005	Diln Fac: Analyzed:	14.29 03/28/14	
Analvte	Resul	t R	T.	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	1,900 ND 440 22 14 53 10	7	10         EPA 80           29         EPA 80           7.1         EPA 80	158 218 218 218 218 218 218 218 218 218
Surrogate	SPEC Limi	te Analycie		
Bromofluorobenzene (	FID) 95 77-1 PID) 111 75-1	28         EPA         8015B           32         EPA         8021B		
Bromofluorobenzene (				
Bromofluorobenzene (Field ID:MW-9Type:SAMPLab ID:2550	) PLE 046-006	Diln Fac: Analyzed:	1.000 03/28/14	
Bromofluorobenzene ( Field ID: MW-9 Type: SAMP Lab ID: 2550 Analyte	) PLE 046-006 <b>Resul</b>	Diln Fac: Analyzed: t R	1.000 03/28/14	Analysis
Bromofluorobenzene ( Field ID: MW-9 Type: SAMP Lab ID: 2550 Analyte Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	9 PLE 946-006 140 ND 2 ND ND ND ND	Diln Fac: Analyzed: t R Y .8 .0 C	1.000 03/28/14 50 EPA 80 2.0 EPA 80 0.50 EPA 80 0.50 EPA 80 0.50 EPA 80 0.50 EPA 80 0.50 EPA 80 0.50 EPA 80	Analysis 15B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B
Bromofluorobenzene ( Field ID: MW-9 Type: SAMP Lab ID: 2550 Analyte Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	9 PLE 046-006 ND 2 ND ND ND ND ND	Diln Fac: Analyzed: t R Y .8 .0 C	1.000 03/28/14 50 EPA 80 0.50 EPA 80	Analysis 15B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B 21B

Page 3 of 6



	Curtis & To	mpkins Labo	ratories A	nalytical	Repor	t
Lab #: 255046 Client: Stellar Project#: 2007-65	Environmental	Solutions	Location: Prep:	Bay ( EPA	Center 5030B	Apts
Matrix: Units: Batch#:	Water ug/L 209509		Sampled: Received:	03/2 03/2	7/14 7/14	
Field ID: M Type: S Lab ID: 2	W-11 SAMPLE 55046-007		Diln Fac: Analyzed:	1.00 03/2	0 8/14	
Analyt	e	Result		RL		Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene		2,000 Y ND 60 ND 3.8 9.9 4.3	C	50 2.0 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA	8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
			_			
Surroga	te	%REC Limits	Analy	ysis		
Bromofluorobenzen Bromofluorobenzen	ie (FID) ie (PID)	100         77-128           114         75-132	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B			
Field ID: M Type: S Lab ID: 2	W-12 AMPLE 55046-008		Diln Fac: Analyzed:	50.0 03/2	0 8/14	
Analyt	e	Result		RL		Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene		10,000 Y ND 2,500 89 68 55 ND		2,500 100 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA	8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
Surroga	te	%REC Limits	Analy	vsis		
Bromofluorobenzen Bromofluorobenzen	le (FID) le (PID)	96 77-128 111 75-132	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B	-		

Page 4 of 6



Curtis	& Tompkins Labor	ratories Ar	nalytical Repor	t
Lab #: 255046 Client: Stellar Environme Project#: 2007-65	ental Solutions	Location: Prep:	Bay Center EPA 5030B	Apts
Matrix: Water Units: ug/L Batch#: 209509		Sampled: Received:	03/27/14 03/27/14	
Field ID: MW-16 Type: SAMPLE Lab ID: 255046-009		Diln Fac: Analyzed:	1.000 03/28/14	
Analyte	Result		RL	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	76 Y ND 11 1.2 ND 0.94 ND	С	50         EPA           2.0         EPA           0.50         EPA	8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
Surrogate	PPC Limita	Vualu		
Surroyate	OKEC LIMITCS	Allary	STR	
Bromofluorobenzene (FID) Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	104 77-128 117 75-132	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B		
Bromofluorobenzene (FID) Bromofluorobenzene (PID) Field ID: MW-8 Type: SAMPLE Lab ID: 255046-010	104 77-128 117 75-132	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	100.0 03/28/14	
Bromofluorobenzene (FID) Bromofluorobenzene (PID) Field ID: MW-8 Type: SAMPLE Lab ID: 255046-010 Analyte	104 77-128 117 75-132 Result	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	100.0 03/28/14 RL	Analysis
Bromofluorobenzene (FID) Bromofluorobenzene (PID) Field ID: MW-8 Type: SAMPLE Lab ID: 255046-010 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	104 77-128 117 75-132 23,000 Y ND 6,800 96 620 200 ND	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	100.0 03/28/14 <b>RL</b> 5,000 EPA 200 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA	Analysis 8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
Bromofluorobenzene (FID) Bromofluorobenzene (PID) Field ID: MW-8 Type: SAMPLE Lab ID: 255046-010 Analyte Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	104 77-128 117 75-132 <b>Result</b> 23,000 Y ND 6,800 96 620 200 ND <b>PEC</b> Limits	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	100.0 03/28/14 <b>RL</b> 5,000 EPA 200 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA 50 EPA	Analysis 8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B

Page 5 of 6



	Curtis & To	mpkins Labor	acories Anary	tical Report	
Lab #: 255046 Client: Stellar Project#: 2007-65	Environmental	Solutions	Location: Prep:	Bay Center Apts EPA 5030B	
Matrix: Units: Batch#:	Water ug/L 209509		Sampled: Received:	03/27/14 03/27/14	
Field ID: M Type: S Lab ID: 2	IW-10 SAMPLE		Diln Fac: Analyzed:	20.00 03/29/14	
		Degult		2002	
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	e	Result           6,200 Y           ND           940           43 C           ND           39           14 C	RL           1,00           4           1           1           1           1           1           1           1           1           1           1           1           1	Analysis           D         EPA 8015B           D         EPA 8021B           D         EPA 8021B	
Surroga	te	%REC Limits	Analysis		
Bromofluorobenzen	le (PID) le (PID)	106 77-128 120 75-132	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B		
Field ID: M Type: S Lab ID: 2	IW-13 CAMPLE 255046-012		Diln Fac: Analyzed:	100.0 03/29/14	
Analyt	e	Result	RL E 00	Analysis	
MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene		ND 3,700 120 710	201 201 51	D EPA 8011B D EPA 8021B D EPA 8021B D EPA 8021B	
o-Xylene		310 51	51 51 51	D EPA 8021B D EPA 8021B	
o-Xylene Surroga	te	310 51 %REC Limits	5 5 Analysis	D EPA 8021B D EPA 8021B	
Bromofluorobenzen	te le (FID) le (PID)	310 51 <b>%REC Limits</b> 95 77-128 109 75-132	5 5 <b>Analysis</b> EPA 8015B EPA 8021B	D EPA 8021B D EPA 8021B	
Type: B Lab ID: Q	e (FID) e (PID) SLANK 2C733920	310 51 <b>%REC Limits</b> 95 77-128 109 75-132	5 5 5 5 EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed:	1.000 03/28/14	
Type: B Lab ID: Q	e (FID) e (FID) BLANK 2C733920	310       51       %REC     Limits       95     77-128       109     75-132         Result	5 5 5 EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed: RL	1.000 03/28/14 Analysis	
m,p-Aylenes         o-Xylene         Bromofluorobenzen         Bromofluorobenzen         Bromofluorobenzen         Type:       B         Lab ID:       Q         Analyt         Gasoline C7-C12         MTBE         Benzene         Toluene         Ethylbenzene         m,p-Xylenes         o-Xylene	ate le (FID) le (PID) BLANK 2C733920 ie	310       310       51       %REC     Limits       95     77-128       109     75-132         ND	5 5 5 EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed: <b>RL</b> 5	1.000 03/28/14 D EPA 8021B D EPA 8021B D EPA 8015B 2.0 EPA 8015B 2.0 EPA 8021B 0.50 EPA 8021B 0.50 EPA 8021B 0.50 EPA 8021B 0.50 EPA 8021B 0.50 EPA 8021B 0.50 EPA 8021B	
m,p-Aylenes         o-Xylene         Surroga         Bromofluorobenzen         Bromofluorobenzen         Type:       B         Lab ID:       Q         Analyt         Gasoline C7-C12         MTBE         Benzene         Toluene         Ethylbenzene         m,p-Xylenes         o-Xylene	e (FID) e (PID) BLANK 9C733920 e	310           310           51           %REC         Limits           95         77-128           109         75-132           MD         ND           ND         ND           N	Analysis EPA 8015B EPA 8021B Diln Fac: Analyzed: RL 5 Analysis	1.000 03/28/14 <b>Analysis</b> EPA 8021B <b>Analysis</b> EPA 8015B 2.0 EPA 8015B 2.0 EPA 8021B 0.50 EPA 8021B	

RL= Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 6



### Batch QC Report

Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report							
Lab #:	255046	Location:	Bay Center Apts				
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B				
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8021B				
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	209509				
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	03/28/14				
Diln Fac:	1.000						

Type:

BS

Lab ID:

QC733917

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
MTBE	10.00	9.556	96	74-132
Benzene	10.00	9.410	94	80-120
Toluene	10.00	9.035	90	80-120
Ethylbenzene	10.00	9.607	96	80-120
m,p-Xylenes	10.00	9.226	92	80-120
o-Xylene	10.00	9.360	94	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	104	75-132

Type:

BSD

Lab ID:

QC733918

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
MTBE	10.00	7.942	79	74-132	18	36
Benzene	10.00	8.738	87	80-120	7	20
Toluene	10.00	8.213	82	80-120	10	20
Ethylbenzene	10.00	8.382	84	80-120	14	20
m,p-Xylenes	10.00	8.748	87	80-120	5	20
o-Xylene	10.00	8.442	84	80-120	10	20
Surrogate	%REC Limits					

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	92	75-132	



#### Batch QC Report

	Curtis & Tompkins Labo	oratories Anal	ytical Report
Lab #:	255046	Location:	Bay Center Apts
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000
Lab ID:	QC733919	Batch#:	209509
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	03/28/14
Units:	ug/L		

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	985.2	99	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	92	77–128



### Batch QC Report

	Curtis & Tompkins Lab	oratories Anal	ytical Report
Lab #: 2	55046	Location:	Bay Center Apts
Client: S	tellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#: 2	007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Field ID:	MW-4	Batch#:	209509
MSS Lab ID:	255046-001	Sampled:	03/27/14
Matrix:	Water	Received:	03/27/14
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	03/29/14
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Туре:	MS			Lab ID:	QC733949		
	Analyte	MSS Re	sult	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline	C7-C12	3	9.81	2,000	1,925	94	74-120
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits				
Bromoflue	orobenzene (FID)	104	77-128				

Type:	MSD			Lab ID:	QC733950				
	Analyte		Spiked	Resu	lt	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Gasoline	C7-C12		2,000	1,90	5 9	3	74-120	1	27
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits						
Bromoflu	orobenzene (FID)	101	77-128						



-- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-010, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-011, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-012, A

mVolt



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-018, C



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-019, A



-- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-020, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-021, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-022, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-023, A

mVolt

### 22 of 41



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-024, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\087-002, A


		Total 1	Extracta	ble Hydroc	arboi	ns
Lab #: Client: Project#:	255046 Stellar Environment 2007-65	al Solut	tions	Location: Prep: Analysis:		Bay Center Apts EPA 3520C EPA 8015B
Matrix: Units: Diln Fac: Batch#:	water ug/L 1.000 209574			Sampled: Received: Prepared: Analyzed:		03/27/14 03/27/14 03/31/14 04/02/14
Field ID: Type:	MW-4 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-001
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Diesel C10	-C24		380		49	
o-Terpheny	Surrogate 1	% <b>REC</b> 111	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-5 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-002
Diesel C10	Analyte -C24		Result		<b>RL</b>	
	Surrogate	%DF/	T.imita		20	
o-Terpheny	1	102	66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-6 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-003
Diesel C10	Analyte		Result 830		<b>RL</b> 49	
o-Terpheny	Surrogate 1	<b>%REC</b> 97	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-17 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-004
Diesel C10	Analyte -C24		<b>Result</b> 2,600		<b>RL</b> 49	
o-Terpheny	Surrogate	% <b>REC</b> 107	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-7 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-005
Diesel C10	Analyte -C24		<b>Result</b> 8,200		<b>RL</b> 51	
o-Terpheny	Surrogate	% <b>REC</b> 70	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			

ND= Not Detected RL= Reporting Limit Page 1 of 3



		Total :	Extracta	able Hydroc	arbo	ns
Lab #: Client: Project#:	255046 Stellar Environment 2007-65	al Solut	tions	Location: Prep: Analysis:		Bay Center Apts EPA 3520C EPA 8015B
Matrix: Units: Diln Fac: Batch#:	Water ug/L 1.000 209574			Sampled: Received: Prepared: Analyzed:		03/27/14 03/27/14 03/31/14 04/02/14
Field ID: Type:	MW-9 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-006
	Analyte		Pagult		PT.	
Diesel C10	-C24		7,300		49	
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
o-Terpheny	1	82	66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-11 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-007
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Diesel Cl0	-C24		5,700		49	
o Morre horre	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
Field ID: Type:	MW-12 SAMPLE	5,	00 125	Lab ID:		255046-008
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Diesel C10	-C24		4,500		49	
o-Terpheny	Surrogate 1	% <b>REC</b> 106	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-16 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255046-009
Diesel C10	Analyte -C24		<b>Result</b> 5,900		<b>RL</b> 49	
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
o-Terpheny Field ID:	r⊥ MW-8	71	66-129	Lab ID:		255046-010
Type:	SAMPLE					
Diesel C10	Analyte -C24		<b>Result</b> 13,000		<b>RL</b> 49	
O Tombor	Surrogate	%REC	Limits			
o-rerpheny	1	$\perp \perp \perp$	00-129			

ND= Not Detected RL= Reporting Limit Page 2 of 3



		Total Extract	able Hydroc	arbo	ns	
Lab #: Client: Project#:	255046 Stellar Environmen 2007-65	tal Solutions	Location: Prep: Analysis:		Bay Center Apts EPA 3520C EPA 8015B	
Matrix: Units: Diln Fac: Batch#:	Water ug/L 1.000 209574		Sampled: Received: Prepared: Analyzed:		03/27/14 03/27/14 03/31/14 04/02/14	
Field ID: Type:	MW-10 SAMPLE		Lab ID:		255046-011	
Diesel Cl(	Analyte	<b>Result</b> 3,300		<b>RL</b> 50		
	Surrogate	%REC Limits				
o-Terpheny	Ϋ́⊥	109 66-129				
Field ID: Type:	MW-13 SAMPLE		Lab ID:		255046-012	
Diesel Cl(	Analyte	<b>Result</b> 19,000		<b>RL</b> 49		
	Surrogate	%REC Limits		-		
o-Terpheny	/l	101 66-129				
Type:	BLANK		Lab ID:		QC734181	
Diesel Cl(	Analyte	Result ND		<b>RL</b> 50		
o-Terpheny	<b>Surrogate</b> /1	%REC         Limits           83         66-129				



	Т	otal 1	Extracta	ble Hydrocarbo	ns			
Lab #:	255046			Location:	Bay Center	Apts		
Client:	Stellar Environmental	L Solut	ions	Prep:	EPA 3520C			
Project#:	2007-65			Analysis:	EPA 8015B			
Matrix:	Water			Batch#:	209574			
Units:	ug/L			Prepared:	03/31/14			
Diln Fac:	1.000			Analyzed:	04/02/14			
Type: Lab ID:	BS QC734182			Cleanup Method:	EPA 3630C			
	Analyte		Spiked	Result	: %RE	C Limits		
Diesel Cl	0-C24		2,500	1,543	62	61-120		
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits					
o-Terphen	yl	116	66-129					
Type:	BSD			Cleanup Method:	EPA 3630C			
Lab ID:	QC734183							
	Analyte		Spiked	Result	: %RE	C Limits	RPD	Lim
Diesel Cl	0-C24		2,500	1,597	64	61-120	3	45
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits					
o-Terphen	yl	121	66-129					



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a006.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a007.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a008.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a009.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a010.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a011.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a012.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a013.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a014.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a015.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a028.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a029.dat, Front Signal



-\\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC17A\Data\092a004, A



and setting to the

H



#### Laboratory Job Number 255065 ANALYTICAL REPORT

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Lab ID</u>
MW-E	255065-001
RW-1	255065-002
MW-3	255065-003
MW-18	255065-004
MW-14	255065-005
MW-15	255065-006

This data package has been reviewed for technical correctness and completeness. Release of this data has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or the Manager's designee, as verified by the following signature. The results contained in this report meet all requirements of NELAC and pertain only to those samples which were submitted for analysis. This report may be reproduced only in its entirety.

Signature:

Tracy Babjar Project Manager tracy.babjar@ctberk.com (510) 204-2226

Date: <u>04/03/2014</u>

CA ELAP# 2896, NELAP# 4044-001



#### CASE NARRATIVE

Laboratory number: Client: Project: Location: Request Date: Samples Received: 255065 Stellar Environmental Solutions 2007-65 Bay Center Apts 03/28/14 03/28/14

This data package contains sample and QC results for six water samples, requested for the above referenced project on 03/28/14. The samples were received cold and intact.

#### TPH-Purgeables and/or BTXE by GC (EPA 8015B and EPA 8021B):

Low recoveries were observed for gasoline C7-C12 in the MS/MSD of MW-E (lab # 255065-001); the LCS was within limits, and the associated RPD was within limits. No other analytical problems were encountered.

#### TPH-Extractables by GC (EPA 8015B):

No analytical problems were encountered.

	•						Chain o	f Cu	sto	dy F	lec	oro	k		9	2 55	502	5					Lab jo	° <sup>b no.</sup> ∠	al
	Laboratory <u>Currs</u> Address <u>2323 Fil</u> BERKELE	To FTH S	<u>mpi</u> T.	CNS	M SI	ethod of S hipment N	6	A-1)	Da	.N2	54	01	له	g (	ion 	.R.ve	~~						Date . Page	<u> </u>	_ of
	Project Owner Site Address 6-100 C	theist		fu E	— Ai — Co — Pr	rbill No ooler No roject Man	ager <b>R. M</b>	<b>AK D</b>	<b>š</b> i			/	red	mainers	012			r/	Anal	ysis Re		: / /	7 /	_	/
	Project Name <b>BAY CO</b> Project Number <b>2001</b>	-65	APA	RTM	<sup>16</sup> • Fa Sa	amplers: (S	(510) 644-	-3859		54	-		91 2007 2007			), 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	7	/ /				/ ,		/ я	emarks
	Field Sample Number	Location/ Depth	Date	Time	Sample Type	Type/Siz	e of Container	Pr	reservati	on mical	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$				<i>₹</i> /(			/	/	/	/				
1 2	MW-E		3/28/1	1005	GW	NOA	Sound AG	Y	Ha	NP	N	6	X	X	X						[				
3	KW-1			0952			-	Y_		<b> </b>		6	X	X	K	<u> </u>									
4	MW-18			0410				1			$\left  \right $	6		K	X	-									
5	MW-14			ે <del>દ</del> પદ				Y				Ģ	X	X	X										
Ŷ	MU-15		1	0912	L		$\checkmark$	1	•	r	1	6	A	x	×										
										- <u></u>					·										
													<u> </u>												
											-														
	Relinguished by:		Data	Bassived																					·····
	signature		Ediy	Signati	ure <u>2</u> D	at 2	ant	Date	Relin	quished b gnature _	y: 					_	Date	Rec	ceived Signatu	by: .re					— Date
•	Company BT3		Time 551	Printed	<u>797</u> ny(	CT-	talez	Time 105	Pr	inted						- [	Time		Printed						Time
		42D	E D						Relin	quished b	y:						Date	Rec	ceived i Signatu	by: ire					Date
0-01	GLOBAL ID #	SLT	20	005	56	2			Pri	nted						_	Time	   F	Printed						- Time
2000-0					<u>.</u>		·		C0	mpany						_			Compa	ny					

\* Stellar Environmental Solutions

2198 Sixth Street #201, Berkeley, CA 94710

# **COOLER RECEIPT CHECKLIST**

 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
981. VIII	Rev 10, 11/11

Login #2\$5065	Date Received	3 28 14 N	lumber of coolers	1 TMCNT
Client SES	Proj	ect BAY CEN	VIER APAR	INCOL
Date Opened <u>32914</u> By Date Logged in <u>By</u>	(print)	(sign) (sign)	ImáRaik	2
1. Did cooler come with a shi Shipping info	pping slip (airbill, etc	)	YES	NO
2A. Were custody seals prese How many	nt? □ YES (cir Name	cle) on cooler	on samples Date	🕅 NO
<ul> <li>2B. Were custody seals intact</li> <li>3. Were custody papers dry at</li> <li>4. Were custody papers filled</li> <li>5. Is the project identifiable f</li> <li>6. Indicate the packing in coordinate</li> </ul>	t upon arrival? nd intact when receive out properly (ink, sig from custody papers? oler: (if other, describe	ed? ned, etc)? (If so fill out top c e)	YES YES TES of form) YES	NO N/À NO NO NO
<ul> <li>Bubble Wrap</li> <li>Cloth material</li> <li>Temperature documentation</li> </ul>	☐ Foam blocks ☐ Cardboard m: * Notify PM i	⊠Bags □ Styrofoam f temperature exc	☐ None ☐ Paper tow ceeds 6°C	vels
Type of ice used: 🛚 🖄	Wet 🗌 Blue/Gel	□ None	Temp(°C)	
□ Samples Received	on ice & cold without	a temperature bla	ank; temp. taken	with IR gun
□ Samples received of	on ice directly from th	e field. Cooling p	rocess had begun	
8. Were Method 5035 sampl If YES, what time we	ing containers present re they transferred to 1	? freezer?	Y	tes NO
9. Did all bottles arrive unbro	ken/unopened?	···		ES NO
10. Are there any missing / ex	xtra samples?	l'ante d'te stal	······	TES (NO)
11. Are samples in the approp 12 Are sample labels present	t in good condition an	dicated tests?	Q	TES NO
13. Do the sample labels agree	e with custody papers	?	X	TES NO
14. Was sufficient amount of	sample sent for tests	requested?		ES NO
15. Are the samples appropria	ately preserved?		YES	NO NA
16. Did you check preservativ	ves for all bottles for e	ach sample?	Ø	NO MA 12
17. Did you document your p	reservative check?		YES	NO N/A 312014
18. Did you change the hold t	time in LIMS for unpr	eserved VOAs? _	YES	NO NO
19. Did you change the hold t	time in LIMS for pres	erved terracores?	YES	NO N/A
20. Are bubbles > 6mm abser	nt in VOA samples?	1.1.	<u>(HES)</u>	NO N/A
If YES. Who was call	concerning this sampled?	e delivery? Bv	Y Date:	ES NO
COMMENTS			Duto	
				·····
·····				

Ś

s, Ltd.



Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report											
Lab #: 25506 Client: Stella Project#: 2007-	5 ar Environme: 65	ntal Solut	ions	Locat Prep:	ion:	Ba EP	y Center A 5030B	Apts			
Matrix:	Water			Sampl	.ed:	03	/28/14				
011105.	ug/L			Recei	veu	0.5	/20/14				
Field ID.	MALE			Tob T	י ח	25	E06E 001				
Type:	SAMPLE					20	2002-001				
Analyte		Result	<b>RL</b>	ſ	Diln 3	Fac Batc	<b>h# Analy</b>	zed A	Analysis		
MTBE	ND	9,500	140	2	71.43	2096	28 04/02	/14 EPA	8021B		
Benzene Toluene		3,200 110 C	36	5 0.50	1.000	2096 2095	28 04/02 72 04/01	/14 EPA /14 EPA	8021B 8021B		
Ethylbenzene		240	(	0.50	1.000	2095	72 04/01	/14 EPA	8021B		
o-Xylene		38	36	5	71.43	2095	28 04/01	/14 EPA /14 EPA	8021B 8021B		
Surro	gate	%REC	Limits	Diln	Fac :	Batch# An	alyzed	Ana	alysis		
Bromofluorobenzo	ene (FID) ene (PID)	103 109	77-128 75-132	71.43		209628 04 209628 04	/02/14	EPA 8015 EPA 8021	B		
Diomorraorobenia		107	75 152	/1.15		209020 01	/02/11				
Eicld ID.	1 זיזרו			Dilm	E.c.	1	000				
Type:	RW-1 SAMPLE			Analy	rac: zed:	1. 04	/02/14				
Lab ID:	255065-002										
Anal	yte	R	esult		]	RL	Batch#		nalysis		
MTBE			2.8 C			2.0	209628	EPA 801 EPA 802	21B		
Benzene			1.3 1 0 C			0.50	209657	EPA 802 EPA 802	21B 21B		
Ethylbenzene			2.4 C			0.50	209628	EPA 802	21B		
m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene			1.3 C 2.1 C			0.50	209628	EPA 802 EPA 802	21B 21B		
Surro	gate	%REC	Limits	Batch#		Analysi	S				
Bromofluorobenz	ene (FID)	100	77-128	209628	EPA	8015B	2				
Bromoiluorobenzo	ene (PID)	TOO	/5-132	209628	EPA	807TR					
Field ID:	MW-3			Diln	Fac:	1.	000				
Lab ID:	255065-003			Analy	rzed:	20	9572 /01/14				
Analy	vte		Result			RL		Analy	vsis		
Gasoline C7-C12	7.00	ND	270 Y			50	EPA	8015B			
Benzene		ND	1.4 (	2		2.0	EPA EPA	8021B 8021B			
Toluene		ND ND				0.50	EPA FDA	8021B 8021B			
m,p-Xylenes		ND				0.50	EPA	8021B			
o-Xylene		ND				0.50	EPA	8021B			
Bromofluorobenz	gate	% <b>REC</b>	<b>Limits</b>	FD7 80	Analy	sis					
Bromofluorobenz	ene (PID)	114	75-132	EPA 80 EPA 80	21B						
			_			•					
C= Presence con: Y= Sample exhibit	its chromato	RPD between graphic pa	n columns ttern wh:	s excee ich doe	eds 40 es not	* resemble	standar	d			
ND= Not Detected	mi +										
Page 1 of 3										6.2	



Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report							
Lab #: 255065 Client: Stellar Project#: 2007-65	Environmental Solutio	ons	Location: Prep:	Bay Center EPA 5030B	r Apts		
Matrix: Units:	Water ug/L		Sampled: Received:	03/28/14 03/28/14			
Field ID: M Type: S Lab ID: 2	W-18 AMPLE 55065-004		Diln Fac: Batch#: Analyzed:	1.000 209572 04/01/14			
Analyte	e Re	esult	1	RL	Analysis		
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene	ND ND ND ND ND ND	0.52 (	2	50         EPA           2.0         EPA           0.50         EPA	A 8015B A 8021B A 8021B A 8021B A 8021B A 8021B A 8021B A 8021B		
Surroga		Timita	Analyzaia	a			
Bromofluorobenzen	e (FID) 95 e (PID) 99	77-128 H 75-132 H	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B	3			
Field ID: M Type: S	W-14 AMPLE		Lab ID:	255065-005	5		

Analyte	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Batch#	Analyzed	Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	6,900	2,800	55.56	209628	04/02/14	EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	110	55.56	209628	04/02/14	EPA 8021B
Benzene	2,100	28	55.56	209628	04/02/14	EPA 8021B
Toluene	220 C	0.50	1.000	209572	04/01/14	EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	170	0.50	1.000	209572	04/01/14	EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	120 C	0.50	1.000	209572	04/01/14	EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	35	0.50	1.000	209572	04/01/14	EPA 8021B

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	Diln Fac	Batch# Analyzed	Analysis
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	103	77-128	55.56	209628 04/02/14	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	108	75-132	55.56	209628 04/02/14	EPA 8021B

Field ID: MW-15 Type:

SAMPLE

Lab ID: 255065-006

Analyte	Result	RL	Diln Fac	Batch# Analy:	zed Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12	12,000	2,500	50.00	209628 04/02	/14 EPA 8015B
MTBE	ND	2.0	1.000	209572 04/01,	/14 EPA 8021B
Benzene	3,900	25	50.00	209628 04/02	/14 EPA 8021B
Toluene	75 C	0.50	1.000	209572 04/01	/14 EPA 8021B
Ethylbenzene	30	0.50	1.000	209572 04/01	/14 EPA 8021B
m,p-Xylenes	54	0.50	1.000	209572 04/01	/14 EPA 8021B
o-Xylene	ND	25	50.00	209628 04/02	/14 EPA 8021B
Surrogate	%REC	Limits Diln	Fac Batc	h# Analyzed	Analysis
Bromofluorobenzene	(FID) 102	77-128 50.00	2096	28 04/02/14	EPA 8015B
Bromofluorobenzene	(PID) 106	75-132 50.00	2096	28 04/02/14	EPA 8021B

C= Presence confirmed, but RPD between columns exceeds 40% Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard ND= Not Detected

RL= Reporting Limit Page 2 of 3



	Curtis & To	ompkins Labor	atories Ana	alytical	Repor	t
Lab #: 255065 Client: Stellar Project#: 2007-65	Environmental	Solutions	Location: Prep:	Bay C EPA 5	enter 030B	Apts
Matrix: Units:	Water ug/L		Sampled: Received:	03/28 03/28	/14 /14	
Type: B Lab ID: Q Diln Fac: 1	LANK C734175 .000		Batch#: Analyzed:	20957 03/31	2 /14	
Analyt	e	Result		RL		Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene		ND ND ND ND ND ND ND		50 2.0 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA	8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
Surroga	+0	%PFC Limita	Analya	ia		
Bromofluorobenzen Bromofluorobenzen	e (FID) e (PID)	87         77-128           107         75-132	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B	15		
Type: B Lab ID: Q Diln Fac: 1	LANK C734378 .000		Batch#: Analyzed:	20962 04/01	8 /14	
Analyt	e	Result		RL		Analysis
Gasoline C7-C12 MTBE Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylenes o-Xylene		ND ND ND ND ND ND ND		50 2.0 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA EPA	8015B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B
Surroga	te	%REC Limits	Analys	is		
Bromofluorobenzen Bromofluorobenzen	e (FID) e (PID)	97         77-128           102         75-132	EPA 8015B EPA 8021B			
Type: B Lab ID: Q Diln Fac: 1	LANK C734503 .000		Batch#: Analyzed:	20965 04/02	7 /14	
Analyt	e	Result		RL		Analysis
Benzene		ND		0.50	EPA	8021B
Gurroga	+0	PPEC Timita	Vualua	ia		

97

102

C= Presence confirmed, but RPD between columns exceeds 40% Y= Sample exhibits chromatographic pattern which does not resemble standard

77-128

75-132

EPA 8015B

EPA 8021B

ND= Not Detected

Bromofluorobenzene (FID)

Bromofluorobenzene (PID)

RL= Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 3



Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report							
Lab #:	255065	Location:	Bay Center Apts				
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B				
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8021B				
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	209572				
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	03/31/14				
Diln Fac:	1.000						

Type:

BS

Lab ID: QC734172

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
MTBE	10.00	8.539	85	74-132
Benzene	10.00	9.280	93	80-120
Toluene	10.00	9.160	92	80-120
Ethylbenzene	10.00	9.314	93	80-120
m,p-Xylenes	10.00	9.386	94	80-120
o-Xylene	10.00	9.721	97	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	119	75-132

Type:

BSD

Lab ID:

QC734173

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
MTBE	10.00	9.040	90	74-132	б	36
Benzene	10.00	9.398	94	80-120	1	20
Toluene	10.00	9.470	95	80-120	3	20
Ethylbenzene	10.00	9.189	92	80-120	1	20
m,p-Xylenes	10.00	9.287	93	80-120	1	20
o-Xylene	10.00	9.418	94	80-120	3	20
Surrogate	%REC Limits					

Surrogate	%REC	Limits	
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	106	75-132	



Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report						
Lab #:	255065	Location:	Bay Center Apts			
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B			
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8015B			
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000			
Lab ID:	QC734174	Batch#:	209572			
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	03/31/14			
Units:	ug/L					

1	Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-	-C12	1,000	996.3	100	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	92	77-128



	Curtis & Tompkins Labo	ratories Analyt	ical Report
Lab #: 2	255065	Location:	Bay Center Apts
Client: S	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#: 2	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Field ID:	MW-E	Batch#:	209572
MSS Lab ID:	255065-001	Sampled:	03/28/14
Matrix:	Water	Received:	03/28/14
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	04/01/14
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Туре:	MS			Lab ID:	QC734176		
	Analyte	MSS Re	sult	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline	e C7-C12	7,9	00	2,000	9,087	59 *	74-120
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits				
Bromoflu	orobenzene (FID)	100	77-128				

Type:	MSD			Lab ID:	QC73417	7			
	Analyte		Spiked	Resu	lt	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Gasoline	C7-C12		2,000	9,29	9	70 *	74-120	2	27
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits						
Bromoflu	orobenzene (FID)	108	77-128						

\*= Value outside of QC limits; see narrative RPD= Relative Percent Difference Page 1 of 1



Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report					
Lab #:	255065	Location:	Bay Center Apts		
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B		
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8021B		
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	209628		
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	04/01/14		
Diln Fac:	1.000				

Type:

BS

Lab ID: QC734375

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
MTBE	10.00	9.211	92	74-132
Benzene	10.00	9.857	99	80-120
Toluene	10.00	9.413	94	80-120
Ethylbenzene	10.00	9.528	95	80-120
o-Xylene	10.00	9.898	99	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	105	75-132

Type: BSD	Lab ID	QC7343	376			
Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
MTBE	10.00	8.943	89	74-132	3	36
Benzene	10.00	9.958	100	80-120	1	20
Toluene	10.00	9.781	98	80-120	4	20
Ethylbenzene	10.00	9.845	98	80-120	3	20
o-Xylene	10.00	10.14	101	80-120	2	20
Surrogate	%REC Limits					
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	92 75-132					



Curtis & Tompkins Laboratories Analytical Report				
Lab #:	255065	Location:	Bay Center Apts	
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B	
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8015B	
Type:	LCS	Diln Fac:	1.000	
Lab ID:	QC734377	Batch#:	209628	
Matrix:	Water	Analyzed:	04/01/14	
Units:	ug/L			
<u>-</u>				

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline C7-C12	1,000	982.0	98	80-120

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Bromofluorobenzene (FID)	90	77-128



	Curtis & Tompkins Labo	ratories Analyt	ical Report
Lab #:	255065	Location:	Bay Center Apts
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8015B
Field ID:	ZZZZZZZZZ	Batch#:	209628
MSS Lab ID	: 255082-001	Sampled:	03/28/14
Matrix:	Water	Received:	03/28/14
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	04/01/14
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Type:	MS			Lab ID:	QC734379		
	Analyte	MSS Re	sult	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits
Gasoline	C7-C12	2	4.77	2,000	1,984	98	74-120
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits				
Bromoflu	orobenzene (FID)	106	77-128				

Type:	MSD			Lab ID:		QC734380			
	Analyte		Spiked		Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Gasoline	C7-C12		2,000		1,934	95	74-120	3	27
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits						
Bromoflue	orobenzene (FID)	99	77-128						



	Curtis & Tompkins Labo	oratories Ana	lytical Report
Lab #:	255065	Location:	Bay Center Apts
Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	Prep:	EPA 5030B
Project#:	2007-65	Analysis:	EPA 8021B
Matrix:	Water	Batch#:	209657
Units:	ug/L	Analyzed:	04/02/14
Diln Fac:	1.000		

Туре:	BS			Lab ID:	QC734	1500		
	Analyte		Spiked		Result	%REC	Limits	
Benzene			10.00		10.88	109	80-120	
m,p-Xylenes	}		10.00		11.01	110	80-120	
S	Surrogate	%REC	Limits					
Bromofluoro	benzene (PID)	107	75-132					
Type:	BSD			Lab ID:	QC734	4501		

Analyte	Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	) Lim
Benzene	20.00	22.15	111	80-120	2	20
m,p-Xylenes	20.00	21.31	107	80-120	3	20

Surrogate	%REC	Limits
Bromofluorobenzene (PID)	109	75-132



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\091-032, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\091-030, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\090-026, A



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\091-033, A

mVolt

# 18 of 30


- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\091-034, A

mVolt

mVolt



- \\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC19\Data\090-003, A

mVolt



		Total	Extracta	ble Hydroc	arboi	ns
Lab #: 2 Client: S Project#: 2	55065 tellar Environmen 007-65	tal Solu	cions	Location: Prep: Analysis:		Bay Center Apts EPA 3520C EPA 8015B
Matrix: Units: Diln Fac: Batch#:	Water ug/L 1.000 209574			Sampled: Received: Prepared: Analyzed:		03/28/14 03/28/14 03/31/14 04/02/14
Field ID: Type:	MW-E SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255065-001
	Analvte		Result		RL	
Diesel C10-	C24		5,600		49	
o-Terphenyl	urrogate	<b>%REC</b> 91	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			
Field ID: Type:	RW-1 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255065-002
	Analyte		Result		RL	
Diesel Cl0-	C24		4,700		49	
o-Terphenyl	urrogate	%REC 115	Limits 66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-3 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255065-003
Diesel C10-	Analyte C24		<b>Result</b> 1,600		<b>RL</b> 49	
	urrogate	%PFC	T.imite			
o-Terphenyl		108	66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-18 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255065-004
Diesel C10-	Analyte C24		<b>Result</b> 8,200		<b>RL</b> 51	
o-Terphenyl	urrogate	% <b>REC</b> 94	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			
Field ID: Type:	MW-14 SAMPLE			Lab ID:		255065-005
Diesel C10-	Analyte C24		<b>Result</b> 8,200		<b>RL</b> 49	
o-Terphenyl	urrogate	% <b>REC</b> 112	<b>Limits</b> 66-129			

ND= Not Detected RL= Reporting Limit Page 1 of 2

3.0



נ	Total Extractable Hydrocarbons							
Lab #: 255065 Client: Stellar Environmenta Project#: 2007-65	l Solutions	Location: Prep: Analysis:	Bay Center Apts EPA 3520C EPA 8015B					
Matrix:WaterUnits:ug/LDiln Fac:1.000Batch#:209574		Sampled: Received: Prepared: Analyzed:	03/28/14 03/28/14 03/31/14 04/02/14					
Field ID: MW-15 Type: SAMPLE		Lab ID:	255065-006					
Analyte	Result	RL						
Diesel C10-C24	2,200	49						
Surrogate o-Terphenyl	%REC Limits 107 66-129							
Type: BLANK		Lab ID:	QC734181					
Analyte Diesel C10-C24	Result ND	<b>RL</b> 50						
Surrogate	<b>%REC Limits</b>							



#### Batch QC Report

	Т	otal 1	Extracta	ble Hydrocarbo	ns			
Lab #:	255065			Location:	Bay Center Ag	ots		
Client:	Stellar Environmenta	l Solut	ions	Prep:	EPA 3520C			
Project#:	2007-65			Analysis:	EPA 8015B			
Matrix:	Water			Batch#:	209574			
Units:	ug/L			Prepared:	03/31/14			
Diln Fac:	1.000			Analyzed:	04/02/14			
Type: Lab ID:	BS QC734182			Cleanup Method:	EPA 3630C			
	Analyte		Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits		
Diesel Cl	0-C24		2,500	1,543	62	61-120		
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits					
o-Terphen	yl	116	66-129					
Tyme •	RGD			Cleanup Method:	FDA 36300			
Lab ID:	QC734183			creanup meenou.	HIR JUJUC			
	Analyte		Spiked	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Lim
Diesel Cl	0-C24		2,500	1,597	64	61-120	3	45
	Surrogate	%REC	Limits					

4.0



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a023.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a022.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a019.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a024.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a020.dat, Front Signal



-\\lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC27\Data\092a021.dat, Front Signal



-\\Lims\gdrive\ezchrom\Projects\GC17A\Data\092a004, A

### **APPENDIX D**

### Historical Groundwater Elevation Data

# TABLE B Historical Monitoring, Extraction, and Trench Well Elevations 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California

			MW-1		
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation
1	Dec-88	14.39	9.60	NP	4.79
2	May-89	14.31 <sup>(a)</sup>	8.73	NP	5.58
3	Feb-91	14.31	9.18	NP	5.13
		Monitoring well	abandoned - dat	e unclear	

			MW-2		
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation
1	Dec-88	14.36	9.64	NP	4.72
2	May-89	14.28 <sup>(a)</sup>	8.78	NP	5.50
3	Feb-91	14.28	9.61	NP	4.67
		Monitoring well	abandoned - dat	e unclear	

	MW-3							
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation			
1	Dec-88	14.53	8.93	trace	5.60			
2	May-89	14.43 <sup>(a)</sup>	8.69	NP	5.74			
3	Feb-91	14.43	8.31	NP	6.12			
4	Mar-04	16.96 <sup>(b)</sup>	9.47	NP	7.49			
5	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA			
6	Dec-07	16.65 <sup>(c)</sup>	7.76 <sup>(e)</sup>	7.76	8.89			
7	Mar-08	16.65	8.72	8.70	7.93			
8	Jun-08	16.65	8.56	NP	8.09			
9	Sep-08	16.65	9.27	7.95	7.38			
10	Dec-08	16.65	8.36	7.49	8.29			
11	Mar-09	16.65	7.94	NP	8.71			
12	Sep-09	16.65	8.58	NP	8.07			
13	Mar-10	16.65	8.08 <sup>(e)</sup>	8.08	8.57			
14	Sep-10	16.65	8.68 <sup>(e)</sup>	8.68	7.97			
15	Mar-11	16.65	10.40	NM	6.25			
16	Sep-11	16.65	10.84	10.83	6.17			
17	Mar-12	16.65	8.21	NM	8.44			
18	Sep-12	16.65	10.77	NM	5.88			
19	Mar-13	16.65	11.27	NM	5.38			
20	Sep-13	16.65	11.50	NM	5.15			
21	Mar-14	16.65	9.64	9.61	7.01			

	MW-4							
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation			
1	Dec-88	14.21	8.29	NP	5.92			
2	May-89	14.12 <sup>(a)</sup>	7.75	NP	6.37			
3	Feb-91	14.12	8.04	NP	6.08			
4	Mar-04	16.74 <sup>(b)</sup>	6.90	NP	7.49			
5	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA			
6	Dec-07	16.29 <sup>(c)</sup>	6.61	NP	9.68			
7	Mar-08	16.29	7.24	NP	9.05			
8	Jun-08	16.29	6.94	NP	9.35			
9	Sep-08	16.29	6.85	NP	6.85			
10	Dec-08	16.29	7.42	NP	8.87			
11	Mar-09	16.29	6.90	NP	9.39			
12	Sep-09	16.29	7.40	NP	8.89			
13	Mar-10	16.29	7.08	NP	9.21			
14	Sep-10	16.29	7.08	NP	9.21			
15	Mar-11	16.29	7.02	NP	9.27			
16	Sep-11	16.29	7.83	NP	8.46			
17	Mar-12	16.29	7.01	NP	9.28			
18	Sep-12	16.29	7.82	NP	8.45			
19	Mar-13	16.29	9.15	NP	7.14			
20	Sep-13	16.29	8.00	NP	8.29			
21	Mar-14	16.29	7.72	NP	8.57			

MW-5							
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation		
1	Dec-88	14.65	10.23	NP	4.42		
2	May-89	14.56 <sup>(a)</sup>	9.29	NP	5.27		
3	Feb-91	14.56	10.04	NP	4.52		
4	Mar-04	17.11 <sup>(b)</sup>	9.10	NP	8.01		
5	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA		
6	Dec-07	16.72 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.66	NA	7.06		
7	Mar-08	16.72	9.72	NP	7.00		
8	Jun-08	16.72	9.72	NP	7.00		
9	Sep-08	16.72	8.56	NP	8.16		
10	Dec-08	16.72	9.75	NP	6.97		
11	Mar-09	16.72	9.31	NP	7.41		
12	Sep-09	16.72	9.79	NP	6.93		
13	Mar-10	16.72	9.48	NP	7.24		
14	Sep-10	16.72	9.90	NP	6.82		
15	Mar-11	16.72	9.29	NP	7.43		
16	Sep-11	16.72	9.77	NP	6.95		
17	Mar-12	16.72	9.19	NP	7.53		
18	Sep-12	16.72	9.70	NP	7.02		
19	Mar-13	16.72	10.63	NP	6.09		
19	Sep-13	16.72	10.20	NP	6.52		
20	Mar-14	16.72	9.68	NP	7.04		

	MW-6							
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation			
1	Dec-88	14.75	8.10	NP	6.65			
2	May-89	14.67 <sup>(a)</sup>	7.58	NP	7.09			
3	Feb-91	14.67	7.05	NP	7.62			
4	Mar-04	17.22 <sup>(b)</sup>	6.51	NP	10.71			
5	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA			
6	Dec-07	16.82 <sup>(c)</sup>	6.61	NP	10.21			
7	Mar-08	16.82	7.02	NP	9.80			
8	Jun-08	16.82	7.55	NP	9.27			
9	Sep-08	16.82	6.06	NP	10.76			
10	Dec-08	16.82	6.91	NP	9.91			
11	Mar-09	16.82	6.45	NP	10.37			
12	Sep-09	16.82	8.05	NP	8.77			
13	Mar-10	16.82	6.66	NP	10.16			
14	Sep-10	16.82	7.98	NP	8.84			
15	Mar-11	16.82	5.91	NP	10.91			
16	Sep-11	16.82	7.66	NP	9.16			
17	Mar-12	16.82	5.65	NP	11.17			
18	Sep-12	16.82	7.51	NP	9.31			
19	Mar-13	16.82	7.60	NP	9.22			
20	Sep-13	16.82	5.65	NP	11.17			
21	Mar-14	16.82	6.33	NP	10.49			

	MW-7							
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation			
		Install	ed March 2004					
1	Mar-04	18.09	9.93	NP	8.16			
2	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA			
3	Dec-07	17.73 <sup>(c)</sup>	10.30	NP	7.43			
4	Mar-08	17.73	10.51	NP	7.22			
5	Jun-08	17.73	10.50	NP	7.23			
6	Sep-08	17.73	10.37	NP	7.36			
7	Dec-08	17.73	10.60	NP	7.13			
8	Mar-09	17.73	10.13	NP	7.60			
9	Sep-09	17.73	10.61	NP	7.12			
10	Mar-10	17.73	10.02	NP	7.71			
11	Sep-10	17.73	10.59	NP	7.14			
12	Mar-11	17.73	10.14	NP	7.59			
13	Sep-11	17.73	10.58	NP	7.15			
14	Mar-12	17.73	10.12	NP	7.61			
15	Sep-12	17.73	10.50	NP	7.23			
16	Mar-13	17.73	11.30	NP	6.43			
17	Sep-13	17.73	5.50	NP	12.23			
18	Mar-14	17.73	10.82	NP	6.91			

	MW-8								
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation				
Installed March 2004									
1	Mar-04	18.25	9.32	8.15	8.93				
2	Nov-06 <sup>(d)</sup>	16.96	10.59	NP	6.37				
3	Dec-07	17.84 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.42	NP	8.42				
4	Mar-08	17.84	10.50	9.18	7.34				
5	Jun-08	17.84	9.68	9.10	8.16				
6	Sep-08	17.84	9.63	8.89	8.21				
7	Dec-08	17.84	9.58	8.89	8.26				
8	Mar-09	17.84	9.62	8.89	8.22				
9	Sep-09	17.84	8.55 <sup>(e)</sup>	8.55	9.29				
10	Mar-10	17.84	9.02 <sup>(e)</sup>	9.02	8.82				
11	Sep-10	17.84	9,75	9.89	7.95				
12	Mar-11	17.84	8.89	8.99	8.85				
13	Sep-11	17.84	9.87	9.55	7.97				
14	Mar-12	17.84	9.29	9.01	8.55				
15	Sep-12	17.84	9.25	8.46	8.59				
16	Mar-13	17.84	9.95	9.59	7.89				
17	Sep-13	17.84	10.32	10.28	7.52				
18	Mar-14	17.84	10.22	10.28	7.62				

	MW-9							
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation			
		Installe	d March 2004					
1	Mar-04	18.27	9.38	NP	8.89			
2	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA			
3	Dec-07	17.84 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.54	NP	8.30			
4	Mar-08	17.84	9.77	NP	8.07			
5	Jun-08	17.84	9.68	NP	9.27			
6	Sep-08	17.84	9.30	NP	8.54			
7	Dec-08	17.84	9.83	NP	8.01			
8	Mar-09	17.84	9.37	NP	8.47			
9	Sep-09	17.84	9.70	NP	8.14			
10	Mar-10	17.84	9.46	NP	8.38			
11	Sep-10	17.84	9.75	NP	8.09			
12	Mar-11	17.84	9.52	NP	8.32			
13	Sep-11	17.84	9.80	NP	8.04			
14	Mar-12	17.84	9.54	NP	8.30			
15	Sep-12	17.84	9.54	NP	8.30			
16	Mar-13	17.84	10.08	NP	7.76			
17	Sep-13	17.84	10.13	NP	7.71			
18	Mar-14	17.84	10.01	NP	7.83			

	MW-10						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation		
		Installe	d March 2004				
1	Mar-04	18.21	9.87	8.24	8.34		
2	Dec-06	18.21	9.30	8.86	8.91		
3	Dec-07	17.83 <sup>(c)</sup>	8.98 <sup>(e)</sup>	8.98	8.85		
4	Mar-08	17.83	9.28	8.98	8.55		
5	Jun-08	17.83	8.86	8.78	7.23		
6	Sep-08	17.83	8.95	8.84	8.88		
7	Dec-08	17.83	8.97	8.74	8.86		
8	Mar-09	17.83	9.25	8.54	9.25		
9	Sep-09	17.83	8.63	8.52	9.20		
10	Mar-10	17.83	10.30	8.58	7.53		
11	Sep-10	17.83	8.76	8.82	9.01		
12	Mar-11	17.83	8.15	8.14	9.68		
13	Sep-11	17.83	8.83	8.78	9.00		
14	Mar-12	17.83	7.89	7.75	9.94		
15	Sep-12	17.83	7.48	7.40	10.35		
16	Mar-13	17.83	10.30	9.33	7.53		
17	Sep-13	17.83	10.02	9.65	7.81		
18	Mar-14	17.83	9.92	9.65	7.91		

MW-11						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation	
		Instal	led May 2004			
1	Nov-06 <sup>(d)</sup>	17.76 <sup>(c)</sup>	10.33	NP	7.43	
2	Dec-07	17.76	10.27	NP	7.49	
3	Mar-08	17.76	10.34	NP	7.42	
4	Jun-08	17.76	10.20	NP	8.16	
5	Sep-08	17.76	10.03	NP	7.73	
6	Dec-08	17.76	10.34	NP	7.42	
7	Mar-09	17.76	10.20	NP	7.56	
8	Sep-10	17.76	10.25	NP	7.51	
9	Mar-10	17.76	10.23	NP	7.53	
10	Sep-10	17.76	10.24	NP	7.52	
11	Mar-11	17.76	10.10	NP	7.66	
12	Sep-11	17.76	10.30	NP	7.46	
13	Mar-12	17.76	10.18	NP	7.58	
14	Sep-12	17.76	10.24	NP	7.52	
15	Mar-13	17.76	10.62	NP	7.14	
16	Sep-13	17.76	10.21	NP	7.55	
17	Mar-14	17.76	10.33	NP	7.43	

	MW-12							
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation			
		Installed b	etween 2004-20	06				
1	Nov-06 <sup>(d)</sup>	17.83 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.37	NP	8.46			
2	Dec-07	17.83	9.15	NP	8.68			
3	Mar-08	17.83	9.11	NP	8.72			
4	Jun-08	17.83	8.86	NP	8.97			
5	Sep-08	17.83	8.76	NP	9.07			
6	Dec-08	17.83	8.98	NP	8.85			
7	Mar-09	17.83	8.50	NP	9.33			
8	Sep-09	17.83	8.95	NP	8.88			
9	Mar-10	17.83	8.66	NP	9.17			
10	Sep-10	17.83	8.89	NP	8.94			
11	Mar-11	17.83	8.18	NP	9.65			
12	Sep-11	17.83	8.80	NP	9.03			
13	Mar-12	17.83	7.79	NP	10.04			
14	Sep-12	17.83	7.44	NP	10.39			
15	Mar-13	17.83	9.39	NP	8.44			
16	Sep-13	17.83	9.72	NP	8.11			
17	Mar-14	17.83	9.55	NP	8.26			

MW-13						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation	
		Installed b	etween 2004-20	06		
1	Dec-06	17.66 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.81	9.44	7.85	
2	Dec-07	17.66	9.95	9.39	7.71	
3	Mar-08	17.66	10.02	9.54	7.64	
4	Jun-08	17.66	9.86	9.45	7.80	
5	Sep-08	17.66	10.34	9.54	7.32	
6	Dec-08	17.66	10.54	9.65	7.12	
7	Mar-09	17.66	9.26	9.14	8.40	
8	Sep-09	17.66	9.91 <sup>(e)</sup>	9.72	7.75	
9	Mar-10	17.66	9.22 <sup>(e)</sup>	9.22	8.44	
10	Sep-10	17.66	9.40	10.18	7.48	
11	Mar-11	17.66	9.90	NM	NM	
12	Sep-11	17.66	10.41	9.64	7.25	
13	Mar-12	17.66	10.09	9.02	7.57	
14	Sep-12	17.66	9.54	9.23	8.12	
15	Mar-13	17.66	9.36	9.35	8.30	
16	Sep-13	17.66	9.48	9.45	8.18	
17	Mar-14	17.66	9.58	9.45	8.08	

MW-14						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation	
		Installed b	etween 2004-2	2006		
1	Nov-06 <sup>(d)</sup>	17.60 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.11	9.11(sheen)	8.49	
2	Dec-07	17.60	8.86	8.84	8.74	
3	Mar-08	17.60	8.91	8.88	8.69	
4	Jun-08	17.60	8.66	8.62	8.94	
5	Sep-08	17.60	8.64	NP	8.96	
6	Dec-08	17.60	8.70	NP	8.90	
7	Mar-09	17.60	9.25	NP	9.25	
8	Sep-09	17.60	8.80	NP	8.80	
9	Mar-10	17.60	8.42	NP	9.18	
10	Sep-10	17.60	8.56	8.62	8.98	
11	Mar-11	17.60	7.93	7.92	9.67	
12	Sep-11	17.60	8.60	8.55	9.00	
13	Mar-12	17.60	7.71	7.61	9.89	
14	Sep-12	17.60	7.22	7.20	10.38	
15	Mar-13	17.60	9.18	9.17	8.42	
16	Sep-13	17.60	9.49	9.47	8.11	
17	Mar-14	17.60	9.48	9.47	8.12	

			MW-15		
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation
		Installed b	etween 2004-20	06	
1	Dec-06	17.80 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.15	NP	8.65
2	Dec-07	17.80	9.30	NP	8.50
3	Mar-08	17.80	9.20	9.18	8.60
4	Jun-08	17.80	9.60	9.63	8.20
5	Sep-08	17.80	8.84	8.84 <sup>(f)</sup>	8.96
6	Dec-08	17.80	9.19	8.36	8.61
7	Mar-09	17.80	8.70	NP	9.10
8	Sep-09	17.80	9.40 <sup>(e)</sup>	9.22	8.08
9	Mar-10	17.80	8.81 <sup>(e)</sup>	8.81	8.99
10	Sep-10	17.80	9.42	9.45	8.35
11	Mar-11	17.80	8.50	NM	9.30
12	Sep-11	17.80	9.32	NP	8.48
13	Mar-12	17.80	8.55	NP	9.25
14	Sep-12	17.80	8.03	NP	9.77
15	Mar-13	17.80	9.45	NP	8.35
16	Sep-13	17.80	10.01	NP	7.79
17	Mar-14	17.80	10.18	NP	7.62

MW-16						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation	
		Installed be	etween 2004-20	06		
1	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA	
2	Dec-07	17.74 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.36	NP	8.38	
3	Mar-08	17.74	9.88	NP	7.86	
4	Jun-08	17.74	9.25	NP	7.80	
5	Sep-08	17.74	9.07	NP	8.67	
6	Dec-08	17.74	9.45	NP	8.29	
7	Mar-09	17.74	8.88	NP	8.86	
8	Sep-09	17.74	9.51	NP	8.23	
9	Mar-10	17.74	8.92	NP	8.82	
10	Sep-10	17.74	9.40	NP	8.34	
11	Mar-11	17.74	9.16	NP	8.57	
12	Sep-11	17.74	9.56	NP	8.18	
13	Mar-12	17.74	9.38	NP	8.36	
14	Sep-12	17.74	9.15	NP	8.59	
15	Mar-13	17.74	9.60	NP	8.14	
16	Sep-13	17.74	10.03	NP	7.71	
17	Mar-14	17.74	9.81	NP	7.93	

MW-17						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation	
		Installed b	etween 2004-20	06		
1	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA	
2	Dec-07	18.17 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.40	9.32	8.77	
3	Mar-08	18.17	9.34	9.18	8.83	
4	Jun-08	18.17	8.98	8.97	9.19	
5	Sep-08	18.17	9.21	7.92	8.96	
6	Dec-08	18.17	9.25	9.11	8.92	
7	Mar-09	18.17	8.89	NP	9.28	
8	Sep-09	18.17	9.31	NP	8.86	
9	Mar-10	18.17	8.93	NP	9.24	
10	Sep-10	18.17	9.15	NP	9.02	
11	Mar-11	18.17	8.52	8.50	9.65	
12	Sep-11	18.17	9.15	NP	9.02	
13	Mar-12	18.17	8.17	NP	10.00	
14	Sep-12	18.17	7.77	NP	10.40	
15	Mar-13	18.17	9.17	NP	9.00	
16	Sep-13	18.17	10.00	NP	8.17	
17	Mar-14	18.17	10.00	NP	8.17	

	MW-18						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation		
		Installed be	etween 2004-20	06			
1	Dec-06	NA	NA	NA	NA		
2	Dec-07	16.35 <sup>(c)</sup>	8.30	NP	8.05		
3	Mar-04	16.35	8.34	NP	8.01		
4	Jun-08	16.35	8.34	NP	8.20		
5	Sep-08	16.35	8.48	NP	7.87		
6	Dec-08	16.35	8.61	NP	7.74		
7	Mar-09	16.35	7.75	NP	8.60		
8	Sep-09	16.35	8.50	NP	7.85		
9	Mar-10	16.35	7.97	NP	8.38		
10	Sep-10	16.35	8.28	NP	8.07		
11	Mar-11	16.35	8.63	NP	7.72		
12	Sep-11	16.35	8.90	NP	7.45		
13	Mar-12	16.35	8.56	NP	7.79		
14	Sep-12	16.35	8.59	NP	7.76		
15	Mar-13	16.35	9.92	NP	6.43		
16	Sep-13	16.35	9.81	NP	6.54		
17	Mar-14	16.35	9.19	NP	7.16		

MW-E						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation	
1	Dec-88	NM	NM	NM	NM	
2	May-89	15.32	10.39	NP	4.93	
3	Feb-91	NM	NM	NM	NM	
4	Mar-04	17.80	9.92	NP	7.88	
5	Nov-06 <sup>(d)</sup>	17.80	10.22	NP	7.58	
6	Dec-07	17.47 <sup>(c)</sup>	10.03	NP	7.44	
7	Mar-08	17.47	10.21	NP	7.26	
8	Jun-08	17.47	10.20	NP	7.27	
9	Sep-08	17.47	9.55	NP	7.92	
10	Dec-08	17.47	10.32	NP	7.15	
11	Mar-09	17.47	9.79	NP	7.68	
12	Sep-09	17.47	10.22	NP	7.25	
13	Mar-10	17.47	9.82	NP	7.65	
14	Sep-10	17.47	10.11	NP	7.36	
15	Mar-11	17.47	9.10	NP	8.37	
16	Sep-11	17.47	8.41	NP	9.06	
17	Mar-12	17.47	9.86	NP	7.61	
18	Sep-12	17.47	9.95	NP	7.52	
19	Mar-13	17.47	10.41	NP	7.06	
20	Sep-13	17.47	10.21	NP	7.26	
21	Mar-14	17.47	10.15	NP	7.32	

RW-1						
Sampling Event No.	Date	TOC Elevation	DTW	DTP	GW Elevation	
1	Dec-88	NM	NM	NM	NM	
2	May-89	14.54	10.17	10.14	4.37	
3	Feb-91	14.54	11.46	10.85	3.57	
4	Mar-04	18.32	7.20	5.62	11.12	
5	Nov-06 <sup>(d)</sup>	18.32	9.15	9.11	9.17	
6	Dec-07	16.70 <sup>(c)</sup>	9.53 <sup>(e)</sup>	9.53	7.17	
7	Mar-08	16.70	8.99	8.92	7.71	
8	Jun-08	16.70	8.95	8.87	7.75	
9	Sep-08	16.70	NM <sup>(c)</sup>	NM <sup>(c)</sup>	NM <sup>(c)</sup>	
10	Dec-08	16.70	NM	NM	NM	
11	Mar-09	16.70	9.06 <sup>(e)</sup>	9.06	7.64	
12	Sep-09	16.70	9.45 <sup>(e)</sup>	9.45	7.25	
13	Mar-10	16.70	8.93 <sup>(e)</sup>	8.93	7.77	
14	Sep-10	16.70	9.50	9.65	7.05	
15	Mar-11	16.70	9.05	9.04	7.65	
16	Sep-11	16.70	9.75	9.74	6.95	
17	Mar-12	16.70	9.33	NP	7.35	
18	Sep-12	16.70	NM	9.69	NM	
19	Mar-13	16.70	NM	9.99	NM	
20	Sep-13	16.70	11.60	9.99	5.10	
21	Mar-14	16.70	9.13	9.99	7.57	

Notes:

The 1988, 1989, and 1991 water elevations were measured by Groundwater Technology, Inc.

The 2004 and 2006 water elevations were measured by PES Environmental.

NS = Not sampled

NP = No product

NM - Not measured NA = data not available from the previous consultant for this event

TOC Elevation = Top of Casing Elevation

DTW = Depth to water from the top of the casing

DTP - Depth to product from the top of the casing

GW Elevation - Groundwater elevation as compared to mean sea level <sup>(a)</sup> Wells resurveyed in May 1989

<sup>(b)</sup>New elevation recorded by PES. Date of survey unclear.

 $^{\rm (c)}$  Wells resurveyed by PES in April 2007

 $^{\rm (d)}$  no water level data available for the December 2006 sampling event

(e) Thickness of product interfered with determining oil/water interface.

(\*)Depth to groundwater = depth to free product as difference could not be determined

### **APPENDIX E**

### Historical Product Extraction Data Table

Table D	
Historical Trench and Monitoring Well Product Recovery	
6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA	

	Well or Trench Location																											
Extraction Date	MW-3	MW-4	MW-5	MW-6	<b>MW-</b> 7	MW-8	MW-9	MW-10	MW-11	MW-12	MW-13	MW-14	MW-15	MW-16	MW-17	MW-18	MW-E	RW-1	TA-E	ТА-М	TA-W	TB-E	TB-M	TB-W	TC-E	тс-м	тс-w	Total Extracted
Apr-04						1.00		1.00										19.75							-			21.75
May-04																		22.5										22.50
Sep-04																		0.74										0.74
Oct-04																		5.22										0.00
2004 Total																												44.99
Jan-05																												0.00
Apr-06																					3.3							3.30
Jun-06																			8.9	9.2	10.3							28.40
Jul-06																			3.6	5	5.3							13.90
Aug-06						0.8		0.8			1	0.2	0.2						0.2	0.2	0.4							3.80
Sep-06								0.8			0.2	0.3							0.6		0.6							2.50
Nov-06																			0.2									0.20
Dec-06																			0.2									0.20
2006 Total				-		-	-								-			-	-					-	-			52.30
Jan-07																			0.2									0.20
Feb-07																			0.2									0.20
Mar-07																			0.2									0.20
Nov-07																				0.81	0.68				0.63			2.12
Dec-07																			0.01	0.61	0.07				0.002			0.69
2007 Total				-		-	-								-			-	-					-	-			3.41
Feb-08	0.03																	0.45	0.08	0.06	0.18	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.05	1.14
Feb-08			0.05															0.45	0.15	0.15	0.30							1.10
Mar-08				0.02	0.002	0.02	0.001	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.004	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.003	0.012	0.3	0.09	0.06	0.09				0.06			0.80
Mar-08																				0.002	0.008							0.01
May-08	0.09							0.075		0.075	0.019	0.009			0.13			1.397	0.866	1.466	1.431							5,56
Jun-08																			0.15	0.11	0.57							0.83
Aug-08	0.12							0.048		0.024	0.009							0.75	0.9	1.6	0.7	0.3	0.3		0.15			4.90
Sep-08																			0.03	0.09	0.048							0.17
Nov-08	0.078					0.009				0.06	0.009			0.003	0.06			0.6	0.1	0.03		0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.09	1.37
Dec-08																			0.0003	0.08					0.03			0.11
2008 Total				-		-																						14.99
Mar-09	0.279					0.378		0.369		0.261	0.007	0.023	0.117		0.342		0.023	1.800	0.750	0.950	1.010	0.153	0.153	0.153	0.653	0.153	0.153	7.73
Jun-09																			0.5									0.50
Sep-09	0.286				0.022	0.418		0.176	0.308	0.176	0.088	0.007	0.176	0.088	0.176	0.022	0.066	7.15	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	19.46
Dec-09																			0	0.9	0.06				0			0.96
2009 Total																												28.65
Mar-10	0.14				0.01	0.18	0.02	0.60		0.60	0.03	0.10	0.69	0.04	0.30	0.02		8.00	1.30	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	19.03
Jun-10																				0.75								0.75
Sep-10	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.01	0.5	0.01	0.5		1.6	0.02	0.01	1.5	0.02	1.0	0.02	0.1	6.9	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.3	0.3	0.4	1.00	0.5	0.5	19.59
Dec-10																			0.10	0.00	0.05				0.00			0.15
2010 Total																												39.52
Mar-11						0.002		0.002				0.002			0.003			0.002	0.06	0.06	0.02				0			0.15
Sep-11	0.2					0.3					0.2			0.1				0.5		0.45	0.25	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	2.50
2011 Total																												2.65
Mar-12	0.015					0.015					0.06				0.01			0.06	0.13	0.03	0.015		0.01			0.015	0.015	0.375
Sep-12						0.03		0.023			0.08						0.015	0.06	0.045	0.08	0.09							0.423
2012 Total																												0.798
Mar-13	0.06					0.08		0.015			0.08						0.01	0.06	0.05	0.12	0.07				0.03	0.03	0.03	0.635
Sep-13	0.06					0.02		0.05									0.02	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.02				0.01	0.02	0.02	0.320
2013 Total																												0.955
Mar-14	0.08							0.023						0.015			0.01	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.015				0.015	0.015	0.015	0.338
<b>Total Extracted</b>	1.74	0.20	0.45	0.52	0.04	3.75	0.03	4.58	0.33	2.83	1.81	0.66	2.70	0.28	2.03	0.07	0.26	76.84	22.03	25.96	28.80	2.55	3.08	2.37	4.83	3.08	3.08	194.88

### **APPENDIX F**

Purge Water Disposal Documentation

**EnviroClean MSDS** 

TE	BILL OF LADING/MANIFEST	plicable) Docum	nent No. 31.0	2. Page 1 of	the state					
<b>A</b> <sup>3</sup>	3. Shipper's Name and Malling Address Bay Center Apartments 5400 Christie Ave Emeryville CA 94608-1009									
5	4. Shipper's Phone (									
7	- SAFETY - HLEEN-SYSTEMS, INC. 8. Transporter 2 Company Name 8.	JS EPA ID Number	, .	B. Transpo	ners Ph	<del>265</del>	-2090			
s	9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address EVG 10. US EPA ID Number C. Facility's Phone									
	BAFETY-KLEEN OF CALIFORNIA, INC. 6880 SMITH AVE. NEWARK CA 94560   CAD90	00887418			510-	795	-4400			
1	Shipping Name and Description			1	12. Conta No.	ainers Type	13. Total Quantity	14. Unit WtVol		
E	NON-REGULATED LIGUID (VAC-DIL, WAT	ER, ATED)				τ <u>γ</u>		G		
	(NOT CA REGULATED)			p	.0.1		0.1000	722		
S H		-								
Ϊ P P							- Fill Toget			
E R	L						2-229	41.4 		
	15. Special Handling Instruction and Additional Information									
	24 HR EMERGENCY #1-800-468-1760 (SAFETY-KLEEN) SK AUTHORIZED TO RETAIN LICENSED SUBSECIENT CORRIERS OS NECESSORY									
	DDT/PRFL A. 3299/150451 B. C. D.									
	A) NONE B) C) D)				;			4		
	This is a set of the s				<u> </u>					
U S E	Printed/Typed Name	Isportation according to the a sportation according to the a sture required	spplicable reguta	Sons of the Dep			Month Day	Year 1/1/		
6A	16b. NON-REGULATED SRIPPER'S CERTIFICATION: 1 certify the materials described ab	OT regulated	subject to fed	feral regulatio	ns for Tra	nsportatio	T T J J Y S on or Olsposal.	17		
5B ¥	Printeed Typed Kame Sign mate DOT	rial is not regulated					<u>65</u> 13	Year 14		
R A N	Printed/Typed Name	iture	82	ih			Month Day	Year		
POR	VV/Q     VV/Q     VV/Q     VV/Q       18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials       Printed (Tursed Name)		0,70	<u> </u>	_	-				
F R							Month Day	Year		
FAC	F A C									
ŀ	20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of materials covered by this form	except as noted in It	tem 19. 👸					-		
T Y	Printed/Typed Name Signa	enute	3	18-2 1			Month Day	Year		
4 HR	EMERGENCY # 800-468-1760									

, Contra

### EnviroClean Degassing/Hydrocarbon Removal/Remediation Chemistry

Contents	Page
SUMMARY	1
FLUID DESIGN	1
FIELD MIXING PROCEDURES Mixing Concentrates Quality Control Testing	2 2 2
MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS Equipment Cleaning & Parts Washing Soil Remediation Emergency Response & Spill Cleanup Degassing & Cleaning of Tanks &	2 2 2 3
Equipment	3
Tank Bed Remediation	4
Chemical Pipeline Pigging	4
VOC Vapor Mitigation & Odor Control Hard Surface Cleaning &	4
Decontamination Insitu Free Product Recovery	4
Enhancement	4
Soil Flushing & Recovery	5
Surface Washing & Shoreline Cleanup	o 5
Fire Fighting for Class A & B Fires	5
Contaminated Soil Excavation	5

#### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Product Name		EnviroClean
Physical Form		Clear Liquid
Color	Colorle	ess unless dyed
Specific Gravity (Wate	er = 1)	1.028 +/01
Solubility in Water		100%
Freezing/Melting Poir	nt	NE
Flash Point ( <sup>0</sup> F)		>200 <sup>0</sup> F
рН		8.5 +/25
Reportable Quantity (	RQ)	None

Complete information on health hazards, protective equipment, handling precautions, environmental hazards and disposal is listed in the current EnviroClean Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for this product.

#### SUMMARY

EnviroClean is a non-flammable, non-toxic, water-based, proprietary blend of non-ionic ethoxylated octylphenolic surfactants that has been specifically engineered as a cleanup/mitigation agent for a wide range of hydrocarbon products. EnviroClean has been shown to be effective for quickly and effectively suppressing or completely eliminating VOCs, LEL's, benzene and low levels of  $H_2S$  and mercaptans in open or confined spaces.

EnviroClean has been used for cleanup of hydrocarbon spills and soil remediation. In these applications, EnviroClean effectively conditions (physically) the hydrocarbon such that the microbes that naturally occur can more readily consume it. It turns hydrocarbons into a nutrient source for the microbes. When sufficiently mixed with hydrocarbon and water, the EnviroClean forms a homogeneous solution of hydrocarbon, EnviroClean and water, which is very stable.

EnviroClean is a concentrated product that readily biodegrades.

EnviroClean is commercially available in 5-gallon units, 55-gallon drums, 275 and 330-gallon totes and bulk from Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Wappingers Falls, New York, and Houston, Texas.

#### FLUID DESIGN

EnviroClean is a proprietary blend of surfactants that needs to be diluted to be effective and it is very safe to workers and the environment. EnviroClean does not contain caustic, therefore does not have the common harmful side effects associated with caustic based products. The product is designed for use as a degassing agent and a cleaner/degreaser for remediation. The product does not contain any enzymes or biomass itself. It works by conditioning the hydrocarbon so that the naturally occurring microbes (bacteria) are able to readily consume it. Through the application of the appropriate dilution and mixing, the EnviroClean will capture the hydrocarbon and tie it up in a solution that is very stable. The formation of this solution results extremely small particles that will not in recoalesce. It is important to note that if EnviroClean reaches its saturation point the oversaturated hydrocarbon will breakout of solution very quickly. This will allow for easy removal or reclamation of any hydrocarbon that is not preconditioned for remediation.

In addition to tying up the hydrocarbon in solution, the product is very effective when contacted with hydrocarbon vapors at suppressing volatile organic vapors, gases, and odors. Once combustible and flammable hydrocarbon vapors are tied up in the resultant solution, the solution will be very difficult to ignite. It also accelerates the biodegradation process of the hydrocarbon, thereby enhancing recycling or reclamation of water.

EnviroClean has been demonstrated to be effective on gas, oil, lube oil, hydraulic oil, most petroleum-based products, animal and vegetable oils, fats, and tallow oils. EnviroClean cleans the heavy tar build-up, asphaltenes or oily residue from inside of tanks and vessels. Furthermore, once a surface has been cleaned with EnviroClean, the cleaned surface will resist the deposition of oily materials.

EnviroClean can be used to cleanup oil spills whether in/on soil or hard surfaces. The first step in this process is to remove as much of the free oil as possible. This step is followed by contacting contaminated the surface appropriately with the proper dilution of EnviroClean and water. The treatment solution will contact the hydrocarbon molecules and change their behavior such that they are now essentially water soluble. The large increase in interfacial surface area creates conditions that are favorable to degradation and consumption by bacteria and microbes. The product converts hydrocarbons into a very good nutrient source for bacteria and microbes.

EnviroClean is typically fed at concentrations between 1% and 6%, depending on the nature of the hydrocarbon contamination problem. It can be diluted with most types of water – hard, soft or brackish water. The product has an unlimited shelf life when unopened. EnviroClean is effective at ambient temperatures. However, the <u>effectiveness will increase as the temperature</u> <u>of the application is increased</u>. EnviroClean does not require the use of steam, but has been shown to be very effective when injected into the steam (vapor) phase.

#### FIELD MIXING PROCEDURES

#### **Mixing Concentrates**

EnviroClean is usually delivered as a concentrate and <u>must</u> be diluted with water to work properly. Cleaning solutions can be formulated by premixing or eduction. It is not necessary to provide high shear agitation when preparing a batch of cleaning solution since EnviroClean is 100% soluble in water. It is recommended that when preparing the cleaning solution you first add the water into the mix container and then follow by the addition of EnviroClean. This will minimize foaming as the EnviroClean and water form a homogeneous solution.

For premixing, the following procedure may be used:

- 1. Add the correct amount of water to the container.
- 2. Depending on the desired strength, add the correct amount of EnviroClean to the container.
- 3. If the final solution is not a consistent pink color, mild agitation may be required until a consistent pink color is achieved.

#### **Quality Control Testing**

There is no easy field testing procedure to monitor the concentration of active ingredients in the EnviroClean formulation. Visually the color changes from rose color to lighter pink as the product is further diluted. Effectiveness can also be predicted by quantifying the amount of hydrocarbon that is to be picked up. By observing the effluent from the use of EnviroClean, an adjustment in the cleaning solution concentration can be made. If it is observed that free oil is floating on the effluent solution, then the concentration should be increased.

#### MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS

For specific protocols and application rates, please refer to the available **Product Usage Guide**, product label, or consult with the manufacturer or authorized distributor for additional guidance.

### Equipment Cleaning & Parts Washing

EnviroClean is very effective for equipment cleaning applications. EnviroClean is used at light dilutions and has a significant "life of batch" as well as low foaming tendencies. The surfactants in EnviroClean desorb and microemulsify grease and oil contamination and separate it from solids (metal shavings, grit, etc.) allowing them to settle without accumulating oily sludges. These factors make EnviroClean ideal for spray wash systems as well as dip/agitating equipment. Some agitation or circulation of the fluid is required for thorough cleaning. For equipment cleaning applications, EnviroClean is normally diluted to a 3% - 6% solution with water.

#### **Soil Remediation**

Calculate the volume of hydrocarbon contained in the contaminated area. It is important to determine accurately the depth of oil penetration into the soil. It will be important to agitate the soil to just below the depth of penetration. Once the estimate of hydrocarbon is known, the amount of the normal dilution of EnviroClean for soil remediation is 32 parts water to 1 part EnviroClean (3% solution). The EnviroClean solution will use the naturally occurring bacteria in the soil and begin to consume the hydrocarbon, which has been put into a form that can be quickly consumed. The remediation process normally occurs over 4 to 12 weeks.

### The following step-by-step procedure can be used for soil remediation using EnviroClean:

- If contaminated soil is deeper than 12", excavate the soil and spread at the surface to a depth of 10" – 12" and then proceed with this protocol. If contaminated soil is 12" in depth or less, thoroughly mix and aerate the soil in place utilizing a roto-tiller or similar equipment. If soil is extremely oily or gummy, mix clean soil with oily soil to expedite clean up and to make it easier to work with.
- 2. Utilizing local soil or rock, build a small berm surrounding the treatment area to prevent rain water run off from the site.
- 3 To determine treatment volumes of EnviroClean, measure the square footage area of the treatment cell and divide that number by 27 to find cubic yards per foot of depth (i.e. treatment area is 100' x 50': 100 x  $50 \div 27 = 185$ ). Multiply that number x .06 to determine the amount of EnviroClean to utilize in the treatment (i.e.  $185 \times .06 = 11$ gallons EnviroClean). Dilute the EnviroClean to approximately a 3% solution (32 to 1 or 352 gallons water to 11 gallons EnviroClean). Spray the 3% EnviroClean solution over the entire treatment cell.
- 4. If, after a week, little to no rainfall has fallen, water the site thoroughly.
- 5. Wait another week and repeat steps 1 and 3, if needed.
- 6. Monitor and continue the treatment protocol until desired clean up levels are reached.

Samples can be taken and analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) to track the progress of the remediation. If the TPH were to appear to stabilize and not continue to decline, a second application of EnviroClean may be required.

**Note:** It can be helpful, but not required, to add a highly soluble, high nitrogen fertilizer such as Miracle Grow or Sam's Choice to the first 3% EnviroClean solution.

The addition of bacteria is not typically required. The EnviroClean solution will stimulate the activity level of the naturally occurring bacteria.

In the fall and winter, it helps to expedite the job if the treatment cell is covered with plastic between treatments. This tends to hold in heat and generate additional moisture.

Keeping the soil moist is an integral part of the clean up.

#### Emergency Response & Spill Cleanup

**Small Spill Cleanup**: Dilute EnviroClean to a 10% solution. On small spills apply with 2 ½ gallon pressure sprayer or similar device. Cover the entire spill working in a circular motion from outside perimeter toward the center of the spill. After application of EnviroClean has been completed, agitate spill area with forcible stream of water or broom and rinse thoroughly. EnviroClean helps to reduce or eliminate any VOC concerns associated with the cleanup by micro-emulsifying the hydrocarbon on contact drastically reducing the LEL levels in a very short time frame. EnviroClean also eliminates sheens.

**On Roadways & Pavement**: EnviroClean can be applied with a pressure sprayer or applied through a foam eductor at a 6% setting. EnviroClean will instantly stop the deterioration of asphalt by diesel or gas and eliminate slippery conditions. Dispose in accordance with local rules and regulations.

*Note*: For use with absorbents, EnviroClean will increase effectiveness by allowing the contaminate to more easily penetrate into the absorbent.

### Degassing & Cleaning of Tanks & Equipment

EnviroClean is effective for the degassing and cleaning of all types of petroleum storage tanks. For small tanks of less than 50,000 gallons,

EnviroClean should be utilized through a power washer at dilutions between 2% and 6% depending on the type of product within the vessel and the degree of contamination. Typically for flammables, a 6% solution is utilized to completely agitate the tank residue and to scour the wall of the vessel prior to and during pump out. Lower dilutions may be utilized for products not representing a vapor hazard. EnviroClean is also effective for reducing H2S, Benzene and other VOC's.

### **Tank Bed Remediation**

A common and effective means of mitigating the vapor hazard and remediating the tank bedding is to utilize a "flushing and recovery" technique with a diluted solution of EnviroClean. Typically a 3% to 6% solution of EnviroClean and water is utilized in a batch process to treat the impacted portions of the tank floor area. Simply perforating the affected area with a "buster" or hole saw and allowing the EnviroClean solution to flood the affected bedding will eliminate immediate, and future, recurrences of vapor generation. The process also serves to remediate the contamination by flushing entrained hydrocarbon out of the bedding for recovery and disposal, or re-processing. If necessary, the entire sub-floor area may be treated by saturating the zone of contamination and flushing the fluid to the sump, or other collection point, and recovering the rinsate for disposal. Depending upon the severity of the leak, and the resultant degree of subfloor contamination, the EnviroClean solution can be applied so as to simply saturate the bedding material, or it can be injected so as to flush and recover gross quantities of hydrocarbon.

### **Chemical Pipeline Pigging**

As a general guideline, pump a slug of 3% to 6% solution and chase with water.

### VOC Vapor Mitigation & Odor Control

EnviroClean is typically applied at a concentration of 3 - 6% for vapor and/or odor control. Circulate the solution through a manway cannon or other device in order to provide sufficient saturation of the vapor space of the vessel that is being degassed. Check the vapor level of the tank before circulation begins. Circulate for about 2 hours and let the tank settle for about 2 hours. Check the vapor level in the tank. More than one circulation may be required for complete vapor suppression. The holding capacity of EnviroClean may require sweetening

or circulation with a fresh batch of product, depending on the amount of hydrocarbon vapors originally contained in the vessel.

Dilute EnviroClean to a 3% to 6% solution. Coverage is normally at 3 to 4 square yards of surface area per gallon. Heavy contamination or mercaptan type odors may require a stronger solution of EnviroClean.

Typically, 1 gallon of EnviroClean concentrate diluted to a 3% to 6% solution will render up to 6 gallons of petroleum product nonflammable when properly applied.

# Hard Surface Cleaning & Decontamination

For heavy soiled oil and grease on hard surfaces: Mix a 6% solution of concentrate with clean water in quantity sufficient to cover contaminated area. Apply generous amounts with spray applicator, or equivalent and allow reasonable time for the surfactants in EnviroClean to penetrate and break down the hydrocarbon and grime. Once applied, solution may be scrubbed or brushed in for stubborn soiling. Next, apply EnviroClean at a 1% - 2% solution through a power washer (heated power wash system will expedite the process). Flush residue to containment and dispose of as local rules apply.

#### For lightly soiled or freshly oiled surfaces:

EnviroClean may be used through any power washer or steam jenny currently available. Operating temperatures of 140 degrees F. will maximize effectiveness. Solution strengths of 1 - 2% may be used for lighter decontamination duties. For small applications, a 5% solution (16 oz. EnviroClean concentrate to 2.5 gallons water) may be applied with a small pump sprayer and scrubbed or brushed into surface.

#### Insitu Free Product Recovery Enhancement

EnviroClean is effective for the insitu solubilization and recovery enhancement of entrained Free Product Hydrocarbon in the subsurface to facilitate recovery or biodegradation. Dilutions of 2% v/v are typical for light ends (i.e. gasoline) while concentrations of 3% to 6% are effective for Diesel Range Organic (DRO's) and heavier oils. Applications vary, however the EnviroClean solution is injected into the contaminated zone followed typically by a recovery event.

#### Soil Flushing and Recovery

EnviroClean is effective for the insitu solubilization and recovery enhancement of entrained Free Product Hydrocarbon in surface and sub-surface soil to facilitate recovery or biodegradation. Dilutions of 2% v/v are typical for light ends (i.e. gasoline) while concentrations of 3% to 6% are effective for Diesel Range Organic (DRO's) and heavier oils. Applications vary, however the EnviroClean solution is injected into or flushed through the contaminated zone.

# Surface Washing & Shoreline Cleanup

Dilute EnviroClean to a 2% solution. On small spills apply with 2.5 gallon pressure sprayer or similar device. Cover entire spill, working in a circular motion, from outside perimeter toward the center of the spill. After application of EnviroClean has been completed, agitate spill area with forcible stream of water or broom and rinse thoroughly.

On larger spills, specific applications and protocols should be developed taking into account local risks and considerations.

**Note:** EnviroClean is listed on the U.S. E.P.A. NCP Product Schedule as a Surface Washing Agent (listed SW #31). This listing does *not* mean that U.S. E.P.A. approves, licenses, certifies, or authorizes the use of EnviroClean on an oil discharge. This listing means only that data have been submitted to EPA as required by subpart J of the National Contingency Plan § 300.915.

#### Fire Fighting for Class A & B Fires

Proportioning Rate:	6%
GPM Flow Rate:	95 – 110
PSI at Eductor:	200 or MFG's
	recommendations
Hose Length:	As per MFG's suggestion
Nozzle Type:	Standard adjustable or
	automatic
Coverage:	0.2 gpm per square foot
Nozzle Pattern:	Hard cone to coarse
	stream

Application:

Starting from the outside perimeter, using a stirring, mixing action.

#### **Contaminated Soil Excavation**

In most cases a 3% solution of EnviroClean will be adequate to keep vapor emissions within acceptable limits. Dilute EnviroClean concentrate with water at a ratio of 1 part EnviroClean to 32 parts water to make a 3% solution. The EnviroClean solution should be applied evenly to the soil surface in sufficient quantity to dampen the surface well. As a general rule, 1 gallon of solution will cover approximately 4 sq. yd. of soil surface area.

### **APPENDIX G**

### March 2014 Soil Boring Logs

Geoscience & Engineering Const	, INC	Soil Boring Log
	BORING NUMBER	Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
PROJECT Bridgewater Apartments	OWNER Harvest Properties	
LOCATION <u>6400 Christie Avenue, Em</u>	eryville, CA PROJECT NUMBER 2007-65	
TOTAL DEPTH20 feet bgs	BOREHOLE DIA2-1/2 inc	h
SURFACE ELEV. Unknown	WATER FIRST ENCOUNTERI	ED 17 feet
DRILLING COMPANY Cascade	DRILLING METHOD Direct F	Push
DRILLER <u>Amador</u>	GEOLOGIST <u>S. Bittman</u> DAT	E DRILLED <u>3/11/14</u>
DEPTH GRAPHIC (feet) LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION	REMARKS
	Concrete slab 6"	
	Asphalt 4"	
	(CL), fill	
	Asphalt 3.5-4'	
		Poor recovery 4-8'
	Interbedded silty clay (CL) and clayey silt (ML), gravelly, grey green, very	No obvious hydrocarbon contamination noted
	▼ stiff, moist to wet	
		Set temporary 1" diameter PVC well screen @ 10-20' Collect B1-SES-W grab groundwater sample.
	Organic clay (OH), black, woody, moist	
	$\boxtimes$ Sandy clay (CL) to clayey sand (SC), brown, soft, wet	
	Silty clay (CH), brown, moist, stiff - no discoloration	
	Total depth = 20'	

Soil Boring Log									
			BORING NUMBER	_ Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>					
0.JF	CT Bridgewater Apartments		OWNER Harvest Properties	8					
	ION 6400 Christie Avenue, Em	eryville, CA	PROJECT NUMBER 2007-6	5					
TAL	DEPTH6 feet bgs		BOREHOLE DIA2-1/2 ii	nch					
IRFA	CE ELEV. Unknown		WATER FIRST ENCOUNTE	RED <u>NA</u>					
RILLI	ING COMPANY Cascade		DRILLING METHOD Direc	t Push					
RILLI	ER Amador	GEOLOGIST	S. Bittman DA	TE DRILLED <u>3/11/14</u>					
PTH eet)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESC	RIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION	REMARKS					
0 -		Concrete s	lab 5"						
		Base rock	~2" brick fill	-					
2 –									
4 -	'. / . / . / . / . / . / . / . / . / . /	Clayey sar hard	nd (SC), gray-green, damp,	No obvious hydrocarbon contamination noted					
Ţ									
e –	·/.·/././././././././.	Refused at	: 6'						
~-									
8 –									
0_									
-									
2-									
4_									
_									
_									
6-									
8_									
-									
20-									
	OJE CAT TAL RFA ILL ILL PTH 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	STELLA ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONS OJECT Bridgewater Apartments CATION 6400 Christie Avenue, Em TAL DEPTH 6 feet bgs RFACE ELEV. Unknown ILLING COMPANY Cascade ILLER Amador PTH GRAPHIC LOG CASCADE CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE CASCADE COMPANY CASCADE COMPANY CAS	STELLAR         OJECT       Bridgewater Apartments         CATION       6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, CA         TAL DEPTH       6 feet bgs         RFACE ELEV.       Unknown         ILLING COMPANY       Cascade         IILLER       Amador         GEOLOGIST         PTH       GRAPHIC         D       Concrete s         Base rock         Clay, sand         Clayey sar         Nard         Clayey sar         Nard         Clayey sar         Clayey sar         Nard         Clayey sar         Sa         Clayey sar         Base         Clayey         Sa         Clayey         Sa         Clayey         Sa         Sa         Sa         <	BORING NUMBER 52         OUECT Bridgewater Apartments         OWNER Harvest Properties         CATION 6400 Christle Avenue, Emeryville, CA         PROJECT NUMBER 2007-6         TAL DEPTH 6 feet bgs         BORING NUMBER 2007-6         PROJECT NUMBER 2007-6         TAL DEPTH 6 feet bgs         BOREHOLE DIA. 2-1/2 if         PROJECT NUMBER 2007-6         PROJECT NUMBER 2007-6         PROJECT NUMBER 2007-6         TAL DEPTH 6 feet bgs         BOREHOLE DIA. 2-1/2 if         PROJECT NUMBER 2007-6         DECOMPANY Colspan="2">Cascade         DECOMPANY Colspan="2">Cascade         Concrete slab 5"         Base rock ~2"         Clayey sand (SC), gray-green, damp, hard         A         A         A <tr< td=""></tr<>					

		STELLA ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS GEOSCIENCE & ENGINEERING CONST	, INC	Soil Boring Log
			BORING NUMBER <u>B3</u>	Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
F	PROJI	CT Bridgewater Apartments	OWNER Harvest Propertie	es
		TION 6400 Christie Avenue, Em	eryville, CA PROJECT NUMBER 2007-	65
ר	TOTAL	DEPTH 20 feet bgs	BOREHOLE DIA. <u>2-1/2</u>	inch
5	SURF	ACE ELEV. Unknown	WATER FIRST ENCOUNT	ERED <u>16-1/2"</u>
	DRILL	ING COMPANY Cascade	DRILLING METHOD Dire	ct Push
	DRILL	ER Amador	GEOLOGIST <u>S. Bittman</u> D	ATE DRILLED <u>3/11/14</u>
	DEPTH (feet)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION	REMARKS
	-0-		Concrete slab 5"	
	_ 2 _		Clayey gravel, brick, glass, metal debri fill	S,
	- 4 -   - 6 -			Poor recovery 4-8'
	- 8 -			
	-12-		Silty clay (CL-CH), dark grey, moist very stiff	 t,
	-14-  - 16- 		$\nabla$	Set temporary 1" diameter PVC well casing from 10-20'. Collect B3-SES-W grab groundwater sample.
	-18- 		Clayey sand (SC), fine-grained, wet, dense	
2007-65-77		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total depth = 20'	

	Environmental Solutions	S, INC		Soil Boring Log
			BORING NUMBER	Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
PROJE	CT Bridgewater Apartments		OWNER Harvest Propertie	9S
LOCAT	TION <u>6400 Christie Avenue, Em</u>	neryville, CA	PROJECT NUMBER 2007-	65
TOTAL	DEPTH5 feet bgs		BOREHOLE DIA2-1/2	inch
SURF	ACE ELEV. Unknown		WATER FIRST ENCOUNT	ERED <u>NA</u>
DRILL	ING COMPANY <u>Cascade</u>		DRILLING METHOD Dire	ct Push
DRILL	ER Amador	GEOLOGIST	S. Bittman D	ATE DRILLED <u>3/11/14</u>
DEPTH (feet)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESC	RIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION	REMARKS
		Asphalt 3"		
		Baserock 3	}" vel fill_brick_class - debris	_
2 -		l cluycy gru		
- 4 -				
		· · ·		
		Refusal at	5 ft. bgs	
- "-				
-10-				
-18-				
2007				

	STELLAR Environmental Solutions, Inc Geoscience & Engineering Consulting									
				BORING NUMBER	Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>					
	PROJE	-CT Bridgewater Apartments		OWNER Harvest Properties	Ū.					
		ION 6400 Christie Avenue, Em	eryville, CA	PROJECT NUMBER 2007-65						
	TOTAL	DEPTH 20 feet bgs	-	BOREHOLE DIA. 2-1/2 inc	h					
	SURF	ACE ELEV. Unknown		WATER FIRST ENCOUNTER	ED <u>18 ft.</u>					
	DRILL	ING COMPANY <u>Cascade</u>		DRILLING METHOD Direct F	Push					
	DRILL	ER Amador	GEOLOGIS	S. Bittman DAT	E DRILLED <u>3/11/14</u>					
	DEPTH (feet)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESC	RIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION	REMARKS					
			Asphalt 3"							
	E _		Clayey gra	vel & brick fill						
	- 2 -		Dark brow	n, dry						
	F -	i presidente data estadore data estadore data estadore data estadore data estadore data estadore data estadore A setembra de estadore da es A setembra da estadore da e								
	<u> </u> _4_									
	⊢ · –									
	F									
	6 -									
	E =									
	- 8 -									
	<u> </u> _									
	$_{10}^{-}$		Increasing	moisture at 10 ft.						
	⊢'°–									
	F -									
	-12-									
	┝ -									
	- 14 -		Bottom of	ill 						
	L _		Silty clay ( sand (SC)	CL) interbedded with clayey	Set temporary 1" diameter					
			wet @ 18'	blue green, very ean te nard,	Collect B5-SES-W					
					grab groundwater sample.					
	<u> </u> _									
	<u>-18</u>		I_⊻_ Total depth	n = 20'						
	-  _									
	- 20-									
7-65-78										
200										

### **APPENDIX H**

# March 2014 Soil Boring

Photodocumentation
Subject: Advancing boring B3.	
Site: 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California	Duringt No + SES 2007 65
Photographer: S Bittman	Photo No : 01
Subject: Typical fill material in upper 10 feet.	
Site: 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California	
Date Taken: March 11, 2014	Project No.: SES 2007-65
Photographer: S. Bittman	Photo No.: 02

BI 16±-17	
Subject: Discoloration of water bearing zone- Boring B1	
Site: 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California	
Date Taken: March 11, 2014	Project No.: SES 2007-65
Photographer: T. Glass	Photo No.: 01
Subject: Grouting (sealing) Boring B1	
Site: 6400 Christie Avenue, Emeryville, California	
Date Taken: March 11, 2014	Project No.: SES 2007-65
Photographer: T. Glass	Photo No.: 02

# **APPENDIX I**

# March 2014 Grab Groundwater Sample

Analytical Laboratory Report and Chain-of-Custody Record



McCampbell Analytical, Inc.

"When Quality Counts"

# **Analytical Report**

WorkOrder:	1403409
<b>Report Created for:</b>	Stellar Environmental Solutions 2198 Sixth St. #201 Berkeley, CA 94710
Project Contact:	Richard Makdisi
Project P.O.: Project Name:	#2007-65; Harvest Properties
Project Received:	03/12/2014

Analytical Report reviewed & approved for release on 03/19/2014 by:



Angela Rydelius, Laboratory Manager

The report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The analytical results relate only to the items tested. Results reported conform to the most current NELAP standards, where applicable, unless otherwise stated in the case narrative.



1534 Willow Pass Rd. Pittsburg, CA 94565 ♦ TEL: (877) 252-9262 ♦ FAX: (925) 252-9269 ♦ www.mccampbell.com NELAP: 4033ORELAP ♦ ELAP: 1644 ♦ ISO/IEC: 17025:2005 ♦ WSDE: C972-11 ♦ ADEC: UST-098 ♦ UCMR3



### **Glossary of Terms & Qualifier Definitions**

Client:	Stellar	Environmental	Solutions
---------	---------	---------------	-----------

- **Project:** #2007-65; Harvest Properties
- **WorkOrder:** 1403409

#### <u>Glossary</u> <u>Abbreviation</u>

95% Interval	95% Confident Interval
DF	Dilution Factor
DUP	Duplicate
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
ITEF	International Toxicity Equivalence Factor
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MB	Method Blank
MB % Rec	% Recovery of Surrogate in Method Blank, if applicable
MDL	Method Detection Limit
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
ND	Not detected at or above the indicated MDL or RL
NR	Matrix interferences, or analyte concentration in sample exceeds spike amount for soil matrix or exceeds 2x spike amount for water matrix; or sample diluted due to high matrix or analyte content.
RD	Relative Difference
RL	Reporting Limit (The RL is the lowest calibration standard in a multipoint calibration.)
RPD	Relative Percent Deviation
RRT	Relative Retention Time
SPK Val	Spike Value
SPKRef Val	Spike Reference Value
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalence

#### Analytical Qualifier

b1aqueous sample that contains greater than ~1 vol. % sedimentd1weakly modified or unmodified gasoline is significante2diesel range compounds are significant; no recognizable patterne7oil range compounds are significant



### **Analytical Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1403409
Project:	#2007-65; Harvest Properties	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	SW5030B
Date Received:	3/12/14 22:28	Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Date Prepared:	3/17/14-3/19/14	Unit:	µg/L

#### Gasoline Range (C6-C12) Volatile Hydrocarbons as Gasoline with BTEX and MTBE

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix/ExtType	Date Coll	ected Instrument	Batch ID
B1-SES-W	1403409-001A	Water	03/11/2014	GC3	88308
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)	ND		50	1	03/17/2014 19:46
МТВЕ	ND		5.0	1	03/17/2014 19:46
Benzene	ND		0.50	1	03/17/2014 19:46
Toluene	ND		0.50	1	03/17/2014 19:46
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.50	1	03/17/2014 19:46
Xylenes	ND		0.50	1	03/17/2014 19:46
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>	Analytical Comments: b1	
aaa-TFT	101		70-130		03/17/2014 19:46
B3-SES-W	1403409-002A	Water	03/11/2014	GC3	88308
Analytes	Result		RL	DF	Date Analyzed
TPH(g)	ND		50	1	03/19/2014 13:07
МТВЕ	ND		5.0	1	03/19/2014 13:07
Benzene	ND		0.50	1	03/19/2014 13:07
Toluene	ND		0.50 1		
			0.00	1	03/19/2014 13:07
Ethylbenzene	0.61		0.50	1	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07
Ethylbenzene Xylenes	0.61 ND		0.50	1 1 1	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07
Ethylbenzene Xylenes Surrogates	0.61 ND <u>REC (%)</u>		0.50 0.50 Limits	1 1 1 Analytical Comments: b1	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07
Ethylbenzene Xylenes Surrogates aaa-TFT	0.61 ND <u>REC (%)</u> 101		0.50 0.50 Limits 70-130	1 1 Analytical Comments: b1	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07
Ethylbenzene Xylenes Surrogates aaa-TFT B5-SES-W	0.61 ND <u>REC (%)</u> 101 1403409-003A	Water	0.50 0.50 Limits 70-130 03/11/2014	1 1 Analytical Comments: b1 GC3	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 88308
Ethylbenzene Xylenes <u>Surrogates</u> aaa-TFT B5-SES-W <u>Analytes</u>	0.61 ND REC (%) 101 1403409-003A Result	Water	0.50 0.50 Limits 70-130 03/11/2014 RL	1 1 Analytical Comments: b1 GC3 DF	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 88308 Date Analyzed
Ethylbenzene Xylenes <u>Surrogates</u> aaa-TFT B5-SES-W <u>Analytes</u> TPH(g)	0.61 ND <u>REC (%)</u> 101 1403409-003A <u>Result</u> 250	Water	0.50 0.50 Limits 70-130 03/11/2014 RL 50	1 1 Analytical Comments: b1 GC3 DF 1	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 <b>88308</b> Date Analyzed 03/18/2014 22:06
Ethylbenzene Xylenes Surrogates aaa-TFT B5-SES-W Analytes TPH(g) MTBE	0.61 ND REC (%) 101 1403409-003A Result 250 ND	Water	0.50 0.50 <u>Limits</u> 70-130 03/11/2014 <u>RL</u> 50 5.0	1 1 Analytical Comments: b1 GC3 DE 1 1	03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 03/19/2014 13:07 <b>88308</b> Date Analyzed 03/18/2014 22:06 03/18/2014 22:06







### **Analytical Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1403409
Project:	#2007-65; Harvest Properties	<b>Extraction Method</b>	SW3510C
Date Received:	3/12/14 22:28	Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Date Prepared:	3/12/14	Unit:	µg/L

#### **Total Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

Client ID	Lab ID	Matrix/ExtType	Date Coll	ected	Instrument		Batch ID
B1-SES-W	1403409-001B	Water	03/11/2014		GC2A		88102
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	<u>DF</u>			Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	480		50	1			03/14/2014 06:53
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>	Anal	ytical Comments:	e7,e2,b	1
C9	97		70-130				03/14/2014 06:53
B3-SES-W	1403409-002B	Water	03/11/2014		GC2A		88102
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF			Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	2000		50	1			03/14/2014 08:08
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>	Anal	ytical Comments:	e7,e2,b	1
C9	95		70-130				03/14/2014 08:08
B5-SES-W	1403409-003B	Water	03/11/2014		GC2B		88102
Analytes	<u>Result</u>		<u>RL</u>	DF			Date Analyzed
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	3300		250	5			03/14/2014 08:08
Surrogates	<u>REC (%)</u>		<u>Limits</u>	Anal	ytical Comments:	e7,e2,b	1
C9	117		70-130				03/14/2014 08:08



# **Quality Control Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1403409
Date Prepared:	3/17/14	BatchID:	88308
Date Analyzed:	3/17/14	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	SW5030B
Instrument:	GC3	Analytical Method:	SW8021B/8015Bm
Matrix:	Water	Unit:	µg/L
Project:	#2007-65; Harvest Properties	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-88308 1403429-001AMS/MSD

QC Summary Report for SW8021B/8015Bm										
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result		RL	SPK Val	MB SS 1	%REC	LCS %REC		LCS Limits
TPH(btex)	ND	59.87		40	60	-		99.8		70-130
МТВЕ	ND	10.51		5.0	10	-		105		70-130
Benzene	ND	10.29		0.50	10	-		103		70-130
Toluene	ND	10.27		0.50	10	-		103		70-130
Ethylbenzene	ND	10.14		0.50	10	-		101		70-130
Xylenes	ND	30.61		0.50	30	-		102		70-130
Surrogate Recovery										
aaa-TFT	10	9.728			10	100		97		70-130
Analyte	MS Result	MSD Result	SPK Val	SPKRef Val	MS %REC	MSD %REC	MS/M Limits	ISD I s	RPD	RPD Limit
TPH(btex)	NR	NR	0	33000	NR	NR	-	I	NR	
МТВЕ	NR	NR	0	ND<1000	NR	NR	-	I	NR	
Benzene	NR	NR	0	12000	NR	NR	-		NR	
Toluene	NR	NR	0	14000	NR	NR	-	I	NR	
Ethylbenzene	NR	NR	0	1600	NR	NR	-	I	NR	
Xylenes	NR	NR	0	10000	NR	NR	-		NR	
Surrogate Recovery										
aaa-TFT	NR	NR	0		NR	NR	-	I	NR	

QA/QC Officer Page 5 of 10



# **Quality Control Report**

Client:	Stellar Environmental Solutions	WorkOrder:	1403409
Date Prepared:	3/12/14	BatchID:	88102
Date Analyzed:	3/13/14 - 3/18/14	<b>Extraction Method:</b>	SW3510C
Instrument:	GC2B, GC6B	Analytical Method:	SW8015B
Matrix:	Water	Unit:	μg/L
Project:	#2007-65; Harvest Properties	Sample ID:	MB/LCS-88102

QC Summary Report for SW8015B										
Analyte	MB Result	LCS Result	RL	SPK Val	MB SS %REC	LCS %REC	LCS Limits			
TPH-Diesel (C10-C23)	ND	784.1	50	1000	-	78.4	70-130			
Surrogate Recovery C9	636	606.6		625	102	97	70-130			

QA/QC Officer Page 6 of 10

#### McCampbell Analytical, Inc. 1534 Willow Pass Rd Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 (925) 252-9262 WaterTrax WriteOn EDF Excel EQuIS Famil HardCopy ThirdParty

Report to:		Bill to:	Requested TAT:	5 days
Richard Makdisi	Email: rmakdisi@stellar-environmental.com;hpietr	Accounts Payable		
Stellar Environmental Solutions	cc/3rd Party:	Stellar Enviormental Solutions		
2198 Sixth St. #201	PO:	2198 Sixth St. #201	Date Received:	03/12/2014
Berkeley, CA 94710	ProjectNo: #2007-65; Harvest Properties	Berkeley, CA 94710	Date Printed:	03/13/2014
510-644-3123 FAX: 510-644-3859		lwheeler@stellar-environmental.com		

					Requested Tests (See legend below)											
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Collection Date	Hold	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1403409-001	B1-SES-W	Water	3/11/2014		А	В										
1403409-002	B3-SES-W	Water	3/11/2014		А	В										
1403409-003	B5-SES-W	Water	3/11/2014		А	В										

#### Test Legend:

1	G-MBTEX_W
6	
11	

2	TPH(D)_W
7	
12	

3	
8	

4	
9	

5	
10	

#### Prepared by: Zoraida Cortez

#### **Comments:**

NOTE: Soil samples are discarded 60 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made (Water samples are 30 days). Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at client expense. Page 1 of 1

∏J-flag



1534 Willow Pass Road, Pittsburg, CA 94565-1701 Toll Free Telephone: (877) 252-9262 / Fax: (925) 252-9269 http://www.mccampbell.com / E-mail: main@mccampbell.com

#### WORK ORDER SUMMARY

<b>Client Name</b>	: STELLAR EN	VIRONMENTAL	SOLUTIONS		QC Level: 1	LEVEL 2			Wor	k Order:	1403409			
Project:	#2007-65; Har	vest Properties		С	Client Contact: 1	Richard Makdisi			Date F	Received:	3/12/2014			
Comments:				Со	Contact's Email: rmakdisi@stellar- environmental.com;hpietropaoli@stellar-									
		WaterTrax	WriteOn	EDF	Excel	FaxEmail	HardCo	opy ThirdPart	y 🗌	I-flag				
Lab ID	Client ID	Matrix	Test Name		Number of Container	Bottle & Preservative	De- chlorinated	Collection Date & Time	ТАТ	Sediment Content	Hold SubOut			
1403409-001A	B1-SES-W	Water	SW8021B/801	5Bm (G/MBTEX)	2	VOA w/ HCl		3/11/2014	5 days	2%+				
1403409-001B	B1-SES-W	Water	SW8015B (Die	esel)	2	VOA w/ HCl		3/11/2014	5 days	2%+				
1403409-002A	B3-SES-W	Water	SW8021B/801	5Bm (G/MBTEX)	2	VOA w/ HCl		3/11/2014	5 days	1%+				
1403409-002B	B3-SES-W	Water	SW8015B (Die	esel)	2	VOA w/ HCl		3/11/2014	5 days	1%+				
1403409-003A	B5-SES-W	Water	SW8021B/801	5Bm (G/MBTEX)	2	VOA w/ HCl		3/11/2014	5 days	1%+				
1403409-003B	B5-SES-W	Water	SW8015B (Die	esel)	2	VOA w/ HCl		3/11/2014	5 days	1%+				
1403409-004A	B1-S-16.5	Soil			1	Acetate Liner		3/11/2014			✓			
1403409-005A	B3-S-15.5	Soil			1	Acetate Liner		3/11/2014			✓			

\* NOTE: STLC and TCLP extractions require 48 hrs to complete; therefore, all TATs begin after the extraction is completed (i.e., 24hr TAT yields results in 72 hrs from sample submission).

Bottle Legend:

Acetate Liner = Acetate Liner VOA w/ HCI = 43mL VOA w/ HCI

	Chain	of Cust	ody Reco	ord		40	340	9	Lab job no	
	Laboratory Ac Campbell Analytical Method of Shipment Address Shipment No Shipment No	Courris	21						Date of	1
	Project Owner Harvest Properties Site Address 6400 Christie Are Emeryville, CA Project Name Bay Center Project Name Bay Center Fax No.	21chard / )644-31	Makdisi 123	Fillened No. or C	A DIE	//	Analysis Req		Bemarka	15
	Project Number 2007-65 Samplers: (Signature) .	5 Bitt	man /	1/4	EPIS /				/	
12	BI-SES-W 3/4/44 W 40 ml VO	Cooler DA	Chemical /	4 X	V	(				
	B3-SES-W / W 40 ml VO	AV	itei itei	4 x 4 x	X				÷~	
t LI	BH-SES-W W 40ml W	AV	H+CI	4 *	×				1	
~ (	BI-5-16.5 1/14 S Acetate		HCI D	7 X 1 X	X				HOLD	>
	B3-5-15.5 /1/14 S Acetate		Ø	1 x	*				HOLD	
	Relinquished by: The Belling Date 3/12/14 Signature Steve Bittman Time Printed Data Lus	Date	Relinquished by Signature Printed Dan	for a c	ova	Date 2/12/14 Time	Received by: Signature Printed	evaide	14 conter	Date 3/12 Time
	company SES company MCC pupper	_ 1934	Company Au	1		Date	Company	MAI		Date
	Comments:		Signature				Signature			
			Printed			Time	Printed			Time

1



#### Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	Stellar Environmenta	al Solutions			Date and T	ime Received:	3/12/2014 10:28:16 PM
Project Name:	#2007-65; Harvest F	Properties			LogIn Revi	ewed by:	Zoraida Cortez
WorkOrder N°:	1403409	Matrix: Soil/Water			Carrier:	Daniel (MAI Co	<u>urier)</u>
		<u>Chai</u>	<u>n of Cւ</u>	<u>istody (C</u>	OC) Information		
Chain of custody	present?		Yes	✓	No		
Chain of custody	signed when relinquis	hed and received?	Yes	✓	No		
Chain of custody	agrees with sample la	ibels?	Yes	✓	No		
Sample IDs note	d by Client on COC?		Yes	✓	No		
Date and Time of	f collection noted by C	lient on COC?	Yes	✓	No		
Sampler's name	noted on COC?		Yes	✓	No		
		5	Sample	Receipt	Information		
Custody seals int	tact on shipping contai	ner/cooler?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹
Shipping contain	er/cooler in good cond	ition?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		
Samples in prope	er containers/bottles?		Yes	✓	No		
Sample containe	rs intact?		Yes	✓	No		
Sufficient sample	volume for indicated	test?	Yes	✓	No		
		Sample Prese	ervatio	n and Ho	<u>ld Time (HT) Info</u>	rmation	
All samples recei	ived within holding time	e?	Yes	✓	No		
Container/Temp	Blank temperature		Coole	r Temp:	3°C		NA
Water - VOA vial	s have zero headspac	e / no bubbles?	Yes	✓	No		NA
Sample labels ch	necked for correct pres	ervation?	Yes	✓	No		
Metal - pH accep	table upon receipt (pH	l<2)?	Yes		No 🗌		NA 🗹
Samples Receive	ed on Ice?		Yes	✓	No		
		(Ісе Тур	e: WE	TICE )			

\* NOTE: If the "No" box is checked, see comments below.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_